

May 13, 2022

John Harding Eagle Point School District #9 PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524 TEL: (541) 830-1240 FAX (541) 830-6375

RE: Lower Table Rock Elem Lead Testing Order No.: 22050076

Dear John Harding:

Neilson Research Corporation received 6 sample(s) on 5/3/2022 for the analyses presented in the following report.

The results relate only to the parameters tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Neilson Research Corporation. If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Neilson Research Corporation

Tampa Stomedeman

Tamra Schmedemann Senior Project Manager

245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501









Original



Case Narrative

WO#: **22050076**Date: **5/13/2022**

CLIENT: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Lower Table Rock Elem Lead Testing

The analyses were performed according to the guidelines in the Neilson Research Corporation Quality Assurance Program. This report contains analytical results for the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

Neilson Research Corporation certifies that this report is in compliance with the requirements of NELAP. No unusual difficulties were experienced during analysis of this batch except as noted below or qualified with data flags on the reports.



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901

Website: www.nrclabs.com

Analytical Report

WO#: 22050076 Date Reported: 5/13/2022

Eagle Point School District #9

PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524

Sample Information:

Lab Order: 22050076

Received Date: 5/3/2022 8:41:00 AM

Reported Date: 5/13/2022 11:46:08 AM

Lab ID:22050076-01Client Sample ID:Rm 3 Faucet

Collection Date: 5/3/2022 5:45:00 AM Collected By:

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: Rm 3 Faucet

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS **NELAP** Date MRL **Analyses** Result Qual Units DF MCL **Analyzed Status** 5/4/2022 Lead 1.33 0.500 15.0 ppb Α

Lab ID: 22050076-02 Client Sample ID: Rm 3 DF

Collection Date: 5/3/2022 5:45:00 AM Collected By:

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: Rm 3 DF

Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS

Analyses

SJS

Date

NELAP

Analyses

NELAP

Analyses

NELAP

Lead 1.07 0.500 ppb 1 5/4/2022 15.0 A

Lab ID: 22050076-03 Client Sample ID: Rm 20 Sink

Collection Date: 5/3/2022 5:41:00 AM Collected By:

Matrix: Drinking Water Sample Location: Rm 20 Sink

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS Date **NELAP** Analyses Result Qual **MRL** Units DF **MCL Analyzed** Status 0.500 5/4/2022 15.0 Α Lead ppb 1 15.1

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting LimitR RPD outside accepted recovery limits

NELAP

C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

PL Permit Limit

NELAP A Accredited in accordance with NELAP ORELAP 100016, OR-028

Original

Results are out of the EPA limits



Analytical Report

WO#: 22050076 Date Reported: 5/13/2022

Eagle Point School District #9

PO Box 548

Eagle Point, OR 97524

Sample Information:

Lab Order: 22050076

Received Date: 5/3/2022 8:41:00 AM

Reported Date: 5/13/2022 11:46:08 AM

Client Sample ID: Lab ID: 22050076-04 Cafe DF

Collection Date: Collected By: 5/3/2022 5:37:00 AM

Matrix: Sample Location: Cafe DF **Drinking Water**

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS **NELAP** Date Result **MRL** DF **Analyses** Qual Units Analyzed MCL **Status** 5/4/2022 Lead ND 0.500 15.0 ppb Α

Lab ID: 22050076-05 Client Sample ID: Tiger Store

Collection Date: 5/3/2022 5:35:00 AM Collected By:

Matrix: **Drinking Water** Sample Location: Tiger Store

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS Date **NELAP** Units DF **Analyses** Result Qual **MRL MCL Analyzed Status**

Lead 0.719 0.500 5/4/2022 15.0 Α ppb

Lab ID: 22050076-06 Client Sample ID: Loni's Office

Collection Date: 5/3/2022 5:32:00 AM Collected By:

Matrix: Sample Location: Loni's Office **Drinking Water**

Analyst; SJS Trace Metals by EPA 200.8 ICP-MS Date **NELAP** Analyses Result Qual **MRL** Units DF **MCL Analyzed** Status 2.50 0.500 5/4/2022 15.0 Α Lead ppb 1

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

C1 Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Е Value above quantitation range J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ΜI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PL. Permit Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

NELAP

NELAP A Accredited in accordance with NELAP ORELAP 100016, OR-028

Original

Results are out of the EPA limits



QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: **22050076**

13-May-22

Client: Eagle Point School District #9

Project: Lower Table Rock Elem Lead Testing TestCode: LEAD_DW

Project: Lower Table Roo	ck Elem Lead Testing		TestCode: LEAD_DW									
Sample ID: MB-16671 Client ID: PBW	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 16671	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Prep Date: 5/4/2022 Analysis Date: 5/4/2022	RunNo: 29652 SeqNo: 486878								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual								
Lead	ND	0.500										
Sample ID: LCS-16671	SampType: LCS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb	Prep Date: 5/4/2022	RunNo: 29652								
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 16671	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 5/4/2022	SeqNo: 486879								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual								
Lead	98.7	0.500 100 0	98.7 85 115									
Sample ID: 22050124-01AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb	Prep Date: 5/4/2022	RunNo: 29652								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 16671	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 5/4/2022	SeqNo: 486889								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual								
Lead	93.2	0.500 100 0	93.2 70 130									
Sample ID: 22050124-01AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: LEAD_DW Units: ppb	Prep Date: 5/4/2022	RunNo: 29652								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 16671	TestNo: E200.8 E200.8	Analysis Date: 5/4/2022	SeqNo: 486890								
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLimit HighLimit RPD Ref Val	%RPD RPDLimit Qual								
Lead	90.2	0.500 100 0	90.2 70 130 93.2	3.32 20								

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

MI Recovery outside comtrol limits due to Matrix Interference

RL Reporting Detection Limit

²¹ Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified at testcode

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceede

PL Permit Limit



Cooler No

Temp °C

Condition | Seal Intact

Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901

Website: www.nrclabs.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **EAGLEPTSCHOOL** Work Order Number: 22050076 RcptNo: 1 Logged by: 5/3/2022 8:41:00 AM **Haylee Crowe** Completed By: Sara Stephens 5/5/2022 4:03:28 PM Reviewed By: Tamra Schmedemann 5/13/2022 11:44:58 AM **Chain of Custody** Yes 🗸 No 🗌 Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? 2. How was the sample delivered? Client Log In NA 🗸 Yes 🗌 No 3. Coolers are present? Yes 🗸 No \square 4 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Yes Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler? No 🗀 Not Present ✓ Signed By: Seal Date: NA 🗸 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 🗌 No Yes NA 🗸 No 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗹 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? No **V** 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 🗌 HNO3 pH<2 11. Is the headspace in the VOA vials less than 1/4 inch or 6 mm? No VOA Vials No 🔽 Yes 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 🔽 No 🗌 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes **√** 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes NA 🗸 Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 18. Additional remarks: **Cooler Information**

Seal No

Seal Date | Signed By



Chain of Custody Record

This Chain of Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT and must be filled out accurately.

Page	of	

Section A Required Client Information		Section B Required Project Information											Section D				
company: Ragle Point School Vist. 9		Project Name: Laworlable Rick Elo				- 1	ention:	\ \ \	1	1	1:40	Rush Status (Subject to Scheduling)					
Address: P.D. Box 548		Project N		7 10016			-cm	Company Name: FIR 19						+			
Eagle Point OR 97524		Report To:				-	Address:						Priority: 5 Business Days (List × 1.50)				
Email: Harding ; Ceagleput. KIZOLU		100 March 1980						Addices.						Express: 3 Business Days (List × 1.75)			
Phone: 574-80-637 (Fax:		9-9-7-10-					P.O	P.O. #						Rush: 2 Business Days (List × 2.00)			
Collected By (Print):							F.0	P.O. #						Rush: 1 Business Day (List × 2.50)			
Collected By (Sign):		-										Rush: Same Day (List × 3.00)					
Email Report Fax Report Fax Report		-			 			Analysis Requested						Authorize	ed Yes No		
Email Report Pax Report																	
Section E Sample Information													NRC Workon	/	70570710		
Sample information						ntair					1		(Lab Use C	Only)	100000		
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix*	Date	Time	of Containers	3							Remarks /		NRC Sample #	(Lab	
			Collected	Collected	No.	_							Field Data		Use Only)		
27085 RM3 Faucet			5-3-22	5:45	1										(2)		
27068 BM3 DF			5-3-22	5:45	1										03		
27078 RM 205WK			5-3-22	5:41	1		4								02		
27065 Cafe DF			5-3-22	5:37	1										SI		
27059 Tiger Store			53-22	5:35	j										100 mg		
27073 Louis office			5-3-22	5732	١										010		
															THE STATE OF THE STATE OF		
															The property of the second		
*Matrix: DW - Drinking Water WW Westerness W	18/24-2- 0 0 :1/0														entagency of a constraint and a constraint		
*Matrix: DW - Drinking Water WW - Wastewater W - Section F	water S - Soil/S	olid SL - S	ludge O - Oil 1	WP - Wipe OT	- Oth	er											
Relinquish/Receive / Sign /				Deia									Section G				
Relinquished By:			Steven lambort				1-2 2-1					Lab Use Only					
Received By:			Sieven Lameer					5-3-20.41					Temp: IR Therm ID:				
Relinquished By:				-				+					≤6°C:Y	COLUMN TO STATE OF			
Received By:													Received on Ice: Yes Number of Bottles Received:				
Relinquished By:												pH Checked:					
Received By Laboratory:			1 CMILE			/	5377 8111					COC Seals Intact:Yes NoNA					
			+			175/00001						Field Blank Included: Yes No					
46			Receive				ed Via										
											ISA, M/C Check # Amount						
										/	/				Effective 10/5	5/2020	
					1	2000 7	of 0								Contraction and the Contraction of the Contraction		



Neilson Research Corporation 245 S Grape St Medford, OR 97501 TEL: (541) 770-5678 FAX: (541) 770-2901

Website: www.nrclabs.com

Data Flags

WO#: 22050076 Date: 5/13/2022

- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank.
- BA BOD Alternative Calculation: The initial results performed by Standard Methods did not fall within parameters of the Standard Methods calculation. An alternate approved calculation was performed using the HACH method and the value reported is an estimated concentration.
- C Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria. See Case Narrative.
- C1 Sample(s) does not meet NELAP/ORELAP sample acceptance criteria for temperature.
- CF Results confirmed by re-analysis.
- CU Cleanup performed as specified by method.
- D1 The diesel elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- D2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than diesel.
- D4 Detected hydrocarbons do not have pattern and range consistent with typical petroleum products and may be due to biogenic interference.
- D5 Detected hydrocarbons in the diesel range appear to be weathered diesel.
- E Estimated value.
- ER Elevated reporting limit due to matrix. Report limits (MDLs, MRLs & PQLs) are adjusted based on variations in sample preparation amounts, analytical dilutions, and percent solids, where applicable.
- FC Fecal Coliforms: Sample(s) received past 40 CFR Part 136 specified holding time. Results reported as estimated values.
- G1 The gasoline elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- G2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than gasoline.
- G4 Detected hydrocarbons in the gasoline range appear to be weathered gasoline.
- HP Sample re-analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- HR Sample received outside of method specified holding time.
- HS Sample analyzed for volatile organics contained headspace.
- HT At the client's request, the sample was analyzed outside of method specified holding time.
- H Analysis performed outside of method specified holding time.
- J Analyte detected below the Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The J flag result is an estimated value and the user should be aware that this data is of limited reliability.
- L Dissolved metals were not filtered within 15 minutes of collection per 40 CFR Part 136.
- MI Surrogate, Duplicate Sample (DUP) or Matrix Spikes recoveries are out of control limits due to matrix interference. Sample results may be biased.
- N See Case Narrative on page 2 of report.
- NLR No Legionella Recovered.
- PLR Presence of Legionella Recovered.
- Q Initial calibration verification (ICV), continuing calibration verification (CCV) or laboratory control sample (LCS) exceeded high recovery limits, but associated samples are non-detect and the sample results are not affected. Data meets EPA/NELAP requirements.
- R Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits.
- R1 Relative percent difference (RPD) is outside of the accepted recovery limits. However, analyses are not controlled on RPD values for sample concentrations that are less than the reporting limit.
- R3 The relative percent difference (RPD) and/or percent recovery for the duplicate (DUP) or matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) cannot be accurately calculated due to the concentration of analyte already present in the sample.
- R4 Duplicate analysis failed due to result being at or near the method reporting limit.
- S Surrogate and/or matrix spike recovery is outside of the accepted recovery limits. Sample results may be biased.
- S1 Surrogate or matrix spike recovery is outside of control limits due to dilution necessary for analysis.
- SC Sub-contracted to another laboratory for analysis.
- SP Sample(s) were not collected per EPA Method 5035A protocols. The results are considered minimum values.
- # Value exceeds regulatory level for TCLP contaminant.
- X1 The motor oil elution pattern for the sample is not typical.
- X2 The sample appears to be a heavier hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- X3 The sample appears to be a lighter hydrocarbon range than motor oil.
- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level or is outside the acceptable range.