

March 02, 2021

John Harding Eagle Point School District #9 PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524 TEL: (541) 830-1240 FAX (541) 830-6375

RE: Upper Table Rock Elementary Order No.: 21021022

Dear John Harding:

Neilson Research Corporation received 2 sample(s) on 2/18/2021 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The results relate only to the parameters tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Neilson Research Corporation. If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Neilson Research Corporation

Tampa Stomedeman

Tamra Schmedemann Senior Project Manager

245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501









Original



Case Narrative

WO#: **21021022**Date: **3/2/2021**

CLIENT: Eagle Point School District #9 **Project:** Upper Table Rock Elementary

The analyses were performed according to the guidelines in the Neilson Research Corporation Quality Assurance Program. This report contains analytical results for the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Neilson Research Corporation certifies that this report is in compliance with the requirements of NELAP. No unusual difficulties were experienced during analysis of this batch except as noted below or qualified with data flags on the reports.



Analytical Report

WO#: 21021022 Date Reported: 3/2/2021

Eagle Point School District #9

PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524

Sample Information:

Lab Order: 21021022

Received Date: 2/18/2021 11:42:00 AM

Reported Date: 3/2/2021 1:36:34 PM

Lab ID: 21021022-01 Client Sample ID: K-1

Collection Date: 2/18/2021 6:15:00 AM Collected By: Dale Newton

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: K-1

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS **Date NELAP** MCL **Analyses** Result Qual **MRL** Units DF **Analyzed** Status Lead 0.103 ppb 2/22/2021 15.0 Α

Lab ID: 21021022-02 Client Sample ID: A-9 S

Collection Date: 2/18/2021 6:30:00 AM Collected By: Dale Newton

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: A-9 S

Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS	Analyst; SJS							
Analyses	Result	Qual	MRL	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	MCL	NELAP Status
Lead	7.75		0.103	ppb	1	2/22/2021	15.0	Α

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

 $\begin{array}{ll} ND & \mbox{Not Detected at the Reporting Limit} \\ R & \mbox{RPD outside accepted recovery limits} \end{array}$

C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

PL Permit Limit

NELAP A Accredited in accordance with NELAP ORELAP 100016, OR-028

Original



QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 21021022

02-Mar-21

Client: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Upper Table Rock Elementary

TestCode: LEAD DW

Sample ID: MB-11006	S SampType: MBLK TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: pp		Prep Date: 2/22/2021	RunNo: 19381		
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 11006	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021	SeqNo: 288505		
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual		
Lead	ND	0.103		-		
Sample ID: LCS-11006	SampType: LCS TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb		Prep Date: 2/22/2021	RunNo: 19381		
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 11006	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021	SeqNo: 288506		
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual		
Lead	99.3	0.104 100 0	99.3 85 115			
Sample ID: 21021052-01AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb	Prep Date: 2/22/2021	RunNo: 19381		
Sample ID: 21021052-01AMS Client ID: BatchQC	SampType: MS Batch ID: 11006	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Prep Date: 2/22/2021 Analysis Date: 2/22/2021	RunNo: 19381 SeqNo: 288529		
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 11006	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021	SeqNo: 288529		
Client ID: BatchQC Analyte	Batch ID: 11006 Result	TestNo: E200.8 PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021 %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	SeqNo: 288529		
Client ID: BatchQC Analyte Lead	Batch ID: 11006 Result 93.7	TestNo: E200.8 PQL SPK value 0.104 100 0.0731	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021 %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val 93.6 70 130	SeqNo: 288529 %RPD RPDLimit Qual		
Client ID: BatchQC Analyte Lead Sample ID: 21021052-01AMSD	Batch ID: 11006 Result 93.7 SampType: MSD	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8 PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val 0.104 100 0.0731 TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb	Analysis Date: 2/22/2021 %REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val 93.6 70 130 Prep Date: 2/22/2021	SeqNo: 288529 %RPD RPDLimit Qual RunNo: 19381		

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

Reporting Detection Limit

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceede

PL Permit Limit



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501

TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901 Website: www.nrclabs.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Clie	nt Name:	EAGLEPTS	CHOOL	Work Order N	lumbe	r: 21021	022			RcptNo	: 1
Log	ged by:	Sarah Spen	ce	2/18/2021 11:4	12:00 <i>A</i>	λM		Carah	NSpence)	
Con	npleted By:	Krizzle Calip	o	2/19/2021 10:1	0:10 <i>A</i>	M		Shanglight	NGpenae luf Calip Vol		
Rev	iewed By:	Naomi Orr		3/2/2021 1:30:	05 PM			1	الما		
<u>Cha</u>	in of Cus	stody									
1.	Is Chain of	Custody com	plete?			Yes	✓	No		Not Present]
2.	How was th	ne sample deli	vered?			Clie	<u>nt</u>				
<u>Log</u>	ı In										
	Coolers are	e present?				Yes		No		NA 🗹]
4.	Shipping co	ontainer/coole	r in good condition?	?		Yes	•	No			
••			shipping container/d			Yes		No		Not Present]
	No.		Seal Date:			Sign	ed By:				
5.	Was an att	empt made to	cool the samples?			Yes		No		NA 🗸]
6.	Were all sa	amples receive	ed at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0	O°C	Yes		No		NA 🗹]
7.	Sample(s)	in proper cont	ainer(s)?			Yes	✓	No			
8.	Sufficient s	ample volume	e for indicated test(s	s)?		Yes	✓	No			
9.	Are sample	es (except VO	A and ONG) proper	rly preserved?		Yes	✓	No			
10.	Was prese	rvative added	to bottles?			Yes	✓	No		NA 🗆]
										HNO3 pH<	-
			OA vials less than		ım?	Yes		No		lo VOA Vials ✓	
			ners received broke	en?		Yes		No			
13.		rwork match because on c	oottle labels? hain of custody)			Yes	✓	No			
14.	•	•	entified on Chain of	Custody?		Yes	✓	No			
15.	Is it clear w	hat analyses	were requested?			Yes	✓	No			
16.	Were all ho	olding times at	ole to be met?			Yes	✓	No			
_	•		r authorization.)								
		dling (if ap				.,				🗖	ה
17.	Was client	notified of all	discrepancies with	this order?		Yes		No		NA 🗸]
	Perso	n Notified:			Date						
	By W	hom:			Via:	eM	ail 🗌 F	Phone	Fax 🗌	In Person	
	Regai	rding:									
	Client	Instructions:									
18.	Additional i	remarks:			-						
Cool	er Informat	<u>ion</u>									
	Cooler	No Temp	°C Condition	Seal Intact	Sea	al No	Seal D	Date Sig	ned By	,	

Chain of Custody Record

This Chain of Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT and must be filled out accurately.

CORPORATION		upper t	able				
Section A Required Client Information	Section B Required Project Inform	O A Most				Section D	
Company: Eagle Point School District #9	T	Mastrack 1700-A	2-2-1	ce Information	ald - 1 11	Rush Status (Subject	
		- ,0			John Agro	" "	
	Project Number:		chertom			Priority: 5 Busine	ess Days (List × 1.50)
Eagle Point, OR 97524	Report To:		all vom	éss:		Express: 3 Busin	ness Days (List × 1.75)
Email:	Сору То:	3	-2-2021			Rush: 2 Busines	s Days (List × 2.00)
Phone: 541-830-1240 Fax: 541-830-637	9			PO #:		Rush: Same Day	(List × 3.00)
Collected By (Paint) Dala Nouton						Authoriz	ed Yes No
Collected By (Sign) Dak nutor				Analysis Requeste	d		
Email Report Fax Report			0	1.04			
	_	9rs	2 00	of the			
Section E		tain	# Em	27-202		NRC Workorder #	
Sampleditormation		Co		3		(Lab Use Only)	2.1021012
Sample ID Comp/Grab	Matrix* Date Collected	Collected No. of Containers	OHSTSTERS LOAD			Remarks / Field Data	NRC Sample # (Lab Use Only)
Wastirate						pH=	
82623 K-1	2-18	6:15))				1
826 43 A-9 5	2-18	61301	1 -				2
							The Court Court of the
							S. 34 (17 (14)) 3
							1980 1
							538
*Matrix: DW - Drinking Water WW - Wastewater W - Water S - So Section F	I/Solid SL - Sludge O - Oi	I WP - Wipe OT - Ot	her			Section G	
Relinguish/Receive Sign		Print		Date	, Time	Temp: Am P	1.1.4
Relinquished By: Del New W	Dale	New	roh	2-18	11:20	4°C +/- 2°C: Yes	XNo
Received By: Chutandia	John	Hardin	7	2/18/21	11:20	Received on Ice:	Yes No
Relinquished By:						Number of Bottles Received: 2	
Received By:				1		pH Checked: NA	
Relinquished By Andria	John	Hacding	3	2000	11:47	COC Seals Intact:	Yes No NA
Received By Laboratory: 17 (Yels Cl	JASI	sine/ J		2/18/21	1142	Field Blank Included:	_Yes XNo
		4	,	7	Received Via	UPS FedEX Ot	therHand
			Payme	ent:lovoice)_	CashVISA, M/C _	Check #	Amount



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901

Website: www.nrclabs.com

Data Flags

WO#: **21021022**Date: **3/2/2021**

- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank.
- BA BOD Alternative Calculation: The initial results performed by Standard Methods did not fall within parameters of the Standard Methods calculation. An alternate approved calculation was performed using the HACH method and the value reported is an estimated concentration.
- C Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria. See Case Narrative.
- C1 Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria for temperature.
- CF Results confirmed by re-analysis.
- CU Cleanup performed as specified by method.
- D1 The diesel elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- D2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D4 Detected hydrocarbons do not have pattern and range consistent with typical petroleum products and may be due to biogenic interference.
- D5 Detected hydrocarbons in the diesel range appear to be weathered diesel.
- E Estimated value.
- ER Elevated reporting limit due to matrix. Report limits (MDLs, MRLs & PQLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions, and percent solids, where applicable.
- FC Fecal Coliforms: Sample(s) received past 40 CFR Part 136 specified holding time. Results reported as estimated values.
- G1 The gasoline elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- G2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G4 Detected hydrocarbons in the gasoline range appear to be weathered gasoline.
- HP Sample re-analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- HR Sample received outside of method specified holding time.
- HS Sample analyzed for volatile organics contained headspace.
- HT□ At the client's request, the sample was analyzed outside of method specified holding time.
- H Analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- J Analyte detected below the Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The J flag result is an estimated value and the user should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
- L Dissolved metals were not filtered within 15 minutes of collection per 40 CFR Part 136.
- MI Surrogate, Duplicate Sample (DUP) or Matrix Spikes recoveries are out of control limits due to matrix interference. Sample results may be biased.
- N See Case Narrative on page 2 of report.
- NLR No Legionella Recovered.
- PLR Presence of Legionella Recovered.
- Q Initial calibration verification (ICV), continuing calibration verification (CCV) or laboratory control sample (LCS) exceeded high recovery limits, but associated samples are non-detect and the sample results are not affected. Data meets EPA/NELAP requirements.
- R Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits.
- R1 Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits. However, analyses are not controlled on RPD values for sample concentrations that are less than the reporting limit.
- R3 The relative percent difference (RPD) and/or percent recovery for the duplicate (DUP) or matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) cannot be accurately calculated due to the concentration of analyte already present in the sample.
- R4 Duplicate analysis failed due to result being at or near the method reporting limit.
- S Surrogate and/or matrix spike recovery is outside of the accepted recovery limits. Sample results may be biased.
- S1 Surrogate or matrix spike recovery is outside of control limits due to dilution necessary for analysis.
- SC Sub-contracted to another laboratory for analysis.
- SP Sample(s) were not collected per EPA Method 5035A protocols. The results are considered minimum values.
- # Value exceeds regulatory level for TCLP contaminant.
- X1 The motor oil elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- X2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- X3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level or is outside the acceptable range.