BISD Preparing Our Next Generation | PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

February 27, 2025

RFP 25.10 – Professional Development Platform

Addendum No. 2 – Q&A

1. **Q**: **I wanted to see if there is any way for an extension?**

   A: The schedule will remain unchanged at this time.

2. **Q:** What does the district use for rostering?

   A: Class Link

3. **Q: What are the district's expectations for the grading system being "managed by the vendor under the guidance of the district"? Do you want the platform to be configured in a specific way for grading? Or is the provider requested to engage in grading artifacts? Or does this have another implication?**

   A: The platform will need to provide on-going grading and evaluation of artifacts submitted.

4. **Q: Please could you confirm the proposal schedule? There is conflicting information on page 1 and page 3.**

   A: Please refer to Addendum No. 1 – Verification of the RFP Schedule.

5. **Q: The RFP indicates that the vendor will be required to support micro-credentialing. Is badging required or are certificates of micro-credential completion sufficient?**

   A: Badging is required.

6. **Q: The RFP indicates that the selected platform will need to host district-created courses. Does hosting of courses that the district co-created with the vendor meet this requirement?**

   A: Yes, as long as the district is able to customize any content.

7. **Q: What platform (e.g., Canvas) does BISD use to build courses?**

   A: We use a variety of platforms currently that are proprietary, so they would need to be recreated to suit the new host system.

8. **Q: Must the selected platform be able to host 3rd party courses (i.e., those created by parties other than the district and the vendor)?**

   A: No.

9. **Q: Please provide additional information about the types of grading required to be completed by the vendor's team of graders.**

   A: Courses will need to be implementation based. All artifacts such as lesson plans, websites created, etc. will need to be graded using a rubric approved by the district. Minimal coursework with reflection answers will be utilized.

10. **Q: The RFP indicates that the platform must be branded white-label and align to the district brand. Is a co-branded platform or a dedicated district landing page that is co-branded or white-labeled acceptable?**

    A: It must be branded white-label and able to be integrated into district website.

11. **Q: 'Grading services' - One of the requirements in Section 4a states that the 'assessment and feedback on educator progress' will be 'managed by the vendor under the guidance of the district'. Later in Section 4b it states: "as well as providing feedback to educators provided by the vendor's team of graders".**

    A: Yes, the platform will need to provide on-going grading and evaluation of artifacts submitted.

12. **Q: Is the expectation that the selected vendor will offer ongoing grading services as well as the software itself? We can provide these services, but it is difficult to offer a specific quote for these services without understanding the nature or frequency of this work. Any additional detail here would be appreciated.**

    A: Yes, the platform will need to provide on-going grading and evaluation of artifacts submitted.

13. **Q: Integrations - The RFP mentions Class Link & both SAML and an IAM system for SSO. Can you share details on what system BISD currently uses for Identity Access Management?**

    A: The district uses Google for identity access management.

14. **Q: Are there any other systems that the professional learning platform would be expected to integrate with?**

    A: No.