Menlo Park City School District

Actuarial Study of
Retiree Health Liabilities Under GASB 74/75
Roll-forward Valuation
Valuation Date: June 30, 2019
Measurement Date: June 30, 2020
For Fiscal Year-End: June 30, 2021

Prepared by:
Total Compensation Systems, Inc.

Date: July 13, 2021

Table of Contents

PART I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

A. INTRODUCTION 1
B. KEY RESULTS 1
C. SUMMARY OF GASB 75 ACCOUNTING RESULTS 2
1. Changes in Net OPEB Liability 2
2. Deferred Inflows and Outflows 2
3. OPEB Expense 3
4. Adjustments 3
5. Trend and Interest Rate Sensitivities 3
D. DESCRIPTION OF RETIREE BENEFITS 5
E. SUMMARY OF VALUATION DATA 5
F. CERTIFICATION 6

PART II: LIABILITIES AND COSTS FOR RETIREE BENEFITS 8

A. INTRODUCTION. 8
B. LIABILITY FOR RETIREE BENEFITS. 8
C. ACTUARIAL ACCRUAL 9
D. ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS 9
E. TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY 10
F. VALUATION RESULTS 11
1. Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments (APVPBP) 11
2. Service Cost 11
3. Total OPEB Liability and Net OPEB Liability 12
4. "Pay As You Go" Projection of Retiree Benefit Payments 12
G. ADDITIONAL RECONCILIATION OF GASB 75 RESULTS 13
H. PROCEDURES FOR FUTURE VALUATIONS 14

PART III: ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS 15

A. ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS: 15
B. ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS: 16
C. NON-ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS: 17

PART IV: APPENDICES 20

APPENDIX A: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA BY AGE 20
APPENDIX B: ADMINISTRATIVE BEST PRACTICES 21
APPENDIX C: GASB 74/75 ACCOUNTING ENTRIES AND DISCLOSURES 22
APPENDIX D: DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES 26
APPENDIX E: GLOSSARY OF RETIREE HEALTH VALUATION TERMS 29

Menlo Park City School District
Actuarial Study of Retiree Health Liabilities

PART I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Introduction

This report was produced by Total Compensation Systems, Inc. for Menlo Park City School District to determine the liabilities associated with its current retiree health program as of a June 30, 2020 measurement date and to provide the necessary information to determine accounting entries for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2021. This report may not be suitable for other purposes such as determining employer contributions or assessing the potential impact of changes in plan design.

Different users of this report will likely be interested in different sections of information contained within. We anticipate that the following portions may be of most interest depending on the reader:

- A high level comparison of key results from the current year to the prior year is shown on this page.
- The values we anticipate will be disclosed in the June 30, 2021 year-end financials are shown on pages 2 and 3.
- Additional accounting information is shown on page 13 and Appendices C and D.
- Description and details of measured valuation liabilities can be found beginning on page 11.
- Guidance regarding the next actuarial valuation for the June 30, 2021 measurement date is provided on page 14.

B. Key Results

Menlo Park City SD uses an Actuarial Measurement Date that is 12 months prior to its Fiscal Year-End. This means that these actuarial results measured as of June 30, 2020 will be used on a look back basis for the June 30, 2021 Fiscal Year-End.

Key Results	**Current Year** *June 30, 2020 Measurement Date* *for June 30, 2021 Fiscal Year-End*	**Prior Year** *June 30, 2019 Measurement Date* *for June 30, 2020 Fiscal Year-End*
Total OPEB Liability (TOL)	$8,739,636	$8,449,498
Fiduciary Net Position (FNP)	$2,490,372	$2,268,442
Net OPEB Liability (NOL)	$6,249,264	$6,181,056
Service Cost *(for year following)*	$220,374	$214,476
Estimated Pay-as-you-go Cost *(for year following)*	$512,946	$505,613
GASB 75 OPEB Expense *(for year ending)*	$601,331	$617,728

Refer to results section beginning on page 11 or the glossary on page 29 for descriptions of the above items.

Key Assumptions	**Current Year** *June 30, 2020 Measurement Date* *for June 30, 2021 Fiscal Year-End*	**Prior Year** *June 30, 2019 Measurement Date* *for June 30, 2020 Fiscal Year-End*
Valuation Interest Rate	7.00%	7.00%
Expected Rate of Return on Assets	7.00%	7.00%
Long-Term Medical Trend Rate	4.00%	4.00%
Projected Payroll Growth	2.75%	2.75%

C. Summary of GASB 75 Accounting Results

1. Changes in Net OPEB Liability

The following table shows the reconciliation of the June 30, 2019 Net OPEB Liability (NOL) in the prior valuation to the June 30, 2020 NOL. A more detailed version of this table can be found on page 13.

	TOL	***FNP***	***NOL***
Balance at June 30, 2019 Measurement Date	**$8,449,498**	**$2,268,442**	**$6,181,056**
Service Cost	$214,476	$0	$214,476
Interest on TOL / Return on FNP	$581,275	$83,320	$497,955
Employer Contributions	$0	$517,221	($517,221)
Benefit Payments	($377,459)	($377,459)	$0
Administrative Expenses	$0	($1,152)	$1,152
Experience (Gains)/Losses	($128,154)	$0	($128,154)
Changes in Assumptions	$0	$0	$0
Other	$0	$0	$0
Net Change	$290,138	$221,930	$68,208
Actual Balance at June 30, 2020 Measurement Date	**$8,739,636**	**$2,490,372**	**$6,249,264**

2. Deferred Inflows and Outflows

Changes in the NOL arising from certain sources are recognized on a deferred basis. The following tables show the balance of each deferral item as of the measurement date and the scheduled future recognition. A reconciliation of these balances can be found on page 13 while the complete deferral history is shown beginning on page 26.

Balances at June 30, 2021 Fiscal Year-End	*Deferred Outflows*	*Deferred Inflows*
Differences between expected and actual experience	$0	($406,793)
Changes in assumptions	$0	$0
Differences between projected and actual return on assets	$74,391	($4,250)
Total	$74,391	($411,043)

To be recognized fiscal year ending June 30:	*Deferred Outflows*	*Deferred Inflows*
2022	$19,443	($51,373)
2023	$19,443	($51,373)
2024	$19,443	($49,248)
2025	$16,062	($49,248)
2026	$0	($49,248)
Thereafter	$0	($160,553)
Total	$74,391	($411,043)

3. OPEB Expense

Under GASB 74 and 75, OPEB expense includes service cost, interest cost, administrative expenses, and change in TOL due to plan changes, adjusted for deferred inflows and outflows. OPEB expense can also be derived as change in net position, adjusted for employer contributions, which can be found on page 13.

To be recognized fiscal year ending June 30, 2021	*Expense Component*
Service Cost	$214,476
Interest Cost	$581,275
Expected Return on Assets	($163,642)
Administrative Expenses	$1,152
Recognition of Experience (Gain)/Loss Deferrals	($49,248)
Recognition of Assumption Change Deferrals	$0
Recognition of Investment (Gain)/Loss Deferrals	$17,318
Employee Contributions	$0
Changes in Benefit Terms	$0
Net OPEB Expense for fiscal year ending June 30, 2021	$601,331

***** May include a slight rounding error.

4. Adjustments

The above OPEB expense includes all deferred inflows and outflows except any contributions after the measurement date. Contributions from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021 minus prior contributions after the measurement date of $517,221 should also be reflected in OPEB expense. June 30, 2021 deferred outflows should include contributions from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021.

The amounts reflected in Menlo Park City SD's June 30, 2020 audited financial statements do not match what was included in the valuation report with a June 30, 2019 measurement date. Consequently, the above OPEB expenses, TOL Change and/or FNP change cannot be reflected directly in Menlo Park City SD's journal entries. The following tables detail discrepancies between the June 30, 2019 numbers contained in the valuation report and what was reported in the June 30, 2020 audit report.

Adjustments to Net OPEB Liability as of Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2020

	TOL	***FNP***	***NOL***
Valuation Report Balance as of June 30, 2019	$8,449,498	$2,268,442	$6,181,056
Reported Balance FYE June 30, 2020	8,451,198	2,270,142	6,181,056
Adjustment Needed to Match Valuation	-1,700	-1,700	0

Deferred (Inflow)/Outflow Balances as of Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2020

	Valuation Beginning Balance	***Audit Report Beginning Balance***	***Difference***
Experience (Gains)/Losses	-327,887	-174,493	-153,394
Assumption Changes	0	0	0
Investment (Gains)/Losses	7,137	7,137	0
Deferral Balance Total	-320,750	-167,356	-153,394

5. Trend and Interest Rate Sensitivities

The following presents what the Net OPEB Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate assumption or a healthcare trend rate assumption one percent higher or lower than the current assumption.

Net OPEB Liability at June 30, 2020 Measurement Date	*Discount Rate*	*Healthcare Trend Rate*

1% Decrease in Assumption	$7,219,088	$5,975,723
Current Assumption	$6,249,264	$6,249,264
1% Increase in Assumption	$5,437,507	$6,792,054

D. Description of Retiree Benefits

Following is a description of the current retiree benefit plan:

	Certificated	*Classified*
Benefit types provided	Medical and Medicare Part B only*	Medical and Medicare Part B only*
Duration of Benefits	Lifetime**	Lifetime
Required Service	5 years at age 60; Prior to age 60, one additional year per year prior to age 60***	5 years at age 60; Prior to age 60, one additional year per year prior to age 60****
Minimum Age	55	50****
Dependent Coverage	No	No
District Contribution %	100%	100%
District Cap	$325 per month*	$341 per month*

*Up to 5 years of dental benefits may be provided to those retiring prior to 4/1/07. For classified retirees, the dental premium is subject to the cap. For certificated retirees, it is not.
**Those hired after 4/1/07 receive only CalPERS statutory minimum after Medicare age
***Also requires an additional 5 years of service either at Menlo Park City SD or another public school district.
****For those hired after 4/1/07, minimum of 20 years and age 60.

E. Summary of Valuation Data

Because this is a roll-forward valuation, this report is based on census data previously provided to us as of July, 2019 for the June 30, 2019 full valuation. Distributions of participants by age and service can be found on page 20.

	Valuation Year *June 30, 2019 Valuation Date* *June 30, 2020 Measurement Date*
Active Employees eligible for future benefits	
Count	342
Average Age	46.2
Average Years of Service	9.4
Retirees currently receiving benefits	
Count	126
Average Age	74.7

We were not provided with information about any terminated, vested employees.

F. Certification

The actuarial information in this report is intended solely to assist Menlo Park City SD in complying with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Accounting Statement 74 and 75 and, unless otherwise stated, fully and fairly discloses actuarial information required for compliance. Nothing in this report should be construed as an accounting opinion, accounting advice or legal advice. TCS recommends that third parties retain their own actuary or other qualified professionals when reviewing this report. TCS's work is prepared solely for the use and benefit of Menlo Park City SD. Release of this report may be subject to provisions of the Agreement between Menlo Park City SD and TCS. No third party recipient of this report product should rely on the report for any purpose other than accounting compliance. Any other use of this report is unauthorized without first consulting with TCS.

This report is for fiscal year July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021, using a measurement date of June 30, 2020. The calculations in this report have been made based on our understanding of plan provisions and actual practice at the time we were provided the required information. We relied on information provided by Menlo Park City SD. Much or all of this information was unaudited at the time of our evaluation. We reviewed the information provided for reasonableness, but this review should not be viewed as fulfilling any audit requirements. We relied on the following materials to complete this study:

- We used paper reports and digital files containing participant demographic data from the District personnel records.
- We used relevant sections of collective bargaining agreements provided by the District.

All costs, liabilities, and other estimates are based on actuarial assumptions and methods that comply with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). Each assumption is deemed to be reasonable by itself, taking into account plan experience and reasonable future expectations and in combination represent our estimate of anticipated experience of the Plan.

This report contains estimates of the Plan's financial condition and future results only as of a single date. Future results can vary dramatically and the accuracy of estimates contained in this report depends on the actuarial assumptions used. This valuation cannot predict the Plan's future condition nor guarantee its future financial soundness. Actuarial valuations do not affect the ultimate cost of Plan benefits, only the timing of Plan contributions. While the valuation is based on individually reasonable assumptions, other assumption sets may also be reasonable and valuation results based on those assumptions would be different. Determining results using alternative assumptions (except for the alternate discount and trend rates shown in this report) is outside the scope of our engagement.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from those presented in this report due to factors such as, but not limited to, the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the measurement methodology (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. We were not asked to perform analyses to estimate the potential range of such future measurements.

The signing actuary is independent of Menlo Park City SD and any plan sponsor. TCS does not intend to benefit from and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this report. TCS is not aware of any relationship that would impair the objectivity of the opinion.

On the basis of the foregoing, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this report is complete and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices and all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice. My experience and continuing education are consistent with the

requirements described for actuaries under the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries.

Respectfully submitted,



Geoffrey L. Kischuk
Actuary
Total Compensation Systems, Inc.
(805) 496-1700

PART II: LIABILITIES AND COSTS FOR RETIREE BENEFITS

A. Introduction.

We calculated the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments (APVPBP) separately for each participant. We determined eligibility for retiree benefits based on information supplied by Menlo Park City SD. We then selected assumptions that, based on plan provisions and our training and experience, represent our best prediction of future plan experience. For each participant, we applied the appropriate assumption factors based on the participant's age, sex, length of service, and employee classification.

The actuarial assumptions used for this study are summarized beginning on page 15.

B. Liability for Retiree Benefits.

For each participant, we projected future premium costs using an assumed trend rate (see Appendix C). To the extent Menlo Park City SD uses contribution caps, the influence of the trend factor is further reduced. We multiplied each year's benefit payments by the probability that benefits will be paid; i.e. based on the probability that the participant is living, has not terminated employment, has retired and remains eligible. The probability that benefit will be paid is zero if the participant is not eligible. The participant is not eligible if s/he has not met minimum service, minimum age or, if applicable, maximum age requirements.

The product of each year's benefit payments and the probability the benefit will be paid equals the expected cost for that year. We multiplied the above expected cost figures by the probability that the retiree would elect coverage. A retiree may not elect to be covered if retiree health coverage is available less expensively from another source (e.g. Medicare risk contract) or the retiree is covered under a spouse's plan. Finally, we discounted the expected cost for each year to the measurement date June 30, 2020 at 7.00% interest.

For any ***current retirees***, the approach used was similar. The major difference is that the probability of payment for current retirees depends only on mortality and age restrictions (i.e. for retired employees the probability of being retired and of not being terminated are always both 100%).

The value generated from the process described above is called the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments (APVPBP). We added APVPBP for each participant to get the total APVPBP for all participants which is the estimated present value of all future retiree health benefits for all **current** participants. The APVPBP is the amount on June 30, 2020 that, if all actuarial assumptions are exactly right, would be sufficient to expense all promised benefits until the last participant dies or reaches the maximum eligibility age. However, for most actuarial and accounting purposes, the APVPBP is not used directly but is instead apportioned over the lifetime of each participant as described in the following sections.

C. Actuarial Accrual

Accounting principles provide that the cost of retiree benefits should be "accrued" over employees' working lifetime. For this reason, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued in June of 2015 Accounting Standards 74 and 75 for retiree health benefits. These standards apply to all public employers that pay any part of the cost of retiree health benefits for current or future retirees (including early retirees), whether they pay directly or indirectly (via an "implicit rate subsidy").

To actuarially accrue retiree health benefits requires determining the amount to expense each year so that the liability accumulated at retirement is, on average, sufficient (with interest) to cover all retiree health expenditures without the need for additional expenses. There are many different ways to determine the annual accrual amount. The calculation method used is called an "actuarial cost method" and uses the APVPBP to develop expense and liability figures. Furthermore, the APVPBP should be accrued over the working lifetime of employees.

In order to accrue the APVPBP over the working lifetime of employees, actuarial cost methods apportion the APVPBP into two parts: the portions attributable to service rendered prior to the measurement date (the past service liability or Total OPEB Liability (TOL) under GASB 74 and 75) and to service after the measurement date but prior to retirement (the future service liability or present value of future service costs). Of the future service liability, the portion attributable to the single year immediately following the measurement date is known as the normal cost or Service Cost under GASB 74 and 75.

The service cost can be thought of as the value of the benefit earned each year if benefits are accrued during the working lifetime of employees. The actuarial cost method mandated by GASB 75 is the "entry age actuarial cost method". Under the entry age actuarial cost method, the actuary determines the service cost as the annual amount needing to be expensed from hire until retirement to fully accrue the cost of retiree health benefits. Under GASB 75, the service cost is calculated to be a level percentage of each employee's projected pay.

D. Actuarial Assumptions

The APVPBP and service cost are determined using several key assumptions:

- The current ***cost of retiree health benefits*** (often varying by age, Medicare status and/or dependent coverage). The higher the current cost of retiree benefits, the higher the service cost.
- The ***"trend" rate*** at which retiree health benefits are expected to increase over time. A higher trend rate increases the service cost. A "cap" on District contributions can reduce trend to zero once the cap is reached thereby dramatically reducing service costs.
- ***Mortality rates*** varying by age and sex (and sometimes retirement or disability status). If employees die prior to retirement, past contributions are available to fund benefits for employees who live to retirement. After retirement, death results in benefit termination or reduction. Although higher mortality rates reduce service costs, the mortality assumption is not likely to vary from employer to employer.
- ***Employment termination rates*** have the same effect as mortality inasmuch as higher termination rates reduce service costs. Employment termination can vary considerably between public agencies.
- The ***service requirement*** reflects years of service required to earn full or partial retiree benefits. While a longer service requirement reduces costs, cost reductions are not usually substantial unless the service period exceeds 20 years of service.

- ***Retirement rates*** determine what proportion of employees retire at each age (assuming employees reach the requisite length of service). Retirement rates often vary by employee classification and implicitly reflect the minimum retirement age required for eligibility. Retirement rates also depend on the amount of pension benefits available. Higher retirement rates increase service costs but, except for differences in minimum retirement age, retirement rates tend to be consistent between public agencies for each employee type.

- ***Participation rates*** indicate what proportion of retirees are expected to elect retiree health benefits if a significant retiree contribution is required. Higher participation rates increase costs.

- The ***discount rate*** estimates investment earnings for assets earmarked to cover retiree health benefit liabilities. The discount rate depends on the nature of underlying assets for funded plans. The rate used for a funded plan is the **real** rate of return expected for plan assets plus the long term inflation assumption. For an unfunded plan, the discount rate is based on an index of 20 year General Obligation municipal bonds rated AA or higher. For partially funded plans, the discount rate is a blend of the funded and unfunded rates.

E. Total OPEB Liability

The assumptions listed above are not exhaustive, but are the most common assumptions used in actuarial cost calculations. If all actuarial assumptions are exactly met and an employer expensed the service cost every year for all past and current employees and retirees, a sizeable liability would have accumulated (after adding interest and subtracting retiree benefit costs). The liability that would have accumulated is called the Total OPEB Liability (TOL). The excess of TOL over the value of plan assets is called the Net OPEB Liability (NOL). Under GASB 74 and 75, in order for assets to count toward offsetting the TOL, the assets have to be held in an irrevocable trust that is safe from creditors and can only be used to provide OPEB benefits to eligible participants.

Changes in the TOL can arise in several ways - e.g., as a result of plan changes or changes in actuarial assumptions. Change in the TOL can also arise from actuarial gains and losses. Actuarial gains and losses result from differences between actuarial assumptions and actual plan experience. GASB 75 allows certain changes in the TOL to be deferred (i.e. deferred inflows and outflows of resources).

Under GASB 74 and 75, a portion of actuarial gains and losses can be deferred as follows:

- Investment gains and losses are deferred five years.

- Experience gains and losses are deferred over the Expected Average Remaining Service Lives (EARSL) of plan participants. In calculating the EARSL, terminated employees (primarily retirees) are considered to have a working lifetime of zero. This often makes the EARSL quite short.

- Liability changes resulting from changes in economic and demographic assumptions are also deferred based on the EARSL.

- Liability changes resulting from plan changes, for example, cannot be deferred.

F. Valuation Results

This section details the measured values of the concepts described on the previous pages. Because this is a roll-forward valuation, the results shown in this section do not match the overall results as of the measurement date.

1. Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments (APVPBP)

Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments as of June 30, 2019 Valuation Date

	Total	*Certificated*	*Classified*	*Management*
Active: Pre-65 Benefit	$1,497,058	$1,038,068	$347,463	$111,527
Post-65 Benefit	$4,042,469	$2,348,144	$1,419,847	$274,478
Subtotal	$5,539,527	$3,386,212	$1,767,310	$386,005
Retiree: Pre-65 Benefit	$129,849	$87,698	$22,846	$19,305
Post-65 Benefit	$4,491,536	$2,941,691	$1,032,009	$517,836
Subtotal	$4,621,385	$3,029,389	$1,054,855	$537,141
Grand Total	$10,160,912	$6,415,601	$2,822,165	$923,146
Subtotal Pre-65 Benefit	$1,626,907	$1,125,766	$370,309	$130,832
Subtotal Post-65 Benefit	$8,534,005	$5,289,835	$2,451,856	$792,314

2. Service Cost

The service cost represents the value of the benefit earned during a single year of employment. It is the APVPBP spread over the expected working lifetime of the employee and divided into annual segments. We applied an "entry age" actuarial cost method to determine funding rates for active employees. The table below summarizes the calculated service cost.

Service Cost Valuation Year Beginning July 1, 2019

	Total	*Certificated*	*Classified*	*Management*
# of Eligible Employees	342	198	120	24
First Year Service Cost				
Pre-65 Benefit	$58,314	$34,650	$19,800	$3,864
Post-65 Benefit	$156,162	$83,754	$60,840	$11,568
Total	$214,476	$118,404	$80,640	$15,432

Accruing retiree health benefit costs using service costs levels out the cost of retiree health benefits over time and more fairly reflects the value of benefits "earned" each year by employees. While the service cost for each employee is targeted to remain level as a percentage of covered payroll, the service cost as a dollar amount would increase each year based on covered payroll. Additionally, the overall service cost may grow or shrink based on changes in the demographic makeup of the employees from year to year.

3. Total OPEB Liability and Net OPEB Liability

If actuarial assumptions are borne out by experience, the District will fully accrue retiree benefits by expensing an amount each year that equals the service cost. If no accruals had taken place in the past, there would be a shortfall of many years' accruals, accumulated interest and forfeitures for terminated or deceased employees. This shortfall is called the Total OPEB Liability. We calculated the Total OPEB Liability (TOL) as the APVPBP minus the present value of future service costs. To the extent that benefits are funded through a GASB 74 qualifying trust, the trust's Fiduciary Net Position (FNP) is subtracted to get the NOL. The FNP is the value of assets adjusted for any applicable payables and receivables as shown in the table on page 16.

Total OPEB Liability and Net OPEB Liability as of June 30, 2019 Valuation Date

	Total	***Certificated***	***Classified***	***Management***
Active: Pre-65 Benefit	1,026,703	$730,580	$217,911	$78,212
Active: Post-65 Benefit	$2,801,410	$1,604,902	$1,021,769	$174,739
Subtotal	$3,828,113	$2,335,482	$1,239,680	$252,951
Retiree: Pre-65 Benefit	$129,849	$87,698	$22,846	$19,305
Retiree: Post-65 Benefit	$4,491,536	$2,941,691	$1,032,009	$517,836
Subtotal	$4,621,385	$3,029,389	$1,054,855	$537,141
Subtotal: Pre-65 Benefit	$1,156,552	$818,278	$240,757	$97,517
Subtotal: Post-65 Benefit	$7,292,946	$4,546,593	$2,053,778	$692,575
Total OPEB Liability (TOL)	$8,449,498	$5,364,871	$2,294,535	$790,092
Fiduciary Net Position as of June 30, 2019	$2,268,442			
Net OPEB Liability (NOL)	$6,181,056			

4. "Pay As You Go" Projection of Retiree Benefit Payments

We used the actuarial assumptions shown in Appendix C to project the District's ten year retiree benefit outlay. Because these cost estimates reflect average assumptions applied to a relatively small number of participants, estimates for individual years are **certain** to be ***in***accurate. However, these estimates show the size of cash outflow.

The following table shows a projection of annual amounts needed to pay the District's share of retiree health costs.

Year Beginning July 1	***Total***	***Certificated***	***Classified***	***Management***
2019	$505,613	$328,995	$123,251	$53,367
2020	$512,946	$331,899	$128,021	$53,026
2021	$536,840	$342,968	$140,250	$53,622
2022	$566,499	$356,978	$154,568	$54,953
2023	$591,603	$370,676	$165,525	$55,402
2024	$616,650	$383,641	$175,723	$57,286
2025	$638,440	$395,806	$184,558	$58,076
2026	$654,912	$404,276	$191,776	$58,860
2027	$667,907	$409,174	$198,099	$60,634
2028	$683,967	$417,180	$204,198	$62,589

G. Additional Reconciliation of GASB 75 Results

The following table shows the reconciliation of the June 30, 2019 Net OPEB Liability (NOL) in the prior valuation to the June 30, 2020 NOL. For some plans, it will provide additional detail and transparency beyond that shown in the table on Page 2.

	TOL	*FNP*	*NOL*
Balance at June 30, 2019	**$8,449,498**	**$2,268,442**	**$6,181,056**
Service Cost	$214,476	$0	$214,476
Interest on Total OPEB Liability	$581,275	$0	$581,275
Expected Investment Income	$0	$163,642	($163,642)
Administrative Expenses	$0	($1,152)	$1,152
Employee Contributions	$0	$0	$0
Employer Contributions to Trust	$0	$139,762	($139,762)
Employer Contributions as Benefit Payments	$0	$377,459	($377,459)
Actual Benefit Payments from Trust	$0	$0	$0
Actual Benefit Payments from Employer	($377,459)	($377,459)	$0
Expected Minus Actual Benefit Payments**	($128,154)	$0	($128,154)
Expected Balance at June 30, 2020	**$8,739,636**	**$2,570,694**	**$6,168,942**
Experience (Gains)/Losses	$0	$0	$0
Changes in Assumptions	$0	$0	$0
Changes in Benefit Terms	$0	$0	$0
Investment Gains/(Losses)	$0	($80,322)	$80,322
Other	$0	$0	$0
Net Change during 2020	$290,138	$221,930	$68,208
Actual Balance at June 30, 2020*	**$8,739,636**	**$2,490,372**	**$6,249,264**

***** May include a slight rounding error.
****** Deferrable as an Experience Gain or Loss.

Changes in the NOL arising from certain sources are recognized on a deferred basis. The deferral history for Menlo Park City SD is shown beginning on page 26. The following table summarizes the beginning and ending balances for each deferral item. The current year expense reflects the change in deferral balances for the measurement year.

Deferred Inflow/Outflow Balances Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2021

	Beginning Balance	*Change Due to New Deferrals*	*Change Due to Recognition*	*Ending Balance*
Experience (Gains)/Losses	($327,887)	($128,154)	$49,248	($406,793)
Assumption Changes	$0	$0	$0	$0
Investment (Gains)/Losses	$7,137	$80,322	($17,318)	$70,141
Deferred Balances	($320,750)	($47,832)	$31,930	($336,652)

The following table shows the reconciliation of Net Position (NOL less the balance of any deferred inflows or outflows). When adjusted for contributions, the change in Net Position is equal to the OPEB expense shown previously on page 3.

Preliminary OPEB Expense Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2021

	Beginning Net Position	*Ending Net Position*	*Change*
Net OPEB Liability (NOL)	$6,181,056	$6,249,264	$68,208
Deferred Balances	($320,750)	($336,652)	($15,902)
Net Position	$6,501,806	$6,585,916	$84,110
Adjust Out Employer Contributions			$517,221
OPEB Expense			$601,331

H. Procedures for Future Valuations

GASB 74/75 require annual measurements of liability with a full actuarial valuation required every two years. This means that for the measurement date one year following a full actuarial valuation, a streamlined "roll-forward" valuation may be performed in place of a full valuation. The following outlines the key differences between full and roll-forward valuations.

	Full Actuarial Valuation	Roll-Forward Valuation
Collect New Census Data	Yes	No
Reflect Updates to Plan Design	Yes	No
Update Actuarial Assumptions	Yes	Typically Not
Update Valuation Interest Rate	Yes	Yes
Actual Assets as of Measurement Date	Yes	Yes
Timing	4-6 weeks after information is received	1-2 weeks after information is received
Fees	Full	Reduced
Information Needed from Employer	Moderate	Minimal
Required Frequency	At least every two years	Each year, unless a full valuation is performed

The majority of employers use an alternating cycle of a full valuation one year followed by a roll-forward valuation the next year. However, a full valuation may be required or preferred under certain circumstances. Following are examples of actions that could cause the employer to consider a full valuation instead of a roll-forward valuation.

- The employer considers or puts in place an early retirement incentive program.
- The employer considers or implements changes to retiree benefit provisions or eligibility requirements.
- The employer desires the measured liability to incorporate more recent census data or assumptions.
- The employer forms a qualifying trust or changes its investment policy.
- The employer adds or terminates a group of participants that constitutes a significant part of the covered group.

We anticipate that the next valuation we perform for Menlo Park City SD will be a full valuation with a measurement date of June 30, 2021 which will be used for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022.

PART III: ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Following is a summary of actuarial assumptions and methods used in this study. The District should carefully review these assumptions and methods to make sure they reflect the District's assessment of its underlying experience. It is important for Menlo Park City SD to understand that the appropriateness of all selected actuarial assumptions and methods are Menlo Park City SD's responsibility. Unless otherwise disclosed in this report, TCS believes that all methods and assumptions are within a reasonable range based on the provisions of GASB 74 and 75, applicable actuarial standards of practice, Menlo Park City SD's actual historical experience, and TCS's judgment based on experience and training.

A. ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

ACTUARIAL COST METHOD: GASB 74 and 75 require use of the entry age actuarial cost method.

Entry age is based on the age at hire for eligible employees. The attribution period is determined as the difference between the expected retirement age and the age at hire. The APVPBP and present value of future service costs are determined on a participant by participant basis and then aggregated.

SUBSTANTIVE PLAN: As required under GASB 74 and 75, we based the valuation on the substantive plan. The formulation of the substantive plan was based on a review of written plan documents as well as historical information provided by Menlo Park City SD regarding practices with respect to employer and employee contributions and other relevant factors.

B. ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS:

Economic assumptions are set under the guidance of Actuarial Standard of Practice 27 (ASOP 27). Among other things, ASOP 27 provides that economic assumptions should reflect a consistent underlying rate of general inflation. For that reason, we show our assumed long-term inflation rate below.

INFLATION: We assumed 2.75% per year used for pension purposes. Actuarial standards require using the same rate for OPEB that is used for pension.

INVESTMENT RETURN / DISCOUNT RATE: We assumed 7.00% per year net of expenses. This is based on assumed long-term return on employer assets.. We used the "Building Block Method". (See Appendix C, Paragraph 53 for more information). Our assessment of long-term returns for employer assets is based on long-term historical returns for surplus funds invested pursuant to California Government Code Sections 53601 et seq.

TREND: We assumed 4.00% per year. Our long-term trend assumption is based on the conclusion that, while medical trend will continue to be cyclical, the average increase over time cannot continue to outstrip general inflation by a wide margin. Trend increases in excess of general inflation result in dramatic increases in unemployment, the number of uninsured and the number of underinsured. These effects are nearing a tipping point which will inevitably result in fundamental changes in health care finance and/or delivery which will bring increases in health care costs more closely in line with general inflation. We do not believe it is reasonable to project historical trend vs. inflation differences several decades into the future.

PAYROLL INCREASE: We assumed 2.75% per year. Since benefits do not depend on salary (as they do for pensions), using an aggregate payroll assumption for the purpose of calculating the service cost results in a negligible error.

FIDUCIARY NET POSITION (FNP): The following table shows the beginning and ending FNP numbers that were provided by Menlo Park City SD.

Fiduciary Net Position as of June 30, 2020

	06/30/2019	*06/30/2020*
Cash and Equivalents	$0	$0
Contributions Receivable	$0	$0
Total Investments	$2,268,442	$2,490,372
Capital Assets	$0	$0
Total Assets	$2,268,442	$2,490,372
Benefits Payable	$0	$0
Fiduciary Net Position	$2,268,442	$2,490,372

C. NON-ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS:

Economic assumptions are set under the guidance of Actuarial Standard of Practice 35 (ASOP 35). See Appendix C, Paragraph 52 for more information.

MORTALITY

Participant Type	*Mortality Tables*
Certificated	2009 CalSTRS Mortality
Classified	2014 CalPERS Active Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees

RETIREMENT RATES

Employee Type	*Retirement Rate Tables*
Certificated	2009 CalSTRS Retirement Rates
Classified	Hired before 2013: 2009 CalPERS Retirement Rates for School Employees Hired after 2012: 2009 CalPERS 2%@60 Retirement Rates adjusted for minimum retirement age of 52

SERVICE REQUIREMENT

Employee Type	*Service Requirement Tables*
Certificated	Stautory Minimum Benefits: 100% at 5 Years of Service Additional benefits: 100% at 10 Years of Public School Service, 5 of which must be with District One additional year of service is required for each year before age 60
Classified	Stautory Minimum Benefits: 100% at 5 Years of Service Additional benefits: Hired <4/1/07: 100% at 10 Years of Public School Service, 5 of which must be with District One additional year of service is required for each year before age 60 Hired on or after 4/1/07: 20 Years of Service
Management	Above as appropriate

COSTS FOR RETIREE COVERAGE

Actuarial Standard of Practice 6 (ASOP 6) provides that, as a general rule, retiree costs should be based on actual claim costs or age-adjusted premiums. This is true even for many medical plans that are commonly considered to be "community-rated." However, ASOP 6 contains a provision – specifically section 3.7.7(c) – that allows use of unadjusted premiums in certain circumstances.

It is my opinion that the section 3.7.7(c)(4) exception allows use of unadjusted premium for PEMHCA agencies if certain conditions are met. Following are the criteria we applied to Menlo Park City SD to determine that it is reasonable to assume that Menlo Park City SD's future participation in PEMHCA is likely and that the CalPERS medical program as well as its premium structure are sustainable. (We also have an extensive white paper on this subject that provides a basis for our rationale entirely within the context of ASOP 6. We will make this white paper available upon request.)

- **Plan qualifies as a "pooled health plan."** ASOP 6 defines a "pooled health plan" as one in which premiums are based at least in part on the claims experience of groups other than the one being valued." Since CalPERS rates are the same for all employers in each region, rates are clearly based on the experience of many groups.

- **Rates not based to any extent on the agency's claim experience.** As mentioned above, rates are the same for all participating employers regardless of claim experience or size.

- **Rates not based to any extent on the agency's demographics.** As mentioned above, rates are the same for all participating employers regardless of demographics.

- **No refunds or charges based on the agency's claim experience or demographics.** The terms of operation of the CalPERS program are set by statute and there is no provision for any refunds and charges that vary from employer to employer for any reason. The only charges are uniform administrative charges.

- **Plan in existence 20 or more years.** Enabling legislation to allow "contracting agencies" to participate in the CalPERS program was passed in 1967. The CalPERS medical plan has been successfully operating for almost 50 years. As far back as we can obtain records, the rating structure has been consistent, with the only difference having been a move to regional rating which is unrelated to age-adjusted rating.

- **No recent large increases or decreases in the number of participating plans or enrollment.** The CalPERS medical plan has shown remarkably stable enrollment. In the past 10 years, there has been small growth in the number of employers in most years – with the maximum being a little over 2% and a very small decrease in one year. Average year over year growth in the number of employers over the last 10 years has been about 0.75% per year. Groups have been consistently leaving the CalPERS medical plan while other groups have been joining with no disruption to its stability.

- **Agency is not expecting to leave plan in foreseeable future.** The District does not plan to leave CalPERS at present.

- **No indication the plan will be discontinued.** We are unaware of anything that would cause the CalPERS medical plan to cease or to significantly change its operation in a way that would affect this determination.

- **The agency does not represent a large part of the pool.** The District is in the CalPERS Bay Area region. Based on the information we have, the District constitutes no more than 0.4% of the Bay Area pool. In our opinion, this is not enough for the District to have a measurable effect on the rates or viability of the Bay Area pool.

Retiree liabilities are based on actual retiree costs. Liabilities for active participants are based on the first year costs shown below. Subsequent years' costs are based on first year costs adjusted for trend and limited by any District contribution caps.

Participant Type	*Future Retirees Pre-65*	*Future Retirees Post-65*
Certificated	Supplemental: $3,900	Statutory Minimum: $1,650 Supplemental: $3,900
Classified	Supplemental: $4,092	Statutory Minimum: $1,650 Supplemental: $4,092

PARTICIPATION RATES

Employee Type	*<65 Non-Medicare Participation %*	*65+ Medicare Participation %*
Certificated	Statutory minimum: 80% Supplemental benefit: 95%	Statutory minimum: 80% Supplemental benefit: 95%
Classified	Statutory minimum: 70% Supplemental benefit: 90%	Statutory minimum: 70% Supplemental benefit: 90%

TURNOVER

Employee Type	*Turnover Rate Tables*
Certificated	2009 CalSTRS Termination Rates
Classified	2009 CalPERS Termination Rates for School Employees

SPOUSE PREVALENCE

To the extent not provided and when needed to calculate benefit liabilities, 80% of retirees assumed to be married at retirement. After retirement, the percentage married is adjusted to reflect mortality.

SPOUSE AGES

To the extent spouse dates of birth are not provided and when needed to calculate benefit liabilities, female spouse assumed to be three years younger than male.

PART IV: APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA BY AGE

ELIGIBLE ACTIVE EMPLOYEES BY AGE AND EMPLOYEE CLASS

Age	*Total*	*Certificated*	*Classified*	*Management*
Under 25	4	0	4	0
25 – 29	16	5	11	0
30 – 34	37	19	16	2
35 – 39	50	40	9	1
40 – 44	49	27	16	6
45 – 49	58	37	12	9
50 – 54	47	31	12	4
55 – 59	50	27	21	2
60 – 64	22	11	11	0
65 and older	9	1	8	0
Total	342	198	120	24

ELIGIBLE ACTIVE EMPLOYEES BY AGE AND SERVICE

	Total	*Under 5 Years of Service*	*5 – 9 Years of Service*	*10 – 14 Years of Service*	*15 –19 Years of Service*	*20 – 24 Years of Service*	*25 – 29 Years of Service*	*30 – 34 Years of Service*	*Over 34 Years of Service*
Under 25	4	4							
25 – 29	16	12	4						
30 – 34	37	23	12	1	1				
35 – 39	50	23	10	17					
40 – 44	49	18	9	11	10		1		
45 – 49	58	22	16	12	4	4			
50 – 54	47	15	5	6	8	12	1		
55 – 59	50	14	9	10	5	9	2	1	
60 – 64	22	4	2	3	7	4		2	
65 and older	9	2		3	4				
Total	342	137	67	63	39	29	4	3	0

ELIGIBLE RETIREES BY AGE AND EMPLOYEE CLASS

Age	*Total*	*Certificated*	*Classified*	*Management*
Under 50	0	0	0	0
50 – 54	0	0	0	0
55 – 59	2	2	0	0
60 – 64	16	11	3	2
65 – 69	27	20	6	1
70 – 74	25	15	6	4
75 – 79	23	11	8	4
80 – 84	17	12	4	1
85 – 89	10	8	2	0
90 and older	6	3	3	0
Total	126	82	32	12

APPENDIX B: ADMINISTRATIVE BEST PRACTICES

It is outside the scope of this report to make specific recommendations of actions Menlo Park City SD should take to manage the liability created by the current retiree health program. The following items are intended only to allow the District to get more information from this and future studies. Because we have not conducted a comprehensive administrative audit of Menlo Park City SD's practices, it is possible that Menlo Park City SD is already complying with some or all of these suggestions.

- We suggest that Menlo Park City SD maintain an inventory of all benefits and services provided to retirees – whether contractually or not and whether retiree-paid or not. For each, Menlo Park City SD should determine whether the benefit is material and subject to GASB 74 and/or 75.

- Under GASB 75, it is important to isolate the cost of retiree health benefits. Menlo Park City SD should have all premiums, claims and expenses for retirees separated from active employee premiums, claims, expenses, etc. To the extent any retiree benefits are made available to retirees over the age of 65 – ***even on a retiree-pay-all basis*** – all premiums, claims and expenses for post-65 retiree coverage should be segregated from those for pre-65 coverage. Furthermore, Menlo Park City SD should arrange for the rates or prices of all retiree benefits to be set on what is expected to be a self-sustaining basis.

- Menlo Park City SD should establish a way of designating employees as eligible or ineligible for future OPEB benefits. Ineligible employees can include those in ineligible job classes; those hired after a designated date restricting eligibility; those who, due to their age at hire cannot qualify for District-paid OPEB benefits; employees who exceed the termination age for OPEB benefits, etc.

- Several assumptions were made in estimating costs and liabilities under Menlo Park City SD's retiree health program. Further studies may be desired to validate any assumptions where there is any doubt that the assumption is appropriate. (See Part III of this report for a summary of assumptions.) For example, Menlo Park City SD should maintain a retiree database that includes – in addition to date of birth, gender and employee classification – retirement date and (if applicable) dependent date of birth, relationship and gender. It will also be helpful for Menlo Park City SD to maintain employment termination information – namely, the number of OPEB-eligible employees in each employee class that terminate employment each year for reasons other than death, disability or retirement.

APPENDIX C: GASB 74/75 ACCOUNTING ENTRIES AND DISCLOSURES

This report does not necessarily include the entire accounting values. As mentioned earlier, there are certain deferred items that are employer-specific. The District should consult with its auditor if there are any questions about what, if any, adjustments may be appropriate.

GASB 74/75 include a large number of items that should be included in the Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information (RSI) Schedules. Many of these items are outside the scope of the actuarial valuation. However, following is information to assist the District in complying with GASB 74/75 disclosure requirements:

Paragraph 50: **Information about the OPEB Plan**

Most of the information about the OPEB plan should be supplied by Menlo Park City SD. Following is information to help fulfill Paragraph 50 reporting requirements.

50.c: Following is a table of plan participants

	Number of Participants
Inactive Employees Currently Receiving Benefit Payments	126
Inactive Employees Entitled to But Not Yet Receiving Benefit Payments*	0
Participating Active Employees	342
Total Number of participants	468

*We were not provided with information about any terminated, vested employees

Paragraph 51: **Significant Assumptions and Other Inputs**

Shown in Appendix C.

Paragraph 52: **Information Related to Assumptions and Other Inputs**

The following information is intended to assist Menlo Park City SD in complying with the requirements of Paragraph 52.

52.b: Mortality Assumptions Following are the tables the mortality assumptions are based upon. Inasmuch as these tables are based on appropriate populations, and that these tables are used for pension purposes, we believe these tables to be the most appropriate for the valuation.

Mortality Table	2009 CalSTRS Mortality
Disclosure	The mortality assumptions are based on the 2009 CalSTRS Mortality table created by CalSTRS. CalSTRS periodically studies mortality for participating agencies and establishes mortality tables that are modified versions of commonly used tables. This table incorporates mortality projection as deemed appropriate based on CalSTRS analysis.

Mortality Table	2014 CalPERS Retiree Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees
Disclosure	The mortality assumptions are based on the 2014 CalPERS Retiree Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees table created by CalPERS. CalPERS periodically studies mortality for participating agencies and establishes mortality tables that are modified versions of commonly used tables. This table incorporates mortality projection as deemed appropriate based on CalPERS analysis.
Mortality Table	2014 CalPERS Active Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees
Disclosure	The mortality assumptions are based on the 2014 CalPERS Active Mortality for Miscellaneous Employees table created by CalPERS. CalPERS periodically studies mortality for participating agencies and establishes mortality tables that are modified versions of commonly used tables. This table incorporates mortality projection as deemed appropriate based on CalPERS analysis.

52.c: Experience Studies Following are the tables the retirement and turnover assumptions are based upon. Inasmuch as these tables are based on appropriate populations, and that these tables are used for pension purposes, we believe these tables to be the most appropriate for the valuation.

Retirement Tables

Retirement Table	2009 CalSTRS Retirement Rates
Disclosure	The retirement assumptions are based on the 2009 CalSTRS Retirement Rates table created by CalSTRS. CalSTRS periodically studies the experience for participating agencies and establishes tables that are appropriate for each pool.

Retirement Table	2009 CalPERS 2.0%@60 Rates for Miscellaneous Employees
Disclosure	The retirement assumptions are based on the 2009 CalPERS 2.0%@60 Rates for Miscellaneous Employees table created by CalPERS. CalPERS periodically studies the experience for participating agencies and establishes tables that are appropriate for each pool.

Retirement Table	2009 CalPERS Retirement Rates for School Employees
Disclosure	The retirement assumptions are based on the 2009 CalPERS Retirement Rates for School Employees table created by CalPERS. CalPERS periodically studies the experience for participating agencies and establishes tables that are appropriate for each pool.

Turnover Tables

Turnover Table	2009 CalSTRS Termination Rates
Disclosure	The turnover assumptions are based on the 2009 CalSTRS Termination Rates table created by CalSTRS. CalSTRS periodically studies the experience for participating agencies and establishes tables that are appropriate for each pool.

Turnover Table	2009 CalPERS Termination Rates for School Employees
Disclosure	The turnover assumptions are based on the 2009 CalPERS Termination Rates for School Employees table created by CalPERS. CalPERS periodically studies the experience for participating agencies and establishes tables that are appropriate for each pool.

For other assumptions, we use actual plan provisions and plan data.

52.d: The alternative measurement method was not used in this valuation.

52.e: NOL using alternative trend assumptions The following table shows the Net OPEB Liability with a healthcare cost trend rate 1% higher and 1% lower than assumed in the valuation.

	Trend 1% Lower	Valuation Trend	Trend 1% Higher
Net OPEB Liability	$5,975,723	$6,249,264	$6,792,054

Paragraph 53: **Discount Rate**

The following information is intended to assist Menlo Park City SD to comply with Paragraph 53 requirements.

53.a: A discount rate of 7.00% was used in the valuation. The interest rate used in the prior valuation was 7.00%.

53.b: We assumed that all contributions are from the employer.

53.c: We used historic 30 year real rates of return for each asset class along with our assumed long-term inflation assumption to set the discount rate. We offset the expected investment return by investment expenses of 50 basis points.

53.d: The interest assumption does not reflect a municipal bond rate.

53.e: Not applicable.

53.f: Following is the assumed asset allocation and assumed rate of return for each.
CERBT - Strategy 1

Asset Class	Percentage of Portfolio	Assumed Gross Return
All Equities	59.0000	7.7950
All Fixed Income	25.0000	4.5000
Real Estate Investment Trusts	8.0000	7.5000
All Commodities	3.0000	7.7950
Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS)	5.0000	3.2500

We looked at rolling periods of time for all asset classes in combination to appropriately reflect correlation between asset classes. That means that the average returns for any asset class don't necessarily reflect the averages over time individually, but reflect the return for the asset class for the portfolio average. We used geometric means.

53.g: The following table shows the Net OPEB liability with a discount rate 1% higher and

1% lower than assumed in the valuation.

	Discount Rate 1% Lower	Valuation Discount Rate	Discount Rate 1% Higher
Net OPEB Liability	$7,219,088	$6,249,264	$5,437,507

Paragraph 55: **Changes in the Net OPEB Liability**

Please see reconciliation on pages 2 or 13.

Paragraph 56: **Additional Net OPEB Liability Information**

The following information is intended to assist Menlo Park City SD to comply with Paragraph 56 requirements.

56.a: The valuation date is June 30, 2019.
The measurement date is June 30, 2020.
56.b: We are not aware of a special funding arrangement.
56.c: There were no assumption changes since the prior measurement date.
56.d: There were no changes in benefit terms since the prior measurement date.
56.e: Not applicable
56.f: To be determined by the employer
56.g: To be determined by the employer
56.h: Other than contributions after the measurement, all deferred inflow and outflow balances are shown on page 13 and in Appendix D
56.i: Future recognition of deferred inflows and outflows is shown in Appendix D

Paragraph 57: **Required Supplementary Information**

57.a: Please see reconciliation on pages 2 or 13. Please see the notes for Paragraph 244 below for more information.
57.b: These items are provided on pages 2 and 13 for the current valuation, except for covered payroll, which should be determined based on appropriate methods.
57.c: We have not been asked to calculate an actuarially determined contribution amount. We assume the District contributes on an ad hoc basis, but in an amount sufficient to fully fund the obligation over a period not to exceed 30 years.
57.d: We are not aware that there are any statutorily or contractually established contribution requirements.

Paragraph 58: **Actuarially Determined Contributions**

We have not been asked to calculate an actuarially determined contribution amount. We assume the District contributes on an ad hoc basis, but in an amount sufficient to fully fund the obligation over a period not to exceed 30 years.

Paragraph 244: **Transition Option**

Prior periods were not restated due to the fact that prior valuations were not rerun in accordance with GASB 75. It was determined that the time and expense necessary to rerun prior valuations and to restate prior financial statements was not justified.

APPENDIX D: DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

EXPERIENCE GAINS AND LOSSES

				Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense Arising from the Recognition of Effects of Experience Gains and Losses (Measurement Periods)							
Measurement Period	Experience (Gain)/Loss	Original Recognition Period (Years)	Amounts Recognized in OPEB Expense through 2019	2020	Amounts to be Recognized in OPEB Expense after 2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Thereafter
2018-19	($364,319)	10	($36,432)	($36,432)	($291,455)	($36,432)	($36,432)	($36,432)	($36,432)	($36,432)	($109,295)
2019-20	($128,154)	10	$0	($12,816)	($115,338)	($12,816)	($12,816)	($12,816)	($12,816)	($12,816)	($51,258)
Net Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense			**($36,432)**	**($49,248)**	**($406,793)**	**($49,248)**	**($49,248)**	**($49,248)**	**($49,248)**	**($49,248)**	**($160,553)**

CHANGES OF ASSUMPTIONS

			Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense Arising from the Recognition of Effects of Changes of Assumptions (Measurement Periods)								
Measurement Period	Changes of Assumptions	Original Recognition Period (Years)	Amounts Recognized in OPEB Expense through 2019	2020	Amounts to be Recognized in OPEB Expense after 2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Thereafter
2019-20	$0	0	$0	$0	$0						
Net Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense			**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

INVESTMENT GAINS AND LOSSES

			Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense Arising from the Recognition of Effects of Investment Gains and Losses (Measurement Periods)								
Measurement Period	Investment (Gain)/Loss	Original Recognition Period (Years)	Amounts Recognized in OPEB Expense through 2019	2020	Amounts to be Recognized in OPEB Expense after 2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	Thereafter
2017-18	($10,625)	5	($4,250)	($2,125)	($4,250)	($2,125)	($2,125)				
2018-19	$16,890	5	$3,378	$3,378	$10,134	$3,378	$3,378	$3,378			
2019-20	$80,322	5	$0	$16,065	$64,257	$16,065	$16,065	$16,065	$16,062		
Net Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense			**($872)**	**$17,318**	**$70,141**	**$17,318**	**$17,318**	**$19,443**	**$16,062**	**$0**	**$0**

APPENDIX E: GLOSSARY OF RETIREE HEALTH VALUATION TERMS

Note: The following definitions are intended to help a *non*-actuary understand concepts related to retiree health valuations. Therefore, the definitions may not be actuarially accurate.

Actuarial Cost Method:	A mathematical model for allocating OPEB costs by year of service. The only actuarial cost method allowed under GASB 74/75 is the entry age actuarial cost method.
Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments:	The projected amount of all OPEB benefits to be paid to current and future retirees discounted back to the valuation or measurement date.
Deferred Inflows/Outflows of Resources:	A portion of certain items that can be deferred to future periods or that weren't reflected in the valuation. The former includes investment gains/losses, actuarial gains/losses, and gains/losses due to changes in actuarial assumptions or methods. The latter includes contributions made to a trust subsequent to the measurement date but before the statement date.
Discount Rate:	Assumed investment return net of all investment expenses. Generally, a higher assumed interest rate leads to lower service costs and total OPEB liability.
Fiduciary Net Position:	Net assets (liability) of a qualifying OPEB "plan" (i.e. qualifying irrevocable trust or equivalent arrangement).
Implicit Rate Subsidy:	The estimated amount by which retiree rates are understated in situations where, for rating purposes, retirees are combined with active employees and the employer is expected, in the long run, to pay the underlying cost of retiree benefits.
Measurement Date:	The date at which assets and liabilities are determined in order to estimate TOL and NOL.
Mortality Rate:	Assumed proportion of people who die each year. Mortality rates always vary by age and often by sex. A mortality table should always be selected that is based on a similar "population" to the one being studied.
Net OPEB Liability (NOL):	The Total OPEB Liability minus the Fiduciary Net Position.
OPEB Benefits:	Other Post Employment Benefits. Generally, medical, dental, prescription drug, life, long-term care or other postemployment benefits that are not pension benefits.
OPEB Expense:	This is the amount employers must recognize as an expense each year. The annual OPEB expense is equal to the Service Cost plus interest on the Total OPEB Liability (TOL) plus change in TOL due to plan changes minus projected investment income; all adjusted to reflect deferred inflows and outflows of resources.
Participation Rate:	The proportion of retirees who elect to receive retiree benefits. A lower participation rate results in lower service cost and a TOL. The participation rate often is related to retiree contributions.

Pay As You Go Cost:	The projected benefit payments to retirees in a given year as estimated by the actuarial valuation. Actual benefit payments are likely to differ from these estimated amounts. For OPEB plans that do not pre-fund through an irrevocable trust, the Pay As You Go Cost serves as an estimated amount to budget for annual OPEB payments.
Retirement Rate:	The proportion of active employees who retire each year. Retirement rates are usually based on age and/or length of service. (Retirement rates can be used in conjunction with the service requirement to reflect both age and length of service). The more likely employees are to retire early, the higher service costs and actuarial accrued liability will be.
Service Cost:	The annual dollar value of the "earned" portion of retiree health benefits if retiree health benefits are to be fully accrued at retirement.
Service Requirement:	The proportion of retiree benefits payable under the OPEB plan, based on length of service and, sometimes, age. A shorter service requirement increases service costs and TOL.
Total OPEB Liability (TOL):	The amount of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments attributable to participants' past service based on the actuarial cost method used.
Trend Rate:	The rate at which the employer's share of the cost of retiree benefits is expected to increase over time. The trend rate usually varies by type of benefit (e.g. medical, dental, vision, etc.) and may vary over time. A higher trend rate results in higher service costs and TOL.
Turnover Rate:	The rate at which employees cease employment due to reasons other than death, disability or retirement. Turnover rates usually vary based on length of service and may vary by other factors. Higher turnover rates reduce service costs and TOL.
Valuation Date:	The date as of which the OPEB obligation is determined by means of an actuarial valuation. Under GASB 74 and 75, the valuation date does not have to coincide with the statement date, but can't be more than 30 months prior.