




CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2024-2025

Campus Name
State Name
Campus ID#
Principal

Date of Board Approval

Willow Wood Junior High School Willow Wood JH 101-921-043 Kevin Williams October 15, 2024



At Tomball ISD, we are not a big, impersonal school district; we are a home for people looking for a warm, close-knit community where teachers, principals, staff and administrators truly care about each individual child. We are also a destination for our strong academic programs and a wide variety of extracurricular activities. Our focus is to make sure that every single student finds a place to belong, a passion to pursue and a love of learning that will serve him or her well beyond the years spent with us.

Board of Education

John E. McStravick President

> Justin Unser Vice President

Mark Lewandowski Secretary

Dr. Michael Pratt Assistant Secretary

> Lee McLeod Trustee

Tina Salem Trustee

Matt Schiel Trustee

District Leadership

Dr. Martha Salazar-Zamora Superintendent of Schools

Zachery Boles Chief Financial Officer

Dr. Steven Gutierrez Chief Operating Officer

Dr. Amy Schindewolf Chief of Staff

Dr. Michael Webb Chief Academic Officer

Dr. George Flores Assistant Superintendent of Elementary Schools

Dr. Mindy Munoz Assistant Superintendent of Secondary School

Dr. Alicia Reves Assistant Superintendent of Human Talent

Mark White Assistant Superintendent of Accountability

Dr. Lee Wright Assistant Superintendent of Strategic Initiatives

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies	4
Legal Notice	5
Federal Funds	10
Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives	
Campus Profile	
Accreditation	14
Campus and Program Description	14
Demographics	
Campus Improvement Team (CIT)	
Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment	
Sample Integrated Data Sources	
Effective Schools Framework (ESF)	
ESF Theory of Action	
ESF Levers	21
Accountability Summary and Performance Data	22
State A-F Accountability	
STAAR Results	
Attendance	
Discipline	
Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals	
Staff Development Needs	
Assurances	
Dropout Prevention	
Waivers	41
District of Innovation	42

Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies

General Policies

Tomball ISD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability in its programs or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups. The following person has been designated to handle inquiries regarding the nondiscrimination policies: Karen Graves, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2010, karengraves@tomballisd.net

Tomball ISD Board Policy FFH (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any student. Discrimination is defined as treating a student or group of students differently from similarly situated students on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law. Retaliation against anyone exercising their rights under this policy is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

Tomball ISD Board Policy DIA (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any employee on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law that adversely affects the employee's employment.

In accordance with law, discrimination on the basis of sex includes discrimination on the basis of any other prohibited basis related to sex.

Vocational Programs

Tomball ISD offers career and technical education (CTE) programs of study in a variety of fields published in the Tomball ISD Course Catalog along with admission requirements.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex or handicap in its vocational programs, services or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap, or age in its employment practices as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

Tomball ISD will take steps to assure that lack of English language skills will not be a barrier to admission and participation in all educational and vocational programs.

For information about your rights or grievance procedures, contact the Title IX Coordinator, Steve Guerrero, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2061, steveguerrero@tomballisd.net; and or the Section 504 Coordinator, Steven Shiels, 11211 F.M. 2920, Tomball, TX 77375, (281)357-3100, Ext. 4111, stevenshiels@tomballisd.net.

Legal Notice

In annual accordance and compliance with chapters 4, 11 and 39 of the Texas Education Code and Tomball ISD Board Policies BQ, BQA, and BQB; each campus principal of all Tomball Independent School District schools has collaborated with the District Improvement Team to analyze data relative to the academic performance of all students including students in special education programs and at-risk students. The data analysis was used as part of a comprehensive needs assessment for the purpose of developing goals and determining strategies to improve student performance and support district and state goals and initiatives. This process aligns with the Texas Effective Schools Framework (ESF). This process and plan satisfy any improvement actions required by the Texas State Accountability Rating System including the Results Driven Accountability (RDA), Data Validation and Verification Monitoring, Data Reporting Compliance, and the state and federal Identification of Schools for Improvement. This process and plan satisfy the federal requirements for campuses and districts under regulation of and/or receiving funding through Titles I, II, III, and IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, reauthorized in 2015 under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Part B, including the Local Equitable Access Plan required by Title I, Part A Sec. 1112(b)(2). This process and plan satisfy the requirements for the annual comprehensive needs assessment of at-risk students and the development of goals and strategies to improve the academic performance of at-risk students at campuses receiving State Compensatory Education (SCE) funds (TEC, §29.081 TEC, §48.104). The SCE procedures, plans, evaluation, and At-Risk population data is located in the District Improvement Plan (DIP) and school-specific documentation is located in the Campus Improvement Plans (CIP).

The Board shall ensure that a District Improvement Plan and improvement plans for each campus are developed, reviewed, and revised annually for the purpose of improving the performance of all students. The Board shall annually approve District and campus performance objectives and shall ensure that the District and campus plans:

- 1. Are mutually supportive to accomplish the identified objectives; and
- 2. At a minimum, support the state goals and objectives under Education Code Chapter 4.

Texas Education Code 11.251(a)

A board shall adopt a policy to establish a district- and campus-level planning and decision-making process that will involve the professional staff of a district, parents of students enrolled in a district, business representatives, and community members in establishing and reviewing the district's and campuses' educational plans, goals, performance objectives, and major classroom instructional programs. *Texas Education Code 11.251(b)*

The District's planning process to improve student performance includes the development of the District's educational goals, the legal requirements for the District and campus improvement plans, all pertinent federal planning requirements, and administrative procedures. The Board shall approve the process under which the educational goals are developed and shall ensure that input is gathered from the District-level committee. [See BQA]

Each school year, the principal of each school campus, with the assistance of the campus-level committee, shall develop, review, and revise the campus improvement plan. The purpose of the campus-level plan is to improve student performance for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, with respect to the student achievement indicators and any other appropriate performance measures for special needs populations.

Texas Education Code 11.253(c)

The district policy must provide that all pertinent federal planning requirements are addressed through the district- and campus-level planning process.

Texas Education Code 11.251(f)

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop jointly with, agree with, and distribute to, parents and family members of participating children a written parent and family engagement policy. The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives for meaningful parent and family involvement, and describe how the district will:

- 1. Involve parents and family members in jointly developing the district plan, and the development of support and improvement plans under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 6311(d);
- 2. Provide the coordination, technical assistance, and other support necessary to assist and build the capacity of all participating schools within the district in planning and implementing effective parent and family involvement activities to improve student academic achievement and school performance, which may include meaningful consultation with employers, business leaders, and philanthropic organizations, or individuals with expertise in effectively engaging parents and family members in education;
- 3. Coordinate and integrate parent and family engagement strategies under Title I, Part A with parent and family engagement strategies to the extent feasible and appropriate, with other relevant federal, state, and local laws and programs;
- 4. Conduct, with the meaningful involvement of parents and family members, an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of the parent and family engagement policy in improving the academic quality of all schools served under Title I, Part A, including identifying:
 - a. Barriers to greater participation by parents in activities authorized by section 6318 (with particular attention to parents who are economically disadvantaged, are disabled, have limited English proficiency, have limited literacy, or are of any racial or ethnic minority background);
 - b. The needs of parents and family members to assist with the learning of their children, including engaging with school personnel and teachers; and
 - c. Strategies to support successful school and family interactions;
- 5. Use the findings of the above evaluation to design evidence-based strategies for more effective parental involvement, and to revise, if necessary, the parent and family engagement policies described in section 6318; and
- 6. Involve parents in the activities of the schools served under Title I, Part A, which may include establishing a parent advisory board comprised of a sufficient number and representative group of parents or family members served by the local educational agency to adequately represent the needs of the population served by such agency for the purposes of developing, revising, and reviewing the parent and family engagement policy.

20 U.S.C. 6318(a)(2) [See BQ(LOCAL)]

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop a district improvement plan that addresses equity 1111(g)(1)(B). The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives (b) Plan Provisions.

To ensure that all children receive a high-quality education, and to close the achievement gap between children meeting the challenging State academic standards and those children who are not meeting such standards, each local educational agency plan shall describe:

- 1. How the local educational agency will monitor students' progress in meeting the challenging State academic standards by
 - a. developing and implementing a well-rounded program of instruction to meet the academic needs of all students;
 - b. identifying students who may be at risk for academic failure;
 - c. providing additional educational assistance to individual students the local educational agency or school determines need help in meeting the challenging State academic standards; and
 - d. identifying and implementing instructional and other strategies intended to strengthen academic programs and improve school conditions for student learning;

- 2. How the local educational agency will identify and address, as required under State plans as described in section 1111(g)(1)(B), any disparities that result in low-income students and minority students being taught at higher rates than other students by ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers;
- 3. How the local educational agency will carry out its responsibilities under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1111(d);
- 4. The poverty criteria that will be used to select school attendance areas under section 1113;
- In general, the nature of the programs to be conducted by such agency's schools under sections 1114 and 1115 and, where appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children, and for neglected and delinquent children in community day school programs;

20 U.S.C. 6312 section 1111(g)(1)(B)

A district shall have a district improvement plan that is developed, evaluated, and revised annually, in accordance with district policy, by the superintendent with the assistance of the district-level committee. The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the achievement indicators.

Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

The district improvement plan must include provisions for:

- A comprehensive needs assessment addressing performance on the achievement indicators, and other appropriate measures of performance, that are disaggregated by all student groups served by a district, including categories of ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex, and populations served by special programs, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A.
- Measurable district performance objectives for all appropriate achievement indicators for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, and other measures of student performance that may be identified through the comprehensive needs assessment.
- 3. Strategies for improvement of student performance that include:
 - a. Instructional methods for addressing the needs of student groups not achieving their full potential.
 - b. Evidence-based practices that address the needs of students for special programs, including:
 - i. (1) Suicide prevention programs, in accordance with Health and Safety Code Chapter 161, Subchapter O-1, which includes a parental or guardian notification procedure [see FFB];
 - ii. (2) Conflict resolution programs;
 - iii. (3) Violence prevention programs; and
 - iv. (4) Dyslexia treatment programs.
 - c. Dropout reduction.
 - d. Integration of technology in instructional and administrative programs.
 - e. Positive behavior interventions and support, including interventions and support that integrate best practices on grief-informed and trauma-informed care
 - f. Staff development for professional staff of a district.
 - g. Career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities.
 - h. Accelerated education.
 - i. Implementation of a comprehensive school counseling program under Section 33.005
- 4. Strategies for providing to middle school, junior high school, and high school students, those students' teachers and school counselors, and those students' parents information about:
 - a. Higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities such as the TEXAS grant program and the Teach for Texas grant program established under Chapter 56.

- b. The need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
- c. Sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid.
- 5. Resources needed to implement identified strategies.
- 6. Staff responsible for ensuring the accomplishment of each strategy.
- 7. Timelines for ongoing monitoring of the implementation of each improvement strategy.
- 8. Formative evaluation criteria for determining periodically whether strategies are resulting in intended improvement of student performance.
- 9. The policy under Section 38.0041 addressing sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children; and
- 10. The trauma-informed care policy required under Section 38.036 which must address
 - a. using resources developed by the agency, methods for:
 - i. increasing staff and parent awareness of trauma-informed care; and
 - ii. implementation of trauma-informed practices and care by district and campus staff; and
 - b. available counseling options for students affected by trauma or grief.

Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

11. A discipline management program providing for prevention of and education concerning unwanted physical or verbal aggression, sexual harassment, and other forms of bullying in schools, on school grounds, and in school vehicles.

Texas Education Code 37.083(a)

- 12. A dating violence policy that must:
 - a. Include a definition of dating violence that includes the intentional use of physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse by a person to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control another person in a dating relationship, as defined by Family Code 71.0021; and
 - b. a clear statement that dating violence is not tolerated at school; and
 - c. reporting procedures and guidelines for students who are victims of dating violence, including a procedure for immediately notifying the parent or guardian of a student about a report received by the district identifying the student as an alleged victim or perpetrator of dating violence; and
 - Address safety planning, enforcement of protective orders, school-based alternatives to protective orders, training for teachers and administrators, counseling for affected students, and awareness education for students and parents. Texas Education Code 37.0831 [See FFH]
- 13. An Anti-Bullying policy that must:
 - a. include an emphasis on bullying prevention by focusing on school climate and building healthy relationships between students and staff;
 - b. require each district campus to establish a committee to address bullying by focusing on prevention efforts and health and wellness initiatives;
 - c. require students at each grade level to meet periodically for instruction on building relationships and preventing bullying, including cyberbullying;
 - d. include an emphasis on increasing student reporting of bullying incidents to school employees by:
 - i. increasing awareness about district reporting procedures; and
 - ii. providing for anonymous reporting of bullying incidents;
 - e. require districts to:
 - i. collect information annually through student surveys on bullying, including cyberbullying; and
 - ii. use those survey results to develop action plans to address student concerns regarding bullying, including cyberbullying; and

- f. require districts to develop a rubric or checklist to assess an incident of bullying and to determine the district's response to the incident.
- 14. A policy addressing sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children that must address:
 - a. methods for increasing staff, student, and parent awareness of issues regarding sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children, including prevention techniques and knowledge of likely warning signs indicating that a child may be a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment, using resources developed by the agency or the commissioner regarding those issues, including resources developed by the agency under Section 38.004;
 - b. actions that a child who is a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment should take to obtain assistance and intervention; and
 - c. available counseling options for students affected by sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment. *Texas Education Code 38.0041*

A district's plan for the improvement of student performance is not filed with TEA, but the district must make the plan available to TEA on request. *Texas Education Code 11.252(b)*

The district-level committee shall assist the superintendent with the annual development, evaluation, and revision of the district improvement plan. Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

Each school district shall use the results from the teaching and learning conditions survey required by Education Code 7.065(a), conducted biennially, to review and revise, as appropriate, the district-level improvement plan, and for other purposes, as appropriate to enhance the district learning environment.

Texas Education Code 7.065(e)

A district-level committee of a district with a junior high, middle, or high school campus shall analyze information related to dropout prevention, including:

- 1. The results of the audit of dropout records;
- District information related to graduation rates, dropout rates, high school equivalency certificate rates, and the percentage of students who remain in high school more than four years after entering grade 9;
- 3. The number of students who enter a high school equivalency certificate program and:
 - a. Do not complete the program,
 - b. Complete the program but do not take the high school equivalency examination, or
 - c. Complete the program and take the high school equivalency examination but do not obtain a high school equivalency certificate;
- For students enrolled in grades 9 and 10, information related to academic credit hours earned, retention rates, and placements in disciplinary alternative education programs and expulsions under Chapter 37; and
- 5. The results of an evaluation of each school-based dropout prevention program in a district.

Each district-level committee shall use the information in developing the district improvement plan.

Texas Education Code 11.255

For staff development under Education Code 21.451(a), a district may use district-wide staff development developed and approved through the district-level decision process. Texas Education Code 21.451(c)

Staff development shall be predominantly campus-based, related to achieving campus performance objectives, and developed and approved by the campus-level committee.

DMA(LEGAL)

Federal Funds

Supplement, Not Supplant Methodology

County-District #: 101921

LEA Name: Tomball ISD School Year: 2023-2024

Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology

Purpose:

The Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology described in this document is used to ensure that State and local funds are distributed in such a way that each Title I campus receives all of the State and local funds that it would receive in the absence of Title I funds.

(1) IN GENERAL –A State educational agency or local educational agency shall use Federal funds received under this part only to supplement the funds that would, in the absence of such Federal funds, be made available from State and local sources for the education of students participating in programs assisted under this part, and not to supplant such funds.

Tomball ISD has adopted the following policies to assure compliance with regulations regarding Federal funds:

EHBD (LEGAL) EHBD (LOCAL)

(2) COMPLIANCE. –To demonstrate compliance with paragraph (1), a local educational agency shall demonstrate that the methodology used to allocate State and local funds to each school receiving assistance under this part ensures that such school receives all of the State and local funds it would otherwise receive if it were not receiving assistance under this part.

Assurance:

The LEA assures that—

- In any State and local funds that are retained at the LEA level will be used in a Title I neutral manner; and
- ☑ any Title I, Part A funds that are reserved at the LEA level will be used only for Title I, Part A purposes, as indicated in the LEA's approved ESSA Consolidated Federal Grant Application.

As part of Tomball ISD's priority-based budget process, the District uses the following methodology to allocate state and local funds to district and campus programs without regard to participation in the Title I, Part A program.

Distribution by Personnel and Non-personnel Expenditures (per-personnel position plus per-pupil).

The annual budget process is a major activity in moving the District and its organizational units toward the achievement of strategic goals designed to improve student learning. In essence, the budget process is the translation of the planning process into financial terms and measurements. Tomball ISD focuses on the following priorities when financially planning for the school year:

- 1. Sustainability of existing staff
- 2. Hiring need for the projected student enrollment growth
- 3. Class-reduction teachers for campuses with greatest number of at-risk students
- 4. Innovative programs

The District prioritizes campus staffing because we understand that providing smaller student-teacher ratios in all classrooms increases student academic achievement. The average student-teacher ratio for Tomball ISD is 16:1 K-12 in comparison to the State's mandated 22:1 for K-4.

It is understood that the LEA must provide sufficient State and local funds to campuses in order to provide a free, public education, in the absence of Title I, Part A funds.

Description of methodology:

The basis on which State and local funds are allocated:

- DISTRICTWIDE or
- CAMPUS CATEGORY

The type of methodology used:

- SIMPLE FLAT AMOUNT PER-PUPIL
- U WEIGHTED PER-PUPIL
- □ PERSONNEL-NONPERSONNEL COSTS

Description of criteria used: Tomball ISD (LEA) used a simple per-pupil amount for all campuses in Tomball ISD (LEA). The per-pupil amount is multiplied by the campus enrollment amount to identify the campus allocation for the school year.

Tomball ISD spends approximately 87 percent of general fund expenditures on payroll related expenses.

Attachments: <u>Annual Budget Budget Book</u> <u>Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR)</u>

This methodology was originally developed on September 6, 2018. Dates of any revisions are below:

December 5, 2018	September 23, 2021	October 27, 2022
September 30, 2019	May 5, 2022	January 26, 2023
October 2, 2020	July 5, 2022	April 13, 2023
December 15, 2020	September 22, 2022	May 18, 2023

Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives

State Mission

The mission of the Texas public education system is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their full potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities in our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of Texas and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of Texas citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

State Objectives

The objectives of public education are:

Objective 1: Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Objective 2: Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Objective 3: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a diploma.

Objective 4: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

Objective 5: Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

Objective 6: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Objective 7: Texas students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

Objective 8: School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Objective 9: Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

Objective 10: Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

Objective 11: The State Board of Education, TEA, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

State Goals

The academic goals of public education are to serve as a foundation for a well-balanced and appropriate education. The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in:

Goal 1: The reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: The understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: The understanding of science.

Goal 4: The understanding of social studies.

Education Code 4.001, .002

District Beliefs

- 1. Students are valuable individuals.
- 2. Students should be actively engaged.
- 3. Culture cultivates character and accountability.
- 4. Safe, supportive environments promote higher achievement.
- 5. Respecting diversity leads to cultural awareness and a global perspective.
- 6. Relationships in our classrooms, our schools, and our community are essential.
- 7. Well-planned, applicable staff development leads to improved instruction.
- 8. Enthusiastic and effective educators inspire our students.
- 9. A challenging, well-aligned curriculum fosters individual potential and continuous learning.

District Vision

Tomball ISD students will lead in creating the future.

District Mission

Tomball ISD educates students to become responsible productive citizens by providing innovative, individually rigorous, and personally valuable educational experiences.

District Goals

- 1. Tomball ISD will develop, continuously enhance and utilize rigorous college, career, and life ready curriculum that is responsive to the needs of individual learners, including students in special education.
- 2. Tomball ISD will provide multiple sources of high quality academic content that infuses technology in learning experiences and instruction.
- 3. Tomball ISD will attract, develop and retain high quality staff through a well –defined, personally valuable professional development plan and support structure.
- 4. Tomball ISD will foster a culture of caring and compassionate educators to provide a supportive learning environment.
- 5. Tomball ISD will promote academic success by engaging students through real world experiences while cultivating independent thinking and creative problem solving.
- 6. Tomball ISD will prepare our graduates to succeed in the college/career path of their choice.
- 7. Tomball ISD will promote an emotionally and physically safe and secure learning environment.
- 8. Tomball ISD will be fiscally responsible while meeting the educational and facility needs of the students.
- 9. Tomball ISD will inspire students to develop and exhibit character traits that are reflective of community standards.
- 10. Tomball ISD will actively engage and involve parents and the community.

District Objectives

All Tomball ISD students will:

- 1. Achieve academic growth and reach their individual potential.
- 2. Develop and exhibit positive character traits in all aspects of their lives.
- 3. Actively seek opportunities beyond the classroom to enhance their educational experiences.
- 4. Be actively engaged, thinking independently to become creative problem solvers.
- 5. Be prepared for post-secondary success in the global marketplace.

AE(LOCAL)

Accreditation

Tomball Independent School District and Willow Wood Junior High School are fully <u>accredited</u> by the Texas Education Agency with no warnings or probationary sanctions for the district nor any campuses.

Tomball ISD Is a Texas Education Agency District of Innovation.

Campus and Program Description

Willow Wood Junior High School (WWJH) is a high functioning 7th and 8th grade campus and has earned straight A's for the past three years. WWJH has earned this status as the campus places a high priority on the development/growth of the whole student through activity, involvement and academic growth/performance.

The campus as a whole, focuses on high quality Tier 1 instruction through the use of high yield student centered learning strategies and utilizes common assessments, check points and daily exit tickets to determine academic success and re-teach and intervention opportunities. Teams, through their high-functioning Professional Learning Communities (PLC's) create lessons to impact the growth and academic success of all students. WWJH has had academic and student success with the use of BECATS Advisory period each day to support re-teaching, intervention and HB 1416 requirements. This time is also utilized for counseling, Cat Chats, weekly announcements as well as Positive Behavior Management through student incentives, recognition and Cat Cash rewards. One of campus staples and commitments is our continual work of our BECATS Core Value model to create and develop important social and soft job skills in our students.

Demographics

The following demographics represent the composition of the students and staff for the previous school year (2023-2024 Fall PEIMS) associated with the student performance measures used in the data analysis.

School Population	Count	Percent
Student Total	844	
7th Grade	405	47.99%
8th Grade	439	52.01%
Student Demographics	Count	Percent
Gender	-	
Female	423	50.12%
Male	421	49.88%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic-Latino	258	30.57%
Race	-	
American Indian - Alaskan Native	0	0.00%
Asian	111	13.15%
Black - African American	55	6.52%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	4	0.47%
White	379	44.91%
Two-or-More	37	4.38%
Student Programs	Count	Percent
Dyslexia	72	8.53%
Gifted and Talented	110	13.03%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf	1	0.12%
Section 504	103	12.20%
Special Education (SPED)	94	11.14%
Bilingual/ESL		
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	106	12.56%
Bilingual	0	0.00%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	102	12.09%
Alternative Bilingual Language Program	0	0.00%
Alternative ESL Language Program	0	0.00%
Title I Part A	•	
Schoolwide Program	0	0.00%
Targeted Assistance	0	0.00%

Targeted Assistance Previously Participated	0	0.00%
Title I Homeless	1	0.12%
Neglected	0	0.00%
Student Indicators	Count	Percent
At-Risk	229	27.13%
Foster Care	4	0.47%
IEP Continuer	0	0.00%
Immigrant	27	3.20%
Intervention Indicator	39	4.62%
Migrant	0	0.00%
Military Connected	10	1.18%
Transfer In Students	17	2.01%
Unschooled Asylee/Refugee	0	0%
Economic Disadvantage		
Economic Disadvantage Total	281	33.29%
Free Meals	209	24.76%
Reduced-Price Meals	30	3.55%
Other Economic Disadvantage	42	4.98%
Homeless and Unaccompanied Youth	-	
Homeless Status Total	2	0.24%
Shelter	0	0.00%
Doubled Up	0	0.00%
Unsheltered	0	0.00%
Hotel/Motel	2	0.24%
Not Unaccompanied Youth	2	0.24%
Is Unaccompanied Youth	0	0.00%
Special Education Services	Count	Percent
Primary Disabilities		
No Disability	0	0.00%
Orthopedic impairment	1	1.06%
Other health impairment	20	21.28%
Auditory impairment	2	2.13%
Visual impairment	0	0.00%
Deaf-Blind	0	0.00%
Intellectual disability	4	4.26%
Emotional disturbance	12	12.77%
Learning disability	39	41.49%
Speech impairment	2	2.13%

Autism	14	14.89%
Developmental delay	0	0.00%
Traumatic brain injury	0	0.00%
Noncategorical early childhood	0	0.00%
Instructional Settings		
Speech Therapy	2	2.13%
Homebound	0	0.00%
Hospital Class	0	0.00%
Mainstream	39	41.49%
Resource Room	43	45.74%
VAC	0	0.00%
Off Home Campus	0	0.00%
State School	0	0.00%
Residential Care	0	0.00%
Self Contained	10	10.64%
Full-Time Early Childhood	0	0.00%
Nonpublic Day School	0	0.00%
College and Career Readiness School Models	Count	Percent
Associate Degree Does not include leavers	0	0.00%
Early College High School (ECHS)	0	0.00%
New Tech	0	0.00%
P-Tech	0	0.00%
T-Stem	0	0.00%
Staff Information	Count	Percent
Administrative Support	19	22.89%
Teacher	57	68.67%
Educational Aide	7	8.43%
Auxiliary	0	0.00%

Campus Improvement Team (CIT)

A campus improvement team shall be established on each campus to assist the principal. The committee shall meet for the purpose of implementing planning processes and site-based decision making in accordance with Board policy and administrative procedures and shall be chaired by the principal.

The committee shall serve exclusively in an advisory role except that each campus committee shall approve staff development of a campus nature.

Name of CIT Member	Position
Karla Sandoval	District Appointee
Amanda Bass	Parent
Kristi McCoy	Parent
Daisy Cone	Business Representative
Kevin Ross	Business Representative
Callie Smith	Community Representative
Ashley Bearden	Community Representative
Jackie Santos	Non-classroom Professional
Brooke Lee	Classroom Teacher
Amber Wal	Classroom Teacher
Allison Cunningham	Classroom Teacher
Tonya Brain	Classroom Teacher


Campus Improvement Team (CIT) Membership

Meeting Dates					
9/17/2024					
11/13/2024					
2/4/2024					
4/1/2024					

Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Data Action is structured around a multidimensional "data cube" which represents the dynamic relationship between all students, teachers, and standards. Data Action starts with an analysis of integrated data sources through which district

needs are identified. The District Improvement Plan is based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that takes into account information on the academic achievement of children in relation to challenging State academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at-risk of failing, to meet the challenging State academic standards and any other factors as determined by the district. The needs are assessed to determine what is the root cause which drives the current results. Lastly, strategies are developed to address those root causes. Action items are detailed to monitor timelines, responsibility, and resources, and a SMART goal is established to measure efficacy.



Sample Integrated Data Sources


Students	Teachers	Standards
STAAR/EOC Results Texas Academic Performance Reports TELPAS results Curriculum- Based Assessments Formative assessments Interim Assessments Student portfolios CLI/STAR Early Literacy Results Results Driven Accountability (RDA) Eduphoria Aware Reports Individual Education Plans Attendance data Discipline referrals Rtl Progress Monitoring reports Report cards Graduation/Dropout rates AP data Dual credit data CCMR Reports SAT/ACT Scores Summary of Major Assessment (SOMA) Framework Panorama Survey Results PEIMS TPEIR TAPR SRC Reports	Student Growth/Progress reports <u>STAAR/EOC Results</u> TTESS domain scores Walk-through data Professional development goals Attendance <u>Retention rates</u> <u>Discipline referrals</u> Lesson Plans Failure rates Grades vs Assessment Results TEKS content knowledge Technology skills Assessment design Participation in collaboratives AP exam participation and results UIL participation and results Growth Analysis Reports Star Renaissance SGA analysis Panorama Survey Results	Assessment item analysis Lead4Ward reports Vertical Alignment Matrices TEKS gaps analysis Tomball ISD Curriculum Lesson plans/Instructional design Most Missed Questions (MMQ's) SAT Analysis Reports AP Analysis Reports PSAT Analysis Reports Summary of Major Assessment (SOMA) Framework
PEIMS, TPEIR, TAPR, SRC Reports		

Data from the above listed sources is analyzed at the district and campus level and disaggregated by student populations and special programs. Information on a wide variety of district, campus and student performance is publicly available at: https://tea.texas.gov/reports-and-data


Effective Schools Framework (ESF)

At the core of effective schools is effective instruction: interactions between students, teachers, and content determine learning outcomes. This instructional core is strengthened and supported by effective, well-supported teachers, high-quality curriculum, and positive school culture. Strong school leadership and careful planning encompass and ensure each of these prioritized levers.

The Effective Schools Framework consists of a set of district commitments and, for schools, essential actions. District Commitments describe what local education agencies do to ensure that schools are set up for success. The Essential Actions describe what the most effective schools do to support powerful teaching and learning. The ESF framework is rooted in the continuous improvement process.



ESF Theory of Action



BUILD A COMMON VISION

The Effective Schools Framework builds a common vision with clarity and specificity to codify the best practices that effective Texas schools engage in daily.

ASSESS

Campuses should assess current campus practices to the aspiration language of the Essential Actions in the Effective Schools Framework. This practice will act as a needs assessment to determine strengths and areas of growth. To ensure campuses and districts are appropriately prioritizing the highest leverage actions for continuous improvement efforts, the ESF Diagnostic Process serves as an effective tool to clearly identify strengths and areas of growth through the lens of an unbiased

ESF Facilitator. PRIORITIZE GAPS

Once a campus has assessed their current practices, they will prioritize 2 - 3 Essential Actions to prioritize their focus. Prioritization can be identified through a big gap/small gap analysis to narrow the focus on highest leverage actions that lead to improved systems and student outcomes.

CONNECT WITH CAPACITY BUILDERS

Capacity building is a key part of the school improvement process. Campuses can partner with Vetted Improvement Partners (VIPs) or Education Services Centers (ESCs) who offer services to help improve campus practices and procedures aligned to specific essential actions of the Effective Schools Framework.

TARGETED SUPPORT

Accountability is the foundation to success in any change effort. Districts and campuses should establish an ongoing targeted support plan throughout their continuous improvement efforts.

ESF Levers

Strong School Leadership and Planning	Effective campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities develop, implement, and monitor campus systems and structures that are aligned to a compelling school mission, vision, values, and goals rooted in student achievement.
Strategic Staffing	Campus leadership retains effective, well-supported teachers by strategically recruiting, selecting, assigning and inducting teachers so that all students have access to high-quality educators.
Positive School Culture	Campus systems support positive school culture through explicit behavioral expectations, school-wide culture routines, proactive and responsive student support services, and involved families and communities.
High Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments	All students engage daily with TEKS-aligned, high-quality instructional materials, and assessments that support learning at appropriate levels of rigor.
Effective Instruction	Campus leaders provide teachers with job-embedded professional development, including observation and feedback cycles, and access to time and data needed to reflect, adjust, and deliver instruction that meets the needs of all students.

Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning

- 1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders (principal, assistant principal, counselors, teacher leaders) with clear roles and responsibilities
- 1.2 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment, high expectations, and rigorous instruction
- 1.3 Focused plan development and regular monitoring of implementation and outcomes

Lever 2: Strategic Staffing

2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct, and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators

Lever 3: Positive School Culture

- 3.1 Explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines
- 3.2 Proactive and responsive student support services
- 3.3 Involving families and community

Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials & Assessments

4.1 Daily use of high-quality instructional materials

Lever 5: Effective Instruction

- 5.1 Professional Development for Effective Classroom Instruction
- 5.2 Build teacher capacity through observation and feedback cycles
- 5.3 Data-driven instruction
- 5.4 MTSS for students with learning gaps



State A-F Accountability

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: txschools.gov

At the time of publication, the 2024 A-F Accountability System ratings have not been released.



DATE:	August 14, 2024
SUBJECT:	2024 Underlying Accountability Subset Data Available in TEAL
CATEGORY:	Performance Reporting
NEXT STEPS:	Share with appropriate staff

The Texas *A*–*F* accountability system is a tool to help school systems continuously improve student performance to achieve the goals of eliminating achievement gaps based on race, ethnicity and socioeconomic status and ensuring Texas is a national leader in preparing students for success after graduation. The purpose of this communication is to announce that underlying 2024 accountability data was released to school systems today to assist school leaders with internal evaluation of academic performance.

Due to a pending lawsuit, the issuance of 2024 *A*-*F* ratings and Comprehensive, Targeted and Additional Targeted Support school improvement designations are pending and subject to change based on judicial rulings. Authorized school system users in the TEA Login (TEAL) Accountability application have access to data, reports and downloads that do not include *A*-*F* ratings or scale scores, nor federal school improvement designations.

- The 2024 Accountability Reports and Data Tables and the 2024 Accountability Data Downloads are now available in TEAL without ratings or scale scores. This includes a data download of the raw component scores for 2023 and 2024.
- The 2024 STAAR, Growth, AEA Retest Growth and EL Student Listings are also available in TEAL. 2024 CCMR Student Listings were posted on August 2, 2024.
- Superintendents who do not have access to TEAL Accountability must request access through the My Application Accounts link under Self Service in TEAL.
- For school systems who have calculated scale scores internally and would like to verify their calculations with the agency, for 2024 or also for 2023 to help internally evaluate progress, please email <u>performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov</u>.

For additional information, visit the TEA's official <u>Performance Reporting</u> webpage, <u>2024 Accountability</u> <u>System</u> page and the <u>2024 Accountability Manual</u> page. The data provided to school systems today is based on the methodology in the final rule adopting the 2024 Accountability Manual, posted on May 9, 2024.

For questions or assistance regarding the information in this TAA, please call the Performance Reporting Division at 512-463-9704 or email <u>performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov</u>.

STAAR Results

STAAR

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: https://txresearchportal.com.

STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS

Summary Report

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 043 WILLOW WOOD J H Grade 7 Reading Language Arts

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summary											Results for Each Reporting Category			
Administration Summary	eq											1	2	2
Number Percent	ts Tested	Score									_			
Students Tested 397 99	Students	Sc									Rea	ding	Writ	ting
Students Not Tested	3	e		Not Meet		S								
Absent 2 1	S.	Scale		ž		ě.								
Other 0 0	ď			lot		Approaches	ø			Masters		Number of D	inte Dessible	
Total Documents Submitted 399 100	Number	Average		2 D		d		Meets		ast		Number of Po	r of Points Possible 28	
Legend	E	/eu		Did		A		ž	:	Ě	4		ts / % Achieved	0
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	ž	¥	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Avg. # of Point	#	%
All Students	397	1768	7 29	7	368	93	313	79	210	53	19.5	70	20.2	72
Male	196	1729	20	10	176	90	144	73	85	43	18.7	67	18.8	67
Female	201	1806	9	4	192	96	169	84	125	62	20.3	72	21.7	77
No Information Provided	0													
Hispanic/Latino	125	1725	15	12	110	88	87	70	51	41	18.0	64	18.8	67
American Indian or Alaska Native	0													
Asian	48	1854	1	2	47	98	43	90	36	75	21.9	78	22.7	81
Black or African American	27	1670	5	19	22	81	14	52	6	22	16.7	60	15.6	56
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White	178	1793		3	172	97	155	87	106	60	20.4	73	21.4	76
Two or More Races	14	1741	1	7	13	93	10	71	8	57	18.9	68	19.3	69
No Information Provided	2													
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	136	1701	19	14	117	86	85	63	44	32	17.6	63	17.5	63
No No Information Provided	261 0	1803	10	4	251	96	228	87	166	64	20.5	73	21.7	77
Title I, Part A Participants	0													
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	397 0	1768	29	7	368	93	313	79	210	53	19.5	70	20.2	72
Migrant Yes	0													
No	396	1769	28	7	368	93	313	79	210	53	19.5	70	20.3	72
No Information Provided	1 57	1704	7	12		88	38	67		28	17.4	62		63
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1	1704	· · ·	12		00		07		20	17.4	62		
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	5	1834	0	0	5	100	5	100	4	80	21.8	78	22.8	81
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0													
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2													
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	21	1880	0	0	21	100	20	95	19	90	22.6	81	23.8	85
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	310	1771	21	7	289	93	247	80	168	54	19.6	70	20.4	73
No Information Provided	1													
Bilingual Participants Nonparticipants	0 396	1769	28	7	368	93	313	 79	210	 53	19.5	70	20.3	 72
No Information Provided	390	1103			500		515		210		19.5		20.5	
ESL Participants	56	1702	7	13	49	88	37	66	15	27	17.4	62	17.6	63
Nonparticipants	340	1780	21	6	319	94	276	81	195	57	19.9	71	20.7	74
No Information Provided	1													
Special Education Yes No	29 367	1559 1786	9 19	31 5	20 348	69 95	6 307	21 84	2 208	7 57	13.3 20.0	48 71	12.4 20.9	44 75
No Information Provided Section 504 Yes	1 52	1732				85		75	21	40	18.5			67
No N	344 1	175	20	6	324	94 	274	80	189	40 55	19.7	70	20.5	73
Gifted/Talented Participants Nonparticipants	61 336	1942 1736	0 29	0	61 307	100 91	61 252	100 75	59 151	97 45	24.1 18.7	86 67	25.1 19.4	90 69
No Information Provided	0		25		307		232		131		10.7		10.4	
At-Risk Yes	117	1661	24	21	93	79	63	54	25	21	16.1	58	16.2	58
No No Information Provided	280 0	1813	5	2	275	98	250	89	185	66 	20.9	75	21.9	78



Summary Report Grade 7 Mathematics

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summary													Results	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	pa										1		2	2	3		4	
Number Percent Students Tested 353 99 Students Not Tested 4 4 Absent 1 0 Other 1 0	er of Students Tested	ge Scale Score		Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	Probabil Nume Represer	rical	Compu and Alç Relatio	jebraic nships	Geome Measur	rement	Data Ar and Pe Finar Liter	rsonal ncial
Total Documents Submitted 355 100	ě	La.		Did		đ		ee		as	6		2	0	11	1	9	
Legend	Number	Average	1			-		2		2				g. # of Point	s / % Achiev			
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students		-	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	353	1900	34	10	319	90	262	74	116	33	4.0	67	13.4	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
Male	176 177	1897 1903	19 15	11 8	157 162	89 92	135 127	77 72	56 60	32 34	4.0 4.0	67 66	13.5 13.3	68 66	7.4 7.6	67 69	7.1 7.1	79 79
Female No Information Provided	0	1903		0	102	92	127	12	00		4.0	00	15.5	00	7.0	09	7.1	19
Hispanic/Latino	119	1860	17	14	102	86	79	66	26	22	3.6	61	12.5	62	7.0	64	6.8	75
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																	
Asian	34	1982	2	6	32	94	29	85	21	62	4.3	72	15.8	79	8.6	78	7.8	86
Black or African American	25	1788	8	32	17	68	10	40	2	8	3.1	52	10.3	52	5.7	52	6.0	67
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3																	
White	159	1933	4	3	155	97	132	83	61	38	4.3	72	14.1	71	8.0	72	7.4	82
Two or More Races	11	1841	2	18	9	82	8	73	4	36	3.8	64	12.6	63	6.6	60	6.5	73
No Information Provided	2	1863	23		107		85	65	34	26	3.6	61		63	7.0	63		75
Economically Disadvantaged Yes No	130 223	1863	23	18 5	212	82 95	177	65 79	34 82	26	3.6	61 70	12.6 13.9	63	7.0	63 71	6.8 7.3	75 81
No Information Provided	223	1921		5	212	35		15	02	51	4.2	70	15.5	03	7.0		1.5	01
Title I, Part A Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	353	1900	34	10	319	90	262	74	116	33	4.0	67	13.4	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
No Information Provided	0																	
Migrant Yes	0																	
No	352	1901	33	9	319	91	262	74	116	33	4.0	67	13.4	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
No Information Provided	1																	
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	56	1865	10	18	46	82	39	70	12	21	3.7	62	12.7	64	6.9	63	6.8	75
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1 5	2042		0	5	100	5	100	3	60	4.6	 77	16.8	84	8.8	80	8.6	96
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0	2042									4.0		10.0		0.0		0.0	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	14	2007	0	0	14	100	14	100	10	71	4.6	77	16.8	84	9.1	82	7.8	87
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	274	1898	23	8	251	92	201	73	89	32	4.0	67	13.3	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
No Information Provided	1																	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	352	1901	33	9	319	91	262	74	116	33	4.0	67	13.4	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
No Information Provided ESL Participants	1 55	1864	10	18		82	38	69	12	22	3.7	62	12.7	63	6.9	63	 6.8	75
ESL Participants Nonparticipants	297	1864	23	18 8	45 274	82 92	224	69 75	12 104	35	3.7 4.1	62 68	12.7	63	6.9 7.6	63	6.8 7.2	75 80
No Information Provided	297	1307			214								13.0		7.0			
Special Education Yes	27	1720	12	44	15	56	9	33	0	0	2.7	44	9.0	45	5.0	45	4.9	54
No	325	1916	21	6	304	94	253	78	116	36	4.1	69	13.8	69	7.7	70	7.3	81
No Information Provided	1																	
Section 504 Yes	50	1892	2	4	48	96	40	80	11	22	3.9	65	13.4	67	7.4	67	7.2	80
No	302	1902	31	10	271	90	222	74	105	35	4.0	67	13.4	67	7.5	68	7.1	79
No Information Provided	1					400												
Gifted/Talented Participants	34 319	2085 1880	0 34	0 11	34 285	100 89	34 228	100 71	29 87	85 27	5.2 3.9	87 64	17.5 13.0	88 65	9.9 7.2	90 66	8.2 7.0	91 78
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	319	1000	34		205	09	228	1	07	21	5.9	04	15.0	05	1.2	00	7.0	10
At-Risk Yes	115	1820	26	23	89	77	66	57		15	3.3	56	11.6	58	6.3	57	6.3	70
No No	238	1939	8	3	230	97	196	82	99	42	4.3	72	14.3	71	8.1	73	7.5	83
No Information Provided	0							_		_								_
									• •		• • •				• •		• •	



Summary Report Grade 8 Reading Language Arts

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration S	ummany											Re	sults for Each R	Reporting Catego	ory
Administration 3	-	Students Tested										1	1	2	2
	Number Percent	es													
		L S	2												
Students Tested	440 100	ent	Score									Rea	ding	Writ	ting
Students Not Tested		ġ.	eS		et		s								
	0 0	S	Scale		Me		e le								
Absent	0 0	6			t		Dac				SIS				
Other	440 100	0	Average		Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets	· ·	Masters			oints Possible	-
Total Documents Submitted	440 100	Ē	era		ă		Ap		Me		Ma	2	8	2	8
Legend	Then Five Students	2	A	#	%	-	%		%		%	#	Avg. # of Point %	s / % Achieved #	%
= No Data Reported For Fewer All Students	r man rive Students	440	1799	# 25	-70 6	# 415	-76 94	# 355	-70 81	# 219	- <u>7</u> 6 50	18.7	67	18.3	65
Male		218	1795	14	6	204	94	172	79	109	50	18.6	66	18.1	65
Female		222	1802	11	5	211	95	183	82	110	50	18.9	67	18.5	66
No Information Provided		0													
Hispanic/Latino		136	1766	15	11	121	89	99	73	57	42	17.6	63	16.8	60
American Indian or Alaska Native	;	0													
Asian		64	1856	2	3	62	97	60	94	48	75	20.5	73	21.1	75
Black or African American	la la seda se	29 0	1765	1	3	28	97	20	69	8	28	17.8	64	16.5	59
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific White	Islander	192	1799		4	185	96	159	83	94	49	18.8	67	18.4	66
Two or More Races		192	1888	ó	ů 0	105	100	139	89	12	63	21.6	77	20.8	74
No Information Provided		0													
Economically Disadvantaged	Ye	s 139	1752	15	11	124	89	100	72	47	34	17.1	61	16.5	59
	N		1821	10	3	291	97	255	85	172	57	19.5	70	19.1	68
	No Information Provided														
Title I, Part A	Participant														
	Nonparticipant No Information Provided		1799	25	6	415	94	355	81	219	50	18.7	67	18.3	65
Migrant	Ye.	_													
mgrant	N	-	1799	25	6	415	94	355	81	219	50	18.7	67	18.3	65
	No Information Provided														
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/		52	1717	13	25	39	75	32	62	17	33	15.3	55	15.4	55
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified f		1													
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified		2													
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified f Monitored 4th Year, reclassified f		0													
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)		27	1865	0	0	27	100	25	93	20	74	20.6	74	21.0	75
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-Eng	llish Learner	357	1805	12	3	345	97	295	83	180	50	19.0	68	18.5	66
No Information Provided	Louinoi	0													
Bilingual	Participant														
	Nonparticipant		1799	25	6	415	94	355	81	219	50	18.7	67	18.3	65
501	No Information Provide														
ESL	Participant Nonporticipant		1721 1808	12 13	25 3	36 379	75 97	31 324	65 83	16 203	33 52	15.6 19.1	56 68	15.5 18.6	55 66
	Nonparticipant No Information Provided		1008	13	3	219	97	324	83	203	52	19.1	68	10.0	66
Special Education	Ye		1671	13	26	37	74	21	42	6	12	13.9	50	12.7	45
	N		1815	12	3	378	97	334	86	213	55	19.3	69	19.0	68
	No Information Provided														
Section 504	Ye		1749	2	4	45	96	33	70	13	28	17.6	63	15.7	56
	No. Information Dravido		1805	23	6	370	94	322	82	206	52	18.8	67	18.6	66
Gifted/Talented	No Information Provided Participant		1960			49	100	48	98	47	96	23.4	84	24.0	86
Ginted/Talented	Nonparticipant		1779	25	6	366	94	307	90 79	172	90 44	18.1	65	17.6	63
	No Information Provide														
At-Risk	Ye		1697	21	20	85	80	53	50	21	20	14.8	53	14.0	50
	N		1831	4	1	330	99	302	90	198	59	19.9	71	19.6	70
	No Information Provide	d 0													



STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS Summary Report Grade 8 Mathematics

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summary													Result	s for Each F	Reporting Cat	tegory		
Administration Summary	eq										1		2	2	3		4	,
Number Percent Students Tested 293 100 Students Not Tested 293 100	Students Tested	Scale Score		Not Meet		Approaches					Nume Represer an Relatior	ntations d	Compu and Alg Relatio	gebraic	Geomet Measur		Data Ar and Pe Finar Liter	rsonal ncial
Absent 0 0	õ	Sc		Ę.		g				ø								
Other 0 0				Ň		2		ts:		Masters			N	umber of Po	oints Possibl	e		
Total Documents Submitted 293 100	Number	Average		Did		d		Meets		as	4		1	9	19)	6	1
Legend	5	Ve				<		2		2			Avg	g. # of Point	ts / % Achiev	ed		
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students		<	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	293	1973	11	4	282	96	245	84	101	34	3.3	83	13.4	71	12.6	66	3.7	62
Male	141	1983	9	6	132	94	116	82	53	38	3.2	80	13.5	71	12.9	68	3.8	63
Female	152	1964	2	1	150	99	129	85	48	32	3.5	87	13.4	70	12.4	65	3.6	60
No Information Provided	0	1953			102	95	86	80	34	32	3.2	80	13.0	69	12.3	65	3.6	60
Hispanic/Latino American Indian or Alaska Native	107	1955			102	95				32	3.2		15.0		12.3	60	3.0	
American indian of Alaska Nauve	31	2111	1	3	30	97	29	94	22	71	3.5	89	16.0	84	15.1	79	4.6	77
Black or African American	23	1928	1	4	22	96	17	74	5	22	3.6	89	12.2	64	11.7	61	3.3	54
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																	
White	119	1955	4	3	115	97	101	85	31	26	3.3	83	13.2	70	12.2	64	3.5	59
Two or More Races	13	2058	0	0	13	100	12	92	9	69	3.5	87	15.2	80	14.8	78	4.5	76
No Information Provided	0																	
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	105	1943	6	6	99	94	85	81	30	29	3.2	81	12.8	68	12.2	64	3.5	58
No No information Consider	188	1990	5	3	183	97	160	85	71	38	3.4	85	13.8	73	12.9	68	3.8	63
No Information Provided	0																	
Title I, Part A Participants Nonparticipants	293	1973		4	282	96	245	84	101	 34	3.3	83	13.4	71	12.6	66	3.7	62
No Information Provided	235	13/3			202		245						13.4		12.0		5.7	
Migrant Yes	Ŭ																	
No	293	1973	11	4	282	96	245	84	101	34	3.3	83	13.4	71	12.6	66	3.7	62
No Information Provided	0																	
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	39	1925	4	10	35	90	28	72	10	26	3.1	76	12.1	64	11.5	60	3.3	56
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	18	2102	0	0	18	100	17	94	12	67	3.6	89	15.8	83	15.2	80	4.7	78
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	236	1971	7	3	229	97	200	85	79	33	3.4	84	13.5	71	12.6	66	3.7	61
No Information Provided	0																-	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	293	1973	11	4	282	96	245	84	101	34	3.3	83	13.4	71	12.6	66	3.7	62
No Information Provided	0																	
ESL Participants	36	1930	4	11	32	89	26	72	10	28	3.1	77	12.2	64 70	11.5	61 67	3.4	57
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	257 0	1979	7	3	250	97	219	85	91	35	3.4	84	13.6	72	12.8	67	3.7	62
Special Education Yes	47	1918	5	11	42	89	34	72	11	23	3.1	78	12.6	66	10.8	57	3.3	55
Special Education Ves	246	1984	6	2	240	98	211	86	90	37	3.4	84	13.6	72	13.0	68	3.8	63
No Information Provided	0		-														-	
Section 504 Yes	37	1940	1	3	36	97	30	81	9	24	3.2	79	13.2	69	11.8	62	3.4	57
No	256	1978	10	4	246	96	215	84	92	36	3.4	84	13.5	71	12.8	67	3.7	62
No Information Provided	0																	
Gifted/Talented Participants	25	2196	0	0	25	100	25	100	23	92	3.6	90	17.4	92	17.1	90	5.1	85
Nonparticipants	268	1952	11	4	257	96	220	82	78	29	3.3	83	13.1	69	12.2	64	3.6	59
No Information Provided At-Risk Yes	0 90	1902		9	82	91	62	69		16	3.1	79		61	10.8	57	3.1	
At-Risk Yes No	203	2005	3	9	200	99	183	90	87	43	3.4	79 85	14.2	75	10.0	71	3.9	52 66
No Information Provided	205	2005	-		200													
the internation of the formed of the formed of					· · · · ·								_				· · · ·	



Summary Report Grade 8 Social Studies

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

													Results	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	eq										1		2	2	3		4	
Number Percent Students Tested 440 100	nts Tested	Score									Hist	orv	Geograj		Governm		Econo Scier	nce,
Students Tested 440 100 Students Not Tested	Students	e Sc		et		s					11130	oly.	Cult	ture	Citize	nship	Technolo Soci	
	St	Scale		Not Meet		Approaches												,
Absent 0 0	٥			5		ac				S								
Other 0 0	er	ge		ž		2		ets		ste			N	umber of Po	pints Possibl	e		
Total Documents Submitted 440 100	Number	Average		Did		PI A		Meets		Masters	22	2	1		1		6	
Legend	1	Av				-				_					s / % Achiev			
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	440	4178	69	16	371	84	257	58	173	39	13.9	63	6.4	64	7.2	65	3.9	66
Male	218	4245	30	14	188	86	138	63	97	44 34	14.4	66	6.7	67	7.3	66	4.1	68
Female	222 0	4112	39	18	183	82	119	54	76	34	13.4	61	6.1	61	7.0	64	3.8	64
No Information Provided Hispanic/Latino	136	4027	31	23	105	77	68	50	41	30	12.8	58	6.0	60	6.6	60	3.6	60
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	4027		23	105			50	41		12.0		0.0		0.0	00	J.0	
Asian	64	4464	5	8	59	92	49	77	37	58	16.0	73	7.1	71	8.0	73	4.5	75
Black or African American	29	3983	7	24	22	76	13	45	8	28	12.6	57	5.5	55	6.4	58	3.8	63
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																	
White	192	4191	24	13	168	88	113	59	78	41	14.0	64	6.5	65	7.3	66	4.0	67
Two or More Races	19	4454	2	11	17	89	14	74	9	47	15.7	71	7.1	71	8.3	76	3.9	66
No Information Provided	0																	
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	139	3983	29	21	110	79	65	47	34	24	12.7	58	5.8	58	6.4	58	3.6	61
No	301	4268	40	13	261	87	192	64	139	46	14.5	66	6.7	67	7.5	68	4.1	68
No Information Provided	0																	
Title I, Part A Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	440	4178	69	16	371	84	257	58	173	39	13.9	63	6.4	64	7.2	65	3.9	66
No Information Provided	0																	
Migrant Yes	0																	
No No Information Provided	440 0	4178	69	16	371	84	257	58	173	39	13.9	63	6.4	64	7.2	65	3.9	66
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	52	3846	19	37	33	63	20	38	12	23	11.8	54	5.5	55	5.5	50	3.3	
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	27	4450	1	4	26	96	21	78	15	56	15.7	71	7.1	71	8.3	76	4.7	78
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	357	4201	49	14	308	86	213	60	144	40	14.0	64	6.5	65	7.3	66	4.0	66
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	440	4178	69	16	371	84	257	58	173	39	13.9	63	6.4	64	7.2	65	3.9	66
No Information Provided	0																	
ESL Participants	48	3859	17	35	31	65	19	40	11	23	11.9	54	5.5	55	5.5	50	3.4	56
Nonparticipants	392 0	4217	52	13	340	87	238	61	162	41	14.1	64	6.5	65	7.3	67	4.0	67
No Information Provided Special Education Yes	50	3757	21	42	29	58	15	30	10	20	11.4	52	4.7	47	5.4	49	3.1	51
Special Education Yes No	390	4232	48	42 12	29 342	56 88	242	30 62	10	20 42	11.4	52 65	4.7	47 66	5.4	49 67	3.1 4.1	68
No No Information Provided		4232	40	12	342	00	242	02	105	42	14.2	05	0.0	00	1.4	07	4.1	
Section 504 Yes	47	4017	14	30	33	70	22	47	14	30	13.0	59	5.9	59	6.4	59	3.5	59
No No	393	4197	55	14	338	86	235	60	159	40	14.0	64	6.5	65	7.2	66	4.0	67
No Information Provided	0							_										
Gifted/Talented Participants	49	4886	0	0	49	100	48	98	44	90	18.1	82	8.4	84	9.8	89	5.1	86
Nonparticipants	391	4089	69	18	322	82	209	53	129	33	13.4	61	6.1	61	6.8	62	3.8	63
No Information Provided	0																	
At-Risk Yes	106	3710	49	46	57	54	29	27	16	15	11.0	50	4.8	48	4.9	44	3.1	51
No	334	4326	20	6	314	94	228	68	157	47	14.8	67	6.9	69	7.9	72	4.2	70
No Information Provided	0																	



Summary Report Grade 8 Science

Report Date: JULY 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summary													Results	s for Each R	eporting Cat	egory		
Administration Summary	bed										1		2		3		4	
Number Percent Students Tested 439 100 Students Not Tested 439 100 Absent 0 0 Other 0 0 Total Documents Submitted 439 100	ber of Students Tested	Average Scale Score		d Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	Matter Ener	rgy	Force, I and E	umber of Po	Earth and		Organis Environ	ments
Legend	Num	era	i	Did		AP		ž		Ě	12	!			s / % Achiev		14	<u>'</u>
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	Ϊ	Av A	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	# AV	<u>, # of Point</u> %	#	eu %	#	%
All Students	439	4309	53	12	386	88	286	65	138	31	8.4	70	5.7	64	7.5	58	7.4	62
Male	218	4404	20	9	198	91	154	71	80	37	8.5	71	6.1	68	8.0	62	7.7	64
Female	221	4214	33	15	188	85	132	60	58	26	8.2	69	5.4	60	7.0	54	7.1	59
No Information Provided	0																	
Hispanic/Latino	135	4120	25	19	110	81	77	57	25	19	7.7	64	5.3	59	6.7	52	6.7	56
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																-	
Asian	64	4722	2	3	62	97	55	86	34	53	9.8	82	6.5	73	9.1	70	8.7	72
Black or African American	29 0	3973	9	31	20	69	12	41	6	21	7.6	64	4.3	48	6.2	48	6.0	50
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	192	4323	16	8	176	92	127	66	61	32	8.3	69	5.8	64	7.7	59	7.6	 63
White Two or More Races	192	4323	16	5	1/6	92	127	79	12	32 63	9.8	69 82	5.8	64 80	8.2	59 63	8.2	68
No Information Provided	0	4000											1.2		0.2			
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	138	4091	22	16	116	84	74	54	26	19	7.8	65	5.2	57	6.6	51	6.7	56
No	301	4409	31	10	270	90	212	70	112	37	8.7	72	6.0	66	8.0	61	7.7	64
No Information Provided	0																	
Title I, Part A Participants	0									1		-						
Nonparticipants	439	4309	53	12	386	88	286	65	138	31	8.4	70	5.7	64	7.5	58	7.4	62
No Information Provided	0																	
Migrant Yes No	0 439	4309	 53	12	386	88	286	65	138	 31	8.4	 70	5.7	64	7.5	58	7.4	62
No Information Provided	435	4305		12	500	00	200	05	150	51	0.4	10	5.7	04	1.5	50	7.4	02
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	52	4013	15	29	37	71	25	48	8	15	7.3	61	4.9	55	6.3	48	6.3	53
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	27	4692	2	7	25	93	24	89	16	59	9.6	80	6.5	72	9.0	69	8.7	72
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	356	4318	36	10	320	90	233	65	112	31	8.4	70	5.8	64	7.6	58	7.4	62
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants Nonparticipants	439	4309	53	12	386	88	286	65	138	 31	8.4	70	5.7	64	7.5	58	7.4	62
No Information Provided	439	4503			500		200		130		0.4		5.7		1.5			
ESL Participants	48	4041	13	27	35	73	24	50	8	17	7.5	62	4.9	55	6.5	50	6.4	54
Nonparticipants	391	4342	40	10	351	90	262	67	130	33	8.5	71	5.8	65	7.6	59	7.5	63
No Information Provided	0																	
Special Education Yes	50	3811	16	32	34	68	18	36	2	4	6.5	54	4.4	49	5.7	44	5.6	47
No	389	4373	37	10	352	90	268	69	136	35	8.6	72	5.9	65	7.7	60	7.6	64
No Information Provided	0																	
Section 504 Yes	47 392	4108	6 47	13	41	87	23 263	49 67	11 127	23 32	7.6 8.5	63 71	4.9 5.8	55 65	7.0	54 58	6.7	56
No No Information Provided	392	4333	4/	12	345	88	263	07	127	32	0.5	/1	5.8	65	7.6	50	7.5	62
Gifted/Talented Participants	49	5138	0	0	49	100	48	98	41	84	10.7	89	7.9	88	10.3	79	9,9	83
Nonparticipants	390	4205	53	14	337	86	238	61	97	25	8.1	67	5.4	61	7.2	55	7.1	59
No Information Provided	0																	
At-Risk Yes	106	3852	37	35	69	65	36	34	10	9	6.8	57	4.3	48	5.6	43	5.8	48
No	333	4454	16	5	317	95	250	75	128	38	8.9	74	6.2	68	8.1	62	7.9	66
No Information Provided	0																	
			-														-	



Summary Report Algebra I

Report Date: SPRING 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 043 WILLOW WOOD J H Algebra I All Students

														Results	for Each R	leporting	Category			
Administration Summary											1	1	:	2	:	3		4	5	
Number Percent	ested										Numb	er and	Describ	oing and Ig Linear	Writing ar Linear Fi	nd Solving unctions,		Functions	Expon	ential
Students Tested 189 100	lts	e									Algebraic	Methods	Functions,	Equations	. Equatio	ns, and	and Eq	uations	Equa	
Students Not Tested	len	Sco		-									and Ine	qualities	Inequ	alities			Equa	
Absent 0 0	Stuc		- Mook		sat															
Other 0 0	ofs	Scale			000				2	2	<u> </u>			NI	mber of Po	inte Dese	ib la			
Total Documents Submitted 189 100		rage	2						1		-	2		4		3		3	7	,
Legend	mber	0	32	5	A D		1		S S		1	2	1 1		# of Point			3	(
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	ž	A	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	- Avg. %	#01F0111	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	189	4739		0		100	188	99		86	9.6	80	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.4	72	5.8	82
Male	96	4729	0	0	96	100	95	99	79	82	9.5	79	11.9	85	10.8	83	9.3	72	5.9	84
Female	93	4749	0	0	93	100	93	100	83	89	9.8	82	12.0	86	11.1	85	9.4	72	5.7	81
No Information Provided	0																			
Hispanic/Latino	36	4631	0	0	36	100	36	100	27	75	9.8	81	11.6	83	10.3	79	8.9	68	5.6	81
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																			
Asian	47	4879	0	0	47	100	47	100	44	94	9.9	82	12.5	89	11.1	86	9.9	76	6.1	87
Black or African American	7	4832	0	0	7	100	7	100	6	86	10.3	86	12.4	89	10.9	84	9.4	73	6.1	88
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																			
White	90	4692	0	0	90	100	89	99	76	84	9.4	78	11.7	83	11.0	85	9.3	71	5.6	79
Two or More Races	9	4835	0	0	9	100	9	100	9	100	9.6	80	12.7	90	11.7	90	9.4	73	6.3	90
No Information Provided	0																			
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	39	4717	0	0	39	100	39	100	32	82	9.5	79	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.2	71	5.6	80
No	150	4745	0	0	150	100	149	99	130	87	9.7	81	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.4	72	5.8	83
No Information Provided Title I. Part A Participants	0																			
Title I, Part A Participants Nonparticipants	189	4739		0	189	100	188	99	162	86	9.6	80	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.4	72	5.8	82
No Information Provided	0	47.50			108		100		102		0.0				10.8		0.4			
Migrant Yes	0																			
No	189	4739	0	0	189	100	188	99	162	86	9.6	80	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.4	72	5.8	82
No Information Provided	0																			
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	15	4594	0	0	15	100	15	100	10	67	9.7	81	11.3	80	9.4	72	9.5	73	5.5	79
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																			
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																			
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																			
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																			
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	16	4865	0	0	16	100	16	100	14	88	9.8	81	12.4	89	11.3	87	9.9	76	6.2	88
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	154	4736	0	0	154	100	153	99	134	87	9.6	80	11.9	85	11.0	85	9.3	71	5.7	82
No Information Provided	0																			
Bilingual Participants Nonparticipants	0 189	4739			189	100	188		162	86	9.6	80	11.9	85	10.9	84	9.4	 72	 5.8	82
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	189	4/58	0		109	100	108	88	102	00	8.0	80	11.8	85	10.8	04	8.4	12	0.0	82
ESL Participants	14	4602	0	0	14	100	14	100	9	64	10.1	84	11.1	80	9.4	73	9.4	72	5.4	78
Nonparticipants	175	4750	ő	ō	175	100	174	99	153	87	9.6	80	12.0	86	11.0	85	9.4	72	5.8	83
No Information Provided	0																			
Special Education Yes	3																			
No	186	4743	0	0	186	100	185	99	160	86	9.6	80	12.0	86	11.0	84	9.3	72	5.7	82
No Information Provided	0																			
Section 504 Yes	12	4759	0	0	12	100	12	100	10	83	10.5	88	11.3	80	11.2	86	8.3	63	5.8	83
No	177	4738	0	0	177	100	176	99	152	86	9.6	80	12.0	86	10.9	84	9.4	73	5.8	82
No Information Provided	0																			
Gifted/Talented Participants	51	5015	0	0	51	100	51	100	51	100	10.2	85	12.9	92	11.7	90	10.5	81	6.3	90
Nonparticipants	138 0	4637	0	0	138	100	137	99	111	80	9.4	79	11.6	83	10.6	82	8.9	69	5.6	79
No Information Provided At-Risk Yes	17	4581				100		100	12	71	9.5	79	11.4	81	9.5	73	9.3	71	5.5	78
At-Risk Yes No	172	4755	0	0	172	100	171	99	12	87	9.5	80	12.0	86	11.1	85	9.3	72	5.8	83
No Information Provided	0	4733							100				12.0							
No momaton Provided																			_	

Attendance

Willow Wood Jupier High	PIA - Cumulative
Willow Wood Junior High	2023-2024
All Students	95.5%
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino	95.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-
Asian	98.1%
Black or African American	94.4%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	95.1%
White	95.0%
Two or More Races	95.9%
Gender	
Male	95.5%
Female	95.6%
Grade	
7th	95.6%
8th	95.5%
Special Population	
At Risk	94.9%
Early Reading Indicator	-
Economic Disadvantage	94.5%
Emergent Bilingual	96.5%
Foster Care	94.0%
Gifted and Talented	96.6%
Homeless Status	77.6%
Migrant	-
Military Connected	94.2%
RDSPD	80.4%
Section 504	95.2%
Special Education	94.0%
Unaccompanied Youth	-

Discipline

Texas statute requires every district to publish a report on violent or criminal incidents at their schools. This annual report must include a statement of the number, rate, and type of violent or criminal incidents that occurred on each district campus, to the extent permitted under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974. These codes include those specified by TEA as mandatory expulsion incidents in the <u>Unsafe School</u> <u>Choice Option Guidance Handbook</u>. Codes 59 and 61 are also monitored by TEA.

Drugs	36	Felony Controlled Substance Violation – TEC §37.007(a)(3)
		Pelony Controlled Substance Violation – TEC 937.007(a)(3)
	29	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
Assaults	30	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007 (a)(2)(A)
	31	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
	32	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(a)(2)(A)
	11	Brought a Firearm to School – TEC 37.007(e) or Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
Weapons	12	Unlawful Carrying of a Location-Restricted Knife under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1) (Location-Restricted knife - longer than 5.5 inches)
	14	Conduct Containing the Elements of an Offense Relating to Prohibited Weapons Under Penal Code 46.05 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
Arson	16	Arson TEC 37.007(a)(2)(B)
Death /	17	Murder, Capital Murder, Criminal Attempt to Commit Murder or Capital Murder TEC 37.007(a)(2)(C)
Deadly	47	Manslaughter TEC 37.007(a)(2)(G)
Conduct	48	Criminally Negligent Homicide TEC 37.007 (a)(2)(H)
	18	Indecency with a Child TE C37.007(a)(2)(D)
Child Abuse	57	Continuous Sexual Abuse of a Young Child or Disabled Individual Under Penal Code 21.02 (TEC 37.007(a)(2)(I)
Kidnaping	19	Aggravated Kidnapping TEC 37.007(a)(2)(E)
Robbery	46	Aggravated Robbery TEC 37.007(a)(2)(F); 37.007(C)-(D)
Other Serious Misbehavior	59	 Serious Misbehavior, as defined by TEC §37.007(c), while expelled to/placed in a Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)- TEC §37.007(c) defines "serious misbehavior" as: (1) deliberate violent behavior that poses a direct threat to the health or safety of others; (2) extortion, meaning the gaining of money or other property by force or threat; (3) conduct that constitutes coercion, as defined by Section 1.07, Penal Code; or (4) conduct that constitutes the offense of: (A) public lewdness under Section 21.07, Penal Code; (B) indecent exposure under Section 28.03, Penal Code; (C) criminal mischief under Section 37.152; or (E) harassment under Section 42.07(a)(1), Penal Code, of a student or district employee.
	61	Bullying TEC 37.0052(b)

Tomball ISD reported the following number of incidents for the above listed discipline infractions over the last three school years:

									Disc	cipline	Code	Э						
Year	Enrollment	11	12	14	16	17	18	19	29	30	31	32	36	46	47	48	57	Total
2023-2024	878	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2022-2023	869	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2021-2022	882	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Wild Wood JH	HUR	inberof St	udents Actions	Students 55 11655	Percent	Action Action	ons student	S Perce	at AC	tions ude	nts Pet	Long .		ents EPPerce	at Action	5 Students Percent	
All	874	131	70	8.01%	38	34	3.89%	9	9	1.03%	0	0	0.00%	178	75	8.58%	
Asian	115	9	7	6.09%	2	2	1.74%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	11	7	6.09%	
Black or African American	66	16	9	13.64%	7	6	9.09%	1	1	1.52%	0	0	0.00%	24	10	15.15%	
Hispanic/Latino	269	41	24	8.92%	12	11	4.09%	3	3	1.12%	0	0	0.00%	56	27	10.04%	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	
Two or More Races	40	5	3	7.50%	1	1	2.50%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	6	3	7.50%	
White	380	60	27	7.11%	16	14	3.68%	5	5	1.32%	0	0	0.00%	81	28	7.37%	
Female	442	20	9	2.04%	9	8	1.81%	3	3	0.68%	0	0	0.00%	32	11	2.49%	
Male	432	111	61	14.12%	29	26	6.02%	6	6	1.39%	0	0	0.00%	146	64	14.81%	
Special Education - Summer	104	39	17	16.35%	11	10	9.62%	2	2	1.92%	0	0	0.00%	52	18	17.31%	
Economic Disadvantage - Fall	281	87	40	14.23%	24	19	6.76%	6	6	2.14%	0	0	0.00%	117	42	14.95%	
Economic Disadvantage - Summer	304	80	39	12.83%	22	18	5.92%	5	5	1.64%	0	0	0.00%	107	41	13.49%	
At Risk - Fall	229	62	27	11.79%	21	18	7.86%	5	5	2.18%	0	0	0.00%	88	30	13.10%	
ESL - Fall	102	23	8	7.84%	6	6	5.88%	1	1	0.98%	0	0	0.00%	30	9	8.82%	
CTE Attendance - Summer	703	111	55	7.82%	39	33	4.69%	9	9	1.28%	0	0	0.00%	159	61	8.68%	
Section 504 - Summer	115	26	10	8.70%	7	5	4.35%	3	3	2.61%	0	0	0.00%	36	11	9.57%	

ISS: In-School Suspension

OSS: Out-of-School Suspension

DAEP: Disciplinary Alternative Education Program

JJAEP: Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: teatexas.gov/reports-and-data

Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals

The Campus will implement the following campus-wide reform strategies to address campus needs and provide opportunities for all children, including each of the subgroups of students (economically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, children with disabilities, English learners, and at-risk students) to meet the challenging State academic standards. The Campus will particularly address the needs of those at-risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards. This Campus will use methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program in the Campus, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.

Goal 1	Science: Growth	in overall perforr	mance indicators i	n 8G Science from pre	vious years data (93/70/36)
Strategies	Tier 1 Instructior	n, Stemscopes, W	/alk-throughs, Sup	port and PLC's	
Acti	ions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
Team PLC's/Col	laborative	Dept Chair	August 2024-May 2025	Agenda's, Team Development, Support Staff and Essential Data	Agenda's, Performance Data, Team/PLC growth
DOK Training		Administration	August 2024	Admin/Ashley Schlafly and David Surdovel	On-going, walkthroughs, evaluations, student performance
AI Training and (DOK	Connection to	Administration	May 2024, August 2024, September 2024	Admin/James Herwig	Surveys, informal/formal observations, walk throughs, Student performance
New bell schedu class times durir prevent any clas split time during maximize instruc	ng the day, ses from having lunch, and to	Administration, Counselors, Teachers	August 2024-May 2025	Consultation with other schools in TISD that already run the schedule we are implementing.	Panorama Data, STAAR Scores
Academic Analy	sis and Support	Counselors and Grade Level Teachers	August 2024-May 2025	Collaborative Process each Unit	Review of student recidivism, performance, organization and study habits

Goal 2		•		5% from previous year : 87/75/26)(8th grade:	
Strategies	Professional Dev	velopment, Data	Analysis and Colla	aboration	
Act	tions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
Collaborative Te Specific Studen Focus		Admin/Dept Chair, Academic Specialist and Instructional Staff	August 2024-May 2025	Agenda's CFA's Assessment (formative and summative assessments)	Weekly, monthly through check-ins, as well as Unit evaluations
Intervention/Enr (Math/RLA)	richment	Instructional Staff/Dept Chair/Academi c Specialist	August 2024-May 2025	Instructional Staff and Content Specialist	Weekly check ins Unit evaluations
Instructional Be Al Supplementa	st Practices and ation	Administration	August 2024-May 2025	James Herwig and Antonio Escobar	Weekly, Unit evaluations
class times duri	sses from having lunch, and to	Administration, Counselors, Teachers	August 2024-May 2025	Consultation with other schools in TISD that already run the schedule we are implementing.	Panorama Data, STAAR Scores

	Improve SpED Subpopulation performance indicator 5% from previous year in Reading (7th grade 68/23/11)-(8th grade 78/45/17) and Math (7th grade (58/33/5)-(8th grade 93/74/27)				
Strategies	Professional Development, Support System, Collaborative Practices, Data/Student Instructional Focus/Common Planning with Team each teacher serves				
Act	ions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
with Content area Student		Admin/Dept Chair, Academic Specialist and Instructional Staff	Weekly and Unit Analysis,	Agenda's CFA's Assessment (formative and summative assessments)	Weekly, check-points, intervention list, Unit evaluations, STAR Ren
Intervention (Math/RLA)		Instructional Staff/Dept Chair/Academi c Specialist	Weekly	Instructional Staff and Content Specialist	Weekly, Unit Assessments, Mid point check in evaluations as well as intervention list

New bell schedule to balance all class times during the day,	J	Consultation with other schools in	Panorama Data, STAAR Scores
prevent any classes from having split time during lunch, and to maximize instructional time		TISD that already run the schedule we are implementing.	

Goal 4	Develop campus wide capacity through "Dominate Leadership Principles"				
Strategies	Quarterly Profes	Quarterly Professional Development			
Actions		Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
leadership development		Caitlin Cain, Sara Rush and Kevin Williams	Quarterly		Pre and post assessment of participants

Goal 5	Improve the 7th and 8th grade RLA Tier 2/3 Intervention System for ALL students to improve student performance				
Strategies	Data analysis/Hi	Data analysis/High Performing Collaborative Practices/Data Trackers			
Actions Responsible Timeline Resource(s)			Resource(s)	Evaluation	
Data Analysis		RLA Team	July 2024-May 2025	Assessment Data/Content Specialists/Data Trackers	Student Growth and performance
Intervention Groups		RLA Team	July 2024-August 2025	Assessment Data/Content Specialists/Data Trackers	Student Growth and performance

Staff Development Needs

Summary of Needs:

The district addresses the Excellent Educators for All Initiative (Title I, Part A under the Every Student Succeeds Act) by developing goals and strategies to decrease the equity gaps as they relate to low-income students and students of color being taught at higher rates than other students by inexperienced, out-of-field, and ineffective teachers. It does not matter what classroom these students walk into – in Tomball ISD, an effective teacher awaits them. In order to successfully implement the DIP for 2024-2025, professional development, including teacher-led training is required for teachers and administrators in the major areas of collaboration, infused technology, multiple pathways to teaching and leading, meaningful evaluation and



TOMBALL ISD Professional Learning

support, strong teacher leadership, mentoring, instructional leadership.

The professional learning and development provided for all staff members is aligned to district Goals, Priorities contained in the Destination 2030 strategic plan, and designed to support the TISD Boulders.

Future Ready Learners:

Specific sessions aligned to this priority are designed to address CTE and CCMR outcomes.

Responsive and Personalized Learning:

Collaborative practices and coaching related to these practices is a focus to support the instructional design process and to ensure collaboration and high quality instruction in each classroom.

Social, Emotional, and Safety Welfare of the Whole Child:

A focus on emotional health and wellness for staff and students continue to advance the culture of campuses through professional learning about the following:

Great Expectations

Emotional Backpack & Wraparound Services

Technology and Digital Learning: Continued integration of technology into instruction enhances blended learning outcomes.

Quality Staffing and Professional Learning: All professional learning sessions are aligned to TISD Boulders: Collaborative Culture High Quality Instruction Social & Emotional Learning

Campus Staff Development Needs

Date of CIT	
Approval:	TBD

Summary of Needs:

Teachers and staff will have opportunities throughout the year for professional development to improve their capacity in the areas of designing initial instruction, Tier 2/3 Intervention (where applicable) that can include components effective student centered activities and re-teach.re-learn activities. This should include professional development, AI training, AWARE training, AI to DOK connections training, Depth of Knowledge (DOK) training (refresher training), IMPACT coaching, TTESS walkthrough and observation feedback ot campus vertical and horizontal alignment/collaborations as well as the many district supports we receive for designing learning and collaboration in PLCs.

Assurances

	Торіс	Goals and Compliance
\boxtimes	CIP Content and Development	This content and development process of this district improvement plan complies with the requirements of Texas Education Codes Chapters 11 and 39.
\boxtimes	Comprehensive Needs Assessment	The Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of data for all students, student groups by ethnicity, gender, economic disadvantage, at-risk status, and participation in programs for special education, bilingual/ESL, gifted and talented and CTE. Goals and strategies were developed according to determined needs.
\boxtimes	Needs of All Students	Through individual student level planning, monitoring and support, the goals of the DIP will be met for all students.
\boxtimes	Goals and Strategies	The DIP goals and strategies include responsible staff, resources, timelines, monitoring, and evaluation.
\boxtimes	Attendance and Completion	The DIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of campus-relevant data related to student attendance, dropout rates, graduation rates, on-track credit accrual of 9 th and 10 th grade students, and college readiness.
\boxtimes	Transitions	The DIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of any campus-relevant transitions from Early Childhood or home to Pre-Kindergarten or Kindergarten, transitions from 8 th grade to 9 th grade including counseling on the Foundation High School program and endorsements, transition to college including counseling and information to students and parents about higher education admissions, financial aid opportunities, the TEXAS and Teach for Texas Grant programs, and the need for making informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
\boxtimes	Suicide Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFB, DMA, and FFE relating to suicide prevention to reduce and eliminate cases.
\boxtimes	Conflict/Violence Prevention and Intervention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FOC, and FOCA relating to violence prevention and intervention.
\boxtimes	Dating Violence Awareness	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFH relating to dating violence awareness and training.
\boxtimes	Bullying Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB relating to the prevention of bullying.
\boxtimes	Coordinated Health Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFA and EHAA relating to a coordinated health program.
\boxtimes	Child Abuse Prevention and Reporting	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
\boxtimes	Child Sexual Abuse Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
\boxtimes	Drug, Tobacco, Alcohol Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FNF relating to drug, tobacco, and alcohol prevention to reduce and eliminate use.
	State Compensatory Education	The district-wide SCE plan is described in the District Improvement Plan. The comprehensive needs assessment of this DIP included an analysis of student achievement data for at-risk students.
\boxtimes	Dyslexia Treatment Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education EHB, FB, EHBC, and EKB relating to a Dyslexia Treatment Program.
\boxtimes	Trauma-Informed Care Policy	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education in relation to requiring the integration of trauma-informed practices in each school environment. The Board approved the Trauma-Informed Care within the Student Code of Conduct Handbook.

Tomball ISD Strategies for Dropout Prevention Aligned to NDPC/N Strategies

The National Dropout Prevention Center and Network (NDPC/N) has conducted and analyzed research; sponsored workshops and national conferences; and collaborated with researchers, policymakers, and practitioners to further the mission of reducing America's dropout rate by meeting the needs of youth in at-risk situations, including students with disabilities.

Students report a variety of reasons for dropping out of school; therefore, the solutions are multidimensional. NDPC has identified Effective Strategies that have the most positive impact on reducing school dropout. These strategies appear to be independent, but actually work well together and frequently overlap. Although they can be implemented as stand-alone strategies, positive outcomes will result when school districts or other agencies develop program improvement plans that encompass most or all of these strategies. These strategies have been successful at all school levels from PK-12 and in rural, suburban, and urban settings. These strategies are grouped into four general categories: Foundational strategies (school-community perspective), early interventions, basic core strategies, and managing and improving instruction.

Foundational Strategies

Systemic Approach: A continuing process of evaluating goals and objectives related to school policies, practices, and organizational structures as they impact a diverse group of learners.

School-Community Collaboration: When all groups in a community provide collective support to the school, a strong infrastructure sustains a caring supportive environment where youth can thrive and achieve.

Safe Learning Environments: A comprehensive violence prevention plan, including conflict resolution, must deal with potential violence as well as crisis management. A safe learning environment provides daily experiences, at all grade levels, that enhance positive social attitudes and effective interpersonal skills in all students.

Early Interventions

Family Engagement: Research consistently finds that family engagement has a direct, positive effect on children's achievement and is the most accurate predictor of a student's success in school.

Early Childhood Education: Birth-to-five interventions demonstrate that providing a child additional enrichment can enhance brain development. The most effective way to reduce the number of children who will ultimately drop out is to provide the best possible classroom instruction from the beginning of their school experience through the primary grades.

Early Literacy Development: Early interventions to help low-achieving students improve their reading and writing skills establish the necessary foundation for effective learning in all other subjects.

Basic Core Strategies

Mentoring/Tutoring: Mentoring is a one-to-one caring, supportive relationship between a mentor and a mentee that is based on trust. Tutoring, also a one-to-one activity, focuses on academics and is an effective practice when addressing specific needs such as reading, writing, or math competencies.

Willow Wood JH Campus Improvement Plan 2024-2025

Service-Learning: Service-learning connects meaningful community service experiences with academic learning. This teaching/learning method promotes personal and social growth, career development, and civic responsibility and can be a powerful vehicle for effective school reform at all grade levels.

Alternative Schooling: Alternative schooling provides potential dropouts a variety of options that can lead to graduation, with programs paying special attention to the student's individual social needs and academic requirements for a high school diploma.

After-School/Out-of-School Opportunities: Many schools provide after-school and summer enhancement programs that eliminate information loss and inspire interest in a variety of areas. Such experiences are especially important for students at risk of school failure because these programs fill the afternoon "gap time" with constructive and engaging activities.

Managing and Improving Instruction

Professional Development: Teachers who work with youth at high risk of academic failure need to feel supported and have an avenue by which they can continue to develop skills, techniques, and learn about innovative strategies.

Active Learning: Active learning embraces teaching and learning strategies that engage and involve students in the learning process. Students find new and creative ways to solve problems, achieve success, and become lifelong learners when educators show them that there are different ways to learn.

Educational Technology: Technology offers some of the best opportunities for delivering instruction to engage students in authentic learning, addressing multiple intelligences, and adapting to students' learning styles. Individualized Instruction: Each student has unique interests and past learning experiences. An individualized instructional program for each student allows for flexibility in teaching methods and motivational strategies to consider these individual differences.

Career and Technical Education (CTE): A quality CTE program and a related guidance program are essential for all students. School-to-work programs recognize that youth need specific skills to prepare them to measure up to the larger demands of today's workplace.

Waivers

Tomball ISD has requested and been approved by the Commissioner of Education for the following waivers allowable through the Texas Education Code and the Texas Administrative Code:

Waiver Type	Reason	Expires	Description
Foreign Exchange Student (5 or more)	Financial or staffing hardship / diminish high quality services / competition for resources	2027	Allows the district to limit the number of foreign exchange students to 5 per high school.
Modified Schedule State Assessment Testing Days	STAAR EOC testing day schedules	2027	This waiver allows the district or charter school to modify the schedule of classes on State Assessment testing days during the school year to reduce interruptions during testing periods.
Texas Data Portal of Texas Assessment Management System	Use of Eduphoria Aware	2027	This waiver allows school districts and charter schools to apply for a waiver of participation in the teacher portal component of the Texas Assessment Management System. A waiver is granted if a district or charter school can provide assurance that the local teacher data portal meets the statutory requirements.
Staff Development General	Professional Development	2025	Each district and open-enrollment charter school may choose how to apply their approved Staff Development Minutes Waiver. For instance, schools may choose to offer early release, late start, all day staff development, or a combination. However, the total waiver minutes for staff development shall not exceed 2,100 minutes per year. This waiver is for staff development in place of student instruction; therefore, the waiver minutes are only applicable to staff development provided instead of student instruction during the school year. Effective with the 2019-2020 school year, the Staff Development Minutes Waiver may not be used prior to the first day of student instruction or after the last day of student instruction.
Full-Day Prekindergarten	Program Requirements	2025	This waiver exempts the district from the requirement to provide full-day prekindergarten for all eligible four-year old students.

District of Innovation

Tomball ISD is an approved Texas Education Agency District of Innovation. Districts of Innovation may be exempt from state statutes to:

- take greater local control in decision-making about the educational and instructional model for students
- have increased autonomy from state mandates that govern educational programing
- be empowered to innovate and plan differently to think outside of the box

The Tomball ISD renewal plan will be in effect for the 2022-2023 school year through the 2026-2027 school year. This plan may be amended at any time by the committee with the approval of the Board of Trustees.

Statutory Exemption	Texas Education Code/ Tomball ISD Board Policy	Proposed Innovation
1. OPERATION OF SCHOOL AND SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	TEC 25.0811 EB(LEGAL)	To allow for a calendar that fits the local needs of our community, we would like to consider moving the mandatory start date back one week which would better benefit our students. a. Students will begin no earlier than the <u>2nd</u> Monday of August. b. Teachers will begin no earlier than the <u>1st</u> Monday of August. c. This will allow the first and second semesters to be closer in the number of days of instruction. d. The goal is to improve the district attendance rate and student success through the flexibility in the calendar. e. Flexible start dates and times will accommodate Early College High School schedules.
2. SUBMITTING WAIVERS FOR KINDERGARTEN – GRADE 4 CLASS SIZE	TEC 25.111 TEC 25.112 TEC 25.113 EEB(LEGAL)	 While we certainly believe that small class size plays a positive role in the classroom, we do not believe it has a negative effect when you only add one or two more students. Many times it is not the number of the students but the makeup and chemistry of the classroom which influence the learning environment. a. TISD will attempt to keep all K-4th core classrooms to a 22:1 ratio. However, in the event the class size exceeds this ratio, the superintendent will report to the Board of Trustees. b. In the event a K-4th core classroom reaches 24:1, the campus will notify the parents of the number of students in the classroom and inform them of the situation. c. A TEA waiver will not be necessary when a K-4th classroom exceeds the 22:1 ratio. d. This gives TISD flexibility without having to apply for waivers within the Texas Education Agency.
3. TEACHER CERTIFICATION	TEC 21.003a TEC 21.057a-e (DK LEGAL) (DK LOCAL) (DK EXHIBIT)	In order to best serve TISD students and allow more flexibility in our scheduling and class offerings, certification issues will be handled locally. a. The campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request to allow a certified teacher to teach one subject out of their certified field. The principal must specify in writing the

		
		reason for the request and document what credentials the certified teacher possesses which qualify this individual to teach this subject.
		b. Flexibility with personnel on Title I campuses per ESSA guidelines.
		c. In exceptional circumstances, when a certified educator is not found for a unique or innovative class, the campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request for local certification that will allow a non-certified yet highly qualified professional to teach OR a certified educator to teach a subject in a related field for which she or he is not credentialed by the state.
		d. A teacher certification waiver, state permit applications or other paperwork will not be submitted to the Texas Education Agency.
	TEC 21.203	a. Tomball ISD will follow a modified TTESS and TPESS as a
4. IMPLEMENT A	TEC 21.352	localized Teacher Appraisal System and an Administrator Appraisal System, which are better aligned with the Tomball
LOCAL TEACHER AND	DNA(LEGAL)	ISD strategic goals and student assessments. This exemption would allow flexibility to evaluate various performance
ADMINISTRATOR APPRAISAL SYSTEM		measures, including classroom observations, goal setting and tracking, and collective student growth progress toward
		identified learning objectives. The local system will be detailed in Board Policy DNA(LOCAL) and accompanying documents.
	TEC 37.0012	The proposal is for the District to seek exemption from the
5. CAMPUS BEHAVIOR COORDINATOR	FO(LEGAL)	statute requiring each school to have a designated campus behavior coordinator. The relationships that are established between the campus administrator, counselor, student, and parent are the foundation for promoting and maintaining positive behavior. Utilizing a local district process allows the administrator who currently has a relationship with the parent and student to be the person to make parental contact. The administrator notifies the parent of discipline or behavior concerns, rather than having contact by a campus behavior coordinator, who may not know all the students, providing a much more individual and personal approach.
	TEC 25.036	Texas Education Code 25.036 and Tomball ISD Board Policy
6. INTER-DISTRICT TRANSFERS	FDA(LEGAL)	FDA (Local) currently allow for inter-district student transfers. Under Section 25.036, a transfer is interpreted to be for one school year. However, in rare instances, a transfer appears not to be in the best interest of the student, the students of Tomball ISD, and the District when the transferred student engages in behavior that warrants significant discipline, does not attend needed interventions, and/or has attendance that falls below the TEA truancy standard. In those rare instances, Tomball ISD seeks the ability to revoke the transfer during the one school year time period.
1	1	Proposed Innovation:

Tomball maintains an inter-district transfer policy under Board Policy FDA (Local) requiring nonresident students wishing to transfer to file a transfer application for each school year, and in approving transfer requests, the Superintendent or designee shall consider the availability of space and instructional staff and the student's disciplinary history and attendance records. Under Policy FDA, transfer students are expected to follow the rules and regulations of the District. The District is seeking to eliminate the provision of a one-year commitment in accepting an inter-district transfer for the following circumstances:



