

### THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

November 18, 2016

### Revised

Dr. Fadhilika Atiba-Weza, Superintendent Hempstead Union Free School District 185 Peninsula Blvd. Hempstead, New York 11550

Dear Superintendent Atiba-Weza:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia Commissioner

Elem Elia

Attachment

c: Robert Dillon

### NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance; https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district/s/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

### 1.1) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOGES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOGES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOGES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

### 1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 1 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

### 2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

### Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSBD will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SIIOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

# 2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

### STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 - 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 - 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math	
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math	

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
State or Regents		
Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 8 Math

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

## 2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

# 2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science	
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Common branch	Grade 8 Science and Earth Science	

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 4 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

# 2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

# STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

Regents Assessment	Global 2		US History	
	Global 2		US History	
	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and Algebra II/Trigonometry

# 2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

## STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments, or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments, or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

-	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	Common Core English		
10 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	☑ Common Core English		
12 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English		

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

# 2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	Teacher-specific results			☑ aimsweb
K Math	Teacher-specific results			☑ aimsweb
1 ELA	Teacher-specific results			Measures of     Academic Progress
1 Math	Teacher-specific results			Measures of     Academic Progress
2 ELA	Teacher-specific results			
2 Math	Teacher-specific results			Measures of     Academic Progress

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

# 2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments, or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
7 Science	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	Ø US History		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- widerresults	☑ US History		
B Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	US History		

# 2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

# STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 8 of 43

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☑ US History		

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

## 2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments, or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- · Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	К	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	lwide, group, team, or	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 10 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	К	Art	School- or program-wide group, tearn, or linked results			☑ aimsweb
к	К	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			2 aimsweb
K	К	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			Ø aimsweb
К	К	Library	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			2 aimsweb
1	5	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			Measures     of     Academic     Progress
1.	5	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			Measures     of     Academic     Progress
1	5	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			Measures of Academic Progress
1	5	Library	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			Measures of Academic Progress
6	8	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked	A building-wide State-provided growth score		

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

			results	
6	8	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ A building-wide State-provided growth score
6	8	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, learn, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
9	12	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
9	12	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
9	12	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide State-provided growth score
9	12	Health	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
9	12	Fine Arts	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ A building-wide State-provided growth score
7	12	Business	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
7	7	Technology	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score
К	12	ELL	Teacher- specific	☑ NYSESLAT

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

			results		
К	К	Special Education	Teacher- specific results	MYSAA	
1	3	Special Education	Teacher- specific results	Ø NYSAA	
9	12	Social Studies Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ US History	
9	12	Science Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ Living Environment	
9	12	Math Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ Common Core Geometry	
7	12	Languages Other Than English	School- or program-wide group, tearn, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score	
K	К	Reading	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		☑ aimsweb
1	5	Reading	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		Measures     of     Academic     Progress
6	12	Reading	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score	
7	12	Career	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	A building-wide     State-provided     growth score	

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effecti	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive								•			
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	F		85- 89	!		li .		II .	[		39- 43			25- 28	ľ	17- 20	1	12		0-
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	8%	4%

### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

### 2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SIIO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOGES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

# 2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- · If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- · If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

# Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

# Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance; https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

# 2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)		
School- or program- wide group, team, or linked results			Measures of     Academic Progress	3 ELA 3 Math 4 ELA 4 Math 5 ELA 5 Math		
School- or program- wide group, leam, or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science			Ø 6 ELA Ø 6 Math Ø 7 ELA Ø 7 Math Ø 8 ELA Ø 8 Math		
(No Response)						

# 2.10) Alternate SLOs: All Other Courses (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

If the option, "Other Courses as listed in Original Task 2.10" does not apply, please leave that box unchecked in the table above and use the table below to add courses.

You may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "all other teachers not named above".

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 15 of 43

# Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For other courses indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- · Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	К	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar [[[ BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program- wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes during the transition period and cannot be used for calculating transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years. If such assessments are selected for the original SLO and there are not remaining measures in the Student Performance category for an educator, an alternate SLO must be included for that educator here.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6	8	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
6	8	Music	School- or program-wide group, tearn, or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
6	8	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
g	12	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	리 All Regents given in building/district		
9	12	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district		
9	12	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	Ali Regents given in building/district		
9	12	Health	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district		
9	12	Fine Arts	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ All Regents given in building/district		
7	8	Business	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	☑ Grade 8 Science		
9	12	Business	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district		
7	7	Technology	School- or program-wide group, team, or	☑ Grade 8 Science		

# Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

1000		Wallie	linked results		
7	12	Languages Other Than English	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district	
6	12	Reading	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given     in building/district	
7	12	Career	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district	

## 2.11) HED! Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			II	49-	44-	39-	34-	29-	25-	21-	17-	13-	9-	_	0
100							66 °/				43					20		12	5- 8%	u- 4%
%	70	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		

## 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 3.1, Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

## Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

# 3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher

12/02/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

### 4.2) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used fonall classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOGES, provided that districts/BOGES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

## 4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012+d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable domains are weighted equally and averaged.

### 4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

### **Assurances**

### Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HBD1 rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher carns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

### Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district BOGES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOGES approved \$3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3 4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

**Teacher Observation Scoring Bands** 

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

	Overall Observation Score and Rating	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum					
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0					
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74					
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74					
1	0	1.49 to 1.74					

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score		
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00		
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score		
iffective:	2.50	3.49		
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score		
Developing:	1.50	2.49		

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

### 4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

### Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

### **Optional Subcomponent:**

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 21 of 43

<sup>\*</sup> If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Required - Principal/	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grades and subjects for which
Administrator	Evaluator(s)		Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

### 4.6) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOGES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOGES
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

## 4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

### **Tenured Teachers**

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principat/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

### **Probationary Teachers**

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 22 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

### Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

### **Teacher Observation**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

	Overall Students Performance Category S			Overall Obs Category Score and F	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
1	0	12	ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation (	Category		
		Highly Effective (II)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
	Highly Effective (II)	н	Н	Е	D
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D
Category	Developing (D)	Е	E	D	1
	Ineffective (1)	D*	D*	1	

<sup>\*</sup> If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 5.3) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 23 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

## 6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

### Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOGES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Givil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

### 6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

# As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN:docx

### 6.3) Assurance: Appeals

### Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOGES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal

### 6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 24 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

- 1. A tenured teacher who receives an overall rating of ineffective may appeal his/her APPR
- 2. Within 10 school days after receipt of the written composite APPR, the teacher may file an appeal with the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee pursuant to the procedures set forth in this section.
- 3. Appeals must be in writing and will be articulated in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to
- a) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following.
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- b) The school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- c) The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures.
- 5) An appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating.
- 6) A written appeal must include the following:
- teachers name, school building assignment and position.
- the name of his/her immediate supervisor
- the name of his/her evaluator
- the date the original summative evaluation was received by the teacher.
- the applicable evaluation period.
- a signed copy of the APPR being appealed
- a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review and any documents or materials relevant to the appeal; and
- the exact grounds upon which the appeal is based as specific in paragraph 3 above
- 7) Pailure to articulate a particular basis in the written appeal will be deemed a waiver of that basis
- 8) Within (10) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools and/or his/her designee, will either deliver a written determination of the appeal or assign a designee, other than the evaluator who issued the APPR being appealed, to review the appeal (within the same 10 school days) and issue a written decision (within the same 10 days). The written decision will be provided to the teacher.
- 9) Within (10) school days, after receiving the written responses from the Superintendent on his/her designee, a tenured or probationary teacher who appealed an ineffective rating may elect in writing to have his/her appeal reviewed by a member of the panel of outside experts (panel members). In order to have his/her appeal heard by a panel member, the teacher will file a written appeal with the Superintendent or his/her designee, who will forward the appeal to the first panelist, on a rotating basis, within 7 school days. The HCTA and the district will agree upon a mutually acceptable list of experts to be included on the panel.
- 10). The panelist will be selected to handle an appeal from this list on a rotating basis. If a panel member is unavailable to handle a particular appeal, the next listed panel member will be selected. The cost for the services of the panel member will be borne equally by the district and HCTA.
- 1:1). Within 10 school days after receiving the written appeal, the panel member will complete his/her review of the appeal and issue a written recommendation. Within this 10 school day period, the panel member, at his/her discretion, may request a meeting with the district and the teacher in order to clarify issues related to the appeal. The teacher may request union representation during any meeting with the panel member. The panel member's review is limited to the original written appeal, the superintendent or his/her designee's initial determination supporting papers submitted to the teacher and a response to the appeal by the teacher evaluator. If the panel member recommends a modification to the teacher's overall ineffective rating, he/she must provide the basis upon which the recommendation was made.
- 12) Within 7 school days after receiving the panel member's recommendation, a tenured or probationary teacher that appealed an ineffective rating may file a written appeal to the Superintendent of Schools for second round of appeal.
- 13) Within 10 school days after receiving the written appeal the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee will consider the written recommendation of the panel member and will issue a final written determination. The Superintendent of Schools may not designate the evaluator or the same designee who handled any portion of the appeal process. The written decision of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee will be final and binding on all parties and will not be subject to a grievance, arbitration or reviewable by a third party in any other form.
- 14) The failure to file an appeal within the time limits specified above will be deemed a waiver of the rights to appeal
- 15) The time limits specified in this appeal procedure may be extended in writing by mutual agreement of the district and the HCTA only but may not be extended beyond 60 days, from initial filing process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### 6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

### Please check the box below.

The district/BOGES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

# 6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

# Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators will be trained and all lead evaluators will be trained and certified by the Board of Education under the nine requirements prescribed in 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Impartial independent observers will receive training on the three requirements prescribed in 30-3.10 (c).

All evaluators shall be trained through BLT Evaluation Academy provided by NYSUT. The district will annually provide at minimum, a one day 7 hour training to re-certify evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators. This recertification training will last in duration for at minimum, one full school day and will be provided by BLT NYSUT trainers. The district will assure that evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators receive the full required initial training prior to conducting any teacher evaluations, this training at minimum will be one week. Such training-will include inter-rater reliability. Upon the completion of this training the evaluators will be certified/re-certified by the Superintendent and Board of Education.

### 6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOGES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback, use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness, any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOGHS shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Gategory measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 BLA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOGES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

### 6.8) Assurances: Assessments

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Gommon Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOGES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOGES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOGES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

### 6.9) Assurances: Data

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student/linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Gommissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating as per NYSED requirements
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 27 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

## Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

# 7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	К	  6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7		All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
1	5	☑ Grade 4 ELA
		☑ Grade 5 ELA
	I N W EVER	☑ Grade 4 Math
		☑ Grade 5 Math
6	8	☑ Grade 6 ELA
		☑ Grade 7 ELA
	CITAL VI.	☑ Grade 8 ELA
		☑ Grade 6 Math
		21 Grade 7 Math
		☑ Grade 8 Math
9	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State- provided growth score

### 7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSBD will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 29 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

# 7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the grades/courses covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district/BOCES must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGP results.
- Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/courses(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
- · State-approved 3rd party assessment; or
- State-approved district, regionally, or BOCES-developed course-specific assessment.

## For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2; highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 3: measure used
- · Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade (3) measure		(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	К	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for each grade configuration listed. During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, SLOs that use grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments as their underlying assessments must be excluded from the calculation of transition scores and ratings.

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	
К	К	Principal- specific results			☑ aimsweb	

### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	49- 54 %	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12	5- 8%	0- 4%

# 7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

### 7.5) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SEO or a back-up SEO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SIIO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOGES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

# 7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- · If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

# Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

# 100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

## 7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	К	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 32 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
1	5	Principal-specific results			Measures of     Academic     Progress
6	8	Principal-specific results	☑ Grade 8 Science		

### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100	96	92	89	84		74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28		ĺ		9- 12	5- 8%	0- 4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	0 /8	7/0

# 7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

# 8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal

12/02/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

### 9.2) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Gommissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOGES, provided that districts/BOGES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

# 9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable domains will be weighted equally and averaged.

### 9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

### **Assurances**

### Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

### Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOGES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOGES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver, will apply. See Section 30-3 5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

	Overall School Visit Score and Rating	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum			
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0			
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
	0	1.49 to 1.74			

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

### **HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Asimboon D. Loto O.		
Effective:	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	

2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

# 9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

### Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

### Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 36 of 43

<sup>\*</sup> If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Required - Supervisor/	Required - Independent	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which
Adminstrator	Evaluator(s)		Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

### 9.6) Assurances

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating; evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOGES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video

### 9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

### **Tenured Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

### **Probationary Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 10.1) Scoring Ranges

### Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

### Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

	Overall Stud Performand Category S			Overall Scho	ool Visit
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
1	0	12	I	О	1.49 to 1.74

### 10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category						
		Highly Effective (II)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)			
	Highly Effective (II)	Н	Н	E	D			
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	Е	E	D			
Category	Developing (D)	E	E	D	1			
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	1	[			

<sup>\*</sup> If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 10.3) Assurances

## Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOGES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include, identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

## 11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

# As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

PRINGIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN.docx

### 11.3) Assurance: Appeals

### Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOGES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

### 11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

Appeals shall be available for all tenured Principals. Evaluations which have resulted in a rating of Ineffective or Developing may be appealed. All appeals must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent no later than 10 days of receipt of the annual performance evaluation and/or the issuance of the Principals Improvement Plan. The appeal shall be in writing and shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

- (2) The school district's/BOGES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures
- 4) An appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for making the final rating.
- 5) Within (10) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools and/or his/her designee, shall deliver a written determination of the appeal to the Principal.
- 6) The evaluated principal may submit a rebuttal to the APPR evaluation
- 7) The Superintendent's decision shall be final and binding on all parties.
- 8) A written appeal must include the following:
- a. Principal name, school building assignment and position.
- b. The name of his/her immediate supervisor.
- c. The name of his/her evaluator.
- d. The date the original summative evaluation was received by the Principal.
- e. The applicable evaluation period.
- f. A signed copy of the Appeal being appealed.
- g. A detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review and any documents or materials relevant to the appeal.
- 9) The written decision of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee will be final and binding on all parties and will not be subject to a grievance, arbitration or reviewable by a third party in any other form.
- 10) The failure to file an appeal within the time limits specified above will be deem a waiver of the right to the appeal.
- 11) The time limits specified in this appeal procedure may be extended in writing by mutual agreement of the district and teh Hempstead School Administrator's Association only but may not be extended beyond 60 school days, process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.

### 11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

### Please check the box below.

The district/BOGES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

# 11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

All evaluators will be trained and all lead evaluators will be trained and certified by the Board of Education under the nine requirements prescribed in 30-3 10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Impartial independent observers will receive training on the three requirements prescribed in 30-3 10 (c).

All evaluators shall be trained through our local BOCES. The district will annually provide at minimum, a one day 7 hour training to re-certify evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators. This recertification training will last in duration for at minimum, one full school day and will be provided by our local BOGES. The district will assure that evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators receive the full required initial training prior to conducting any teacher evaluations, this training at minimum will be one week. Such training will include inter-rater reliability. Upon the completion of this training the evaluators will be certified/re-certified by the Superintendent and Board of Education

### 11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOGES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOGES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Gategory measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOGES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

### 11.8) Assurances: Assessments

### Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Gommon Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOGES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SEO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

### 11.9) Assurances Data

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 41 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that SHD will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissionen

Status Date: 11/18/2016 03:34 PM

- Assure that the district/BOGES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

12/02/2016 03:34 PM Page 42 of 43

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2016

### Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

APPR 2015.16.Implemntation final pdf