



CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2024-2025

Campus Name Creekside Park Junior High School

State Name Creekside Park JH

Campus ID# 101-921-044

Principal Renee Miller

Date of Board Approval October 15, 2024



At Tomball ISD, we are not a big, impersonal school district; we are a home for people looking for a warm, close-knit community where teachers, principals, staff and administrators truly care about each individual child. We are also a destination for our strong academic programs and a wide variety of extracurricular activities. Our focus is to make sure that every single student finds a place to belong, a passion to pursue and a love of learning that will serve him or her well beyond the years spent with us.

Board of Education

John E. McStravick

President

Justin Unser Vice President

Mark Lewandowski Secretary

Dr. Michael Pratt
Assistant Secretary

Lee McLeod Trustee

Tina Salem Trustee

Matt Schiel Trustee

District Leadership

Dr. Martha Salazar-Zamora Superintendent of Schools

Zachery Boles Chief Financial Officer

Dr. Steven Gutierrez Chief Operating Officer

Dr. Amy Schindewolf Chief of Staff

Dr. Michael Webb Chief Academic Officer

Dr. George Flores
Assistant Superintendent of Elementary Schools

Dr. Mindy Munoz
Assistant Superintendent of Secondary School

Dr. Alicia Reves
Assistant Superintendent of Human Talent

Mark White
Assistant Superintendent of Accountability

Dr. Lee Wright

Assistant Superintendent of Strategic Initiatives

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies	4
Legal Notice	5
Federal Funds	10
Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives	12
Campus Profile	14
Accreditation	14
Campus and Program Description	14
Demographics	15
Campus Improvement Team (CIT)	18
Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment	19
Sample Integrated Data Sources	19
Effective Schools Framework (ESF)	20
ESF Theory of Action	20
ESF Levers	21
Accountability Summary and Performance Data	22
State A-F Accountability	22
STAAR Results	23
Attendance	32
Discipline	33
Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: teatexas.gov/reports-are	nd-data34
Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals	35
Staff Development Needs	37
Assurances	1
Dropout Prevention	1
Waivers	1
District of Innovation	1

Tomball ISD Non-Discrimination Policies

General Policies

Tomball ISD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability in its programs or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups. The following person has been designated to handle inquiries regarding the nondiscrimination policies: Karen Graves, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2010, karengraves@tomballisd.net

Tomball ISD Board Policy FFH (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any student. Discrimination is defined as treating a student or group of students differently from similarly situated students on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law. Retaliation against anyone exercising their rights under this policy is a violation of District policy and is prohibited.

Tomball ISD Board Policy DIA (LOCAL)

The District prohibits discrimination, including harassment, against any employee on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, disability, or any other basis prohibited by law that adversely affects the employee's employment.

In accordance with law, discrimination on the basis of sex includes discrimination on the basis of any other prohibited basis related to sex.

Vocational Programs

Tomball ISD offers career and technical education (CTE) programs of study in a variety of fields published in the Tomball ISD Course Catalog along with admission requirements.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex or handicap in its vocational programs, services or activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

It is the policy of Tomball ISD not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap, or age in its employment practices as required by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended.

Tomball ISD will take steps to assure that lack of English language skills will not be a barrier to admission and participation in all educational and vocational programs.

For information about your rights or grievance procedures, contact the Title IX Coordinator, Steve Guerrero, at 310 South Cherry, Tomball, TX 77375-5595, (281)357-3100, Ext. 2061, steveguerrero@tomballisd.net; and or the Section 504 Coordinator, Steven Shiels, 11211 F.M. 2920, Tomball, TX 77375, (281)357-3100, Ext. 4111, stevenshiels@tomballisd.net.

Legal Notice

In annual accordance and compliance with chapters 4, 11 and 39 of the Texas Education Code and Tomball ISD Board Policies BQ, BQA, and BQB; each campus principal of all Tomball Independent School District schools has collaborated with the District Improvement Team to analyze data relative to the academic performance of all students including students in special education programs and at-risk students. The data analysis was used as part of a comprehensive needs assessment for the purpose of developing goals and determining strategies to improve student performance and support district and state goals and initiatives. This process aligns with the Texas Effective Schools Framework (ESF). This process and plan satisfy any improvement actions required by the Texas State Accountability Rating System including the Results Driven Accountability (RDA), Data Validation and Verification Monitoring, Data Reporting Compliance, and the state and federal Identification of Schools for Improvement. This process and plan satisfy the federal requirements for campuses and districts under regulation of and/or receiving funding through Titles I, II, III, and IV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, reauthorized in 2015 under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Part B, including the Local Equitable Access Plan required by Title I, Part A Sec. 1112(b)(2). This process and plan satisfy the requirements for the annual comprehensive needs assessment of at-risk students and the development of goals and strategies to improve the academic performance of at-risk students at campuses receiving State Compensatory Education (SCE) funds (TEC, §29.081 TEC, §48.104). The SCE procedures, plans, evaluation, and At-Risk population data is located in the District Improvement Plan (DIP) and school-specific documentation is located in the Campus Improvement Plans (CIP).

The Board shall ensure that a District Improvement Plan and improvement plans for each campus are developed, reviewed, and revised annually for the purpose of improving the performance of all students. The Board shall annually approve District and campus performance objectives and shall ensure that the District and campus plans:

- 1. Are mutually supportive to accomplish the identified objectives; and
- 2. At a minimum, support the state goals and objectives under Education Code Chapter 4.

Texas Education Code 11.251(a)

A board shall adopt a policy to establish a district- and campus-level planning and decision-making process that will involve the professional staff of a district, parents of students enrolled in a district, business representatives, and community members in establishing and reviewing the district's and campuses' educational plans, goals, performance objectives, and major classroom instructional programs.

Texas Education Code 11.251(b)

The District's planning process to improve student performance includes the development of the District's educational goals, the legal requirements for the District and campus improvement plans, all pertinent federal planning requirements, and administrative procedures. The Board shall approve the process under which the educational goals are developed and shall ensure that input is gathered from the District-level committee. [See BQA]

Each school year, the principal of each school campus, with the assistance of the campus-level committee, shall develop, review, and revise the campus improvement plan. The purpose of the campus-level plan is to improve student performance for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, with respect to the student achievement indicators and any other appropriate performance measures for special needs populations.

Texas Education Code 11.253(c)

The district policy must provide that all pertinent federal planning requirements are addressed through the district- and campus-level planning process.

Texas Education Code 11.251(f)

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop jointly with, agree with, and distribute to, parents and family members of participating children a written parent and family engagement policy. The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives for meaningful parent and family involvement, and describe how the district will:

- 1. Involve parents and family members in jointly developing the district plan, and the development of support and improvement plans under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 6311(d);
- 2. Provide the coordination, technical assistance, and other support necessary to assist and build the capacity of all participating schools within the district in planning and implementing effective parent and family involvement activities to improve student academic achievement and school performance, which may include meaningful consultation with employers, business leaders, and philanthropic organizations, or individuals with expertise in effectively engaging parents and family members in education;
- 3. Coordinate and integrate parent and family engagement strategies under Title I, Part A with parent and family engagement strategies to the extent feasible and appropriate, with other relevant federal, state, and local laws and programs;
- 4. Conduct, with the meaningful involvement of parents and family members, an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of the parent and family engagement policy in improving the academic quality of all schools served under Title I, Part A, including identifying:
 - a. Barriers to greater participation by parents in activities authorized by section 6318 (with particular attention to parents who are economically disadvantaged, are disabled, have limited English proficiency, have limited literacy, or are of any racial or ethnic minority background);
 - b. The needs of parents and family members to assist with the learning of their children, including engaging with school personnel and teachers; and
 - c. Strategies to support successful school and family interactions;
- 5. Use the findings of the above evaluation to design evidence-based strategies for more effective parental involvement, and to revise, if necessary, the parent and family engagement policies described in section 6318; and
- 6. Involve parents in the activities of the schools served under Title I, Part A, which may include establishing a parent advisory board comprised of a sufficient number and representative group of parents or family members served by the local educational agency to adequately represent the needs of the population served by such agency for the purposes of developing, revising, and reviewing the parent and family engagement policy.

20 U.S.C. 6318(a)(2) [See BQ(LOCAL)]

A district that receives Title I, Part A funds shall develop a district improvement plan that addresses equity 1111(g)(1)(B). The policy shall be incorporated into a district plan developed under 20 U.S.C. 6312 [TEA-approved plan to receive Title I funds], establish the district's expectations and objectives (b) Plan Provisions.

To ensure that all children receive a high-quality education, and to close the achievement gap between children meeting the challenging State academic standards and those children who are not meeting such standards, each local educational agency plan shall describe:

- 1. How the local educational agency will monitor students' progress in meeting the challenging State academic standards by
 - a. developing and implementing a well-rounded program of instruction to meet the academic needs of all students;
 - b. identifying students who may be at risk for academic failure;
 - c. providing additional educational assistance to individual students the local educational agency or school determines need help in meeting the challenging State academic standards; and
 - d. identifying and implementing instructional and other strategies intended to strengthen academic programs and improve school conditions for student learning;

- 2. How the local educational agency will identify and address, as required under State plans as described in section 1111(g)(1)(B), any disparities that result in low-income students and minority students being taught at higher rates than other students by ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers;
- 3. How the local educational agency will carry out its responsibilities under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1111(d);
- 4. The poverty criteria that will be used to select school attendance areas under section 1113;
- 5. In general, the nature of the programs to be conducted by such agency's schools under sections 1114 and 1115 and, where appropriate, educational services outside such schools for children living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children, and for neglected and delinquent children in community day school programs;

20 U.S.C. 6312 section 1111(g)(1)(B)

A district shall have a district improvement plan that is developed, evaluated, and revised annually, in accordance with district policy, by the superintendent with the assistance of the district-level committee. The purpose of the district improvement plan is to guide district and campus staff in the improvement of student performance for all student groups in order to attain state standards in respect to the achievement indicators.

Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

The district improvement plan must include provisions for:

- A comprehensive needs assessment addressing performance on the achievement indicators, and other
 appropriate measures of performance, that are disaggregated by all student groups served by a district,
 including categories of ethnicity, socioeconomic status, sex, and populations served by special
 programs, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29,
 Subchapter A.
- 2. Measurable district performance objectives for all appropriate achievement indicators for all student populations, including students in special education programs under Education Code Chapter 29, Subchapter A, and other measures of student performance that may be identified through the comprehensive needs assessment.
- 3. Strategies for improvement of student performance that include:
 - a. Instructional methods for addressing the needs of student groups not achieving their full potential.
 - b. Evidence-based practices that address the needs of students for special programs, including:
 - . (1) Suicide prevention programs, in accordance with Health and Safety Code Chapter 161, Subchapter O-1, which includes a parental or guardian notification procedure [see FFB];
 - ii. (2) Conflict resolution programs;
 - iii. (3) Violence prevention programs; and
 - iv. (4) Dyslexia treatment programs.
 - c. Dropout reduction.
 - d. Integration of technology in instructional and administrative programs.
 - e. Positive behavior interventions and support, including interventions and support that integrate best practices on grief-informed and trauma-informed care
 - f. Staff development for professional staff of a district.
 - g. Career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills, and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities.
 - h. Accelerated education.
 - i. Implementation of a comprehensive school counseling program under Section 33.005
- 4. Strategies for providing to middle school, junior high school, and high school students, those students' teachers and school counselors, and those students' parents information about:
 - a. Higher education admissions and financial aid opportunities such as the TEXAS grant program and the Teach for Texas grant program established under Chapter 56.

- b. The need for students to make informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
- c. Sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid.
- 5. Resources needed to implement identified strategies.
- 6. Staff responsible for ensuring the accomplishment of each strategy.
- 7. Timelines for ongoing monitoring of the implementation of each improvement strategy.
- 8. Formative evaluation criteria for determining periodically whether strategies are resulting in intended improvement of student performance.
- 9. The policy under Section 38.0041 addressing sexual abuse and other maltreatment of children; and
- 10. The trauma-informed care policy required under Section 38.036 which must address
 - a. using resources developed by the agency, methods for:
 - i. increasing staff and parent awareness of trauma-informed care; and
 - ii. implementation of trauma-informed practices and care by district and campus staff; and
 - b. available counseling options for students affected by trauma or grief.

Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

11. A discipline management program providing for prevention of and education concerning unwanted physical or verbal aggression, sexual harassment, and other forms of bullying in schools, on school grounds, and in school vehicles.

Texas Education Code 37.083(a)

12. A dating violence policy that must:

- a. Include a definition of dating violence that includes the intentional use of physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse by a person to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control another person in a dating relationship, as defined by Family Code 71.0021; and
- b. a clear statement that dating violence is not tolerated at school; and
- c. reporting procedures and guidelines for students who are victims of dating violence, including a procedure for immediately notifying the parent or guardian of a student about a report received by the district identifying the student as an alleged victim or perpetrator of dating violence; and
- d. Address safety planning, enforcement of protective orders, school-based alternatives to protective orders, training for teachers and administrators, counseling for affected students, and awareness education for students and parents.
 - Texas Education Code 37.0831 [See FFH]

13. An Anti-Bullying policy that must:

- a. include an emphasis on bullying prevention by focusing on school climate and building healthy relationships between students and staff;
- b. require each district campus to establish a committee to address bullying by focusing on prevention efforts and health and wellness initiatives;
- c. require students at each grade level to meet periodically for instruction on building relationships and preventing bullying, including cyberbullying;
- d. include an emphasis on increasing student reporting of bullying incidents to school employees by:
 - i. increasing awareness about district reporting procedures; and
 - ii. providing for anonymous reporting of bullying incidents;
- e. require districts to:
 - i. collect information annually through student surveys on bullying, including cyberbullying; and
 - ii. use those survey results to develop action plans to address student concerns regarding bullying, including cyberbullying; and

- f. require districts to develop a rubric or checklist to assess an incident of bullying and to determine the district's response to the incident.
- 14. A policy addressing sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children that must address:
 - a. methods for increasing staff, student, and parent awareness of issues regarding sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children, including prevention techniques and knowledge of likely warning signs indicating that a child may be a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment, using resources developed by the agency or the commissioner regarding those issues, including resources developed by the agency under Section 38.004;
 - b. actions that a child who is a victim of sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment should take to obtain assistance and intervention; and
 - c. available counseling options for students affected by sexual abuse, sex trafficking, or other maltreatment.

 Texas Education Code 38.0041

A district's plan for the improvement of student performance is not filed with TEA, but the district must make the plan available to TEA on request.

Texas Education Code 11.252(b)

The district-level committee shall assist the superintendent with the annual development, evaluation, and revision of the district improvement plan.

Texas Education Code 11.252(a)

Each school district shall use the results from the teaching and learning conditions survey required by Education Code 7.065(a), conducted biennially, to review and revise, as appropriate, the district-level improvement plan, and for other purposes, as appropriate to enhance the district learning environment.

Texas Education Code 7.065(e)

A district-level committee of a district with a junior high, middle, or high school campus shall analyze information related to dropout prevention, including:

- 1. The results of the audit of dropout records;
- 2. District information related to graduation rates, dropout rates, high school equivalency certificate rates, and the percentage of students who remain in high school more than four years after entering grade 9;
- 3. The number of students who enter a high school equivalency certificate program and:
 - a. Do not complete the program,
 - b. Complete the program but do not take the high school equivalency examination, or
 - c. Complete the program and take the high school equivalency examination but do not obtain a high school equivalency certificate;
- 4. For students enrolled in grades 9 and 10, information related to academic credit hours earned, retention rates, and placements in disciplinary alternative education programs and expulsions under Chapter 37; and
- 5. The results of an evaluation of each school-based dropout prevention program in a district.

Each district-level committee shall use the information in developing the district improvement plan.

Texas Education Code 11.255

For staff development under Education Code 21.451(a), a district may use district-wide staff development developed and approved through the district-level decision process.

Texas Education Code 21.451(c)

Staff development shall be predominantly campus-based, related to achieving campus performance objectives, and developed and approved by the campus-level committee.

DMA(LEGAL)

Federal Funds

Supplement, Not Supplant Methodology

County-District #: 101921 LEA Name: Tomball ISD School Year: 2023-2024

Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology

Purpose:

The Supplement, Not Supplant (SNS) Methodology described in this document is used to ensure that State and local funds are distributed in such a way that each Title I campus receives all of the State and local funds that it would receive in the absence of Title I funds.

(1) IN GENERAL –A State educational agency or local educational agency shall use Federal funds received under this part only to supplement the funds that would, in the absence of such Federal funds, be made available from State and local sources for the education of students participating in programs assisted under this part, and not to supplant such funds.

Tomball ISD has adopted the following policies to assure compliance with regulations regarding Federal funds:

EHBD (LEGAL)
EHBD (LOCAL)

(2) COMPLIANCE. —To demonstrate compliance with paragraph (1), a local educational agency shall demonstrate that the methodology used to allocate State and local funds to each school receiving assistance under this part ensures that such school receives all of the State and local funds it would otherwise receive if it were not receiving assistance under this part.

Assurance:

The LEA assures that—

- ☑ any State and local funds that are retained at the LEA level will be used in a Title I neutral manner; and
- any Title I, Part A funds that are reserved at the LEA level will be used only for Title I, Part A purposes, as indicated in the LEA's approved ESSA Consolidated Federal Grant Application.

As part of Tomball ISD's priority-based budget process, the District uses the following methodology to allocate state and local funds to district and campus programs without regard to participation in the Title I, Part A program.

Distribution by Personnel and Non-personnel Expenditures (per-personnel position plus per-pupil).

The annual budget process is a major activity in moving the District and its organizational units toward the achievement of strategic goals designed to improve student learning. In essence, the budget process is the translation of the planning process into financial terms and measurements. Tomball ISD focuses on the following priorities when financially planning for the school year:

- 1. Sustainability of existing staff
- 2. Hiring need for the projected student enrollment growth
- 3. Class-reduction teachers for campuses with greatest number of at-risk students
- 4. Innovative programs

The District prioritizes campus staffing because we understand that providing smaller student-teacher ratios in all classrooms increases student academic achievement. The average student-teacher ratio for Tomball ISD is 16:1 K-12 in comparison to the State's mandated 22:1 for K-4.

It is understood that the LEA must provide sufficient State and local funds to campuses in order to provide a free, public education, in the absence of Title I, Part A funds.

Description of methodology:
The basis on which State and local funds are allocated:
□ DISTRICTWIDE or
CAMPUS CATEGORY
The type of methodology used:
✓ SIMPLE FLAT AMOUNT PER-PUPIL
☐ WEIGHTED PER-PUPIL
☐ PERSONNEL-NONPERSONNEL COSTS

Description of criteria used: Tomball ISD (LEA) used a simple per-pupil amount for all campuses in Tomball ISD (LEA). The per-pupil amount is multiplied by the campus enrollment amount to identify the campus allocation for the school year.

Tomball ISD spends approximately 87 percent of general fund expenditures on payroll related expenses.

Attachments:

<u>Annual Budget Budget Book</u>
Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR)

This methodology was originally developed on September 6, 2018. Dates of any revisions are below:

December 5, 2018	September 23, 2021	October 27, 2022
September 30, 2019	May 5, 2022	January 26, 2023
October 2, 2020	July 5, 2022	April 13, 2023
December 15, 2020	September 22, 2022	May 18, 2023

Vision, Mission, Goals, and Objectives

State Mission

The mission of the Texas public education system is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their full potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities in our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of Texas and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of Texas citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

State Objectives

The objectives of public education are:

Objective 1: Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Objective 2: Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Objective 3: Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a diploma.

Objective 4: A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

Objective 5: Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

Objective 6: Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Objective 7: Texas students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

Objective 8: School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Objective 9: Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

Objective 10: Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

Objective 11: The State Board of Education, TEA, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

State Goals

The academic goals of public education are to serve as a foundation for a well-balanced and appropriate education. The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in:

Goal 1: The reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: The understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: The understanding of science.

Goal 4: The understanding of social studies.

Education Code 4.001, .002

District Beliefs

- 1. Students are valuable individuals.
- 2. Students should be actively engaged.
- 3. Culture cultivates character and accountability.
- 4. Safe, supportive environments promote higher achievement.
- 5. Respecting diversity leads to cultural awareness and a global perspective.
- 6. Relationships in our classrooms, our schools, and our community are essential.
- 7. Well-planned, applicable staff development leads to improved instruction.
- 8. Enthusiastic and effective educators inspire our students.
- 9. A challenging, well-aligned curriculum fosters individual potential and continuous learning.

District Vision

Tomball ISD students will lead in creating the future.

District Mission

Tomball ISD educates students to become responsible productive citizens by providing innovative, individually rigorous, and personally valuable educational experiences.

District Goals

- 1. Tomball ISD will develop, continuously enhance and utilize rigorous college, career, and life ready curriculum that is responsive to the needs of individual learners, including students in special education.
- 2. Tomball ISD will provide multiple sources of high quality academic content that infuses technology in learning experiences and instruction.
- 3. Tomball ISD will attract, develop and retain high quality staff through a well –defined, personally valuable professional development plan and support structure.
- 4. Tomball ISD will foster a culture of caring and compassionate educators to provide a supportive learning environment.
- 5. Tomball ISD will promote academic success by engaging students through real world experiences while cultivating independent thinking and creative problem solving.
- 6. Tomball ISD will prepare our graduates to succeed in the college/career path of their choice.
- 7. Tomball ISD will promote an emotionally and physically safe and secure learning environment.
- 8. Tomball ISD will be fiscally responsible while meeting the educational and facility needs of the students.
- 9. Tomball ISD will inspire students to develop and exhibit character traits that are reflective of community standards.
- 10. Tomball ISD will actively engage and involve parents and the community.

District Objectives

All Tomball ISD students will:

- 1. Achieve academic growth and reach their individual potential.
- 2. Develop and exhibit positive character traits in all aspects of their lives.
- 3. Actively seek opportunities beyond the classroom to enhance their educational experiences.
- 4. Be actively engaged, thinking independently to become creative problem solvers.
- 5. Be prepared for post-secondary success in the global marketplace.

AE(LOCAL)

Campus Profile

Accreditation

Tomball Independent School District and Creekside Park Junior High School are fully <u>accredited</u> by the Texas Education Agency with no warnings or probationary sanctions for the district nor any campuses.


Tomball ISD Is a Texas Education Agency District of Innovation.


Campus and Program Description

CPJH offers all the regular programs offered by TISD for grades 6-8. This includes core class instruction in English Language Arts, Math, Science, and Social Studies. In these core classes levels of regular and TAP are offered. CPJH provides a variety of elective for students including Physical Education, Athletics, Dance, Leadership Officer Training Corp., Touch System Data Entry, Principles of Information Technology, Health, Exploring Careers, Business Finance Marketing, Art, Band, Orchestra, Choir, Theatre, Yearbook, Exploring Languages, Spanish, and Health.

Each day, Cougar Den will provide designated time to participate in activities related to state, district, and campus initiatives. Dedicating time to these initiatives during Cougar Den allows us to continue to exceed our goals without sacrificing other valuable instructional time. The schedule is structured so that each day is designated for a particular purpose, including those who qualify for HB 4545 support. Activities include reading and writing to support the district literacy initiative, character education to support state and district goals, as well as some time for students to reflect on their own personal progress and needs. Cougar Den is an important component of the success culture cultivated at CPJH.

CPJH also offers a host of club organizations including Drama, Robotics, Computer Coding, Cougars for Christ, Environmental, Cooking, Soccer, Chess, Lego Building, and Spanish. Students have the opportunity to select clubs of their interest.





Demographics

The following demographics represent the composition of the students and staff for the previous school year (2023-2024 Fall PEIMS) associated with the student performance measures used in the data analysis.

School Population	Count	Percent
Student Total	1,173	100%
6th Grade	410	34.95%
7th Grade	397	33.84%
8th Grade	366	31.20%
Student Demographics	Count	Percent
Gender		
Female	573	48.85%
Male	600	51.15%
Ethnicity		
Hispanic-Latino	473	40.32%
Race		
American Indian - Alaskan Native	0	0.00%
Asian	99	8.44%
Black - African American	36	3.07%
Native Hawaiian - Pacific Islander	1	0.09%
White	511	43.56%
Two-or-More	53	4.52%
Student Programs	Count	Percent
Dyslexia	71	6.05%
Gifted and Talented	197	16.79%
Regional Day School Program for the Deaf	0	0.00%
Section 504	119	10.14%
Special Education (SPED)	77	6.56%
Bilingual/ESL		
Emergent Bilingual (EB)	195	16.62%
Bilingual	0	0.00%
English as a Second Language (ESL)	186	15.86%
Alternative Bilingual Language Program	0	0.00%
Alternative ESL Language Program	0	0.00%
Title I Part A		
Schoolwide Program	0	0.00%

Targeted Assistance	0	0.00%
Targeted Assistance Previously Participated	0	0.00%
Title I Homeless	0	0.00%
Neglected	0	0.00%
Student Indicators	Count	Percent
At-Risk	315	26.85%
Foster Care	0	0.00%
IEP Continuer	0	0.00%
Immigrant	111	9.46%
Intervention Indicator	28	2.39%
Migrant	0	0.00%
Military Connected	1	0.09%
Transfer In Students	42	3.58%
Unschooled Asylee/Refugee	0	0%
Economic Disadvantage		
Economic Disadvantage Total	159	13.55%
Free Meals	102	8.70%
Reduced-Price Meals	19	1.62%
Other Economic Disadvantage	38	3.24%
Homeless and Unaccompanied Youth	•	•
Homeless Status Total	0	0.00%
Shelter	0	0.00%
Doubled Up	0	0.00%
Unsheltered	0	0.00%
Hotel/Motel	0	0.00%
Not Unaccompanied Youth	0	0.00%
ls Unaccompanied Youth	0	0.00%
Special Education Services	Count	Percent
Primary Disabilities	-	-
No Disability	0	0.00%
Orthopedic impairment	0	0.00%
Other health impairment	24	31.17%
Auditory impairment	0	0.00%
Visual impairment	0	0.00%
Deaf-Blind	0	0.00%
Intellectual disability	3	3.90%
Emotional disturbance	5	6.49%
Learning disability	28	36.36%
N	-	

Speech impairment	4	5.19%
Autism	13	16.88%
Developmental delay	0	0.00%
Traumatic brain injury	0	0.00%
Noncategorical early childhood	0	0.00%
Instructional Settings		
Speech Therapy	4	5.19%
Homebound	0	0.00%
Hospital Class	0	0.00%
Mainstream	40	51.95%
Resource Room	22	28.57%
VAC	0	0.00%
Off Home Campus	1	1.30%
State School	0	0.00%
Residential Care	0	0.00%
Self Contained	10	12.99%
Full-Time Early Childhood	0	0.00%
Nonpublic Day School	0	0.00%
College and Career Readiness School Models	Count	Percent
Associate Degree Does not include leavers	0	0.00%
Early College High School (ECHS)	0	0.00%
New Tech	0	0.00%
P-Tech	0	0.00%
T-Stem	0	0.00%
Staff Information	Count	Percent
Administrative Support	20	20.00%
Teacher	69	69.00%
Educational Aide	11	11.00%
Auxiliary	0	0.00%

Campus Improvement Team (CIT)

A campus improvement team shall be established on each campus to assist the principal. The committee shall meet for the purpose of implementing planning processes and site-based decision making in accordance with Board policy and administrative procedures and shall be chaired by the principal.

The committee shall serve exclusively in an advisory role except that each campus committee shall approve staff development of a campus nature.

Campus Improvement Team (CIT) Membership


Name of CIT Member	Position
Steven Shiels	District Appointee
Sarah Carroll	Classroom Teacher
Kristi Budd	Classroom Teacher
Kim DeMoss	Classroom Teacher
Halie Summers	Classroom Teacher
Stacy Landgrebe	Non-classroom Professional
Julie Bridenstine	Parent
Amanda Anselmi	Parent
Blaine Scelfo	Business Representative
Alex Rogers	Business Representative
Elizabeth Girotto	Community Representative
Anita Andrews	Community Representative

Meeting Dates						
	9/19/2024					
TBA						
TBA						
TBA						

Data Analysis and Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Data Action is structured around a multidimensional "data cube" which represents the dynamic relationship between all students, teachers, and standards. Data Action starts with an analysis of integrated data sources through which district

needs are identified. The District Improvement Plan is based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that takes into account information on the academic achievement of children in relation to challenging State academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at-risk of failing, to meet the challenging State academic standards and any other factors as determined by the district. The needs are assessed to determine what is the root cause which drives the current results. Lastly, strategies are developed to address those root causes. Action items are detailed to monitor timelines, responsibility, and resources, and a SMART goal is established to measure efficacy.



Sample Integrated Data Sources


Students	Teachers	Standards
STAAR/EOC Results Texas Academic Performance Reports TELPAS results Curriculum- Based Assessments Formative assessments Interim Assessments Student portfolios CLI/STAR Early Literacy Results Results Driven Accountability (RDA) Eduphoria Aware Reports	Student Growth/Progress reports STAAR/EOC Results TTESS domain scores Walk-through data Professional development goals Attendance Retention rates Discipline referrals Lesson Plans Failure rates Grades vs Assessment Results	Assessment item analysis Lead4Ward reports Vertical Alignment Matrices TEKS gaps analysis Tomball ISD Curriculum Lesson plans/Instructional design Most Missed Questions (MMQ's) SAT Analysis Reports AP Analysis Reports PSAT Analysis Reports Summary of Major Assessment
Individual Education Plans Attendance data <u>Discipline referrals</u> Rtl Progress Monitoring reports Report cards <u>Graduation/Dropout rates</u> <u>AP data</u> Dual credit data CCMR Reports <u>SAT/ACT Scores</u> Summary of Major Assessment (SOMA) Framework Panorama Survey Results <u>PEIMS</u> , <u>TPEIR</u> , <u>TAPR</u> , <u>SRC</u> Reports	TEKS content knowledge Technology skills Assessment design Participation in collaboratives AP exam participation and results UIL participation and results Growth Analysis Reports Star Renaissance SGA analysis Panorama Survey Results	(SOMA) Framework

Data from the above listed sources is analyzed at the district and campus level and disaggregated by student populations and special programs. Information on a wide variety of district, campus and student performance is publicly available at: https://tea.texas.gov/reports-and-data

Effective Schools Framework (ESF)

At the core of effective schools is effective instruction: interactions between students, teachers, and content determine learning outcomes. This instructional core is strengthened and supported by effective, well-supported teachers, high-quality curriculum, and positive school culture. Strong school leadership and careful planning encompass and ensure each of these prioritized levers.

The Effective Schools Framework consists of a set of district commitments and, for schools, essential actions. District Commitments describe what local education agencies do to ensure that schools are set up for success. The Essential Actions describe what the most effective schools do to support powerful teaching and learning. The ESF framework is rooted in the continuous improvement process.



ESF Theory of Action



BUILD A COMMON VISION

The Effective Schools Framework builds a common vision with clarity and specificity to codify the best practices that effective Texas schools engage in daily.

ASSESS

Campuses should assess current campus practices to the aspiration language of the Essential Actions in the Effective Schools Framework. This practice will act as a needs assessment to determine strengths and areas of growth. To ensure campuses and districts are appropriately prioritizing the highest leverage actions for continuous improvement efforts, the ESF Diagnostic Process serves as an effective tool to clearly identify strengths and areas of growth through the lens of an unbiased

ESF Facilitator.

PRIORITIZE GAPS

Once a campus has assessed their current practices, they will prioritize 2 – 3 Essential Actions to prioritize their focus. Prioritization can be identified through a big gap/small gap analysis to narrow the focus on highest leverage actions that lead to improved systems and student outcomes.

CONNECT WITH CAPACITY BUILDERS

Capacity building is a key part of the school improvement process. Campuses can partner with Vetted Improvement Partners (VIPs) or Education Services Centers (ESCs) who offer services to help improve campus practices and procedures aligned to specific essential actions of the Effective Schools Framework.

TARGETED SUPPORT

Accountability is the foundation to success in any change effort. Districts and campuses should establish an ongoing targeted support plan throughout their continuous improvement efforts.

ESF Levers

	Strong School Leadership and Planning	Effective campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities develop, implement, and monitor campus systems and structures that are aligned to a compelling school mission, vision, values, and goals rooted in student achievement.
**************************************	Strategic Staffing	Campus leadership retains effective, well-supported teachers by strategically recruiting, selecting, assigning and inducting teachers so that all students have access to high-quality educators.
	Positive School Culture	Campus systems support positive school culture through explicit behavioral expectations, school-wide culture routines, proactive and responsive student support services, and involved families and communities.
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	High Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments	All students engage daily with TEKS-aligned, high-quality instructional materials, and assessments that support learning at appropriate levels of rigor.
	Effective Instruction	Campus leaders provide teachers with job-embedded professional development, including observation and feedback cycles, and access to time and data needed to reflect, adjust, and deliver instruction that meets the needs of all students.

Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning

- 1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders (principal, assistant principal, counselors, teacher leaders) with clear roles and responsibilities
- 1.2 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment, high expectations, and rigorous instruction
- 1.3 Focused plan development and regular monitoring of implementation and outcomes

Lever 2: Strategic Staffing

2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct, and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators

Lever 3: Positive School Culture

- 3.1 Explicit school-wide behavioral expectations and culture routines
- 3.2 Proactive and responsive student support services
- 3.3 Involving families and community

Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials & Assessments

4.1 Daily use of high-quality instructional materials

Lever 5: Effective Instruction

- 5.1 Professional Development for Effective Classroom Instruction
- 5.2 Build teacher capacity through observation and feedback cycles
- 5.3 Data-driven instruction
- 5.4 MTSS for students with learning gaps











Accountability Summary and Performance Data

State A-F Accountability

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: txschools.gov

At the time of publication, the 2024 A-F Accountability System ratings have not been released.



To the Administrator Addressed

Commissioner Mike Morath

1701 North Congress Avenue • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • tea.texas.gov

DATE:	August 14, 2024
SUBJECT:	2024 Underlying Accountability Subset Data Available in TEAL
CATEGORY:	Performance Reporting
NEXT STEPS:	Share with appropriate staff

The Texas A–F accountability system is a tool to help school systems continuously improve student performance to achieve the goals of eliminating achievement gaps based on race, ethnicity and socioeconomic status and ensuring Texas is a national leader in preparing students for success after graduation. The purpose of this communication is to announce that underlying 2024 accountability data was released to school systems today to assist school leaders with internal evaluation of academic performance.

Due to a pending lawsuit, the issuance of 2024 A-F ratings and Comprehensive, Targeted and Additional Targeted Support school improvement designations are pending and subject to change based on judicial rulings. Authorized school system users in the TEA Login (TEAL) Accountability application have access to data, reports and downloads that do not include A-F ratings or scale scores, nor federal school improvement designations.

- The 2024 Accountability Reports and Data Tables and the 2024 Accountability Data Downloads
 are now available in TEAL without ratings or scale scores. This includes a data download of the
 raw component scores for 2023 and 2024.
- The 2024 STAAR, Growth, AEA Retest Growth and EL Student Listings are also available in TEAL. 2024 CCMR Student Listings were posted on August 2, 2024.
- Superintendents who do not have access to TEAL Accountability must request access through the My Application Accounts link under Self Service in TEAL.
- For school systems who have calculated scale scores internally and would like to verify their
 calculations with the agency, for 2024 or also for 2023 to help internally evaluate progress,
 please email performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov.

For additional information, visit the TEA's official <u>Performance Reporting</u> webpage, <u>2024 Accountability System</u> page and the <u>2024 Accountability Manual</u> page. The data provided to school systems today is based on the methodology in the final rule adopting the 2024 Accountability Manual, posted on May 9, 2024.

For questions or assistance regarding the information in this TAA, please call the Performance Reporting Division at 512-463-9704 or email performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov.

STAAR Results

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: https://txresearchportal.com.



STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS Summary Report

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK Grade 6 Reading Language Arts

Report Date: JULY 2024
Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summa	n/											Results for Each Reporting Category				
	<u> </u>	ted											1	2		
N	umber Percent	Tested	0													
Students Tested	416 100	Students	Score									Rea	ding	Writi	ing	
Students Not Tested		Ď	e S		eet		S									
Absent	1 0	of St	Scale		Not Meet		Approaches				10					
Other	0 0				<u> </u>		5 8		S	l .	Masters		Number of Po	ints Possible		
Total Documents Submitted	417 100	Number	Average		PiQ		ď		Meets	l ,	as	2	28	28		
Legend		<u> </u>	Ne Ne							_				s / % Achieved		
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than F	Five Students			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
All Students		416 207	1776 1765	17 9	4	399 198	96 96	367 180	88 87	260 124	63 60	19.8 19.6	71 70	20.4 19.8	73 71	
Male Female		207	1787	8	4	201	96	187	89	136	65	20.0	70	20.9	75	
No Information Provided		0														
Hispanic/Latino		159	1750	9	6	150	94	135	85	84	53	19.1	68	19.1	68	
American Indian or Alaska Native		0	4050													
Asian Black or African American		34 14	1852 1711	2	6 7	32 13	94 93	31 8	91 57	30 5	88 36	21.4 17.7	76 63	23.4 16.1	83 58	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	r	0														
White		187	1785	5	3	182	97	171	91	126	67	20.1	72	21.1	75	
Two or More Races		22	1810	0	0	22	100	22	100	15	68	21.0	75	21.4	76	
No Information Provided	Yes	0 66	1685	8	12	58	88	49	74	24	36	17.1	61	16.7	60	
Economically Disadvantaged	res No	350	1793	9	3	341	97	318	91	236	67	20.3	73	21.1	75	
No Infi	ormation Provided	0											_			
Title I, Part A	Participants	0										_	-	_		
	Nonparticipants	416	1776	17	4	399	96	367	88	260	63	19.8	71	20.4	73	
	ormation Provided Yes	0														
Migrant	No	416	1776	17	4	399	96	367	88	260	63	19.8	71	20.4	73	
No Infe	ormation Provided	0	-		_				-			-				
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English		78	1687	8	10	70	90	57	73	24	31	17.0	61	16.5	59	
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB		12	1821	0	0	12	100	12	100	12	100	22.4	80	22.3	80	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB		1														
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB		o o														
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)		4								l I						
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Lea	arner	319	1795	9	3	310	97	291	91	219	69	20.4	73	21.2	76	
No Information Provided Bilingual	Participants	0														
Diiiiguai	Nonparticipants	416	1776	17	4	399	96	367	88	260	63	19.8	71	20.4	73	
	ormation Provided	0										_	_			
ESL	Participants	74	1686	8	11	66	89	53	72	23	31	16.9	60	16.5	59	
At- 1-5	Nonparticipants	342	1795	9	3	333	97	314	92	237	69	20.4	73	21.2	76	
Special Education	ormation Provided Yes	0 26	1545		31	18	69	10	38	4	15	12.8	46	11.2	40	
Special Education	No	390	1791	9	2	381	98	357	92	256	66	20.3	72	21.0	75	
No Infe	ormation Provided	0											_			
Section 504	Yes	36	1736	0	0	36	100	29	81	17	47	18.5	66	19.0	68	
No Inf	No formation Provided	380 0	1780	17	4	363	96	338	89	243	64	19.9	71	20.5	73	
Gifted/Talented	Participants	86	1915	0	0	86	100	86	100	85	99	23.2	83	25.7	92	
	Nonparticipants	330	1740	17	5	313	95	281	85	175	53	18.9	68	19.0	68	
	ormation Provided	0														
At-Risk	Yes No	113 303	1687 1809	11 6	10 2	102 297	90 98	85 282	75 93	40 220	35 73	17.2 20.8	62 74	16.7	60 78	
No Infi	No ormation Provided	303	1809			297	98	282	93	220	73	20.8	74	21.8	78	
IVO IIII																



Summary Report Grade 6 Mathematics

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

Administrati C													Result	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	be										1			2	3		4	
Number Percent Students Tested 417 100 Students Not Tested	Students Tested	e Score		et		S					Nume Represer an Relatior	ntations d	and Ale	itations gebraic onships	Geomet Measur		Data Ar and Per Finar Liter	rsonal Icial
		Scale		Ĕ		e e												,
Abbout	ರ	တိ		Not Meet		Approaches				S								
Other 0 0	ē	96				5		Meets		Masters			N	umber of Po	oints Possibl	e		
Total Documents Submitted 418 100	Number	Average		Did		<u>d</u>		ě		ä	11		1	5	7	•	10)
Legend	₫	8													ts / % Achiev			
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	417	1848	31	7	386	93	316	76	150	36	7.0	64	11.2	75	4.0	57	6.9	69
Male	207	1870	8	4	199	96	168	81	81	39	7.5	68	11.7	78	4.2	60	7.1	71
Female	210	1826	23	11	187	89	148	70	69	33	6.6	60	10.8	72	3.8	55	6.7	67
No Information Provided	0																	
Hispanic/Latino	160	1825	13	8	147	92	115	72	44	28	6.6	60	10.9	73	3.9	55	6.5	65
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																	
Asian	34	1995	2	6	32	94	31	91	25	74	9.1	83	13.2	88	5.0	71	8.2	82
Black or African American	15	1760	3	20	12	80	8	53	3	20	5.8	53	8.9	60	3.4	49	5.5	55
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																	
White	186	1847	12	6	174	94	143	77	70	38	7.1	64	11.3	75	4.0	57	7.1	71
Two or More Races	22	1855	1	5	21	95	19	86	8	36	7.2	66	11.5	77	4.3	62	6.8	68
No Information Provided	66	1756	16	24	50	76	40	61	15	23	6.0	54	9.3	62	3.4	49		56
Economically Disadvantaged Yes																	5.6	
No.	351	1865	15	4	336	96	276	79	135	38	7.2	66	11.6	77	4.1	59	7.1	71
No Information Provided	0																	
Title I, Part A Participants	0 417	1848	31	7	386	93	316	76	150	36	7.0	64	11.2	75	4.0	57	6.9	69
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	417	1040	31		300	93	316	76	150	30	7.0	64	11.2	/5	4.0	5/	6.9	69
Migrant Yes	0																	
Migrant 765 No	417	1848	31	7	386	93	316	76	150	36	7.0	64	11.2	75	4.0	57	6.9	69
No Information Provided	0	1040			300		310	70	150		7.0		11.2	75	4.0		0.5	
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	78	1789	11	14	67	86	49	63	14	18	6.3	58	10.0	66	3.6	52	5.7	57
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	13	1870	0	0	13	100	12	92	4	31	7.5	68	12.1	81	4.5	64	7.2	72
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																l l	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	4																	
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	319	1861	20	6	299	94	250	78	129	40	7.2	65	11.5	77	4.1	58	7.2	72
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	417	1848	31	7	386	93	316	76	150	36	7.0	64	11.2	75	4.0	57	6.9	69
No Information Provided	0																	
ESL Participants	74	1788	11	15	63	85	45	61	14	19	6.3	57	9.9	66	3.6	51	5.7	57
Nonparticipants	343	1861	20	6	323	94	271	79	136	40	7.2	65	11.5	77	4.1	59	7.1	71
No Information Provided	0																	
Special Education Yes	26	1649	12	46	14	54	6	23	3	12	4.9	44	6.7	44	2.3	34	4.4	44
No	391	1861	19	5	372	95	310	79	147	38	7.2	65	11.5	77	4.1	59	7.1	71
No Information Provided	0																	
Section 504 Yes	35	1803	0	0	35	100	23	66	7	20	6.0	55	10.9	73	3.5	50	6.7	67
No.	382	1852	31	8	351	92	293	77	143	37	7.1	65	11.3	75	4.1	58	6.9	69
No Information Provided	0	2022				400		400	70				44.0			70		
Gifted/Talented Participants	86	2032	0	0 0	86	100	86	100	72	84	9.4	86	14.2	94	5.5	79 52	8.6	86
Nonparticipants	331	1800	31	9	300	91	230	69	78	24	6.4	58	10.5	70	3.6	52	6.4	64
No Information Provided	114	1769	18	16	96	84	65	57	18	16	6.0	55	9.5	64	3.4	49	5.6	56
At-Risk Yes	303	1769	18	4	290	96	251	83	132	44	7.4	55 68	11.9	79	4.2	49 60	7.4	74
No Information Provided	0	10/0	13	4	290	96	251	0.3	132	44	7.4		11.9	19	4.2		7.4	14
ivo inioritation Frovided	U																	



Summary Report Grade 7 Reading Language Arts

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

					Г						Re	sults for Each F	Reporting Catego	orv
Administration Summary	2											1	2	
Number Percent	Tested												•	
	ts T	Score									D		18/	
Students Tested 389 100	Students	Sco									Rea	ding	Writ	ing
Students Not Tested	Į ž	Scale		Not Meet		es								
Absent 1 0	of 8	ပိုင်		ž	'	Approaches				တ				
Other 0 0				ŝ		ĕ	,	ş		Masters		Number of Po	oints Possible	
Total Documents Submitted 390 100	Number	Average		PiQ		ď		Meets	١.	Jas	2	8	21	3
Legend	1 5	Ave			L .		L .						ts / % Achieved	
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	389		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	% 74	# 22.8	%
All Students Male	209	1833 1810	21 13	5 6	368 196	95 94	344 182	88 87	273 136	70 65	20.8	73	22.1	81 79
Female	180	1859	8	4	172	96	162	90	137	76	21.2	76	23.6	84
No Information Provided	0													
Hispanic/Latino	167	1818	13	8	154	92	143	86	112	67	20.7	74	21.8	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	4001												
Asian	37 12	1884 1810	1	3 8	36 11	97 92	35 9	95 75	31 8	84 67	22.2 20.1	79 72	24.4 21.5	87 77
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	1010				32		/5			20.1		21.5	
White	161	1837	3	2	158	98	148	92	114	71	20.6	74	23.6	84
Two or More Races	12	1862	3	25	9	75	9	75	8	67	21.3	76	21.3	76
No Information Provided	0													
Economically Disadvantaged Ye.		1757 1847	7 14	12 4	52 316	88 96	45 299	76 91	30 243	51 74	18.8 21.2	67 76	20.2 23.2	72 83
No Information Provided		1047			310		255		243		21.2		25.2	
Title I, Part A Participant														
Nonparticipant:		1833	21	5	368	95	344	88	273	70	20.8	74	22.8	81
No Information Provided	_													
Migrant Ye.		1833		 5	368	95	344	88	273	70	20.0	 74	22.0	 81
No Information Provided		1833	21	5	368	95	344	88	2/3	70	20.8	74	22.8	81
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	71	1715	11	15	60	85	50	70	26	37	18.1	65	18.0	64
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	4											-	_	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	4												-	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3													
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	4 16	1954	0	0	16	100	16	100	15	94	23.6	84	26.4	94
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring) Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	287	1850	10	3	277	97	263	92	217	76	21.2	76	23.6	84
No Information Provided	0													
Bilingual Participants														
Nonparticipant		1833	21	5	368	95	344	88	273	70	20.8	74	22.8	81
No Information Provided ESL Participant		1716	11	16	58	84	49	71	26	38	18.1	65	18.1	65
Nonparticipant		1858	10	3	310	97	295	92	247	77	21.4	76	23.8	85
No Information Provided														
Special Education Yes		1662	8	33	16	67	14	58	6	25	15.3	55	16.6	59
No left months of the continue		1844	13	4	352	96	330	90	267	73	21.2	76	23.2	83
Section 504 No Information Provided Ye.		1810		0	38	100	35	92	24	63	20.7	74	22.2	79
Section 504 /e.		1836	21	6	330	94	309	88	249	71	20.7	74	22.8	82
No Information Provided	0													
Gifted/Talented Participant		1961	0	0	58	100	58	100	58	100	23.7	85	26.6	95
Nonparticipant		1811	21	6	310	94	286	86	215	65	20.3	72	22.1	79
No Information Provided At-Risk Ye.		1746	14	12	101	88	89	77	49	43	18.7	67	19.5	70
At-Risk 7e:		1870	7	3	267	97	255	93	224	43 82	21.7	77	24.2	70 86
No Information Provide														



Summary Report Grade 7 Mathematics

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

	Τ				Ι								Result	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	2										1			,	3		4	
Number Percent Students Tested 343 100 Students Not Tested 1 0	Students Tested	Scale Score		Not Meet		Approaches					Probabili Numei Represen	rical	and Alg	itations gebraic onships	Geome Measur		Data Ar and Pe Finar Liter	rsonal ncial
/ IDOUR	₹	ŭ		t		ac				હ								
Other 0 0		8				2		eets		Masters			N	umber of Po	oints Possibl	e		
Total Documents Submitted 344 100	Number	Average		Dig		ď		Me		las as	6		2	.0	1	1	9	
Legend		, s				۹.		~		~			Av	g. # of Point	ts / % Achiev	ed		
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students		,	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	343	1889	42	12	301	88	239	70	96	28	3.8	63	13.5	67	7.1	64	7.0	78
Male	182	1900	19	10	163	90	135	74	54	30	3.9	65	13.8	69	7.2	65	7.1	79
Female	161	1877	23	14	138	86	104	65	42	26	3.6	60	13.1	66	7.0	64	6.8	76
No Information Provided	0																	
Hispanic/Latino	155	1885	19	12	136	88	104	67	43	28	3.7	62	13.1	66	7.2	65	7.0	78
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																	
Asian	25	1925	3	12	22	88	18	72	7	28	3.7	62	14.3	71	7.1	65	7.3	81
Black or African American	9	1866	3	33	6	67	4	44	3	33	3.4	57	12.4	62	6.4	59	6.3	70
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	4000		40	420		407	74					42.0		7.4		7.0	
White	144 10	1892 1852	14 3	10 30	130	90 70	107 6	74 60	41	28 20	3.9 3.3	65 55	13.8 12.7	69 64	7.1 6.3	64 57	7.0	77 72
Two or More Races	10	1852	3	30	7	70	ь	60	2	20	3.3	55	12.7	64	6.3	5/	6.5	12
No Information Provided Feonomically Disadvantaged Yes		1818	14	24	45	76	28	47	9	15	3.3	55	11.1	56	6.1	56	6.4	71
Economically Disadvantaged Yes		1904	28	10	256	90	211	74	87	31	3.9	64	14.0	70	7.3	66	7.1	79
No Information Provided	0	1504	20	10	230	50	211	74	07	31	3.5	04	14.0	70	7.5	00	7.1	15
Title I, Part A Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	343	1889	42	12	301	88	239	70	96	28	3.8	63	13.5	67	7.1	64	7.0	78
No Information Provided	343	1003	72		301		255	70			5.0		13.3		7.1		7.0	
Migrant Yes	_																	-
No	_	1889	42	12	301	88	239	70	96	28	3.8	63	13.5	67	7.1	64	7.0	78
No Information Provided																		
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	70	1815	14	20	56	80	34	49	9	13	3.2	54	11.0	55	6.1	56	6.3	70
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3																	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	4																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	12	2064	0	0	12	100	12	100	11	92	4.9	82	17.6	88	9.0	82	8.7	96
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	249	1897	28	11	221	89	182	73	70	28	3.9	64	13.8	69	7.2	65	7.0	78
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants																		
Nonparticipants		1889	42	12	301	88	239	70	96	28	3.8	63	13.5	67	7.1	64	7.0	78
No Information Provided	0	45.17																
ESL Participants	68	1817	14	21	54	79	34	50	9	13	3.2	54	11.1	55	6.1	56	6.4	71
Nonparticipants		1907	28	10	247	90	205	75	87	32	3.9	65	14.1	70	7.3	67	7.1	79
No Information Provided	0	1742			44	AC.		25	1		2.0	AC	0.0	40	4.2	20		
Special Education Yes		1/42 1900	13 29	54 9	11 290	46 91	6 233	25 73	95	4 30	2.8 3.8	46 64	9.8 13.7	49 69	4.3 7.3	39 66	5.2 7.1	57 79
No Information Provided		1900		9	290	91	253	73	95	30	3.6	04	13.7	69	7.3	00	7.1	
Section 504 Yes		1887	5	14	32	86	25	68	11	30	3.8	64	13.3	66	7.1	65	7.0	78
Section 504 7es		1889	37	12	269	88	214	70	85	28	3.8	63	13.5	67	7.1	64	7.0	76 77
No Information Provided		1003	31	12	203		214	70		20	3.0		13.3		7.1		7.0	
Gifted/Talented Participants		2053	0	0	31	100	30	97	24	77	4.8	80	17.4	87	9.1	83	8.2	91
Nonparticipants		1873	42	13	270	87	209	67	72	23	3.7	61	13.1	65	6.9	63	6.9	76
No Information Provided	0	.070											10.1		0.0		0.0	
At-Risk Yes	_	1819	25	22	87	78	56	50	15	13	3.3	54	11.2	56	6.1	55	6.4	71
At-Risk No		1923	17	7	214	93	183	79	81	35	4.0	67	14.5	73	7.6	69	7.3	81
No Information Provided																		
		-									-				•		-	



Summary Report Grade 8 Reading Language Arts

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

Administration Communication	Τ										Re	sults for Each F	Reporting Catego	ry
Administration Summary	eq											1	2	
Number Percent	Students Tested													
Students Tested 365 99	suts	Score									Rea	ding	Writ	ing
Students Not Tested	ğ	S		e		so.								
		Scale		Not Meet		Approaches								
7100011	9			ŏ		oac		(0		Masters				
Oulei	Number	Average		z		ď		Meets		ste	ļ .		oints Possible	
Total Documento Cubilittea	- Ē	era		Pio Dia		Α̈́		Ĕ		Ĕ	-	8	28	
Legend = No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	ž	¥	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Avg. # of Point	s / % Achieved	%
All Students	365	1856	7	2	358	98	339	93	244	67	20.8	74	20.5	73
Male	180	1832	6	3	174	97	162	90	103	57	20.1	72	19.4	69
Female	185	1880	1	1	184	99	177	96	141	76	21.4	76	21.5	77
No Information Provided	0													
Hispanic/Latino	143	1816	4	3	139	97	128	90	79	55	19.6	70	19.2	68
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	400=												
Asian	28	1937	0	0	28	100	27	96	23	82	22.5	80	23.2	83
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	13 0	1799	0	0	13	100	10	77	7	54	19.0	68	18.3	65
White	164	1881	2	1	162	99	159	97	122	74	21.6	77	21.3	76
Two or More Races	16	1866	1	6	15	94	14	88	13	81	21.3	76	20.4	73
No Information Provided	1													
Economically Disadvantaged Ye	s 40	1803	1	3	39	98	31	78	19	48	18.8	67	18.6	66
N		1863	6	2	319	98	308	95	225	69	21.0	75	20.7	74
No Information Provide														
Title I, Part A Participant		4057			250		220		244	 C7	20.0		20.5	72
Nonparticipant No Information Provide		1857	6	2	358	98	339	93	244	67	20.8	74	20.5	73
Migrant Ye														
N		1856	7	2	358	98	339	93	244	67	20.8	74	20.5	73
No Information Provide														
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	43	1766	2	5	41	95	36	84	15	35	18.0	64	16.9	60
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0												-	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3		-											
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2													
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	15	1891	0	0	15	100	15	100	12	80	21.8	78	22.6	81
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	299	1869	5	2	294	98	282	94	214	72	21.2	76	21.0	75
No Information Provided	0													
Bilingual Participant														
Nonparticipant		1856	7	2	358	98	339	93	244	67	20.8	74	20.5	73
No Information Provide		1768	2		39	95	34	83	15	37	18.0	64	17.0	61
ESL Participant	-	1768	5	2	39	95 98	34 305	83 94	15 229	37 71		75	17.0 20.9	61 75
Nonparticipant No Information Provide		1867	5	2	319	96	305	94	229	/1	21.1	/5	20.9	/5
Special Education Ye		1705	2	13	14	88	8	50	3	19	15.8	56	13.4	48
N		1863	5	1	344	99	331	95	241	69	21.0	75	20.8	74
No Information Provide														
Section 504 Ye		1819	1	2	45	98	42	91	25	54	20.0	71	18.8	67
No Information Provide		1861	6	2	313	98	297	93	219	69	20.9	75	20.7	74
No Information Provide Gifted/Talented Participant		1981		0	49	100	49	100	45	92	23.9	85	23.9	85
Nonparticipant		1837	7	2	309	98	290	92	199	63	20.3	72	19.9	71
No Information Provide														
At-Risk Ye		1759	6	8	72	92	59	76	27	35	17.7	63	16.4	59
N		1882	1	0	286	100	280	98	217	76	21.6	77	21.6	77
No Information Provide	d 0													



Summary Report Grade 8 Mathematics

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

													Results	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	2										1		7,000)	3	.cgc.j	4	
Number Percent Students Tested 236 100 Students Not Tested Absent 1 0	Students Tested	Scale Score		Meet		Approaches					Numer Represent and Relations	tations	Compu and Alç Relatio	gebraic	Geomet Measur		Data Ar and Pe Finar Liter	rsónal Icial
Absolit	of o			Not		ä				2								
Other	ē	ge		Ž T		ğ		ets		ste					oints Possibl			
Total Documents Submitted 237 100	a a	era		ĕ		Αp		Meets		Masters	4		1		19		6	
Legend	Number	Average									44	0/			ts / % Achiev			0/
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students			#	%	#	%	# 470	%	#	<u>%</u>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	236 123	1959 1954	14 10	6	222 113	94 92	176 91	75 74	86 46	36 37	3.3 3.2	82 80	14.0 13.7	73 72	11.2 11.1	59 59	3.5 3.6	59 60
Male Female	113	1954	4	8 4	109	96	85	75	40	35	3.4	85	14.2	75	11.1	60	3.4	57
No Information Provided	0	1304			103				40		3.4		14.2	13	11.5		3.4	
Hispanic/Latino	109	1926	8	7	101	93	77	71	32	29	3.2	81	13.3	70	10.3	54	3.3	55
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																-	
Asian	18	2125	0	0	18	100	16	89	14	78	3.4	85	16.8	89	15.0	79	4.7	79
Black or African American	8	1944	0	0	8	100	6	75	3	38	3.5	88	13.8	72	11.1	59	3.0	50
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																l l	
White	89	1975	4	4	85	96	69	78	32	36	3.3	84	14.4	76	11.5	61	3.7	61
Two or More Races	10	1907	1	10	9	90	7	70	4	40	3.0	75	13.0	68	11.5	61	3.3	55
No Information Provided	2	4000				0.7							40.5	74	40.0			
Economically Disadvantaged Yes No	32 203	1933 1964	1 12	3 6	31 191	97 94	20 156	63 77	9 77	28 38	3.3 3.3	81 83	13.5 14.1	71 74	10.3 11.4	54 60	3.2 3.6	53 60
No Information Provided	203	1904			191	54	156				3.3		14.1		11.4		3.6	
Title I, Part A Participants	1																	
Nonparticipants	234	1961	12	5	222	95	176	75	86	37	3.3	83	14.0	74	11.3	59	3.5	59
No Information Provided	1																	
Migrant Yes	0																	
No	235	1960	13	6	222	94	176	75	86	37	3.3	82	14.0	74	11.2	59	3.5	59
No Information Provided	1																-	
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	39	1938	3	8	36	92	27	69	14	36	3.2	80	13.7	72	10.5	55	3.5	59
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0																-	
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	6	2028	0	0	6	100	6	100	2	33	3.3	83	16.0	84	12.8	68	3.8	64
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	184	1963	9	5	175	95	139	76	68	37	3.3	83	14.0	74	11.4	60	3.5	59
No Information Provided	1		-	-														
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	235	1960	13	6	222	94	176	75	86	37	3.3	82	14.0	74	11.2	59	3.5	59
No Information Provided	1																	
ESL Participants	37	1945	2	5	35	95	26	70	14	38	3.3	82	13.9	73	10.7	56	3.5	59
Nonparticipants	198	1963	11	6	187	94	150	76	72	36	3.3	82	14.0	74	11.3	60	3.5	59
No Information Provided Special Education Yes	1 16	1889	3	19	13	81	8	50	4	25	2.9	73	12.1	64	9.0	47	3.2	53
Special Education Yes No	219	1965	10	5	209	95	168	77	82	37	3.3	83	14.1	74	11.4	60	3.6	59
No Information Provided	1																	
Section 504 Yes	33	1907	4	12	29	88	24	73	8	24	3.3	83	12.5	66	10.5	55	3.0	49
No	202	1968	9	4	193	96	152	75	78	39	3.3	82	14.2	75	11.4	60	3.6	60
No Information Provided	1																	
Gifted/Talented Participants	20	2173	0	0	20	100	20	100	19	95	3.7	91	17.6	92	16.5	87	5.0	83
Nonparticipants	215	1940	13	6	202	94	156	73	67	31	3.3	82	13.7	72	10.8	57	3.4	57
No Information Provided	1	4005																
At-Risk Yes	70	1900	9	13	61	87	41	59	19	27	3.1	79	12.6	66	9.5	50	3.0	51
No No Information Provided	165 1	1985	4	2	161	98	135	82	67	41	3.4	84	14.6	77	12.0	63	3.7	62
ivo iniorrhation Provided	1																	



Summary Report Grade 8 Social Studies

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

Administration Summary													Results	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	ed										1		7	2		3	4	
Number Percent Students Tested 366 100 Students Not Tested Absent 1 0	f Students Tested	Scale Score		Not Meet		Approaches				ø	Hist	ory	Geogra Culi	phy and ture	Governn Citize		Econo Scie Technolo Soc	nce, ogy, and
Other 0 0	٥			<u> </u>		oa		Ø		e					sints Dessile	1-		
Total Documents Submitted 367 100	Number	Average		5		ď		Meets		Masters	22		1		oints Possib		6	
Legend 557 155	E	Je.		Ö		¥		ž		Ě		2			ts / % Achiev			
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	ž	á	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	# #	% % %	#	%	#	%
All Students	366	4164	37	10	329	90	243	66	132	36	14.5	66	6.9	69	6.1	55	4.4	73
Male	182	4176	21	12	161	88	123	68	72	40	14.7	67	6.8	68	6.0	55	4.5	74
Female	184	4152	16	9	168	91	120	65	60	33	14.2	64	6.9	69	6.1	56	4.4	73
No Information Provided	0																	
Hispanic/Latino	142	4013	18	13	124	87	75	53	31	22	13.4	61	6.5	65	5.3	48	4.2	69
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																	
Asian	28	4546	0	0	28	100	24	86	18	64	16.7	76	7.6	76	8.1	73	4.9	82
Black or African American	13	4165	3	23	10	77	9	69	4	31	15.2	69	6.4	64	5.8	52	3.9	65
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																	
White	165	4261	13	8	152	92	122	74	75	45	15.0	68	7.2	72	6.5	59	4.6	77
Two or More Races	17	3862	3	18	14	82	12	71	4	24	13.5	61	6.4	64	5.2	48	4.0	67
No Information Provided	1																	
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	40	3956	8	20	32	80	21	53	9	23	12.8	58	6.1	61	5.5	50	3.8	63
No	326	4190	29	9	297	91	222	68	123	38	14.7	67	7.0	70	6.1	56	4.5	75
No Information Provided	0																	
Title I, Part A Participants	1																	
Nonparticipants	365	4167	36	10	329	90	243	67	132	36	14.5	66	6.9	69	6.1	55	4.4	74
No Information Provided	0																	
Migrant Yes	0																	
No.	366	4164	37	10	329	90	243	66	132	36	14.5	66	6.9	69	6.1	55	4.4	73
No Information Provided	0 42	3867	10	24	32	76	18	43	6	14	12.4	56	5.7	57	4.6	42	4.0	67
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	42	3007	10	24	32	76		43		14		50		5/	4.0	42	4.0	
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3									_								
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3																	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2									_								
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	15	4560	0	0	15	100	14	93	9	60	17.3	78	7.9	79	7.4	67	4.8	80
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	301	4186	27	9	274	91	207	69	115	38	14.6	66	7.0	70	6.2	56	4.4	74
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants	366	4164	37	10	329	90	243	66	132	36	14.5	66	6.9	69	6.1	55	4.4	73
No Information Provided	0																	
ESL Participants	40	3896	8	20	32	80	18	45	6	15	12.5	57	6.0	60	4.7	42	4.2	69
Nonparticipants	326	4197	29	9	297	91	225	69	126	39	14.7	67	7.0	70	6.2	57	4.4	74
No Information Provided	0																	
Special Education Yes	17	3579	5	29	12	71	4	24	1	6	10.8	49	4.6	46	4.2	39	3.3	55
No	349	4193	32	9	317	91	239	68	131	38	14.6	67	7.0	70	6.1	56	4.5	74
No Information Provided	0																	
Section 504 Yes	46	3990	8	17	38	83	25	54	13	28	13.1	60	6.6	66	5.3	48	4.0	66
No	320	4189	29	9	291	91	218	68	119	37	14.7	67	6.9	69	6.2	56	4.5	74
No Information Provided	0																	
Gifted/Talented Participants	49	4730	0	0	49	100	47	96	44	90	17.8	81	8.5	85	8.6	78	5.2	86
Nonparticipants	317	4077	37	12	280	88	196	62	88	28	13.9	63	6.6	66	5.7	52	4.3	72
No Information Provided	0									-								
At-Risk Yes	78	3785	20	26	58	74	30	38	10	13	11.9	54	5.6	56	4.5	41	3.8	63
No.	288	4267	17	6	271	94	213	74	122	42	15.1	69	7.2	72	6.5	59	4.6	76
No Information Provided	0																	



Summary Report Grade 8 Science

District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

													Result	s for Each F	Reporting Ca	tegory		
Administration Summary	20										1			2		3	4	
Number Percent	Students Tested	9									Matte	r and	Force,	Motion			Organis	me and
Students Tested 366 100	en	Score									Ene		and E		Earth an	d Space	Enviror	
Students Not Tested	3	e 8		eet		S						37		37				
Absent 1 0		Scale		Not Meet		Approaches				"								
Other 0 0	r of			ğ		o		φ		e .			N.	umber of D	ints Possib	la		
Total Documents Submitted 367 100	8	ag		0		ď		Meets		Masters	12)		umber of Po	1		12	2
Legend	Number	Average		ō	٠ .	₹		Σ		Σ	"				ts / % Achiev	_		
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students	z	Á	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	366	4355	28	8	338	92	264	72	128	35	8.5	71	5.6	62	8.1	62	7.8	65
Male	182	4343	10	5	172	95	131	72	58	32	8.3	70	5.7	64	8.2	63	7.7	64
Female	184	4367	18	10	166	90	133	72	70	38	8.8	73	5.5	61	8.0	62	7.8	65
No Information Provided	0	4209	11	 8	424	92				28	7.9		5.2		7.6		7.5	63
Hispanic/Latino American Indian or Alaska Native	142 0	4209	11	8	131	92	90	63	40	28	7.9	66	5.2	58	7.6	58	7.5	63
American Indian of Alaska Native Asian	28	4870	2	7	26	93	26	93	19	68	9.9	83	7.0	78	9.8	75	9.1	76
Black or African American	13	4109	3	23	10	77	7	54	3	23	7.8	65	5.3	59	7.2	56	5.9	49
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																	
White	165	4444	9	5	156	95	128	78	59	36	9.0	75	5.8	64	8.4	65	8.0	66
Two or More Races	17	4072	3	18	14	82	13	76	7	41	8.1	68	5.5	61	7.1	54	7.5	63
No Information Provided	1																	
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	40	4059	9	23	31	78	23	58	6	15	7.7	64	5.0	55	6.7	52	6.6	55
No No Information Provided	326 0	4391	19	6	307	94	241	74	122	37	8.7	72	5.7	63	8.3	64	7.9	66
Title I, Part A Participants	1																	
Nonparticipants	365	4357	28	8	337	92	264	72	128	35	8.6	71	5.6	62	8.1	62	7.8	65
No Information Provided	0																	
Migrant Yes	0									-								
No	366	4355	28	8	338	92	264	72	128	35	8.5	71	5.6	62	8.1	62	7.8	65
No Information Provided	0										7.7							
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	42 0	4153	3	7	39	93	25	60	8	19	7.7	64	5.1	57	7.2	55	7.2	60
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3									_								
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	3																	
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																	
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	15	4658	0	0	15	100	13	87	8	53	10.2	85	6.1	68	9.0	69	8.7	72
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	301	4375	24	8	277	92	223	74	110	37	8.6	72	5.7	63	8.2	63	7.8	65
No Information Provided	0																	
Bilingual Participants	0																	
Nonparticipants No Information Provided	366 0	4355	28	8	338	92	264	72	128	35	8.5	71	5.6	62	8.1	62	7.8	65
ESL Participants	40	4183	3	8	37	93	25	63	8	20	7.9	66	5.3	58	7.3	56	7.4	61
Nonparticipants	326	4376	25	8	301	92	239	73	120	37	8.6	72	5.7	63	8.2	63	7.8	65
No Information Provided	0																	
Special Education Yes	17	3703	7	41	10	59	9	53	2	12	5.5	46	4.4	48	5.9	46	6.2	51
No	349	4387	21	6	328	94	255	73	126	36	8.7	72	5.7	63	8.2	63	7.9	65
No Information Provided	0	4115																
Section 504 Yes	46 320	4149 4385	4 24	9 8	42 296	91 93	26 238	57 74	7 121	15	7.7 8.7	64 72	5.0 5.7	56 63	7.6 8.2	59 63	6.8 7.9	56 66
No No Information Provided	320	4385	24	8	296	93	238	/4	121	38	8.7	72	5.7	63	8.2	63	7.9	66
Gifted/Talented Participants	49	4950	0	0	49	100	47	96	38	78	10.7	89	7.0	77	10.3	79	9.2	77
Nonparticipants	317	4263	28	9	289	91	217	68	90	28	8.2	68	5.4	60	7.7	60	7.6	63
No Information Provided	0																	
At-Risk Yes	78	4005	11	14	67	86	39	50	11	14	7.2	60	4.7	53	6.6	51	6.8	57
No	288	4450	17	6	271	94	225	78	117	41	8.9	74	5.9	65	8.5	65	8.0	67
No Information Provided	0																	



District: 101-921 TOMBALL ISD

Campus: 044 CREEKSIDE PARK

STATE OF TEXAS ASSESSMENTS OF ACADEMIC READINESS

Summary Report Algebra I All Students

Report Date: SPRING 2024 Date of Testing: SPRING 2024

Administration Summary														Results	for Each F	Reporting	Category			
Auministration Summary											1	1		2		3		4	5	i
Number Percent Students Tested 176 99	s Tested	ē									Numb Algebraio			ing and g Linear Equations	Linear F	nd Solving unctions, ons, and	1	Functions	Expon	ns and
Students Not Tested	Students	Sco	١.	_									and Ine	qualities	Inequ	alities			Equa	tions
Absent 1 1	ă			5	9	2														
	ofS	Scale		3	1 3	5			g	0										
Other	, i	96	3	Ž	5	5	1	ŝ	200	5					mber of Po					
Total Documents Submitted	Number	Ē,	3	5		Approache		5	Š	ĺ	1	2	1	4		3		13	7	
Legend	į	Ave					_													
= No Data Reported For Fewer Than Five Students		_	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	176	4927	0	0	176	100	176	100	168	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
Male	86	4923	0	0	86	100	86	100	79	92	9.3	78	12.6	90	11.0	85	10.9	84	6.5	92
Female	90	4932	0	0	90	100	90	100	89	99	9.6	80	12.6	90	11.3	87	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Hispanic/Latino	45	4951	0	0	45	100	45	100	43	96	9.4	78	12.8	91	11.0	85	11.2	86	6.4	91
American Indian or Alaska Native	0																			
Asian	22	5180	0	0	22	100	22	100	22	100	10.1	84	13.3	95	12.0	92	11.2	86	6.7	96
Black or African American	8	4879	0	0	8	100	8	100	7	88	9.6	80	12.4	88	11.1	86	10.6	82	5.8	82
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0																			
White	92	4861	0	0	92	100	92	100	87	95	9.3	78	12.3	88	11.0	85	10.6	81	6.5	93
Two or More Races	9	4908	0	0	9	100	9	100	9	100	9.3	78	12.8	91	11.1	85	11.1	85	6.9	98
No Information Provided	0																			
Economically Disadvantaged Yes	9	4943	0	0	9	100	9	100	9	100	9.2	77	12.9	92	10.6	81	11.3	87	6.8	97
No	167	4926	0	0	167	100	167	100	159	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.2	86	10.8	83	6.5	92
No Information Provided	0							-												
Title I, Part A Participants	0																			
Nonparticipants	176	4927	0	0	176	100	176	100	168	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Migrant Yes	0																			
No	176	4927	0	0	176	100	176	100	168	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Identified as Emergent Bilingual/English Learner	6	4988	0	0	6	100	6	100	6	100	9.7	81	13.0	93	11.3	87	11.0	85	6.5	93
Monitored 1st Year, reclassified from EB/EL	2																			
Monitored 2nd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																			
Monitored 3rd Year, reclassified from EB/EL	1																			
Monitored 4th Year, reclassified from EB/EL	0															l				
Former EB/EL (Post Monitoring)	13	5201	0	0	13	100	13	100	13	100	9.9	83	13.2	95	12.1	93	11.8	91	6.6	95
Non-Emergent Bilingual/Non-English Learner	153	4895	0	0	153	100	153	100	145	95	9.4	78	12.5	89	11.0	85	10.7	83	6.5	92
No Information Provided	0																			
Bilingual Participants	0																			
Nonparticipants	176	4927	0	0	176	100	176	100	168	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
ESL Participants	6	4988	0	0	6	100	6	100	6	100	9.7	81	13.0	93	11.3	87	11.0	85	6.5	93
Nonparticipants	170	4925	0	0	170	100	170	100	162	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Special Education Yes	2																			
No	174	4929	0	0	174	100	174	100	166	95	9.5	79	12.6	90	11.1	86	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Section 504 Yes	14	4637	0	0	14	100	14	100	12	86	9.1	76	11.8	84	9.9	76	9.4	72	6.4	91
No	162	4952	0	0	162	100	162	100	156	96	9.5	79	12.7	90	11.2	86	11.0	84	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			
Gifted/Talented Participants	55	5071	0	0	55	100	55	100	53	96	9.7	80	12.9	92	11.6	89	11.3	87	6.5	93
Nonparticipants	121	4862	0	0	121	100	121	100	115	95	9.4	78	12.4	89	10.9	84	10.6	82	6.5	92
No Information Provided	0																			
At-Risk Yes	13	4927	0	0	13	100	13	100	13	100	9.8	81	12.5	89	11.5	89	10.7	82	6.4	91
No	163	4927	0	0	163	100	163	100	155	95	9.4	79	12.6	90	11.1	85	10.8	83	6.5	93
No Information Provided	0																			

Attendance

Crookeido Park Junior High	PIA - Cumulative
Creekside Park Junior High	2023-2024
All Students	95.6%
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino	95.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-
Asian	96.5%
Black or African American	96.4%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	99.4%
White	95.6%
Two or More Races	95.6%
Gender	
Male	95.7%
Female	95.6%
Grade	
6th	95.8%
7th	95.8%
8th	95.2%
Special Population	
At Risk	95.1%
Early Reading Indicator	-
Economic Disadvantage	95.1%
Emergent Bilingual	95.0%
Foster Care	-
Gifted and Talented	96.8%
Homeless Status	-
Migrant	-
Military Connected	89.9%
RDSPD	-
Section 504	95.5%
Special Education	94.5%
Unaccompanied Youth	-

Discipline

Texas statute requires every district to publish a report on violent or criminal incidents at their schools. This annual report must include a statement of the number, rate, and type of violent or criminal incidents that occurred on each district campus, to the extent permitted under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974. These codes include those specified by TEA as mandatory expulsion incidents in the Unsafe School Choice Option Guidance Handbook. Codes 59 and 61 are also monitored by TEA.

Discipline	PEIMS Code	Descriptor
Drugs	36	Felony Controlled Substance Violation – TEC §37.007(a)(3)
	29	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
Assaults	30	Aggravated Assault Under Penal Code §22.02 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007 (a)(2)(A)
	31	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(d)
	32	Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.011 Or Aggravated Sexual Assault Under Penal Code §22.021 Against someone other than a school district employee or volunteer – TEC §37.007(a)(2)(A)
	11	Brought a Firearm to School – TEC 37.007(e) or Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
Weapons	12	Unlawful Carrying of a Location-Restricted Knife under Penal Code 46.02 – TEC 37.007(a)(1) (Location-Restricted knife - longer than 5.5 inches)
	14	Conduct Containing the Elements of an Offense Relating to Prohibited Weapons Under Penal Code 46.05 – TEC 37.007(a)(1)
Arson	16	Arson TEC 37.007(a)(2)(B)
Death /	17	Murder, Capital Murder, Criminal Attempt to Commit Murder or Capital Murder TEC 37.007(a)(2)(C)
Deadly	47	Manslaughter TEC 37.007(a)(2)(G)
Conduct	48	Criminally Negligent Homicide TEC 37.007 (a)(2)(H)
	18	Indecency with a Child TE C37.007(a)(2)(D)
Child Abuse	57	Continuous Sexual Abuse of a Young Child or Disabled Individual Under Penal Code 21.02 (TEC 37.007(a)(2)(I)
Kidnaping	19	Aggravated Kidnapping TEC 37.007(a)(2)(E)
Robbery	46	Aggravated Robbery TEC 37.007(a)(2)(F); 37.007(C)-(D)
Other Serious Misbehavior	59	Serious Misbehavior, as defined by TEC §37.007(c), while expelled to/placed in a Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)- TEC §37.007(c) defines "serious misbehavior" as: (1) deliberate violent behavior that poses a direct threat to the health or safety of others; (2) extortion, meaning the gaining of money or other property by force or threat; (3) conduct that constitutes coercion, as defined by Section 1.07, Penal Code; or (4) conduct that constitutes the offense of: (A) public lewdness under Section 21.07, Penal Code; (B) indecent exposure under Section 21.08, Penal Code; (C) criminal mischief under Section 28.03, Penal Code; (D) personal hazing under Section 37.152; or (E) harassment under Section 42.07(a)(1), Penal Code, of a student or district employee.
	61	Bullying TEC 37.0052(b)

Tomball ISD reported the following number of incidents for the above listed discipline infractions over the last three school years:

									Disc	cipline	Code	9						
Year	Enrollment	11	12	14	16	17	18	19	29	30	31	32	36	46	47	48	57	Total
2023-2024	1,216	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2022-2023	1,199	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2021-2022	1,112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Creekside Park JH	Aur		Actions 5		_	S ACT		Perce	ALP O		it Pet	JAEP J			nt Action	s students
All	1,215	229	134	11.03%	36	24	1.98%	9	9	0.74%	0	0	0.00%	274	144	11.85%
Asian	101	9	8	7.92%	5	4	3.96%	2	2	1.98%	0	0	0.00%	16	9	8.91%
Black or African American	41	16	10	24.39%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	16	10	24.39%
Hispanic/Latino	494	102	63	12.75%	14	8	1.62%	2	2	0.40%	0	0	0.00%	118	66	13.36%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Two or More Races	55	16	7	12.73%	3	3	5.45%	1	1	1.82%	0	0	0.00%	20	8	14.55%
White	523	86	46	8.80%	14	9	1.72%	4	4	0.76%	0	0	0.00%	104	51	9.75%
Female	594	51	33	5.56%	12	8	1.35%	7	7	1.18%	0	0	0.00%	70	37	6.23%
Male	621	178	101	16.26%	24	16	2.58%	2	2	0.32%	0	0	0.00%	204	107	17.23%
Special Education - Summer	85	32	11	12.94%	7	5	5.88%	2	2	2.35%	0	0	0.00%	41	13	15.29%
Economic Disadvantage - Fall	159	79	40	25.16%	16	8	5.03%	6	5	3.14%	0	0	0.00%	101	41	25.79%
Economic Disadvantage - Summer	173	79	41	23.70%	12	7	4.05%	4	4	2.31%	0	0	0.00%	95	42	24.28%
At Risk - Fall	315	108	56	17.78%	25	14	4.44%	8	7	2.22%	0	0	0.00%	141	62	19.68%
ESL - Fall	186	56	35	18.82%	9	4	2.15%	1	1	0.54%	0	0	0.00%	66	36	19.35%
CTE Attendance - Summer	658	118	73	11.09%	26	16	2.43%	9	8	1.22%	0	0	0.00%	153	80	12.16%
Section 504 - Summer	132	36	22	16.67%	8	5	3.79%	2	2	1.52%	0	0	0.00%	46	25	18.94%

ISS: In-School Suspension
OSS: Out-of-School Suspension

DAEP: Disciplinary Alternative Education Program

JJAEP: Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program

Detailed district and campus level reports are available publicly at: teatexas.gov/reports-and-data

Campuswide Reform Strategies and SMART Goals

The Campus will implement the following campus-wide reform strategies to address campus needs and provide opportunities for all children, including each of the subgroups of students (economically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, children with disabilities, English learners, and at-risk students) to meet the challenging State academic standards. The Campus will particularly address the needs of those at-risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards. This Campus will use methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program in the Campus, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.

Goal 1	7th Grade Math students taking 7th grade STAAR exam will achieve one year's growth.				
Strategies	PLC collaboration	n and planning, s	supervision, evalua	ation	
Acti	ions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
Teachers will utilize Building Thinking Classrooms strategies and activities at least twice per week		7th grade math teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	Building Thinking Classrooms, Math Specialist, admin in PLC and planning	Interim test, CFAs, tests
7th grade teachers will spiral in 6th grade foundational math skills twice per week and add similar questions to CFAs to check for mastery		7th grade math teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	Spiraling District Document from Curriculum Coordinator, 7th Grade STAAR Data	Interim test, CFAs, tests
7th grade teachers will ensure that 80% of students score an 80% on CFAs before moving on to ensure mastery for all		7th grade math teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	CFAs, tests	CFAs and tests

Goal 2	SpEd and/or Economically Disadvantaged students who did not approach on 2023-2024 STAAR will show one year's growth in both math and reading.				
Strategies	PLC data review	PLC data review, planning, intervention, supervision, tracking			
Acti	ions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
student progress for each student		RLA and Math teachers, admins, EB specialists, ICS teachers	08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	Aware	CFAs, tests

Intervention will be provided as needed at each checkpoint (grading mark)		08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	Teacher created targeted interventions and lessons	CFAs, tests
SPED support staff will monitor student grades, tests, etc, to ensure proper accommodations and support are in place for students	· ·	08/07/2024-05/2 4/2025	Frontline	CFAs, Tests, Report Card and Progress Report Grades

Goal 3	8th Grade Science will increase by 5% in each reporting category (approaches, meets, and masters) from the previous year.				
Strategies	PLC data review	, planning, super	vision		
Act	ions	Responsible	Timeline	Resource(s)	Evaluation
Implementation of STEMscopes curriculum		Teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/2024-May 2024	STEMscopes	Stemscopes
Using the BOY data, targeted intervention using scaffolding strategies		Teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/2024-May 2024	Teachers and admin in planning, PLCs	CFAs, tests
Utilize targeted intervention for bubble students		Teachers, admin in PLC and planning	08/2024-May 2024	Teacher created and targeted intervention, admin in planning, PLC	CFAs, tests

Staff Development Needs

Summary of Needs:

The district addresses the Excellent Educators for All Initiative (Title I, Part A under the Every Student Succeeds Act) by developing goals and strategies to decrease the equity gaps as they relate to low-income students and students of color being taught at higher rates than other students by inexperienced, out-of-field, and ineffective teachers. It does not matter what classroom these students walk into - in Tomball ISD, an effective teacher awaits them. In order to successfully implement the DIP for 2024-2025, professional development, including teacher-led training is required for teachers and administrators in the major areas of collaboration, infused technology, multiple pathways to teaching and leading, meaningful evaluation and



Professional Learning

support, strong teacher leadership, mentoring, instructional leadership.

The professional learning and development provided for all staff members is aligned to district Goals, Priorities contained in the Destination 2030 strategic plan, and designed to support the TISD Boulders.

Future Ready Learners:

Specific sessions aligned to this priority are designed to address CTE and CCMR outcomes.

Responsive and Personalized Learning:

Collaborative practices and coaching related to these practices is a focus to support the instructional design process and to ensure collaboration and high quality instruction in each classroom.

Social, Emotional, and Safety Welfare of the Whole Child:

A focus on emotional health and wellness for staff and students continue to advance the culture of campuses through professional learning about the following:

Great Expectations

Emotional Backpack & Wraparound Services

Technology and Digital Learning:

Continued integration of technology into instruction enhances blended learning outcomes.

Quality Staffing and Professional Learning:

All professional learning sessions are aligned to TISD Boulders:

Collaborative Culture

High Quality Instruction

Social & Emotional Learning

Campus Staff Development Needs

Date of CIT Approval:	ТВА				
Summary of Needs:					
fidelity in order Thinking Class promote thinking	to ensure stude rooms model of ng and processi	ng on the Professional Lea ent growth is at the center f instruction to help facilitat ing in the classroom. Scier ave been trained to move	of their meetings. Note the higher level think name teachers have it	Math teachers will lear king questions and studinstituted the STEMsco	n and utilize the dent collaboration to opes curriculum and

Assurances

	Topic	Goals and Compliance
\boxtimes	CIP Content and Development	This content and development process of this district improvement plan complies with the requirements of Texas Education Codes Chapters 11 and 39.
\boxtimes	Comprehensive Needs Assessment	The Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of data for all students, student groups by ethnicity, gender, economic disadvantage, at-risk status, and participation in programs for special education, bilingual/ESL, gifted and talented and CTE. Goals and strategies were developed according to determined needs.
\boxtimes	Needs of All Students	Through individual student level planning, monitoring and support, the goals of the DIP will be met for all students.
\boxtimes	Goals and Strategies	The DIP goals and strategies include responsible staff, resources, timelines, monitoring, and evaluation.
\boxtimes	Attendance and Completion	The DIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of campus-relevant data related to student attendance, dropout rates, graduation rates, on-track credit accrual of 9 th and 10 th grade students, and college readiness.
\boxtimes	Transitions	The DIP Comprehensive Needs Assessment included an analysis of any campus-relevant transitions from Early Childhood or home to Pre-Kindergarten or Kindergarten, transitions from 8 th grade to 9 th grade including counseling on the Foundation High School program and endorsements, transition to college including counseling and information to students and parents about higher education admissions, financial aid opportunities, the TEXAS and Teach for Texas Grant programs, and the need for making informed curriculum choices to be prepared for success beyond high school.
\boxtimes	Suicide Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFB, DMA, and FFE relating to suicide prevention to reduce and eliminate cases.
\boxtimes	Conflict/Violence Prevention and Intervention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FOC, and FOCA relating to violence prevention and intervention.
\boxtimes	Dating Violence Awareness	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFH relating to dating violence awareness and training.
\boxtimes	Bullying Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFI, FDB, FFF, FFH, FO, CQA, and FFB relating to the prevention of bullying.
\boxtimes	Coordinated Health Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FFA and EHAA relating to a coordinated health program.
\boxtimes	Child Abuse Prevention and Reporting	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
\boxtimes	Child Sexual Abuse Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education DG, DH, DHB, FFG, FFH, and GRA related to the prevention and reporting of child abuse.
\boxtimes	Drug, Tobacco, Alcohol Prevention	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education FNF relating to drug, tobacco, and alcohol prevention to reduce and eliminate use.
\boxtimes	State Compensatory Education	The district-wide SCE plan is described in the District Improvement Plan. The comprehensive needs assessment of this DIP included an analysis of student achievement data for at-risk students.
\boxtimes	Dyslexia Treatment Program	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education EHB, FB, EHBC, and EKB relating to a Dyslexia Treatment Program.
\boxtimes	Trauma-Informed Care Policy	The District will follow the policies of the Tomball ISD Board of Education in relation to requiring the integration of trauma-informed practices in each school environment. The Board approved the Trauma-Informed Care within the Student Code of Conduct Handbook.

Dropout Prevention

Tomball ISD Strategies for Dropout Prevention Aligned to NDPC/N Strategies

The National Dropout Prevention Center and Network (NDPC/N) has conducted and analyzed research; sponsored workshops and national conferences; and collaborated with researchers, policymakers, and practitioners to further the mission of reducing America's dropout rate by meeting the needs of youth in at-risk situations, including students with disabilities.

Students report a variety of reasons for dropping out of school; therefore, the solutions are multidimensional. NDPC has identified Effective Strategies that have the most positive impact on reducing school dropout. These strategies appear to be independent, but actually work well together and frequently overlap. Although they can be implemented as stand-alone strategies, positive outcomes will result when school districts or other agencies develop program improvement plans that encompass most or all of these strategies. These strategies have been successful at all school levels from PK-12 and in rural, suburban, and urban settings. These strategies are grouped into four general categories: Foundational strategies (school-community perspective), early interventions, basic core strategies, and managing and improving instruction.

Foundational Strategies

Systemic Approach: A continuing process of evaluating goals and objectives related to school policies, practices, and organizational structures as they impact a diverse group of learners.

School-Community Collaboration: When all groups in a community provide collective support to the school, a strong infrastructure sustains a caring supportive environment where youth can thrive and achieve.

Safe Learning Environments: A comprehensive violence prevention plan, including conflict resolution, must deal with potential violence as well as crisis management. A safe learning environment provides daily experiences, at all grade levels, that enhance positive social attitudes and effective interpersonal skills in all students.

Early Interventions

Family Engagement: Research consistently finds that family engagement has a direct, positive effect on children's achievement and is the most accurate predictor of a student's success in school.

Early Childhood Education: Birth-to-five interventions demonstrate that providing a child additional enrichment can enhance brain development. The most effective way to reduce the number of children who will ultimately drop out is to provide the best possible classroom instruction from the beginning of their school experience through the primary grades.

Early Literacy Development: Early interventions to help low-achieving students improve their reading and writing skills establish the necessary foundation for effective learning in all other subjects.

Basic Core Strategies

Mentoring/Tutoring: Mentoring is a one-to-one caring, supportive relationship between a mentor and a mentee that is based on trust. Tutoring, also a one-to-one activity, focuses on academics and is an effective practice when addressing specific needs such as reading, writing, or math competencies.

Service-Learning: Service-learning connects meaningful community service experiences with academic learning. This teaching/learning method promotes personal and social growth, career development, and civic responsibility and can be a powerful vehicle for effective school reform at all grade levels.

Alternative Schooling: Alternative schooling provides potential dropouts a variety of options that can lead to graduation, with programs paying special attention to the student's individual social needs and academic requirements for a high school diploma.

After-School/Out-of-School Opportunities: Many schools provide after-school and summer enhancement programs that eliminate information loss and inspire interest in a variety of areas. Such experiences are especially important for students at risk of school failure because these programs fill the afternoon "gap time" with constructive and engaging activities.

Managing and Improving Instruction

Professional Development: Teachers who work with youth at high risk of academic failure need to feel supported and have an avenue by which they can continue to develop skills, techniques, and learn about innovative strategies.

Active Learning: Active learning embraces teaching and learning strategies that engage and involve students in the learning process. Students find new and creative ways to solve problems, achieve success, and become lifelong learners when educators show them that there are different ways to learn.

Educational Technology: Technology offers some of the best opportunities for delivering instruction to engage students in authentic learning, addressing multiple intelligences, and adapting to students' learning styles. Individualized Instruction: Each student has unique interests and past learning experiences. An individualized instructional program for each student allows for flexibility in teaching methods and motivational strategies to consider these individual differences.

Career and Technical Education (CTE): A quality CTE program and a related guidance program are essential for all students. School-to-work programs recognize that youth need specific skills to prepare them to measure up to the larger demands of today's workplace.

Waivers

Tomball ISD has requested and been approved by the Commissioner of Education for the following waivers allowable through the Texas Education Code and the Texas Administrative Code:

Waiver Type	Reason	Expires	Description
Foreign Exchange Student (5 or more)	Financial or staffing hardship / diminish high quality services / competition for resources	2027	Allows the district to limit the number of foreign exchange students to 5 per high school.
Modified Schedule State Assessment Testing Days	STAAR EOC testing day schedules	2027	This waiver allows the district or charter school to modify the schedule of classes on State Assessment testing days during the school year to reduce interruptions during testing periods.
Texas Data Portal of Texas Assessment Management System	Use of Eduphoria Aware	2027	This waiver allows school districts and charter schools to apply for a waiver of participation in the teacher portal component of the Texas Assessment Management System. A waiver is granted if a district or charter school can provide assurance that the local teacher data portal meets the statutory requirements.
Staff Development General	Professional Development	2025	Each district and open-enrollment charter school may choose how to apply their approved Staff Development Minutes Waiver. For instance, schools may choose to offer early release, late start, all day staff development, or a combination. However, the total waiver minutes for staff development shall not exceed 2,100 minutes per year. This waiver is for staff development in place of student instruction; therefore, the waiver minutes are only applicable to staff development provided instead of student instruction during the school year. Effective with the 2019-2020 school year, the Staff Development Minutes Waiver may not be used prior to the first day of student instruction or after the last day of student instruction.
Full-Day Prekindergarten	Program Requirements	2025	This waiver exempts the district from the requirement to provide full-day prekindergarten for all eligible four-year old students.

District of Innovation

Tomball ISD is an approved Texas Education Agency District of Innovation. Districts of Innovation may be exempt from state statutes to:

- take greater local control in decision-making about the educational and instructional model for students
- have increased autonomy from state mandates that govern educational programing
- be empowered to innovate and plan differently to think outside of the box

The Tomball ISD renewal plan will be in effect for the 2022-2023 school year through the 2026-2027 school year. This plan may be amended at any time by the committee with the approval of the Board of Trustees.

Statutory Exemption	Texas Education Code/ Tomball ISD Board Policy	Proposed Innovation
1. OPERATION OF SCHOOL AND SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	TEC 25.0811 EB(LEGAL)	To allow for a calendar that fits the local needs of our community, we would like to consider moving the mandatory start date back one week which would better benefit our students. a. Students will begin no earlier than the 2nd Monday of August. b. Teachers will begin no earlier than the 1st Monday of August. c. This will allow the first and second semesters to be closer in the number of days of instruction. d. The goal is to improve the district attendance rate and student success through the flexibility in the calendar. e. Flexible start dates and times will accommodate Early College High School schedules.
2. SUBMITTING WAIVERS FOR KINDERGARTEN – GRADE 4 CLASS SIZE	TEC 25.111 TEC 25.112 TEC 25.113 EEB(LEGAL)	While we certainly believe that small class size plays a positive role in the classroom, we do not believe it has a negative effect when you only add one or two more students. Many times it is not the number of the students but the makeup and chemistry of the classroom which influence the learning environment. a. TISD will attempt to keep all K-4th core classrooms to a 22:1 ratio. However, in the event the class size exceeds this ratio, the superintendent will report to the Board of Trustees. b. In the event a K-4th core classroom reaches 24:1, the campus will notify the parents of the number of students in the classroom and inform them of the situation. c. A TEA waiver will not be necessary when a K-4th classroom exceeds the 22:1 ratio. d. This gives TISD flexibility without having to apply for waivers within the Texas Education Agency.
3. TEACHER CERTIFICATION	TEC 21.003a TEC 21.057a-e (DK LEGAL) (DK LOCAL) (DK EXHIBIT)	In order to best serve TISD students and allow more flexibility in our scheduling and class offerings, certification issues will be handled locally. a. The campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request to allow a certified teacher to teach one subject out of their certified field. The principal must specify in writing the

		reason for the request and document what credentials the certified teacher possesses which qualify this individual to teach this subject. b. Flexibility with personnel on Title I campuses per ESSA guidelines. c. In exceptional circumstances, when a certified educator is not found for a unique or innovative class, the campus principal may submit to the superintendent a request for local certification that will allow a non-certified yet highly qualified professional to teach OR a certified educator to teach a subject in a related field for which she or he is not credentialed by the state. d. A teacher certification waiver, state permit applications or other paperwork will not be submitted to the Texas Education Agency.
4. IMPLEMENT A LOCAL TEACHER AND ADMINISTRATOR APPRAISAL SYSTEM	TEC 21.203 TEC 21.352 DNA(LEGAL)	a. Tomball ISD will follow a modified TTESS and TPESS as a localized Teacher Appraisal System and an Administrator Appraisal System, which are better aligned with the Tomball ISD strategic goals and student assessments. This exemption would allow flexibility to evaluate various performance measures, including classroom observations, goal setting and tracking, and collective student growth progress toward identified learning objectives. The local system will be detailed in Board Policy DNA(LOCAL) and accompanying documents.
5. CAMPUS BEHAVIOR COORDINATOR	TEC 37.0012 FO(LEGAL)	The proposal is for the District to seek exemption from the statute requiring each school to have a designated campus behavior coordinator. The relationships that are established between the campus administrator, counselor, student, and parent are the foundation for promoting and maintaining positive behavior. Utilizing a local district process allows the administrator who currently has a relationship with the parent and student to be the person to make parental contact. The administrator notifies the parent of discipline or behavior concerns, rather than having contact by a campus behavior coordinator, who may not know all the students, providing a much more individual and personal approach.
6. INTER-DISTRICT TRANSFERS	TEC 25.036 FDA(LEGAL)	Texas Education Code 25.036 and Tomball ISD Board Policy FDA (Local) currently allow for inter-district student transfers. Under Section 25.036, a transfer is interpreted to be for one school year. However, in rare instances, a transfer appears not to be in the best interest of the student, the students of Tomball ISD, and the District when the transferred student engages in behavior that warrants significant discipline, does not attend needed interventions, and/or has attendance that falls below the TEA truancy standard. In those rare instances, Tomball ISD seeks the ability to revoke the transfer during the one school year time period.

Tomball maintains an inter-district transfer policy under Board
Policy FDA (Local) requiring nonresident students wishing to
transfer to file a transfer application for each school year, and
in approving transfer requests, the Superintendent or
designee shall consider the availability of space and
instructional staff and the student's disciplinary history and
attendance records. Under Policy FDA, transfer students are
expected to follow the rules and regulations of the District.
The District is seeking to eliminate the provision of a
one-year commitment in
accepting an inter-district transfer for the following
circumstances:
- student behavior warrants suspension (in or out of school),
placement in a disciplinary alternative
program, or expulsion; and/or
- student has not attended required interventions (if needed);
and/or
- student attendance falls below the TEA truancy standard.
otation attendance have below the TEX traditory standard.



