

What do you like or dislike about each of the options for Burbank Early Childhood School? Why?	Are there any other thoughts or options we should be considering?	What do you like or dislike about each of the options for Hastings Middle School? Why?	Are there any other thoughts or options we should be considering?	What do you like or dislike about each of the options for Jones Middle School? Why?	Are there any other thoughts or options we should be considering?
Renovate		Rebuild A. Would be a shame to lose the space at Thompson park (soccer fields highly used) in the last two options			
I prefer repair due to undetermined cost to renovate or rebuild. Taxes are getting out of control in UA.		I prefer renovate due to cost. Taxes are getting out of control in UA.		I prefer renovate due to cost. Taxes are getting out of control in UA.	
Repair and renovate options do not go far enough to address issues with an old, inadequate building that serves our youngest children.		Rebuild options A and B solve current issues with the old building without land swap cost. Only renovating the building does not go far enough to provide a modern learning environment with systems (i.e. HVAC; future technology needs) efficiency.		Improvements for JMS are long overdue, which has impacted staff and most likely student health. Repair and renovate options do not go far enough to provide an ideal learning environment for the future.	
without final costs no decision should be made	without final costs no decision should be made	without final costs no decision should be made	without final costs no decision should be made	without final costs no decision should be made	without final costs no decision should be made
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	There is something very charming about Jones as it is today. That said, I believe that the repair option will go a long way. A rebuild would be extremely disruptive and somewhat unnecessary.	NO.
In general I would have rebuild over remodel. It will end up being better in the long run not to try to fit old and new buildings together	Given the long waiting list for Burbank programs, consider building the optional addition now	I prefer the rebuild options on the existing site. It is not worth the additional year plus of disruption to attempt to salvage the existing building		I understand the attachment to the original building but the rebuild option is my preference	
a lot of money for the benefit of so few community members should be the last priority	holding off on this project for now	If you are planning to invest in schools again,, how are looking at potential loss of school funding \$\$ from state and federal government. Also will anticipated tariffs on construction materials how realistic are these estimates?			see Hastings school comments regarding anticipated increase in tariffs which would increase cost? Consideration for anticipated loss of federal and state funds. Should we ask the tax payers to increase our taxes again, and is this a good time?
The renovation seems like it would be a nice middle ground. Truthfully, I do not know enough about Burbank to state more.		The fact that we have multiple rebuild options really concerns me. I think rebuilding schools in our district has not been as great as you think it should be. I am completely unhappy with what was done with the music section in the high school and I feel all of these options do not take into consideration all students and what needs to be done. I think repair and renovate is the best. Keep in mind that the music at the high school is now completely overgrown and it was before the school even opened because no one cared to get numbers. And now we have to divide out freshman and band because no one thought forward. The old band room was superior in size and capacity and now we struggle because of these rebuild options that cost us a ton of money for very little improvement.		I like the renovation a option the best. It feels like it's the best balance of everything. Rebuilding to me would be a travesty and should not be considered. This building is old, but our desire to spend more money to rebuild and destroy parts of history has gone a bit too far.	

				Offsite for two academic years is the biggest issue. What is the plan? We don't have buses. Where will the kids go? I heard Hastings middle school, which is a huge burden for parents. You need to present a plan if kids will be "offsite" for 2 years.	You need a very detailed explanation of what "offsite" will be. We can't drive kids a 30 minute round trip every day and get to work. Work being the thing that pays the taxes that pay for the building. Parents working is important. Throwing that in with less explanation than the bathrooms shows a lack of understanding of that importance.
Burbank needs to be torn down and rebuilt. There are very few options for early childhood education in this area that are secular and affordable. A proper investment in families is critical and I don't see throwing good money after bad, trying to remodel that junky building. The future of UA is attracting young families to the city, not pandering to the elderly cheapskates who won't be here much longer.	Invest in the building and good teachers. Charge a little more. Wellington is 21k per year for preschool and is outstanding, but a more affordable quality option is critical for most young families who can't afford private school.	I absolutely love option C. Hastings is in a terrible place for drop offs and pickups across from wellington and McCooy can totally handle the volume. I'm sure we'll save a ton of money developing the park land which is currently just a soccer field.	I think the Hastings building is not salvageable and we need to invest in our youth and build them a building we can be proud of to attract young families who can pay taxes and improve property values. There's no reason to waste money putting a band aid on that building which needs to be condemned.	Option C is best. Don't throw good money at a bad building.	
Repair option seems far to basic/not efficient to not do additional upgrades. I think the renovate option is best - a nice mix of fixing the basics but also upgrading. Not sure an entire rebuild is needed or ideal for current students.					
No decision can really be made unless costs are known as that will be a factor. Clearly a rebuild seems most appropriate but not if it's going to cost 5x more.		The land swap is interesting but imagine those in the Thompson Park area might not appreciate the increased traffic. It again is difficult to really weigh an opinion without knowing the financial ramifications.		What does "offsite" mean for schooling purposes? If construction is to begin in 1 or 2 years you are again impacting a generation of students that has not had a "normal" school experience. This is a covid generation that has been already impacted by social distant learning, not having really attended school until 2nd grade, and were already impacted in elementary school by having building updates. These changes appear very disruptive.	
				Rebuild I believe is the only option in my opinion. School facility needs it and space is not adequate with current footprint	What will happen to the tennis courts? Will new parking spaces need to be made and if so where will that be. What will be done with traffic flow if Coventry/Guilford are closed during rebuild?
All seem fine, but where would students go while displaced?	nope	I prefer parking access not on Reed Rd. Too small of music spaces potentially.	Need to make sure there is spaces that can be set aside for band, choir, and strings without need to interrupt room use during classes. Room sizes should match what needs may end up existing.	LOVE the three music rooms! Very right call.	
Not sure about what will be done on repair option. The rebuild option addresses current issues of the building and it seems like the only ideal option for the future students and staffs.	More play area?	I like the rebuild option c for more room for the school.			

Having parking around the entire building is a great benefit for those bad weather days. It makes the shortest possible walk for the further outreaches of the parking lot. If you move it all to one side, you'll have either parents/teachers who are potentially having to park much further back, carrying young kids in, creating unnecessary strain compared to the current layout.	You don't have a cost for two of them, so this would be impossible to fairly assess.		Again, you have cost one item. Asking people to assess this is a poor strategy at this point.		
Parking.			asthmatic kids. the construction can affect their health. and construction can strongly disrupt learning.		
I am not going to pay additional taxes for a preschool/daycare that extorts thousand of dollars a month for a pretentious daycare in upper Arlington. The school can pay for their own renovations.	Fix the middles schools. The conditions are deplorable. I can say this because my daughter is a third generation going to Upper Arlington schools. Jones/Hasting look the same from when my father and myself went there and they were not great back then.	I love the updates. The middle schools are horrible. I can say this because they look the same from when my father went to middle school, I went to middle school, and now my daughter in this district. Three generations with no real updates.	Fix the middle schools.	I love the updates. The middle schools are horrible. I can say this because they look the same from when my father went to middle school, I went to middle school, and now my daughter in this district. Three generations with no real updates. I would assume that Beverly DeAngelo is still on those blue green walls filled with asepsis.	Fix the middle schools
Not having ballpark costs for the renovate and rebuild options makes it hard to compare		The renovate options seem like the best use of the available space(s). The rebuild on Thompson Park feel like a square peg in a round hole that take away city benefits from the greater community.	Having been in Hastings only one time I can confidently say the repair option should not be considered.	If the estimate for students to be displaced is the same amount of time for both renovate or rebuild options, I'd say either renovate option seems like the best route. It would come to down cost at that point.	
I think that we should repair this building until such time as the district can save funds, via a tuition increase. As someone who paid for Burbank, as well as pays UA taxes, I do not think that the community as a whole should be responsible for the cost of a building that is not free for the whole community to use.	This is not truly a public building as families need to pay a fairly high tuition to attend. As such, it should not be treated in the same way as other school buildings. Fund raising and tutuion increases should fund this work.	I do not think that the buildings should be moved. It is unfair to existing neighbors to effect the value of their housing in order to reorient the buildings. I also do not think that the school should be moved to a public park as this effects the value of the houses in those neighborhoods.	Renovate option b is the only one that is fair and equitable to the neighbors. We pay lot of property tax to live in UA, because of the schools, and as such the schools should not be a reason to effect the property value of existing houses.	I would choose renovate option b or rebuild option.	It would be a shame to lose the tennis courts as they are heavily used. Can these be replaces within the grassy area of devon pool, which does not need to be as big?
I dislike the repair option - I think all our educational facilities need to be on the same level across the entire city. We shouldn't have brand new elementary & high schools and then BECS and the middle schools only get repairs, but still have the same old structure - which will be an issue down the road. I believe they should be in the very least, highly renovated, but ideally rebuilt.		Like the rebuild option A or B ONLY - do NOT like the idea of moving the middle school entirely to the Thompson Park area.		I think Jones needs at the very least - one of the renovation options. I know this building has been discussed for having a more "historical" structure - similar to how Tremont wasn't completely knocked down. However, if not entirely rebuilt - the renovations need to be extensive. My daughter went here over 5 years ago and even then we were pretty shocked how outdated and in need of work the entire building was.	

It is hard to give feedback where there are no costs associated with options #2 and #3. However, given the uncertainties in the economy right now, job insecurity, inflation and the uncertainties in public education funding with the state of Ohio pushing school voucher funding, I believe it is prudent to go with the most cost effective options at this time - if it really cannot wait until the political storm we in right now is over. If you wait the political and economic landscape changes, I'd be more inclined to support whatever the committee decides.	cost	Again, I'd like to see a vote on this issue postponed until the political and economic landscape changes. The timing is not good. I always vote in support of school levies, but talking to others in the community, I'm afraid we are wasting money making plans for something the community doesn't have the heart to support right now. I haven't spoken to one person who feels the timing is right for this.			
		I like the idea to keep the school in its current location as McCoy is too busy of a road for many of the kids who walk/bike to school. However, it would make the most sense to rebuild the school and place the front entrance in a location that is easier to access for the public parking	Build the school larger !		
	renovate. Not sure you need an entire new schools facility at Burbank		Rebuild Option B. No land swapping		Rebuild option
Its hard to feel strongly between the renovate and rebuild options for each school or me since we don't have any concept of costs for each. I do think that the reality is probably makes the most sense to rebuild all of them for longevity, if it makes sense financially.		I think a change in location is a decent thought, but at the same time, will likely require a lot more moving parts. I do think the Thompson park location makes sense as it seems more centrally located for the families that attend Hastings, but I can imagine that there will be many community members that are opposed to the swap of location just because its new and different. As far as renovate options, they both to seem to achieve the same goals, but one does not require moving the track. I would assume this would be a cost savings, but again, unsure. For the other rebuild options that keep the school in the same general location, I do like the fact that it will not be on top of Reed Road.		I think Jones needs the full rebuild to make the best use of the small lot space we have. I realize there is a lot of talk on preserving the original facade, but I think best case scenario is we take it all down and make the front a similar aesthetic to what it is today. Daylight, open spaces and locations of related arts and classrooms are very important in this one as the current set up truly just doesn't work any longer. I am a bit concerned about the students being off site for two years in terms of transportation, etc., but that is not reason enough to not do the project.	

I think the repair option is fine for Burbank.		Repair. It's the least expensive option. Moving it around and jiggering things all up is just looking to spend money.	In regards to these three schools I think the City of UA would be wise to take a look at the state of the economy right now. A lot of people are struggling due to inflation. Jobs are being cut everywhere. We already took a huge property tax increase for the high school. After these three buildings are done a lot of UA residents don't want to see/hear from the school systems about needing more money for a long while.	I absolutely do not want it rebuilt. There's a lot of history in that building. It would be a tragedy to lose that for the sake of just wanting to build new. Repair would be preferred. I don't like losing the tennis courts, or children being offsite/displaced for multiple academic years. Hell, middle school is only 3 years anyway so based on some of these renovation plans kids would never even be at the school. That's not right.	Again for a lot of families in UA budgets do matter. Yes there are a lot of residents who have crazy income and don't care about levies. But going with expensive renovations or rebuilds right now is straining. Everyone has turned using property tax levies into the easy button for getting all their wants done and it's pricing people out from being able to afford to live here. I'm all for putting as much repair money into them that is needed. But reconfiguring the layouts to these proposed seems wasteful and unnecessary. It's not like Jones has not done the job for 100 years. UA isn't drastically growing in size so we don't need to accommodate large amounts of new kids/families. Its history is what makes it charming. I want my kids to play on the same gym floor, perform on the same auditorium stage as I did. That's special. If you must go about a rebuild, use the football field to build new while students use the existing building. That way the only thing displaced is some athletics vs all students/academics.
Repair option		Repair		Repair option. Middle school is only 3 years, I don't want my kids off site for 2 years assuming the project is completed in time.	People live in this community for the history and the charm, our kids will miss out on following their parents footsteps, walking home, etc. Their whole middle school experience will be changed because being off site.
Rebuild and renovate are more comprehensive and will create a nicer space, but the cost is unknown. The repair option is more temporary but at least the cost is clear.	It's difficult to support or oppose an option when the cost is TBD.		A \$50mm "repair" option seems exorbitant. If that building truly needs \$50mm to bring it up to "physical adequacy", it's not currently fit for humans to inhabit.		As with Jones, the cost to address "physical adequacy" seems overblown. It would also seem a shame to rebuild since this is such a historic building.
Burbank is a dumpster. The building is ugly and dysfunction. Our littlest learners deserve better. I would be extremely frustrated with both the Repair and Renovate options. This thing needs to go. The only option that I would consider if the Rebuild. Things need to be custom sized for our youngest students -- not retrofitted from an old, retired elementary.	Classroom spaces should be appropriate for preschoolers. Lots of natural light, open spaces, correctly sized furniture and small potties. 7-8 adults sharing one room as therapy office space. It's not an appropriate way to conduct private ETR and IEP meetings.	I dislike Repair. That's not a real option. I currently work in a 1/2 Rebuild and 1/2 New Build building. The renovate option still stinks. It still has many problems that the new build doesn't. Renovate A has more impact with neighbors so I dislike that one a bit more. Renovate B is okay, I guess. I didn't love the idea of swapping with Thompson Park but am coming around on it... but there will have to be discussions with the city because McCoy Roy has dropped to 1 lane with parking on each side... the side streets around the park do not have the ability to handle the capacity of school drop off easily. There must be serious consideration given to the traffic plan because the idea of kids walking across McCoy after school is really scary.	Traffic, speed and parking on McCoy		The auditorium should have enough capacity to also host Barrington Elementary. It is currently over 100 seats below fire code for our current student capacity so we cannot utilize this space. We could share resources more efficiently if we could fit there too.
	Do we need a building solely for early childhood programming? Is there other value we can get from the building ?	I am in favor of a rebuild. The land swap is very intriguing. Think it would be better for traffic and use of land.		I'm fine with rebuild so long as the building remains some of its historic outside appeal.	

Repairing will only be a patch. It is time to rebuild or renovate		Repair will only be a patch. It is time to renovate or rebuild.	Prefer Option C, but also like Renovate Option A because it does realign the football field	This building needs one repair after another. To repair will just open a "can of worms" and become more expensive than originally thought. Let's fix this building and do it "right". Rebuild! Another option on the rebuild is to bring the front of the building out to the flagpole to allow more interior space.	If we are going to do it, follow the same concept as with the High School and the Elementaries - rebuild.
I like the rebuild and renovate option. I think the repair option is not a great idea since the building needs a lot of work.		I like the rebuild option A and B. I do not like the idea of option C and moving the building to McCoy.	Please include an updated theater and auditorium that can include all students and some family members for meetings, performances, etc. They new elementary building auditorium areas can not accommodate all students and families for presentations, performances, etc.	I like option A and B	I like the idea of keeping the older front part so it still looks like Jones but I am worried that it will cost a lot of money to do that and will not be worth it.
REpair option is very expensive without addressing a lot of the functional problems. I am interested in both the renovate and rebuild options, depending on cost. I like that rebuild will increase daylight and ceiling heights, and presumably modernize the style. However, we get a lot of similar benefits from the renovate option.		Traffic at Hastings dropoff is crazy, and REbuild Option C would probably address that. However, I would hate to lose Thompson Park!			I hate that every single option requires students to be off site for two academic years.
Would rather see this building repurposed to another elementary school.	We should consider the role Burbank plays in our school system. For \$13 million dollars, we can hire an adequate number of teachers to support the oversized number of kids in each classroom. Having 24-25 kids in an elementary school is unmanageable for teachers.	Strongly opposed to relocating middle school to Thompson Park. It's a beautiful park and should remain as such. Also, the diagrams for that option do not provide adequate information around the land swap required. Why are the Orange boundary lines showing ALL of Thompson Park??	Preference would be to Renovate on existing location.	Opposed to a rebuild	
It's not clear to me what the difference between "repair" and "renovate" is	My impression is that Burbank is a private preschool program, not sure why taxpayers need to pay for the renovations.	Do not like the move to Thompson Park, leave the park alone. School should stay where it is.	Renovating and leaving track where it is seems like best option.	I was under the impression that Jones is a historical building, I would have concerns about demolishing and rebuilding. Repairs or renovations would be more in keeping with the character of the neighborhood.	
Rebuild -- it will be cheaper and we will get more out of the project	no	I like rebuild option C because it is the most efficient way to do this project.	no	Rebuild. There is no way to provide an equitable facility for all middle school students if Hastings gets a new building and Jones is continually being added onto and remodeled. Just rip the bandaid and do it. If the new building is beautiful and honors the architecture of the area, people will be able to support it.	no
		. Very hard to assess without costs. Repair or Rebuild A are clearly the best options of these choices. Thompson Park option can't be serious.		Repair it	

		I do not like the options for repair or renovate. They are a waste of money on a dying building. The building does not provide the structure needed for today's learners. Everything is out of date and falling apart. I like the rebuild option C best because I think it will be least disruptive to students. I imagine rebuild option A will receive strong push back from homeowners on that side of the park (an issue with option C as well). At least with rebuild C the school building does not butt up against the houses like A does. I like that the building organization in the rebuild options that keeps the value of teaming.			
I think the repair option is adequate for Burbank		I am in favor of any of the options that utilize the existing Hastings MS location and strongly against any option that sacrifices Thompson Park	Please leave Thompson Park alone and solve the Hastings issues at their current site		
Option B for best security options which current school is lacking		Rebuild option B is best. Thompson park is nightmare.	Leave lacrosse wall. Any levy should include turf for Windermere's failed layout. Fields/outdoor area there is embarrassingly pathetic and should be address before any levy if possible. Kids are playing on concrete dirt and rocks - with storm drains mixed in. It is terrible despite two failed attempts to grow grass with community donations. No other UA school deals with it.		
I like the rebuild option to maximize quality long term	Safety of students and staff for active shooter/threat with any of these Options. Any opportunity to ENHANCE safety to best in class, not industry standard, should be assessed and included in these options while in draft form. Physical facilities play a critical part of such safety considerations and I want to know that it is not just considered but clearly incorporated.	I don't like a 3rd floor option and think options keeping it to 2 stories should be the only ones considered. I don't think a land swap is necessary to effectuate the objectives of the school and therefore don't like the Options that include the land swap.	Safety of students and staff for active shooter/threat with any of these Options. Any opportunity to ENHANCE safety to best in class, not industry standard, should be assessed and included in these options while in draft form. Physical facilities play a critical part of such safety considerations and I want to know that it is not just considered but clearly incorporated.		Safety of students and staff for active shooter/threat with any of these Options. Any opportunity to ENHANCE safety to best in class, not industry standard, should be assessed and included in these options while in draft form. Physical facilities play a critical part of such safety considerations and I want to know that it is not just considered but clearly incorporated.
Rebuild (e.g., new construction) option is preferred. Eventually aging buildings will need replaced and the cost only goes up. Better to take care of it now and have a building that will last for decades without need of major upgrades.		Rebuild (e.g., new construction) options are preferred. There are a number of intricacies with each of the proposed rebuild plans that would need further study. Eventually aging buildings will need replaced and the cost only goes up. Better to take care of it now and have a building that will last for decades without need of major upgrades.		Rebuild (e.g., new construction) options is preferred. Eventually aging buildings will need replaced and the cost only goes up. Better to take care of it now and have a building that will last for decades without need of major upgrades.	

Rebuild is cleanest, but need costs to compare. Smaller building and usage means lowest priority so I would go with the cheapest option.		Rebuild C or B are best options. Current site is at the south end of Hastings catch area so moving north makes sense if feasible. Building is clearly dated and needs major updates so even a renovation won't get a grade A facility. Need costs.	Rebuild allows UA to operate 2 buildings at once - easiest with rebuild C if sequenced correctly giving Jones a temporary home since the facility won't be usable in any of the options.	Rebuild - Jones is a maze and substandard in almost every way. Preserving the historic facade is a must.	Sequence between Jones and Hastings so there are 2 Hastings built prior to Jones project then tear down old Hastings after new Jones is occupied so Jones students have a school in UA boundary for the duration of the rebuild. Sending UA students out of UA boundary or far from the catch area in an old office building (CompuServe or anywhere in Henderson corridor) is not acceptable and I would vote against the levy if that was part of the plan.
I would prefer the least expensive option for Burbank Early Childhood School. I am assuming this would be the repair option. The reason why is that I consider rebuilding Hastings and Jones a higher priority and don't want to pay for a rebuild of Burbank too.	No.	I want one of the rebuild options. I'm fine with whichever rebuild option the district decides is best.	No.	I don't have much of an opinion on Jones because my kids will go to Hastings instead. I am fine with the district doing whatever option they think best. I am willing to pay for a complete rebuild.	No.
I like the renovation option. It seems more cost effective and makes use of the existing building envelope.		I like renovate option B best...leaves the existing field in the same spot and solves most of the issues within the existing building footprint. I think this should be weighed against the rebuilding efforts which take one year less to accomplish, which is a clear benefit.	Cost estimates need to be compared between renovation and reconstruction.	Rebuild is my preference.	I think all three should be rebuilt.
	Isn't this school tuition based and doesn't even serve a large base of the UA, why would we allocate millions of tax dollars to such a small program when most of us were forced to use private preschools and daycares?	Repair or renovate on the existing site. Moving to a new location should not have been offered as a suggestion and shows how out of touch those in charge of this are. I bet you're all South Arlington residents... ah yes let's make sure we spend millions to save the historical aspects of Jones but Hastings... TEAR IT DOWN AND TAKE THE PARK WHILE YOU'RE AT IT!!!	REBUILD ON THOMPSON PARK??? is this a joke!??? To rezone that area is the most insulting suggestion to the hundreds of residents in that area. Absolutely the existing footprint should be used for whatever plan is taken. If you want people to actually vote for the levy that will inevitably come with this, keep it simple and don't get a "save the park" working against you at the same time! So I guess anyone buying in UA should beware that at any point the city will just come in and after years of neglecting their schools just tear it down and rebuild anywhere they want! I mean that's what we did with the high school but at least we stayed on the same property.	renovate.	I do not like the idea of a complete rebuild. The architecture of the existing building is beautiful and should be kept as a piece of the city's history. Therefore, I would strongly oppose the rebuild option.
	Repair AND renovate.	renovate option B	Swap the closets baseball diamond mirrored to where one used to be. Make it a parking lot for the pool and school. Use existing lot for new additions and renovate.	Renovate, either option	Old looking school is nice. Try to keep that appearance.
I don't understand why UA is investing in Burbank building. It should be sold off to develop new office space that includes space for a pre school.	No				

I like the idea of rebuilding because it will bring Burbank to the same quality of building as the remaining schools in the district. It will allow for more modern options for teaching compared to the singular classrooms in the building now.		I like the option of land swapping with the property on McCoy road. This option seems to be the best to me because the current Hastings property is small and has traffic issues with Wellington across the street. Adding a construction site into that already small location would complicate the traffic even further. This will cause stress on parents dropping off, staff, and the neighboring community. By moving the location, the traffic and parking gets dispersed to a 4 lane road and will not boarder as many community houses.			I think it is important to consider the stress on the neighboring community when thinking about having students from Jones off site for two years. If the offsite students were moved to the current Hastings location while the Hastings students were also attending school on that property (as with Hastings rebuild A and B), the surrounding neighborhoods and traffic patterns cannot handle that amount of traffic. It is already difficult to have Wellington across the street from the current Hastings building. It is a huge strain on the Hastings Place neighborhood. If Hastings was moved to the McCoy road lot, and Jones students are off site at the Hastings building on Reed, it would not be as big of a strain.
				I like having a secure, separate entrance for after hours activities, I like that moving the gym and auditorium out of the middle of our school creates a bunch of new space on our limited footprint. I like music moving down to the first floor and hopefully as close to the performance space as possible. On behalf of the related arts team at Jones, they would like to request all related arts classrooms to be on the first floor of the building as we all have a lot of supplies being regularly brought into the building and several of us transition between classrooms throughout the day. The PE team has also requested that all gym support needs to be closer to the gym, perhaps by swapping the cafeteria space.	I would like to again request an option that respectfully rebuilds the facade extended out to at least the current flagpole on the mallway to gain more internal space on our limited footprint. I believe the facade can be lovingly rebuilt as an homage to our past while giving attention to the fact that we need more room--a facade should not keep us from being able to build our school the size it needs to be for our future.
Prefer repair option		Prefer repair option. I won't consider another unless I can see a cost comparison.		Prefer repair option. I won't consider another unless I can see a cost comparison.	
I am not sure as a tax payer why i am being asked to pay for daycare site. This is no longer a UA school. The land should be sold unless this plan brings this property back as an elementary school.		I am totally against land swap. Rehab is only option that makes sense. Other plans put parking as far from front of building as they can. Need parking by front entrance. For security and bus traffic. Reed traffic already a mess. 3rd option moves traffic issues to Thompson park area. These schools land and parks are used every season. Swap will block all soccer fields for up to 3 years.	Traffic? Parking? Seems like same people who built community center did this plan. Sporting event parking?	Jones is historic site, how can you destroy. I would support keeping facade and rehab back of school or add addition. Agree that sport seating should be same as Hastings. Rip down concrete monstrosity.	Again traffic and parking. Same idiot who built community center with nowhere to park built these plans. This isnt NY, we have cars, plan for it.

Rebuild	I have had 4 children at Burbank. It is IMPERATIVE that you do a rebuild but think about the children in the process. Drop off and pick up. Little young children carrying backpacks. The current set up is not disability friendly at all.	Rebuild Option C	I worry about doing grade level "neighborhoods". The neighborhoods are hard at the elementary levels with kids that are on IEP's and the bathrooms for boys being in one neighborhood and then girls in another neighborhood. Please consider kids that are at different grade level classes, IEP's etc	Renovate option A	Thank you for asking us
It seems the most economical option (Renovate or Rebuild) should prevail for Burbank as there are fewer physical constraints. Also, Burbank, while important to the district (and my alma mater), it does not serve students in the whole district as HMS and JMS do.	Please work with the City of UA to confirm long term plans for the adjacent park land next to Burbank to align with city's master plan and be available for future needs (i.e. field sports, walking paths, etc.)	I favor rebuilding Hastings. It seems premature whether A, B or C is best. While McCoy Rd is compelling and potentially less disruptive during the construction period, there seems to be adequate land at Hastings, to include City property, to develop a great new school	Install turf field!	I respect the historical tradition and design of Jones and believe it to be architecturally significant to the historic district. Preserving the history and uniqueness of Jones is a worthwhile investment for Upper Arlington. Rebuild it in a way that will last 100 years.	Install turf field!
				The presentation reads as if the school will be closed and students will be going to an offsite location that is to be determined for two years? If true, this is very unattractive. Off siting these kids will be wildly unpopular. Rebuild seems like the best option but holding on the old facade seems/location seems like an unnecessary cost.	Why is "flipping" the track and school not an option? This seems like it could avoid off siting students and the cost of retaining the historic facade. All of the current proposed Jones plans seem cost prohibitive purely to retain historic feel. Understand the importance of history/ties to the past but the costs associated with this seem unnecessary.
I like the rebuild option for Burbank. I used to work there and the current building often floods when there is a lot of rain. I don't think renovating would fix that and it is a very old building.	I love the current set-up of the playgrounds and would love to keep a nice area for the kids to play and ride bikes.	I like rebuild option B the best. I find it interesting to relocate to Thompson Park. That is a great alternative also. I don't think renovating would be best due to the gym currently not having AC and the building being older.		I like the rebuild option. I would love to have the new outside be stone similar to today but don't think keeping the existing exterior is necessary as it is costly.	I don't like having windows that don't open. I love windows for natural light, but want them to also be functional especially if the AC or heat were to break. Also, I don't think garage doors are necessary but maybe in the LC to keep areas open or closed for more kids to come in.
The style this building was made in was not made for children this age. I think at minimum renovating to add in what we need is important. However, looking at these it seems it would work best to build new due to issues with fitting what we would need into this building to accommodate for the children. I also like the idea of having space to expand if needed. This is a great school and could use more space for future students					
Renovate	Renovate	Renovate A or B	Renovate A or B	Renovate A or B	Renovate A or B
Too confusing. Spend what is appropriate for the future.	Be frugal	Rebuild opinion A makes best sense with parking lot between. Why remodel and have to do again in 10 years!	No	Keeping just the historical front is mandatory for history but everything else must go!	No

		Both renovation options and the repair options seem like a nightmare for students and teachers. I also don't think the money would be used effectively used on repair or renovation options because the state of the current building is beyond repair. I think it would be regrettable to try and save what is there now.	I really like rebuild option C. I think it would cause the least disruption for students during the build process.		
Renovate option		Rebuild option A		Renovate option A	
Not enough info on cost to have it be meaningful		Don't run Thompson Park! Terrible idea. The existing structure is in really bad shape and needs more than basic repairs	I prefer rebuild option A or B	No option	no
I like that it isn't changing the location or impacting things outside of the school environment.	I understand that it is a dual program with public and private funded students, but I think it will be a tough pill for the community to swallow to fund a private preschool renovation with public funds. Can this be decoupled from the middle school projects?	Anxious about renovate options with students in the building. From both a parent and teacher perspective, that makes me anxious.			
I don't have a preference	No	My son goes there and the building is very old. I strongly favor rebuilding. I don't care which option but would favor having more parking and whatever can get done most quickly.	The current building is just so old and has small windows and low ceilings. I really hope they can get a new one	I think rebuilding seems better so they have a nice building with high ceilings like the high school	No
I like the rebuild option as it means the Burbank kids won't be displaced from their school while the building happens. Plus it is less time to rebuild than to renovate. Also, seems like starting from scratch would be an easier, cleaner option, and maybe even less expensive than a renovation.	Make sure there is enough parking for not only the school but events at Burbank park. Burbank park has a really tiny parking lot and many people overflow to the Burbank school lot.	I like rebuild option A - the parking stays similar to where it is now providing easy access to all areas of the campus. Hastings kids could move into the new building and Jones kids could use the old Hastings building while Jones gets renovated, providing room for everyone.		Just go for the rebuild. That building is so old and compartmentalized, it seems like the renovation would be difficult and would not yield the results that the community expects. Would be amazing if we could save the historical facade from the front of the building though - it is beautiful and makes the Mallway park a nice place to be.	Make sure tennis courts are including somewhere - those tennis courts are utilized at all hours by many people!
				Rebuild! The time students need to relocate is the same as the renovation options, and it will leave us with a much needed complete overhaul. It will provide our community with a school we can grow into and allow all students to enjoy the benefits of a new building.	
Renovate would help modernize without going through a full process which would be ideal		A rebuild option would be best here as the current parking and traffic set up is dangerous and crowded. We live two houses down from the parking lot and it is a nightmare anytime there is traffic around the school pushing the school back on the current property would give more room and less congestion	Please also consider the impacts to Reed Road Park and Waterpark during and after any construction. This is a very popular neighborhood park and would hate for that to suffer due to construction or a new building.		
Like renovate option. Don't think rebuild is warranted for a school that is not voluntary.		I would choose either the Renovate Options or Rebuild Options B-C	advanced learning methodologies and innovative classroom designs		
		Like B best for keeping current location, no addt land swap costs		Rebuild. Both middle schools deserve new schools with ideal environments	
		I choose B			
Playground needs to be updated		Definitely rebuilding least expensive of those options and less transition for kids		Revenote to keep the building as the original high school.	

I like the rebuild options. I feel that it gives the school a fresh start and provides our kids with the best options				I like the rebuild option. Jones has been renovated so many times now, this building needs to be torn down and started fresh. I like the idea of keeping the front look though if that is important to the community.	
				Dislike students being off site for two academic years. Why wouldn't they use modular classrooms on site or by stadium instead of "offsite"?	
Of the 3 buildings in this phase, Burbank is the in the best shape I think it would be best to repair or renovate this building. The rebuild option will likely add cost and could possibly derail other more needed rebuilds in the other buildings	If the rebuild was to happen, would a land swap work and the building be relocated near another elementary or middle or even the high school?	Rebuilding in Option C would be the most ideal. It provides more parking and more access to the park. It also moves away from the Pool. Rebuilding or renovating on the current space still has all of the same parking and congestion issues		With the historical features of Jones, it would be great to renovate, but with the age and deterioration, a full rebuild is likely required. None of these middle schools can be repaired.	
				I don't like that the students will have to be off site for 2 years with any renovation or rebuild options. Where will they be? If they are not in walking distance to our house, as Jones currently is, will transportation be provided? I like that the rebuild option has options for public usage and that all options will keep the historical facade.	Jones is my polling location. Would that be moved, as well, during renovation or rebuild options?
Very hard to compare options when the costs for the renovate and rebuild options are still TBD. Would be helpful to at least see a not to exceed number. The rebuild option planning for future growth seems appropriate but would also expect to see that in the renovation project planning.		Every hard to compare without understanding the costs. Moving the building would be the least desirable as it takes away from public park space.		Would be nice to get more detail on the offsite location for students during the construction.	How might we keep the facade of the building while creating a new structure.
I don't like spending \$13M with next to no programmatic improvement. I would prefer to spend a bit more so the building will be both programmaticaly suitable and in good physical condition. I prefer the Renovate Option, unless it ends up being cheaper to Rebuild.		I prefer Rebuild Option A, however, I'm concerned that the district will have no choice but to use the "old" Hastings building to house Jones students for 1.5-2 years while Jones is being addressed, and it will create a lot of traffic and pressure on that community, on 5-Points during that time. I see the benefit of Option C for that reason, and I think it better balances the green space throughout our community.		I prefer Rebuild Option, because it is the best layout for modern teaching with natural daylight penetrating all classrooms. Renovate Option B has too many classrooms with no daylight, floor after floor. I also really like the clarified circulation - a racetrack with a central spine will be so easy to navigate. I believe you could replicate the western facade or keep it if the community is outraged, and still achieve this layout. I also really like the "golden bear blvd" style cafeteria in both those plans.	Is there a way to incorporate the program of The Hub into one of the new MS buildings to free up that property for parking or tennis? I know it was recently opened, but the added cost to replace might be worth the flexibility it would create in this tight site.
3 summers for rebuild? Will you Be relocating the summer programs?	Original building was not built for the current use of building. The new footprint probably does	Option C brings solutions to traffic and other obstacles of current location.		While I appreciate this buildings architecture and age. I am not sure we could actually renovate it up to standards for a current school	

Repair, not everyone utilizes UA preschools and daycare. We shouldn't have to pay for this.		Moving Hastings to Thompson park is an awful idea. Residents love that park and purchased homes because it's close to a park, not a middle school. We have lost enough green space in UA due to development. We should not lose anymore. Only a repair option should be considered at this time.	Hastings is not that old	Jones is a historic building. It is beautiful and an example of old architecture that we should preserve. It needs repaired but not renovated or rebuilt.	
Rebuild		I like option c. I think that would help decompress traffic at the current location.		Rebuild	
Repair only option. There is not a need to build new for a school not everyone in the community uses.	Repair only. Minimal cost.	Minimal cost should be priority one. I have only reviewed the slides but justifying a new building due to low ceiling heights and the HVAC cost seems like a stretch. If you want my vote to approve I would need to see a better justification. The new highschool shows leaks and signs of needed repairs. If that's the care we are taking with building I would also vote no.	A new building doesn't seem to be needed.	Minimal cost should be priority one	Minimize cost should be priority one.
Repair, less cost for those that do not utilize the building.	This is a choice based enrollment. More substantial renovations should be supported by tuition increases.			Rebuild	Rebuilding/renovating and removing space to allow for courtyards is counter productive, utilize natural light where available, larger windows and put support areas where there is less light. Students change classes every 45 minutes, there is not a need to have windows/natural light in every single room, it just seems like a waste of space when it will eventually be needed for more classrooms.
Dislike the unknown cost		I only like the repair option.	Moving the building anywhere outside its current footprint will dramatically impact the residents in those areas.		
The rebuild option seems the safest with all parking consolidated to one location (not in back). Renovating would just be a bandaaid that would have a finite lifespan.	It is my understanding that the necessity of this building and its for few preschool is government mandated. I question how the district runs a for few preschool yet uses public funds for it.	I like that rebuild option c takes the least amount of time to complete. I like that rebuild option A seems to allow for maximum green space. Repair and renovate options do not seem fiscally responsible.		Jones facade is beautiful. Having had kids recently in the building, I hope others in the community can see that the beauty is skin deep and the building is not functional and needs to be rebuilt. I do not like any of the options to repair or renovate. The fiscally responsible and long term responsible options must be rebuilding. I love the courtyard in the rebuild option, daylight and maybe outdoor access for students would be great!	Parking at Jones has always been an issue, I'm not sure how it could be addressed, but it should be thought about.
I think Burbank is in need of major renovation or rebuild.			Traffic is difficult during pick up an drop off. If the building is rebuilt, please take traffic patterns into consideration.		
Repair options seem like the logical choice. The other two options seem unnecessary when tax payers dollars could go towards something more important and efficient.	Repair only.	I like the repair option. The other rebuild and repair options seem unnecessary and a money grab from taxpayers for non essential priorities. The main objective of the renovation and rebuild is parking and ceilings heights for " lower" hvac costs. I dislike every option besides the repair.	Focus on repairs to the school buildings. Stop wasting taxpayer dollars for unnecessary renovations or rebuilds.	I like the repair option only. Just like all the other proposals for the other schools the renovation and rebuilds are absolutely unnecessary. Repairs seem like the most efficient and logical option.	The repair options for every school proposed is the most efficient option. Every other option is unnecessary.

		I like the option of moving the school to Thompson Park. I think it is the least disruptive option for kids and allows Jones to utilize Hastings while it is being renovated.	I think if renovation is completed instead it would have to include a 3rd story addition to accommodate the growing population of students at Hastings.		
	I like the most cost effective plan to repair. The costs to repair HMS and JMS seem much higher so a renovate or a rebuild option seem to make more sense.	I like Rebuild Option B that doesn't require a land swap. I don't like the location of Thompson Park for Rebuild Option C.		I like the rebuild option to make the flow better and every student and staff's day-to-day flow easier. The ability to have after hours entry make the most sense. I like the three grade levels on different floors. I like the outdoor areas that could even be reserved as outdoor classrooms at times! This makes the most sense for the needs of the future.	Is it possible to have an ADA accessible front door off the mallway?
Without the internet to provide enrollment for many/most preschooler (all options too small), I dislike the much higher cost for rebuild.	There is limited community use of the EC program. It does not feel fiscally prudent to rebuild. Repairs are preferred.	No schools needs a gym dedicated just to wrestling. That fits firmly in wants category.	Is the new site the current Thompson Park? There are already too few soccer fields/open park areas in UA.	I like preserving the facade in all options and providing a safer entrance.	More informal gathering space outdoors in all options. Middle schoolers linger.
Cost is a big factor and is not being estimated on the Rebuild or renovate it is hard to give an apples to apples comparison without this information.	This is not one of the primary schools for UA, it is hard to get behind a work project without understanding the need and what it brings to school children in the community.	Option C minimizes interruptions due to complete rebuild on different site. Pushes school more into center of community served by school. Option B would be the best on the current site based on rebuild with no land swap.	Assuming similar cost would prefer rebuild to renovate.	Jones is a historical site and should be preserved as much as possible. Only a renovation of the current building should be considered affecting the inside and preservation of the outside. The auditorium is also classic and should be preserved as much as possible.	This site will draw the most consideration from the community and needs to be addressed the most delicately and conservatively.
					I see the various options have an established gym, music rooms, auditorium, and stage. The other related arts classes are listed as such. Is there going to be a classroom with multiple kitchens and another room for sewing and will they have easy access to bring in supplies? The tech ed room should be on the first level for the ease of brining in supplies on a regular basis not to mention the need of ventilation for machines plus all the space to house tools. Are there going to be science labs- should be bigger than other classrooms. How about a tech room designed for computer science, robotics, and any other new and innovated technology coming out? Need student stations for collaboration and multiple screens for teacher projection so all students can see the demonstration of code. Just noticing how the plan options seem to list the bigger rooms by name/subject. The subjects I mentioned also require bigger areas but the spots have not been designated for them yet. Thanks for your time!
I don't feel like much of the district uses Burbank- and it's mostly a daycare with some preschool offerings. I do not see the need to rebuild it.	Repair options	Do not like moving it to Thompson Park. Would like to see it stay where it is.	Repair it	I like the new gym in n the tennis courts. But the rat is not needed	Repair it
Renovate. Save money to rebuild the other schools	None at this time	Rebuild Option C. Start over with more land and better options. Revamp new park on hastings original property. Even update the reed road pool!	I would consider a new reed road pool for sure. That place is a dump.	Rebuild!	Again start fresh and make it a new concept for the new generation.

I dislike the rebuild option	will we need this to be an elementary school again rather than early childhood that can't serve the whole community?	I don't like the idea of moving the school closer to neighbors and putting the track/field at a prominent location on Reed Rd. I prefer the renovate options.	if relocate - what is new use of old Hastings site? traffic considerations at Thompson Park location?	I'm happy to see we won't lose the tennis courts. I appreciate preserving at least the historic facade - though would maybe go a little farther and save more of the original and older front. Prefer repair or renovate.	Where would students be when 'off site'?
I like the option to remodel Burbank. The building usually always has issues because it is so old.	I wouldn't put carpet in any of the classrooms as these kids are still being potty trained and can be very messy.	Rebuild option B seems to be the better option. The middle schools should also have the chance to have a nicer brand new building	Less carpet in classrooms and more storage areas for things around the buildings (Tables, chairs, ect)	Jones rebuild is needed.	Less carpet and more storage. Also each school needs an actual cafeteria and not like the boulevard at the high school.
The duration of the projects (renovation and rebuilding) are long, may disrupt the school session, especially for younger students. Repair seems ok in terms of cost and duration.	Consider more extensive repair, or something between repair and renovation	Rebuild C seems to have the most efficient construction phase, although it has the drawback of land swap. For renovation, plan B seems to have similar benefits as plan A but without additional land swap cost.			
Repair	There is no way that this school needs 13 million in repair. This is a tactic to make a new build sound like the better idea. Performance needed repairs. This is a nice building.	Repairs or renovate.	Again this repair cost are so wildly inflated. The building need updates. It has been neglected for years. Add heat ac to gym.	Renovate. Inflated repair costs scare tactic to persuade to rebuild. Necessary repairs maybe a 1/4 of that costs	
Option 1- repair. This is very difficult to decide when there are no cost estimates for all options.		I like the land swap and rebuild on Thompson park- this will have less impact during the construction.		Please do not go with a modern school design for the exterior- Jones has a charming and beautiful look to it now from the outside. Please look at how Worthington handled their rebuild.	
Cost. Cost Cost. Pick the lowest option	Now is not the time	Cost is a concern. Very much like the idea of moving to new location	no	Repair option. Building is very nice just needs repairs	no
This building serves a small population of students, the repair option feels the most appropriate					
Rebuild since ceilings are low and roof is flat.		Rebuild B		Rebuild. Keep facade and demo the rest. It's full of mold and bugs.	
		Do not like distance from parking lot to building for rebuild B. Would prefer A or C	Would like office more centrally located to grade level teams.		
		I do not support a full rebuild only a renovation. We have spent way too much money extra extravagantly rebuilding all of our schools. During such difficult times for so many, please consider the most economical option right now	Please consider the best renovation that would make the school safe. They do not need to be extravagant rebuilds. Our home values have gone up in our taxes are already extremely high.	Jones has already gone through a renovation. I only support a repair option.	we spent too much money rebuilding all the schools. The community cannot afford to do more at this time.
Repair		Don't move to Thompson Park. Don't move the field.		Repair it.	
				I do not like the off site for two years issue that comes with rebuild or renovate. Repair option seems best	I am very concerned about the off site situation.

Like the rebuild option as it allows more for growth.	No	For the rebuild options A and B, I like moving the school building (and student drop-off & pick-up areas) away from the southeast corner of the site as the intersection of Reed & Hastings becomes very congested (and often dangerous to students) during the school year. Rebuild option C (Thompson Park) seems like a creative and potentially promising option.	Like that the rebuilds take less time. Like b or c best.	What would happen with Thomson park as it is used for camps, etc. would the park partly relocate to where Hastings used to be.	Not sure about Jones. Would be sad to lose the historic building but know it has a lot of issues and needs a lot of updates.	
						Build a new school where the existing Jones track/ stadium is. Sports teams would have to use Hastings field during construction. Once completed, existing historic Jones building could be converted into city municipal building.
I dislike the cost of rebuilding more schools for community members	that you just rebuilt all the other school and asked the community for a levy	repair option. Rebuilding is too expensive after the last levy			repair please and don't rebuild	
		The traffic on reed rd is crazy right now, moving it to Thompson and adding a park near the school would be ideal. Perfect in the summertime to have a park area next to the pool. I love the rebuild C			I do feel like Jones Middle School needs to be rebuilt in areas. Of course the last option would be the best. But to see the pool go away would be sad. So many neighborhood kids use that pool. It's one of the most popular pools with all the kids and surrounding areas going. It is one of the aspects that I love about our neighborhood that the kids can walk to the pool.	I would hate to see a big modern school be rebuilt in the area of Jones. I think with the surrounding mall area in the neighborhoods so close that I hope the aesthetics blend.
					Having kids off site is a major inconvenience which makes me want repair only.	Will there be bussing for Jones kids to their relocation building?
This needs to be a total rebuild.						As it is a historical building, maintaining some of the history would be value to the neighborhood.
		I dislike rebuild option C. I really am opposed to the idea of taking away from Thompson park for the school				
		Option C seems to be the best. Could help with alleviation of traffic as well.			I think the school has to go with the rebuild option if they want long term use without having to do this same planning in another 10 years	

I feel the cost of the 3 renovations is a lot for the community and renovating Burbank should be pushed down the road. It's a small population and the middle schools should be given priority. Reducing the cost of the bond will make it more likely to pass.	No	I like the idea of moving it to Thompson to help with traffic in that area. With the 3 schools and pool in proximity, it it's dangerous.	No	Moving the parking lot to Coventry will cause more traffic in that area where kids cross the street for recess and gym. This is dangerous as we have had several incidents on Coventry rd already. Increasing traffic in that area seems unnecessary. I dislike moving tennis courts to the parking area. Even if given one less tennis court, they should be in the back near the stadium where new parking is proposed. This would also be more cost effective. The Jones students have minimal outdoor options for recess, while the other schools have basketball courts. You could add a hoop in the tennis courts like Grandview has and then students could play basketball or pickleball during outdoor time instead of just walking the track. Teachers only monitor kids in the stadium area, so they currently can't use the courts at recess. Courts in the back will give kids more outdoor options and allow teachers to monitor the space without increasing traffic.	It would be nice to add more trees to the area, especially after the loss of the large trees on the S Mallway. Adding some columnar and larger trees around the perimeter of the field and the current parking lot would provide more shade.
Design layout for rebuild separates academic areas but not articulated why this is necessary.	Consider a courtyard space that's enclosed for gardening and outdoor play, experiences, read aloud, shaded play space.	Centralized parking for the pool, athletic fields and close to the main entryway of the school seems ideal for the different times of day and uses of each space. Rebuild A seems best!	Adequate staff parking and proximity needs to be prioritized.	Renovation B has the best layout of the two renovation options. The new build option has an ideal layout too.	The goal of any renovation should be to lessen how confusing the building is to navigate. Minimizing student travel throughout the day should also be considered.
Only one has a cost listed, so what's the point of looking at something that may be unaffordable?		No coats listed. There is no way people will allow you to take over Thompson park, so why are we even discussing. Rebuild option b is the best, but still no cost listed.			
I dislike in the renovation option, it states that the low ceiling height " may mean less efficient new HVAC system". Our current HVAC system is horrible! Several classrooms had no AC in the summer of 2024 or adequate heat in the early winter of 2024-2025. The poor air circulation, I feel is responsible for so many students and teachers constantly being ill. The age of this building warrants a complete replacement. It was build during times where asbestos was used and I have been told that it stills exists in the current building.	Although I'm relatively new to the District, I do wonder why PreK classes were never included in the District's Elementary Schools. I don't think the Community sees Burbank as much more than a Daycare, which may be a very hard sell when requesting a complete rebuild-which truly is the option that I feel is needed.	I like the Renovation option B.	None that I can think of.	I like Renovation option B.	None that I can think of.
		Option C can occur more quickly. Rebuilds allow for redesign/rethink of class size space. The opportunity to create spaces for larger classes/groups to work together.			
Do the rebuild—best for longevity		Rebuild B preferred—I'm not sure about the move to take over Thompson park		Please rebuild! I cannot even fathom how to update that school without essentially rebuilding it!	

That as UA tax players to send kids there that live in the district homeowners still have to pay tuition. Feel if live in district children should be sent there for early childhood considering tax dollars go there. I know not much but they do.	Since tax dollars going there anyways and didn't access school when could cause tuition was twice surrounding other preschools what does it matter.	Swap it. Absolutely HATE Hastings pick up and drop off.	Use what \$ going to use and just swap it already. Makes the most sense.	It's been band-aided for so so many years just raze it already.	If off site is Hastings then yeah logistics make the most sense to rebuild it. It's the oldest.
Renovation option seems best.	Safety first.	Rebuild Option A	Please build a traditional auditorium and traditional interior and exterior. Build something classic looking, NOT modern.	Renovate Option A	Parking and traffic flow.
Like the idea of a clean start, where offices are not buried.	What would be done with the empty space where the visitor parking currently is in the rebuild option?	Would prefer to avoid the additional costs of land swaps, if they aren't necessary to meet goals.			
Renovation seems appropriate and fiscally responsible.	Cost and clear indication of levy should be articulated. There also should be a plan to reduce overall property taxes and so the school district should work with the state. Can there be a capital composing to raise money through philanthropy?	Time duration is too long for all options. Can these be accelerated? Can the building design be improved to make it architecturally more appealing for the rebuilds?	Cost and clear indication of levy should be articulated. There also should be a plan to reduce overall property taxes and so the school district should work with the state. Can there be a capital composing to raise money through philanthropy?	Historic look and fit to surrounding area should be preserved.	Cost and clear indication of levy should be articulated. There also should be a plan to reduce overall property taxes and so the school district should work with the state. Can there be a capital composing to raise money through philanthropy?
Rebuild is preferred option, but renovate is okay. I worry about building safety and security with the current set up, so repair is out. There needs to be a secure entrance and landing area. Also, current doors directly into classrooms are worrisome by outdoor play area. The building is pretty dark and dated, and improved circulation needs to be addressed.	If repair is chosen, please think about safety and security of entrances and glass doors and windows. This needs addressed in any plan.	Rebuild C seems like the most efficient with less interruption for students. I don't mind the other rebuild options, but think less frontage by houses would be better. After seeing the parking situation at the high school, I think the options with ample parking need to be prioritized. I think if we are doing facilities improvement, we should renovate or rebuild. Repair just kicks it down the road.	McCoy and Lane are busier roads and that option moves it away from the pool. I don't have experience or strong opinions on that, but is a consideration	Renovate B or Rebuild are preferred. This building is old and in need of big updates. I just don't think repair is enough.	The historic nature of the building being the old high school. Good enough to keep the facade in my opinion, but I didn't grow up in UA and don't have attachment to that building. Parking again needs to be considered and provided for.
				Like maintaining the historic front with any design. Dislike the amount of time students will be displaced. This will affect the entirety of middle school years for at least one of my children.	As a graduate of Jones, I really like the balcony seating in the old gym. I wonder if it could be incorporated into a new gym design as a nod to the past. The inside of Jones has become so discordant with the historical outside. I would love to see the interior design reflect a bit more of the history.
We need a whole new building, our current one is outdated and needs serious repairs. So new clean slate would be better and students and staff	New bathrooms for students-size appropriate and possibly in between pod rooms, and new bathrooms for staff. Storage for teacher supplies and belongings. More natural lighting in the classrooms. A material/manipulatives space and a break room for staff				
		Horrrified at the thought of option c rebuild	Thompson is a beautiful park and I wouldn't want it disrupted		
		Repair. Property taxes are already brutal after reassessment and increase for the previous project. To spend needlessly when repair is viable would be to invite rejection at ballot box.		Repair. Property taxes are already brutal after reassessment and increase for the previous project. To spend needlessly when repair is viable would be to invite rejection at ballot box.	
Burbank does not need to be rebuilt. Almost \$14,000,000 for repairs? Seems very high. Who is paying for this?	Taxes are so high in UA. A pre school should operate with fun play areas and good teachers. You don't need all the extras in this building like a cafe.	Land swap? Where? Sounds like this would be a mess for a long time when it really isn't needed. Repairs would be a better option with much less disruption to everyone.	Cost	Jones is a historical, beautiful building. You should not rebuild it. It's already had a new gym. The bones are fine. Repairs to this one for sure.	Historical significance. cost.

Although upgrades, such as more windows would be nice, they are not absolutely necessary at this time. The classrooms feel cozy and well decorated by the kids art. The best part of Burbank is outdoor playground, so I am glad that will remain in all the Options. The rebuild option seems too excessive at this time, but will likely be needed in the next 10 years.		Hastings is an aging school and the repair costs are too high, so it needs to get rebuilt. The best option seems to be rebuild option B because of the new building, more parking with easy access from the lot to the school and track and the public pool. I don't really see the point of turning the track vertically. I also don't think it's a good idea to do any kind of land swap, especially to Thompson Park because the school would take over half the park and traffic would become even crazier on McCoy, which is already dangerous to drive on or cross on foot, especially at peak traffic times.	Land swapping is expensive. Taking land away from Thompson Park diminishes the size of that park which many people come to enjoy for sports and other activities. I don't like rebuilding option A because the classrooms face multiple homes.	Although the building looks really cool on the outside, the inside is cramped and bug infested. Therefore, the best option is to rebuild the whole structure instead of just half of it (like in renovate option B).	There needs be more parking, but don't know where to put it. The tennis courts have also disappeared, which is unfortunate and I am unsure that their location on the mallway would work. Could the new tennis courts be in a shared the space with the Barrington playground?
		I like Renovate Option A. It seems to not be as intrusive as some of the other options. I do not like the idea of moving Hastings to Thompson Park.		I like Renovate Option B, with how it adds windows to some rooms that don't have them. The final result seems like it would be a lot cleaner than Renovate Option A.	
I like the rebuild option vs the others because there is so much change that needs to happen including all rooms having windows and bathrooms.	Not that I can think of.				
They all seem to be reasonable improvements. It is not possible to determine which option provides the greatest long-term value without knowing cost for each option.	A critical criterion for evaluating the cost effectiveness is to have cost data and the estimated usable building life expectancy and maintenance cost for each option.	The main advantage to Repair Option is that there is no construction phasing to close the facility. The advantage to Renovate is that it doesn't require site construction phase. The advantage of Rebuild Option A over Rebuild Option B is that more ample and conveniently located parking is between the school and the field. The advantage of Rebuild Option C over the other rebuild options is that it has a shorter construction time line and the new site is more centrally located within UA. An additional advantage of Rebuild Option C is that the building doesn't directly face residences.	Again, cost effectiveness and life span of options are needed to make relative decisions.	All of the options seem reasonable	
Rebuild Burbank - we should invest in our youngest learners. That population is growing.	No	Any of the rebuild options This is a lot of options to provide to the general public who has not been involved in the process. These types of decisions should be made by a group of experts just like the first round of UA building levy renovations. Experts should say what the kids and buildings need and then the district needs to sell it to the public.	The UA community is supportive of our schools philosophically, but hesitant with levies and building renovations. It was not easy to pass the last levy. Past levy's have failed.	Rebuild	Please read responses from prior answer
Taxes are very high. Costs need to be considered and without that information it's hard to evaluate		Without costs it's hard to evaluate- but Hastings needs an upgrade		A classic building - but costs must be considered	

		I Like the rebuild options in the current location(A and B). I do not like the rebuild C. Residents like the current location of the building with many families having walkers, bike riders relying on the current location. Renovate and repair options seem less desirable for the cost based on the age and appearance of the building.		I like the repair option. Appearance of the building already in better condition than Hastings and residents like the old building. Rebuild options seem unnecessary.	
I am interested in minimizing costs for this option. It's not a public daycare, and not free and open to all families.		Hastings clearly needs a lot of work. The rebuild options seem to be the most logical options at this point.	Where do students go during the construction?	I think repairs are not enough to get Jones modernized. The renovate options seem to get most things we need without a complete rebuild. Overall, I like renovate B. I think that providing daylight to most spaces should be a priority. However, I'm questioning the cafeteria design in renovate B and Rebuild- it's so long and skinny. Would that feel awkward for the students?	Where will the students go during construction?
				Jones is a historical building of UA and can't be torn down to rebuild. If this is needed for the school requirements I would suggest rebinding somewhere else and repurpose the building. If renovation means taking away outdoor activity areas again relocate the school somewhere else. If the existing building is simply not big enough maybe an additional building is needed using adjacent areas.	Renovating the building internally maybe add an additional floor. Build and sports facility in the parking lot and reconfigure the building internally.
I care about what happens inside a school between the students and the faculty. I don't care what the buildings look like.	There needs to be some sort of property tax assistance for seniors. I live in a small condo, am in a fix income and cannot afford another big tax increase.				
The cost is extremely high for just the repair option. I can't imagine what the cost would be for the renovate and rebuild options! I don't like how your team is not being transparent about estimated costs and builder options and ability to review proposals for all options.	The repair option is ridiculously too high. The team should seek at least 3-5 quotes to compare against.	Just needs the repair option and get several more quotes to compare against. The cost proposed is ridiculous.	Get more quotes and be transparent who the quotes are from and allow the public to vote on the 3-5 quotes for the repair option. The buildings work fine and do not need to be rebuilt. It's a waste of taxpayer money!	Same comments as before. Needs several more quotes for the repair option.	Get more quotes on the repair option! This is a classic historic building in UA and works fine. Does not need to be rebuilt. Stop wasting taxpayer dollars!

Burbank operates on a private tuition model, the School District has no business operating the facility or asking for new tax money. Disgraceful	Sell the land and building to fund repairs at the middle schools	The District has no business jockeying to build on our largest park, this idea is absurd and not realistic	Yes, Lauri Trent should resign. The School Board gave up \$3MM of annual, recurring real estate tax revenue when they approved Continental's excessive TIF public subsidies. She has continued to blame Steve Schoeny (employed by City) for driving the decision making. This while the District only secured a \$50K consideration payment for their consent, and since then 30 new students (living at the abated apartments) have enrolled in the district, costing District \$500K extra expense, with no corresponding income. Leadership is incompetent and incapable of understanding the decisions they make - disgraceful in a community like ours. Look in the mirror, you are the problem	When District Leadership engages a hammer (architect) and lets them dictate this 'transparent process', everything looks like a nail (opportunity to generate highest fees and bilk the taxpayer). It's a shame no one is smart enough to figure that fact pattern out.	The fact that you don't even include a rough estimate of costs for the various options shows just how unserious and unqualified you people are to drive \$100MM+ decisions.
Repair. It should not be a cost burden to taxpayers, as the majority of households do not benefit from the school. It is not an educational opportunity for all UA students (fee-for-service). If it functioned like a typical elementary school, I might support investing community dollars. Plus, the building isn't that old compared to Hastings and Jones. It would be irresponsible to tear it down because the cafeteria and offices are "too dark." Very wasteful!		Repair seems unlikely and inadequate due to the age of the building/HVAC. Renovation seems like a wise use of resources. The building itself is "dated" aesthetically, but that's not a solid reason to trash the materials. Renovation with the goal of keeping what is usable seems prudent. However, if the cost of renovation exceeds rebuild considerably, then it likely makes more sense to rebuild.	Parking seems like a silly reason to choose one option over another.	Please do not tear down the building. Keep the architectural integrity.	Seriously consider wants vs needs. Do certain things need to be done? Yes. But please be responsible with how taxpayer dollars are being spent.
Repair option is best. Very small percentage of UA residents use Burbank. Will be asking for a lot of money for middle schools. Need it to pass. High Burbank costs will cause to fail		Hastings needs to be rebuilt. Option B is best option. Option C would help construction phasing which is also worth considering		Renovate option B and Rebuild are very similar. I would prefer option B. Construction phasing will be difficult.	
Renovate is what's sensible as building may be old but fulfill the needs of the community	No	I think the repair is best because it is still very new and nice Renovate. Everything else is too costly.	No	I think you should rebuild, Jones is kinda a dump right now Renovate to keep cost down	
		I like the land swap to Thompson Park area which puts the middle school on a major road and potentially frees up congestion during school arrival and dismissal. It also moves it away from a major school like Wellington for the same reason. Putting it in Thompson area allows for flexibility in the long term and potential growth of Reed Rd water park. Also, it puts a buffer from neighbors that the other options don't have.			

		I like rebuild option B the best. Moving the school seems pretty extreme however maybe moving it away from Wellington could also be helpful for traffic.		Rebuild seems like the most logical however this decision is greatly impacted by where the children would be going to school during those three years.	I heard they were moving the Metro School at Kinnear and Kenney, possibly a temporary school option for Jones kids??
I like the rebuild option shows mPR at the front entrance. Could schools recoup money by renting out these spaces for community to use? Rest of the buildings could be blocked for access.	With all schools, is it possible to keep MPR accessible for the community to rent out for sports? Clubs? Etc.	The school should stay on same premises. Please do not take away part of a park. This in my opinion is the best park in UA. Please don't change it!	The parking is best in the middle ,between the school and the football field. Still lines up with the waterpark as well.	I like the gym,cafeteria,stage is all separate from class rooms. Easy access for after school hours activities.	Maybe letting these after hours spaces to be rented out for community to use, in addition to parks and rec. rentals spaces?
I like renovate, I think more students should have access to Burbank, but it is not an option for 100% of UA students like the elementary schools are.		Rebuild option C bc the congestion around the current Hastings location is a nightmare. McCoy Rd is set up better for larger traffic patterns		Rebuild Option bc I believe Jones is beyond repair. They layout is not ideal as is as well as the mold & other issues with the current building.	
		I am very much against using Thompson Park. Please don't destroy this community rec space.	Do not use Thompson Park!		Please honor our history and keep the existing structure. Preserving history is worth the additional cost.
Renovate option maintains current amenities with the potential for future growth. I believe that the future addition should be built with the renovations.		Rebuild option A maintains green spaces best.		The focus on Jones should be on preserving the historical facade as much as possible while updating and optimizing the space for the benefit of current students & teachers and preparing for future growth. Renovate option A seems the best for this purpose.	
				I like renovate b option. It's a historic building and should be saved while modernizing the interior.	
My children, nieces, and nephew went to Burbank. I think it's incredible. However, I strongly support the cheapest option of just repairing the school. The preschool is completely optional; it's not required that children attend there like it is for elementary school. To ask taxpayers to fund an optional, tuition-funded school (especially when many families who go to Burbank have one parent who stays at home so they don't need full time care like working families) is unreasonable.	Please don't ask taxpayers to fund a new preschool. That would severely hurt support for other school projects and levies.	My daughter goes to Hastings (as well as other family members) and my son will go to Hastings. It's a great school. I strongly support the cheapest option. Taxpayers are simply burned out. While our tax rate is competitive compared to similar districts, the housing costs are so high that taxes are becoming unbearable. And the taxes are astronomical compared to most of the country that has county-wide school systems.	Please choose the cheapest option and only make necessary repairs. Asking taxpayers to chip in more when we already pay \$10,000/year in taxes for a modest house is more than most people can bear. UA often wonders how to attract new families. Instead of shiny schools, focus on making it more affordable. While it is not the school district's fault that school funding is so dependent on property taxes, the school can control how much extra money it asks for and how ostentatious the spending seems.	Again, please choose the cheapest option. Our schools should be pleasant and functional. They do not need to be fancy.	I'm a bit surprised that rebuilding is even being seriously considered. In the many decades I've voted, I have supported every single school levy, but I could never support an increase in taxes for renovated or rebuilt schools when repairing is already quite expensive. Please be reasonable so that taxpayers see the school as responsible stewards of our money.
				We like that the cafeteria will be redone. We think the rebuild option is the best.	
I like that the outdoor play area is maintained throughout these designs.	Considering the costs of repairing, renovating or rebuilding this facility, is it necessary for the school district to be in the day care business?	Moving the school to Thompson Park would be the easiest solution in terms of needing to displace students during construction or renovation.		Jones is in need of a complete makeover for the same reasons that the high school was rebuilt. Keeping the facade would be a bonus and a nod to the building's history.	
		Option C should immediately be dismissed. Our city already has limited park and rec space, and Option C essentially removes 30% of our largest park. Traffic on Woodbridge and Lane Rd is already congested, and the school on that corner would be a logistics nightmare.	No to Option A and C		

		Is Rebuild option C taking away the waterpark? If so, not a fan of that option.	Can the existing footprint be left as is for a rebuild? Maybe add a third story for additional space instead of moving everything around and using more open space/land swapping. It seems like that option would be less costly compared to some others. Thanks!		
Its absurd your asking for feedback without completed budgets for all options	Providing information on what are wish list items and which are required by laws.	For option C you didn't address what your going to do with the existing property nor have you offset the use of Lane road park to another area.	Keeping existing and what the 5 and 10 year costs would be.		
None of the options add to the very limited enrollment. I would choose repair.		I dislike the plan to move the school to Thompson Park. I would renovate Hastings plan B. The school is more modern than Jones and a rebuild seems unnecessary.		Rebuild Jones. The renovation plans don't seem adequate despite likely high costs.	
					Please don't make it gray because I think that will make it sad.
				I do not like the rebuild option. I believe we should maintain the integrity of the historical building. It looks like renovate option B may address some of the issues with a lack of exterior lighting to some classrooms.	The kitchen must have external ventilation in renovate option B.
I think it's unlikely that \$13m in repairs is required to this building.	My kids are aged out and in private school but for this amount of taxes, SACC shouldn't be like the hunger games to get into. What additional services are being provided with the incremental space?	I do not believe that...7 years after the last repair levy...that \$50m is needed to repair that school. I really don't like the land swap with the city that involves taking the south part of Thompson Park. Thompson Park offers one of the largest green spaces in the city which is of use to the entire city. Plus, you will lose additional parking footprint at the park, which is already a mess. The traffic on McCoy and impact to the surrounding neighborhood to have a middle school added there is intense. Plus, you're introducing 2 major roads for kids to cross (Fishingier and McCoy) to get to school in a largely un-bussed city.	You should consider repairing at a much lower expense.	There's no increased classroom or technology spaces or eye to future development needs.	Repairing within a smaller budget. There has been too much asked of the tax payer in this city. You're messaging the fact that you're collocating like art classes, making the gym more publicly accessible, and adding light to classrooms?
Renovate	No	Renovate	No	Renovate	No
			My main concern is that this be done in an environmentally friendly way. Further, do we really need a spectacular building, or could we achieve the same learning results by paying teachers more (thus attracting better teachers)? I don't discount the value of appropriate architecture and design for accessibility and boosting learning, but perhaps it would be more effective to focus on other factors that play into this, as well.		

				I prefer the renovate options to keep the integrity of the structure and architecture and use what we can that exists	Safety always. For the kids and staff. Security and protected classrooms from any possible threat or active shooter. It's absurd to think it can't happen to us and make every classroom a fishbowl to put everyone on display.
		Repair should not be an option. It's hard to truly weigh the the pros and cons of the renovation options without seeing more details of what that would be (architectural designs, cost, ect.). Rebuild Option A creates a better parking/traffic flow than Rebuild Option B. Rebuild Option C creates a faster pathway to total completion when paired with the renovation options for Jones MS. I would assume that old Hastings would become the temporary space for the Jones students during their construction process. If Option C is selected for Hastings, Jones & Hastings would avoid being on the same property for 2+ academic years... this would create less stress and traffic on the neighbors to the current Hastings.			Is there an option to rebuild and new Jones on the existing football field site? Renovate the current Jones to house the programming currently at the Graf Center, Admin Offices, and similar additional programming/services?
I like the option of renovating rather than repairing or doing a complete redesign. I think it will cause minimal disruptions in childcare options for families. It may also help keep the cost down.		I think Hastings needs to be a complete rebuild. The classrooms are outdated and the flow of the building does not work with the amount of students traveling during the day to each classroom. The location of the building on Reed Road in conjunction with the Wellington school is a traffic disaster during arrival and dismissal. Moving the flow of traffic away from Reed Road or moving the school entirely up to McCoy Road would be an enormous improvement.		As a former student of Jones Middle School and a current parent of students attending Jones, it brings me joy to walk into a building and have a flood of memories from when I was there. However, I know the reality that the current building is not working for the teachers and students who work there every day. I trust those involved in the process. I trust that we will make a decision that will move the school forward in the future while restoring as much history as we can from the original building. My hope is that the exterior structure will have an aesthetic flow from the original 20th century architecture to the future architecture that is chosen.	
The first and second plan seem logical choices and time lines, if you have to tear down a building and start over and remove play areas maybe you need a better plan or architect. Sorry everything can't be new but that doesn't mean it's better.		I dislike choosing options while costs are too high as it be reasonable to offer a window of cost because money in this town although everyone acts like it grows on trees, doesn't. Also land swap can be tricky and costly with historical regulations so I would move to avoid those choices.	Jones needs attention the most and deserves to have an upgrade of rational thought and honesty about modular classrooms that are currently not anticipated which feels as just a cover for when you need them for the council to not be blamed.	If you have to move students for two years with all three choices choose well, so they don't have to be moved more or longer. And make sure you have a staff that is encouraging and positive about the process.	

The repair option is the only to include cost estimates, which impact the decision about moving forward. Rebuilding does not make sense based on the information provided.		No cost estimates for majority of proposals.			
I dislike that that the rebuild option put a potential future addition right where there is play space. Plan for the addition and place the play space accordingly.	School board obviously want the rebuild options since its the only one without obvious negative language. That's a lot of money and I don't think I would vote for anything but the repair option and possible renovation depending on cost.	lol are you suggesting taking away Thompson park to build a new Hastings? I'm sure the NIMBYs will have something to say about that. If I'm being honest I'm not sure I want that either.	I get that you guys want a big shiny new school but in this economy I just don't think I can swing for that. I realize that you don't have costs up but I'm tired of this school system always pushing for more more more.		tennis courts?
			The Thompson Park location seems almost like a red herring, ignoring recent political upheaval in the community when development in park space has been proposed. Thompson Park is not a serious option that should be considered.	The design and size of the existing gym and auditorium space make constructing new for these two portions of the school a logical option. Rebuilding the entire school, and its historic design elements, does not seem ideal despite some of the tradeoffs that come with not having a completely new building.	It would also make sense to me to examine the option of the gym and related facilities being located east of Coventry so that locker rooms in that expansion area could better serve outdoor athletic teams.
Lori Trent needs to resign	This is a private facility that is tuition based, it does not qualify to be supported by taxpayer money. You offer no value, get a clue instead of sucking on the public teet for pet projects.	The idea to desecrate our largest public park is a non-starter. Get a clue	Yes, fire 65% of your administrators. The dramatic rise is administrative positions is not justified and serves only to create inertia and protect the District from liability.	I dislike that your 'advisors' are service professionals that get paid more money on larger projects. What did you think they are incentivized to sell ? The District lacks leadership.	Yes, learn how to negotiate with the City from a position of strength, vs. bending over, tucking your tail and running home. Disgraceful
	Renovate/rebuild is probably better than repair, but where will school operate during the construction period?	Hastings definitely needs a total rebuild. Shortening the construction / disruption time for the rebuild should be a priority, so 6th graders don't spend their entire three years of middle school in a construction zone.		Renovate B or Rebuild are preferable, for lighting/airiness and for public use of gym and auditorium	Where would children be relocated for the two years?
I prefer the repair option first, followed by renovate option.		Yes to renovate option A, with second preferences being renovate option B, followed by rebuild option B. The options to build at Thompson should be eliminated unless Miller Park becomes an option for the Jones rebuild. It is completely inequitable.	It is absolutely absurd to consider losing one of the only northern UA parks for a rebuild option. Thompson is one of the most beautiful and utilized parks in the city, with established trees, a creek, a new playground, a walking path, and open fields for families to enjoy. If Thompson is under consideration for a Hastings rebuild, then Miller Park should be in consideration for the Jones rebuild. It needs to be an equitable plan and impact for northern and southern UA residents.	Preference is repair, followed by renovate option A. There should be an option included here to build this school at Miller Park - as long as there is a consideration to rebuild Hastings at Thompson Park. It has to be an equitable plan and impact to northern and southern UA residents.	There should be an option included to rebuild at Miller Park with the consideration of rebuilding Hastings at Thompson Park. You move one school, you have to move both schools. It has to be an equitable plan and I imagine neither northern nor southern UA residents want to see their schools moved and the loss of their established parks.

		Option C: Best Option! Construction Phasing is Important. The less disruption to students the better. Option C allows for any disruption or delays to not effect students. Plus Option C is not right up against homes. Option A is not enough. Too much money will go into faculties that are lacking in too many things and wont fix some major issues. Option B - too much disruption to students and too close to homes for the new building. delays will disrupt students.	More than one gym floor. That is probably addressed.		
	The population is growing and we have already outgrown the new school buildings. Space has been lost to service our students with additional needs (therapies, IS). Also, none of the schools recently built have the capacity to have a swing for regulation (except the high school, which has 3 swings). The tiny growing bodies need gross motor space to learn in as well. In addition, there are many spaces in the new buildings that are not accessible (heavy doors). The open space cafeteria generates a lot of noise which adds to the sensory overload for the entire building. The open design provides visual overstimulation and auditory overstimulation.				Maintain the historicity of the old building while making the building accessible to all students. The bathrooms are not accessible for students with significant physical disabilities
		All newer school buildings built lately lack natural materials like wood, ceramic tiles, stone flooring, fresh air. For example, even the new high school in Worthington is made almost completely out of plastics which is very bad for the science department. Also, new buildings destroy patrimony.	Improve what we have, cherish it	I love working in Jones because it has character and history; you can see it in the floors, the walls, the wood and stonework; you feel like you're part of history. If the buildings are like the high school, they lack warmth, overly sterile and are not a place to be remembered and cherished, sort of like an airport.	
It's hard to judge without seeing the cost for renovate and rebuild. I like the option to expand in the future in the rebuild option.		I like keeping the school at the site it's currently at just to give that area (the pool and fields) and anchor. I think "B" looks like the best rebuild option. Proper HVAC is critical so not sure how viable that is with renovate/repair options.		The rebuild option probably makes the most sense on a building of that age. It's unclear where students would go during the "off site" years. It would have to be somewhere reasonably close to make sense.	

Repair option is the best. There is no need to reconstruct a building that is only used for preschool. The class sizes are fine- option to go morning, afternoon, or all day so there isn't any worry about it.	Any more than 13 million is a waste to use and could be put forth in other areas of the city and schools.	Repairing seems to be the most cost efficient and works on the current needs of the building. Renovation A is the best option- it adds onto the school; more classrooms. To move the parking lot anywhere else will not be seamless in terms of drop off/pick ups. To add on to the busy street will cause more congestion on Reed Road. It's also an easy access to the pool. Rebuild A works best with what was said above, also for high ceilings. The rest are absolutely ridiculous options.	As someone who grew up on McCoy Rd, to move Hastings so close to St. Andrews will create more traffic for both school drop offs and for the people who live around there. To take away part of Lane Road park- something that those of us who live(d) around there frequent- it would be an environmental and community injustice. You will be cutting down habitats for animals, taking away a walkable area for kids and adults.	renovation is the most cost efficient. It also preserves the tennis courts. Renovation A or B both ideal for larger activity spaces for the kids. B does allow more sunlight into the school, more classrooms, larger auditorium/ stage.	
Rebuild- costly but in the long run it may be saving money		rebuild options both C- costly now, but will save money in the future		Rebuild Option - costly now but will save money in the future	
All too expensive. Many residents I speak to believe the Admin offices there can be housed elsewhere for little additional cost, just space planning. The 'school' is a daycare that costs and educates in same way many good daycares do. Spending any money here is not what I'd do. Sell the building or demolish it if needing to save the real estate for future use. The early childhood/daycare/education function can easily lease space and be profitable given the high rates they charge...NOT something that should be taxpayer subsidized.	Sell, demo and hold for future, close/mothball for future. Do not spend dollars here as this is the tipping point amount that will cause any levy to fail given 30-40% increase in property tax assessed values over last couple of years.	Repair cost is crazy. About \$10 million and you could get HVAC all fixed and also added to gym. The gym being 'not tall enough' is simply a silly construct. New roofs, new windows/doors, new HVAC and bit of electric upgrade and you'd have safe and useful 20 years left in the building.	We paid high price for 40 years prior residents kicking the can down the road...we made this right by voting for High School and Elementary Schools new/renovate not very many years ago. Due to that and the insane high new values to property our taxes have doubled. You can't eat caviar on a ham sandwich budget.....so make a good ham sandwich and this might pass.	Even the repair cost is crazy but the other plans are as if you had an extra few hundred million or you thought a resident in a \$450,000 home could afford to pay \$15k a year in property tax as that is the road you are going down. For \$10-\$15 million you can...same as other middle school...buy 20-25y. roof/windows/hvac upgrades.....save the rest for a different time if that.	There is zero chance the residents are going to vote for any levy for even the lowest choice here....can't afford it, will not work. Find a \$40 million repair math solution that buys us 20 years and you have decent odds...other wise it will simply fail.
	Keeping costs to a minimum	As a neighbor who lives across the street from Hastings, my first choice is option C and to move the school to Thompson park. It would help with traffic tremendously and not have to compete with Wellington. My second choice is rebuild option B and move the parking lot to Reed road and off of Hastings drive. Dislikes are the construction in the neighborhood for a considerable amount of time. Moving the school to Thompson park would alleviate the current neighborhood of traffic with busy school events, particularly in the evening where our entire neighborhood is congested with cars.	Be cost effective! And mindful of what this will cost each resident		
No estimated costs for two options which is vitally important in decision	Why can't you get a price estimate???	Repair is expensive but what about the other options? How much more than \$50M are they? Option A has no drop-off and the parking is very far from the school. B seems to be the best especially with the drop-off. Option C... Have you taken leave of your senses????!??	Resigning. This is absurd to not have relative pricing and taking park space is flat out appalling. If this was my proposal at my job I'd be fired.	How do you propose to rebuild without impacting students? Why aren't there any pricing? Cost effectiveness is critical to this. Where is a map of this land on Coventry? This is incomplete and you should have not submitted this slapdash work and still be employed.	Going back and actually completing the work asked for in order to allow for real public consideration.

		Renovate A and B makes most sense since you are keeping the track where it is now. Rebuild A and B make no sense since Romney and Hastings Roads are short and there will be lots of school traffic. The school needs to be next to Reed Road so there is less traffic build up. Rebuild B also has the parking lot too far from the school. School needs more than repair so that is out. It would be a possibility to build a new school at Thompson Park but what would happen to the footprint where the school stand now? A McDonalds? Yikes!	Please don't build at new school at Thompson Park and then rezone the current Hastings area to allow apartments, condos, or McDonalds etc. What are the plans for the current Hastings area if a new school is built at Thompson Park?		
					As a student at Jones, small group rooms similar to the ones at Tremont elementary would be beneficial.
I have no idea what the cost of the other two options are. The rebuild option looks like a better use of the land available.	Is this building needed anymore as part of the UA school district? It's nice that we have BECS but should it be private and separate from the school district?!? Why is the school district paying for this building?	I have no idea how much each of them will cost! I don't like the option to move the school to Thompson park. It will create traffic problems along McCoy. I advocate for repairing Hastings to have the least amount of disruption overall	Have we considered rebuilding and having one middle school? It would help drive inclusiveness in UA as there is a huge divide between Hastings students and Jones students that persists into high school. It would also cut costs for any of the options as we would be consolidating students into one building.	All of these options are too much for a building that should be torn down completely. You should consider a rebuild option with only two stories. It's hard for kids with disabilities to navigate high buildings.	
I prefer the option to rebuild. If you're going to do something, do it right. For the amount it will cost to repair or renovate, just spend the time and money to build something sustainable and correct that we can be proud of. Our students deserve the best!	Yes— it needs to be included in these budgets to finish the playground at Wickliffe. How embarrassing for our district and our city. Every time I have my children there to play (we live nearby and often bring our 3 children to play on weekends) I ALWAYS hear community members asking what happened and why isn't it finished and how sad of a structure it is compared to the previous one. It's ridiculous that playgrounds have been previously funded in UA by PTOs— it's not an after school club or an event or project, it's an essential and core part of learning and development in a child's day. This should come from the district. I understand that one structure was provided, but it's very obvious that it's far less than every other elementary school in UA. At recess, there are hundreds of children out there on one small structure. It is beyond evident that an additional structure is needed.	I prefer the option to rebuild. If you're going to do something, do it right. For the amount it will cost to repair or renovate, just spend the time and money to build something sustainable and correct that we can be proud of. Our students deserve the best!	Yes— it needs to be included in these budgets to finish the playground at Wickliffe. How embarrassing for our district and our city. Every time I have my children there to play (we live nearby and often bring our 3 children to play on weekends) I ALWAYS hear community members asking what happened and why isn't it finished and how sad of a structure it is compared to the previous one. It's ridiculous that playgrounds have been previously funded in UA by PTOs— it's not an after school club or an event or project, it's an essential and core part of learning and development in a child's day. This should come from the district. I understand that one structure was provided, but it's very obvious that it's far less than every other elementary school in UA. At recess, there are hundreds of children out there on one small structure. It is beyond evident that an additional structure is needed.	I prefer the option to rebuild. If you're going to do something, do it right. For the amount it will cost to repair or renovate, just spend the time and money to build something sustainable and correct that we can be proud of. Our students deserve the best!	Yes— it needs to be included in these budgets to finish the playground at Wickliffe. How embarrassing for our district and our city. Every time I have my children there to play (we live nearby and often bring our 3 children to play on weekends) I ALWAYS hear community members asking what happened and why isn't it finished and how sad of a structure it is compared to the previous one. It's ridiculous that playgrounds have been previously funded in UA by PTOs— it's not an after school club or an event or project, it's an essential and core part of learning and development in a child's day. This should come from the district. I understand that one structure was provided, but it's very obvious that it's far less than every other elementary school in UA. At recess, there are hundreds of children out there on one small structure. It is beyond evident that an additional structure is needed.

I would like to know potential cost for renovate or rebuild option before making this decision.	What is the demand. Can a third story accommodate more students in a financially stable way?	Hard to say without knowing costs of options. Given age of these buildings please provide the community with the best materials for longevity and most flexibility for future need changes.	Why not consider a 3 story building option to reduce land area need? Is building flexible enough to accommodate a significant increase in students so we aren't having to do modular in the future. Please heavily review traffic flows. with St. Andrew and Wellington in this area it is already congested.	Under renovate and rebuild options you are using the entire land footprint. Please make ensure there is options for extra space in case significant enrollment increases happen.	If we need to tear down and start over please use the old facade as a guide for the new front. There is pride in that building facade, you could make a new front that pays tribute to the old.
	Our kids went to Burbank, and it is a wonderful school for pre k but that being said now that we are in the elementary schools and more involved in the community with school age kids. UA lacks land to build. I think this would be a great location for a middle school with gym space which is much needed, excess outdoor space for some cool things for older kids, and outdoor learning opportunities, more grassy spaces for outdoor eating, sports fields, and a track area.	It is land locked and limited on space and design. Burbank kids could move here and the building could get renovated to meet the needs of early learners. Also being close to the pool would allow them To do field trips in the summer months more easily.	Swapping Hastings with Burbank. Renovate Hastings for Burbank needs, and rebuild an amazing middle school on Burbank land. Where will the kids go to middle school during this time?	Rebuild- more problems come with renovating an old building, time to start fresh	
I don't have children at this age, so the costs already associated with repairs are significant to me, in my opinion. Therefore, I would rather make repairs, knowing that renovating and rebuilding would be an evenly more costly journey. I have also not been inside this building and do not know of it's current state.	Yes, how many people will be utilizing the new space in the upcoming 5-10 years based on a survey just like this one. We are in a landlocked community with housing prices continuing to rise, so it does make me wonder how much additional space and area may be needed for a younger school like this.	I feel like Hastings underwent some renovations recently (in the last 10-ish years), therefore, I do not feel like we need to completely rebuild this school. I would rather renovate.	Yes, if we are building a bigger school, we are anticipating more students. If we are anticipating more students, we need to be anticipating more of a need for fields and park areas for sports and other functions. In our experience with local UA sports, field usage is already at a minimum and is hard to come by, so if we are adding space for schools we need to make sure we are adding space for fields for students to use for outside of school sports.	I do feel like Jones is in more of a need of repairs/renovations than Burbank and Hastings. I think it has been a very long time since there have been any major improvements to the school. That being said, I know it is a historic building and I see there are notes that preserve the facade that make this building so UA iconic. I dislike that the all of the options minus the repair option that students will be off-site for two years. This could be the two last years, or the two first years of a students tenure at the school so I'm just curious how we can still make middle school more bearable for these students experiencing this and where the off-site location will be. I do LOVE that we are talking about making improvements to Jones because I do think providing adequate areas for students to learn can easily make them more inclined to enjoy and want to be at school at such a pivotal age. Clearly, I have students that are/will attend Jones.	As a parent that frequents Jones, the area is quite congested during drop off and pick up times. In addition to it being in the heart of downtown UA, I think another consideration would be coming up with ideas for caregivers/buses/after school activities to have routes that may help the congestion.
It's a private, for-pay facility that serves families outside the school district. Why should UA taxpayer subsidize childcare ? Answer, we shouldn't. If functionally obsolete, tear it down. If you want to run a childcare service, sell the land and lease somewhere	Use your brains	Thompson Park is non-starter	Too much feedback from stay at home UA housewives who have never held a job or know anything about building planning	Architects are selling you a project designed to generate the maximum fees possible. Not hard to understand. When does logic win ?	Crazy ideas from South UA housewives with no skills or education can be ignored

I like the option of rebuild. The plan takes into account future needs as well as potential drawbacks such as lack of natural light and HVAC performance. The plan seems to be designed to have the least disruption during the school year.	Will this impact the occupancy of the building?	Rebuild option C seem to be the best option for long term benefit.	(Rebuild Option C is listed twice?)	Jones is certainly in need of rebuilding! The rebuild design is an opportunity to support the community, while also providing a better learning environment for students.	
I don't think renovating the current building addresses long term need, same with renovation. I would support rebuild.		I support rebuilding, Cost would be helpful to determine what is the best option and what kind of park could come from this as well. There is a lot of space in that area would be nice to maximize the park space, outdoor and school spaces to be more efficient.		Like keeping the facade, building is very hard to navigate right now support a rebuild.	
*Rebuild: (Like) moving the main entrance away from the traffic circle will ensure safety for pedestrians, indoor areas would enjoy more natural lights (dislike) the outdoor play area is "detached" from the main building, concern about the higher cost *Renovation: (like): presumed cost less, less disturbances to neighborhood & learning during construction (dislike): potentially aging buildings in the next decade *Repair: (Like) defined budget, targeted fixation of identified problem areas, three entrances (dislike):	UA District need to balance the budget for educators as well. Thank you all for the hard work!	Option C looks to be a sensible long term solution. It may ease traffic congestions as the school is away from the Wellington School. Relocation allows for larger plot and freedom for building options. close to the Thompson Park area. No disturbances to existing buildings or learning activities	None	Renovation B: a balance between preserving historical buildings and introducing new capacities	none
		Hastings Rebuild Option C - best option; still keeping parkland / greenspace / trees between school and adjacent neighbors.			
Rebuild		Rebuild option B or C		Renovate Option B	
				I like Renovate Option B. Seems pragmatic and less obtrusive to the kids and staff.	
No opinion	N/A	I like the building/ land layout of rebuild option B the best. I feel that this would be the least intrusive for the community and for people who live around there. However, looking at the parking in regards to teachers and visitors, that is a long way to walk (especially in the cold winter months).	I feel that if Hastings moved to McCoy area, the drop-off traffic would be a nightmare for the community who drives on McCoy. I see the back up on Mountview as it stands now.		
		This needs to be done NOW, so whatever is the fastest. Our kids are going to school in less than ideal learning conditions, so to me, timing is the priority.			

Knowing the building the remodel and renovate just are not good options. I have seen the renovate buildings like Barrington and Tremont and trying to make new with old does not open the space like the new builds do. There are alot of little spaces for classified staff that get missed when you remodel and the areas like custodial closets are not designed for efficiency for cleaning of today. New builds have the proper sinks and space and shelving to accomodate what is needed to maintain the buildings.	I know rebuilds may cost more upfront but I think after looking at the renovations in Barrington and Tremont, the rebuild is the better choice.	Rebuild A seems the best. Rebuild is better than renovate. Knowing the guts of the building it really needs all new to be a better fit to maintain the building. And rebuild A has the most reasonable spot for a school and the parking. Especially when the parking is next to the gym and areas that have outside activities in them and to be able to lock down the academic side for activities in the gym and common area.		Rebuild is the best option again it has been renovated many times during the years but it still has the same space inside that is not efficient for todays security and flow of a school.	
Renovate only. That building is well built and does not need any changes.	Tear it down. Don't spend money on renovations for preschool	Hate moving HMS to Thompson Park. Horrible idea. I am open to renovate or rebuild in current location. Option B best option.	Rebuild so there is one middle school in Upper Arlington. Combine Hastings and Jones.	Repair option best	Combine both middle schools do that there is only one and only need to build one school.
		I prefer the rebuild options, particularly B or C which put more space between the building and peoples homes.		To repair seems like a huge expense without addressing needs of improving the building's usage/design for current and future students . Renovate option B is acceptable, but it seem poorly laid out. "Gym support" is very far from the actual gymnasium. Rebuild option seems to be the best choice and I'm glad it will retain the historic facade.	
			Some things that are critical, regardless of which option is chosen: *Parking and drop off/pick up: Increase amount of parking, keep drop off/pick up separate from parking for safety reasons, design pick up/drop off to reduce the amount of cars idling on the street *Quiet work space and intervention spaces: Intervention Specialists currently do not have enough space to work with small groups of students and are often having to find somewhere to go "on the fly", spaces are noisy making it hard for our most distractible students to focus, intervention spaces need to be designed to maintain privacy and dignity (not glass classrooms or open garage doors) *Noisier activities like PE, lunch, and transitioning between lunch and intramurals, needs to be kept separate from classroom space *SAFETY: harder for active shooters to access the building and get to learning spaces, more stairways and doors for emergencies *Restrooms: more of them (staff and students), more distributed throughout the building so no one ever has far to go		

Since Burbank is used for preschool, just use the money for repair. My granddaughter went there a couple of years ago and I didn't see any reasons to renovate or rebuild.	Why waste money on buildings to tear down and rebuild. Burbank should not have been built. A group "anticipated" elementary schools in Upper Arlington to get overcrowded. They didn't.	I totally dislike all of the rebuild! Having parking on Reed Rd would be a nightmare. Moving Hastings to Thompson Park on the McCoy Rd. side is ridiculous. The park is used constantly with soccer, movies in the park, concerts, people walking with or without dogs. There are eco zones and an underground spring in the park. There are city just spent money revamping McCoy Rd. Now you want to mess that up? Renovate Option B would not be the best idea. Putting the parking on Reed Rd would be a mess during busy hours. It would not conducive to people going to pool, football field or tennis courts. The parking now is centered for the everything.	Repair and Maybe Renovate Option A would be ok	Since I nor my children went to Jones, I don't know anything about the classrooms. Repair would be ideal. If you add on, it would ruin the facade of a historical building.	It seems to me that this company that was hired to do these projects - 1) don't live in Arlington 2) don't care about expenses on residents. Not everybody That live in Upper Arlington are wealthy 3) don't care about green space for people/children to be outdoors. 4) people on this committee sounds like you want to keep up (building wise) with other communities. You can have state of the art facilities in a repaired school building Be considerate to the children, residents and seniors
				Renovate Option B	
no pricing so I can't make much of an opinion	Could it be a part of one of the new schools	I like relocating to Thompson. Will be the most cost effective and Jones could relocate to Hastings for the renovations of that school.		It is a lot of disruption for the kids and not great options. If you are spending significant money it needs to be torn down and rebuilt new.	Could we purchase land from Ohio State in the southwest corner of the Carmentown. Would open up options for a downtown Arlington development. Mixed use bringing in restaurants and shops. Similar to Hilliard
I like the idea of a renovation or rebuild. It would be nice for the schools to all have matching esthetics.		I like the idea of moving Hastings to McCoy. There is alot of traffic at Reed with all the schools. Plus, the shared parking with the pool and ball fields is sometimes cumbersome.			
My child went to Burbank for less than a month before she was kicked out for having 3 accidents in one week. She was coming from a daycare that had a bathroom in the room and as a 3 year old, having to wait for a group of kids and a teacher to take them to the bathroom was not realistic. Looks like in the repair plan, the bathroom situation in the classroom for the children won't be addressed, so I don't think that is worth the investment if we don't address such an important need of children of this age. Based on the presentation, looks like the Renovation Option does address the main problems that the School has. In my mind, I can't justify a higher cost for rebuilding (which I imagine it will be), for a program that does not offer a spot for every child in the district, like the K-12 schools do. So, as long as the children who do attend Burbank have an adequate learning environment, I believe the Renovate option would be my election.	Expand the program to offer enrollment to every child in the district and build accordingly.	This is a once in a 50 years project, so I believe we should do for the Middle Schools the same that we did for the High School, we should rebuild them and bring all the spaces up to date with al the pedagogical, security, storage, support spaces, sports, and technological needs for the students to be successful. As far as the rebuild options, on option A I don't like the classrooms facing people's homes, I understand that the gym and auditorium would have easier access to the parking lot, but the classroom positioning is a deal breaker for me. If the land swap doesn't work, my preference would be option B. I would be ok with option C.	in option C, why not put the field north to the building, orient the building east-west with the classrooms facing north, so that the gym and the auditorium have direct access to the parking lot? This would make access during events much easier. This would also make the front larger for easier bus parking or student drop off. Make a "L" shaped parking lot with nice walkways for access to the buildings main entrance.	This is a once in a 50 years project, so I believe we should do for the Middle Schools the same that we did for the High School, we should rebuild them and bring all the spaces up to date with al the pedagogical, security, storage, support spaces, sports, and technological needs for the students to be successful.	Any options to relocate the school and use this building for something else? The site looks pretty limited in size. There is no outdoor play area.

I like the cost of the repair option. Prefer rebuild option to renovate option if future addition is anticipated.	Renovate seems like a waste of time and money if they will need to add on eventually.	Repair seems like the best option, renovate if necessary. Rebuilding seems unnecessary because the school is newer and in better shape than Jones.	Put the money into Jones over Hastings. Jones doesn't have proper heating or cooling which is a basic need for students.	Rebuild is the only option bc the hvac is desperately needed. Heat and air conditioning is a basic need that Jones is currently lacking. If the only way to address that is to rebuild, than that should be the only option considered.	Please inform the community where "off site" will be when the school is being renovated/rebuilt. That is a huge concern that hasn't been addressed.
I like the blue renovate plan, as you improve the building while maintaining the park to the west of the building. However, if it is more cost effective for a rebuild I would go with that option. You end up have a better long-term solution with the rebuild option.	Curious what the mix of UA to non-UA kids are in the Early Childhood program. These options would be to put a price on build items	I like rebuild option B the best because it does not require a land swap. Again, it would be nice to have relative costs. Also, I am totally against moving Hastings to Thompson Park. we do not have enough park land on the north side of UA already and this would make matters worse.		I do not like spending extra money on preserving the existing Jones Facade in any way. We already have spent extra money on an old building. Tear it down and put a new modern structure. I you insist on keeping the facade for sentimental reasons, then homeowners whose kids would attend this school due to geographic boundaries should pay extra taxes to support the extra cost. I like the rebuild option as the trying to make the old building work with new construction has been done before and won't be improved by these renovate options.	again it is really hard to give a good opinion when we don't have high level scoping costs for many of these options. It's a bit like a half-baked plan, and I don't recall our previous work on the high school, or elementary schools but I thought we had cost elements when we gave input.
I prefer the repair option for Burbank. The building seems to be in the best shape of all buildings. Additionally it would appear to have the lowest impact on the neighborhood and park.	I live on Burbank near Lane Road. I overheard some gossip this weekend that there was a possibility that the schools land would be sold off to create an apartment/condo situation in our neighborhood. I cannot emphasize how vehemently I am opposed to this. We already struggle with additional traffic in our neighborhood because of the school. People exceeded the 25 mile an hour speed limit as they are racing to either drop off or pick up their children. That being said, I would prefer to keep the school than have a loss of that land to development. This community frequently uses the park space to ride bikes, walk their pets and play sports. In no way shape or form do I want to see anything other than Burbank school in the Burbank area.	Rebuild option A	Hastings should stay in its rough area. That space is appropriate to accommodate the school.	No opinion	
Repair because it's the cheapest.	Burbank is a paid preschool. Other preschools don't ask for money to rebuild; they just increase tuition.	I prefer rebuild B overall because it maintains the same existing land. I prefer rebuild A over C because I don't want a middle school at Thompson Park.	Hastings should stay on it's current site.	Rebuild makes the most sense for flow throughout the building.	What's the cost to maintain the facade versus tearing it down completely?
				I like the renovate option as it provides new upgrades to Jones while also preserving its historical roots - which is a unique aspect of Upper Arlington and the neighborhoods around Jones. The skylight/courtyards would be a great way to get light into the building.	Understanding where the kids would go to school during the renovation/rebuild is so important, it is hard to consider the options without understanding that aspect

Repair		The rebuild options seem to infringe too much on neighbors. Having the track on the busy street does not seem like a good idea. The Thompson Road options are horrible and will have a tremendous negative impact on the community. No need to renovate or rebuild.	Please do not steal the wonderful recreation area of Thompson Park that so many people in the community use and enjoy.	Please preserve the character of this building. It has been a loved part of this community for decades. It is worth the extra cost to renovate while maintaining the structure and identity of the building. Jones needs to be rebuilt.	
Burbank repair option is best	No	Repair option A or renovate option B.	Upper Arlington does NOT need to swap land. That is a ridiculous idea!!	I like the idea of rebuilding Jones. It is the oldest building in need of a complete rebuild.	UA should spend the money to completely rebuild Jones. Rebuilding Jones should be a priority over any other school in Upper Arlington!!!!!!!
I prefer the Rebuild option. Having an Early Childhood program in the school district is a very attractive proposition for residents considering where to live in the various central Ohio communities. The Burbank building was not built with preschool in mind. There should be more natural light, more windows in the classrooms. Having a new building will allow more efficient air handling / more efficient HVAC.	The site in the northern part of the city may not be convenient for everyone; however, the opportunity for the children to explore the land north of the building (hikes, walks) may outweigh the inconvenience for some residents.	I prefer the rebuild Option B. There is less frontage to neighbors than Option A. In this option I would want the parking lot to be accessible from Reed Rd. I strongly disagree with placing a Middle School at Thompson Park. There are so many residents that walk in Thompson Park on a daily, or twice, or three times a day. The aesthetics of the park would be very negatively and adversely impacted if a Middle School was placed there. In addition, there would be negative impact to the traffic on McCoy Rd. making crossing McCoy Rd a more dangerous proposition for the students. We need to have more green space and walking paths in our community, not less. There's enough land around Hastings to rebuild that the school should remain there.	Figure out a way to tear the building down and re-build it in the same footprint. That way the athletic fields remain behind the houses and the building would still front Reed Rd. The tennis courts are in such disrepair that they should not be 'saved' in their current location, but under consideration to be moved. There is so much land in the Reed Rd park that doesn't seem to be very effectively utilized, that there should be a way to 'relocate' the school, yet still remain within that area. For example, build the new school on the baseball field north of existing school. Or move the school kitty-corner to northwest of the pool, south of Lytham Rd.	In my opinion, the exterior of the building does not need to be 'saved'. It seems like an unnecessary expense. I don't find the building all that attractive. It seems like the construction dollars would be better spent if the exterior did not have to remain.	Rebuild without preserving the exterior facade. The new UAHS is beautiful. Mimic that design in the architecture of both Middle Schools.
I am not familiar wit Burbank, but would think just repairing would be a short sighted option that would require more adjustments in the near future.		If we want to tackle bring our school building up to standards, we need to do it right so it will be good to go for quite a while. I think I would favor a rebuilding option for this reason. Still having possible HVAC problems makes renovation not a great option in my opinion.		I think Jones needs the rebuild option. The building is too outdated and issues with bathrooms, plumbing and HVAC are health hazards. Jones has some beautiful historic features which I would hate to see lost. The theater, although small and with uncomfortable seats is lovely art deco, the front the building also shows UA's history in education. I would love for some of these features to find a place within a rebuild and not to be completely erased.	
I do not think it is necessary to totally rebuild Burbank. It is not as old as the other elementary buildings that were torn down. The Renovate option seems reasonable.	No	I like Renovate Option A. I do not like the parking lot located on Reed Rd. It will just make Reed Rd busier than it already is. I don't think Hastings needs to be totally rebuilt. It's an unnecessary expense. We have new buildings that already have issues. Our taxes are already too high. We don't need rebuilds.	No	I like Renovation B. I like the Cafeteria, Gym and Auditorium all on one side. It seems convenient and helpful for after hour activities. One wing can just be shut down easily. I don't think Jones needs to be rebuilt. It is a waste of money.	no

		Hasting is beyond repair. If I'm looking at it correctly, Rebuild option A and Renovate option A means larger parking but will remove the baseball field? And Renovate option B does not have adequate parking. None are an option in my mind. Traffic is a nightmare around Hastings in the morning as there aren't enough access points to the school. I like rebuild option C for that reason. There would need to be a traffic light at McCoy and Mountview. However, we are already dreadfully low on field space in UA. I would want the old Hastings location to be adequate field space for what we are losing at Thompson Park with option C.			
I like the repair option because of cost.		I like the repair and renovate option B because they allow what we already have to stay, including the track. Renovate option B also allows for some academic improvements such as grade level teams put together. I am also not opposed to rebuild option C as it would be nice to have the current Hastings land as another more central large UA park attached to the sports fields and water park.		I do not like the expense. I like repair or renovate option A because they retain the historical original exterior, also. We have a history of building ugly schools. This is our gem; let's keep the exterior as is.	
The rebuild option provides an opportunity for new, larger classrooms to be built and allow for a modern and efficient HVAC system. This is extremely important for the preschool age, since viral illnesses spread rapidly. More windows and daylight in the classrooms would be fantastic for the well being of students and teachers. The rebuild option also takes less time than the renovate option. I don't think the repair option is a good fit for Burbank, our classrooms and bathrooms are not adequate for the amount and population of students we serve.	Burbank general education runs year round. Would summer sessions be discontinued while construction is going on? If the repair/renovate option was chosen, where would the students go during the school year?				

				It seems to me that Jones Middle School needs to be renovated or rebuilt to better meet the needs of our community. Renovation Option A seems limiting; it lacks a public entrance to the auditorium and does not include courtyards for natural sunlight. Overall, I believe rebuilding is the best approach, with careful consideration given to the historical significance of the building. It's great that there is a plan to preserve an original facade of this historic school. However, we should also ensure that any expansion of the building complements the preserved facade.	
The rebuild option addresses current needs and allows for future needs.	Repair and renovate seem to be throwing money at the buildings when for a little more we can have a new quality building.	Hastings is not a pretty building and lacks functionality. It needs to be rebuilt and any of the options would work.		Rebuild option is the best. The building is old and gross but I love that this keeps the facade.	All new buildings should be warm and welcoming. The new high school is so sterile that it is like a hospital. The feeling when walking into all school buildings should be like walking into an old library with character in the details, woodwork, etc.
I don't have strong feelings about Burbank. I think I still have questions about the role that Burbank is filling in the community and the numbers of students that it serves. In terms of balancing finances, I think work on Burbank is beneficial to a smaller number of children than the work on the middle schools, so as much as I'd like the best/biggest option of rebuild, I acknowledge that this would probably be where I would choose a less expensive option. However... Burbank has been passed over for many other improvements so I worry that the repair and even renovate option would be just putting bandaids on things that will have to eventually be torn down. Finally the timelines for these (one full academic year) worries me as a neighbor from a noise perspective.	No	Please not option C. I think Thompson Park, and specifically McCoy Rd and Lane Rd, are definitely not built to withstand this level of traffic. This park is surrounded by 2 lane roads (given recent changes to McCoy), and is already the place where I worry the most about getting into a car accident (at Woodbridge and McCoy and Mountview and McCoy). I think the traffic ramifications of this move really impact my opinion (negatively) of this option.			
I want the best option that will make truly inclusive classroom spaces to combine the preschool for kids with special needs and the preschool for typically developing kids. I am in support of what the district recommends to best support that goal.		I like rebuild option b the best. No land swap required but seems to have all positive attributes of the other options.		I like renovate B or rebuild. I prefer options that utilize all 3 floors of the building as I feel the space is needed.	
I dislike that the cheapest option is over \$13 million dollars. I like the fact that right now we have not spent \$13 million dollars.			So you're saying that while the entire UA high school cost \$120M to build, the "repair" option at Hastings is \$50M? This is nonsense. You should be able to completely tear down and rebuild both middle schools for less than the high school cost.		So the "repair" option for all three is over \$100M combined? You are out of your mind. I hate to think what the rebuild option will be. Are we going to spend half a billion on 2 middle schools and a preschool?

In decades of living in UA, I have never known any family to be able to get a child into BECS. There are far too few spots, and too many are taken up by intervention-requiring seats. I don't see anything in any of the plans presented that addresses the need for childcare for working parents in UA. It's same-old, same-old, with less 2-3 and even more intervention. Intervention spots should represent the same % as non-intervention to intervention in the community. Better yet, build childcare that can actually service UA working parents. Failing all of that—knock it down and don't rebuild. It's a waste of taxpayer money.	Expanding BECS to serve the majority of childcare needs for UA residents. Failing that, Eliminating BECS entirely. Knock it down and don't rebuild.	Zero % chance I will vote for anything favoring rebuild. I don't see any compelling reason to rebuild, and you presented no \$ for rebuild option which is disingenuous.	Managing money better from the last levy, which the residents were told contained funds for said purpose. appointing a position tasked with proactively addressing maintenance over time.	There is zero % chance I would vote for a rebuild. My father attended Jones, I attended Jones, and my daughter attended Jones (2020-2023) and her statement was "that's stupid, why would you tear down the character of UA?" She didn't feel limited by the facilities, and neither did I. Fix it, as you told us you would during the last very large levy to ostensibly fund the build of the high school AND maintenance to avoid future issues (as relayed by Dr Hunt).	Yes, figuring out who mismanaged funds from the HS build/MS fix levy we already agreed to.
Prefer keeping as much of the older structure as possible, for architectural significance of that era and environmental stewardship - would prefer repair option first, and renovate option second, if the goal is to significantly increase enrollment - it does not appear there will be significant additions in available spaces, which seems problematic when so many families need childcare. It is also problematic that care for the youngest children is removed. I would be more supportive or renovate or rebuild if there was inclusion of infant and toddler care, recognizing this would required more significant modifications.		prefer repair or renovate - again to retain architecture of the community and for environmental stewardship		prefer repair or renovate - again to retain architecture of the community and for environmental stewardship	
Rebuild	Do it once - nicely	Rebuild option A - best use of space, allows green space, no land swap		Rebuild	
I like how much thought went into each one. I dislike the rebuild option because the idea of keeping the two separate parking lots is great.		definitely need more parking. I like that moving the school down Hastings Lane a little bit may help with traffic flow during pickup and drop-offs.	more parking	love the use of space, making it 3 stories.	parking
The repair option is the only option that includes keeping the integrity of the building while keeping cost considerations in place. Also-the rebuild and renovate options are highly different. I don't understand how either addresses what needs might exist.	Costs to taxpayers	There are so many different options here I'm not sure what goal we are trying to attain with any of the renovate or rebuild.	The taxpayers of upper Arlington are hurting. We need to factor in everyone in this community and if a repair option is viable then that is what should be prioritized.	This is a beautiful historical building. Repair wherever you can.	With the exception of a small annexation at the tennis court for additional space, I think Jones would most benefit from repair options as anything else will affect the historical beauty of this building
Nothing	No	na	na	na	na
I like the renovate option most.	No.				
The current building is past its serviceable life. Renovating and repair options just prolong the inevitable. A new build would provide the safety and options for a better experience for the students. My kids attended Burbank 30 years ago so I am familiar with the building.	Please upgrade the playground area	My kids went to Hastings 20 years ago and I am familiar with the facility - it is past its useful life. I really like rebuild option C. The location is so much better. The traffic can be controlled without the fire department and Wellington nearby. The use of the park would be a great asset. First class solution!	Removing the current Hastings building and turning it into park area would be a nice asset for that neighborhood	I am not familiar with this building other than its historic significance to UA and would like to see it repaired or renovated. It is hard to determine without comparable costs.,	Historical building

		I prefer two options: renovate B and rebuild B. If I had to pick one, I'd lean towards the rebuild. I believe that moving the parking area will be beneficial and both designs showcase this. The current parking situation is not ideal; between the park usage, team sports, the pool, and the school...it's overly congested and dangerous. There is no safe passageway for pedestrians to access the park and other features of the area. The building layout for the rebuild is constructed in a way that will benefit both the staff and teachers. Being a middle school teacher myself, I can appreciate this layout.	I'm hopeful that Hastings stays in the same location. I'd hate to see Thompson park change and lose all of that great park space.		
I really don't dislike any of the options! I think a critical factor is going to be; are we going to get more bang for our buck if we rebuild versus trying to fix an old facility that has minimal sentimental value and no historical value? So I don't think we can do a whole lot more until we really get a idea of how much it would cost to rebuild versus renovate. I think that was smart to put the renovate cost out there so we know that sort of our minimum number.	Let's get some basic ideas of what this is going to cost to rebuild! We are competing with Worthington, Dublin, and Hilliard, who have newer buildings or are rebuilding or doing major renovations!	Hard to compare when we don't have some ballpark figures for cost of rebuilding! I think the option to rebuild in the initial half of Thompson Park is very interesting. Again, I would like to know what that would cost versus rebuilding on the current site. It would certainly be a lot easier for everybody if you could rebuild on a new site and then just move there without disrupting the students For 2 to 3 years!	I think you've done a good job at looking at all aspects of this process!	Again, we need to know what the projected cost will be for rebuilding versus renovation.	I would like to know what the current square footage for each building is currently and what the projected square footage is for the renovations and then for the potential new buildings
Would vote for Rebuild Option to provide all new modern facility.	Build new and provide for expansion to serve rising enrollment in future years.	Would vote for Rebuild Option B as best use of land and to provide modern facilities for future years.	Also very interested in Option C, if land taken for new building is equivalent to land swap for more park land on Hastings Road. Also would favor renaming new middle school something like North Park or McCoy Middle School because of its new location.	Would vote for Rebuild Option to provide modern facility. Especially if some of historic facade can be saved and blended into new exterior design.	Believe it is vital to obtain all parcels of real estate on East side of Coventry Road for parking, including the parcels at each end of this block of Coventry. Would support taking the properties by act of Eminent Domain if necessary. Purchase cost would be money well spent for decades of parking space. This process should have been used to acquire more parking for the new High School.
				Rebuild. Interior is just too old and dated to effectively renovate into a modern, effective learning environment. Use the \$ to build new.	I HOPE the stadium becomes part of this project. It is "unfriendly" without seats and the restrooms are an embarrassment to this community. I cringe when I see fans from other nearby communities arrive and wonder what they must be thinking.

				its hard to compare these options without an associated cost estimate. The Repair option is by far the worst in my opinion, as it is a band-aid rather than truly fixing any issues. It is still a tremendous amount of money (since at least for that we have a cost estimate!) and I think we are throwing good money after bad if we pursue that option. The Renovate B and Rebuild options seem like the best possibilities to me. For Renovate B, I like the addition of the courtyards to open up the space and bring more light in around the building. The overall layout with Renovate B seems to make more sense than Renovate A. I wasn't going to be in favor of the Rebuild option, but keeping the facade of the original building makes me open to that possibility. If we can have the "ideal" layout without being hindered by the original footprint, but keep that historic facade, it seems like a win-win. I can't vote for either Renovate B or	
Repair - building meets the minimum needs but there's a lot to still be desired. I like the renovate option best with the improved lighting and front door (assume there will be improved security for the building with this option). Rebuild option would be great but with costs in mind, I would lean into more updates for the middle schools where UA students have to attend vs. an early childhood school that parents have the option to send them there or elsewhere. Doesn't feel like a good use of community resources to pay for a new school that doesn't benefit the whole UA community students.	For all buildings, I would like to see increased security measures implemented, including multiple door locks to get into the building (similar to how elementary schools are today where you can enter the building but then need to be admitted to the office before being allowed into the school). Placing locks on all classroom doors so that they can be locked from the inside is essential to student safety.	I like the rebuild options on existing land/at existing site (rebuild A or B). Hastings building looks dated and doesn't show UA off in the best way. Trying to retro fit by renovating or repairing seems like a poor use of funds when we could get a new building with minor disruption to students who could continue in the existing building while the build is happening.	See previous on improved security for the buildings, including single entry point with multiple checks before being admitted into the building and locks on classroom doors from inside to prevent entry when needed.	Similar to Hastings, just repairing isn't enough to make it a meaningful update. For the renovation and rebuild options, it has students offsite for 2 years - where would students go while the work is being done? I think at minimum, renovation option B is needed and a full rebuild, maintaining the facade would be a wonderful improvement for the school	Security and safety concerns for the students are paramount and need to be addressed per previous comments.
Renovate - they need the space.	No	Renovate-B	They drastically need change, but not total rebuild	Repair - it is perfect as stands.	No - Never!!'n
		I really enjoy renovate option B because it wouldn't be a huge change but it would benefit the students, having a school that's newer, while still keeping the air of "this is where I went to school" from the parents. I dislike rebuild option C because the current location is good for everyone coming from different zoned elementary schools.			

The repair option would preserve more historic features, be less disruptive, and produce fewer carbon emissions than the other options.		The repair option would preserve more historic features, be less disruptive, and produce fewer carbon emissions than the other options. All other options increase impervious surfaces and runoff with the larger parking areas. The land swap would be very disruptive to the neighborhoods and community. We would lose almost a third of the city's largest park, where soccer fields, most of the parking, and a picnic shelter are located. The school site would be immediately adjacent to the only significant natural area in the park. The current Hastings site is more walkable, as it is more centrally located and has slower traffic than McCoy Road.		The repair option would preserve more historic features, be less disruptive, and produce fewer carbon emissions than the other options.	
Burbank is a very old school (it was old when I attended 30 years ago), and deserves a refresh. I think the rebuild or renovate options are appropriate		Hastings DEFINITELY needs a rebuild; if you're going to renovate, may as well rebuild the entire thing. Rebuild Option B doesn't seem to make any sense for parking close to the school. Rebuild option C seems extreme; I'd hate to lose more green space of Thompson park (can we do something cooler for families in that area instead?), and I'd hate to be even further from the "middle" of UA by going further North; it would feel like a furthering of North vs. South UA.			
The Repair or Renovate options seem plausible for this school because the existing construction is quite robust and durable for this age group. For the Burbank Rebuild option, the location of the Potential Future Addition is challenging from a construction logistical standpoint with the adjacent play area and being in the corner of the site; reconsider this to the west.		Hastings Renovate A – one of the sophomores and Hastings alumni noted the Outdoor Play area next to the Parking is dangerous. Hastings at Thompson – a couple of the sophomores/alumni live west of Thompson and gave that option a thumbs down (in my personal and professional opinion, that is one of the stronger options)	For all the schools, and particularly Hastings, please carefully consider the various modes of transportation for getting to and from school. This fall, our daughter was hit by a car exiting the Hastings parking lot as she was approaching on her bike on the sidewalk (where they are supposed to ride that close to the school). Please carefully evaluate the amount of space for vehicular queuing and distinct safe paths of schools.	I hope they opt to tear the whole thing down and rebuild it with the same aesthetic, or better! And the floor-to-floor will be a nightmare even at 13'-6".	
n/a	n/a	We go to Jones not Hastings but I would hate to see any changes to Thompson Park so I definitely vote against Rebuild Option C.	I assumed Rebuild Option B has to happen so that Jones can move to the old Hastings building during it's rebuilding	If the facade of Jones is not "protected" or a historical landmark then I think rebuild will be the best option. If facade needs to be kept then I prefer renovate option B as I think 3 full stories will be best and look the best, I do not like option A with some areas only 2 stories.	Being offsite for 2 years will definitely affect 1-2 of my children so I would love to start hearing info about where they will be offsite for 2 years.

Spending millions on this is insane. Repairs at bare minimum to accommodate future plans for the site.	Unless I'm mistaken, this is primarily a pre-school. It should be self-funded with no extra UA taxes. The UA school functionality could pay rent to Burbank. Any repairs should be at the minimum cost unless it 1) sold off to private investor group (which i don't support) 2) maintained at bare minimum to save \$ for future uses that are TBD in time.	I'm a big fan of Rebuild option C. Less disruptive to academic environment during construction. Proper blank slate to design school as needed.	Using the existing Hastings property as an additional park, activities area would be nice to consolidate those in a better ongoing location. Rebuild Option C for me.	I believe the community wants to maintain the front facade, which I agree with. But school is functionally brutal. Rebuild and maintain the front in some capacity if possible.	Need a MUCH larger wrestling room. Current middle school rooms are a safety hazard. And it also needs to be able to accommodate the girls wrestling team, which started up and has 54 girls in the program.
Rebuild. I think that as long as we are looking at improvements it would make sense to rebuild. Given the age of the buildings, I think that is the best long term option.		Rebuild. I think that as long as we are looking at improvements it would make sense to rebuild. Given the age of the buildings, I think that is the best long term option. I like rebuild option B, with rebuild option A as a second choice. I prefer a plan with no land swap required partly because of the additional costs associated with a land swap, partly because it seems more simple. I prefer to NOT move the building to Thompson park. Thompson park is a great park and I prefer to keep it the way that it is. I preferred rebuild option B. I like the distance it kept from the surrounding houses but stayeyd in the same vicinity of the current school / pool. As a parent who went through an elementary school rebuild (Wickliffe) I do see the value of updating everything, rather than renovating, to allow for more access, light, and use of space.		I prefer rebuild option. As long as we are looking at updating the schools, I think we might as well rebuild since this seems like the best long term option.	
Burbank is a phenomenal resource by its existence alone. I like remodeling; there is a sturdiness that the existing building offers that is absent from the other new builds.	What about building new Hastings at Burbank while both schools continue to operate, and relocating Burbank to Hastings?	The land swap would wipe out so many trees that it would be detrimental to the environment there and certainly to the homeowners whose reason for living there may indeed be the trees and trails. I think renovating would just kick the can down the road for a later eventual decision to rebuild. I like rebuild A to minimize street frontage for safety considerations but I would be devastated if I were one of those bordering homeowners.	What about a smaller sixth grade only building, and renovate to accommodate some expanded spaces by removing a grade level?	I think renovating makes the most sense. Repairing is a sticky wicket... you might bite off more than you bargained for and renovating would end up being more economical and effective. The connection to the building for this community is too strong to rebuild.	
Repairs should be done if possible. Reno/rebuild too expensive. The rebuild position does makes sense to move farther back from road.		If rebuilt, Hastings should remain at existing location. Thompson park is a treasure that couldn't be replaced. Rebuild A seems best option for time constraints. 3 yrs is too long. Not acceptable. I like Rebuild Option C because it is closer to Lane Rd library. It is a plus for me.	Thompson park is UAs prettiest park with natural water and woodlands. To destroy it would be a shame.	If Jones can be 3 stories, why not Hastings? JMS is iconic and we hope care is taken to retain some of the original exterior features if possible.	Please no more open, unstructured areas like the HS. Students complain the lack of structure is unwelcoming and noisy. Please no open bathrooms. NO ONE likes to be seen in the bathroom. The exposed HS bathroom is embarrassing.

I think the repair option is adequate for this building. We should look to minimize costs to our residents.		Moving Hastings to Thompson Park has to be one of the most ridiculous ideas I've heard. Thompson Park is the nicest park in UA and adding that congestion and traffic around it would not be smart or safe. I know its current location is congested, but it's a natural traffic calming pattern with Wellington and the Pool right there. Moving it would create a second traffic nightmare given that Wellington isn't moving and Reed Road will still be congested.,	Do not consider moving Hastings to Thompson Park!!!	I think a rebuild option would be best, if the upgrades can bring Jones up to a standard that makes it still operational at a high level. I understand with old buildings sometimes renovating isn't the best option.	Try to keep the decision a fiscally sound one.
Personally, I think Burbank school should be considered its own business. Short of the 40 students that go to it. The rest are pay as you go over 4 1/2 million dollars in tuition fees. They should be paying to update their own building. They charge as much as any private organization that has to rent a building and or own a building and do the upkeep. They are either overpaying their teachers or spending the money, not wisely. Either way repair the building would be the only option I would go with or tear it down completely and send the 40 IEP students to the other elementary schools.	Again, 230 pay-as-you-go students at over \$1600 a month 1650*12*230=4,554,000. This was directly from the principal's mouth pay you go program is year-round not during school season.	I personally think the footprint is pretty good. I was very impressed when walking through the building. I expected it to be a lot worse. There are some issues like lighting, bathrooms, and heating and cooling for the classrooms. I don't think the gymnasium needs to be air-conditioned. It's a gym you sweat. Or raising the ceiling. As for the bathroom facilities, a small addition on the end of the second and first floor would easily accommodate restrooms and on both floor floors. The basement is below it it can be tied into the sewer and the cost is way less than what you were estimating. My opinion is that building needs lighting restrooms and a roof and HVAC nothing else. The windows are less than 30 years. Old and parts are still accessible. If you're concerned about parts order extra and store them. So in my opinion, repair repair repair.	Some other things like said they needed was the weight room and wrestling room. Possibly a small addition off of the gymnasium? Or busing the students over to high school to use their weight area. That weight room is bigger than most private gyms. I have never seen that many free weights anywhere near Upper Arlington at any private gym.	I do not like the idea of tearing down the buildings at all. Or reusing the face of the original school. I believe the footprint is more than usable. I think the learning center could be cut up into quieter areas. I was a student at Jones. I personally think it looks better than it did when I went there in the 80s. The woodwork the windows, the school the flooring all looks very good. Yes there are some problems with classrooms with no windows because of a different additions. I got news for you. Kids will get over it. I agree roof work most like it needs to be done. Don't tear the slate off the existing building repair it HVAC work may need some updating. It was all updated in 97 update the lights to LED. for energy savings. My personal opinion is the school building is in very good shape. It needs minor repairs and it would last another 50 to 100 years.	I would like to see a complete cost breakdown of repairs and private contractors that are able to bid on these projects. This would be on all three buildings. One other thing I remember from the presentation and walking through with the band room being on the third floor.. It was there when I also went there. It's not that big a deal to go down to perform in the auditorium. And I was a percussionist we had to move a lot of things. We also didn't have air-conditioning in that building. It was hot we and we were able to cope with it. I personally think the temperature in the building is kept too high. I'm seeing way too many children running in and out of the building with shorts and T-shirts on when it's 8° turn the temperature down to 68° with all the bodies in it. Then you will find the kids will need to use lockers more often.
		Dislike option A. Devalues residential property. Currently have a view of park do not want school adjacent to home.	Keep school away from homes. Maintain view of park for residents.		
Rebuild will be most expensive, renovate next expensive, I like the options for kids but not the cost	Definitely repair, maybe fundraise for renovate, we just paid for other school rebuilds and the community center so hold off on a rebuild	Like rebuild B, don't like moving middle school north of rebuild c, don't like parking of rebuild A, Hastings needs to be rebuilt it's so old	No 3 stories currently around	Renovate a seems to solve the problems without rebuilding the whole building	
I like that option one has a designated cost, TBD on the other two options leaves me with no choices other than option one. New is nice but what's the cost, what would the levy be, I'm one can't give an opinion without the required information.	See above	Similar to the Burbank, it's unacceptable to show designs with no estimates listed. Without even ballpark estimates option 1 repair.	See above	Again, what is the cost. The way these are being presented is not worthwhile.	See above
		Please do not move Hastings to Thompson Park! It needs to stay where it is. Renovate or rebuild.			
				Rebuild necessary. Way too expensive to repair for limited value	

					I am a Mallway business owner and a UA resident. The removal of the parking lot is very concerning as such. Will the same amount of parking be available to the space you purchased on Coventry and will staff be required to park there vs the Mallway? If parking is not adequate, I urge you to consider the damage to the businesses that are working so hard to revive the Mallway into a thriving small business center for the community. Thank you!
	Visitor parking gets packed during peak drop off hours. We really need more party parking if possible.				
The Rebuild option seems like the best idea because it allows the needed changes to be made more easily and affordably		The repair option will not adequately address the many problems with the Hastings building. One of the rebuild options would be preferable; Option C seems like the best option to me, but I don't live near Thompson Park, so I don't know how those living nearby would be affected.		Rebuild option seems like the best one because it would be more efficient and easier to make the necessary changes.	
		I like Rebuild option C. Moving the school to a different location leaves better options for flow of traffic during drop off and pick up times which is currently a safety concern. The location accommodates well the need for a larger school. It is also the best option for having the least impact on staff and students while it is being built.			
		Like the option of moving Hastings to McCoy, freeing Reed road up and having more space in that area.		I prefer the rebuild option	
Repair is all that is needed.	No	Renovation B	Why would money be wasted to change the track?	Repairs only needed until after Hastings is completed.	No
Repair option makes the most sense as it meets the needs and saves monies needed for more pressing projects. Any additional money spent would be "wants" vs "needs".		Impossible to give an educated response without actual cost \$'s. Taking cost out of the equation I would rank....Rebuild 1) Option C 2) Option A 3) Option C Renovate would choose option A		Renovate Option A. Rebuild should not be necessary due to excessive cost and the great "bones" of the current historic building which should be saved and maximized.	Totally against a rebuild.
Repair doesn't fix enough of the problems.	Expanding the program- consider future needs of community	Glad no Modular's will be used. Looks like hvac will be a problem unless rebuild option.	More detailed information on the land swap— what will be left in Thompson park- how will the land at Hastings be utilized then? How does that affect busing, athletics, etc	Where is the outdoor play area?	Where are the students going to be accommodated off site? Still able to access the necessary services?
Seems inefficient to spend that much on repairs unless the cost to replace is prohibitive.		Repairing seems like a waste of money given age and size.	Rebuild for efficiency and use whichever saves the most money	I don't like losing the historic look of the current building but understand it's inefficient and needs updated or replaced	
I don't have kids here to know what it is like currently. Renovate seems like a good option cost depending.		Would prefer Option A or B to rebuild. Seems challenging to renovate but also supportive of that option. Would not like to move the location further north.			

		I like Rebuild Option B. Seems like they need a new school and looks like the best floor plan.	I think swapping the land will be tough and more costly.	We are not Jones residents so I will leave that to those parents affected the most.	
I feel as if the building still has a good flow and good bones. I lean toward renovate.		I worry about placing it along McCoy Road unless there are traffic mitigation efforts. People speed along McCoy at a very fast pace. But I do love the idea of a rebuild. Hastings is quite dated. I don't exactly understand the difference between Rebuild B and Rebuild C.			
How do you expect us to make a decision when the costs are not transparent?	Show the costs.	Again, the costs for all the options are not transparent or displayed.	Need to see costs. How can we make a decision on this without them?	Again, the costs are not displayed. I would not put this out for people to digest and vote on without the costs.	Need to see costs.
Rebuild. We pay an excessive amount of taxes in this city. The schools are why. If UA renovated or repairs there is no incentive to move here / stay here vs schools like Johnathan alder or olentangy schools.	Property values stay high bc of schools . If you want increased tax revenue, increasing property value with enhanced services like new schools, better parks, the community center... this is required.	Rebuild option A or B. Rebuilding in the current area offers tradition and keeps the park space alone which is a huge community benefit.	You might want to consider building the track and football fields to tremont. Have all middle school sports facilities at tremont for Hastings and jones. Improve those facilities . Then expand on the gym , arts space indoor at Hastings and build a park / playground setting for older kids to encourage them to play more and get off the screens .	Rebuild , my daughter went to jones nearly a decade ago. To have roaches and these facilities in general in a UA school is an embarrassment.	
I am not in favor of the repair options because I don't think it provides any of the updates needed in our current education models. For Burbank I would be in favor of exploring the renovation option rather than rebuilding. I think that the renovation would be able to meet the needs of the community.	No	I am not in favor of the repair option because I don't think it will modernize the building and bring it to the same condition as the high school and middle school. Renovation option A made the most sense to me as far as reallocating spaces. I am intrigued by the rebuild Hastings.	no	I think for Jones the key is preserving the facade because of the history for the community. I believe the renovation B would provide the needed upgrades to align the school with the elementary and high schools. Renovating is the only option. Under NO circumstances should the facade change. This build cannot be torn down to be rebuilt	no I will be extremely upset if this historic building, with all of its external character and detail is torn down and replaced by the cheap looking, character-less materials and architecture that every new building in UA for the past 10 years has been constructed with. Please don't do this.
Unfair question without budget info. Is this a push poll?	Get a budget — can't make an informed decision without more info.	Do not move to Thompson.	Unfair question without budget info.	Why do we need two middle schools? US has on high school why not consolidate middle schools?	Unfair question without budget info.

This applies to Hastings and Jones as well. Comparing these options is pointless without knowing the difference in costs. Is it going to be another bond issuance? Another operating levy increase? Or both? The financial implications should be the priority of these discussions. Based on the communications thus far, historical precedent, and the presentation of these options, it seems clear that you will be pushing the Rebuild option for all locations. It is disingenuous to do so with a \$TBD stamped on there. This is going to be expensive, our property taxes are already up significantly due to the new schools and associated increased operating costs. How can you start this conversation without even a range of the cost??					
I don't understand why we're paying for a school that isn't considered Upper Arlington school! The tuition that's used for the kids to go there should be used to make the changes.	The least that it would cost the taxpayers. Because again when my kids went there, it was stressed that it's not an Upper Arlington school		Are you going to extend the park that exists next to Hastings if you take the park from McCoy?	Renovate a or B	Where are you going to put the children if you knocked down the school?
I support options 2 and 3 as most areas are renovated or rebuilt.		I like the shorted lead time of the 3 rebuild options. The land swap is interesting. The repair only option is \$50M, what a waste of money to not get what your really need and want for the future. I'm for rebuild A, B and C.		Repair- the layout doesn't work today and renovation won't make it any better and you just spent \$40M- scrap this plan. Renovate B or Rebuild look like the best options. The building looks great on the outside, but ancient inside, it needs a full makeover - every room needs to make sense, these 2 plans make the most sense from a layout and modernization for the growth of this community.	
While I wish I could say just renovate as that sounds cheaper. However, I realize our population continues to expand and grow. As such, the rebuild is probably necessary so you have enough space and could increase enrollment.	Just hoping we can be fiscally responsible and not go overboard.	I am not sure I know enough about Hastings to answer this question in good faith.	I think minimizing the timeline is good. Rebuild actually takes less time than renovating so that might be your best answer.	Renovate A sounds like the worst. I don't think Repair is nearly sufficient. So that leaves Renovate B or Rebuild. Hard to know which is better with seeing \$\$ information. No idea where you would put the students while all of this going on. yikes.	I don't envy this committee or the planners- what a Herculean task! Thank you
Renovate	Good foot print already just reconfigure	Renovate	Has a good footprint already	Renovate with add on	Historical building
There are no cost estimates for two of the plans, and \$13 million for repairs seems extreme when there are no explanations as to what repairs are necessary.		Absolutely dislike the option to move to Thompson Park. That space should be sacred natural space. UA is already so tight on field space and parks, it seems criminal to absorb what little we have with more construction and building.	Again, very few details to allow for commentary. A \$49 million budget must have some significant improvements in mind, it would be nice to share those with the taxpayers. Also, not having any idea of budget for the other options makes it very difficult to form an opinion. Many of us are still reeling from the high school levy, and inflation and property taxes are already extremely high.	No budget proposal	More transparency on numbers
		Do not move Hastings middle school to Thompson! That would be too disruptive and expensive	Keep Hastings in its current location		

I don't really think it would be necessary rebuilding Burbank I think that it could possibly be rebuilt, but in a different way. I really like number 2.	I don't think so	I don't really like anything about Hastings. I'm saying rebuild option number two. I think the building has to go.	I'd say maybe the renovate option is to maybe renovate the exterior interior and make it more nicer.	I kind of like renovate option, and I kind of thought that they could add onto where the tennis courts are but what would they do to the original tennis court?	I don't think that there are any other ideas
I like that you could rebuild and continue to house students in the "old" building.		I very much like the idea of rebuild option C, putting the new school at Thompson Park. Reed Road Park could then be redesigned for optional park usage. This location would seem to centralize the school closer to the HMS population.		The school has been bandaged and re-bandaged for 100 years now and every iteration is a compromise. It seems like it is time to tear the existing structure down and rebuild with modern designs, strict building codes and 21st century materials. Also, the school is build on top of a very disgusting, unhealthy sub foundation.	
I like the renovate and larger rebuild options, the school needs to be made larger. UA is growing and with the recent large apartment and condo buildings being constructed all over the city, student numbers will increase and overwhelm the school system with numbers.	UA is growing and with the recent large apartment and condo buildings being constructed all over the city, student numbers will increase and overwhelm the school system with numbers. Every year my boys are in school it seems their class student numbers become larger and larger.	Hastings middle school needs to be expanded and updated making more room for the growing student population. Rebuild option C seems like it would be easier to have the easiest construction phasing, possibly saving costs over the entire project and making the entire project easier on UA staff and students. As a possible backup, rebuild option B may be a good one due to it not requiring a land swap while still providing a new updated school that better fits the needs of the community's middle school students.	There is no easy solution for expanding and updating Hastings middle school. Similar to when Whitcliff elementary school was rebuilt, it is a necessary growing pain to keep UA schools at the top of their class, and deliver a quality education that draws so many people to the community for the school system.	Renovate option B would provide the best benefit for providing a modern school while retaining the historical look of the school, keeping it in line with the community where it is. Renovate option A would provide a possible lower cost option if funding is not available for option B.	Jones is almost a historical land mark of Upper Arlington, it's been part of the community for so long. Generations of my family have passed through there. Any updates will need to maintain the classic look and character of the community to fit in with the surrounding area.
		I think Hastings should definitely be rebuilt. It's an old school that's basically falling apart. Plus it looks like renovating won't give adequate ceiling height for hvac. I think it should be on the same site. I don't think Thompson park should be messed with & I like that Windermere can currently go there for field trips & field day. I think I like Rebuild Option B best. It allows for parking farther away from the school, which is nice when using the pool & for other events. However Rebuild Option A would give staff parking close access to the school & would possible look better from the street, with less frontage on Hastings Lane.	How it will impact the use of Reed Road water park, baseball fields & activities at Thomson park.		
Rebuild option. Provides the best long term solution.		Like the land swaps for each options. Most intrigued by the Thompson park option.		Renovate option B and Rebuild Option seem to be the best	
		I like Rebuild Option C. Hastings is primarily fed by Windermere and Greensview, so it only makes sense to have it closer to them.		I like Renovate Option B. Jones is a historic and iconic component of Upper Arlington, and should absolutely not be torn down. However, the interior is very outdated, and a simple repair won't suffice. Renovating is good middle ground, and I feel that Option B maintains the beautiful exterior while updating more interior components than Option A.	

				I like the overall designs. When I attended Jones I loved the tennis courts (also because they can be used by the public) but I do think renovating is long over due. I love the concept for all three but if i were to put them in order i would go with renovate at 1, rebuild at 2, and repair at 3. I think overall it would be nice to make everything more open. I always felt cramped, there wasn't a ton of natural sunlight, and it always felt like i was going through tight hallways	I think jones is in need for the update, but with redoing it and potentially adding on i have always loved the exterior. If you choose to keep anything the same, I would love to see the historical feel of the outside stay. I also think that regardless of what route you go, you should keep some historical attributes just in general: the photos of principles, the trophy cases, etc
No opinion here. I am not familiar enough with Burbank to have an opinion and there are no cost comparisons.	New is better if it's in the budget. I do like that the new option has some future potential for expansion baked in.	Rebuild A and B would be the least disruptive to adjacent neighborhoods and accomplish goal. Also, Hastings is built like a tank. In my opinion, it is better constructed than the new elementary schools and high school.	Rebuilding in Thompson park is a nonstarter, a horrible idea that will divide the community, ruin an existing neighborhood, be delayed by lawsuits and probably a ballot initiative. I don't see how this would be worth doing when there is an existing site with multiple acceptable options.	It seems time to say goodbye to Jones and rebuild while keeping or re-creating the character of the facade and building. Can we budget extra for the exterior in order to keep it in line with the beauty of the neighborhood?	
Rebuild is best for current AND future growth	Should we be designing for flexibility to transform this to an elementary or middle school building in the future?	ROI on Repair to address only partial concerns does not seem work pursuing; Rebuild A or B is highly preferred over Rebuild C. Critical to preserve green space of Thompson Park and also avoid what is already a safety nightmare along McCoy for pedestrians and cars. The increased traffic would not be sustainable for this highly congested road which serves as a major artery for East/Westbound traffic and connector to Wickliffe, Windermere.		Renovate B and Rebuild achieve nearly identical outcomes and look very similar. This may make more sense financially to renovate.	
My children currently attend Burbank and have had a wonderful experience. The classrooms and play areas are significantly larger than any daycare for children that age so I think the repair option would more than suffice.	Rebuilding and renovating significantly would be a waste of money in my opinion. I can see where it could use a few updates, but overall the building seems to function well and is in good shape. Less windows also are less of a security concern which I this is incredibly important. They get outside quite frequently as well, so this shouldn't be an area of particular concern.			Renovate or rebuild options seem like they are needed to accommodate students appropriately. I appreciate the courtyard options (renovate option B or rebuild) as they provide more safety for students.	Price - if it is significantly cheaper to do renovate option B, it looks like it achieves nearly the same outcome as the rebuild option. Efficiency and longevity of the renovations should also be considered.

I like the repair or renovate options better than rebuild, partially because it the 2 story building being moved to the far N side of the lot makes it too close to the houses on that side (and for the record I don't live in that area). Who wants the back of a school looming over their backyard? Keeping the building centered on the lot gives a nicer buffer.		I like the repair or renovate options better than rebuild, because the building being moved to the far W side of the lot makes it too close to the houses on that side (and for the record I don't live there). Who wants the back of a school looming over their backyard? Keeping the track on that side is a better buffer. Plus Hastings lane curves right there which is enough of a bottleneck without the main entrance being there too. Very much dislike rebuild option C, due to reducing the size of Thompson Park. That should remain a continuous park. "Swapping" the acreage for equivalent on Hastings Lane may look fair on paper, but one large park allows residents more convenient space for a wide variety of exercise and activities than two medium ones.		I prefer Repair or Renovate A in order to preserve as much of the historic building/architectute as possible.	
		The renovate or rebuild options B seem to be the best. Primarily because parking has moved to Reed rd. While traffic is a concern due to the multiple schools along this section of Reed, it is ultimately a safer option as it will reduce parents dropping off students further from the building and may help with the overall flow of traffic during school hours. As the parking lot will be expanded it will improve the parking situation for extracurriculars outside of school hours.	In order for Option C to be a contender we would need to see what's happening to the existing Hastings lot		
I like the renovate option	No	Rebuild option A	No	Renovate A because I wanna keep the historic stuff	No
The renovation and repairs might cost less than a new build but the rebuild addresses important issues like core academics hvac and natural light. The main entry looks hidden in the rebuild and the parking is the first thing we see when arriving on site.	The current building has a very nice entrance area	Rebuild option c has better access and traffic patterns.	How would rebuilding at Thompson park change the public use of the park?	Keep and repair this is a historic building	
I think that we should try to maintain the existing schools as much as possible. I think it is and was unnecessary to rebuild the elementary schools and we shouldn't make the same mistake	School's and buildings only become historical if we let them stand long enough to do so. Just because it was built in the 1960's doesn't mean it isn't worth preserving.	Do not rebuild please repair. I think that we should try to maintain the existing schools as much as possible. I think it is and was unnecessary to rebuild the elementary schools and we shouldn't make the same mistake	It can't become a historical building if you don't let it exist long enough to be one.	This building gets a rebuild option that isn't actually rebuilding it. Why does this building get treated as irreplaceable but all of our other facilities are treated as old trash ready to throw out? You should repair.	Respect our history by maintaining our existing buildings
I like the rebuild option		I think the land swap is an innovated idea and I fully support it.		I like the rebuild option for Jones	
I like the option of renovating the existing Burbank structure. This seems like a good compromise and potentially more cost effective.		I like all renovate options as well as Rebuild option A and B. If Rebuild option C is chosen, Jones should be rebuilt on Miller park.		I like renovate option A and B.	

I think renovate or rebuild make more sense than repair. If we know there are significant inadequacies in light, space, and use, then we should use the \$ to make a real impact.		I think renovate or rebuild make more sense than repair. If we know there are significant inadequacies in light, space, and use, then we should use the \$ to make a real impact.		Renovate B and Rebuild are the only ones that really address the sunlight issues at Jones. For those who teach there, being in a room with no sunlight all day can be mentally taxing. For me, any option that doesn't address light is inadequate.	
The outdoor play space is preserved.	Adding an outdoor basketball court option to the parking lot, accessible by public after school hours. Lets not miss a simple opportunity to use our spaces to bring our community together in positive ways!				
Use of existing space and minimal disruption to students during the process.	N/a	Use of existing space and minimal disruption to students during the process. Variety of options is great. I think the "Rebuild Option B" is best unless "Rebuild Option A" provides better traffic flow in the area.	N/a	I am not fully understanding the "Rebuild Options" and how it will impact students/staff while the process is being completed.	
Rebuild		Rebuild C		Renovate A	
The rebuild option seems most appropriate for this dated and highly institutional-looking building. The improvements that are most needed and are ideal - including the increased natural lighting in the building - just aren't possible without a rebuild.		LOVE Rebuild Option C. It would allow for shorter construction phase and makes use of available park land, less interruption to the students during construction. All of the necessary changes can be accommodated, plus more improvements to set it up for longterm success.	Will it still be called Hastings if it's not on Hastings Ln anymore? Trivial question but there's definitely some nostalgia attached to the name for many of us.		
As a parent of Burbank for the last 3 years, the school at least deserves the Renovate Option. It is extremely worn on the inside and I like the idea of adding more windows to the classroom and improving internal circulation. The teachers & staff there are top tier so they deserve a more top-tier facility	It wasn't explained in the first two options, but because Burbank focuses so much on outdoor play time, I would love to see more attention focused on the playground/outdoor area. It's so large right now which is a huge advantage, the kids love to explore there. So if there was more intention to different play zones to the outside (garden area, "mud kitchen", updated sand box area, more swings, etc.) I think it would be a huge benefit!			The Rebuild Option looks the most cohesive & end-use seems to be the best. The flow seems easy and knowing my 2 sons will eventually attend here, I would feel more comfortable with a total re-start on this building. Hitting over 100 years old now, I think rebuilding the school would serve the health of our staff & students there to be in an updated facility with proper ventilation and clean air. Also it would help ensure it is the safest option. Let's invest in our kids & I think the best way to do that is to provide them with the absolute best teachers, the newest technology in learning, updated & appropriate school infrastructure. And the way to get the best teachers, is to ensure we have proper facilities for them to teach to our kids in this day & age.	

No cost to help evaluate renovate or rebuild options. The rebuild looks like a significantly different footprint; not enough knowledge to judge whether that's ideal vs. the renovation, but if the costs are similar, then a rebuild may be prudent to modernize infrastructure, hvac, etc.		I like Renovate Option B the best. Seems to make the best sense for the land (it seems like there are efficiencies with keeping the field and building in their current locations), but adds parking and class/grade zoning options. Moving through other grade level teams is a good thing, in my opinion, even if limited due to zoning. I don't like the orientation of the rebuilds with the main entry in the middle. Of the rebuilds, I like option A the best because it still has a street-facing main entry and the orientation of the field (although limitations that close to Reed?).	I don't like Rebuild Option C in Thompson Park. McCoy is such a busy road, so many accidents with the current morning/school traffic, and already congested. I also don't particularly like the layout of the school in that option.	I don't have much to offer on these plans, I am less familiar with Jones. In general, it would depend on cost and what pain points are being alleviated with the choice (is the cost/effort worth the impact in the end?).	
I really have no opinion as to what option is best. The early childhood program, while very important to the community, is a voluntary program that is principally funded by parents who enroll their children in the program. The program's financial costs, including the capital and operating cost should be funded by program participants. The capital costs will have to be amortized as debt service in program costs. Households with pre-K children should provide input into projected future costs based on the available options.	I really have no opinion as to what option is best. The early childhood program, while very important to the community, is a voluntary program that is principally funded by parents who enroll their children in the program. The program's financial costs, including the capital and operating cost should be funded by program participants. The capital costs will have to be amortized as debt service in program costs. Households with pre-K children should provide input into projected future costs based on the available options.	The city and school district have allocated sufficient land at the current Hastings Middle School site to accommodate all potential options—whether to repair, renovate, or rebuild. There is ample space available at this location. Thomson Park, the city's largest park at 49 acres, is a vital community asset. It is one of the most frequently used parks, attracting naturalists, walkers, pet owners, runners, tennis and pickleball players, soccer athletes, and more. The proposed plan to relocate the middle school would reduce the park's size by nearly half, significantly impacting recreational opportunities. As a landlocked community, the city has already explored expanding park space beyond its boundaries in North Columbus without success. This underscores the pressing need for more, not less, parkland. Additionally, parking at Thomson Park is already inadequate, and traffic congestion on McCoy Road and Woodbridge Road is a well-	The community must ultimately decide which option it is willing to fund. Rebuilding Hastings Middle School at Thomson Park will likely come with significantly higher costs, particularly due to extensive site preparation, infrastructure modifications, and potential environmental considerations. In contrast, keeping Hastings at its current location could be a more cost-effective solution, as the land is already owned, utilities are in place, and minimal site work would be required. Beyond financial considerations, the decision also carries long-term implications for community resources, parkland preservation, and traffic congestion. Voters and stakeholders must carefully weigh these factors when determining the best path forward for Hastings Middle School.	The school district recently purchased land adjacent to Jones Middle School (https://www.dispatch.com/story/news/education/2025/02/14/upper-arlington-schools-is-buying-an-apartment-building-for-748k/78470413007/). This reinforces that any repair, renovate or rebuild option is best suited to property the school district already owns. Why the district wants to move Hastings but not Jones, and the cost/benefit of doing so has not been well established by the district.	The community will have to decide what it is willing to fund as it will be expected to pay for the options via an increase in property tax.

Depending on costs the rebuild option would be preferred. This building was not built for early childhood education and I rebuilding would allow for that.	costs and disruption to students.	I do not like swapping land with Thompson Park. This should not happen and while the total park space may be the same there is great benefit in having one larger contiguous space than two smaller parks. I think renovate B or rebuild B would be the best depending on costs.	I would be considering the whole community of Upper Arlington and how swapping land would impact everyone. I think swapping land within Reed Road could be fine but to decrease open green space at Thompson park would be tragic.	Repair option is the least disruptive to the students which I like. Depending on costs and real plans on where students would go for two school years I like renovate B the best, It keeps much of the historic facade and buildings but also brings in more light and space. I dislike that the students would be offsite for two years. I would want to know where they will go for those two years, will UA be bussing them all of the logistics on that before any decision is made. Would they move into the new Hastings building for two years and then move back to Jones, or would Hastings move into the new building and Jones go into Hastings old building? I think coming up with a good plan on where students will be is the most important part given that those students would have two years in different buildings and would take the brunt of the disruptiveness.	I think with Jones the biggest thought is where will students go if renovation options are chosen. I also think moving the tennis courts is fine, but would not move the softball field for more parking spaces, I think using the newly purchased land for the smaller parking spot would be enough. I don't think adding more parking to the point of having to move the softball field would create enough benefit for the school community.
None - As someone in the design/build industry, the 13+million cost for repair and lack of any estimation for the Renovate or Rebuild options is not transparent.	We used Burbank, but it is a high cost tuition paid pre-school in which a child may or may not gain enrollment. This should not fall on tax payers to support as it is private facility under a UA umbrella. This property should be excluded from tax levies.	I worry after seeing the designs of the elementary schools that this is design for design sake. It has been well documented that the amount of housing units would be increasing and yet the schools barely grew to accommodate the mass amounts of families UA is trying to draw in. You are also trying to build a school while all cost are going up 25-30% for lumber, steel, aluminum, most finishes and furniture.	I am sorry, but this is comical. \$43 million for "physical adequacy repairs" that you haven't line item listed. Again, I am in the design/build I would never go to a client asking for approval on a design with that number and NO INFORMATION. Also, you should question whoever is giving you this number because that is extortion for repairs, even with the 25%-30% increase we are seeing.	Again, can't compare with bare minimum information	Postpone the design phase (schematic) decisions until you can RESPONSIBLY come to the citizens with actual information to assess. You may feel like people won't understand what you are truly proposing, but for those of us who are in this industry, this is an insult on intellect.
Rebuild		Rebuild b		Rebuild option	IEP/Sensory spaces
Without knowing the price I'd go with the repair option. The renovation option as you described it creates problems. The build new option seems like it would be too expensive when not many students use it.		No preference	If you do end up building the new facility and kids are kicked out of Jones. It'd be nice to use the new school until Jones is finished since Thompson is more easily accessible.	Rebuild is my favorite. From what I've heard from the community the layout isn't functional and it doesn't make sense to repair or renovate something that doesn't fulfill its intended purpose.	
I like option number two. I think that that's what they should do.	No, I don't think so	I think that they should do rebuild option B and keep it at the original Hastings place	I don't think so	I think renovate option A would be the best.	No
		I like the rebuild option C as it's the least disruption for current students			

		Repair and Renovate options seem difficult with age and hazardous materials. Rebuild option B has the parking rather far from the building itself, whereas all the other options have it closer to the building and the fields. Swapping with Thompson park seems like an interesting idea! Quicker time frame. I think due to the age of the building, REBUILD is a good option.			
I don't like that we don't even have an anticipated cost. Impossible to consider something on which there isn't even an approximate price tag.	no	It should absolutely not be moved to Thompson Park. That is ridiculous. And to put this out for discussion when you don't even have a cost ballpark is also ridiculous. Truth is, you do have a ballpark, but the people would freak out if you told them.	No. You should figure out the cheapest option and go that direction.	Again, it is premature if you aren't even willing to approximate a cost -- or put a floor on what the cost would be. Failing to do so skews the feedback inappropriately.	No. You should go with the cheapest option.
There is no reason to rebuild. Repair the building to get it up to code.		Repair or renovate only. There is no reason to build a new building made out of subpar materials. I am currently sitting in my classroom at the high school listening to the wind whip through a window with a gap in it large enough to push paper through. The materials used to build this high school make it look fancy to the people coming in to watch sporting events but to the people that use it every day it is absolute garbage.		Again, this should be a repair only but if you want to do a rebuild to get a new gym then option A is best as it leaves the majority of the building as is.	As a teacher that also lives in this community I am constantly frustrated with the new buildings that we now have considering how much more I now pay in taxes. I do not want to see the same thing happen again.
I think all schools should be rebuilt		I think all schools should be rebuilt. I would like Hastings to remain in its current location		I think all schools should be fully rebuilt	
I can see repair or renovating.-- I think favoring the repair option	Burbank is the youngest building and I would focus our money more on Jones and Hastings	Renovating here I think would take an already confusing layout and make it even more confusing. Renovate option A would be the better of the 2--better parking layout and pickup and drop-off easier with 2 high flow roads to choose from. Rebuild option A is my favorite of all options-better parking, newer facility, chance for streamlined pickup and drop off. Parking in rebuild B would give staff opportunity to get their steps in before school. Rebuild option C is the worst option-pick up and drop-off would be difficult on busy McCoy rd, encroaching on the "wetlands" and limiting the area for the sports that are already played there.	Rebuild C would have tremendous affect on housing prices that aren't already in place. at the current location, the housing valuation is already built in. Many people already use this park for recreation and they feel it would be a disaster for the park.	I hate the fact that it's gonna cost an arm and a leg to rebuild this historical building, but as the Oldest school that's been renovated multiple times, I think its time. I like the renovation options, but it needs a complete redo and modern approach.	I obviously went to the old schools- Windermere, Hastings, UAHS. With the new High School, my kids have expressed some amount of concern about the "open air" concept as it pertains to potential school shootings. "it would be like shooting fish in an aquarium". Myself, I am more concerned about the schools as a place of refuge (still rare, but more likely than the active shooter) for bad weather. I felt very safe at old Windermere with it's block construction when there was a tornado and wonder about the safety of the new schools. Just a thought/concern that could be addressed in the new buildings. Good luck making everyone happy :)

		Rebuild options C is unacceptable and a horrible waste of resources. Do not destroy a beautiful green space when other options are available.			
Repair due to cost		I think it's really important to have an efficient HVAC system and grade level teams clustered - for both students and staff. I prefer any of the 3 rebuild options, but think the layout and parking are better in options A and C.		The rebuild option is so much more efficient and I think will serve the community better in the long run.	
		Feels like a lot of excess money and wasted resources to move the school entirely, especially so close by.		Keep Jones looking historical, it's one of the few areas of UA that still has some history.	
Renovate is my choice.	The middle choice is usually the best.	Renovate is my choice. Do NOT use Lane Rd park. It is used by many in UA.	Renovate is best.	Renovate is my choice.	Again, renovate is best.
Burbank serves a very small population of UA and asking the entire city to rebuild the entire building is not appropriate. A renovation would be sufficient.	You shouldn't make a final decision until you know the costs of all options. It's irresponsible to just "dream big" and not factor in the cost to tax payers. My opinion on my favorite option would factor in costs. If rebuild was the least expensive, I believe most would choose that option but we are going into this blind.	Renovate A is the favorite to move the field and provide a large parking lot. The building designs in rebuild A and B appear to give up a good amount of potential square footage between each classroom room wing in what I'm guessing is an attempt to maximize daylight. For the cost of construction, close those in and have large interior space.	You should factor in the cost of each option before choosing. It is irresponsible to do otherwise. If there is a \$20 million difference between some of the options, that would easily help us to eliminate some of the choices.	Like renovate A or rebuild. Like having a more accessible gymnasium that would be more appropriate in size and comparable to our competing schools.	Again, the cost should be known on each option before a decision is made. Students spend the least amount of time in the middle schools so families will be less willing to spend as much \$ on these 3 buildings as they were for the elementary and high schools.
The cost for repair for this one may make the most sense vs renovate or rebuild.	No	It's very hard to provide opinions when there is no cost information. There also is not information about if the renovate option is chosen, where the Jones kids go during their construction. I also am unsure about relocating Hastings to Thompson Park. That would be a major change for people that live near current Hastings and current Thompson park. Have you done 3D renderings to show what it would look like at those locations?		I think I prefer renovate option B. I prefer to keep more of the historic structure intact. However as a parent of a future Jones student I am concerned with where the students will be going offsite for two years. That's almost their entire Jones career. I would need to understand the timeline and details before I vote on a levy. I also might prefer use of trailers than relocating the students all the way up to Hastings. Students who otherwise would be able to walk home after school and sporting events will now have to be transported.	Please consider adding an outdoor basketball court at Jones. Even one that is half court would be a great addition. This could be done where the new larger parking option is considered or make one tennis court a bball court. Or could one be dual function? If it's near the track, students could use it on their lunch break. Even adding a hoop and some court lines on the black top near the stadium along Coventry might be an option. There are no public basketball courts at regulation hoop height South of Lane from what my son says. As a resident on Devon Rd, which is a narrow street, I also am concerned what moving the parking will do to street parking near the mall way and pool in the summer. Can we not move the tennis courts to closer to the track?

I am a former strategy and finance executive who has become a teacher as my second career. I live outside of Upper Arlington so it isn't my tax dollars that would directly be paying for this. Overall \$104 million in repair costs across the three sites might be inline with the rebuild costs of one of the middle schools (given we spent \$200(?) million on UAHS). Renovation option for Burbank seems like it renovates the main entrance, HVAC, more windows but acknowledges the suboptimal aspects. Doesn't seem like a lot of things that will be visible to students/families when we put so much into UAHS. Also, would guess the renovations approach would be more disruptive and take just as long (possibly longer) than a rebuild.	I cannot imagine a scenario where the citizens of UA would tolerate a rebuild for say Hastings and a renovation or repair only of Jones or vice versa. To me, UA has opted to do things with a mindset toward the future to maintain the competitive advantage of the community. Rebuilding both middle schools is likely the best option rather than a "band aid" approach of repairs or a renovation that will be costly on its own rite. Perhaps a tiered timing approach with commitments from the community for both schools. Burbank could possibly be handled differently (repair now) to buy time and become a phase three rebuild down the road.	Rebuild Option A seems best. Approach like the UAHS: building where sports used to be and then parking/sports where building currently is. Most ideal set up with parking to support academics during the day and athletic fields in the evening. Is it appropriate for middle school to be by grade level? I would think that middle school is where leveling is more about where you are in terms of academic readiness. There is a lot of crossover starting for certain subject areas (algebra or honors geometry for instance or global language or science I would think). Would it make more sense to have a 6th grade corridor and then have 7/8th be organized by academic subject?	Jones and Hastings serve a similar number of students. See comment under Jones (why 2 story design for Hastings and 3 story design for Jones)? Consider creating an ideal middle school footprint to serve students in a state of the art way.	Renovation option doesn't seem plausible. Where are students if they are offsite? And the rebuild option looks a lot like the renovation option. So, if this was the design, why rebuild?	For rebuild option, do the property sizes preclude having a singular middle school design that would be the same for both middle schools? It seems like figuring out the ideal configuration for a middle school and building the same foot print would make sense, be more cost effective, etc.
I like the current entrance to the school in the repair option. I like the entrance in the renovate option and the plan for expansion. Do not like the entrance in the rebuild option. Too much parking in the front. None of the options take are designed to optimize windows facing south for winter sun	Cost	I will not comment on rebuild options as the current build should not be reused. Rebuild option a and B moves the entrance west and further from reed road to help with congestion. Option B takes advantage of south sun for winter. I really like relocation the school to the south end of Thompson park. Better access and traffic flow- great use of the park area too		Repair or renovation this school is historic	
					When you are talking about spending this kind of money, you should focus on the rebuild option or a renovation option that preserves some architecture / history but largely blows up the existing building. Trying to repair or renovate buildings this old costs nearly as much as rebuilding (and often times more once you open up walls and find surprises) and you end up with a compromised product, often deeply flawed, to preserve history that should not matter in the calculus of creating the best environment for learning. Barrington should have been scraped and built new. I have a 1st and 3rd grader at Barrington and I have a vested interest in the outcome of Jones and I was disappointed with how Barrington turned out relative to the cost. It is an unfair approach to present these options without pricing for all of them. You create a sticker shock reaction amongst the community when you present only the lowest scope / lowest cost option and it has a huge number attached to it. But you don't show the incremental cost of the options with greater scope that may

I don't have an opinion for the options because pricing isn't provided	No	I don't like the options that would move Hastings to Thompson park. Thompson is heavily used by all ages of UA residents. While I understand a new park would be created at the Hastings location it will not have the mature trees etc. I do not think any plan where the city gives up a baseball diamond should be considered. With having a baseball player for years, this city is desperate for diamond space. The space the city would recapture from the schools is not useful recreational space.	Moving Hastings to Thompson park will greatly increase traffic on McCoy road. Traffic is already heavy during school hours especially the McCoy Rd/Woodbridge Rd intersection. There would be three schools within a mile.	No comments.	I think you need to give the community a lot more information about where their kids will be going for 2 years. There is a lot of speculation that they will move to Hastings once it is rebuilt, but that only works if Hastings selects the rebuild option. I think it's worth considering that people feel their taxes have gone up a lot with the community center, the last levy and general property increases, making this wish list of the ideal situation may prove a challenge with the campaign. I've never voted against a levy in my many years here, but it is something to be aware of.
	Option 2 and 3 do not have a cost estimate listed. I am not able to fully compare the three options without this information.		Only one of the seven options has an estimated cost listed. It is not possible to make an informed decision without having a cost estimate for all options.		Only one of the four options has a cost estimate listed. It is not possible evaluate the options without factoring in the cost of each proposal.
Just weight the additional cost vs benefit of each one. Realize you're using our tax dollars not fantasy money.	Which option will fulfill the needs of the city for the next 20 years? Then look at the costs. If the cheap option will only last 7-10 years then maybe do the rebuild which lasts longer	Make sure to have plenty of parking so residents don't have to deal with all the cars like the high school. Also have lockers for the kids!!!	Rebuild it, I heard it's old.	Rebuild it	Rebuild and do it great. Have lockers for kids and plenty of parking so you don't ruin people's homes with all the cars like you did the high school.
Potential for future additions in plans for renovate and rebuild are good.		Like the larger parking in renovate and rebuild plans. Do not like Rebuild C which moves the school location to Thompson park. Do not think that moving grade teams to be together should be a priority, it's fine for grades to mingle.		Like the Renovate B plan that has courtyards/skylights for natural light	
1. Price, I'm sure BECS is great for the people who get in and work there, but if something HAS to be done I think it needs to be the most affordable option. This is a community resource that so few people in the community get the opportunity to benefit from, I don't think some rebuild or price TBD renovation is equitable. This school isn't event centrally located, I'd frankly be more willing to get on board with a rebuild if it was moved.		I'm in favor of rebuild options A/B. These multi-year repair/renovations while the buildings are in use have to be miserable for students and staff.		Same comment regarding rebuild for the sake of not making some kid's whole middle school experience under construction.	More of a project comment than something unique to Jones. Whoever did the Windermere/Wickliffe jobs got away with making the grounds worse...the rocks, the inability to grow grass, the drainage. Need to be a good steward of community resources and hold these folks accountable.
Cost estimates are necessary.	Cost estimates are necessary.	Do NOT like relocating to Thompson Park.	Need estimated costs!		Need to know costs!!!!
Renovate		We think Renovate Option A or Renovate Option B are desirable. Land swap is extremely undesirable especially using existing park area to swap land like Thompson Park.		Either renovation option seems the better choice.	

I do not understand the purpose of taxpayers paying for Burbank. Should the daycare be privatized?			1) Understanding the renovate and rebuild costs would be helpful to see how much more they cost compared to repairs. Also, how long would the repairs be assumed to last before we'd have to pay for a renovation/rebuild. 2) The flow of traffic during busy morning drop-off time periods with other schools should be factored into the design.		A consistent decision should be made to repair/renovate/rebuild respectively with Hastings and Jones.
		I like C and B. Repair is not a good option.	Parking could be difficult with Option C when family meetings or games are happening at the school.		
The Repair and Renovate options seem like band aids. The Replace option seems best to get a building that really meets the needs of students and staff and will have the longest life span.		Repair seems completely inadequate to address the issues at Hastings. Renovate is better but doesn't really result in a building that will last long into the future. Rebuild seems essentially to get a building that is worth the cost. Rebuild Option C is most appealing because it will be least disruptive to the students and teaching staff and will allow for quality education through the process. Locating the school further north also seems good, so the middle schools are at either end (north and south) and the high school is central within the city.		Jones has been an inadequate facility for many decades so Repair is least likely to result in a building that meets the needs of students and staff. The building has been renovated many times over the past 100 years and is beyond its useful life. Replace is the best option to finally get a building that will be functional into the future. I like the idea of retaining the facade. The Jones building is iconic, fits the look of the Mallway and the Historic District so maintaining that continuity of style is important. But quality education is a must and highest priority.	
i like the renovate option based on the expected use of building going forward		rebuild at thompson park based on better location		renovate option b is best sucj that the beauty of the building is maintained, but expansion is suitable for needs I dislike the Repair and Renovate Opt A because they challenge the ability to meet goals set for a future thinking academic learning environment. They are both tethered to old, ad hoc series of additions. Renovate Opt B and Rebuild provide the best opportunities to plan for future learning spaces that can support the growth and development of our kids and community. The Rebuild option is an exciting idea of reimagining what the next 100 years of an important keystone in the civic fabric of the mallway could be.	

I support the option to REBUILD so that the building is constructed with intention to support educational needs of our students for decades to come.		I like that there are a variety of rebuild options, because the current Hastings building falls far short of student and teacher needs right now. A new building is warranted to best serve students now and decades into the future. I currently feel strongest in favor of Rebuild Option C for two reasons: 1.) It would allow a facility to be build that is not hampered by the current layout/location, 2.) It would allow instruction to keep happening at Hastings and Jones that is unaffected by construction while each phase is taking place.	In all of these options there needs to be an absolute requirement that the building has three music ensemble classrooms and an auditorium that provides the same facilities as what exists right now. The music programs at Hastings are thriving and serve a majority of the student population, but the facilities currently serve as a limiting factor to music instruction. There needs to be separate choir, band, and orchestra rooms (one more classroom than current) with proper storage, ceiling height, audio technology, and HVAC appropriate for instruments.	I support either Renovate Option B or the Rebuild Option. Either way, the music classrooms must be on the first floor of the building.	The historic facade of Jones on the Mallway should be preserved, no matter which option is chosen. The image of Jones from the Mallway is iconic for the city and should be preserved to keep the history of the UA Schools and the community alive. It would be an absolute gut-punch to see Jones completely leveled and a modern, cookie cutter building built in it's place.
Need to provide estimated costs for renovation and new build options - even if they are very rough, such as \$350/sf for renovation + \$600/sf for addition, for example. Rebuild option locates large portion of outdoor play away from building footprint and adjacent to new parking.		I was curious now the repair cost broke down as I could not see how a repair was almost \$50M and then I found the 2025 Facilities Assessment Report. This report includes work associated with a renovation - not simply repairing the facility to function. This number appears artificially inflated for just "repair" work in order to steer the community toward a new build - which is unnecessary as the existing condition and layout of the building warrants a new build alone. The term of repair is misleading for the work that is being proposed. Has a traffic study been performed for renovate option B? I imagine there would be traffic concerns from the City if the new access was directly from Reed Road. This would be a similar comment to Rebuild option B, but not to the same extent. Where did the Rebuild estimated construction timeframes come from? Over 2 years for just the new building and demo of the existing is a long time - especially with another year for the rest of the site work for options A and B. Hopefully these become more realistic	The current condition, layout, and construction of the existing Hastings building is overdue for a rebuild. The building was very well constructed when it was originally built, which is why it can remain in use today; however, that type of school design no longer aligns with today's pedagogy and is not conducive to the learning environment expected from a leader in educational excellence such as the UA school district. The most logical and economical next steps for Hastings, the students it serves, and the UA community supporting it is to rebuild rather than spending money to repair or renovate the bones of a facility that is no longer sufficiently serving its students. As to which rebuild option is the best path forward, this requires additional conversations with the City to better understand the land swaps and weigh the benefits and downsides. Unfortunately, both options that keep the current lot move the building further from Reed Road, placing the track and field as the forefront of the school and relegating the building to the backdrop. One of these options appears to have		
Repair - I assume this is the least expensive option and this building does not have the same number of students as other schools.		I believe Hastings needs to be renovated. But, rebuilding Hastings seems like a high expense and not worth the money.	I absolutely do not like the options that include moving Hastings to Thompson park. I don't live in that area, but I use the park and if I lived in the area, I would be furious if you took up some of the park to build a school (especially as a direct neighbor). Homeowners purchase houses based on what is in the local area. Some purchase based on being near a school, but others purchase based on being in a quiet area/near a park. This would change the area drastically.	Jones is on the list of historic sites and should not be rebuilt. However, it has a poor interior design, bees and cockroaches. It makes sense to renovate Jones and hopefully this would include improving the flow. Currently, you need to know which stairs to climb to get to the correct side of the upper floors.	I hate that you are taking away the tennis courts with either renovate option.

I like the renovate or rebuild options. In general, knowing the goal for building classrooms with ideal lighting and better sizing and bathroom access, I lean towards rebuild if it means more effective accomplishment of those goals.	With the addition of new apartment buildings in UA, I'd imagine a growing need for affordable child care options. Any efforts that would support improvement of that offering here are appreciated as someone expecting a child in the near future.	I best like Renovate option A or Rebuild option A. Main reason being how the parking is situated in those two option. In the B options, the parking entrance is off of Reed road and will be more dependent on traffic lights. I am curious about moving the location of Hastings to Thompson park, but I would like to know more about how that impacts things like bus routes, pick ups and drop offs, and how centered it would be in general around families sending their Kids to Hastings.	Adding more interst to the building designs. Maybe gargoyles or other textures.	I prefer the Renovate option B or the Rebuild option. The more I learned about the history of Jones, the more I realized most of the core history in the building design can be replicated in new construction. From what I can tell, that aspect is being considered closely by the team in charge.	Adding more interst to the building designs. Maybe gargoyles or other textures.
Renovation - like the building. Want to keep playground etc throughout construction. Front door improvements good.	Need cost estimates	Renovation options. I like B better with flipping the field. Need new front entry. I would even take front out more by the entrance to the common room to make that bigger and more secure. It balances needs of current students (nit too crazy space wise) and preserves parks. Needs new gym. I like option for 3 rd floor on addition part too. I think the new build options take away park space. They would not get community support.	Need levy to pass. Don't mess with parks. (from experience living here awhile).	Needs more than repair. Renovation B seems like a good option to get more light and ventilation. Need more information on a rebuild- would facade be the same?	I need information on historical preservation. What will remain of old building? I agree after working in there that needs renovations but should keep facade and some elements. Also where is offsite? Need cost estimates.
Repair	Repair option	Repair only	Repair only	Repair only	Repair only
		None seem to adequately address the volume of what is a truly awful drop-off traffic flow for Hastings.			
		Hastings Rebuild option C. This would allow for more space and free up additional green space within another park. Also, from a traffic standpoint Hastings rebuild at Thompson this allows for people to have more room to travel. I like the idea of renovate. Relocation is not necessary. None of the other school buildings completely relocated to another site altogether. Relocating to another site (Thompson Park) disrupts two communities instead of just the community around the existing school. My children went to Hastings. It is not in such disrepair that it needs to be torn down and relocated to another site.			
		do not make the cafeteria a glorified hallway it did not work for highschoolers it will work even worse for maddleschoolers		same as hastings: no hallway cafeterias. it doesnt work	

Renovate Option. cost prudent and allows for expansion in some critical areas. if cost were no option a Rebuild would be supported	I think understanding actual cost for the later two options instead of TBD would help frame up a more accurate review	Rebuild Option B. this plan makes the most sense as it allows for a new, more practical school to be built (trading spots with the football field) it limits the frontage of the new building to the neighborhood, and relocates the parking lot access to Reed Road which can better accommodate. additionally it preserves the park land and athletics fields both there at Reed Road park as well as Thompson.	PLEASE DO NOT CONSIDER RELOCATING TO THOMPSON PARK! I STRONGLY OPPOSE THAT TRADE	renovate Option B. I think daylight is important in a school setting and if this allows for the creation of courtyards to provide that that would be ideal. while also expanding the learning capacity of the building	
		I like rebuild option B - do not want a land swap option.			
I like the renovate or rebuild options. Whichever option is most cost effective that includes a secure entry.		I dislike the option of moving Hastings to Thompson Park. I like renovate option B or renovate option B, depending on cost.		I like renovate option A	
renovate. Improves air circulation but keeps the outdoor play areas accessible. this age needs the outdoor play area and every month/year at this age is critical in development.					I have had three children at Jones recently. All of talked about some classrooms that did not have good air circulation and they felt claustrophobic and two have mentioned the air quality seemed to impact breathing (my asthmatic son and daughter with fainting issues) My daughter with adhd said some of the classrooms that were really small are hard to concentrate in because of the noise and close proximity to classmates. I'd hate for the historic front of the building to be removed, but if that is the only option to improve these issues then I am all for it. These issues have impacted learning.
i have attended meetings about Burbank. What has not been discussed are the processes the Burbank administration is going through to understand and respond to the changing needs of the community and/or families for child care. At this point it appears that the building process is driving the change rather than the future needs of the parents and community as it relates to children and childcare. Decision criteria should focus on improving the space for children and staff - ie. currently having to leave the room for toileting requires extra staff and does not facilitate independence for children.	While very difficult to anticipate social/fiscal/employment changes the need for child care and the form it takes will likely change over time. The current center was created as a response to working parent's need for child care. At the time employees were locked into rigid work practices - ie - 8 x 5 required to be at desks. This has changed dramatically over the past 5 years which has resulted in child care facilities to have much more flexibility in the schedules. This is also a logical time to consider the types of care that are not provided and consider if they should be added.				

I like the repair option for Burbank as I do not think the school requires as much attention as the middle schools and resources should be diverted to those buildings. The length of time that students are at Burbank, and the nature of the curriculum requires a less sophisticated space (unlike the middle schools). I had students at Burbank for preschool years and never found the building to be in a state that interfered with age-appropriate learning.		I like Option B		I like the rebuild option because of the improved adjacencies / circulation. I dislike that most of the options require the students to be off site for 2 full years and really dislike the thought of not keeping the facade of this historic structure in place. A lot of the new developments in UA are void of the character that makes UA special, and the Jones facade would be the latest casualty.	
I would favor a repair of Burbank.		I would favor a renovate A/B or Rebuild B. I do not like the idea of land swapping - especially with Thompson park. That will make a large portion of Thompson not accessible to all and limit the green space. I would like Hastings to stay in it's current location.		No opinions on Jones.	
	I think the expense for this building should be kept to the minimum required, at most the Renovate option. IMO, this school/program is more of a nice to have than a core educational component of UA Schools.	I love the idea of moving Hating to Thompson Park, if we need to have two middle schools at the same time (because of Jones work). It puts the school in a better, more central location for it's service area, and it takes a lot of traffic of Reed which is already too busy. If there is a way to not need two middle schools, while Jones is renovated then the cost difference between Reno A & B will be the determining factor for me.	The people are Thompson Park will blow a gasket if Hastings is moved there, but the school district and the city of UA need to think about more than just the immediate park residents.	I really like Reno A. The school needs more space and it needs realigned on the inside, but I feel the building is good enough, as long as it gets renovated with the limited new build. We don't need a lot of rebuilding. Non-optimal organization of class-rooms is fine to live with, and I imagine the cost of a heavy to complete rebuild would be enormous.	Natural light is great but rooms without it can get fake windows with artificial natural light to fill the gap. Sharing potential solutions for where the kids will be schooled for two years is going to be a critical piece of the overall puzzle and so far I haven't seen it specifically addressed.
The repair option doesn't actually address the deficiencies and leaves us with an insufficient building for our needs. The renovate option is better but still leaves us with many of the same problems as with the repair option. The rebuild option is what is most appropriate and provides for the necessary space and amenities needed for a school in the UA system.	While the cost to rebuild is higher than what I would like it to be, it is still the only fitting option to bring all the schools in the district up to the standard expected in Upper Arlington.	Look, the middle schools need to be completely rebuilt. Repair is a fools errand - the structure is now so out of date for the school district that it is akin to an embarrassing eye-sore. Plus it's putting lipstick on a pig, you just can't "fancy it up" enough to make it look like it belongs in UA in addition to the fact that it's just pushing off the rebuild that we need to make happen because the building is becoming more derelict by the day. (I have two kids in Hastings right now and have had three others go through Hastings so I know first-hand.) The renovate option A is better than option B - the parking lot is so far away from everything in B that it makes the situation worse than it currently is. Not good at all. So renovate option A is the better of the two but it still isn't what we need. It still leaves most of the problems with the school as they are. Rebuild is the only thing that will give UA a middle school of the caliber needed for this community. Of the three rebuild options I believe option A is the best. While it will require a land swap it keeps the parking closer to the school which is important in	I'm not a huge fan of the building's layout for rebuild option A but it is my current favorite. What really needs to be done is to redesign the layout so that the main entrance faces the parking lot. Either between the gym and the auditorium or over where the gym and kitchen support area currently is in the drawing. Don't keep the darned main entrance facing the street. That is so inconvenient!!! If we're going to go through the trouble of rebuilding why would we make the main entrance less accessible? That doesn't make any sense. Let's go with rebuild option A and move the main entrance and then it'll be a really good design.	Same argument as before, repair isn't going to fix anything and only continue to give us all the same problems we had before. And for Jones I really don't think the renovation is a good idea either. Rebuild while preserving the facade of the original building. Must keep that facade and the heritage that goes along with it. But the internals? Trash them. Gut that decrepit old insides and bring this school into the 21st century. All people around here care about is that it looks like the original school. What's inside they don't actually care about because they rarely go in there. If they did they would say to gut it and rebuild too. This is the only feasible option so I'm not even going to bother talking though the renovation options because I don't think they're worth the time. Rebuild!!	This is important menas so please take note. Keeping the tennis courts may be important but I'm telling you that moving them to that current parking lot in front of the Devon pool will create serious headaches. That pool gest a lot of use and taking away those parking spaces right in front of the pool in exchange for putting more parking by the football field will create problems. What if you put the tennis courts in that green field back near Andover? That green space, while nice, hardly gets used. And there may be all this talk about football practices or some nonsense but listen, they can practice on the field (it's not that great anyway - plus we're talking about middle school football - they can practice on the field they play on, it's not a big deal.) The thing is we're working in a very confined area where space is at a premium. Much better to leave the parking lot at Mailway Dr., have the football team practice on the football field (God forbid), and move the tennis courts into that green space that almost never (this is true, ask around) gets used. And keep the idea of the

I like the rebuild option, but could go with renovate depending on cost discrepancies between both choices once more information is available.		I believe ruling out the "repair" option is a good next step. It is difficult to pick a preferred option for renovate and rebuild without any cost estimates, however I feel moving Hastings' location is not ideal as that impacts so many more community members than just those who are enrolled at Hastings, as many moved to their homes due to proximity to the school or the peaceful, quiet park. In other words, I dislike the proposed land swap to Thompson park.		I would like to rule out the repair option as that does not seem viable for the long term. I would like to know more about proposed costs for the renovate and rebuild option, and I would like to know whether a rebuild option would maintain the aesthetics of the current Jones and fit with the historic feel of the neighborhood- that is my preferences. What is the plan for off-site for Jones students while this happens, and will the middle schools be worked on simultaneously? If not, will costs be secured for materials for Jones even if Hastings is rebuilt or renovated first?	I am looking forward to the next phase where there are dollars tied to these options after repair is hopefully "ruled out"
I dislike the fact that I can't factor in how much it will cost in order to make a decision about whether a renovation or rebuild is worth the increased cost.	You should be telling us what it's going to cost us before putting this up for community review.	I don't like the fact that we have no cost estimate. I am not in favor of relocating Hastings Middle School. Thompson Park should not be altered for this purpose.	Let us know how much each option will cost before having us decide whether it's worth it.	I don't like that I don't know how much most of them will cost.	Maybe put out this survey when you actually have all the info necessary for us to decide.
Prefer repair/renovate option depending upon Hastings. If rebuild Hastings move Hastings to Burbank's location, move Burbank close to Fire Station near current Hastings	See response above	Leave Hastings where it is, if a decision to move, build new at Burbank's location, move Burbank close to Fire Station. Anything but Option C. Woodbridge is already a road built to speed on and would only be more dangerous given these traffic pattern changes.	Buy the land at Reed & Henderson that's contemplating apartments vs retail. Convert that to Burbank or Hastings. Swap schools with Wellington and renovate the gym for them.	Repair Jones & all the schools. The costs are getting ridiculous for all these things w/o growth to support the underlying investment., only higher taxes.	See all the above; There is no growth in UA to support these rebuild plans, only increased tax rates, totally out of control.
		I feel it is a big mistake to rebuild Hastings Middle School according to option C. It would involve a land swap that would severely damage Thompson Park, as it now is. The park is UA's largest park and an area of salvation for many people, including children, teenagers and adults. There are softball games, soccer games, tennis matches, pickle ball games, not to mention the hundreds of people who walk there daily on the paths and take their pets/dogs there. Then there is the consideration of how traffic would be seriously affected in the neighborhood. It would be a daily stress for all the residents in the area, not to mention the increased number of accidents and possible pedestrians injuries. Property values of houses in the neighborhood would be lowered, I feel, by building the new Hastings Middle school in Thompson park.	I am a long time resident of the neighborhood around Thompson park and building rebuilding Hastings Middle School according to option C, and tearing apart 1/2 of Thompson park, be very upsetting and stressful to almost all the residents in the neighborhood. There are better options and I feel it would be better and probably much cheaper to rebuild the middle school, in the location it is in now.		

		The only one I strongly dislike is Rebuild Option C. I do not wish to see the school moved to Thompson Park, adding extra traffic between St. Andrews and Windermere. I also do not like the idea of losing a very large portion of Thompson Park to the school. The park is widely used and having the school there would negatively impact that for the community at large.	I don't see much difference between Rebuild A and B and they both seem fine.		
renovate seems to be the best use of space for this building		I like Renovate option A or B. Rebuild option A or B - can be built on the existing footprint and update to what it current standards. PLEASE DO NOT MOVE IT TO THOMPSON PARK. This park is widely used for a plethora of activities and parking/traffic would be a disaster on McCoy	DO NOT MOVE TO THOMPSON PARK		
Repair Option- Fixes immediate needs, possibly kicks can further down the road unfortunately Renovate - Preferred option. Keeps building compact on the site and brings it up to today's standards. Rebuild- Flip the site plan and could work as option. If cost same or similar to renovate, then pursue!	Move Burbank School to Hastings or old Senior Center site. Use site for field house or winter sports rink	Rebuild B is really the only answer here. Hastings track and stadium are in bad shape. This still allows the school to front Hastings Lane without interrupting the neighborhood.		Renovate A or B works well!	
Dislike that tax dollars are being spent on a daycare building that also charges a fee to its residents. This basically is a private daycare so shouldn't use tax dollars. If there's no choice, the lowest cost option would be what I would prefer or better yet, do nothing.	Do nothing. This is a daycare that charges for services.	Dislike the idea of the middle school moving to Thompson park. Not sure how people are supposed to have an opinion about which option is best w/out cost estimates for each option.	Only keep at existing site. The private schools around (Wellington and St Andrew) should have different schedules so that drop off and pick-up isn't as congested.	Definitely should not re-build; this building is historic.	Repair or renovation is preferred. Again can't pick one without cost estimates.
		Renovate options make more sense to me. As someone that lived in that area, I think keeping the track along the back of houses is most appropriate for the residents. Moving to Thompson doesn't seem logical from a potential cost perspective and park perspective. As the biggest park in UA, it doesn't seem like a great idea to lose the park space.	Rebuild on the existing footprint. Students would likely have to be offsite, but could keep residents happy and use appropriate space already allocated for the school	Prefer to keep the structure if possible as it seems historic for UA	

Rebuild option. Burbank could and should be a centerpiece of the district for early childhood education and intervention. This school is the first experience many parents have with UA as a district and the it should reflect the commitment the district has to educating all children - especially those most in need of the type of early education and environment a district like ours should be capable of providing. It should be the type of school parents fight to get their children into and move here because of what it can provide.		Rebuild Option C alleviates two problems in the most straightforward way and achieves what is most needed - a new building. By moving the construction off-site from the current school the disruption to students is minimized and timeline for completion potentially lessened. This also alleviates a continual problem with the current location which could only be worsened during onsite renovation or construction - congestion/parking. While the disruption from onsite construction would eventually end - the congestion would not. Hastings Lane and the neighborhood are ill suited to handle the amount of vehicle traffic they do. This is exacerbated during start and dismissal with two other schools funneling vehicle traffic south through the two signals on Reed road. While rebuild options A and B at least move the building to the west side of the property this seems unlikely to meaningfully reduce the congestion/parking problem and may make it worse with vehicles using Romney to access Mountview road.		No basis for opinion.	
		The over-inflated "repair" budget makes going further with this survey pointless. Especially since there are no budget numbers for the other options.			
		Do not destroy Thompson Park	Do not destroy Thompson Park		
These renderings have no information for me to make a decision from. The conceptual cost is incomplete and these no photos. Why would I want option 3 aside from raising the ceiling for HVAC? I'd go with option one based on the lack of information.	List pros and cons for options. How much extra are we spending to get windows what's the benefit or opportunity cost to not getting windows.	I like the idea of renovating it to preserve the history. I also like the proposed new location at Thompson park			
Not understanding exactly what this is, shouldn't this be self-sufficient.		We just need to repair and maintain	Repair and maintain should have been an emphasis years ago. They are buildings around the world that are hundreds of years old. Why should we tear down anything.	Just repair has stated before.	Again, need to use money wisely. The new high School was a joke. No real transparency. People didn't know what they were voting for. A lot of hidden costs.
Rebuild is the only option in my opinion - Burbank is woefully outdated and undersized for the work being done there. The building facilities do not begin to meet the needs of learners in the 21st century.					
		I love how moving Hastings to Thompson Park would allow for better traffic flow and a seamless construction process.			

Repairing the building is piecemeal sticks a bandaid on the building but it cannot address future needs. Repair just kicks the can down the road without solving the problems. Our children deserve better than that.		Repairing the current Hastings building is piecemeal and sticks a bandaid on the building, but it cannot address future needs. Repair just kicks the can down the road without solving the problems. Our children deserve better than that.	Any design must include sufficient space for music and the arts. So, Orchestra, band, and vocal music must all have separate spaces designed to meet their different needs.	Repairing the current Jones building is piecemeal and just sticks a bandaid on the building, but it cannot address future needs. Repair just kicks the can down the road without solving the problems. Our children deserve better than that.	
Renovation is also piecemeal, limited, and very disruptive. It does not allow for the kinds of flexibility and changes that we will need in the future. Renovation also places students and staff at risk of exposure to hazardous materials.	We should build the best possible buildings for our children's education. We should never skimp on our children. UA attracts families because of the quality of the schools; we should continue to meet the highest standards.	Renovation is also piecemeal, limited, and very disruptive. It does not allow for the kinds of flexibility and changes that we will need in the future. Renovation also places students and staff at risk of exposure to hazardous materials.	Music and the arts shape students' character and skills of creativity, analysis, communications, focus, team work, and a host of other areas that will guide them and help them to achieve success in other academic classes in school and in life in whatever fields they choose.	Repairing a hundred year old home is a personal decision in which one can choose to live with the quirks and limitations. That is not true of a school. Our children deserve the best possible school facilities. Emotional attachments to a pile of stones should not weigh heavily in the analysis.	We live near Jones and our children attended Jones during the renovation in the 1990's. That renovation was chaotic and disruptive and limited because of the pressure to maintain many historic features of the building. Building fresh will eliminate those imitations and allow a better outcome.
Rebuilding is the best option because it permits UA to create a structure that meets today's needs and also anticipates future needs. Rebuilding creates the flexibility to meet tomorrow's needs however they evolve. Rebuilding eliminates student and staff exposure to hazardous materials.		Rebuilding is the best option because it permits UA to create a structure that meets today's needs and also anticipates future needs. Rebuilding creates the flexibility to meet tomorrow's needs however they evolve. Rebuilding eliminates student and staff exposure to hazardous materials.	I am glad the UA recognizes that our school buildings are old and obsolete for today's needs. Rebuilding schools will benefit our students and community for many decades. We owe it to future students to think big.	Renovation is also piecemeal, limited, and very disruptive. It does not allow for the kinds of flexibility and changes that we will need in the future. Renovation also places students and staff at risk of exposure to hazardous materials.	I recognize the public pressure to maintain the facade, but I don't care about that I am much more interested in designing the best building to facilitate education now and for many decades in the future.
Rebuilding is an investment in our current and future students' education. We should not skimp on our children.		Rebuild Option C is most appealing because it would be the least disruptive to students and staff and remove them from the disruption of construction. Rebuilding on the current site would	Finally, if we thought the best decision was to replace the high school and several elementary schools, we should likewise replace the middle schools.	Rebuilding is the best option because it permits UA to create a structure that meets today's needs and also anticipates future needs. Rebuilding creates the flexibility to meet tomorrow's	
Rebuild. Too expensive to renovate. The needs for preschool options are only increasing		Rebuild A. Neighbors are already used to the school there. Moving it to McCoy would upset the apple cart as far as neighbors etc. I like the McCoy location better but I wouldn't like it if I lived by there.			
not knowing the cost to the different options makes the decision difficult.	what is being changed between each option, because the changes listed have not affected the learning of the children, but more cosmetic changes.	not knowing the cost between each option.		not knowing the cost between the options makes it difficult to choose.	
				The renovate and rebuild options for Jones will destroy an historic structure. The repair option which preserves the original building and its 1930's additions is best. Do not touch the stage or the gym or the existing classrooms. Jones is a community treasure and deserves to be repaired, do not destroy its greatness with a careless renovation.	If additional space is needed for Jones, the use of the existing tennis court space could be an option. If an addition is done, it must look like it was part of the original building and not an awkward add-on. Care must be taken to get it right. Look at the recent Thomas Worthington addition as an example.

	The price tag on the repair option is low, which suggests to me that the building is still in good shape.	I dislike the plan to move the school to Thompson Park for several reasons. First, this will likely be the most expensive option as it will require touching two different tracts of land. Second, for residents who enjoy Thompson Park, it makes the vote more about losing a park and less about rebuilding a school, which threatens the overall success rate of the ballot measure come November. Third, it creates a larger school zone along McCoy Rd. compared to what's currently along Reed Road. Fourth, it requires the removal of more trees unnecessarily and I personally dislike the needless removal of trees... they take years and years to regrow. And finally, the value proposition does not seem to justify the added cost that will be incurred as all of the key attributes are accomplished in the other options that don't call for a location change.	On a personal note, my partner and I just moved into the Thompson Park area. And we were so looking forward to enjoying the park. We were disappointed to learn of this option and would rather it not happen.	No opinion until costs are assigned.	
I like the rebuild - it also leaves an option for continued growth as well		I do not like the idea of moving and building at Thompson Park. Traffic there runs even faster and there's already a lot of congestion in the area on McCoy by Thompson Park. St Andrews families use the McCoy entrance for arrival/dismissal. I am aware Wellington uses Reed but their buses use the Fishinger Road entrance which helps with traffic not getting as congested			
It is hard to offer any type of feedback when only one cost is listed.	Less focus on Burbank as it does not support the community at large with limited enrollment.	Please do not ruin Thompson Park. Find any other option available to remain on existing property.			
		Please don't use Thompson Park to rebuild	Renovate		Renovation
		Thompson park should not be considered. It is the best park in upper Arlington and would drastically reduce its appeal by cutting it in half. Not to mention the new traffic considerations	I prefer a rebuild option in the current footprint		
		I like rebuild option c. It makes the most sense. They wouldn't lose their track for use during the construction. Rebuild a and b and renovate a and b have such long construction time frames that would be a construction obstacle for 4 summers.		Rebuild option is best. It needs a better flow. More natural light. Why renovate when you will be 'making things work' that have a better solution to rebuild? If Hastings is to be rebuilt Jones should too to offer the same learning environment for all middle school students. I agree it would be nice to keep the front of Jones for history but due to ceiling height differences I think it needs to be completely rebuilt and they can still make it 'look like' the old building. I could care less if the original structure is removed.	Why can't the new Jones building be built on the track? Similar to Hastings proposal? That would allow the kids to stay in old Jones while the new Jones is being built. Jones students shouldn't have to go to school in the old Hastings when there has to be a better option for Jones.

Burbank is not critical to academic success in UA. With cost TBD this should not be proposed until costs are known.	Secure cost estimates before proposing to the community.	Again, how can you bring forward proposals with zero cost estimates.	Never consider taking park space for schools. Find a way to reconfigure existing property and make it scalable. Consider the fact that UA tax base is limited and a good part of the population is elderly.		
		Passionately opposed to using ANY part of Thompson Park for the new middle school. Will organize and fight the city should this be considered. Any additional levy will NOT pass if Thompson park option is considered.	Keep the new middle school on current property. Public wasn't even notified prior to this disclosure about Thompson park being considered. Shame on the city of UA		
		I do not want to see part of Thompson Park used to build a school. It is the nicest park in the city and would be ruined by a school.			
				Renovate Option B is the best, keep the character of the old Jones and provides the space that is needed to continue to do great things.	Option B - Gym support should be next to the Gym? Why not move the cafeteria to the other side of the kitchen? This part of the design looks foolish
Repair		Repair, renovate or rebuild, but stay on Hastings Lane. No Rebuild Option C.	Stay on Hastings Lane. Leave Thompson Park alone.		Repair , renovate or rebuild.
	Has the board considered building a new Hastings middle school at the Burbank location		Has the board considered building a new Hastings at the Burbank location		
		Hastings needs to stay in its current location. Moving the school to Thompson Park is unacceptable. As far as the renovate and rebuilding options, I do not feel enough information (costs) has been provided.		I thought this building was a historical landmark. It cannot actually be torn down can it?	
		I absolutely do not like taking away park space for a school			
		I do not like the options that include a land swap with Thompson Park. The park has immeasurable value to the community.	Additional options for land swaps should one of the pertaining options be chosen.		

		Rebuild Options C will take away recreational space necessary to the health and wellbeing of UA residents. While I understand the need to address aging infrastructure, the renovate and repair options are much less disruptive to the UA citizens.	I want to express my strong opposition to the proposal to relocate Hastings Middle School to Thompson Park. While I acknowledge the need to address the aging infrastructure of our middle schools, I believe that repurposing Thompson Park for this purpose would have significant negative consequences for our community. Thompson Park serves as a vital recreational area for Upper Arlington residents, offering amenities such as ball diamonds, tennis courts, playgrounds, and multi-use paths. It hosts annual events like the Summer Celebration and Movies in the Parks, which foster community engagement and contribute to the quality of life in our city. Utilizing this space for a school facility would permanently reduce the availability of green space and limit opportunities for outdoor activities that promote health and well-being. The park's facilities support various community athletics and events. Displacing these activities could disrupt		
The rebuild option would create more natural lighting, but also more exterior walls. What difference will that make to heating and cooling costs?	Be sure to plan for solar on all roofing structures.	Rebuild option C would be least disruptive to the current campus but also remove valuable athletic fields that are used by hundreds of children from April through October every year. Unless the same square footage is replaced at the current Hastings campus this is an unwise choice.	Solar should be planned for all roofs.	Renovation A and B seem the most practical in this land locked area.	Solar should be planned for all roofs.
		Rebuild option A is the only option! Do not take away our parks! Only place that will allow traffic safety.	Rebuild option A		
Renovate as the amount of greenspace appears maximized. The Renovate footprint appears suitably compact whereas the Rebuild option with Core academic areas located off of a central hall way appears oddly disconnected. I would want classrooms and staff as close as possible in an Early Childhood environment versus spread out across an existing parking lot.		I dislike the Rebuild Option C proposal. The potential amount of bus and passenger car traffic imposed upon the area in and around neighborhoods adjacent to Thompson Park is concerning. With the existing location of Hastings off Reed Road, the traffic lights at both Hastings Lane/Reed and Grace/Reed slow traffic appropriately by the school. Moving the school to McCoy, where vehicles are accelerating eastbound as they come up the hill towards Woodbridge with the sun in the driver's eyes and middle school 'walkers' gives me pause. Let alone the prospect of parents, running late for school, taking Woodbridge Rd at too fast a speed off of Lane Road to get to an entrance off McCoy is problematic. Also, why disrupt BOTH neighborhoods around Thompson Park and Hastings? Rebuild Option B has no land swap and appears to be the most reasonable alternative. Lastly, Thompson Park is host to several sporting tournaments (esp. softball and baseball) throughout the year and having a new school (i.e. very expensive infrastructure) building next to these	I would encourage a traffic study in the Thompson Park area versus the Hastings area in terms of speed, etc. The lanes/roads in and around Thompson Park are narrow and while the ones by Hastings today are also narrow once you get off Reed Road, you have a constricted way to enter the property whereas moving the school to Thompson Park just moves that issue at a greater scale to the NW end of UA.	Rebuild Option - the timescales are similar and with the age of the building, etc. it's time.	

		Please don't disturb Thompson			
Repair feels the most economical for a relatively lightly used site.		Rebuild option B looks promising. Do not like the idea of moving to Thompson Park, McCoy traffic is already unsafe/busy and Thompson Park in it's current state is a highly utilized metro park and walking path for adjacent neighbors. Dramatically changing current uses feels uncomfortable without a compelling "why".	Could Rebuild B could be pulled away from the western neighbors if the track was flipped to run North/South?		
		Not in favor of moving middle school to Thompson park.			
		I believe that Hastings should remain in current location and not be moved to Thompson Park. The move would disrupt the traffic flows McCoy Road and Woodbridge. Thompson park is one of the best open land and remaining green spaces remaining in Upper Arlington. The space is also fully utilized in the spring and fall for sports and enjoyed by all demographics young and old.			
		Dislike using Thompson park! Leave this beautiful green space alone!	Keep Hastings where it's at!!!		
		I do not want Hastings in Thompson Park!			
Repair what is necessary. I think it does not need rebuilt so close to rebuild/repair of all the elementary buildings and high school.		Absolutely against moving Hastings to Thompson park.	Again against moving Hastings to Thompson park. It's a beautiful park with parking near by all sides.		
		The school should stay where it is.	They should do repairs to the school.		
Support the repair option. Unnecessary to rebuild.	N/A	Completely oppose the option C of taking away parts of Thompson park for this school. It will increase traffic with St. Andrews so close to it. Worst idea possible.	Consider somewhere else other than taking away our parks.	N/A	N/A
Repair	No more spending on facilities	Needs to be the cheapest option	No more excessive spending on facilities		No more facilities spending
Rebuild on the site seems to be the best option	No	I am very opposed to building a new school at Thompson park.	Not Thompson park	Staying on same site	No
Option 2 to renovate would be a good option as the building was built in the late 70's and the brick interior and open staircase are visually pleasing.	The rebuild option would be good also as it is not moving to far from it's location.	Option rebuild A would work for the traffic flow.	Option C should NOT be considered as it would require the destruction of a beautiful park. We need large green spaces in UA that are accessible to everyone.	Renovate option B would be a. Good option.	
		I like moving it to Thompson Park			

I don't see any appreciable improvements with the rebuild option. An HVAC contractor worth their salt can figure out how to make the HVAC efficient with the existing ceiling heights. Not all schools have high ceilings. The rebuild design doesn't look like it is designed for an integrated educational experience but rather for three "pods" or separate age levels (not sure). It seems like the Cafe, MPR (what is this?) and admin should be central to all students. In my opinion, this design reminds me of three trailers stuck on the side of a building. There is no mention of the current square footage vs the rebuild square footage but I am guessing the rebuild is lacking square footage, hence the future addition. This would be good to know. A side by side comparison chart would be useful also.	I hope you ask and take heed of the teacher's opinions about the school design. Architects are educators. They don't have to run to the bathroom to clean up vomit so please allow them to provide design ideas that will make their and their students' lives better during the school day	Rebuild Option C is a big loud no. How could you even entertain this idea and why? There aren't any large parks in this part of UA. Why can't UA embrace the beautiful and historic buildings they have and bring them up to speed or add on to it with something that looks like it was never an add on. Worthington high school is a good example of this. They would never have torn down a building, kept the facade, and attached an ugly box to it. What is the reasoning behind the three pod design of both of these schools?		Please do not tear down any of this gorgeous building.	
Prefer repair—known cost		I would adamantly be against the rebuild option C—my wife and I run most days in Thompson park—it is close to our home and disrupting and cannibalizing Thomson park would significantly decrease our quality of life. In general I would favor the options that don't require a land swap.	Putting Hastings in Thomson park is a terrible idea—please do not proceed with this option	Hard to make recommendations without knowing costs of all the options	
		Keep Hastings where it is at. You did this with the elementary schools and can redo it within its existing location. Do not move it to Thompson park.	The rebuild options at Hastings are good.		
		Rebuild option B looks like it gets a lot of desirable things without the land swap	I live on McCoy Road and am against the Rebuild Option C move to Thompson Park. The park is the reason that we moved to this area and it is in constant use by the surrounding neighbors as well as others who travel to enjoy the park, play sports, walk their dogs, play with their kids, jog. McCoy is also a heavily traveled road that would be greatly impacted by the additional traffic that this would bring.		
		Do not move the location of Hastings middle school.	Anything but moving it to Thompson park. That would be a terrible location.		
Rebuild		I prefer a rebuild option in Hastings property. McCoy road is too busy to add a school. I do not like the repair option because the buildings are so old.			
		Traffic near Thompson will be a lot. Taking up a beautiful park is pointless. Rebuilding where Hastings already is located is a perfect option.	As someone who lives by Thompson, moving the school to Thompson is not a good idea and would be useless.		

The repair option is kicking the can down the road and a waste of money. It may seem like the most financially conservative option however I think it wouldn't add any value and would still be costly. I think either the renovate or rebuild options are good.		Again repair option is a waste of money- no added value, with still cost to community and tax payers. I think renovate options aren't enough of a fix for this building- some classrooms smell of mold (science classroom) and we've seen what a complete new building does to improve student and teacher experience. It also increases home values and brings people to UA. The rebuild option for Thompson park makes a lot of sense for the entire community. Thompson park is huge and opening up more park space in the middle of UA (where Hastings is) would be nice for that area. I think with Wellington across the street there is a lot of school traffic so moving Hastings makes sense for that too. If keeping at the same sight but rebuilding A plan makes the most sense. I've done school drop off and with rebuild B there will be traffic backing up on Reed Road which is a main road in UA.	Please consider implications for traffic on Reed road with rebuild plan B. I think for this one reason plan A is better. Drop off/pick up times and all event times will result in a back up of cars entering from reed road (exit would be fine)	Again repair is a waste of money. I like the rebuild option the best- this building has had too many bandaid repairs and needs a fresh start.	Please do the same (rebuild or renovate) for both Jones and Hastings. I think it's more likely a levy will pass if it's the same for both areas.
Renovation is the best option		Renovate or rebuild on the current site. More centrally located to all students. Don't destroy a great park		Renovate an original building	
NA, I haven't seen the Burbank building in a few years so I don't have enough information to have an opinion on this.	NA	I support each option for Hastings renovation or rebuild EXCEPT moving the school to Thompson Park. Thompson park is a gem in this part of Upper Arlington: our community deserves to keep this space as a park to enjoy.	Please do not select Rebuild Option C. This does not make sense and it reduces park space from our community members. Sports fields, walking path, tennis courts, playground and more! It would negatively impact those who live nearby in multiple ways including reducing our property value.	I haven't been inside Jones recently to be able to make an informed decision.	NA
		Absolutely no to option C. Upper Arlington is a landlocked community and existing park spaces should be preserved at all costs. The existing land is sufficient for the school and a move should not be considered, which would also significantly impact quality of life and property values for homes and residents adjacent to the parks.			
		Rebuild option c is impractical and would be incredibly annoying for residents in the thompson park area. It would also destroy one of largest and most used parks in UA.			
				Due to the fact that it doesn't appear it would take significantly longer, I vote for the rebuild option. This would provide the students and faculty with a brand new redesigned space that would hopefully meet all the wants and needs of the community.	

		The repair or renovate options would be less expensive short term but the school will ultimately need to be replaced, and unlike Jones is not in a historic building where preserving its history matters. I would support rebuild options A or B. Moving the school to Thompson park may "simplify construction phasing" but takes away a critical public resource (and a community staple worth preserving) which would then require significant additional funds to turn the existing school into a park. Just looking at the expense required to upgrade playground facilities at the parks in recent years, this would be a huge added cost. Rebuild option C should be dropped.			
There are no options until a cost is provided for the renovation and rebuild options are priced out.		Thompson park should not be an option. Too much traffic both vehicular and pedestrian. Loss of large area of park. Make it work as renovation or rebuild on same property.		I like option B renovation. Although without a cost of these options it's not feasible to make an informed decision.	
		The rebuild option at Thompson Park is a terrible idea. UA has historically made terrible decisions. I will actively oppose. Leads to increased traffic and demolition of an accessible park to north side of Arlington.	Fiscal responsibility and prudent use of tax dollars.		
I do not think moving the school to Thompson park is a good idea		I do not think moving the school to Thompson park is a good idea		I do not think moving the school to Thompson park is a good idea	
Rebuild- more flexibility to add on in the future, seems less disruptive to learning		I like rebuild option C because it seems like it will reduce the construction time by a year.			
		ABSOLUTELY DO NOT move the school to Thompson Park. This is a sacred park with lots of history, monumental trees, and benches that have been left to honor people's lives. Do NOT destroy the most beautiful park UA has to offer. Rebuild what is currently existing.	Rebuild. DO NOT MOVE TO THOMPSON PARK!!!!!!		
		I feel like the school minimally needs repair so like any options with rebuild and repair to allow for improved expansion. Flipping the with where the track is currently fly is reasonable. I only worry with how congested traffic is at that area with Wellington and the pool that it is always difficult going into that corner. Moving it to Thompson park is reasonable but there is still traffic along McCoy and St. Andrew there. So somehow improving drop off areas are my main concerns. I worry most people will be driving thru the surrounding neighborhoods			

		I think Hastings should be rebuilt to bring it to modern standards and set up success for the future. Whether it stays at the current location or moves to Thompson Park may matter more based on other factors. For example - if it moves, will Reed Road water park be redone and expanded (an expansion could be hugely beneficial and tip the balance to moving Hastings to Thompson Park as the right option)?	What the new area looks like at the current Hastings site if Hastings moves to Thompson Park. There is great potential there and exploring that may help make the right Hastings option clear.		
I dislike the repair option as it only kicks the can down the road. Unless the cost is just too great, I feel rebuilding is a better use of funds because the renovate option just results in a less than ideal facility that will continue to be a problem. It is impossible to choose between options without a ball park estimate of what it will cost.		I dislike simply repairing or renovating facilities and spending millions of dollars on something that will eventually need to be rebuilt. I do like the idea of rebuilding to ideal specifications and getting a building that will be useful far into the future. I would need more information as to cost to choose between the options. I am saddened that they are even considering truncating Thompson park. I have lived close to that park since the 1980's and know well how heavily used it is. I do not understand the specifics of the land swap plans but even if the entire current Hastings Middle School footprint were turned into park land, it wouldn't make up for the loss of the south end of Thompson park. When events are held there now, parking is a real problem. What will it be like when there is a school where all the southernmost parking spaces were? Last summer it was not unusual to have cars parked on both sides of Land road from Reed all the way to Coach Rd. It really made things difficult for those of us who live there. For that reason alone I can't support a plan that reduces the size	one last thought...is the idea of moving Hastings to Thompson Park just so they can have a track that has a north south orientation? If so, maybe build a track off to the side of Thompson park and the kids could use it.	Same as the others. Why waste millions on a repair that will kick the can down the road. It will only be more expensive to build ten years from now. I have no idea what the costs of these projects are so I can't decide which is best. I do find it alarming when they say the ceiling height may limit the efficiency of HVAC systems. Does anyone think we will be less likely to need AC in the future? Just admit we will need to rebuild and get on with it!	
I like the new build to put vehicles on one side. Also less impact during the year	A price estimate would be nice. Teacher input is important	- Several students like the idea of walking to school by Thompson. - Several students do not want the baseball fields at Hastings to be moved. - Several students put emphasis on the current Hastings parking lot not being big enough; emphasis on a bigger parking lot (interesting that students made note of this with drop off/ picks up/ sports/ riding bike experiences) - New build allows for students to be organized by teams and create a sense of community Like rebuild B for teacher access to teams, appropriate ceiling and parking. It is preferred the school stays on that land for proximity to fire station for the safety of our kids. Do not like the move to Thompson park, allowing more people more access to the school/students than preferred		Where will students be for the 2 years? At Hastings? Concern we are losing the history of UA if we tear down and rebuild. Prefer to keep the structure and add on. Glad the option isn't to move Jones	

We should repair or renovate.		Absolutely DO NOT take away part of our green space by moving to Thompson park. Can't believe that is even a consideration.	DO. NOT. PUT. IT. IN. THE. PARK!!! We need more green and not less of it.		
		I use Thompson park nearly every day and do not want to lose any of it. A major bond issue was approved a few years ago for schools and, given its huge amount, it raised property taxes a lot. Until our statehouse members can provide acceptable funding for education I am tired of massive increases in property tax. A 60 year old building is not overaged or obsolete. The "obsolete" high school in my home town was demolished because it sat on a beautiful site that developers wanted for luxury apartments. We vote for maintenance at 50 million rather than some "TBA."	Rehab the existing site.		
		Moving Hastings to Thompson Park is a TERRIBLE TERRIBLE IDEA. The traffic has gotten terrible since the horrible design of one lane...if turning left onto McCoy. It is in the perfect location NOW. I think rebuild is best so as not to disrupt the education process - Rebuild A and B ONLY. Woodbridge and McCoy would be a disaster. It is currently in a much more centralized location. This is such a bad idea it is mind boggling.	The rebuild at Thompson park is very bad advice. You will anger the large majority of your current TAX PAYING residents. The majority of the population in UA does not have children (we do- my parents don't) and they USE the park TOO. This is one of the worst ideas I have ever come across. I usually go with the flow but it is SO bad I felt the need to complete the survey.		
		Totally disagree with moving the middle school to Thompson Park. It would take away land the community uses as park space. Not to mention the people who live around the park bought their houses to be close to a park, not a school which would create a lot of noise and traffic. That's the same issue with some of the renovation plans. Putting a school practically in someone's backyard. I'm sure when they bought their house they weren't thinking a school would be built directly behind them.	Yes. Consider how YOU would feel if a school was being built in YOUR front or backyard yard. It's easy to make changes when it won't directly affect you. The options being considered should only include the plans where Hastings remains where it is.		
		Would hate to lose Thompson Park space - should stay where it is			

		I prefer rebuild options A and B. Hastings would be updated without a major move and the costs associated with a move. I believe rebuild options A and B provide the greatest opportunity for improvement without the negative impact Rebuild Option C would have to an existing dedicated green space. I do not want Hastings to move into Thompson Park. UA has limited dedicated green spaces and this park provides dedicated walking paths, Wooded areas, sport fields and the proximity to the recently remodeled library. The playgrounds and buildings are used regularly for UA events and sports teams. The increased traffic alone would dramatically and negatively impact the surrounding neighborhoods.	I do not support building a new school in Thompson Park.		
		I am writing to express our strong opposition to Option C, which proposes relocating Hastings to Thompson Park. As a family who specifically chose our home based on the location and size of Thompson Park, we have several concerns regarding this proposal. Firstly, moving Hastings further north would result in the school being less centrally located within the district, making it less accessible for many students. Additionally, this relocation would significantly reduce the park space available to residents, including those of us who purchased homes in this area for its proximity to Thompson Park, rather than Hastings Middle School. As a future Hastings parent, I believe this move is not in the best interest of the middle school students and the community for several reasons: Accessibility: The new location would be less convenient for many families, potentially increasing travel time and transportation challenges for students.	Has the district or city concerned talking with the neighbors who would be directly impacted should you move from Hastings to Thomspon?		

Not enough detail for a comparison. I would like to see all options labeled by use: classroom, gym, art room, size f room and current room size. The new elementary schools are very loud and distracting, especially and the classrooms are smaller than before. There's also no room for growth. I get the feeling you've already decided on the solution you want just like Windermere, which is a horrible design for learning and cheap problematic implementation. A year later and they were dealing with peeling up vinyl flooring, roof leaks and pooling water, a playground that was half the size of the previous one and a cafeteria that is too small to accommodate everyone, not to mention no planning for tornadoes.	Layout of building, especially classrooms and shared spaces. You really need to consider the flow of students during transition periods and noise reduction. These issues were not considered at Windermere and now they're stuck with a horribly designed building.	Nothing at Thompson Park is acceptable. You'll destroy the property values of the neighborhood and create traffic issues that are untenable. Stop the vanity projects and hire more teachers.	Option C is disastrous. You'll be adding a third school to the already congested traffic from Windemere and Saint Andrews. But the architects don't care because they'll collect their money and be gone just like the previous projects, which were neither on budget, nor on time.		
Renovate seems sufficient. Do not need to add more cost to rebuild.		School should stay at the existing location and be remodeled or renovated instead of disrupting a beautiful park and adjacent real estate. Homes were purchased with the knowledge of where the school was located and it is unfair to property owners to have new construction in their neighborhood.			
Renovating would likely be the best long term solution		Rebuilding the school in Thompson Park would inappropriately change property values and taxes owed			
my preference is to start with the repair plan and then discuss some renovation options...if the repair ends up being a temporary fix and this same issue will occur within a short period of time. I'm not totally opposed to some renovation...where there appears to be real value. I don't like the idea of a full renovation, as I think that would end up being a more costly option than it would provide benefits for the kids and the UA community.	These are tax payer funds and should be allocated to necessary repairs or renovation's with cost/benefit analysis being a major part of each decision. Rebuilding, in my opinion, is not an economically feasible option.	First, my preference would be to keep all repairs and/or necessary renovations within the current footprint. I would want to stay away from any land swap situations. Similar to my thoughts about Burbank, I would prefer to make prudent and effective repair, where available and then only renovate those areas that are determined to provide an acceptable cost benefit result. I also strongly support that the final plan keep Hastings at it's current location. I am strongly against moving Hastings to an area of Thompson park...and I believe that move would be too disruptive to those residents that live near and around Thompson park and that have made a large investment to owning homes within proximity to the park and all that it offers.	Whatever the final decision is, Hastings should remain at it current location. Moving Hastings to Thompson Park should NOT be an alternative, as the disruption to the surroundings for those that live around and near Thompson Park would be way too severe and would cause these homes to lose value. The result would be that an unfair and unequal cost would be born by those homeowners that have chosen to live near a nature park and all the benefits that offers to them.	my thoughts on this are similar to Burbank and Hastings. Repair, where the repair makes sense and renovate where renovations provide a clear and understandable cost/benefit outcome	Regardless of a location at Burbank, Jones or the current Hastings location, a full rebuild at either location should be avoided, as the cost/benefit for of doing so could not be achieved and would not represent the best interest of a majority of UA residents.
Dislike: No cost estimates for option 2 & 3.		I do not like the options that would move the school to Thompson Park.		Where are the cost estimates for the last three options?	

				It is great that the "Rebuild Option" would preserve current facade of the historical structure. However, there are other architectural elements of it, such as stone work at the corners, that are worth preserving. I hope this plan will look at those closer along with the facade preservation.	
		Any of the rebuilding options seem more future proofed and like more long term solutions.			
I don't think a real need for an entire new building is necessary. I renovation would be the more preferred option and more resources can be used on the middle school	No matter what plan you pick, you need to have separate bathrooms, girls and boys.	Thompson park should not be an option at all. If we need to select a rebuild we would select option B as long as teachers have enough parking between the track and building. Do not like rebuild A as the school building is too close to the houses. In all the rebuild plans you can not separate the kitchen area from the cafeteria with a hall way which means you have a traffic flow issue. If you renovate, we like option A. Parking is near the building, that helps with voting day and staff. Keep auditorium, music classes, gym, cafeteria, kitchen all together. Also, why are you "hell bent" on keeping the main entrance where it is in option A? You don't need a secondary entrance. Just make the secondary entrance the main entrance. Visitors/parents have an easy place to park if your main entrance is off the parking lot.	It does not seem to me by looking at the plans that much thought was given to how the space might be used and traffic flow during the school day. I'm sure music teachers would like to use the auditorium. Grade levels, I would assume, would eat together so you are going to have a lot of traffic in one hallway as grade levels move from lunch/gym to academic areas. What are the current needs of the teachers and have they been involved in the planning? Has anyone stood in the hallways of the current building to observe the class change traffic flow?	Jones just need a total rebuild. I'm not a music person, but still why are we separating music classes from the auditorium/stage? Why is the gym support not attached to the gym?	Are these plans looking into the future? What will the needs be in 20-30 years
		I do not think they should relocate Hastings to Thompson Park			
Rebuild. BECS is an amazing place. Students, parents and staff deserve a place that is updated to reflect a modern day learning environment. Further, inefficiencies in the existing space, regardless of renovation will still not be fully solved unless the structure is rebuilt.		Rebuild - Option A. McCoy is a mess as it is, adding additional traffic does not seem like the best option.			
I don't like not knowing the cost of the renovation and rebuilds. I like any plan that 1. adds more green space or preserves green space, 2. reduces traffic congestion in residential areas, 3. reduces costs to maintain, and 4. eliminates the need for modular classrooms		I don't like not knowing the cost of the renovation and rebuilds. I like any plan that 1. adds more green space or preserves green space, 2. reduces traffic congestion in residential areas, 3. reduces costs to maintain, and 4. eliminates the need for modular classrooms		I don't like not knowing the cost of the renovation and rebuilds. I like any plan that 1. adds more green space or preserves green space, 2. reduces traffic congestion in residential areas, 3. reduces costs to maintain, and 4. eliminates the need for modular classrooms	

		I feel like it needs more than just repair. It's beyond that. I do like the renovate because I like the position of the school and the football field. I think I like re build option b the most keeping more of the frontage of the school facing the street vs the houses. I do not like rebuild option C. I like Thompson park it is pretty has great trees and I don't think the school should be moved off the property it is on. Plus it would be too close to St Andrews. And all their school church traffic.	Don't move the school to a different site. Keep as much green space and trees		
		I like that the land swap option does not require the use of modular classrooms and is similar to that of the high school construction.		Why is the music so close to the front of the school? It is helpful that when you walk in to be surrounded by the main point people such as admin and counselors.	Where will students be off site for two years?
I have concerns that rebuilding Burbank might be a rushed investment. With the rate of growth in UA, the building may need to be considered as another elementary in a few years. The renovation's points of concern seem valuable, but do not seem to be inhibiting learning. The structure has not seen the wear and tear as a fully functioning facility for the same duration of time as Jones and Hastings. Burbank stopped functioning as an elementary in 1985/86 and was not used to it full capacity until it became slated for use as an early childhood program. I believe tax dollars would best be used on Hastings and Jones and this project should be revisited after those projects are completed.	Has there been any discussion of utilizing the UA fire house on Coach Road for something more than storage? I would be interested to hear more about it's current use and plans for the future. It looks a little worn down and forgotten about. Disappointing that there's been dead landscaping, rusty, outdate mechanicals in the front lawn and a worn #3 on the building for years. Burbank Park, Northwest Park and Coach Road Firehouse deserve a little more attention then what I've seen in the past few years. The landscaping and wood slated fence update completed less then 2 years ago was a disappointment and will need to be addressed in a few years when the wood starts decaying.	I have experienced Hastings as a student and as a parent, and 100% believe Hastings is due for a complete rebuild. I believe Rebuild Option A has the most potential because of the orientation of the school entry way to Hastings Lane. It makes sense and the side of the school facing the back of a residential row, seems "safer" than be on the street. The proximity of the parking lot to the gym, to the front of the school as well as the access to Reed Road water park from the parking lot makes the most sense of all the options. The design of UAHS and the available parking at the front of the school was grossly miscalculated. It's as if those responsible for building the high school had no idea the amount of traffic the front of that school experiences and requires. Please, do not make that same mistake twice. The Option C rebuild at Thompson Park seems like a huge misstep. UA is landlocked, there are no more green spaces available. We need to preserve and respect what we have in place. PLEASE do not take away one of the most active park in our community	Fancyburg? Has that every been considered over relocating to Thompson. In the redesign of this school can we please consider a timeless design that will stand the test of time. The renovation of Barrington was so well done and will never be time stamped.	I believe the people who live near Jones and have their children attend that school should be the loudest voices you hear and listen to. I agree it can't be band-aided anymore and should be rebuilt with an effort to maintain some of its current architecture and front. Thomas Worthington is beautiful and should be the gold standard for how to renovate/build a new school.	
		Don't put it on Thompson park that will add additional traffic that is not needed for that area. As Henderson road is right there and it will not be efficient. I like the renovate option a or rebuild b	Not the Thompson park option		

I have had little to no direct experience with Burbank Early Childhood Center in my 39 years living in Upper Arlington--its location or functional efficacy in the education of students in this city--so my opinion carries less impact than one from anyone whose lives have been and would be more directly and/or NEGATIVELY affected by the full REBUILD OPTION. Simply RENOVATING the existing structure would seem most reasonable for the role early childhood education likely plays in an upper middle class city like Upper Arlington. Thus, on theoretical grounds, using the verbal description of changes anticipated given alongside each option, I favor the RENOVATE OPTION as the most efficiently viable proposition to follow for this venue given its likely impact on global educational initiatives for students in the future of Upper Arlington.	See my more detailed opinion written above...	Any REBUILD OPTION requiring the swapping of land parcels from the current site to any portion of Thompson Park seems like a flawed, Robbing-Peter-to-pay-Paul option at very least. Cannibalizing any of Thompson Park for a new Middle School reduces the quality of existing life, unrelated to childhood educational needs, for Arlingtonians in general not only by limiting inner city recreational space, but also and especially by injecting a high use building and campus on the McCoy Road border of the park--a space that is and will continue to be a site for suburban residence and unsupervised play rather than more purposeful pursuits accessed by citizens employing a necessarily vigilant mindset. For starters, it would degrade the safety of domestic life around the new school site and its existing residential neighborhood for sizeable portions of every day. Traffic patterns around the proposed school site along McCoy Road would immensely complicate automobile access patterns for the areas around it	Without a more detailed prospectus, I cannot comment intelligently on any of the other repair, renovate, or rebuild options presented in the current format of this proposal.	I will necessarily decline to make critical positive or negative comments on this facet of the proposed school renovation project because I have had only remote experience with this particular school campus at one of the busiest intersections of Upper Arlington city life. But, as with my previous 2 stated opinions, I favor the most cost efficient option be taken that disrupts ongoing life in this pleasant community for as little time as would be humanly possible!	See above...
		I dislike the idea of building on public park land. This should not even be in consideration. I like the repair or renovate options.	Ua has hardly any park space . To build in any park would be disastrous for future generations. We already have a horrible obesity epidemic and mental health crisis in this country .. please do not take away open spaces!!		
		I STRONGLY dislike the idea of using Thompson Park for this project. Why would anyone think that's a good idea? Hastings has enough land to remodel or rebuild.			
Repair because it is cheaper and we are getting taxed to death!		Repair again to many taxes		Repair because the building was built to last and is an iconic building in the city!	
I have no opinion on any of these three options, just make the project cost as little as possible	Make this as inexpensive as possible	I do not like the idea of moving the school onto Thompson Park land. Keep it where it is and make the project as inexpensive as possible for the taxpayers.	The residents living around Thompson Park didn't sign up for living with the issues associated with having a school near them so don't force a school on them. Keep the school where it is currently and make the repairs/changes as inexpensive as possible for the taxpayers	I have no opinion on these options other than make this project as inexpensive as possible for the UA taxpayers.	Keep this project as inexpensive as possible for the UA taxpayer
Repair. Keep costs down.		Repair. Do not build in Thompson Park. No one in the area purchased their home thinking that this would not be park area. Building in the park would significantly change the values and living style of the surrounding community. Staying on the Hastings site will have no effect on either area.			

Repair... need cost estimates to consider others		Repair... need estimated costs for others		Repair need estimated costs to compare others	
I think the park should be left alone since the city raised taxes once to renovate the schools and then decided to raise taxes again to build new schools. So leave everything alone because a lot of people are starting to wonder about officials embezzling money from Upper Arlington.	Nope	Hastings is fine. Leave the park be.	Build it in your backyard.	Don't care	Not doing anything
	All plans need to be placed on hold until we know the funding cuts.		All plans need to be placed on hold until we know the funding cuts.		All plans need to be placed on hold until we know the funding cuts.
		I dislike the option to move Hastings to Thompson park. This seems like the most expensive option and importantly destroys park land when the same goal of a renewed school can be accomplished on the current site. Additionally it would extend school zone traffic throughout the neighborhood.			
Has Burbank been considered for updated / rebuild for another option for Hastings? Not sure why tax dollars are being used to support a day care.	Yes consider Burbank for Hastings	Renovate option B or rebuild option B if Hastings is to remain in current property. Rebuild option A is in the back yards of people on Mountview and Lytham. Has Burbank been considered as an option to be Hastings?	Option A makes no sense to have a building facing the homes back yards with no street divider. Please plan empty shell Dave for expansion, tired of tax dollars being used for lack of thought.	Rebuild seems like the right answer instead of a bandaid for a very old building	
Renovate makes the most sense. But it is hard for me to stand behind such a big budget projection.		I support either repair or renovate at current location. I do not support relocation to Thompson park. Building in Thompson park will disturb existing wetland (and wildlife within) and rainwater basin.		Repair.	
Repair		Why would you build a new school and diminish the existing real estate values if you did option rebuild C? No reason to ruin a well known UA area like Kipling Forest. Makes more sense all the way around to leave Hastings where it is on Reed road. Repairing the existing building is the most cost effective.	Any school location should be in area of lowest real estate values.	Either renovation option would be a good plan based on age of the building and needs of updating school areas.	
Cost is a big concern—it only makes sense to repair given that option already costs over 10 million. Burbank only serves a small fraction of children and it has limited hours of opening. We shouldn't try to renovate or rebuild because there is not even a cost estimate.	We should be mindful with fiscal budget and tax burdens.	The only sensible option is repair given the costs. Definitely NOT rebuild option C which would destroy Thompson park. It's already been heavy traffic in McCoy during busy hours and very limited space nearby. Where the school would extend basically blocks the in and out of main streets for the neighborhood. Building a middle school at Thompson park would jam the traffic and crowd the neighborhood.	You should be more transparent in the plan. We only read the map to see that must be Thompson park.	I worry about the costs and tax.	

		I don't like Hastings moving to Thompson park.	Keep existing footprint as park space.		
		Please don't take over Thompson park. It's one of the main reasons I moved to the Kipling forest neighborhood and I'd be disappointed if it were overrun by Hastings			
Just repair. Doesn't need to be fancy. Building had "good bones". Gym and cafeteria need redoing. Makes windows larger or totally air condition		Do not move to Lane Road park. The schools owned land where park is and sold it to city when decided not to build another school building. Tax payers had to buy it from the school district to make it part of city. It is the largest park in city and vitally needed. It gets enormous use.	The schools have never used all of the land owned for much. The area north of baseball fields and abutting RRWP IS RARELY USED. I THINK FIELD HOCKEY WAS there at one point. Maybe rethink the whole piece of land and how it can best be used.	You already rebuilt once. Not a good option. Rebuild and get away from old look. Jones is ancient. Get beyond it.	Hastings has always been sort of an after thought. Make it a desirable building not the step child of the district.
I would agree that Burbank certainly is in need of updates and modernizations, but I believe that those are best accomplished through choosing the renovate option.		I am vehemently opposed to any options that would relocate Hastings. It seems like a massive waste of tax dollars to completely move locations rather than renovate or rebuild Hastings in its current location. I think moving Hastings to Thompson Park would create a nightmare for traffic and I worry about the safety of children trying to cross McCoy Road where cars are frequently speeding.			
	Rebuild		Rebuild		Renovate
I dislike the at you are proposing 2 options without any costs proposed. The only option for a day care facility in a land locked community with declining population is to repair at the least cost to taxpayers.		Vehemently oppose using Thompson Park land - ridiculously stupid idea! Would create a traffic nightmare not to mention destroying the home values and quality of life for the residents around the park. The parks need to be preserved not destroyed. The other plans changing the main entrance to Reed Road don't make sense and will create more traffic issues than there are now. Parking lot should stay where it is don't can be used for the water park. I oppose any option for a rebuild.		Minimal rebuild or just repair are favored. Don't lose the tennis courts.	The citizens of UA have been fleeced enough with the renovation and rebuild of the high school. We cannot afford any additional increase in real estate taxes!
Depending on costs for each of the options is a large factor. UA passed the huge levy a few years ago and I'm not sure the Senior citizens can afford to pay more to rebuild yet again another school. Burbank has not been utilized to it full capacity so we need to really think about which will be better in the long run for our area.		I believe the school should remain where it is now. There is space and I feel we need to preserve Thompson Park for green space and the library. Without knowing costs it's hard to reply. The cost always runs over what is estimated and I hope that UA can obtain all age groups being able to stay in their homes until they desire to move. I realize sometimes repairs or renovations can be costly too so once costs are known a decision can't be determined yet.		Jones is a very attractive building. I think the historical buildings should be kept if at all possible.	Not at this time.
		I like Renovation A with the N/S orientation of the field. And do not support taking from our largest park.			

It is hard to say without the costs on option A and C		It is hard to pick a favorite option without cost estimates on all the options. However, I am adamantly opposed to moving the school to Thompson Park. For one, it will make it extremely difficult for some students to walk there. But I also do not want to see traffic issues transferred to McCoy. Thompson Park is a wonderful park for families to use, and I don't want to see Thompson Park altered in any way. Also, my dog has been bitten/attacked at Thompson by an off leash dog. There are many people that disobey leash laws there, and I do not want any children being attacked by off leash dogs that may get too close to the school.		Jones should really be rebuilt. It is extremely old with lots of problems. Long term, the best option is to rebuild. Renovate B could also be a good option. I do not like the option of underground classrooms.	
Do not understand why our tax dollars are going into renovating/rebuilding to provide for daycare.	Option B only if Hastings stays on the current land it occupies.	B	Hastings need to remain on the current land it occupies		How much money bet can Jones get from grants historical buildings??? R
burbank is a private preschool. This isn't a facility the citizens of UA benefit from. Unless you are repurposing it, or allowing for preschool residents to attend as part of public education, we shouldn't be putting money into this.	burbank is a private preschool. This isn't a facility the citizens of UA benefit from. Unless you are repurposing it, or allowing for preschool residents to attend as part of public education, we shouldn't be putting money into this.			Rebuild is your best option. This school has been renovated many times in the last 30 years and it's never really solved all the issues.	Rebuild is your best option. This school has been renovated many times in the last 30 years and it's never really solved all the issues.
Cost only given on repair. How can you compare?		Do NOT give Thompson Park away! There is already lessened green space in UA and the traffic on McCoy is bad now thanks to the road diet that turned the 4 lane part of McCoy into a speedway.	The residents on McCoy and park users.	Not much you can do with the old building. Why not use it for another purpose and use some SOL green space for the school?	Why keep using the old building?
		Without the actually cost of each project and what monies will be asked of the tax payers, it is difficult to say which option is the best. Personally, I believe the school should remain in the same location. Least favorite option is Rebuild C as I think it would ruin the best outdoor green space we have in the city, that is Thompson Park. Many residents utilize Thompson Park because of its great walking paths and green space that provide a nature like feel within the city limits. A school at Thompson Park would diminish that effect making it even harder for residents to enjoy nature and the benefits it brings to those of us living in a populated area with limited outdoor space available.			

		I do not like Option C. I do not agree with moving Hastings to Thompson Park. In addition I don't see the need to rebuild Hastings. The community was told that the middle schools would not be rebuilt when the funds for the High School and Elementary schools were raised.	Do nothing	Same as Hastings I don't agree with rebuilding the Middle schools	Do nothing
		I would really hate to see any part of Thompson Park used for a school building. It is such a lovely park, and the existence of a school would disrupt much of the quiet and beauty there.			
		I do not want the building moved to Thompson park. You have plenty of space in the current location and it would be awful for all the Thompson park activities and community that leave near the park. The park is heavy with people and activities every day and many of us live near it because we enjoy the close proximity. Moving the school would cause far too much congestion and we would lose so many wonderful aspects of the park.			
I like the repair option		I am very much against the re-build option C on Thompson Park.	Thompson Park should be kept intact. It has a walking path and tennis courts and is invaluable to the community.		
Prefer repair or renovate option. Cost and design issues with rebuild option		Absolutely not for rebuild option C. This would destroy Thompson Park since the school would reduce its size by over 1/3, disrupt the current walking/exercise paths which have a 1 mile loop option, tree walk, and multiuse by baseball, soccer, shelters, etc. It provides a natural area of respite for residents and is a major reason we and others purchased homes in the area. It would also increase traffic in the Lane Road area, which can make turning left from side streets more difficult. Rebuild option B is ok; less effect on neighbors than option A. Parking is close to field, a little further to building. Preserves 2 baseball/softball fields. Rebuild option A parking is more convenient but neighbors more affected. Renovate options are ok but won't achieve goal if separating grade levels is necessary. Depending on cost, may be better to rebuild. Doesn't seem like repair option will work, unless cost reduction needed.	Do not rebuild on Thompson Park.		

I like rebuild for the natural light so we can hire the best staff and put them in an environment where it feels good to show up to work. Kids also need natural light. I don't like the cost associated with a complete rebuild for a school used so little and not even by the full district so for that reason I'd prefer the repair or renovate but try to solve the lighting with new windows or creativity.	I don't believe so.	Unfortunately Hastings should probably be rebuilt. It's in pretty bad shape and the cost to repair or renovate seems like a band-aid solution. Rebuild Option C makes absolutely zero sense. We have an existing footprint and land designated for a school already and there doesn't seem to be any tangible benefits to moving it to Thompson Park. I don't have strong feelings between Rebuild A or Rebuild B but those would be the 2 obvious options in my mind.	Rebuild Option C would be significantly more expensive as you'd be building the same school as options A or B but then you'd have to redevelop the old Hastings site or have a blight in the middle of the neighborhood. That's such a bad idea overall that I can't believe it even made it on this survey.	Again I think Jones likely needs to be rebuilt. It's so old and in bad shape. Repairs are a band-aid. Jones feels like it's a little better than Hastings so perhaps renovation is an option if anything is salvageable but unlikely.	Not to my knowledge.
		I strongly dislike disrupting the nice park we have in place at Thompson Park. I've used this park for over 25 years. First as the mother of small children and now as a 55+ and all the years between. We moved here because of the park!	Look at other "land swaps"! Burbank? Fancyburg? Much lower usage as green space. Or figured it out on the current land.		
Neither option seems like a practical solution - poor layout, not enough gym space for rainy days		Leave Thompson alone! It's a beautiful park. No need for a school there.	These all look like horrible, poorly planned changes. No regard to parking, layout, or functionality. Do better, UA, Do better. We don't need another elementary school fiasco where they look awful and are smaller than promised.		
Do not like any of them. Burbank now functions as a very expensive daycare that only a small percentage of UA students actually benefit. I don't see the difference between Burbank and private daycares like Primrose. As such, I do not support taxpayer funded work on Burbank which will ultimately lead to another increase of our already sky high property taxes.	A taxpayer funded renovation/rebuilding of Burbank would need to lead to Burbank becoming a public school (elementary, middle, etc) that doesn't charge high tuitions to its students. Otherwise, Burbank should be sold off to a private preschool chain like Primrose to help fund the renovation/rebuilding of Hastings and Jones.	Lack of cost estimates for any of the rebuild options make this impossible to assess properly. With that said, moving the school to Thompson Park and rebuilding there most likely will be the most expensive option and should be ruled out.	Should provide cost estimates before asking the community to assess. Ridiculous.	Rebuilding Jones is not an option as unlike Burbank and Hastings, the rebuild would happen over the same footprint as the current building, meaning the current building would need torn down before it could be rebuilt. Where would Jones students go during the rebuild?	
Even though the school is outdated, it is a wonderful large preschool. Much better than most others in the area.	I think we need more information. We need to know the cost to replace vs renovate	It does seem that Hastings needs to be replaced.	The location options need to be seriously evaluated		
Repair. Our city leaders are using our tax dollars like drunken sailors at Port. Stop with the frivolous spending.	Our schools are wasting millions of tax dollars on unnecessary bull-----.	Repair. Stop spending our tax dollars on unnecessary bull-----.	Let's get spending under control before asking for more \$ how about getting rid of liberal ideology in our schools?	Same as above answers	

The current classroom size seems fine for this age group. My wife and I would prefer the repair option. The renovate or rebuild options are unnecessary.	The money can be better spent elsewhere.	We prefer the repair option. We specifically are opposed to the relocation of the school to Thompson Park. We have lived in Upper Arlington for over fifty years and are very familiar with all of the parks. In our opinion, no park experiences as much usage as Thompson Park. Relocation would dramatically and negatively impact the many residents that use the park for so many uses and advantages: the shelter house activities, walking with or without dogs, sports teams, the variety of the natural habitat, etc. Swapping the two locations would be a mistake. The Hastings property as used as a park, instead of as a school, would never receive as much usage and enjoyment as Thompson Park.		We prefer the repair option.	
		Rebuild Option A		Renovate Option B	
Renovation seems best to me. That option seems likely to be a middle way as far as cost, makes use of existing structure, and accommodates needed improvements.		I like the repair option because it makes use of an existing structure that is like still sound and does the least to disrupt park space. I don't like any of the options that require land swaps as all of those would detract from park space that we use frequently and really appreciate as part of the neighborhood. I really don't like the idea of building on parts of what is now Thompson park. Besides taking open space that is now a great asset to the nearby neighborhood, I suspect the new location would create huge new traffic pattern problems that will also detract from the quality of life in that neighborhood.	Please try to make use of the existing structure and do your best to avoid reconfiguration of land use that will almost certainly harm quality of life in UA. People need open spaces.	I think Renovation A is best. That approach continues use of existing structure but also accommodates significant improvements. Under this plan, those improvements would be accomplished within the existing footprint of the school. This option seems likely to be the most affordable way of making important improvements.	
			Make sure you plan for growth. As a member of the schools staff we are running out of space in our new elementary schools. Students should not have to be taking tests in a storage closet because we have no available small group rooms.		
		The rebuild options will likely provide the best outcomes in terms of the quality of the educational spaces and its longevity. Moving location to Thompson Park (Rebuild Option "C") would not be favorable in general. Rebuild Option "B" is likely most favorable to the extent that it avoids the need for any land swap.			

It's impossible to really comment on this without an idea of the cost of these projects or the maintenance needs of the current building. In general it always makes the most sense to remodel a building rather than rebuild it, unless there is a very severe problem with current structure.	Ask this question again when you have enough information for the community to provide a reasonable answer.	Moving the school and destroying Thompson park is an amazingly terrible idea. There is plenty of space to remodel or rebuild the school at its current location. There are so many reasons moving it would be a bad idea: 1. You would effectively destroy Thompson Park and replace it with land that can never become as nice of a natural area. 2. You will be removing most of the best soccer fields in UA. 3. McCoy Road cannot handle the additional traffic. 4. There isn't enough room at that site for the school. 5. It will hurt property values around Thompson park, without improving them at the current Hastings site. 6. If this was done every subsequent campaign against schools levies will say "If we give the school system more money they will use it to destroy our parks." This is such a terrible idea that it should never have been suggested for public comment.	There needs to be a much better planning process performed before publicly asking for comment on proposals like this.	I would renovate Jones and preserve the history character of the building as much as possible.	Again, cost is going to be the main driver factor here, so it's pointless and honestly counterproductive to ask for input when many of the options may be infeasible. It doesn't do you any good to develop a consensus for an option that can't be done.
For the Repair option, what is the estimated timeframe of those repairs before another round of options needs to be considered in the future? Same question for Renovate option? The Rebuild option appears to negatively impact the residential properties to the North given it moves the the school buildings to about their property lines. What credence is being given to them as such a move will impact that trees, sunlight, quality of life, property values, etc.?	What is this facility literally used for (explain to people who don't use it what "early childhood" means and what is offered by this school)? Given the redevelopment of Henderson Road, has moving this school or using that area for this Early Childhood and Hastings Middle School rebuild been considered (why/why not)? This area offers plenty of space for new buildings, does not impact existing neighbors/neighborhoods, plenty of parking and traffic controls.	The Repair option appears to be a stop-gap measure. What is the estimated lifetime of this option (and the Renovate) option before the need to do so again is required? For Rebuild A & B, have you considered locating the track to the North/Northwest of the existing building. The track seems superfluous for a middle school (why is a track needed for a middle school or for both middle schools?). It is a significant piece of property that consumes space, likely removes trees, impinges on neighboring residential properties, etc. for what appears to be limited usage. Outdoor recreation space is needed, but why is a full-scale track and football field needed for middle school? As neighbors of Thompson Park, we dislike the Rebuild Option C for obvious reasons. We specifically purchased our home to be near the park, trees, and greenspace. Humans need such areas and we need to stop reducing them or taking them away. We're continually told that our home values are the result of the	Can you explain why such robust athletic options are needed for a middle school? The track is a significant piece of the property, equal or larger in size than the building footprint. Why is it needed? Do the middle schools have competitive sports teams competing against other middle schools? Clearly outdoor recreation is required for such students, but please explain why a full-scale track, football field, tennis courts, etc. are required for a middle school. Given the redevelopment of Henderson Road, has rebuilding in that area been considered for a Hastings replacement which could also include the Early Childhood school at Burbank? This area offers plenty of space for new buildings, does not impact existing neighbors/neighborhoods, plenty of parking and traffic controls, etc. For the Rebuild Option C (Thompson Park), have you considered locating the school building to the North side of the park to consolidate the buildings with the library? Why not consolidate buildings	The Repair option seems like a stop-gap. What is the estimated lifetime of these repairs before a next round would be required? Given the lack of space and the desire to preserve the character of the neighborhood and structure, the Renovate options have the least impact on the existing neighborhood and effectively maintain the existing footprint.	Has a Rebuild option on the site of the track been considered? Why is a full-scale track with a full football field needed for a middle school (for each middle school)? Please explain this. It is a significantly sized piece of property that could accommodate the building. Children certainly need outdoor recreation space, but why do middle schools need such full-scale facilities (with other options available in UA)?
I like the extra outdoor play space.	Nope!	I like how our students will be able to use new updated facilities. Rebuild Option B's parking is too far away! I prefer rebuild option A!	Nope	I dislike the idea of renovating Jones. The building is already very old, if we renovate we will eventually have to rebuild it anyway. I like the REBUILD option	Yeah some more rebuild options

		Using thompson park is NUTS. That is centrally located to large neighborhoods. There is no way to replicate a park of that diversity and usability in any other location in the city. I beg you to use one of the renovation plans.	Please. No to relocation.		
	Go w/ Renovation option		Go w/ renovation option B, ABSOLUTE No to Rebuild option C		Go w/ renovation A, Absolute no on rebuild
		Do not move properties. Keep the location as is			
potential taking away of outdoor play area if expanded in future.	hard to answer. Most concerning to me is the cost. until i see the costs of each option, unable to comment.	Hate option C. North UA, which seems to get the short end of the stick, should not have park space taken away.	again, need to know costs before commenting. With the increase in RE taxes due to home revaluation, another levy is pretty hard to stomach. Just being honest.		
No estimated cost for two options.	Preservation of existing trees and consider creating more play filed options for sports	Movign the school to Thompson park is ridiculous. Why is that even an option. That is prime park land at the best park in UA.	Parking should remain on Hastings. Pulling in and out on Reed Rd will be terrible and add more congestion. Do not use any proposal that will restrict access to users of Reed Rd park.	Where would students go during a rebuild year/years?	What about building on the footbal field?
Repair option because it's the least expensive.	Please quit spending tax payer dollars to build schools. Taxpayers are tired!	Repair Option because it's the least expensive.	Quit spending tax payer dollars to renovate/rebuild bricks/mortar. Enough is enough! We are tired taxpayers!	Repair option because it's the least expensive.	We, as taxpayers, are tired of funding school building. Repair the ones that you already have in place. I'm tired as a taxpayer.
		Rebuild option A or B		Rebuild option	
The pages are too small to reaad. Upper Arlington needs to learn we are NOT an endless loan bank. No other plasce on earth puts up new biuldings because they cannot maintain the ones they have.	Looking out for the citizens who live here.	The pages are too small to read.	We need to keep park space. Eroding the quality of life by using park and for a school makes no sense.	The print is too small to read.	
		No issue with A or B. Avid user of Thompson Park. I DO NOT like the idea of change to my park for any reason. Will not support plan C in any way.	Plan C displaces too many activities supported by the UACA that the UA children have come to love.	No issue with these designs.	
I think the total rebuild option is unnecessary. I have grandkids in the school and feel the renovate option would be a substantial improvement and accommodate future growth.	The rebuild option seems like overkill. The renovate option would benefit from the additional windows and better lighting.	Adamantly opposed to moving the school to Thompson Park in option C! We don't have enough park land as it is. I prefer the Renovate Option A since it keeps the parking on Hastings. Moving the main parking to exit on Reed Rd. would totally clog Reed Rd during key times since it is already a traffic jam with Wellington across the street.	If there is a rebuild, I would only support Option A. Don't like having the parking and field on Reed Rd and hate moving it to Thompson Park. Renovate Option B has a poor parking situation as well. I would vote for Renovate Option A.	I don't like that the students will be off site for 2 academic years. Where will they go?	I think Jones is overdue to be rebuilt from scratch like the high school. I don't care if the original facade is kept or not.

I was a Burbank parent. I really like the rebuild option. I especially like the ability to add more space as needed. The problem with Burbank has always been there is more demand than can be satisfied due to space concerns. I like the rebuild option particularly because it is free and clear of the current building. Having visited new-build preschools, there are many important things like low windows, appropriately sized and located toilet rooms, and in-class storage, that are just not available in a refurbished elementary.		I like Rebuild Option C best, then Rebuild Option A, then Rebuild Option B. I prefer Rebuild to Renovate. Renovate option A is my preferred renovate option. I value the rebuild options because there isn't a lost school year, the building is usable the whole time until moving. The reason I like Rebuild C best is that it is new, no one has a particular conception of what it should look like. Being at Thompson Park would make outdoor options really attractive. It would be great to have Lane Road Library on the same property as the middle school.	What about building New Hastings free and clear, and having Old Jones meet at Old Hastings for a year while Jones being rebuilt? Or the other way around?	No, no, no on repair. Jones is a sick building. I hate how the mechanical sounds make me feel the sound and pressure depending on where I am in the building. The hallways are too narrow, like a gauntlet when trying to go from class to class. I like the Rebuild option because the entry for public functions is so much better. I like the grade level teams/neighborhoods idea. In the Renovate options, is the stage and auditorium still a similar size to now? It is totally inadequate in so many ways currently. Crowded, hard to navigate, stage too small.	
The repair will improve quality but won't adjust to changing needs, renovate seems a better choice though I worry about the HVAC system during hotter months, the rebuild option doesn't have enough details to adequately weigh in my opinion		I absolutely despise the idea of impacting the park in any way. Growing up I spent the majority of my time at the park/Library as it felt safer than home. We need to support our parks, not shrink/remove them. My personal preference is rebuild option A as it appears to be the best utilization of the space and improves the parking problem.		Renovation option a seems to have the best overall layout and organization structure	
		Rebuild option C would be better for traffic. Reed Rd is so congested at pick up time because of all of the schools and fire station. A park by the Reed Rd pool would be wonderful.			
I like the renovate option		renovate option B		renovate option A	
n/a - I have no personal experience with Burbank or its surrounding neighborhoods	n/a - I have no personal experience with Burbank or its surrounding neighborhoods	prefer no land swap and using existing plots of land. I prefer rebuild option B		The building should at least be expanded. Repair option is not viable. I'd need more info on the remaining options including phasing of the project and estimated costs and new student capacity to make an informed decision.	Unclear how in the Rebuild option says both "students will be off site for two academic years" but also no modular classrooms? where will the students be? I don't understand.
		Do Not like the option at Thompson park			
This school was the last school built prior to the schools were rebuilt in UA. Just repair it.		Do not touch Thompson Park! It is busy all of the time. You want to take away soccer and baseball fields.		As a teacher, I lived through the last reno. My allergies increased tenfold after living through that reno.	

		Moving the location to Woodbridge and McCoy is NOT a good idea. This would drastically change the area and is not something that these neighbors moved here for. The park is fine the way it is. Property values would be altered for the worse and traffic patterns would be changed! A light at McCoy and Woodbridge would also likely be added. The current location on Reed Road is where the school should remain! I see NO reason why or how this location could be better. Please keep the school in its current location! This option has been discussed before many years ago and again I see no reason why it is being discussed again.	As far as options go regarding different build/remodel options, without knowing more about the cost it is difficult to fully know which to choose. Are there lessons learned both pro and con from the High School experience.	Other than the initial estimate of 40.3 mil I don't see the cost of the other options and find it difficult to comment. We can't simply write a blank check in a community with a large number of retired people with limited incomes.	
Really! How can one determine that when 2 of the options have no estimate.	We are not made of money. The taxes are unsustainable.	Again, you have provided no cost estimates for most options. Hastings is fine as it is. The Thompson Park location is ridiculous. That is a beautiful park for us to enjoy. I am a former Middle School teacher. We want a quiet, relaxing park! Also, poor decisions were made when McCoy Road was redone- decreasing the traffic flow. What a traffic nightmare it will be if a middle school is located there!	This ridiculous spending of money, encourages me to vote "no" on levies- and I am a retired teacher!	Too much money! Every school does not need to be a shiny, new penny!	Leave it alone.
		Dislike the options to use Thompson park	Avoid Thompson park		
I like the option to repair best. It is adequate.	I do not like rebuilding	I only like the repair option. It is adequate.	I ABSOLUTELY do not want a middle school in Thompson park. Thompson park is popular, crowded and ever spot is utilized. Do not put a middle school in it.	The repair option is best and adequate.	I do not think it needs to be rebuilt
Repair existing buildings; the structures exist- we need to focus on using the facilities we have rather than sacrificing other community spaces/places to build new structures- even if you believe it to be "save money" at the detriment of our cityscape. Completely ridiculous.	SAVE AND MAINTAIN OUR EXISTING STRUCTURES- stop building new at the loss of sacred city space/landscape.	REPAIR EXISTING FACILITIES. Use the buildings that are there; stop throwing away what exists thinking you can "save money" or add value bc it's new. This is becoming ridiculous.	The audacity that you're trying to "land swap" a public park. The joys of our UA community are our parks and shared city spaces. There people who live here aren't only those WITH children, so have you even considered the FULL UA community? Seems like no- you only care about the childbearing families and funding you can win.	REPAIR EXISTING. This feels like fear mongering; trying to scare the community into believing that building NEW buildings won't be MORE than the repairs. We sacrifice more than just cost when you tear down historical structures that BUILT this community that you seem so quick to want to tear down. It's terrifying to see.	This city is becoming too hungry for tearing down the buildings and history of UA.
It is SO hard to comment without costs.	I would need more info about the Burbank program in general. How many kids attend, how much is tuition, etc. It is so hard to comment on these without knowing costs. \$13.7m seems like a HUGE amount to spend just on "physical adequacy repairs" whatever that might entail-??	I like the rebuilt options WITHOUT the land swap. I like the central location of where Hastings is now...	Would need costs and especially costs involved with a land swap to really make an informed decision.	Would need cost info to renovate vs rebuild... AND costs to house students somewhere else for 2 years??	

		I believe option B makes the most sense. The school facility already has a long-standing footprint in this location so that the neighbors are accustomed to having a middle school in this setting. People who live in the surrounding homes have purchased their property with the understanding that they are living in close proximity to a middle school. Alternatively, Option C would diminish the city's most utilized and largest park (Thompson Park) in a city that is already seriously lacking in park space. Neither Woodbridge Road nor Mountview Rd. has been designed to handle the increased traffic of a school zone not to mention both Lane and McCoy roads which already have too much traffic. The presence of a school building and all of its associated ongoing congestion, traffic, noise, etc. In the middle of an area historically created for a place of outdoor recreation would no doubt destroy the purpose for which the park was originally intended. Additionally, the character of the surrounding neighborhood would be irrevocably altered by changing a park area to a			
		Definitely NO to the option to rebuild HMS in the Thompson Park. Reasons: 1) It will cause to devalue the homes prices of the adjacent areas. 2) It will deprive the city of its largest, most ecologically diverse and highly neighborhood park used for walking and leisure life healthy activities. 3) it'll destroy a extensive green area that is one of the city 'lungs' and the destruction of the tree path so treasured by the neighborhood. 4) it'll destroy one the best outdoor attractions of the city. 5) traffic and accidents will increase due to McCoy traffic and already fairly high accident intersections . This option will be catastrophic from a financial, environmental and health point of view to our citizens, especially to the ones living on the surrounding area of the park. So. NO TO REBUILD HMS IN THOMPSON PARK!!!!!!			
		I am 100% against building a new Hastings sat Thompson park. I strongly support the rebuilding but believe the current, general area is better suited than splitting our best park into 1/2 park, 1/2 school.			

		I strongly oppose swapping Hastings location to Thompson Park. First, the park is used extensively by residents and other groups and there is no substitute for this park land. Second, we do not have enough park land in Upper Arlington and it is irresponsible to take away prime park land when Hastings is already located on adequate land. The current Hastings location could not substitute for Thompson Park. Third is the traffic issue. Lane Road and McCoy road already experience heavy traffic, especially during morning and evening commute times. Adding school traffic would only make it worse. And with the anticipated work on Henderson Rd, even more traffic will be pushed onto Lane Rd. I strongly oppose moving Hastings to Thompson Park.			
I like that the rebuild option requires less time and probably allows for easier construction since they would be starting with a blank slate. When my child was at Burbank, the large outdoor area was heavily utilized, so it would be nice to make sure that the school still had some large outdoor area available, even when contemplating future additions. I think all kids, but especially younger kids, benefit from that time outside playing.		In most of the renovate options, the parking lots are not very close to the main entrance. I don't know if that is important to the Hastings community or if they are already used to that. What would happen to the soccer fields at Thompson Park? Would new fields be created where Hastings currently is? Soccer fields are already difficult to find for practices and games. Also, could there be security issues having the school potentially so close/within Thompson Park?		I like renovate B the best due to the space it allows for music and related arts that seem to be smaller in other options.	I've heard a lot of talk and concern about the off-site years. Any thoughts as to how that will work would be very helpful to dispell rumors. It's tough to tell what is a possibility and what is just speculation. Will bussing be provided since most families don't live very close to the current Hastings site? It will be a difficult transition for so many families who are used to their children walking to and from school on their own. Also, if Hastings is built within the current site, how will the district prepare for so many additional cars to be in that area at drop off and pick up?
Like repair.		Like repair. Building not important; focus on academics.		Like Repair. Focus on improving academics.	
				Students being off the academic site for 2 years	Students being in another location
To recreate a "new school" with an existing building is financially foolish. Having been in the building, no room is "buried" in darkness.		Relocation is disruptive to existig park on this side of UA; when millions of dollars were collected from taxpayers years ago to help the aging schools, why was Hasting's situation not addressed then? The existing Hastings area has four-lane roads to accomodate traffic, whereas Thompson Park has two-lane roads. The traffic is out of control for soccer, let a lone daily school activities. The traffic is not condusive to the area and eliminates a park.	Foolish waste of money to relocate a school after millions already paid for schools.	Foolish to remove the building rather than work with the existing structure.	Was not the millions collected and charged for taxes sufficient for all of the other schools not too long ago?!
No opinion.	Build in flexibility in use	Adamantly opposed to any sacrifice of Thompson Park. Renovating is best. No opinion on which option. Leave our park alone!	Make more gymnasium space .	Support rebuilding Jones. It was built for a different time . Try to give a nod (architecturally) to the existing building when it is torn down	
Renovate		NO land swap to Thompson Park!	Taking the existing park is a monstrous idea.	Music on level one is smart.	

I like the repair option or the renovate option. The entire building does not need replaced.	The cost!!	I only like the Repair option. This building is not that old and does not need to be completely rebuilt. I do not like the land swap plans at all. What happens to Reed Road Water Park? And DO NOT even think about rebuilding at Thompson Park. Stay away from the park!! We live by Thompson Park. Do not take away any of that green space. That is absolutely unacceptable. UA has already overdeveloped Lane Avenue and Kingsdale and will undoubtedly do the same to Henderson Rd.	Again, the cost. But, sadly, just like the city, you do not care what I or anyone else in these neighborhoods wants or doesn't want. In the end, you will do whatever you want.	I do not know enough about Jones to have an opinion.	How much is all of this going to cost?
I like the old school feel of the building but I think rebuilding is the best option given how old the school is.		I think rebuild Option C looks best.		N/A	
I'm impressed by the creativity in use of space for the renovation option. I have low expectations for what is possible for the existing structure so the plans exceeded my expectations! Given the existing brick facade, I'm unclear if renovated classrooms might be fitted with more and larger windows for more natural light which is a major barrier to wellbeing in the existing classroom spaces? If not, rebuild. The lack of natural light is such a vital feature to me (look at the new hospital builds in our area to see examples of the link between natural light and wellness). In the rebuild proposal, I see how classrooms might receive lots of natural light with two core academic wings. Would the grassy space between the core academic wings be available for classroom outdoor time? I'd like to see that outdoor space be put to use and allow classrooms the option for more flexible outdoor activity time. The potential future addition appears more architecturally intentional in the rebuild option. I don't see the need for a two story multipurpose room so it would be Too much!!!	Intentional space for a nurse/medical staff? If Burbank grows in future, this need would also grow with it	I am very much against moving the school to Thompson Park. The busy road and loss of the green space are top concerns. I am curious to the disruption of morning traffic on McCoy and the safety of kids getting to school on a busy road that is already full of speeding cars.			
	Close it	Just repair	Just repair	Just repair	Just repair
I do not like that Burbank is a part of this discussion. I have always supported the UA Schools levies - I have voted for them every time they have come up. I have lived here my entire life and work here as well. I will not be voting for this levy as long as Burbank is attached to it in any form.	Please take Burbank off of this levy and I will support any option to rebuild/renovate the middle schools.				

I like how the impact to the neighborhood seems limited. I dislike how limited the options are, and the lack of detail and cost outlined here.	They seem somewhat limited. Isn't there any other option to rebuild the school? Is additional not possible on renovation?	I STRONGLY DISLIKE REBUILD OPTION C It is an unnecessary, large-scale change to several neighborhoods in Upper Arlington, and would have a disproportionate impact to the neighborhoods surrounding Thompson Park I STRONGLY PREFER REBUILD OPTION B This option appears to make the most sense with other renovations/rebuild efforts to other UA schools.	Please, please do not actively consider rebuild option C. This would have a SEVERE impact on the neighborhood surrounding Thompson Park, and could severely impact home values in the area. Should this plan move forward, there will be large-scale protest from the community.	I prefer renovate options as it keeps the historic nature of the building as intact as possible.	Unsure.
Very organized presentation. I like the rebuild option as it is the best for the children's needs. The current building does not meet children's needs so renovating or repairing is not going to fix those issues. The classrooms need bathrooms and natural light. There should not be areas in a school with no windows.	I believe a rebuild is the best option both for students and for long term financial planning.		I believe a rebuild is the best option both for students and for long term financial planning.		I believe a rebuild is the best option both for students and for long term financial planning.
		Renovate B. If you were to rebuild the school at Hastings and Romney the traffic would be horrible at the turn in the road. I am very opposed to the Thompson Park rebuild option. There are already two schools along McCoy and putting Hastings on McCoy will create congestion issues in the morning. Additionally, I am very opposed to taking a part of Thompson Park away as it is a well-used park.			
I have concerns about what happens during the project	If a full reno or rebuild takes place, where will those kids go during that term?	The rebuilds seem unnecessary		The reno and rebuild options would be pretty big footprints	
It's hard to analyze without the costs associated with each of the rebuild and renovate options. My instinct tells me that rebuilding may be the best option.		I greatly dislike Rebuild Options A and B because those options would greatly affect the neighboring properties. In many ways, Renovation Option A seems to be the most effective, but again, without pricing it is difficult to tell. Rebuilding away from existing and hopefully turn current location into a better park	I would have no objection to moving Hastings to the Thompson Park area, and in many ways, that location may be a good fit for the city - but there are an awful lot of homeowners in that area that are likely to be very upset.	Again, without costs, the plans are difficult to rank, but I would favor renovate Option B over the other options.	Needs balance of athletic and arts
Renovate Option, This was one of the last schools built and still is viable.	No	Renovate A because it still allows for parking and as long as the current interior is updated as well.	No	A, B or C are similar. No need to tear down the Historic school.	Rebuild makes some sense but only if the front facade is kept for historic look.

I have no strong opinion on this one.		If you polish a turd, it is still a turd. Focus your efforts on a rebuild that brings the most value to community. Do it right with the foresight of integrating new technology down the road. Build something that will last and is a source of pride for the community.	Repairing Hastings may be the worst decision that humanity has made if that is the route you go. Unless you want the school motto to be "\$50M and it is still embarrassing", suck it up and build new. Work with the thought leaders in construction that live in UA. I would hope that they would not want to hose or hamstring the community.	I don't know as much about Jones, but my thoughts are the same as with Hastings. And if you think the South of Lane folks are going to accept a repair at Jones and a new build for Hastings, you haven't been paying attention. Also if you do the opposite and repair Hastings and have a new build for Jones, the problem will be the same, with a different flare.	I can say this, people are going to complain no matter what you do. Work with experts, be thoughtful in the approach. Think of the long term and build something that the community will be proud of and justify the high taxes. People will complain Ray, people will most definitely complain. Do your best to eliminate the noise and know that if you bring value to the community with schools people would be proud of, the majority of folks will be happy, or at minimum keep their absurd complaints to themselves.
				I do not like that renovation option A has classrooms without natural light; prefer courtyards to eliminate this issue as described. Prefer rebuild option due to ceiling height and HVAC efficiency; better long term solution.	I have a 2nd grader and a kindergartner at Barrington who will very likely be affected by this change. I am interested in seeing the plan for the shift of students to an "off-site" school during construction.
I dislike that the cost is still not determined for rebuild and renovate plans because it makes it hard to accurately complete the survey without having all the information. I like the attributes that are listed.		I like the estimated construction planning. I dislike that there is not much detail to the attributes other than land swap and ideal adjacencies and ceiling heights.	I'd like to see security consideration included for each option.	I dislike that the Jones plans have very detailed attributes but Hastings building plans did not. I liked the construction phasing listed.	I hope that it is thought about and considered that both middle schools are apart of the district. There is already a feeling of divide between Jones and Hastings and looking at this survey alone, it feels and looks as though more was thought about for Jones. Please consider making this a community of unity and not a "North of Lane" and "South of Lane" type situation.
Renovate rather than build new or repair, but impossible to say without cost estimates.		I absolutely hate the idea of using Thompson Park for a new Hastings Middle School. This park is a treasure for the north side of Upper Arlington, and is in constant use by people every single day. Why destroy this park when you can easily renovate/rebuild on the current parcel? In addition the traffic around Thompson Park is already heavy enough, particularly on Woodbridge Road, and this will make the area an absolute traffic nightmare. I cannot understate how poor an idea that would be for the surrounding residents.	Anything but the Thompson Park options.		
				I like the rebuild option for Jones. Seems like the most efficient use of money.	

It's hard to understand the trade-offs between the rebuild and renovate. Some natural light, "may mean less efficient" HVAC doesn't sound concrete,	What's the long term role the Burbank Early Childhood facility plays within the community relative to private daycare and the overall school system? I know the Burbank program is open to those outside of Upper Arlington, but then taxpayers are taking on the burden of investing in the facility.	I prefer moving it to Thompson Park. It's very congested where it is currently located with Wellington and St. Andrew, it would be good to also make it be more central to the community it serves. Would also become much more walkable and pedestrian friendly given the centralized location within that part of Upper Arlington. Would be great also to get another park option at the expense of a smaller Thompson Park.			Would just like to understand more the plan students who will be impacted and be going "off-site" for two academic years, as a parent who will likely have a child impacted by this given potential timelines. How will we ensure they get a quality experience? This group of kids had to deal with COVID coming into Kindergarten and will likely be facing major disruption for two years as they transition to Middle School.
		Don't move to Thompson	Keep Thompson how it is		
Repair is the most cost effective. Unknown costs of other options is an issue.	No	Repair the the best option as this is still a nice facility. No need for other options.	No	While costs are a factor rebuilding while maintaining historical appearance is the best option. The school is too old for repair. Renovations would prove costly again due to the age of the building. The tennis courts are used so wondering where Jones would play tennis?	No
		The simple repair option, for 49 million, doesn't create an ideal space for students, teachers, or staff. It simply updates the building. That seems short-sighted for this community. I like the rebuild options in part because they will be significantly faster. I'm also open to Rebuild Option C if it can result in the ideal location for (1) school design and (2) easy dropoff & pickup. Option C is creeping up on the far north end of UA, so that location would be an extra couple of minutes in the car or bus for lots of families. If Rebuild Option C happens, the district should carefully consider how it can be a desirable part of that neighborhood. because it will be incredibly disruptive for that fairly quiet area.	I appreciate that bathrooms are a bullet-point priority.		
		Do not move Hastings to Thompson	Do not move Hastings to Thompson		
					Jones stadium is a total embarrassment!!! It is unsafe and not in compliance with any regulations for disabilities or elder citizens. Concrete is crumbling and there are no handrails. The restrooms are beyond acceptable. No one wants to use them!! They are dirty and unkept. We can do better. This should be at the top of any list. Why?????
I'm we all pay taxes for this but it's not free for all correctly aged students in U.A. It should be bigger and free for all or closed.	I'm we all pay taxes for this but it's not free for all correctly aged students in U.A. It should be bigger and free for all or closed.	What ever the price is you will go over and what is promised will not be delivered. The rebuild on the previous schools was garbage. Went over budget and didn't deliver everything promised. You are dishonest liars this will be no different	What ever the price is you will go over and what is promised will not be delivered. The rebuild on the previous schools was garbage. Went over budget and didn't deliver everything promised. You are dishonest liars This will be no different	What ever the price is you will go over and what is promised will not be delivered. The rebuild on the previous schools was garbage. Went over budget and didn't deliver everything promised. You are dishonest liars	What ever the price is you will go over and what is promised will not be delivered. The rebuild on the previous schools was garbage. Went over budget and didn't deliver everything promised. You are dishonest liars

I like the renovate option because it addresses functionality and immediate space needs, but also leaves the potential for future additions.	No	I like Rebuild Option B. I don't think Thompson park is a good choice as it further isolates the school from larger neighborhoods. I assume there would be an increase in transportation cost for that as well. It is, however, important that the building design allow for grade level teams and collaborative work spaces.	No	I like that all options include maintaining the facade of the building. I like the options that allow for more daylight/sunlight to reach classrooms as I taught in an interior room for 14 years. The location of gym/stage etc is also an important consideration. I think Renovate B or Rebuild are the best options.	
I can't really answer since two out of three options don't have cost estimates. I have been in the school a lot and the ceilings aren't low, so what is this referring to?		Again, how can I make informed decisions without cost estimates? Rebuild "C" seems like the best option because you can use the current facility unbothered by the new construction and then just make the transition once the new facility is ready. That part of the park in almost never used.		Again, no cost estimates... Where will the kids go for two years?	
				I dislike the idea of moving the tennis courts & putting them in the current parking are off of South Mallway Dr. Having parking in front of the school & near the pool is extremely important. I do like the idea of adding parking off of Coventry Rd. near the track/sports area. When sporting events are occurring there is a huge shortage in parking. Renovating the stands at the tracks is necessary as well.	I think many people would be extremely sad thinking of the Jones building being completely rebuilt. However, renovations to the auditorium, gym, kitchen & classrooms are absolutely necessary.
Renovation option		Rebuild A or Rebuild B	Do not move the building to Thompson! This would destroy one of the largest and nicest parks in Columbus. The space is regularly used all spring, summer, fall by the entire city. Do no remove that space for kids.	Repair - it is a historic building and should not be rebuilt	
I don't like that there is no cost information for 2 of the 3 options.	Rebuilding feels incredibly wasteful.	I dislike that cost information is not available.	Rebuilding options seem incredibly wasteful.	I don't like that cost information isn't available.	The Rebuilding options are incredibly wasteful.
I would favor a Burbank 'renovate' option as this allows the best use of community funds and still provides a refreshed and updated space for the teachers, students, and parents. The early childhood education is a highlight of our community and while building and labor costs have escalated and make a full rebuild unfavorable at this time, the renovate option to me seems most appealing and allows UA parents and teachers to continue to take pride in this critical early education option. Early education research continues to show that access to this option provides a boost to children seen even through college!	None at this time	I favor rebuild option B. This allows use of the current building for students while building the new facility (except sports it would appear) and continues a theme of strong education in UA. While we are landlocked as a community, we need to continue to update and rebuild public facilities as necessary. The current Hastings building is outdated and repair/renovating it simply pushes the burden of full rebuild to future residents and places a bandaid on a building that is in dire need of updates not just for learning but for ventilation and safety also! A large point of pride for our community is the quality of education and unfortunately this comes with cost. Our citizenry must be willing to put their money where their priorities are and this is a significant one.	None	I believe Jones will need to be rebuilt and am in favor of this option. Once again, while there is history in the Jones building it is simply not adequate to satisfy the demands of students, teachers for high quality education that attracts many residents to UA. While inconvenient to rebuild and costly, a full rebuild pays it forward for the residents of UA for decades to come. The pain of funding the middle school rebuilds must be borne and not kicked down the road for a future generation which is uncertain. The economy is strong at this time and we should seize this moment to invest in the future of our community now.	None

Repair option is short sighted and simply kicks the can down the road. Either renovate or rebuild depending on cost and budget.		Rebuild Hastings. And pick the option that fits the best functional use for the next 50 years based on cost, budget and timeline.		Jones is antiquated and needs modernized. This means rebuild to maximize its functionality and to serve the students and community for the long term.	
		I like the Renovate A and the Rebuild A. The parking and spacing seems sensible. The location of the different areas of the building flows together.	I think that the relocate would give Hastings a fresh start. The design should be flipped for the rebuild so the classrooms were on the other side of the site and the gym is closer to the track and field.		
I like that in all three options the outdoor play areas are remaining large and important. Both of my children's early childhood education was heightened greatly by the outdoor play available at Burbank. I think it is a charming building and "old school" but some light and needed renovations in the Renovate option are great.		The building needs to be re-built in whichever location that is selected. If the new school was moved to Thompson, it would be important that the old school location be turned into green space, activity space, to make up for what was take at Thompson.		The renovate options are great as it would give these students the updates they need, but keep the historic qualities of the school.	
					We should consider flex space for our middle schoolers who need a sensory space. We have many kids who are on the spectrum in one shape or form and could hugely benefit from having a quiet safe space to utilize. When the day becomes challenging as it can at times it would be helpful to give them tools to help navigate their emotions
how am i supposed to weight the options when you don't have the cost?! what are you even doing? is this for real?		same as burbank what are you doing? how can you be in charge of this if you can't share the pricing? is your goal to erode trust? this is feeling like another republican scheme to undermine the public education system.		pointless. you have wasted so much time and money. utterly ridiculous.	
Renovate		Rebuild option a		Rebuild	
		Definitely rebuild vs repair- let's upgrade middle school like all other grades	Better parking for middle school sports events & school functions for sure.		
				The structure/layout of Jones shouldn't continue to be patched with band-aids. I am supportive of either renovating/rebuilding Jones. In all of those scenarios, it indicates that students will be off site for two academic years but no indication of where "off site" is. Has the district begun considerations for where the students would be and transportation needs?	
We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.	We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.	We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.	We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.	We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.	We need to pay off the elementary schools and the high school before we move forward with phase 2.
Probably Renovate.	According to the building's condition and budget.	Renovate, the building looks good.	No	Repair	No

My children have attended Burbank since 2021 and I would vote for either the renovate or rebuild option. The school is clearly dated and would benefit from more than just necessary repairs.		Repair: I don't think this would be a sufficient long-term plan. Clearly the school is aging and it needs some significant improvement more than just necessary repairs. Renovate: It seems that given the length of time it would take to renovate, it may be more efficient and less disruptive to rebuild the school and ultimately a larger investment now for better option long term. However, if it came down to two options to either renovate or the land swap rebuild, I would definitely choose renovate. Rebuild: I think students, teachers and the community would benefit from a new middle school. My children will attend Hastings, so personally I think it would be great to have a brand new school. Additionally, I think facilities should be consistent throughout the community, and all up to the same standard. With mostly new elementary schools and a new high school, it would make sense to have new middle schools as well. If the community decides on the rebuild	As a long-time resident of Thompson Park (I grew up on Woodbridge and now live on Mountview), I would really hate to see the park loved by so many people in the community transformed into a school campus. I think relocating the school would create some logistical challenges and the added traffic and congestion in the area would be a concern for not only people who live on the perimeter of the park but also for school families and park visitors. Thompson Park is a valuable green space for our community, and turning it into a school campus could have adverse effects on the environment. A relocation would also reduce the available green space for public use, which could impact the overall well-being of our residents.		
				Where will students be off site for those two academic years? Cost difference can obviously make an impact, but I question if renovation can work for needs as well as rebuild. The Renovate Option B appears more ideal as it is more similar to Rebuild and the assumption is Rebuild is the ideal school layout as starting from scratch without existing building restrictions.	It's great to solicit public input and show everyone these layouts and pros/cons of the designs, and I appreciate involvement of the community in all phases of planning, but I don't think we should overly weigh general public opinion on a layout that they (including myself) may not fully understand. I would give great deference to the school design team and their expertise on ideal school layout and the school personnel who will be in the building each day on what they think best meets their needs, not on where the general public thinks may be the best place to put a cafeteria or stage.
				Moving the gym out of the center of the building is a good thing. I'm struggling to understand where parking would be if tennis courts are moved to that location. Parking is already a struggle in that area. For reconstruction, I love the fact that the front of the building will stay intact. It is time, however, to restructure the rest of the building. It is no longer functional for the needs of today's student and teachers. The new design provides a more functional flow and use of space and can better address modern technology needs and the woes of an outdated building.	

Rebuild seems to bring the best outcome		Please do not move Hastings to Thompson Park. This area is a special gem to our community and would love to see it remain a green space.			
		Please do NOT relocate the school to Thompson Park. As homeowners and taxpayers, we purchased our homes based on park adjacency. If we wanted to live by a middle school, we would have purchased a different home.			
rebuild- complete customization and a fresh start		rebuild, indifferent on rebuild options. I like the ability to completely customize the building and have a fresh start.	I would ask you to take into consideration with any option a space for support services.	rebuild- indifferent on options. Again, I like the ability to customize the building to current needs.	
Repair		Hastings should stay as is. Repair only. Keep Thompson Park as is	We are so limited with park space. I do not want to see Hastings moved and disturb the space.	Jones is a treasure. Repair so NOT disturb	
I'd really like to know the cost for renovate and repair. Very hard to look at plans without a price tag. Also, are we increasing capacity with the renovate or rebuild?	Price and capacity increases	Need pricing.	N/A	Repair option doesn't address the needs of the students—it's another bandaid. Renovate A still has the auditorium buried, but I think a new cafeteria, gym, and co-location of arts is useful. Renovate B addresses the issues with auditorium and is much preferred over option A. Rebuild is an amazing option to preserve the historic exterior of the building, but to make much needed updates throughout the school. As a new to UA schools, but not new to UA, the patchwork that makes Jones is pretty shocking.	Cost.
A/B- dont think we need a full rebuild unless it is a less expensive option.	Costs for the two TBD options	I like the rebuild plans vs. renovate, as Hastings is falling apart. Rebuild A spoke to me the most from the drawings as getting to/from classes seem to make more sense spatially. However, do NOT like using Thompson park at all. That should stay as is.	Think we need more parking/better drop off considerations/safety plans; and with more kids coming in all the time, need more space. Outdoor recess more age appropriate.		
Repair and Renovate do not adequately address many issues. Low ceiling height is a major concern. We need to be moving in a direction that will allow maximum efficiency for the most amount of time. Rebuild would temporarily make play spaces unavailable but since we do not want to revisit this in a few years, we need to plan for the work now and rebuild Burbank.		I do not see Repair option as a realistic option at all. Hastings infrastructure and systems need a complete overhaul. Both renovate options do not allow for efficient HVAC system. We need to plan now for the future and want whatever changes we make not to last for a very long time. This requires making the most efficient updates now. Rebuild option B is NOT ideal due to the parking situation. The walk from the parking lot to the main entry now is already too long, and this increases it. I like option A and can't find anything wrong with it. It seems like it will work well for staff and families. Option C, as far as I can tell, would be ideal. I like that this simplifies the construction phase, and I believe this makes the school more central to all students in the attendance boundary.	Please continue to keep in mind parking. The current situation is not ideal. Hopefully there will be flexible learning spaces and room to grow, as well as room to store equipment for sports and extra classroom equipment.	Again, repair and renovate are not valuable options due to inefficiencies in original building design as we plan for the future. We do not want to revisit this in the near future. Please plan for a rebuild here keeping the original facade. Keep in mind storage for items needed in a middle school such as athletic equipment and additional spaces for learning (room to grow) or flexible learning spaces. Building extra space into both middle schools alleviates some of the use at the new high school, as these spaces can be rented out or used for professional development and meetings.	

It's impossible to fully gauge without comparative pricing for all options; I know often that new builds are cheaper than renovations. Who knows with a lunatic President and tariff threats and initiations are all over the map. Burbank doesn't have historical significance, so I would be ok with a rebuild if it isn't double the price, as we must invest in our youngest citizens to ensue we give every kid the right launching pad for success.	Budget variables and timing... perhaps better to wait until a sane President is in office.	Again, impossible to assess without numbers. And you have 2 Rebuild Options C's. I would say we need to most efficiently and effectively bring our schools up to the excellence standards of our community and school system. Vouchers SHOULD NOT be taking money away from OUR kids and these projects. Also, students SHOULD NOT be leaving school to get on an insecure bus with unlicensed adults who may not have even had a background check, to go for religious instruction during the school day. This is INSANE. And now they are missing out on music, art, gym and other electives. Really NOT OK.	Best get to some real \$ estimates real soon!	Jones has historical significance and is also the centerpiece of the Mallway, South of Lane's commercial core. It would seem VERY hard to create such a beautiful school with a rebuild, so would tend to lean to the Renovate side. That said, the schools must be updated to deliver excellence and keep our kids well above average and thriving. Here there are not even any TBD's on cost, so not sure what that means. But \$49M (Hastings) and \$40M (Jones) to only get some basic repairs seems absurd.	PLEASE provide real numbers as soon as possible
I'm assuming the school needs more than just repairs of it is in similar conditions as the middle schools. It's difficult to give an option at this point since the cost for the renovate and rebuild options are TBD.		I hate any option to move Hastings to Thompson park for several reasons. It is an absolutely beautiful park and deserves to remain that way. There would be school zones EVERYWHERE from McCoy, Reed and Fishinger. Between Hastings at Thompson park, St. Andrews at the corner or McCoy and Reed and Wellington at Reed and Finger, anyone navigating from the Kipling Forest/Shelbourne Heights neighborhoods trying to get to the high school area would be hit with school zone after school zone. If this is the proposed option at the end, I will be an emphatic "no" vote, which would be the first I will ever vote against a school levy and/or bond. If Jones can be rebuilt where it currently is, then so can Hastings.			
				I lean towards either the rebuild or renovate option B.	Given the age of the facility, I feel the repair option is a half measure at best and should only be considered if the cost of the renovation or rebuild options are unacceptable.
I like that UA is planning to use the existing land resources.	No	I do not like any of the detailed options of moving HMS from its current location.	Focus on using the existing building and present day land resources, as our covered parks are over utilized already with very high demands for outdoor community space!	I am a huge advocate to preserving the historical value that is presently at Jones.	No
I don't love the current building. I don't the set up is user friendly but I don't spend time there daily. I do like the thought of space for a future addition if the renovate/rebuild option was selected.	Inclusive spaces for everyone.	I think the rebuild option is best as the current facility does not flow well and seems crowded for the students and staff. I like the ideas of the grades having their own zones together and more parking. However, I don't know that moving locations of the school is the best idea but I see how that would benefit not having to have ongoing construction onsite.			

		I like Rebuild Option B - grade level teams zoned together would be beneficial to staff and students and no land swap would be required. I strongly dislike Rebuild Option C - our beautiful community parks are one of the highlights of Upper Arlington and are one of our family's primary reason for choosing to live near Thompson Park. We deliberate chose a house that was not in close proximity to a school and believe the beautiful nature of Thompson Park will be negatively compromised by moving Hastings to the site in Rebuild C, impacting traffic, family and community use of the park, etc.			
It's hard to understand the community impact based on the lack of cost noted; however, I actually find myself comfortable and excited about every option. We love the current Burbank building. It's well-loved but it's also full of learning, art, color and character in a way that similar "daycare centers" in the area are not. What I would most like to protect is the art, character and ability to display the work of students and cover the walls in things that support the kiddos in developing their imaginations and experiential learning. Please don't make it bland and "fancy" just for the sake of "updates." The other aspect of BECS that we have LOVED is the outdoor play space and I'm happy to see that protected in these drawings as well.		I'm in favor Rebuild Option B as a first choice and Rebuild Option A as it supports the overall learning environment and aligns with district standards. I am strongly opposed to Option C as I don't believe this benefits the larger community.	As a current Burbank family and future Hastings family, I would prefer that funds be invested in the middle school over Burbank. It's important that the middle school students are well supported as they move into HS and have a learning environment that is conducive to support their growth and new technologies, as well as prioritizes emerging safety needs. We actually love Burbank as it is an can appreciate that there may be needed repairs due to the buildings age, however, it's also an "opt-in" program that serves a smaller portion of the district. Hastings serves half our school population and should align with the quality learning environment found at UAHS, Jones and the elementary schools.	I don't have an opinion about Jones as we will not be attending this school and I'm not familiar with that area.	I don't have an opinion about Jones as we will not be attending this school and I'm not familiar with that area.
I have no opinions of Burbank.	No	Rebuild A or B	No	Rebuild	No
		I prefer the relocation option. It will have minimal impact on existing students and provided the best building for the future. However, a real informed opinion can not be made until estimated costs are shared.			
		Rebuild option b			
Repair and renovate options seem reasonable. The rebuild option with a potential future addition looks to be the worst option. Very disjointed design with a focus on main entry and parking rather than utilizing existing footprint for classroom/cafeateria needs. New main entry way along with the wings in the back just don't look right.	No	Rebuild options are too drastic. All other options seems reasonable with a preference towards renovate A and B.	No	Repair and renovate option A are the best choices. Goal should be to preserve more than just the facade. Understanding complications from HVAC and lack of natural light but option B and rebuild are just too drastic.	No

I like the possible future option of an addition to the site & its flexibility.		C seems like the best solution with the least impact on neighbors.		Rebuild option. There is only so much about this building than can actually be renovated.	
			None of the renovate or rebuild options include a 3rd floor. Will space be sufficient to accommodate long term enrollment projections? My question stems from our experience with the recent Barrington renovation which already appears to be having space challenges. The day before the 2024-25 school year we were informed that the 1st/2nd grade progressive classrooms would be displaced for art (moved to cafeteria), gym (also moved to cafeteria) and music (moved to a classroom).	While I support addressing all of the educational adequacy issues I dislike students needing to be off-site for 2 full academic years for all of the renovate and rebuild options. We intentionally purchased our home to be within walking distance of Barrington and Jones and have a 2nd grader at Barrington that would likely be impacted. Dislike renovation option A because they do not take advantage of the opportunity to right-size the space. Like the rebuild option because it addresses all of the physical and educational adequacy issues. If we are going to be disruptive to students then I prefer to do it right the first time.	What are the anticipated renovate and rebuild off-site options and implications (e.g. transportation)? A logical option would be to use the existing Hastings building if Hastings were to be rebuilt but what if it isn't? I'd like to see a rebuild option B considered that includes rebuilding the façade. This could allow for a larger building footprint by pushing the front forward toward the mallway. Will space be sufficient to accommodate long term enrollment projections? My question stems from our experience with the recent Barrington renovation which already appears to be having space challenges. The day before the 2024-25 school year we were informed that the 1st/2nd grade progressive classrooms would be displaced for art (moved to cafeteria), gym (also moved to cafeteria) and music (moved to a classroom). Would it be viable to rebuild the school on the current athletic fields and track if additional land could be acquired under
My children has attended Burbank for a number of years and the school is in need of a complete rebuild. Certain parts of classrooms have noticeable water damage and parts of the building have cracking and visible damage. the school location is great but the need for a rebuild would most impactful for the site. Renovations would be a second choice but repair options would not be enough to maintain a good environment for children.		Please DO NOT move Hastings middle school to the Thompson park location. That would be an impact to one of the larger green spaces in Arlington and would ruin the aesthetic of the park as a whole. Not to mention just north on Mountview is a cul de sac with one entry and exit which would make construction, parking, future parking for event and school functions difficult and congested. There are already dangerous crossing spots at the Mountview, Woodbridge and Lane interseccions with cars traveling at higher speeds down Lane Rd. and Mccoy Rd so having buses, drop off and pick up sites, crossing for kids walking to riding to and from school is a accident waiting to happen. Either of the rebuild options would be best given the age of of the school and its condition. Renovations or repairs would not suffice for the current structure.		Renovation options for Jones would be the best option given he current state of the building. It's the newest of these school recommendations and in the least amount of need for repair.	
I would do the first option (repair). We went to Burbank and thought that the facility was adequate for preschool. It's just needs to be repaired to meet today's standards.Also, not everyone uses Burbank. We only went there because my daughter was going to be in the same preschool class at her daycare.	NA			i would do the rebuild option because repairing and updating just fixes the problem temporarily. I've heard that the building is very old.	

i don't know enough about the property	will pass here	no opinion just yet	just want to see ideas	please save the "essence" of Jones best you can!	prefer a renovate situation at this time
The descriptions seem a little loaded and I'm someone who has supported all levies.					I don't think it should be replaced but definitely needs a major renovation
Repair - best overall option. It addresses the needs, and provides a more than adequate space for learning and development, at the lowest cost. Best return on investment option. Renovate - seems to be the worst and lowest return on investment option of the three. Half of the key attributes listed are drawbacks. Not a lot of benefit gained, and would imagine the cost is substantially higher than the repair option. Rebuild - I don't see any of the benefits gained as being necessary, and they don't seem to be things that enhance the learning and development opportunities/experiences. Doesn't seem worth what would likely be a highly substantial investment.	Repair is the best option			Repair - doesn't seem viable. Jones needs more than basic repairs, and for \$40M, I can't imagine that would be a smart use of money. Renovate - I would need to see the estimated cost of the two renovate options and the rebuild option; but based on what has been shared, Renovate Option A seems to be the best overall option. It provides the necessary restructuring and renovation, as well as some really nice amenities, and the location of rooms/functions is logical and makes for efficient operations. Rebuild - seems unnecessary relative to the Renovate options. The short- and long-term benefit gained wouldn't be significant, and wouldn't make economical sense.	Renovate is the best option; likely Option A
This is extremely expensive and a big burden on UA taxpayers. If just the "repair" option is over \$13M, I can only imagine the exponential cost that it would take to either renovate or rebuild Burbank. My vote would be to move forward with the Repair option as I agree Burbank does need to have adequate repairs. With the Middle Schools also in discussion, I believe the priority and funds should be prioritized to the Middle Schools. OR, Burbank should move forward now and delay a repair/renovate/rebuild for the Middle Schools (or visa versa) to spread out the cost, timing, and impact of these high dollar school renovations!	UA citizens needs an actual estimate for the renovate and rebuild options to make an informed decision. There should also be a \$ cap if any option is moved forward with as costs could astronomically increase over what is decided upon.	I do agree that Hastings needs to be at least repaired. The elementary and high schools are modernized, gorgeous, and amazing places to foster learning for our children. However, to make an informed decision, I think it's unfair to UA citizens that the estimated costs are not provided for the renovate and rebuild options! If the repair option for Hastings is already over >\$50M (!!!), I can only imagine the extraordinary cost of any of the renovate and rebuild options. I am in favor of either the Repair or Renovate (options A or B). The prohibitive cost of any of the Rebuild options would put a significant burden on UA families who are already feeling the burden and high taxes to pay off the elementary and high schools. Due to this, I adamantly oppose any of the Rebuild options. Further, I absolutely, strongly, and passionately OPPOSE the land swap idea with Thompson Park. Thompson Park is a vital part of our community and honestly one of the best reasons of living in UA! It is a magnificent and gorgeous green space.		Again, the fact that only the Repair option has an estimated cost doesn't allow us to make an actual informed decision for any of these options!! In the Renovate Options, I don't see the logic/need for 2 to 3 music rooms?! Just combine into one large music room like all other schools! Also, in all the options, the majority of the space is allocated to the arts (and I'm a big proponent of the Arts), but where is the actual learning and academic spaces as they are minimal in comparison?! The Rebuild floor plan seems the most logical and best use of the space, but again we cannot even potentially decide to move forward with any of these options without first knowing at least the estimated cost impact!! Ok, yes people of UA are in the high socio-economic tier, but UA citizens are not unlimited banks with unlimited money!! These costs are already astronomical to just repair our schools and we're already currently paying very high taxes to pay off the elementary schools! Until there are some actual estimates behind all of these options, I don't think it is at all fair to UA citizens to	
The Repair Option is the most economical. Asking UA residents to pay even higher property taxes is unacceptable, especially for senior citizens living in the district with fixed incomes.	I will not approve any construction that is TBD. I don't see an estimate listed anywhere.	The Repair design is the only one with a price tag attached. The property taxes in UA are becoming prohibitive for senior citizens who appreciate living in UA but live on a fixed income in retirement. Update the existing building and live within the budget.	Be mindful of the ever increasing property taxes that make living in UA prohibitive for young families and senior citizens.	I think the Repair option is the most reasonable because it is probably the most economical. There will not be a disruption to education because students would stay in the building.	
				Rebuild	

		Renovate options don't address some of the shortcomings of the current Hastings building. Rebuild Options A and B are the best. Option C disrupts the neighborhood and unfairly affects people who purchased homes next to Thompson Park.	It's unclear why Rebuild Option C is even under consideration. Is there some cost factor that hasn't been brought up? Breaking up Thompson Park seems like an odd suggestion when there are on-site rebuild options.		
Improving daylight is the best thing about a rebuild.	The acoustics impact of a rebuild would have long lasting impacts to the kids. Reducing noise throughout life greatly increases hearing long term.	I like the parking adjacency of rebuild A. It allows better access for disabilities and other mobility concerns.	Would moving the location to McCoy result in a name change and what impact that will have.	Music and arts as a focus and on the first floor is critical for access. Having it on the third floor lowers the emphasis and importance of it.	
		Rebuild Option B allows for a new and needed building with the least amount of land use disruption.			
its hard to pick an option when the \$TBD I'd pick rebuild because of its lasting impact definitely wouldn't pick the repair option		i have raised my family in the Windermere, Lane Rd / Thompson park corridor. All my children attended Windermere and Hastings and have graduated from the UA school system. Even though I no longer have children in the school system, my family still actively utilizes Thompson park. I watched and lived through the Windermere renovations. The end result of that effort is amazing and the newly energized space the school occupies cannot be overemphasized. The same efforts need to be made with Hastings Middle School with onsite renovations and repairs. The prospect of losing a large portion of Thompson park is not an acceptable option. Traffic: The prospect of combing traffic from St. Andrews, Windermere and a new middle school feels overwhelming. Commuting out of our neighborhood with St. Andrews and Windermere traffic can be challenging. Adding Hastings Middle school to the mix would create a log jam on Mountview with commuters. I like rebuild option a because of the location of the parking lot. Rebuilding is necessary because the current building is a disaster.			

I like the renovate option is the best because I think light is important. Windowless rooms and cafeteria are unhealthy. I don't think repair will address that issue. I don't like how the rebuild puts a parking lot between the building and the green space. I think it's safer keeping kids away from cars, both moving or parked, (kids can run off and hide and also possibility of outside dangers), plus it's more aesthetic to walk out into green space and therefore healthier for the kids and staff to access the green space without passing by a parking lot. Windows in rooms and being adjacent to the green space are the healthiest options in my opinion - it's what I'd like for my child and for me if I were to work at Burbank.		For me - I just think rebuild option A and option B should NOT be options. I don't think it's neighborly to put a massive structure behind these homes who have a great feature of this visual expansiveness in their back yard. I think it's super inconsiderate to build a structure so close to their homes when for years the houses bordering Hastings and reed road park have had that visual space and view and light. So definitely keep the same footprint or do rebuild option C.		I think I'd go with the repair option for Jones. It's a beautiful old building in an old part of town. I love driving by Jones and seeing people using the tennis courts at all hours. I think the cafeteria and gym and theatre are good spaces that can be enhanced but there is absolutely no need to encroach on the tennis courts and eat up more dimensional space. Like the older homes South of Lane, Jones should be kept the old character and space but I'm all for updated and enhancing what already exists. Jones should be treasured 100 years from now for its history. I think you can maintain its structure and enhance it and make it even better. But protect the limited amount of outdoor head space in that part of town.	
The repair option is not a good longterm solution. Burbank classrooms are incredibly small and lacking natural light. My child struggled with the small classrooms when we moved him from a school with larger rooms and more light.	Burbank's outdoor space is one of its best features. It's important to keep this space.	I dislike the repair option, as I feel it is shortsighted for future needs.		I dislike the repair option, as I feel it is shortsighted for future needs.	
I like the renovate option here. I think it's a nice balance between repair and rebuild, and still captures many improvements to the flow and ergonomics of the space.		I think our Middle Schools need to be modernized with rebuilds. Between the rebuild options, I think Rebuild B balances the disruption to the neighbor as well as the use of the existing land very well. I also like Rebuild A as it appears to consolidate the parking into a single area, which leaves a nice additional field for other uses and community recreation. Rebuild C seems very disruptive and I don't think the future options it opens up outweigh the relocation.		I like the Rebuild option. As I said in the Hastings page, I think the Middle Schools need a full modernization. Jones has a number of health and safety issues too (bugs/mice), that modern building techniques can help to eliminate where a renovation likely still leaves many intrusion points. Between the renovate options, Renovate B seems to get many of the layout benefits of the rebuild option, which seems like a big positive if we had to do renovate.	
I don't like Repair. That is a building that definitely needs to be brought into modern times and probably expanded.	My guess is many families would like a more central location than where Burbank is located. I doubt that is possible.	I like the land swap options because they have the least impact on the kids. Definitely need more parking at Hastings and for the pool.			
The rebuild option seems best - it's the opportunity to instill best practices with virtually no interruption to existing services.				Preserving the facade of the school should be a top priority - it's an institution within this part of the community and a symbol of the historical roots.	
		ABSOLUTELY DO NOT MOVE THE middle school to Thompson park! That would greatly alter the neighborhoods, traffic, it's a hard no from us.	You have plenty of room to build where Hastings is already located		You need to preserve as much of the unique features of the original building as you can
Studies show that families are having fewer children. Perhaps repair is the best option.	If early childhood population is growing, would there be any advantage to having a few classrooms in another building? Is there any space available in any of the K-5 schools?	Renovate seems to be the best solution to me.	If there is ever the possibility of going back to K-6, 7-9, 10-12 division. Just asking...	Renovate plans look good.	Jones is really getting old, so it needs great help. What do future numbers of students show?

It is hard to understand the value relative to what you are getting without seeing the costs. I don't think younger children need state of the art facilities so would vote for the repair option. Any additional investment should be focused on warm and loving teachers vs i.e. higher ceilings				I like the interior courtyard options (B + rebuild), which provide natural light to the classrooms. I cannot imagine learning in an environment with no natural light. It does not make sense to invest in an option where classrooms will not have natural light. I also think that the costs are relevant so if the rebuild option costs are close to the Option B options, then I would definitely vote for the rebuild option, but if they are astronomically different, then would need to understand the cost to value for each. I like that trailers are not an option for any of these.	
For the rebuild I do not like how close the building will be to the homes on Pleasant Valley and Mannboro Rd. I would prefer the building to be closer to the soccer fields and the parking near the homes. Is the city looking to another soccer field in the current parking lot which is why the building is being moved back? The rebuild does not seem to have much of a larger space instead?	Natural sunlight is a great thing to focus on	I do not have a child there, so will let others weigh in. But I really do like the idea of a larger parking lot. The parking lot is used by the community for the pool and baseball and having a larger lot closer to the pool and fields would be ideal.		I really like the rebuild option - multiple gyms, more light, zoned for public use and having two entrances for the public, right now all using the main entrance is not convenient. My daughter goes there and one of her biggest complaints is that there is not natural light in every classroom. It is hard to be indoors w/o light for so many hours. Are you rebuilding the sports fields also?	If the new schools could have larger or more gyms that would be great, the whole community could benefit if they can be rented out. I do not like how small the new gyms are at the elementary schools, it is shame we did not make them bigger.
		Re build option C	Traffic away from congestion of Wellington is plus of new site and with additional parking. Also need to be in a new building asap, limited time until new school is important 2 yrs versus 3 yrs is important w new build options	Re build	
		I dislike repair option. Hastings is in bad shape and it either needs renovated or rebuilt. I dislike the possibility of land swap for option c as that would seem to add a lot more to overall cost.			
Dislike the rebuild option. Seems extravagant. Repair is preferred; perhaps renovate	Not rebuild	Prefer Repair followed by Renovate Option A	No need for rebuild options	Prefer Repair Option followed by Renovate Option A	No need for Rebuild Options
repair. Needs and potential of community served is too low to justify a rebuild		repair, or renovate pending costs involved		repair, or renovate pending costs involved	
Burbank is a private facility that rich moms who don't work use as babysitting while generating excessive IEPs to capture more public money for gross revenue funding. It needs to be torn down and sold for land or sold as is to arms length buyer	Get a clue, district admin leadership	I dislike that an unqualified group of Hastings neighbors, self-elected themselves as decision-makers for 35,000 people in Arlington, with no core skill set or qualifications needed for district space planning. The result is unrealistic proposal that hurts the district, but benefits the 'volunteers'. This is fundamentally flawed and wrong.	Come back with realistic solutions and pricing	The idea of bussing kids from south UA to Hastings during any transitional period is a non-starter	Show us the numbers behind improved student outcomes and performance and new facilities. You can't do it with the high school, because it hasn't happened and isn't correlated

Burbank represents 4% of total district enrollment in 2034. The REPAIR cost per 2034 student is \$53k, which is on part with the High Schools REBUILD cost per student of \$57k, and WELL ABOVE the Elementaries REBUILD cost / student of \$38k It's irresponsible to propose this without proposing a tear down option. It's impossible to evaluate these options without associated costs for each option. These options highlight parking, and ceiling heights...there is no information on how the student learning environment would be improved and how students would benefit.		It's impossible to evaluate these options against each other without associated costs. These options emphasize parking, after hours use, and ceiling height - this is asinine. None of these options are student-centric, and none of these options highlight how students specifically benefit from each configuration. If taking park space is a consideration, then we should be willing to take 100% of Reed Rd. Park before relocating the school to Thompson Park. The priorities this entire project should be focused on student experience, minimizing disruption to the community, and low cost. Option C - moving the school to Thompson Park feels misplaced and like it was bolted on. There is plenty of space available at the current site in Option A and B to reconfigure and expand, especially if part of Reed Rd. I do not think that options to renovate and/or repair Hastings would be sufficient to meet the needs of future students. The building is obviously outdated and has a number of inadequacies that need to be addressed. I fear that we would simply be implementing a temporary solution and additional near-term investment would be needed to ensure the building meets the needs to students. "Rebuild Option C" appears to be the most viable, although it would create some inconveniences for students south of Fishinger. The streamlined construction phasing is enticing given how outdated the existing building is. Thompson Park has ample space, so the portion of the park required for the school should not be a major concern. Leveraging the open space at Thompson appears to be a much more viable solution when compared to the limited space available at Reed Rd. Given recent changes to McCoy Rd, I would want to see traffic impact study	You should evaluate the qualifications of the committee members and professionals involved in creating these options. They do not convey the benefits to students realized in each configuration. The proposal to move the school to Thompson Park is disingenuous and not transparent. None of these plans have our students' or communities best interest in mind.	Similar to prior responses. It's impossible to evaluate these options without associated costs for each option. The overall presentation gives a strong message of "We're going to take 1/3 of the biggest park in the community with no places to replace the park space, but don't worry because we'll be laser focused on the historical preservation of Jones. These options are focused on parking, tennis courts, ceiling heights, and food service location. It's irresponsible that the school district spent \$800k on an apartment building to potentially expand a parking lot. It also feels outrageous to relocate a baseball field 50' so that a larger parking lot could be created. Again, none of these options highlight how the student experience will be improved from each configuration. No one cares that Jones was the first community high school anymore. Parents just want their kids to be in nice	The qualifications of the committee need to be evaluated. None of these proposals show competence or any regard for how to message this appropriately, the appropriate levels of transparency required, or the necessary information needed to evaluate these proposals.
Although the "Repair Option" is the most cost effective, it does not appear to address the fact that BECS is in need of significant upgrades to improve the learning environment for students. BECS is both a great asset to the community and alternative to other preschool programs in the area. The "Repair Option" would still leave the building decades behind other preschools and would fail to meet the needs of future students. The "Renovate Option" includes more significant upgrades, but I'm concerned that the use of the current building footprint would limit the amount of feasible upgrades that could be completed. I also worry the extend construction period that would overlap with at least one academic year would impact the student experience. Given the age of the facility and amount of upgrades needed, the "Rebuild Option" seems most appropriate to meet the needs of future students and ensure that BECS remains an asset for the					
Repair doesn't make sense, it's a stopgap. I would likely choose renovate depending on cost. If rebuild is similar, I would choose that option. With needing to complete 2 middle schools also, renovating BECS makes more fiscal sense.		Repair doesn't make fiscal sense. Due to the traffic and parking, it makes more sense to move to Thompson park if it is a similar cost to rebuilding on site. If cost is similar, my first choice is rebuild option C, second is rebuild option B. If the cost is significantly more, Rebuild option B is preferred as the building does not entirely about the housing.	Let the grades mix instead of putting them in silos. It is beneficial to be around others in different grades.	I prefer renovate plan B. Having the courtyards to bring in light and have an outdoor space is a great idea. I also appreciate that the music rooms are closer to the stage.	

Repair, probably cheaper. Out TAXES ARE TOO HIGH	None Our HS is so fancy it is an embarrassment. We are getting pushed out of our homes because of taxes	Repair. The school was good enough for my kids. I can't afford deluxe accommodations for yours while I am paying for college. Our taxes are OUT OF CONTROL. Over 10k for an average size house.	VOTE NO	Repair only. As a teacher, fancy buildings don't address the problems	TACES ARE TOO HIGH. VOTE NONE OF THE ABOVE
Repair and renovate		Do not take any of this well used and well loved park for the school. Please consider repair and renovate on site	Traffic, Property values Disruption		
		No land swap with Thompson Park. We enjoy the park as is and bought our homes close to a park, not a middle school. We do NOT want to be that close to a middle school, or we would have purchased property closer to the school grounds.	Either renovating or building on current land. it is unfair to those who live around the park--they have purchased their homes close to a park keeping that in mind		
Without some idea of costs for all plans this survey is worthless					
renovate option	n/a	rebuild option A	a dedicated drop off lane/process	repair option	n/a
We should evaluate a 4th option which would be tearing down Burbank. We could fund the other schools with the Burbank Opex.		The Thompson park option doesn't make any sense and feels like it was just thrown in here. The key attributes section certainly doesn't justify taking a portion of Thompson park I have no idea what this even means let alone why it's listed as a selling point of this option: "grade level teams are zoned together and do no need to circulate through team area"		Jones should consider taking the Devon Pool area to expand.	
		Option C seems the best one.	Hastings needs 3 music rooms to fit an average of 60-70 students (and chairs, stands, instruments) in each room.	Rebuild option looks as though it would be the best. Music classes on the same floor as the auditorium is a must.	Jones will need three rehearsal rooms to fit 50-60 students in each along with a dedicated general music room. That one doesn't have to be as large. 25 students.
If Burbank is primarily a private tuition preschool and childcare, why should it be renovated with taxpayer money? As a for profit building, can't it budget renovation expenses a different way? Shouldn't special needs service rooms be renovated as needed and then other tuition based classrooms and resources be funded another way?	Repair option seems most likely to pass a levy vote.	The move to Thompson Park seems appealing. This would allow for a more smooth transition period for both middle schools as they undergo renovations and the old Hastings is needed for Jones temporarily. It would also resolve long term traffic flow issues around Hastings. Separating Hastings from the Wellington and St. Andrew's traffic would be ideal. However, impact on home value changes for those in both the current and future Hastings neighborhoods should be considered. A clear plan of how the city would use the land gained after the current Hastings building and field have been demolished would increase likelihood of voters approving this plan.	It is unfortunate that Hastings has been so poorly maintained over the years that it needs to be fixed to this extent. How can we ensure that this negligence will not occur again?	The rebuilt option is a definite no. Renovation A option is the most promising. Keeping the 1940s footprint intact as much as possible is a valuable asset. Part of the identity of this community is its heritage and unique structures. Similar suburbs, like New Albany and Dublin, offer entirely new school buildings. In Upper Arlington, we offer a different approach and identity, and our school buildings should reflect that. A lot is gained in option A without losing the look and heritage of the building.	The Jones cafeteria is one of the most recent renovations in this building and the portion of it in most dire disrepair. It is my understanding that we're working with the same company that built the cafeteria about 30 years ago. Have they made improvements that will ensure that this project will last longer than 30 years?

To me, if you are renovating, Adding an additional gym/ "motor room" would be a high value addition. Over the winter and on rainy days the kids cannot get out to move their bodies enough, having only one gym is a bottleneck. Only 2 classrooms can be in the gym at one time and that time is allocated out 1/2 hour by 1/2 hour through the day. I think adding new and bigger windows would be AMAZING but of a smaller priority cost wise. However, current and any new windows would need full blinds to keep out sunlight during nap times- the current blinds are wholly inadequate.	If you are rebuilding, I would think adding capacity for a few more classrooms would be of future value.	That school is so dated and design is not fluid or light a complete rebuild is the way to go. Keeping it where it is will be less disruptive to busing. I see no reason to swap the foot print.			Renovating is the way to go. The new addition being 3 stories would make use of vertical space and leave more room for greenspace.
The building is beyond repair. It needs to be renovated or rebuilt		Building is beyond repair and renovations. It needs to be rebuilt.			
		I like Rebuild A & B-keeps Hastings in the same location. I DO NOT like Rebuild C for a variety of reasons: loss of green space, the roads near Thompson Park aren't meant for high traffic, disruption to the Thompson Park neighborhood community, increase cost of infrastructure-such as new roads, utilities, and zoning changes			
How dare you present such half-baked, short-sighted, and frankly insulting "options" for Burbank! It's clear you've completely disregarded the needs of our students and the community. The "Repair" Option: A Pathetic Band-Aid! Slapping a coat of paint on crumbling infrastructure and pretending it addresses the fundamental flaws of the building? It's an insult to our intelligence! The "Renovate" Option: Lipstick on a Pig! Rearranging a few classrooms and calling it "renovation" is a joke. You're ignoring the core issues: inadequate space, outdated facilities, and a learning environment that stifles our children's potential. Where's the Vision? Where's the Ambition? These plans lack any sense of forward-thinking. They're focused on the bare minimum, on doing just enough to get by. Our students deserve better! They deserve a learning environment that inspires, that fosters innovation, and that prepares them for the challenges of the future. The cost of renovation option and rebuild should be shown so that the community could see the cost differences for all three before making a decision. Parents especially who would be sending their children there should have that option based upon the best learning for their children.	I am utterly appalled by the incompetence and disregard displayed in these Burbank options. The committee needs to go back to the drawing board and come up with plans that truly serve the needs of our students and our community!	Strike 2! How could you be so incompetent? The "Repair" Option: You have got to be kidding me! This is the best you can come up with? This is nothing more than a half-baked attempt to cover up deeper problems. You think a fresh coat of paint is going to solve anything? This is a colossal waste of money. The "Renovate" Option: This is slightly better than the repair option. But that's not saying much. You're still not addressing the real problem: the lack of space. The classrooms are still going to be too small, the gym is still going to be too hot, and there's still not going to be a wrestling room. The "Rebuild" Option: Now you're talking! This is the only option that makes any sense. But of course, it's also the most expensive. But here's the thing: our kids are worth it! They deserve a school that's not falling apart. They deserve a school they can be proud of. Hastings middle school should be rebuilt to a new facility. Option A and B are the most logical. Option C moving to the park location is not appropriate. You would be using a smaller foot print that the current location and also disrupting the current park which is the best in the city.		It's absolutely infuriating to see the blatant favoritism shown towards Jones Middle School! The district is clearly prioritizing the preservation of "historical elements" at any cost, while Burbank and Hastings are left with band-aid solutions. This reeks of elitism and financial irresponsibility! "Historical" Overkill! Who cares about preserving outdated architecture when our students are suffering in cramped classrooms and inadequate facilities? This obsession with the past is a slap in the face to the children who need modern learning environments now. A Blank Check for Nostalgia! The district is acting like money is no object when it comes to Jones. They're willing to pour millions into preserving every brick and cornice, while ignoring the pressing needs of other schools. This is a gross misuse of taxpayer funds! Elitist Preservation! The message is clear: Jones is "better," more "historical," and therefore more deserving of funds. Jones has already been renovated recently. Repair is the only option at this time. Use the money for Burbank and Hastings for rebuild at this time.	

		The Upper Arlington School Districts plan to seize a chunk of Thompson Park is an act of utter disregard for our community and a prime example of fiscal irresponsibility! I am beyond furious that the Upper Arlington School District would even contemplate such a destructive and irresponsible plan. We will not stand by and allow you to steal our park and squander our tax dollars! How dare you even consider sacrificing our most cherished green space? Thompson Park is the heart of Upper Arlington, a place for families, recreation, and community gatherings. To pave over it for a school is a betrayal of public trust. This land grab is not only a community loss, but it's also financially reckless. Building on parkland will inevitably lead to increased construction costs, environmental mitigation expenses, and potential legal battles. Have you even considered the long-term financial implications?			
I like keeping the large outdoor play area in all designs. That is mandatory with young children and something our son loved when he attended Burbank many years ago. I like that modular classrooms won't need to be used in any of the options. I don't like the inefficiencies noted in the renovate option with the HVAC system & no windows in the cafeteria. It will end up costing more in the long run as future improvements will need to be made as a result.	I think with the age of the current building and the development of young children that the rebuild is the healthiest option.	Rebuild C would make the home values decrease on Woodbridge & McCoy. What would the plans be for the old Hastings building if that option is chosen? UA already has such a shortage of sports fields - where would you replace the fields that would be taken for this option? I think the land swap options are unnecessary and would result in added costs.	Rebuild Option B is most economically efficient and results in the least amount of disruption to the existing neighborhood.	It looks like Renovate Option B or Rebuild are where you are leaning. Is it safe to have classrooms immediately adjacent to the main entry like you do in the Rebuild option?	Please don't allow for parts of the schools to be named after the big donors like you did for the high school. It's rather tacky and embarrassing. There are so many other ways for the egos of the wealthy school parents to be satisfied.
	If you rebuild, would it look like the other new elementary buildings in case Burbank would need to be used as elementary in the future?				
Renovate		Rebuild Option A or B		Rebuild Rebuild is the way to go	
			The music programs should a separate room for each music program to meet the appropriate needs of 1 room for Choir, 1 room for band, and 1 room for orchestra. Thus 3 rooms are needed. You would not have football players play on a basketball court, so please provide rooms for these 3 separate classes.		Jones is a beautiful building- hope you keep the aesthetics.
Rebuild makes better use of the space and sets the community for the future.		I prefer Rebuild Option A and B because it's more centrally located. Children opt in to go to Hastings from other areas of UA. Out of those two I prefer Option A which allows the parking to remain.		This won't impact my kids but I feel as though they need more space and so a rebuild option is the way to ensure more space and newer spaces for the future	

		I like rebuild option A. It keeps the parking near both the school and stadium. Rebuilding allows for a modern building with grade level teams zoned together which makes sense.	I do not want the building moved to take over any area of Thompson park.		
		I do not feel it is good to build on Thompson Park. I have lived in UA FOR 24 years, and use that park often. I think our park land should remain as park land. The proposed building would destroy the park.			
					It's a bit preposterous to ask us to vote on these with zero costs included, as well as plans for where students would attend school during construction if needed.
		I like both rebuild option B and rebuild option C. I would prefer rebuild option B so that children don't have to cross McCoy Rd to get to school, but I think that both are good options. I also like rebuild option A, but as a member of the Hastings Place neighborhood, I would not be happy to have a large parking lot directly next to my house. I think Option B is better with the parking lot off of Reed and a through-street to Hastings Place.	No		
I prefer the repair option as I forecast it is likely to be a substantial cost savings over the other options. Sophisticated spaces are not, in my view, required for early childhood education in the same way that they are for later-stage education. As long as the space is safe and comfortable--which I am confident a repaired space would be--I do not see the need to rebuild. The only way I would support a rebuild or full renovate is if it substantially increased capacity to permit more U.A. residents to take advantage of the center.		I support a basic repair, if and only if the existing facility, as repaired, would be appropriate to the needs of the student population. My reasoning for favoring that option is the likely lower cost. If the existing facility, even as repaired, would be inadequate to the needs of the current student body, then I would support rebuild option C. It strikes me that option C allows students to continue to occupy the existing space without interruption while a new facility is constructed. Option C also has the advantage of distributing the middle schools more evenly across the geography of U.A., thereby allowing more students the option to commute to school by bicycle or other green means. It is also possible that, once the rebuild on Option C is complete that students of Jones could attend "old" Hastings while Jones is remodeled, thereby reducing the need for temporary class space. Option C also has the benefit of expanding park space adjacent to the Reed Road waterpark which may allow for future expansion of that facility.		If the existing facility size is adequate to the student population, then I only support the repair option, for reasons of cost. If not, I support renovation A. The existing building is a high-quality, ultra-durable stone construction. While we might add to it or redesign the interior, we should not consider tearing down any part of the existing footprint as it would not be cost-effective to tear down and rebuild to the same quality. In addition, Jones, which was formerly UAHS, is an historic landmark in U.A., and we should be judicious in how we treat the exterior of the building.	
Hard to say. I'd like to know how much the other two options cost. If it cost 13 million to repair, but 17 million to renovate then I'd love to renovate, but if renovation is more than double a repair I would think twice about it.		Again, how am I suppose to say I like something without knowing the financial impact on the community?			

Repair option appears to be best option and less of a burden on the tax payers. School still has good bones. Changing ceiling heights and heating/cooling more cubic feet of space seems less efficient.		Repair is a poor choice as the building requires too much. The two reno options are pushing the structure closer to Reed with reno B parking lot on Reed Rd. This will create a nightmare for traffic especially for north bound motorists making a left into the school. The same with rebuild B. Rebuild C takes away over used sports fields on will just create a heavier load for the other over used field. Rebuild A seems to be the wisest choice as it moves the school further away for the congestion on Reed and creates a longer stop, drop and roll lane for parents therefore pulling more motorists off of Reed.	Widening Hastings and creating a longer WB lane for drop off (West of existing parking lot). Mature trees will be lost, but that may be the price we have to pay.	I like Rebuild B best as it maintains the original face of the building while adding to the building.	
Repairing may not achieve what is needed for the near future Renovate would be the best option for the future needs Rebuild would be too costly			Upper Arlington needs to keep Thompson Park as a park. When you compare Upper Arlington to neighboring communities, you see that having neighborhood parks is very important to each community. There needs to be dedicated green spaces so that we can continue to be an ideal option for new families to move into our community. I use the park every day. It makes our community great.		
		Like rebuild option A & B			
		I don't like any of the options considering the costs. Does the district have any money for the estimated 50 million!!! estimate to just address the physical adequacy concerns? how did the building get this bad in the first place??? Feels crazy. For the rebuild options, I prefer the moving the building entirely to Thompson park. Current location is just too crowded, with wellington across the street and no parking anywhere. Any school wide event is so difficult to attend because of the lack of parking. This would also open up reed rd pool and park to more space. And, the construction process would be best for current families and students.			
		I do not favor a land swap at all			
	We need cost estimates for all options. Also, how will the city finance whatever is decided without overburdening taxpayers?	I don't know much about Hastings, but it would be good to have cost information to compare the options. Also it would be good to find out what might be disturbed underground in advance of drawing up plans. That was a problem with the new UAHS.	Please see response to previous question.	I don't think I know enough about this to comment until more is known.	Costs, financing, burden to the taxpayers.

		I don't like the location of Thompson Park because it takes away green space. The current location of Hastings. As someone who lives in north UA I do not want my park taken away and that area of the neighborhood changed.			
		I strongly dislike rebuild options B and C that require the land swap. The community around Thompson park has many young children who use that area of the park and who walk to school at Windermere and St Andrews. In addition, McCoy Rd already has backups in that area and at times even police directing traffic during school drop off and pickup due to St Andrew's entrance on this road. Adding another school's traffic in this area would be unsafe for pedestrians and drivers alike.			
		Hastings is a solid structure and the repair option should offer a minimum of 10 years additional use. If this is not the desirable option based on committee or public opinion, then renovation options A&B should be strongly considered. Rebuild options are always the most appealing, but the relocation idea to Thompson Park (Rebuild option C) should not be considered a viable option.	Relocation of Hastings is honestly a very ill conceived idea. It may appeal from a construction stand point as a clean slate so-to-speak, but the long-term affects on the neighborhood quality of life, the current Park and property values would have a very negative impact on this area of the community. The current traffic at the three nearest intersections to the proposed site (Reed & McCoy, McCoy & Mountview and McCoy & Woodbridge) are already terribly congested throughout the week. The traffic around St.Andrews alone is enough to back traffic up on McCoy and at Reed roads. There are times when it is to the point of gridlock. They currently hire a police officer to direct traffic many mornings which offers minimal relief. Long term, There truly isn't a benefit to the relocation idea. Keeping Hastings in the area it is makes the most sense, given the current proposed options to offer increased parking and it's proximity to the pool. It simply makes sense to keep Hastings M.S. on Hastings Lane. Thank you for your consideration.		
Happy about either option.		Absolutely do not move forward with option C. This completely disrupts the housing choices of hundreds of residents. There is enough land available on the current Hastings lot to re-build there.			

		I am comfortable supporting options for a rebuild onsite. Not supportive of land swaps and moving the school in its entirety. I believe moving locations is disruptive and could impact livability in a new location for current residents. I have lived by schools in the past, knowingly. A move would impact why I chose to relocate directly away from a school due to noise, congestion, etc.			
		I like whichever option provides for an adequate space for music.	The "new" building should have band, choir and orchestra rooms.	The music rooms need to be near the auditorium. Option A is the best.	Band, Choir and Orchestra each need their own space, near the auditorium.
Either option is not "bad". If cost is similar or a bit higher for a rebuild, in the long run, rebuild would probably be better - more efficient new space and the HVAC would be optimized for the new structure.	Would a new building allow for increased student population? In that case, new would be a huge benefit.	Without an estimate of costs, it's not feasible to make a choice. Building at Thompson Park should not be an option. Thompson Park is a treasure that needs to be preserved. It's very open and is the only park with a perimeter walking path that is enjoyed by so many people. A large building does not fit into the surrounding neighborhood. Plus, there's been no mention of repurposing the current Hastings property. That needs to be a part of the plan so it is included in the relocation option.	What happens to the Hastings property if the school is relocated to Thompson Park. (Which I am totally against).	I cannot make an informed choice with no estimates of cost for the renovate and rebuild options. At this time, cost is key since it will have to be funded by a new tax. I don't think the middle school issues were mentioned (or they were kept fairly silent) when we voted for the school levy several years ago.	
		Dislike moving to new location at Thompson Park. Thompson is the largest park in UA and it would be awful to lose this space. It is a place for many families in UA and out to visit. I strongly discourage this proposed move. Rebuild OPT B is my favorite rebuild option due to keeping the baseball field. however this will impact home surrounding and is not ideal for current residents. If renovations only, would prefer Option B due to the layout of the land.	Reed Road is already home to 3 other schools with a great slow speed during school hours. To move to Thompson will cause congestion to yet another area that isn't necessary. Homeowners purchased in areas of UA due to the landlock and proximity to parks and schools. Consider your residents with this.		
		Do NOT move to Thompson Park!			

I think you need to be able to give an estimated cost for each option before approaching community residents for inputs		I strongly oppose Rebuild Option C and the land swap with Thompson Park to relocate Hastings Middle School Thompson Park is one of few remaining landmark parks in UA. It is a park that is put to good use TODAY by community residents and sporting activities and it is one of the larger green spaces left in UA I moved to UA because of those green spaces and neighborhoods with trees. You should protect them at all cost. The idea of sacrificing this park makes no sense -- nor does the ideas of changing the nature of the neighborhood with a major facility and parking lots If the UA High School could be rebuilt on its existing property and if the proposed Burbank rebuild can be done on its property -- I would hope that the same philosophy could hold for any rebuild options for Hastings Middle School	As I commented on for the Burbank Options -- I would highly recommend you have some definitive cost estimates before you come to the community and request our inputs. A blank check in today's uncertain environment and with today's high cost of building materials does not make sense. TBD does not fit the bill. You need to do more homework and then the community will have to decide. I might note that the community's reaction to the soon to open UA Community Center could also play into the viability of any options you are considering for any school If the community is happy with the Crane Center -- you can capitalize on that. If not -- you need to hold back As the grandfather of kids in the UA System -- I am most supportive of investments in our schools - but today's environment and its level of uncertainty may not bode well for major investments	As noted above for the other options -- you need to have cost estimates in order to get valid community inputs.	
	Burbank is not that old of a building to tear down and rebuild.	I would be willing to pay for renovations at the current site, but would definitely not consider supporting building a school at Thompson Park. The green space is well utilized and should not be turned into a school with a parking lot. In addition I have lived near the park for over 30 years and the land is very spongy and soggy when wet. It would be a poor place to build anything. The library at Lane Road had to spend quite a bit of money to fix water issues in the lower level some years back.			
Repair	Repair	Rebuild A and B best Options, DO NOT LIKE THOMPSON Park C	Do not ruin Thompson Park, Rebuild A & B best option.	Love the rebuild A and B, NOT C Thompson	Do not ruin Thompson Park
I like the Renovate or Rebuild options but cost does matter to me. I have older kids, I haven't been inside the building to know if a rebuild is totally necessary right now, or if a renovate would be more appropriate option for the time. So if we can get another 20 years out of the building, cost dependent, I would say renovate.	That building is used for such a small portion of the community. I'd rather spending more money on the greater population.	I like the idea of rebuild options B and C. I don't have middle schoolers yet but having to dive down Reed is such a headache in the mornings because of Wellington. I would love more parking. Even during the summer for baseball or swimming you can't park in that lot because of school activities that take place. Nothing like a fresh start.		I think if you have rebuilt the HS you should do the same to the middle schools. We pay a lot of money to be here and to have outstanding academics so we might as well put our taxes to use. Willing to support a levy for the work	
		The middle school needs to stay in its original spot. Moving the school to Thompson Park is madness. You have an established community and schools system. It is not fair to the community to relocate the school. Please use common sense and keep the school where it is.	You should consider traffic pattern swaps. This would be extremely dangerous to move the school to Thompson park. The current setup of the houses in that area would lead to an increased accident rate and safety issues. Stay with what we know! Keep Hastings Middle School in its current spot.		

I don't have an opinion		I would much prefer any of the rebuild options over the repair/renovate options. Drop off and pickup can be a bit chaotic at Hastings so I would like to see some consideration go into making that as safe and efficient as possible.	With the options for switching to Thompson park I would want the surrounding households to have a vote in the matter. I do not live near there, but I would probably not want a school and sports field (presumably with lights) to be built next to my house. I realize that it is already a public space, but the traffic, lights, and amount of activity would be different than what is currently there.	The building should be rebuilt. I was a student there 96-98 during a major renovation. It should have been rebuilt then.	
		Keep it on the current land that Hastings currently resides. Why disrupt another neighborhood with construction, changes in traffic patterns, etc.	Hard to assess some of the options without an estimated cost associated with some of the designs.		
		Strongly disagree with land swap with Thompson Park which will degrade our largest city park I dislike the whole idea. You can't take part if the park away.	Land swap with Thompson would seriously complicate traffic on McCoy which is a major thru road for ua If your problem is a place to rebuild take out the football field that's already at Hastings and build there		
		Why take a large portion of the the most functional and accessible park in UA to simply ease the immediate need of the school site. This park is the reason people move to this area. To increase the already great traffic issue of McCoy Rd by adding a middle school would be disastrous. not only would the traffic be impacted by the attendance to the school after hours traffic would increase with after school activities and visiting teams. It would make an already less than safe road more dangerous. Instead we should fix the pedestrian crossings and let the taxes we pay here go towards what we NEED and not what is bright and shiny for no reason.			
		You should use the existing site to rebuild the middle school. If it worked for the high school it will work for Hastings. There is no need to take existing park space for a new middle school when the middle school football field is an excellent greenfield site already. The middle school sports teams can be temporarily relocated to accommodate.			
Yes we need to repair or renovate Burbank. We have had three children through that school and it needs help.	Don't spend too much it just needs some updates and repairs	Option B is the right option it uses existing footprint, updates school, and moved the parking lot to a natural spot away from the track. Rebuilding at Thompson park is a bad idea that is a park for a reason. And moving the footprint of the school is a bad idea and would encroach on the homes to the West of the school.	Building at Thompson park should NOT be considered at all.	Repair or renovate do not rebuild Jones is not in that bad of shape.	Focus your spend on Hastings! That has needed remodeled for decades.

I like the original entrance in the repair option and the staff parking in the rear	Keep maximum of outdoor play areas expand if possible	I like the entry and parking location on rebuild one option. Parking location is good for school and field. Rebuild option c is very interesting...and would allow for better traffic control	Rebuild Hastings and don't renovate	Repair or renovate this historic building	
Option 1..Doesn't seem to do the jobfeel like a combination of 2 and 3 would be the most beneficial	Adding bathrooms in preschool classrooms (or at least a closer proximity.)Larger (teacher)supply closets in classrooms.	This is difficult to answer when no estimated cost is available.	I'm not familiar either these schools,	Difficult to say when cost is not available.	I'm not familiar with this school.
		I do NOT want to see the rebuild of Hastings on park space! Please leave our park/green space alone.		I like the openness of the courtyard options and expanded space into the tennis courts.	
It's hard to say without estimated costs for all options. I support what is best for the children and teachers within a reasonable budget.		Again, it's difficult to provide feedback with our costing estimates. However, we do not support Rebuild Opinion C as we frequently use the green spaces at Thompson Park that would be lost to the new building.	Many people utilize Thompson Park and Rebuild Option C would significantly impact them and the neighborhoods surrounding the park.	Again it is difficult to have compare options without costs associated. We would like what is best for the students and faculty with a reasonable budget.	
		I like the rebuild option B the best. I dislike moving Hastings to Thompson Park.			
		Strong No on option c			
Repair		Repair will be sufficient. No need to rebuild			
I am confused why taxpayer money goes to this preschool, which charges tuition. It would be helpful to understand which parts are going to be funded by tuition and which parts are on taxpayers and why. (Sorry if I missed it)		Rebuild options A and B are fine. They make the most sense. Option C is a nonstarter. Taking a large portion of Thompson Park will drastically change the park as well as the surrounding neighborhoods. The neighborhoods around the building now will not be adversely affected by rebuilding.	One of the finest parts of living in UA is the availability of its public parks. The park is used by many people at all times of the day. No other park offers the shade, the amount of paved paths, and the vernal pond. Adding a school to the mix will change the park as well as the quiet, surrounding neighborhoods.		
		Trying to rebuild on the same site seems like it will cause a lot of congestion in that area. I am very curious about the costs.	I like the Thompson Park option, but would want to know more about how drop off would work given how busy McCoy is.		
		Rebuild option C is my choice. It has the shortest timeline and will give the children a new building. Current location is less than ideal near Wellington, with traffic being a safety hazard.			

		I prefer renovate, or rebuild option B to minimize neighborhood disruptions. We live on Burbank Dr and I feel current neighbors purchased their homes based on location, the quiet neighborhood, and current traffic patterns. Also, with Burbank Preschool being down the road from Thompson Park, there would be far too much traffic and subsequent safety concerns to move Hastings right next to Burbank. Therefore I think it would be best to keep the current location and use the adjacent parking lots or fields to build as needed.			
I like the rebuild option since it will maximize the worker's and children's quality of life while in the building. It will most likely be costly but it would definitely yield the greatest reward.	The construction noise and implications with any of the options should be considered for those around the area.	I am very passionate about Rebuild Option C. This land swap will have many implications on the community and I do not think this option should be pursued. Thompson park is one of the largest green spaces in UA and we should do our best to keep it as a focus of our community. This large project seems to take away 1/3 of the green space that is so valuable. This change would also increase traffic in the area, leading to less pedestrian use of the park. I believe Rebuild Options A or B or the Renovate Options could give Hastings the changes it needs without impacting one of our largest parks that many community members use.	I believe Rebuild Option C would be more detrimental to the overall community and should not be pursued. The land and options in Hastings' current location are plenty to improve the school to the quality it deserves.	I like the Renovate and Repair Options to improve the quality of workers and students at the school. I am concerned about the relocation of the tennis courts to the park. There should be careful decision about this since there is limited space at the park but the courts do need to be moved.	I know the practice football field has many uses, but it could be a possible relocation of the tennis courts in order to keep the Mallway Park area as it is.
Renovate seems		Definitely Rebuild Option B. It worked so well for the high school and won't take away portions of a city park			
I prefer Rebuild. UA should modernize its schools or young professionals may not relocate into the city!	Just rebuild; like to as was done with the high school	Rebuild option C. Might as well be bold and modernize now.	None	Rebuild. Renovating old buildings like Jones doesn't always result in a futuristic, functional structure.	None
Without having a cost comparison I can't give opinion.		Most of the residents that live in the Park area along McCoy and Woodbridge are older people that enjoy the park the way it is. We moved here knowing that the park was close and we enjoy the park. Putting a school there now would take away the peaceful atmosphere that exists. The traffic would increase and create a lot more noise. I do not want a light at the corner at Woodbridge and McCoy. I see nothing wrong with the current location. It is more centrally located. I am very much against moving Hastings to the park on McCoy!	Keep Hastings where it currently is. We also need to know more accurate estimates and the people giving the estimates need to be held accountable.		
Probably the most cost efficient option. As Burbank is only used for a small portion of the community feels like the most cost efficient would be best.		Renovate Option B		Rebuild Option	Any of these would be good, but renovate or rebuild would probably be best.
		Strongly do not want to lose any part of Thompson Park!			

				Having students off site for 2 years is not fair to all of those kids and families. Walkable location to homes is a huge factor when people choose where to live. This school needs repaired until another option can be found.	Is traffic flow a concern? Hastings, Jones students (at old Hastings) and Wellington schools all at the same time in the same location. It was asked at one of the meetings if there would be bussing for Jones kids to go all the way across town to the old Hastings school and the answer was no. How would this even work with traffic not to mention families that are walking kids to Barrington at the same time but also now need to drive across town. There are way too many disruptions for these families for 2 years!
Rebuild is likely the best long term option, but we really need cost estimates to better weigh the options		Repair is best Strongly against relocation to Thompson park (rebuild option C). Existing neighborhood dynamics should be maintained.		Repair is best. Strongly dislike all renovate and rebuilds that would remove tennis courts and require student leave for 2 years. Rebuild option seems difficult because it causes students to be offsite for 2 years.	
Without full cost information, I am not confident in making an informed decision.	The postcard sent out about this survey was delivered today 3.7.25 - meaning ONLY two days in which to complete the survey. Very poor delivery on the part of UA Schools to engage members of our community.	Again, without full costs for each option available - how do you expect people to make an informed decision. AS for the 'land swap' option - ABSOLUTELY NOT!	ABSOLUTELY NO LAND SWAP.	Costs only available for the repair option - how about this be presented to the public with full information?	Truly disappointed that these plans aren't fully supported with costs.
No comments	I'm unclear why UA funds this school and why it can't be funded similar to any other private preschool.	No specific comments.	Current Hastings is a dated and disgusting building. Just start fresh with something new.		
		Like Rebuild Option A; Dislike the Thompson Park options.	Do not move to Thompson Park		
Hard to evaluate without cost.		Strongly against Thompson park option. Seems like it will be prohibitively expensive to have to deal with two sites without real ROI. The loss of park space during the time it would take to turn Hastings current site into a park will significantly impact UA as a whole. Furthermore, the impact to Thompson park will irreparably damage the area. The roads are not equipped for school traffic and the pollution will be harmful to children in the park and library.			
	Cannot answer without cost projections.		Really need cost projections to provide sound answers.		Again, cost projections are needed. Most people would choose one of the rebuild options, absent cost considerations.
		Please no construction in Thompson Park. It will significantly change the character of the neighborhood and create substantial traffic issues.			
Only like the repair option.	You are going to tax out your residents. Can't afford for taxes to be substantially raised for the more expensive options after the high school fiasco	Repair option only	You are going to tax out your residents. Can't afford for taxes to be substantially raised for the more expensive options after the high school fiasco	Repair only	You are going to tax out your residents. Can't afford for taxes to be substantially raised for the more expensive options after the high school fiasco

I think it should be repaired	no	Property should be repaired. Do not like the idea of moving to Thompson Park.	repair	
		No to Option C.		
	More than one elevator at different points of the building. It has happened frequently that an only elevator is not operating and people with mobility needs cannot access a different floor. Please make considerations for accessible bathrooms with hi lo changing tables for staff ergonomics and child transfers.		Multiple elevators as it is common for elevators that only span a few floors to break down. This has happened at HMS multiple times stranding students in wheelchairs on a floor. Also consider the fire rescue plan to have a window exit that opens on the upper floors as the student would be trapped in a sealed window room with a loud alarm. Also, please design bathrooms with big enough turn radius for a power wheelchair as well as a changing table with a hi/lo feature for staff safety and student transfer ease that folds up to allow maximum maneuverability space in the bathroom.	Multiple elevators as it is common for elevators that only span a few floors to break down. This has happened at HMS multiple times stranding students in wheelchairs on a floor. Also consider the fire rescue plan to have a window exit that opens on the upper floors as the student would be trapped in a sealed window room with a loud alarm. Also, please design bathrooms with big enough turn radius for a power wheelchair as well as a changing table with a hi/lo feature for staff safety and student transfer ease that folds up to allow maximum maneuverability space in the bathroom.
I just don't really feel the need to rebuild Burbank. Wherever we can cut cost is fine by me. It would be nice to get some natural light in there, I get that.	Cost		I am mostly concerned about safety (shooter) and room for students. I feel like barrington remodel was not well done because the size of the classroom are too small!! So much 'community space' that takes away from the classroom! Ugh. FYI.	I don't like classrooms near main entrance. Safety issue. Jones definitely needs a refresher. So dark in there. But I love the facade.
Renovate, seems like a good fix and can be completed without displacing students.	NA	The renovation options are good and do not displace students. If building new, remain on current site rather than move North to Thompson park.	I think the addition and renovation option worked well at Barrington and would be good for Jones, maintaining some of the history of the building.	Safety is my main focus. Bullet-proof glass? Active shooter thoughts? Did the high school take any of that into consideration?
It's hard to make a sound decision without data. Without knowing with the cost of the renovation or rebuild, no one should be able to say they like or dislike an option.		It's hard to make a sound decision without data. Without knowing with the cost of the renovation or rebuild, no one should be able to say they like or dislike an option. With that being said, to relocate the school to Thompson Park is the worst option I've ever heard of. The park should not be impacted by need to rebuild a school - this ruins the community. McCoy Road is already a disaster because of the redevelopment of the road and the school/church up the street - adding more congestion to this area is absolutely ridiculous. I also believe that you have misinformed the public. Out of all the information that has been sent to my house, only the friends of Thompson Park have been truthful in informing the community about this awful idea. Also to repair the school, what is the time period associated with the cost? There are several variables that you still haven't shared with the community. And why are you adding more parking?	It's hard to make a sound decision without data. Without knowing with the cost of the renovation or rebuild, no one should be able to say they like or dislike an option.	Where are the student going to be for two years?

Not aware of the demand for this space. If high demand, I would choose to rebuild	Be sure the demand for this space is anticipated to grow to justify either of the expensive options	I like rebuild Option B because it's minimally disruptive to current use of the land around the school and actually will enhance the park like environment of the water park. It would also provide additional parking for the water park during the summer when school is not in session. Very efficient use of space. It would not require the hassles of a land swap.	I am very opposed to Rebuild Option C. Use of Thompson Park ruins the most valuable and most diverse park in the northern part of UA. This park is used by people throughout central Ohio for sporting events and is a welcoming place for visitors to UA. The existing park is also essential to the immediate neighborhood for walking and biking. In addition, the school traffic would change the traffic pattern for McCoy Road and impact neighbors both to the north and south of this main traffic collector and become very congested during the morning commute in particular. Remember, this is a major east-west collector and now used by many new apartment dwellers on Riverside Drive to make their way to Columbus. With planned enhancements and traffic calming planned for Henderson Rd, McCoy Rd could become a true nightmare. I understand that it would be the easiest option for construction, but not worth it for northern UA residents either in the short run or the long run.	I like renovate option b. I think the new space looks flexible and efficient. The rebuild look very costly in an attempt to retain the character of the building.	
It is difficult to make a recommendation or a decision until we know the dollar value on renovating and rebuilding. I do like the renovating of Burbank as an option. Wondering why on the rebuild that the two arms or hallways that are extended on the north side of the building are not connected to use that space in between?	I do know from teaching at Burbank that there is a great need for more light into each classroom. Not sure if this is possible with a renovation as some areas are buried?	I like the options to rebuild Hastings as it is a very old building and could use the upgrades. Although I like the option to use Thompson park for a number of reasons... don't think the community will support it. I embrace change but many do not. I feel that rebuild A is the best option as it allows for easier transition for Jones students to use the older building at the same time. I also liked this option because it allows the parking to stay coming off of Hastings Lane.		I like the option B for renovate at Jones. Although it is an old building and could also use a rebuild, I feel the community around it would embrace a renovation in order to keep the original front façade as is.	Again it is very hard to look at renovations and rebuild options when we don't have some idea of the costs. I also feel it's important that Jones and Hastings both be brought to an equal level of modernization even though one could be in renovation and the other in rebuild. Overall I feel that keeping in mind the amount of students the building service,,Burbank would make most sense for a renovation if it is cost-effective and then rebuild the middle schools
		I prefer the repair option, renovate option B, and rebuild option B, as they do not take away land and amenities from public parks. I am particularly against rebuild option C, because it would significantly reduce the size of Thompson Park, which I and many other residents in my area use frequently.			
Where is the money coming from. If the budget was only for repair to the building then that was what UA voted for.	If it does not raise taxes or cost the resident then the new build would be good for this school	Like before if this was only a repair job budget job why are we talking about moving this to a park that is heavily used by UA residents.	I do not want to have my taxes raised. If there is no cost then option A would be good. I see no reason to move the school to a different location that is not able to handle the traffic and take away one of the parks.	Same for this why are we looking at anything other than the repair when that was the budget. Are what was found.	If a full remodel then where are the students going to school during this period. Do not raise taxes.
		Just need to repair. Hastings is a wonderful school just the way it is. It seems like all you like to do is spend money. A lot of us cant afford any more taxes. Please take senior citizens into account. My fixed income does not go very far. Thank you		Just repair	

		I appreciate the city's efforts in planning Hastings redevelopment. Given the community's strong attachment to Thompson Park and concerns over traffic and property values, I encourage prioritizing options that utilize the existing site. This approach maintains green space, minimizes disruptions, and ensures a smooth transition for students and residents alike.			
YES TO REPAIR OPTION. NO TO ALL OTHER OPTIONS. After ALL the years Burbank Elementary has successfully operated - all of a sudden it's absolutely necessary to rebuild or renovate?!?!? Crazy. YOU ARE MAKING UPPER ARLINGTON UNAFFORDABLE TO A HUGE % OF RESIDENTS BECAUSE OF THE TAX INCREASE TO PAY FOR ALL "IMPROVEMENTS".	UA is known for its parks. You are taking away the pleasure of Thompson Park from hundreds of people who use the park for exercise, dog walks, etc. AND you will DECREASE the value of those beautiful homes along Woodbridge near McCoy.	ONLY LIKE REPAIR OPTION. Renovate or Rebuild Plans are too costly. (As with the new High School, building costs, and equipment and furniture costs soared once given the "go ahead" to rebuild. City Council and the Superintendent had to figure out a way to approach UA citizens with their hands out to complete the project.)	WORK WITHIN THE BUDGET THE UA RESIDENTS HAVE GIVEN YOU. UA is a special place and will always be a successful real estate market. People clamor to live hear.	ONLY LIKE REPAIR OPTION. The other plans will destroy the flavor of this historical school. Renovate and Rebuild plans will prove too costly.	To date, UA has torn down almost all the schools in the district...do you know how disturbing that is to graduates? Their schools no longer exist. JONES MIDDLE SCHOOL IS THE MOST HISTORIC OF ALL THE SCHOOLS IN UA. TO DESTROY IT AS IT STANDS WOULD BE AN ABSOLUTE SHAME. KIDS HAVE BEEN GETTING A PERFECTLY FINE EDUCATION IN THE BUILDING AS IT IS. NO PHYSICAL CHANGES WILL IMPROVE UPON THAT.
Rebuild option addresses HVAC inefficiencies, adds natural light to building.		Rebuild option C centralizes the school more through the service area; important for children that want to bike or walk. Rebuild option B isolates outdoor play area from parking lot access.			
The 3rd option has a lot of change-why is it needed? Why can't the footprint stay similar?		Please do not destroy the only decent size park in UA. McCoy already has 2 schools in close proximity. Rebuild option b seems ideal, given cost of repairs	Consider building to 3 stories now.	Renovate options both seem palatable.	
I don't have an opinion about Burbank AS LONG A Thompson Park remains a park		I am flexible about any of the current site options for Hastings but am completely AGAINST taking away Thompson Park. Thompson Park is our park and must not be altered. The traffic would be awful and negatively impact our community			
				Renovate option B - Jones is a historical building in a prominent location in UA. I would like to see the façade stay mostly in tact. I would not want to see a brand new modern build in this area. I also like the option of having courtyard areas for the kids to enjoy.	
Repair, no need to consume more space with additional footprint	We need costs of other two options to truly assess	Rebuild an and then rebuild b. I don't support Thompson park ideas.	Rebuild a a probably better and easier than attempt to renovate- infrastructure is old and dated	Renovate b	
		Do not build at Thompson park			

			Do not want Thompson Park to be home of Hastings Middle School. UA has always been concerned about green space availability, and now you want to turn it into a public school facility. it would no longer be a public park and you know it.		
		I like the idea of renovating the school. It seems like it would be less expensive than rebuilding. If you choose to rebuild, I think it should be on the same land it already sits on. We are surprised you are even considering rebuilding Hastings at Thompson Park. It is the largest and busiest park and already has multiple baseball diamonds, tennis courts, a new playground and two shelter houses. The fields are used for soccer practices and games. It is a beautiful park with trees residents have had planted for themselves and loved ones and losing the green space for a land locked community is totally unacceptable to everyone we have spoken with.	One of the reasons residents bought their homes where they did was because they wanted the middle school close. Residents in the Thompson Park area bought their property knowing they have a beautiful park to go to and walk or play. To take that away from UA residents doesn't make sense when there is so much land right where Hastings sits now.		
I dislike how boxed in the renovate and repair options are. I agree with the presenters that the rebuild option would increase the available sunlight to each academic area by providing windows on all sides, although none of them are south-facing. This will leave the north side of the building rather dark in the winter, but windows on the east and west will balance this. Regardless, the improved facilities from a new build and new layout seems like it would be a big improvement over the current layout.	If Hastings gets moved to Thompson park, why not consider moving Burbank to part of the old Hastings site? Potentially where the track is now. This would make Burbank more centralized.	I do not like the land swap option-moving Hastings to Thompson Park I dislike the renovate B/rebuild B options, as the parking lot off of Reed on the north side feels way too cramped and would make the access to the athletic field/track (or school) annoying. It would also cause congestion on Reed road everyday with both Wellington and Hastings letting out around the same time (although this is already an issue). Having toured the building, I think repairing it is not cost effective, as it is in rough shape from age and use, particularly with things like the HVAC system and room-size limitations. Rebuilding seems like the best path forward. Rebuild A seems like the best option for using the current site, although I might like Rebuild C more because it would move Hastings away from Wellington onto an underutilized part of Thompson park. The two street lights on Reed road become an issue with two schools letting out around the same time. Moving Hastings to a less congested McCoy road would help mitigate this traffic issue. The current Hastings site would be a great place for a park and it would not take away all of	Thompson Park is an established area for all age groups to enjoy...strollers to walkers. Having a busy middle school there would definitely change the accessibility for families and the elderly A third story, or potential for a third story in any site plan is a good idea. Additional stories are an efficient use of space and will be necessary as Upper Arlington adds more high density housing options.	Renovate B or Rebuild with the original facade are the only options that make sense, in my opinion. The current 3rd floor is woefully underutilized and not efficient for students moving from class to class. The rebuild option obviously allows for the most efficient use of that third floor, so I would say I like that one the best. I think this one would come down to cost and what the city voters will find palatable. If rebuilding is exceedingly more expensive than renovate B, then renovate B may be the winner.	This is a tough site to deal with, and some community members have noted concerns about crossing Coventry road for P.E. and athletics. Is there any potential for building a pedestrian bridge over Coventry Road? That would be really cool.

Burbank: Repair is probably to most cost effective. Renovate seems to meet the needs especially with limited hours required for early childhood education. Rebuild does not seem necessary.		Hastings: Repair is likely the most cost effective. Middle school students are close in age so grade levels circulating does not seem to be a problem. Renovation B seems best if more than repair is needed. It does not disrupt the existing land footprint. Rebuild does not seem necessary to meet educational needs. If it were necessary, Rebuild B is the better plan. It does not disrupt park land and seems to meet all the needs. Rebuild C is not a good use of money or land. It is really a relocation rather than a rebuild. It moves the school to a new area and takes away too much of Thompson Park. It will increase traffic, decrease the utility of the park, and take away important green space. It would be damaging to the way the neighborhood that surrounds Thompson Park functions.		Jones: Repair is likely the most cost-effective option. Renovation A looks better because it retains the stage. Rebuild does not seem necessary.	
		Hastings should not move to Thompson park. It would ruin the park.	The traffic on McCoy would be awful with a school located there.		
This is an incredibly old building so children need more light. Would renovate or rebuild. Would prefer renovation as to not limit outdoor space which is its biggest attribute.	More natural light is key	the land swap sounds complicated. i would prefer the rebuild option B	relocating to thompson park is an interesting option but does it make using more of an issue? would more children need to be bussed rather than walk? relocating to thompson seems the most expensive option.	not my middle school	not my middle school
		Rebuild option A - preferred I do NOT like the options that require moving the school to Thompson park. Swap the structure within the same footprint (similar to the high school). The park is an important part of the community.			
		Repair Option A seems the most cost-effective of all the options. It addresses the principal concerns about the inadequacy of the present structure. Renovate Options A and B, and Rebuild Options A and B all would require more disruption than is necessary. In any event, the options that call for staying in the same space are preferable. Rebuild Option C (Thompson Park option) seems the worst option. It would eliminate green space, of which too little is left in UA. So from an environmental standpoint, building in a new location is a serious negative. Road traffic would be a further disruption. Building in this new location would diminish the character of a quiet neighborhood.	I can see that Building Option C would avoid the difficulties of conducting classes while repairing or renovating or rebuilding in the same location, but those difficulties would be temporary and do not justify the long-term damage to the community that would result from building in Thompson Park. The area around Thompson Park was originally taken by the Army as military land. It was heavily forested and remained that way for many years. Over the years, construction has felled most of the trees and has left little open space. In the northern part of UA, Thompson Park is one of the few remaining open spaces. I do not have in mind any options beyond those that are being considered, but Building Option C is the worst of the existing options.		

Both repair and renovate options likely to impact young students more than the rebuild option would. Repair and renovate options also do not address HVAC or natural lighting issues as well. "Ideal adjacencies" most important for younger students (versus any similar concerns for middle schools). Possible relocation of play space if/when future addition done is minimal concern.	While I don't know the various costs of options, in my opinion investment in rebuilding is best aimed at optimizing learning situation for youngest children rather than middle schoolers.	Repair option least desirable unless costs are significantly better (unlikely if long term is considered). Renovate A and B provide larger parking which would be a plus given multiuse thereof. Both flag circulation of grade levels as a negative, which I do not agree with--they are getting old enough to benefit from such. All rebuild options claim grade level zoning as a positive, with which I strongly disagree for this age group. Rebuild A seems to have some negatives w/r to land swap and impact of new building site on neighbors. Rebuild B looks best of rebuild options as no land swap and new building site seems well located and larger parking serves school and other uses well. Option C is the worst of all options, as it dilutes the UA park situation for minimal benefit. Current location of Hastings already 'mixed use' with adjacent pool and fire station--"swap" would not move the latter items resulting in a compromised mix on the present site. I see NO positives associated with moving the school to the south side of Thompson Park, which is the 'jewel' of UA's present park system.	The SE side of Thompson Park floods is effectively a 'flood zone'--any fix is likely costly and a continuing threat of water for the proposed school building and for the homes in the vicinity whenever rainfall is heavy.	Repair option likely not cost effective in the long run. Again adjacencies and circulation for these age students less a concern than for the youngest students in system. Renovate option A seems to provide the best cafeteria and kitchen option. Renovate B may have the best combination of benefits/cost.	
It is difficult to evaluate with the larger programmatic options having no order of magnitude cost. This is a significant disservice to UA citizens. Absent that information, I would prefer the renovation option.	UA needs more robust options including costs and not focus on the disadvantages of less than replacement options.	I absolutely oppose relocating the school to the park. From the floorpan, it appears extremely inefficient with the different grade level teams essentially in different bump-outs requiring significant additional exterior walls. I see no reason kids shouldn't be all together. I also see no reason why a rebuild shouldn't be more than two floors to make the footprint as efficient as possible. Showing essentially the same footprint in various options tells me that little time was spent on how the building could be configured well and more on making a case to rebuild and relocate.	With little park land now, I am frankly shocked and surprised that the Thompson Park site would be an option. Not all UA residents have kids in school, yet we've consistently supported school funding. To now encroach on park land available to all residents and heavily used, in a city that is landlocked, is offensive.	As previously, I do not believe the community's well served by soliciting site plan feedback without corresponding potential costs. The same is true of student relocation during construction, particularly with Jones since all options require closing the building during construction.	
Don't like the idea to repair or renovate. New is the best option.		I like the options of rebuilding with A and B, but do NOT like rebuilding on Thompson Park		I like the renovation options for Jones, to keep its historical value	
Not sure how Burbank falls under tax payers to renovate/rebuild	None	Don't like the idea to repair or renovate at all. Students deserve all new facilities. Like rebuild Option B.		Don't like the idea of repair or renovating old buildings. Like all new. Our younger generation deserve that.	
		I like rebuild options that use the current location, option A layout seems a little better with parking location in between athletic Frick's and school. I do not want Hastings to be moved to Thompson park			

I think the repair choice is the best option.		I like the repair option. It is the only one with a price tag. You should have the estimated cost stated before asking residents to comment/choose. I am completely opposed to moving Hastings to Thompson Park. Moving the school there is unfair to the current residents who use the park and depend on it for recreation.		I like repair option	
The building is too old to repair or renovate, it needs to be replaced.	Burbank is a very expensive option for preschool and it should have better space for the kids.	This building needs to be rebuilt. The options really do not matter except for the relocation, which I am against. Option B seems to lessen the neighbors having the school in their back yards.	Moving the school seems to be a bit too much. I also don't think it is necessary to keep the grade levels from seeing each other or interacting. We are transitioning them to high school where ages of students will be mixed together.	I don't have an opinion on Jones	
The renovate option looks good. There seems to be a lot of unused space on the south of the property in the Rebuild option.		Hastings needs a lot of work, so I would support Rebuild options A and B. There's plenty of land to work with there without moving the school to Thompson park. Thompson park is awesome with it's current use, and doesn't need to be gobbled up by a school.		Jones also needs a lot of work. As long as you are able to make the historians happy by keeping the facade, I say that a Rebuild option is the only way to go. That said, it would be a huge impact to students being offsite for at least 2 years, but it's necessary as that building is so confusing and has so many "you can't get there from here" scenarios.	
I dislike the cost relative to the amount of work being performed.		Definitely rebuild Hastings option A. There is mold growing on the ceilings and in the cafeteria where children eat. The school is a current health risk. The school needs to be rebuilt. Do not waste money on repairs. It is simply a bandaid for more problems in the future which will equate to higher costs. Do NOT put parking by the ball fields. The fields (old timers) is used in the summer months and people do not want to park their cars by the baseball fields!!		Repair option.	
	Burbank is a tuition-based school, so Upper Arlington taxpayers should not be responsible for paying for renovations or new construction of Burbank.	Option C of rebuilding at Thompson Park would take over land space that is used for club and rec sports' games and practices. Land in UA already has to be shared between all of the outdoor sports, so reducing that land space would have a great impact. Option C should not be considered.			
I prefer the Renovate option. It meets the needs of the students without major disruptions in the larger community		Renovate Option B is the most efficient option, and creates the least disruption for both students and the community		Renovate option A is the most efficient use of time and resources	
It's unnecessary to rebuild. Renovation is the best option.		Rebuild is absolutely unnecessary.	Stay away from Thompson park		

As a teacher at Burbank for four years now, I can tell you that a simple repair job will NOT meet the needs for the children or the staff at Burbank. A Rebuild seems the best option; we need bathrooms in every room plus hall bathrooms, more natural light, windows on the first floor that have safety latches that can be released and opened all the way should an emergency occur and the staff and children need to exit through the windows. The need for bathrooms is every room cannot be overstated. Early education often gets overlooked but it is SO important for children to have positive preschool experiences as it sets the stage for how they view education for the rest of their life. Please do the right thing for the children, staff, and community at Burbank and choose rebuild!					
I vote to REBUILD. The building is too old that it would cost more to repair and renovate.		I vote REBUILD OPTION C. Would create less traffic on Reed Rd. That area of Thompson Park is underutilized anyways.		I vote NOT to repair. \$40 million?? Might as well rebuild at that point!	
		I find rebuild option c totally unacceptable, why give up our limited park space if it's not necessary - see all other options! I don't know what's involved in repair option but it may be shortsighted. both renovate options seem good to me - I cannot understand why ceiling height cannot be addressed as long as you are renovating why not do a good job of it. I like renovate because original building built in '60's when standards were higher. New Windermere school looks awful versus former building just from aesthetic outside appeal		Just in general I like renovate ideas - take a look at OSU Pomerene Hall versus University Hall for example and U Hall probably one of better rebuilds but still doesn't have the charm and I venture to say strength of Pomerene	
Rebuild. This seems like the only options that will actually solve all the problems we are trying to solve for.		Vote for rebuild option c		Vote for renovate option b. Keep architecture	
		REPAIR OPTION	Over the last 5 years, UA has wasted tens of millions on new buildings and education has only gotten worse. Standardized test scores have fallen and UA's ranking has slipped. Teachers are very highly paid for short hours worked and benefits are more than generous.		
Better to build new.	No	Rebuild option is best. Least disruptive. New will be most energy efficient in long run	N/a	Option c	
Like the rebuild option	No	Rebuild option no land swap. Thompson Park land swap is a non-starter because it is used for so many UA club teams		Rebuild option like the best	

		I strongly oppose option C. The land swap is a horrible idea. It would rob the community of the use of Thompson Park which many families love. The park is highly utilized by a number of sports teams and it's a treasure to UA.			
		Option b on current lot	Do not complete land swap.		
		This is actually crazy that you're even considering putting a middle school in my beloved Thompson park. I cannot believe this.	Anywhere but Thompson Park please.		
	I think it's a bit silly to have this exercise before at least preliminary estimates of the costs for all proposals are available. How can anyone compare a partial renovation vs a complete rebuild without any guidance on the cost differential? With that said, the rebuild option seems least appealing here. Presumably the cost is substantial and the differences seem pretty negligible vs renovate.		I think it's a bit silly to have this exercise before at least preliminary estimates of the costs for all proposals are available. How can anyone compare a partial renovation vs a complete rebuild without any guidance on the cost differential?	I think it's a bit silly to have this exercise before at least preliminary estimates of the costs for all proposals are available. How can anyone compare a partial renovation vs a complete rebuild without any guidance on the cost differential?	In addition to preserving the front facade of the school, I would suggest trying to preserve the south facade facing Devon pool as well (maybe that is part of the plan already).
No need to rebuild. Repair is fine. Who throws away a building		DO NOT Touch the Park! The park should be totally off limits. My kids used all the park growing up with sports, parties , playing. Keep the park whole!			
	StOP , the process !! No more new property taxes. Way too much was put into the tearing down of the High School and rebuilding. I met with the previous Superintendent and he had no clue on what it was going to cost. He thought the cost was only going to be 50 Million dollars. I told him that it was going to be at least 250 millions. I have many years experience in these building's. State contract prices not being used or competitive bidding. To much wants that are not necessary: This all needs to be done properly, I was blacklisted before and I am not the only one that feels this way.	Not necessary	Not necessary	Not necessary	Not necessary
My kids went to Burbank from around 2014-2020 and it served its purpose so I have a fondness for the old building and think it can work. Depending on the cost the middle option may make sense if money is being spent. I don't like the idea of tearing a building down that is not that old. It seems wasteful.	I would ask that anything chosen be energy efficient. Every time I walk through the high school I cringe at the amount of energy wasted by that massive glass atrium. I can just see my tax dollars disappearing in HVAC costs. It's impressive but with politics these days financing of public schools seems to be in peril. Modest is fine for schools.	I do not think the school should be rebuilt. I like the repair or renovate option.	Same with Burbank. Do not make it is an opulent as the high school. Education funding is likely to drop further so restraint is important.	I favor repair and renovate.	I'm disappointed there are no cost estimates for anything but repairs. I feel like this is trying to get the people of UA to take on an irresponsible tax burden.

rebuild option since everything is old and hopefully won't take long and cost us a lot!		I like rebuild option B. The school is old but I do not want to see Thompson Park used for the rebuild if that is an option!	During rebuild can you use Burbank school for the students? Child care could go to the old senior center for now. Do not do both buildings at once since you may need Burbank. And when are you going to ask about Jones? Maybe both should be done in one package and have them match like Windermere and Wickliffe.	Renovation A or B. Isn't this an Historic site? So rebuild is not really an option.	
Renovate at this building would be acceptable as the primary focus should be on the rebuilding of the middle schools.		We prefer Rebuild option A or B. As a homeowner on Waybourn Road, we are opposed to building Hastings MS at Thompson Park and therefore, oppose Rebuild Option C! Thompson is one of the largest green spaces left in the city, is invaluable and needs to be preserved! Thompson Park is highly used on a daily basis year round for those who want to walk, jog, or walk their dog and it also has 4 highly used baseball fields, tennis/paddle ball courts, large soccer fields, a nature trail with a newly installed playground and pavilion with restrooms, all of which would be adversely impacted by building the MS here. Traffic congestion created would be a nightmare on McCoy Road as it is already very busy trying to merge onto McCoy Road from Woodbridge Road during the morning and evening commutes. Rebuilding on the existing site wouldn't disrupt/ruin Thompson and the beautiful surrounding area and lovely homes!		We prefer the Rebuild Option for Jones Middle School as the existing structure is in such disrepair and is so old it would not be wise to waste money on this aging building. It would be difficult to bring up to code.	
Unsure if this is the best use of funds for a tuition funded program within the district.	Would prefer to have cost estimates for renovate/rebuild before moving forward	Like the idea of moving it to Thompson park. Seems like a great way to utilize the space and expand Reed Road park. Feel like traffic flow would be better off McCoy vs Reed			
The TBD cost does not help in making a decision. Were these dollar amounts made available somewhere else?	No	All are expensive options.	Fix only what is needed!		
Renovate and make use of what already exists...	Part of renovating is knocking down walls rebuilding ceilings etc. work smarter and utilize what exists and can be better...you have land surrounding that building add to the building where the existing building may not make sense.	Focus on rebuild on the land it resides on now. If you can rebuild or renovate Jones then you can work with what you have for Hastings - on its current land.	DO NOT TAKE THOMPSON PARK - this area doesn't have anything else like that. Traffic and congestion would be too much for our neighborhood- I can't even believe you are considering this option. Part of the attraction to that area is the park. You will have ALOT of very very pissed off people if you move any further on this option. You have land all around the existing school....USE IT. Again think Smarter!!!	Renovate or rebuild	

		I dislike Rebuild Option C very much. We live within a block of this well established park. We enjoy walking the trail, checking out the wetland area & it's changes made through the seasons. You must be aware that there's a wetland there, right? Our children grew up playing there, going to day camps there, playing in ball games & now our grandchildren play there & we enjoy walking our dog there. Why bother with a land swap when there are logical options for Repair & Renovate along with Options A & B of Rebuilding without a land swap & disturbing two parcels of land rather than only one; the one already holding up the building.	Yes, I think you should consider any of the Options above, with the exception of Rebuild Option C.		
		land swap adds unnecessary costs and may cause hardships and change in traffic flow for families living around Thompson Park.			
Lack of clarity around budgets for each option. Given potential scope, especially with the new build option, there should be a better understanding on cost. It's easier to predict new over renovations.		The Rebuild options all have major drawbacks. Locations for A & B kill the neighborhood houses at the bend and those properties on Mountview. The Rebuild Option C would be horrible for traffic on Mountview and McCoy.			Why are we sticking to within the boundaries of the tennis courts? What about the adjacent Devon Pool? With the two other pools and Grandview with their new pool- Devon is relic. This school is so landlocked- wouldn't there be more opportunity if we really look beyond?
		UA has 1 deceit size park please dont take that from the community!!!!			
		I do not think we should rebuild the middle school. The options that impact the size and environment of Thompson Park and its adjacent communities are absolutely unacceptable.	Renovation of current location will be the least disruptive to the adjacent communities both in short and long term		
Rebuild/renovate for cheaper		Repair or renovate. DO NOT TOUCH THOMPSON PARK			
		NO to option C!		Repair-make it more fancy on the inside	Consider widening the doors
		I strongly dislike Rebuild option C. Thompson Park is too valuable of a resource/asset, and I don't want to see that space disrupted. Nearly \$50m for the repair options seems incredibly high, so I'm curious how that cost compares to a rebuild cost. I'm not opposed to spending \$50m or even more, but if we are sinking that much cost, I would like to see the building be optimized (which doesn't seem realistic with a repair option).		My children currently attend Jones, so I am more intimately familiar with this building. The current structure is woefully outdated and inadequate, so I am opposed to the repair option. I feel that Renovate Option B or Rebuild are best suited to getting this building to where it functionally needs to be. None of these options address the traffic flow challenges around this building. I understand the frustrations of the local businesses. In all of these options, the street access and parking availability will still be problematic. Perhaps the district should reevaluate the radius for bussing?	The softball field at Jones is an embarrassment, and I am ashamed that baseball has such a lovely field, while the female athletes don't even get a right field. I understand the landlock and the limits on the property size likely don't allow this to be improved, but I ask the committee to please explore options that allow softball to have facilities that are on par with their baseball counterparts.
				I like the repair option. I think it's important to preserve old buildings	

		I definitely do not want Hasting to take Thompson Park land! The park is too valuable to the community. Repair or renovate (option A) are my choices for Hastings.			
Unless the building is ready to fall down I am not in favor of a rebuild. Considering the cost I am in favor of repairing the building. It would be nice to know what is meant by physical adequacy.		If you are trying to keep up with Dublin, New Albany and Hilliard I would go with Rebuild Options A and B. Build Option C is a definite NO. The city just spent funds on redoing McCoy and I have to assume Option C would require reworking this street. This street is already congested in the morning and afternoon with St. Andrews school. Adding Hastings would create a nightmare for residents and parents. Arlington already has few green space why destroy a park that is heavily used by kids and adults throughout the year. This is a NO.		Since the building has been identified as a historical building I am only in favor of repairs.	
		I like the rebuilding options around Hastings current location. I would like to keep Thompson Park undisturbed.	Please preserve UA's largest park!		
		I think that renovate option A is the best choice. I feel strongly that the school campus should remain centrally located. It is valuable that it is near emergency services. The more open and spacious parking lot will allow better visibility with smaller children. The parking set back will prevent the Reed road area from additional congestion and safety concerns with pedestrians.			
I am in favor of either repair or rebuild option assuming rebuild and renovate costs are not significantly different. If rebuild and renovate costs are similar, rebuild should be the choice since on the long run this will be a better investment.	If rebuild option is chosen, part of design emphasis should be on durability. Being intimately familiar with Windermere elementary school before and after rebuild, I do not think durability was taken into account too much when Windermere elementary school was rebuild. It looks fancy on the surface, but things were already falling apart within the first year of use, e.g., leaky ceiling in the gym area. Another aspect that should not be compromised is adequate green space for kids to play and run around. Present mature trees should be maintained as much as possible. Elementary kids need as much outdoor time as indoor during the school day, and this outdoor time should include nature.	I do not agree with Rebuild Option C at all. Destroying current Thompson Park must not occur at any cost. Thompson Park is the largest park in UA that is very popular. Given limited open and green space in UA, destroying Thompson Park will be a great loss to UA community. Already we are losing so many trees in the UA area in general. Cutting down mature trees that will take decades to replace must not occur. I am in favor of rebuilding at the current location; I do not have a preference for either rebuilding options. Given repair option is slated to cost almost \$50 million, it is a better investment to rebuild in the current site.	Thompson Park is a gem within UA community. One should not trade mental health benefits of the UA community for a new middle school. Focus groups constituting immediate neighborhood of Thompson Park should be consulted. before any proposal that would include Thompson Park is pursued.	If the cost of rebuilding is not substantially higher than renovate, rebuilding should be considered since this is a better long term investment.	Immediate neighborhood to be impacted by the proposal should be consulted before rebuilding.

		I am very against moving Hastings to thompson park. Renovating or rebuilding on the current property makes the most sense. I would prefer rebuilding.			
		It is absolutely unacceptable to alter the environment in and around Thompson Park, UA's largest park. That is a gem of UA and it is so terrible that such is even being considered. NO to option C!	It is absolutely unacceptable to alter the environment in and around Thompson Park, UA's largest park. That is a gem of UA and it is so terrible that such is even being considered. NO to option C!		
		NO TO OPTION C!! The district should not alter the land in or around Thompson Park! Whether you renovate or rebuild, keep the school on the land it already occupies!			
There's no cost for the two other options, this makes it harder because I don't view early childhood school the same as grade school.	I'll think twice to spend tax money on this. With this said, my kids did went to Burbank and it's a great school, the facility, although old, is way better than other daycare center around. I want to understand more on the necessity of a new school for early childhood.	I like rebuild option A. I don't think rebuild option C make sense.			with no cost estimate for all options, it's very hard to give opinion as cost does play a big role. it budget is unlimited, rebuild will of course be the choice. the cost diff between repair/renovate/rebuild, is it double, triple, 5 times?
Repair is the best option. It's a nice building, let's keep it and make repairs as that's costing \$13mil+ and Modulares aren't needed. It's a cool, mid-century building		Are you kidding me with rebuild option c?! Do NOT use part of Thompson Park. Why would you take away park space for an existing school that could be rebuilt or renovated on its own lot. Get serious	Renovate or rebuild		
Repair or renovate		I dislike building on Thompson park. Do not destroy a great park. Rebuild or renovate			
		We shouldn't build at Thompson Park.			
		Rebuild - high traffic area of McCoy	Loss if park that is used so much for community evrnts		
		The reason for doing the landswap with Thompson was not specified so that is unclear to us. With kids entering Hastings soon, we have planned for it's current location so that would be frustrating for us. I like the rebuild over renovate due to the limitations with HVAC. I prefer rebuild A. I do not like the idea of the student classrooms being right on the road in Option B. I prefer there to be an entrance that can be controlled and the classrooms further into the park and more protected.			

no opinion	no	I worked in a brand new school with a similar footprint to the proposed rebuild options and believe that will best serve our students, faculty, and staff. I like option B better as it feels less intrusive to the neighboring homes--the houses on Hastings Lane have always had traffic/stadium views. We do not like the option of moving to Thompson Park without knowing more about a plan for how to replace the loss of those sports fields. Practice fields are already at premium. I assume there would be some cooperation with the school system and city like there was with the football program during the Northam park renovation and use of the turf fields for flag football?		If you choose to renovate, we prefer option B over option A. I like the potential for more light/open spaces. I would choose rebuild. Is it possible the attempt to preserve the facade would fail? Why not just tear it down and build a new building with a design to replicate the spirit of the old architecture? I appreciate the care for our history, but we also want to make sure we do what's best for our long term investment.	Why not rebuild it on the old football field and swap the two spaces like the plans for Hastings? What happens with parking? Not just for the school, but for the pool and the Hub, etc? Will you have answers at the May meetings for what happens to my Jones student during this construction? We did not attend the in person meeting so perhaps it was addressed but we missed it in the video. Will you do something like how the Windermere and Wickliffe buildings were done in two phases? Two of students will likely live through this so we are anxious to know.
		Dislike taking park green space in Thompson park - option C			
		I am strongly against Rebuild Option C. I do not have particularly strong opinions about any of the options except for Rebuild Option C, which I am strongly against. I grew up in the area around Thompson (formerly Lane Road) Park - it is a unique and special place, providing the largest open green space in Upper Arlington, and I would hate to see the character of the neighborhood changed. Much like the neighborhood around Hastings (and all the other UA schools) have grown up around the school, the Kipling Forest neighborhood has grown up around and had its character defined by the park. While I know there are traffic issues in Hastings' current location relative to Wellington, I don't think those issues will be improved in the new location. The middle school entry point is almost directly across from the entrance to St. Andrew Church and School, which backs up the traffic on McCoy Road significantly during school drop off and pick up times (and even more so on Wednesday mornings when there is mass at the same time). Additionally, McCoy Road recently (within the last few years) underwent major improvements.		Like rebuild for same time.	
Should not be on Tax Payer	Leave as is	Do not like option C and losing green space	Combine Jones and Hastings at one of the current locations		Consider combining middle schools

I do not have much of an opinion regarding Burbank. Our children never attended preschool there. It is an old building and I do believe attention is needed. I would be in favor of whatever determination is ultimately made.		I believe the repair option for Hastings is placing a bandaid on it and punting down the road. It is a very large amount of money to spend to not get more for it. I would be in favor of a renovate option or a rebuild option. I have a child currently at the High School and one at Hastings, so I am well-aware of the condition of the building. If a renovate option is selected, it should include a new football field. If a rebuild option is selected it should be option 1 or option 2. I am vehemently opposed to the option which would relocate Hastings to Thompson Park. It is not necessary to do this as there is plenty of space on the current property to achieve a rebuild. It is unnecessary to take any part of the community's valuable park land for this purpose.		I have no opinion on Jones because we do not live in this part of the community. I do, however, agree that the building needs attention.	
				I dislike that they are taking out the tennis courts and that they are wasting \$40 million when it would go to other things at the high school that have budget cuts	
		I like Repair Option, dislike the options that require land swap.			
I dislike that 2 of them don't have away to do a cost / benefit analysis.	What is the goal of the preschool long term? It has tuition so how does this work budget wise?	Option b or c, staying in the existing location. Keep Thompson park as it is.	Traffic patterns up by Thompson may be more problematic. The side of the parking lot is effectively a dead end road so all traffic must be on McCoy (with St Andrew's). A sizable amount of kids biking will also need to cross McCoy in this traffic. Hastings get backed up for sure now, but there are multiple exit points.	I think that they are ok plans. The building must be a rough shape, it might make sense to start over.	Where would they be offsite? Did you consider a plan to build it across the street in the field between it and the elementary school?
Renovate & rebuild are best because this facility clearly needs it to meet the requirements of our district. Prefer rebuild slightly over renovate just to reduce the invasive nature of such an undertaking but defer final decision to cost.		Prefer renovate & rebuild option a & b are because this facility clearly needs it to meet the requirements of our district. Prefer rebuild slightly over renovate to reduce the invasive nature of such an undertaking but defer final decision to cost.		Prefer rebuild. To an uninitiated observer this building seems like a hosgepodge and attempts to correct and unify will likely incur more cost than rebuild.	
		I do not want Hastings to go to Thompson Park. Thompson Park is an awesome asset to the community. My family uses it almost daily, even in the winter. Plus, with all the apartments the city is packing in everywhere, I think that is probably what you would do with the vacant plot. Not swap it for park space.			
		Do not like rebuild option c at all. I live near Thompson Park.			

I like the rebuild option because it sets us up better long term.		I really like option C because it results in the least adjacency to homes and McCoy Rd is a more ideal location because of the snarl that occurs every morning and afternoon with the current location between St. Andrews and Wellington. I think the rebuild options are best because they set us up long term.		I don't think repair is a reasonable option, because it is so expensive yet doesn't improve the learning environment. I like Renovate B and Rebuild in that they both look like they have an internal courtyard which is a nice feature - science classes doing gardening perhaps? I am a bit concerned about the loss of the tennis courts - has any alternate space been identified for outdoor PE?	
		Rebuild Option 3 is cost prohibitive and is destroying the largest park in Upper Arlington. This option needs to be avoided.	It is hard to decide the options with no cost comparison		
	I don't believe this facility plays a major part in our community and is not used by most residence. Minimal \$\$ should be put toward this project.	At current location, agree parking is an issue and needs addressed.	I'm not for moving building to Lane Rd park. Keep at current and add the 3rd level.	Jones does need renovating but NOT rebuild. Option A appears best use of the limited space.	Can more things, i.e. tennis courts, be moved over to field next football stadium? I don't see options to improve/increase parking - needs addressed as well.
We're in favor of rebuilding at a reasonable cost.		I like that options B keep parking away from the rest of the campus, limiting student- and pedestrian-vehicle interactions. I like the options allowing for third story expansions; I believe Upper Arlington should support higher density housing and population growth, and school infrastructure that is well-prepared for such a change would be best.	Related to my desire to have more schooling infrastructure available for a larger population, I believe Upper Arlington should consider having two high schools. I think the consideration of a land swap at Thompson Park should be done with that possibility in mind: how would the middle school locations and building designs support another high school?		I think the building should be sized up to anticipate future student population growth. I would like to see the entire Barrington/Jones campus have no thruways for private automobile traffic. Andover and Coventry should be blocked to private vehicles for the safety of the students walking across them to the field between them and throughout the campus.
		We would favor rebuilding. It's unclear from this form which option is best. Since we live in northern UA, the relocation to Thompson Park seems favorable.		Rebuild	Why do you need to keep the existing facade? What is really historic about the facade?
Know the costs will be presented later but hard to make a educated vote without the costs to compare. Right now would only support repair until all costs and benefits can be compared side by side	There is a lot of fatigue with having just approved a \$250 million bond issue (which I supported) and the unnecessary community center (which I did not).	Rebuild option C (move school to Thompson Park) is the worst. Traffic along McCoy and Woodbridge is already tough enough with just St. Andrew's school during rush hour, adding a second school in such close proximity will make it worse. At least keeping the school in the current location keeps traffic to secondary roads. As mentioned before, while we know costs are coming, it is hard to give much of an opinion without full cost estimates. Think at this point in the economic cycle with inflation the costs for anything but repair are going to be sky high.	Know inflation is running rampant but \$50 million just to repair, seems excessive.	As mentioned before cost goes a long way to determining the like or dislike of the various options.	For all three buildings / locations, don't let perfect / ideal be the enemy of good enough. Everyone loves to have the best and shiniest and newest. Fully recognize we have been through a tremendous amount of inflation the last few years but the cost for the "bare bones" repair options for these three buildings is almost half of what we got in the first round of work which included a couple of new builds and major renovations at others. My expectation is the cost to do much more than only the repairs will approach \$200 million just for these three structures. There is a lot of fatigue with taxes and the cost of government. I fully supported phase 1 but did not support the \$85 million community center. Not sure I will support anything more than basic repairs given the cost of the needed community center.

		Taking away Thompson park is unacceptable and should not even be considered			
On renovate I worry about other district renovations making buildings less warm and inviting and more cookie cutter/stamped out. The character of this building contributes to the community created within.		Renovate B or Rebuild A or B preferred. Anything that reduces the amount of outdoor activity space is not preferred. Changing Lane Rd park not preferred. Anything that ensures after hours use is preferred. Options that emphasize/improve performing arts space would be preferred.			Options that emphasize/improve performing arts space would be preferred.
				Given the current state of Jones, as well as the way in which additional space has been built on to it over the years, I think rebuilding would be the best option for the long term.	Is it possible to have a rebuild option similar to the one for Hastings where Jones could be rebuilt on the current track/field location? It would seem that in this situation, Jones student would not have to be displaced during the rebuilding.
		I do not like the idea of moving the school to Thompson Park. We live on McCoy Road very close to the park. Traffic is already bad with the new design of traffic flow plus drop off and pick up at St. Andrew school. McCoy Rd.is a busy street now, adding in a middle school to the street will make traffic horrible. If the idea is to swap out the school and Thompson Park, I believe it would not be a fair trade and the park would be smaller. I am strongly against moving the school to Thompson Park.			
With the costs currently unknown for rebuild/renovate I can't accurately say which I'd prefer.	NA	I think the rebuild option C is an awful idea. Thompson park is the community's largest and best park and I'd hate to see that be changed by moving Hastings to that land.	NA		
I prefer the renovate option as it allows for updates and additions in the existing space	Will the rebuilds impact the use of nearby soccer fields?	I prefer renovate option A as it allows for updates and space for additions. Prefer the placement of the track/field as well.	How does it impact nearby residential areas and Reed road pool?	I prefer renovate option A as it allows for updates and additions but wonder about the tennis courts.	Would the tennis courts be rebuilt? Where?
		I do not want Hastings Middle School, or any other school, to be moved to Thompson Park. I go to Thompson Park often and would not like a school to take up a forth of the Park when Hastings Middle school already has a perfectly good plot of land.			
			Do NOT move to Thompson Park!!!! The park is a central green space in UA with a wealth of natural habitat.		
		I do not want Hastings built at Thompson Park.	Keep Hastings on Hastings Lane		

I like the Rebuild Option. Burbank should be an innovative, safe place for our youngest learners and in keeping with the updated space of our other district schools. The repair option, although financially the cheapest is nothing more than a band-aid and will continue to cost more money to keep repairing the building and mechanics.		I like Rebuild Option C. Hastings should be an innovative, safe place for our middle level learners and in keeping with the updated space of our other district schools. Re-locating Hastings to Thompson Park south will alleviate the congestion on Reed Rd. due to Wellington and the Fire Station needing to make emergency runs during school drop/pick up. The current Hastings building can still be used during the academic year while construction of the new build is happening. The repair option, although financially the cheapest is nothing more than a band-aid and will continue to cost the district more money.		I like Renovate Option A. The history of the building will be preserved while incorporating new innovative learning space(similar to how Barrington was updated). Location of the new gym solves the problem of sharing a wall with the auditorium. Related arts classes are on Level 1 which is good needed. Options Renovate B and Rebuild still have the auditorium and gym near each other which could cause congestion and noise issues. The repair option, although probably financially the cheapest is nothing more than a band-aid and will continue to cost the district more money.	Where off-site will students attend school during the academic years while construction is happening?
	Repair and renovate over rebuilding	I understand the need for updating the middle school facility to meet educational standards of today, however you should be able to repair and renovate within the current footprint of land. I am vehemently opposed to any land trades that reduce the size of our beautiful Thompson Park. Please take these options off the table.	Yes! Keep the green space in our community intact. Work within the current footprint of land...	Where would students be housed when work is being done? Repairs and renovation over total rebuilding is preferred.	Provide environments conducive to learning at the most economically feasible route possible. Costs will increase between the planning phase, passing a funding measure and actually implementing it...
			From the POV of a highschooler who went to Hastings, regarding the bathrooms, they dont need to be as secure as the ones at the highschool because middleschoolers are less responsible, but make sure the stall doors dont have gaps and that the locks work. For example, the stalls right outside of the field house (at the highschool) are very good. Regarding the cafeteria, it might be smart to have the it lead right out to where intramurals will be. Comparing it to the highschool, the skylighting is really nice and more efficient, but I wish the windows could open. That's one thing I miss about Hastings (if that's being taken into consideration at this point in the process).		
I like that it does not impact park usage		I hate the concept of moving it to Thompson park and will mobilize with my neighbors to protest every single opportunity to prevent it. Please find a more financially responsible solution within the existing site and renovate the building. We are paying a lot in taxes and don't want you to throw away money on a greedy land grab instead of spending it on a renovation.	Do not build it in Thompson park. Renovate option b is by far the best of the schemes and keeps in mind an efficient traffic pattern along a well sized road with appropriate signaling. Traffic studies alone for moving it to Thompson park will likely shut down efficient progress.	I like both courtyard schemes	

		I extremely dislike the option of Thompson park. The park is used year round with activities and will to be determinant to put the school there. The streets are not designed for heavy traffic, the city already reduced McCoy to one lane which will make it impossible for residents to get in and out of their homes. As a life long resident, Hastings and UA graduate I think keeping Hastings in its location is the best option.			
As long as you leave it at the existing location, I am fine w it	Do NOT build at Thompson park!!!!!!!	Do NOT build at Thompson park. Leave at existing location. This is very unfair to even consider relocating at the park. The park is a public park used by all residents. It was NEVER intended for a school.	Do NOT build at Thompson park!!!!!!!	As long as you leave it in existing location I'm fine w it	Do NOT build at Thompson park!!!!!!!
				Rebuild is the only way to go in my mind. The current building is outdated and I am not looking forward to sending either of my kids there in its current state. I am not attached to the current building in any way.	Please just rebuild this one!
Rebuild option is the clearest path to bringing UA PreK children's needs into the future.	Burbank's location is on the northern-most boundary of UA. Building a smaller Special Education PreK school in a more central location would draw more financial stability from stakeholders south of Lane.	Do not like the idea of land swap with Thompson		I like the idea of keeping as much of the original structure as possible	
		Thompson park is heavily used by a variety of age groups. It is vital to the health of the community. This should not be considered as a viable option.			
Renovate Option: The words, "New 'front door' expands footprint for needed right-sizing" does not convey any meaning. What does than mean, in practical terms?		I do not support any rebuild that diminishes the size of Reed Road Park or Thompson Park.			I realize this is a process, but considering options without an estimate of associated costs is difficult. Decisions about most practical matters are best made with a careful cost/benefit analysis.
		Rebuild option C			
				I feel that Jones needs to be rebuilt. I feel hallways and classrooms in the existing structure are too small.	I am concerned about placement of students during any rebuild and renovation. If Hastings is rebuilt I wonder if Jones students could use the old Hastings building while Jones is under construction.

		Option C I am a block from proposed new location. I like the idea of school being walkable in the neighborhood near the library. (My kids are at the HS middle school choice not applicable) Safety and costs would be my top concerns. I licensed substitute teacher and walk the neighborhoods and Thompson Park daily. I'd dislike the thought of losing anymore trees and green space in UA. I wouldn't be opposed if we can keep much of it and/or add near old Hastings building. I do think moving to McCoy is worth further discussions. Thank you!	As far as the building and safety I'd hope feedback from teachers in buildings daily and UAPD resource officers could be considered		
I do not think any of the plans are adequately preparing for growth.	I think that property is a valuable resource and we should be going up more levels and having the potential to turn this building into a future school as needed, I feel the plans need to be more open ended.	I agree with rebuilding but in same space. I do not feel the plans allow adequate growth in the future. And I do not believe we should compromise Thompson Park. UA is landlocked and the current green spaces need to be preserved, that is one of the reasons UA is so unique. We are urban vet green. We need to go up more and not out. We need to preserve all green spaces. We need to make sure every home in UA can walk to a park, pool, and library. It's very important.	I would not want to increase traffic around the Thompson area, there a lot of senior citizens and children that frequent these parks. Plus I would keep all the school traffic where it is currently, instead of expanding the chaos.		
		love rebuild C - closer to north UA homes will be modern and have great space for many drop offs		renovat option B to maintain the history	
		As a resident of Upper Arlington, I am opposed to Hastings being relocated to Thompson Park Thompson park is a very special park. Unlike northam park, it is Aesthetically appealing with some hills, a pond, a lovely (new playground, a sledding hill, a library , beautiful and varied walking paths etc My husband and I haven taken many walk in Thompson and it is preferable to northam or fancyburg. I feel it would be better to relocate on the grounds of the current school. That would save the taxpayers a lot of money. It worked out well for the high school and the same could be true for Hastings	(EMAIL ADDRESS REDACTED)		

UA is way too anxious to tear down. Repair is my choice		Repair at current location	Do not build at Thompson Park. It is a swamp where the city has yet to solve drainage. The Lane Rd library is again trying to repair. You cannot walk around the park, on the path, without flooded patches impossible to cross. Do not build at Thompson Park. Just do not.	Repair only.	Stop spending money to rebuild where repairs can be done.
		Concerned that renovate and rebuild options "A" change the residential character of affected homes on Mountview.	(EMAIL ADDRESS REDACTED)		
My choices would be to rebuild all schools.		Hastings - stay at the Reed Road location. I would oppose a plan to move the school to Thompson and this concern could influence my support for any levy funds to support construction.		Rebuild Jones and maintain the facade if possible. This could be a blend of Renovate B and Rebuild in the deck. This process could provide a continuity with the past and 21st learning elements in the building.	(EMAIL ADDRESS REDACTED)
Burbank there is one choice for rebuilding. I support doing that.		Hastings - Option Rebuild B - I strongly oppose moving Hastings to Thompson Park.		Jones - Rebuild a three story building.	(EMAIL ADDRESS REDACTED)
As there aren't any cost estimates for the second two options it's hard to give a clear review of my likes and dislikes as cost is definitely a huge part in these facilities especially after the other school rebuilds, new maintenance costs, and the community center. I DO NOT think this school needs a complete rebuild, however nice it would be to have higher ceilings and slightly more efficient hvac I don't think this school is in a severe enough state to justify the time and cost of a complete rebuild. A renovation would be nice, faster and would give the school a more appealing facade to match while also offering the necessary updates of just the repairs.	You could consider a partial renovation with the repairs in order to reduce cost but also improve the appearance of the building without massive renovation	As someone who attended Hastings I think most of these options are terrible. Moving Hastings to Thompson park is by far the worst idea especially with st.Andrews already causing a lot of traffic during pick up drop off times and with the recent narrowing of the road to create street parking, and lots of traffic on Woodbridge it would be incredibly inconvenient and would most likely require major traffic control renovations. Although Hastings is not in the best state I also don't think a rebuild is necessary. As much as a new building sounds nice I do not believe Hastings is in a bad enough state to justify this, the associated costs of rerouting utilities and rebuilding sports fields only stands to make this a less desirable option for the community. I do think that along with the necessary repairs Hastings does need some renovation, however I do not understand the necessity in expanding the footprint of the building, moving the track or the parking lot. Building and grading for a new track is an immensely costly project to add to whatever renovations/additions are needed. As a	I think another design needs to be considered with repairs and renovation without a massive addition. If an addition is deemed necessary it should try to remain more closer to the original building footprint and move as little existing infrastructure as possible	I think the rebuild option is wildly impractical, since the facade is historic and must be saved it would cost and exorbitant amount of time and money to properly preserve and also rebuild this building. I do think renovate b is a good option, although it will be costly it will add some necessary space to the building and bring in more natural light. However my concerns with option b are cutting out the courtyards may be unnecessarily costly for the small benefits and also they detract from the amount of space currently in the building and create another area that would be challenging to maintain. Maybe considering putting in skylights and internal windows would be easier to maintain without the extra maintenance and hopefully lowered costs as an external facade would not need to be built in the courtyard. Renovate a is also not a bad option I think it is more practical and would be easier and faster. As always simply repairing would be the most economical option	Instead of actual courtyards consider skylights and internal windows to provide natural light similar to golden bear boulevard in the hs or look at Ohio Northern university's engineering building. To possibly cut down construction costs and minimize maintenance needs
		Totally against moving Hastings to Thompson park. We NEED parks in UA. I live in the park neighborhood and don't want to see it changed. Renovate on current property.		Like renovate option B. No need to rebuild	
The renovate or rebuild both seem ok - I think how we use BECS is a consideration	Na	I like the idea of staying current footprint rather than moving the school. Moving to Thompson is my least favorite option both bc of losing park space and impact on traffic			

		I would dislike if you move it to Thompson park because, it's in central UA and it would just make it harder for people next to giant eagle to get to Hastings. And it's already very busy on lane road I don't think it's safe.			
		I think hastings needs more than repair. Renovate could be good. Nice it would stay in the same spot. I like rebuild A because it stays in the same spot. And larger parking lot. Rebuild b is interesting but I feel like the football field shouldn't be on Reed rd. I do. It like rebuild option C. I don't like that you would move hastings off of its property. Hastings works well on reed road.	Thomson park should remain the park. Please do not put Hastings there		
I do not like either option. The facility is great all ready, I prefer the minimal amount of capital improvements. Save the money for the operating budget for staff and programs. With changes in Federal and state funding we will need more resources to run the schools to the same standards and should not waste money n making them look pretty. This is about eduction not vanity.	We should focus resources towards students not pretty buildings. Talking with teachers and administrators they are already stretched thin and could use more for staff, program and resources. With the prospect of major cuts in Federal and state funding we should not be undertaking a major capital project at this time.	None of the above these building are already some of the best in the county and we do not need to spend millions on construction. The money should be spent n students programs and staff not buildings.	Repair and upkeep and saving the money for student programs. With pending Federal and State cuts we will need to save the money for operations.	None of the above these buildings are already in great shape, dedicated money to student not buildings.	Make the developers who are putting their in hundreds of new apartments at a huge profit pay for any needed expansions of the schools.
I don't think repair should be an option. I like the option to rebuild, which would keep the kids in school during construction.		Repairing Hastings is not an option (the building is falling apart and it is an eye sore), nor do I think Thompson Park should be used (you can't take away the city's green space). My preference is the Rebuild Option B, if parking/traffic flow can be controlled in the neighborhood and off of Reed Rd. If the track is going to be on Reed Rd., there needs to be proper fencing and foliage around it.		I prefer a rebuild over a renovation - the current historic exterior is cool but the inside is gross (cockroaches!!). I like the thought of keeping the front facade, only if the cost is not outrageous to do this. If the building is torn down, you can incorporate the building materials in cool interesting ways or have a "walk down memory lane" hallway.	
I am concerned that repair would be a stopgap measure that would need to be addressed again fairly soon. Therefore, I would favor, renovate or rebuild, depending on final cost considerations.	Costs and efficiencies of HVAC should be further explained in future discussions	I would favor any of the options over option C. Thompson Park is heavily utilized by the community and sports teams and functions well. Relocating some of its facilities to Reed Road Park Would cause a division that is not needed.High rate of speeding cars are already a problem on Lane Road and increasing traffic would add to that problem	I would wait for the estimates for cost of the other options before making further comment	I prefer renovate option b or rebuild to maximize efficiency and use.	Would await costs estimates of options before finalizing opinion.

I like the renovate or rebuild option	make sure classrooms are big enough.	I don't like switching the orientation of the football. I would hate to lose another baseball field. I think rebuild option B makes sense. There appears to be ample parking in that option as well.		I appreciate that you are keeping the historic nature of the school in mind. This is very important to me as I not only went to Jones but live in the neighborhood. I really like the way Thomas Worthington HS rebuilt their school in the same vain as their previous school. I do not think repairing is an option for Jones. It really need to be modernized internally. Bigger hallways, bigger classrooms, no more mazes, no more patch work. I think Renovate A is my favorite.	I do not like moving/rebuilding the auditorium. I think it's an integral part of the school that can be renovated and the school built around it.
		Hi! We live across from Thompson park. The number of people who walk through the park daily with their pets and families is truly something to behold. Today there are 75 people congregated playing a multi player online game. It would be a shame to change anything about this park. We watch children sledding, practicing and playing in the fields and enjoying the space. It would be a disservice to the community to move Hastings to Thompson Park	Hi! We live across from Thompson park. The number of people who walk through the park daily with their pets and families is truly something to behold. Today there are 75 people congregated playing a multi player online game. It would be a shame to change anything about this park. We watch children sledding, practicing and playing in the fields and enjoying the space. It would be a disservice to the community to move Hastings to Thompson Park		
		I DISLIKE REBUILD OPTION C. We need to preserve current park designated areas. Not sure how moving the Hasting School to Thompson Park is even an option. All parks areas should be considered sensitive protective areas.	Best course of action for HASTING is rebuild option B. Build a brand new STRUCTURE based on current technology and needs. Swap building and track but keep buildings away from houses.		
		Dislike moving Hastings middle school to valuable green space, busy Thompson Park Living right near McCoy and mountview, the increased traffic concerns me if rebuild option c is chosen. The main reason we chose this house was our proximity to such a lovely park. Also moving Hastings to Thompson park increases parking in front of our house. An equal problem in rebuilding would probably be a larger tax increase. Our taxes have gone up so much with no increase of income. I have always voted yes for schools. But shiny new buildings aren't as interesting if I can't afford to stay in my house. So repairs sound great to me at this point. Less money. Less changed to my park.	Rebuild or renovate in current location best choice for Upper Arlington residents and Hastings Middle School.		
Renovate		I do NOT favor a land swap I do NOT favor a land swap Renovate, strongly dislike move to Thompson park		Renovate	

		dislike rebuild C: costly, wasteful, destructive of large park area and unnecessary - pathways and tree improvements wasted			
Repair option.		Repair option. Have good facilities and great teachers. DO NOT move to Thompson park. Stupid idea that diminishes the best of both lots.		Renovate, but although you are apparently not considering in conjunction with renovation, you should try to keep the tennis courts.	
		I personally dislike the rebuild option C. I feel as though the already busy road of McCoy will be backed up, creating a situation similar to the high school's traffic situation. This also creates problems for the residents surrounding Thompson Park, since they bought that property without considering heavy traffic. This would also affect the students that currently commute towards Hastings. Those that commute to Hastings and live south of Fishinger would have a significantly longer commute, making roads like Mountview start to back up, since it would be the most direct route to Hastings. I believe that build option B would be the best moving forward. This is because traffic could be alleviated from the Reed stop light next to Wellington.			
		renovate option b provides needed updates but helps to keep costs down for taxpayers	Thompson Park is the jewel of our park system. The full property is used daily year round. It is surrounded by homes and beautiful quiet residential streets. What would be the benefit to the WHOLE UA community by reducing the public use of the land? Traffic flow would be deeply impacted by adding a school on McCoy Rd. Homeowners have chosen to live by a park not a middle school. The current location of Hastings is well situated in the community with another school right across the street. A traffic light is already in place to help with traffic flow. Home owners have chosen to purchased on the surrounding streets knowing Hastings Middle school is there. The new elementary schools and high school were not built in another location to make it easier on the transitional years of construction. Why would this situation be different? The youngest to the oldest residents of Upper Arlington enjoy Thompson Park daily. Please take the option of a land swap off the table for the benefit of all.		
	I recommend we defer Burbank options until a third phase	Rebuild Hasting Option B. Do NOT relocate the school which will dramatically affect the limited park space we have in the city.	How will this be funded?	Repair Jones as it is a historical building	

I think best option is to renovate. Building looks old and tired and the repair option isn't enough -don't want to lose green space when rebuilding		Am strongly against relocation to Thompson Park		Would prefer renovation to prefer the character of the building if possible	
I've never used Burbank but always hear how wonderful it is! Having more childcare options is always beneficial for growing families with working parents. Everyone has a waitlist and we've never been lucky enough to get into SACC through the lottery system. I wish it was more convenient for all of UA families but understand that property is hard to come by.	No	I do like the fact that no modular classrooms are anticipated for either option; however, I do find it hard to believe especially for the rebuild option that involves the same location that this will not displace students - will this have any effect on Jones Middle School - will they be asked to take on students during this project? I like both locations - where Hastings is now and the proposed new location on McCoy. I actually think the McCoy space would be easier for pick up, drop off and after school activities. I like zoning it for after hours activities as well. OP soccer used to practice there (and maybe still does in the winter time?) and it was super convenient for those of us living in UA to avoid another drive to Dublin. The only downside to McCoy is Thompson park. It's the best in UA in my opinion. It's a very active park with lots of walkers, sports, so putting a school there will change the dynamic making it busier, more congested for that neighborhood.	No	I've never been in Jones Middle School but continue to hear that it needs more than just renovations at this point so I would be in favor of a rebuild. I do not like that nearly every option the students will be displaced for multiple years. I did not see this in the Hastings plan for renovate or rebuilt so why for Jones? My 4th grader is approaching middle school and not excited about how the construction will impact her.	
		I prefer rebuild Option C for Hastings. This will enable safer drop off/pick up of students. With the current location so close to Wellington, it will be less congested at the new location adjacent to Thompson park.			
Need to see cost first.	How long each option would last before next major expense?	Rebuild option C appears clean and easy.	Cost and how long each option lasts.	Repair option seems to be better.	Cost and how long each option lasts.
		I do not like Hastings middle school being moved to Thompson Park. This is unacceptable to the citizens who have their homes there.	Please renovate Hastings in its existing location .		
		I'm fine with repairing, or rebuilding on Hastings Lane and Reed. I'm shocked that Thompson Park is even a consideration. Arlington has very few parks and green space. Thompson Park is a gem in Upper Arlington. In addition, the roads surrounding the park- McCoy, Woodbridge, and Mountview would be unable to manage the traffic. This is an absolute horrible option.	We need to protect our parks- there is plenty of room where Hastings is now to repair or rebuild. I'm a 67 year resident of Arlington-I oppose the option to move to Thompson Park.		

		Rebuild on current site, I prefer option B. DO NOT destroy a city park to move the middle school. One of the best features of UA, other than the excellent schools, is the park system. I can't even believe this would be an option to destroy a park to build a school when there is plenty of room to rebuild the school on the current site and leave the park as a park.	Please don't kill the park...	Repair option A. Keep the integrity of the building	
	Take care of your facilities so you dont need to tear them down and rebuild due to deferred maintenance				
		I absolutely dislike the idea of taking up part of Thompson park. It's one of very few large parks in UA and is very popular, and it would be upsetting to see it used for other purposes	I would like to see Hastings remain at its current location		
There is no description of the key attributes for the repair option. Renovating could be a good option, but it would be nice to know the cost comparison for both. Based on the use of the building rebuild is the most expensive and least cost efficient.	I would think that the whoever put together this analysis would have had the foresight to at least get some cost estimates and detail of what is to be completed for all options.	Again I would like more detail on the repair option regarding what will be accomplished with the repairs. I would like to know the different cost comparison of the renovate and rebuild options. The renovate option A seems to be the most attractive to accomplish the goals disclosed. I'm sure any rebuild option would be vert expensive. Rebuild option A seems the best rebuild option, with central parking and access off of Reed & side street. The rebuild option c seems ridiculous, the costs would obviously be the highest. The existing infrastructure exists at the current location. To rebuild at Thompson park would require all new infrastructure, sewers, water plus the roads would need to be redone. None of that is necessary at the existing location. How can you justify the cost of new infrastructure at Thompson Park when that is not identified as a goal for the schools? Also destroying the largest park in UA is not mentioned as a goal either. I don't believe the comment that it would take a quarter of the park. I have walked both areas. the current footprint	Considering you folks probably hired a very expensive architectural firm Perkins&Will. I would like to know more about the thoughts behind hiring them, and why not an Ohio firm. Also, cost comparison with Ohio firms.	This one is difficult because you have a historical structure. Renovate. A & B seem to meet the goals of upgrading the school but keeping the historical structure. I would like cost comparisons and what each accomplishes. The rebuild would be the most expensive and would more than likely destroy the historical feel of the building.	I really don't think you are being transparent regarding the true costs of these proposals. Also how do the cost compare with a building designed by Perkins&Will compared to a more practical design from an Ohio firm.
		Repair Option and Renovate Option A and B and Rebuild Option B are realistic including good parking. Rebuild Option A does not seam to be good for student access to all facilities and neighbors would not want school directly abutting their land Rebuild Option C is not as centrally located for the students traveling. Not good location. Not for using such a beautiful park.			
Not sure why this is only about Burbank and not Hastings. Please please please DO NOT sacrifice any part of Thompson park for any of these projects. Our community deserves the park to stay just a park.	Leave Thompson park alone	Do not build on Thompson park! This is our community park.		No input	

Renovation to preserve existing neighborhood and green spaces	Preschool should not be the primary concern of the district	Renovate option B. Don't rely on relocation and land swaps being met with approval. Preserve current athletic fields to extenry possible repair upon - what is the description or the repairs for the cost? As before, it would be helpful to know more details, description of repairs and cost involved for renovations and rebuilding. Renovation Option A seems to meet the goals in an attractive setup, with the parking lot centrally located between the track field and the school building. Rebuild Option A is also an attractive plan, with the parking centrally located along with access off of Reed. But again, need to know costs on all options. Rebuild Option C is NOT an option for many reasons so this is an absolute NO!!! Notwithstanding, this would be the most expensive option but also this is very unfair to the residents that live around the park, as they have invested in their homes to live by this park. This would completely change the dynamic of this area and neighborhood in a negative way. This is the city's largest park and gem of a park with a wide range of community involvement. Hastings should remain on the current site as all infrastructures are in place and makes economic sense. Being on	Minimize expenditures, no net new	Renovate A.	Minimize expenditures. Preserve historic site. Tennis courts could be sacrificed.
On the repair option, the cost is included but no description of the details of the repairs. On the option to renovate, it seems to indicate that the renovation would solve the problem areas but need to know the costs to compare with the repair and rebuild options. To rebuild this school, this option would most likely be the most expensive. Again, it's important to have all of the details, including costs on all options to provide an opinion.	In order to provide an opinion, we need a complete list of the facts, which includes cost and descriptions of every option.			Again, knowing costs on all options would help in sharing an opinion. Keeping Jones as authentic as possible is important, repair, renovation A or B would be favored over rebuilding. Rebuilding would destroy the historical value of the building and be too expensive.	We moved to Upper Arlington because of the strong educational system, not because of the building structures. We have amazing teachers and programs which determines the success of the students. I'm also curious why we didn't select an Ohio architectural firm?
Spend the least amount possible given the limited population using this facility		Renovate, not rebuild			
		Option C would ruin the area and ruin a park. Please do not build and pave over one of the few large green spaces in Upper Arlington. We are congested enough.			
		I am completely against of using Thompson Park for building New Hastings school. The park is too precious to destroy. Please consider any different option			
		I do not like the idea of transferring the school to Thompson park. This is a terrible idea and taking away our parks. We have few parks in the city so transporting the school to Thompson park would disrupt our parks and our neighborhoods.			
See below. I don't feel this renovation should be lumped into the Middle School Levy.	Is it necessary to lump Burbank into the middle school levy?? I believe this levy will be difficult to pass (though I plan to support it) and a majority of the kids who attend Burbank pay tuition to attend. Perhaps separate fundraising should happen to renovate or rebuild that facility. This building impacts a much smaller percentage of our community.	Please DO NOT move Hastings to Thompson Park. UA has very limited large park space and it would be a shame to see Thompson Park have half of it taken over by the school. Plus all the traffic the school would bring to Thompson Park and the surrounding area would change the community accessibility of the park space as well.	See above. Please Rebuild Hastings on the current land. Please DO NOT move the building to Thompson Park.	I don't have a strong opinion about Jones, other than questions about where Jones students would be moved to off site? The old Hastings once the new once is built and Hastings moves into it? Similar to how Wickliffe and Windermere worked the first time around?	

I like the cheapest option. No need to add more to our property taxes for a subsidized daycare.		I dislike rebuild option 3 significantly. Makes no sense to have less park area in the north end.	Hell no to Thompson Park option.		
Repair- Burbank is not available nor needed by all families of this community, so the minimal option should be chosen.	The tuition by families who use or choose this pre-school option should be used for improvements.	Rebuild Option A - It maintains the historical area that is Hastings Middle School. It along with Rebuild Option B allows for construction to occur on existing athletic fields and for the existing facility to be used during construction. For the period of construction other athletic fields can be used in UA.	Rebuild Option C - We are limited with park and recreation facilities in UA, removing access to an already established park appears shortsighted.		Additions within the existing site footprint for current and future needs should be considered, but the existing facility should be renovated and kept for historical importance to UA. A balance between the two, new and old would be the best outcome for the site.
I like the rebuild option, best for the kids and shows that UA is a modern community.		I like rebuild option A. It keeps the school location and centralized parking. Rebuild option C seems like a needless distraction. Some people will be angry the school is moving further from them, some will be angry that brand new soccer fields are being ripped up, some will sue over changes to property values, it moves the school to a less central location, and has no actual benefit over option A.		I like the rebuild option. We need to keep the original facade, but improve everything else.	Put underground parking under the new gym. South UA land is too valuable for parking lots when the field could be bigger. This would be relatively cost effective given the low loads on a gym floor.
Can not answer without costs estimated.		Rebuild option C is a vigorous NO. UA has so little park land as it is. McCoy Rd. has recently been redone and is ill-equipped to handle the traffic for a school. The current campus is more centrally located. The options for the current space don't have an estimated cost associated with them so it is difficult to comment.	No	Without cost estimates it is hard to form an opinion.	No
The cost for renovate or repair is TBD so that's hard to compare to repair.	How long a total rebuild option will last into the future.	I extremely dislike rebuild option C. It is not fair to people who have homes near Thompson park that never wanted to live so close to a school. Traffic would worsen near the park that will decrease the safety of our children. All other options at the existing site are ok. I think a complete rebuild at the existing site would be best. I like the rebuild options in spite of the likely high cost. With mostly brand new elementary and high schools, Hastings needs to be leveled and start over in my opinion, to match the other buildings in the district. I do not like the land swap option to move it to Thompson Park. It should stay in the basic area of where Hastings is located now but move the football field if necessary.	More details of costs should be estimated and projections of final costs to residents.	I don't like the repair option. The building is gross and outdated and should reflect the upgrades of the other district schools. That said, if the front facade can remain, that would be ideal as it's historic to UA. A total rebuild or rebuild/renovate option is the best in my opinion.	The historic look to the front of Jones should be considered.

The rebuild option limits the surprises that can occur during renovations and allows an optimal layout for modern needs. It appears that the phasing of the new building allows the old building to remain operational the whole time, minimizing disruptions to the students.	Keeping the classroom entrance closer to the outdoor space will improve security. This may require mirroring the new build option.	Rebuild option B is first choice, with rebuild A as second. The amount of money that will be required to renovate and then still have a less than optimal space because the restrictions of the existing building is not optimal. Keeping Hastings where it is currently located makes sense because it is central to the attending family. Also, keeping it at the current site keeps the construction and demolition all at one location, and doesn't require tearing up a different park.		Renovation option A keeps the original historic building intact while allowing for a modern addition.	
		Repair option - please NO Renovate options - No Rebuild - Should be the only options being considered. A/B lead the way and would both be great, would avoid option C	No. Very thorough work!		
I like the renovate option. My grandson went there 2 years ago, and I don't think it needs to be rebuilt.		I don't want Hastings to move to Thompson park. I live in the Thompson park neighborhood, and I think UA needs all the parks it has. It is a perfect multi use park that we enjoy everyday.	We need to keep Thompson park the way it is.	I don't want to rebuild Jones. I went to Jones and don't want it rebuilt. RENOVAE!!!!	UA history is important to maintain.
I don't like the long walk for the parents with baby carriers, strollers etc. from the parking lot to the entrance, while the staff entrance is right off the parking lot. There should be a stop drop and go too.	I think you should have a long awning that reaches out to the parking lot so parents and kids don't have to walk in the rain/bad weather.	I like the track where it is currently. I also think that the park not having its own parking lot is not the school's problem. And having the parking lot along Reed Road is way too far from the entrance lot and I think you should honestly show on the diagram that there is no "possible" parking along Hastings Lane. It is prohibited by the buses and also the driveways that exit onto Hastings Lane.	I think the children should be allowed to walk the track at lunch. The boys dominate the basketball courts and there is nothing for the other kids to do besides the Gaga pit. I also think the gazebo should be replaced because lots of non sporty kids use it to chat, play cards, get out of the sun. At the very least there should be a walking track around the outdoor basketball courts, if kids (except the after hours track team) are prohibited from using the track so kids can get fresh air, exercise. I think the original designers got it right with the track in the back, the large parking lot by the pool/ track and an auditorium close to the street.		
		Renovate current buildings best option	no		
The Rebuild option seems too much and unnecessary. Renovate or repair would be better	n/a			Renovate option A or B would be our vote. Cannot vote for rebuild without knowing where students would be housed for two years.	

		Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed upgrade to Hastings Middle School. I am NOT in favor of building new when reuse is an option. Reuse, which repurposes existing structures instead of demolishing and reconstructing them, significantly reduces construction waste. Waste from construction and demolition projects contributes to landfills and environmental degradation. Reuse minimizes the need for new materials and the associated carbon footprint from their manufacturing and transportation. Given that building materials, from fabrication to assembly, contribute significantly to carbon emissions. Generally speaking, the construction and the operation of the buildings in this country constitutes more than 40 percent of our total energy consumption, which results in half of the carbon emissions and consumes 3 billion tons of newly extracted raw materials annually. Studies have also shown that if a			
		Do not like the rebuild option, it would decrease the footprint of its current grounds and also have a significant negative impact on the Thompson Park community			
Renovate option		Rebuild option B		I vote renovate option A. Absolutely vote against tearing it down. Jones is beautiful, classic old Arlington.	Renovate option B is also nice
		PLEASE do not swap land into Thompson Park...Upper Arlington needs to preserve every public park space that we have...changing that use is very unfair to all those citizens who have lived near and used Thompson Park!!	Traffic patterns would be very disrupted!!!		
Renovate only	No renovate	Renovate Option A or Rebuild B is preferred. No to option C do not destroy Thompson park.	Prefer renovate	Tear it down and rebuild it	Move it to Fancyburg park
Either are fine	None	I do not like the rebuild option C- I do not think the school should move to Thompson Park.	None	No opinion	None
Repair. Because the building is new enough, I feel repairs are enough. This would likely be the most cost effective.		Repairing seems to be the best option. The age of the building does not seem as such that rebuilding would be necessary. If you find you need to rebuild, rebuilding on the current site would certainly be the way to go as the land is already prepared for the building- so option B would seem the most sufficient and efficient.	Moving the school to another area makes no sense to me. Traffic control, real estate, land preparedness, etc are already set up for the current site.	I know the age of Jones may require at least renovations over repair. Likely option B would make the most sense to bring the school up to modernization and competitiveness with other school systems, if that is an issue. Renovation A may not allow for enough changes though with B, it almost looks like you're losing some space??	Keep the historical look of Jones. I think this is important to UA residents and the area.
Repair	Price. Perhaps now is not best time to do it				

Dislike: Do not like any of these options because it is an unnecessary expense that does not serve the whole community. Like: Like improved lighting as we remember a former elementary school student recalling that the classrooms were dark. Honestly, we are not willing to vote for any rebuilds due to the ugly outcome of the rebuilt elementary schools in that they don't fit/enhance the surrounding neighborhoods, but rather stick out like large egotistical architecture projects/commercial industrial warehouses sticking out in our neighborhoods like a sore thumb, like someone was trying to build a work reputation/resume rather than build a structure that enhances the neighborhoods. We preferred buildings that take part in the neighborhood landscape rather than take over.	While it employs excellent staff, the current use of this facility houses child care and now is explained as state-mandated early intervention/preschool. As much of the community doesn't require child care, or uses private options for childcare and preschool, we don't consider financing this facility in the same way as the other school facilities. Not interested in paying for this building to pay for someone else's childcare. Just because you change the language to fit the narrative that it is preschool for all rather than childcare for some still does not make it something we are willing to pay for. Childcare tuition needs to cover the cost of improvements of the facility.	NO NO NO NO FOR THOMPSON PARK!!!!!! NO SUPPORT FOR LAND SWAP WITH THE CITY OF UPPER ARLINGTON! WILL NOT SUPPORT A LEVY THAT SEEKS TO REBUILD WE WILL NOT SUPPORT ANY LEVY OR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER OR CITY COUNCIL MEMBER OR CANDIDATES THAT VOTE IN AN OPTION FOR USING CITY PARK SPACE.	PEOPLE HAVE PURCHASED HOMES WITH KNOWLEDGE AND INTENTION OF LIVING NEAR THE SCHOOL OR THE PARK AND WHAT THAT ENTIALS FOR THEIR DAILY LIVING. YOU ARE NOW PLAYING BAIT AND SWITCH WITH THEIR FINANCIAL INVESTMENT AND LIFESTYLE INTENTION. OUR PARK SPACE IS ALREADY LIMITED!	Keeping the integrity of the history of the school and the entrance to the mallway are important to the city and the neighborhood. We like the tennis courts where they presently are built. We do not support a rebuild of Jones (or Hastings).	Yes, an overall general thought. From our experience and vision, the building conditions are not the greatest concerns for the UA Schools and Community right now. Rather, it is the deterioration of the culture, the leadership, the school contribution to our community environment that has us way more concerned. Quite frankly, we are multiple households in UA and we are not voting for any of the building improvements as long the inside culture is so decayed. Never in the history of our community has there been more talk about 'inclusion' and seen more leadership manipulation to exclude people or to social engineer our culture to fit board members' personal political agendas -- You were elected to represent many families, not your personal political agendas. Our family members were well-prepared by our UA education to go to advanced schooling and out into the world. We are sickened in see what the schools have
With being a parent of a student here and being familiar with the building the most logical thing would be to rebuild given the ample amount of land surrounding it. Also like the flexibility for future addition		My favorite option is rebuild option C. Relocating school would allow for better access coming from multiple different directions/roads as opposed to the limited access and congestion near current location. Would allow for better opportunities for parking and additional and more efficient recreational area near Reed Rd Water Park.		Both renovate options and rebuild options seem to accomplish the same goal given we don't have the option to rebuild in a whole new location. To me it would come down to the most cost effective option. The rebuild option seems like it may be more cost prohibitive with trying to preserve the facade.	My concern is where would the kids be relocated to during the renovate or rebuild options for Jones? My only thought here would be to completely rebuild Hastings first then let Jones students use the old Hastings building while Jones is being renovated or rebuilt.
We currently have a student in an intervention classroom at Burbank. Having spent time in the building, I doubt the repair option is sufficient to significantly improve the quite outdated physical space. I like that the renovate and rebuild both include creating a secure entry. I dislike that the renovate option does not appear to address the undersized classrooms and that the ceiling heights would compromise the efficiency of a new HVAC.	Sometimes I wonder if the parent/caregiver parking lot could be optimized for size and safety, with the intervention classrooms beginning and ending at the same time, but I don't think there's a specific safety issue right now.	Rebuild option B appears to achieve a number of goals without the cost/disruption that a land swap may cause. Moving the school completely creates a number of unknowns to me. Prefer rebuild Option B	No additional thoughts.		Will the Jones students be relocated to Hastings after the current Hastings students move into their new building? Similar to the Windermere/Wickliffe approach.

		Hastings should remain where they are and renovated or rebuilt (if it is actually necessary)----not moved to another location. It's just not cost effective. It would be a shame to construct a large commercial building in a beautiful park like Thompson----defacing it! To say nothing about what that would do to the property values which would plummet!! Kipling Forest is a lovely quiet area and the park is the reason many have bought and built homes there So why incur the tremendous cost to alter the location and ruin the park site and drastically change the neighborhood???			
Burbank appears to have had the least prior work done so at a minimum renovate option seems necessary.		Repair is insufficient - we would be back here in a few years. Renovate options preserve current location but not clear that this will be cost effective if a new building is needed in a shorter time.		It seems there is community support for keeping the existing Jones building - leading to favor the renovate. I personally favor Renovate-B to keep the facade and some elements of the old building but make functional going forward	
		Rebuild A or B are best. Do not like moving to Thompson Park. It is the largest park in UA			
I like the repair option the best.		I like Rebuild Option A best because the existing building needs torn down. I like the idea that the current school could be used while the new one is being built (similar to UAHS) and I don't care for the parking lot being right off of Reed Road given all of the traffic that already exists on that road. I would much prefer the parking continue to be off of Hastings. I'm not a fan of the land swap because I like that Thompson is the largest park in UA and would prefer having one larger park over two smaller parks.		Renovate Option A by repair the current historical building and building out where the tennis courts are.	Interested to know where the kids will be displaced. Seems to make the most sense to build the new Hastings, have those kids move in and move the Jones kids to the old Hastings until their school is done. Similar to what happened with Windermere/Wickliffe.
		I do not like rebuild option C, as I live near Thompson Park and would prefer to keep that as green space. Of the renovate options, I prefer A because of the layout of the field and the parking lot. I slightly prefer rebuild option B over A to avoid the land swap.	No	I like renovate option a which gives its own space to the gymnasium, but leaves the auditorium in the same place. I don't like the rebuild option because I like the idea of keeping the building historical.	No

Repair is insufficient, renovation with addition is would provide the most space and value, rebuild is unnecessary for facility that some students attend for 2 years.	When renovating, use bright happy colors. The current space is too dark and gloomy.	The best options are Rebuild A or B, as they maintain the school's current general location while allowing construction to proceed without disrupting the existing facility. These options do not require land swapping, enabling the fastest completion of new facilities that meet the current and future needs of both students and teachers. In contrast, Repairs and Renovations A/B are less effective. Rebuild C, which involves a land swap, would require more effort and delay the construction timeline. Most importantly, relocating the school to McCoy, already burdened with heavy rush hour traffic, would expose children to high-speed traffic, increasing the risk of accidents during their commute. There have been several collisions at the McCoy Rd., Mountview Rd., and Woodbridge Rd. intersections. Installing traffic lights would not resolve the issue, as it would likely frustrate bypass traffic. The current location, with Hastings Ln. and Romney Rd., provides natural relief from the Reed Rd. traffic, ensuring better protection for children from high-speed traffic dangers		Repair option is the best because it preserves the outdoor fields.	
		I do not like the Rebuild Option C as it substantially impacts the availability of the Thompson park fields that are heavily in demand for our youth soccer programs. This also seems unfair to the residents at Thompson with impacted park frontage. Repair or renovate are first options. Rebuild only if at SAME location as current MS. Do Not want Thompson Park being taken for a rebuild.	Do not use Thompson Park for a rebuild.	My biggest concern is that none of the plans include details about where students will be relocated during the 2 years of construction - what options are being considered? The repair option may be inadequate in the long term and will delay but not eliminate the need for one of the larger renovations in the future. Repair or renovate. No rebuild.	
I think you should repair the school		Just do repairs at hasting	Do not build a new hastings at Thompson Park if this is true you will destroy property value	Just repair the city has already gone way over budget for the rec center at Tremont what the city has done to the Tremont center looks like a min NY area It looks terrible	
		Repair options are not ideal because it seems likely we'll be right back here in a few years. Renovating or rebuilding will allow for better safety features, more efficient heating/cooling systems, and more modern design - and hopefully longevity will factor in so the design will last long.	I love that we are considering outside-the-box options with land swaps. However, swapping with Thompson Park it is clear that the school will replace all soccer fields. While the plan suggests that the former Hastings site will be a park, will we still have at least the same number of soccer fields? UA is already desperately competitive for soccer space and losing that space would be hugely disappointing when there are other options.		

Frankly, Burbank school does not impact our family; however, the renovate or rebuild options are the most attractive from the standpoint of longevity. The rebuild aspects are attractive given the effectiveness of the rebuilt elementary schools and high school. While rebuilding is likely to be the most expensive, new facilities increase the value of our community.	The use of the existing buildings during rebuilding is efficient and effective use of time and resources. Provided the existing buildings don't require emergency repairs to maintain functionality, the rebuild plans appear to be the best options. Taxpayers are not going to want to accept increases in general, but historically UA schools have not had difficulty passing levies when needed.	The repair and renovate options are not really attractive given the facility age and associated costs/benefits. The rebuild options are most attractive from a value and longevity standpoint - as has been demonstrated by rebuilding the elementary schools and high schools. The Rebuild Option B is most attractive as it appears to result in the least disruption to the surrounding neighborhood. Options moving the school to Thompson Park are least attractive, as UA already has difficulty with coordinating outdoor space for soccer practices and games during spring/summer/fall. Fields are routinely busy and difficult to find park space to enjoy during these times, particularly on weekends. Consumption of a large portion of Thompson park with a middle school is not desirable.	Purchasing of defunct office space near the 5 points intersection may be a better option for erecting a new middle school than taking over existing beloved and busy park space.		
Repair-I like that you provided a cost estimate. Dislike that the entrance isn't addressed Renovate-I like the new entrance, amenities added and potential for future expansion. Hugely dislike that no other options include prices. You absolutely cannot fully weigh the options without considering costs. Rebuild-I'm sure it would be beautiful but seems unnecessary.		Again, impossible to weigh in without costs! I understand that Hastings is in dire need of repair or more. I would assume there is a better value to renovate but don't like the idea of destroying the track for seemingly limited gains. I like that some of the options keep parking close to the water park. I like that rebuild option B seemingly preserves the adjacent baseball diamond. Mixed feelings about rebuilding at thompson park.		No other options than taking over the tennis courts? Dislike the narrowing of outdoor activities across all reno/rebuild options. Dislike the rebuild option that has the music and stage areas on opposite ends. The adjacencies are highlighted on some plans, so why purposefully do this when you're starting over? I prefer the renovate option B with the courtyards.	
		Strongly dislike option C, not at all in favor of moving Hastings from where it currently is.			
		Rebuild makes a lot of sense, but I dislike option C. Traffic due to St Andrew's would be a major problem. And as a parent with no busing and kids at all 3 school levels next year, transportation will be more of a challenge if the school moves further away from the high school.			
Burbank there is one choice for rebuilding. I support doing that.		Hastings - Option Rebuild B - I strongly oppose moving Hastings to Thompson Park.		Jones - Rebuild a there story building.	

		I am a citizen of Upper Arlington who lives on Chardon Road. The park has been a vital part of our neighborhood and also a very popular and busy park. For years people bought homes around Hastings school, knowing that there was a school in their neighborhood. Concurrently people bought homes around the park not expecting there to be a middle school. I am against the proposal to move Hasting School to Thompson Park and will participate in the opposition to defeat this proposition.			
		Please do not extend the concrete jungle into Thompson park. We are losing so much green space and will continue to lose more and more as time marches on. Please protect our park. Further more, population is increasing, which will mean that even if all of Thompson park isn't being used now, we will need more green space in the future. Please protect our park. Tear down strip malls, run down corporate spaces, or other space not being used that cannot fill the same community space that Thompson park does. Please protect our park. Thompson park provides something that other parks don't, a large track close to population. I travel past other parks that are small to come to this one because it provides enough track to keep scenery interesting as I'm not making small circles. Please protect our park.			

		1. Thompson is the largest and most natural park in the city. 2. It is an ecosystem that includes pond, grassland, and forest. 3. Carving into that would be VERY contrary to the city's professed green city goal. 4. The school is envisioned as being built on the most biodiverse area of the park, And possibly the most biodiverse in UA. 5. The "replacement" for the park on current Hastings land would provide nothing equivalent. Even if trees are planted and other changes are made it will take decades to develop a similar ecosystem. 6. It is highly used for walking, sports, etc. it will be much less user friendly, esp for walkers. 7. A large amount of work and \$\$ has already been invested in further naturalizing the park.	8. Traffic and parking will be a nightmare. Woodbridge-McCoy is already a high accident intersection. Might be different had the city elected to narrow McCoy as many wished. At least those on bikes would have been safer. Would be majorly disruptive to commuting routes as McCoy is an arterial road. 9. It is also a through way for the fire department, extra traffic will make it difficult for them to get through. It would be disruptive to emergency response around the city as the local fire station relies on an easy to traverse McCoy Rd. 10. Current location is somewhat closer to the middle of the geographic north of Lane community. 11. The city could lose revenue for not being able to host soccer and softball tournaments as they do now. 12. Will lower property values for many homes, purchased with the desire to be near a park, in many cases. Value drops due to the loss of a significant piece of their value.	13. This is what happens to green space. It is regarded as a piece of land and someone says, "Oh, here's an empty spot, let's build something on it." Bam, gone forever! 14. Just wondering, how would moving Jones to Miller Park be received? Guessing not well. 15. Why not do what was done for the high school. Build on site then demolish the old school. current school. 16. This is what happens to green space. It is regarded as a piece of land and someone says, "Oh, here's an empty spot, let's build something on it." Bam, gone forever. 17. It may or may not be the very best solution for the schools, but leaving Thompson Park as is is definitely the best for the neighborhood and the city. Remember, you can't undo this.	
--	--	--	---	--	--