

Friendship School

2023-2024 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2024-2025 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

A hard copy of the School Accountability Report Card is available at your School Office, upon request.

DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC)

Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at <https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/>.

Admission Requirements for the California State University (CSU)

Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at <https://www2.calstate.edu/>.

2024-25 School Contact Information

School Name	Friendship School
Street	525 Third Street
City, State, Zip	Imperial Beach, CA 91932
Phone Number	858-298-2213
Principal	Rachel Page
Email Address	rachel.page@sdcoe.net
School Website	https://www.sdcoe.net/schools/friendship
Grade Span	K-12
County-District-School (CDS) Code	37103716069355

2024-25 District Contact Information

District Name	San Diego County Office of Education
Phone Number	858-292-3500
Superintendent	Dr. Gloria Ciriza
Email Address	superintendent@sdcoe.net
District Website	www.sdcoe.net

2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

Our mission at Friendship School is to provide appropriate special education and related services to students with disabilities in order to promote the development of each student's inherent abilities. We believe in individualized medical, therapeutic, and academic support to aide in each student's educational success. We embrace a collaborative approach with all stakeholders to create and maintain a safe and healthy learning environment.

Friendship School is a five-classroom special education school operated by the San Diego County Office of Education. Friendship School primarily serves students from within the South County Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) but is

2024-25 School Description and Mission Statement

able to serve students within San Diego County. Located in Imperial Beach, Friendship School serves a maximum of 50 students ages 3 to 22 who have multiple/severe disabilities with health impairments. Each classroom is staffed by a special education teacher and two student attendants. Each teacher holds an education specialist credential-moderate/severe. All staff members are trained, supervised, and monitored on specialized health care procedures performed at Friendship by a Registered Nurse. Class sizes range from six to ten students, with a best practice staff-to-student ratio of one adult to three students. Friendship School has a full-time registered nurse, a part-time occupational therapist, a part-time speech and language specialist, a school psychologist, and a physical therapist for consultative services only.

At Friendship School, each student is continually evaluated by the teacher and related service staff members to determine the most appropriate adaptations to curriculum aligned with CCSS (Common Core State Standards) to meet his or her needs. Each student has an Individualized Education Program (IEP) developed through a collaborative team process which is designed to ensure meaningful educational benefit in all domains including functional skills, language and communication development; pre-academic skills; social skills; community interaction; daily living skills; vocational skills; and leisure and recreation. Students receive related services as needed, such as vision support, deaf and hard-of-hearing support, occupational therapy, speech therapy, and assistive technology services. Continually, learning activities are supported with the use of assistive technology such as one-touch switches, voice output devices, communication boards, switch controlled Ipads, adapted literature, and CCSS aligned curriculum, such as, Attainment and N2Y.

About this School

2023-24 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 1	1
Grade 2	3
Grade 3	3
Grade 4	2
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	2
Grade 8	1
Grade 11	2
Grade 12	13
Total Enrollment	28

2023-24 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	57.1
Male	42.9
Black or African American	3.6
Hispanic or Latino	85.7
White	7.1
English Learners	35.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28.6
Students with Disabilities	100

A. Conditions of Learning **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	3.00	60.00	243.30	65.43	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.00	20.00	7.00	1.90	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	3.90	1.05	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	86.90	23.38	12115.80	4.41
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	1.00	20.00	30.50	8.23	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	5.00	100.00	371.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	2.00	40.00	250.20	62.37	234405.20	84.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	11.30	2.82	4853.00	1.74
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	2.00	40.00	11.70	2.92	12001.50	4.30
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	91.90	22.91	11953.10	4.28
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	1.00	20.00	36.00	8.98	15831.90	5.67
Total Teaching Positions	5.00	100.00	401.20	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	1.00	20.00	245.00	65.86	231142.40	100.00
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	9.10	2.45	5566.40	2.00
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)	1.00	20.00	18.30	4.94	14938.30	5.38
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	77.90	20.96	11746.90	4.23
Unknown/Incomplete/NA	3.00	60.00	21.50	5.79	14303.80	5.15
Total Teaching Positions	5.00	100.00	372.00	100.00	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Permits and Waivers	0.00	1.00	1
Misassignments	0.00	1.00	0
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00	0
Total Teachers Without Credentials and	0.00	2.00	1

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00	0
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00	0
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	0.00	0

Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	20	0
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	0	0

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

2024-25 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

Attainment Core Curriculum Solutions was adopted by Friendship School in fall 2015 and Fall 2020. The curriculum features rigorous, scientifically-based programs specifically designed for students with moderate-severe intellectual disabilities. The curriculum is based upon systematic instruction with a shared organizational structure. It provides scripted lessons to decrease teacher preparation time and increase teacher fidelity. The ongoing assessments capture data and document student achievement. The sequence of learning is educationally sound and developmentally appropriate. Teachers also have access to SANDI/FAST, which aligns assessment, access to grade-level standards, and rigorous research-based instruction in order to specifically target individual student strengths and needs. Teachers also have access to News-2-You, a weekly current events newspaper that provides a way for all learners to become connected to and engaged with the world around them. News-2-You provides the weekly newspaper in five reading levels.

Year and month in which the data were collected

N/A

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Attainment Core Curriculum Solutions	Yes	
Mathematics	Attainment Core Curriculum Solutions	Yes	
Science	Attainment Core Curriculum Solutions	Yes	
History-Social Science	Attainment Core Curriculum Solutions	Yes	
Foreign Language	n/a		
Health	n/a		
Visual and Performing Arts	Music Therapy and Guitars in the Classroom		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Friendship School leases our facility from the South Bay Union School District. The school is located at 525 Third Street in Imperial Beach on the former West View Early Learning Center Campus. Security is bolstered by the presence of a resident security guard who lives on the property and inspects the property during nights and weekends to prevent vandalism and theft.

District maintenance and custodial staff members ensure that the school is clean, safe, and functional. Facilities are inspected daily by the Friendship School custodian to ensure that supplies are fully stocked and that everything is in full working order. Any needed repairs are addressed in a timely manner. Copies of inspections are available at the school and facilities offices. The custodian also performs a safety inspection on a monthly basis. The monthly report is submitted to the South Bay Union School District facilities office. Regular and preventative maintenance is ongoing. The South Bay district employs a computerized online work order system for efficiency and better record keeping.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

June 2024

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	--	0	47	49	46	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	--	0	36	38	34	35

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus

the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	11	9	81.82	18.18	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	11	9	81.82	18.18	--

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	11	9	81.82	18.18	--
Female	--	--	--	--	--
Male	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	11	9	81.82	18.18	--

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)			3.70	3.16	30.29	30.73

2023-24 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	--				
Female	--				
Male	--				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	--				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	--				
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	--				

2023-24 Career Technical Education Programs

Due to the highly specialized nature of programming at Friendship School, courses in CTE are not offered.

2023-24 Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	
Percent of Pupils that Complete a CTE Program and Earn a High School Diploma	
Percent of CTE Courses that are Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	

Course Enrollment/Completion

This table displays the course enrollment/completion of University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission requirements.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2023-24 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
2022-23 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2023-24 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	--	--	--	--	--
Grade 7	--	--	--	--	--
Grade 9	--	--	--	--	--

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2024-25 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Friendship School encourages parental involvement at all levels. We believe that the individual student benefits from high parent participation through increased collaboration among all stakeholders. Additionally, we believe the entire school is more effective in its primary goal of teaching and learning when parents and caregivers are engaged with their child's program at school. Friendship School provides parents with an opportunity to interact and communicate with the school about their students on a daily basis through daily communication logs, school and classroom newsletters, the Friendship School website, as well as phone calls and emails. Friendship School leaves campus for community-based instruction outings once a week, which parents are encouraged to attend. Additionally, the school has monthly schoolwide activities in which parents are encouraged to participate. The schoolwide activities provide an opportunity for students to engage in activities that are found on a comprehensive campus. Parents and caregivers are involved in the IEP process for their child and Friendship School facilitates the means of improving services and results through this collaboration. Parents are also welcomed as members of school wide committees such as the Site Safety Committee. Additionally, Friendship hosts periodic events such as coffee with the Principal and various parent forums to connect families to resources including those offered through the South County

2024-25 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

SELPA. Forum topics may include working with San Diego Regional Center, understanding your child's IEP goals, assistive technology, and speech and language services.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school Dropout Rates;
- High school Graduation Rates; and
- Chronic Absenteeism

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School 2021-22	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2021-22	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
Dropout Rate	--	--	--	18.9	18.6	17.0	7.8	8.2	8.9
Graduation Rate	--	--	--	52.4	48.0	54.7	87.0	86.2	86.4

2023-24 Graduation Rate by Student Group (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

This table displays the 2023-24 graduation rate by student group. For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

Student Group	Number of Students in Cohort	Number of Cohort Graduates	Cohort Graduation Rate
All Students	--	--	--
Female	0.0	0.0	0.0
Male	--	--	--
Non-Binary	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00
Asian	0	0	0.00
Black or African American	0	0	0.00
Filipino	0	0	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00
Two or More Races	0	0	0.00
White	0	0	0.00
English Learners	0.0	0.0	0.0
Foster Youth	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeless	0.0	0.0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.0	0.0	0.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0	0.0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2023-24 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	29	29	23	79.3
Female	17	17	15	88.2
Male	12	12	8	66.7
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	25	25	20	80.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
English Learners	11	11	10	90.9
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	11	11	100.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	29	29	23	79.3

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions data.

Suspensions								
School 2021-22	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2021-22	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
0	0	0	1.26	2.3	1.53	3.17	3.6	3.28

This table displays expulsions data.

Expulsions								
School 2021-22	School 2022-23	School 2023-24	District 2021-22	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	State 2021-22	State 2022-23	State 2023-24
0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0.07	0.08	0.07

2023-24 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.00	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.00	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.00	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

2024-25 School Safety Plan

Friendship School has developed and continues to review its procedures for safeguarding the welfare of students and staff. Friendship School conducts monthly safety drills to practice quick responses to emergencies, such as fires, earthquakes, lockdowns, etc. The school safety plan has been updated for the 2024-2025 school year and goals have been created to

2024-25 School Safety Plan

address the school's safety needs. Friendship School has a School Safety Committee comprised of Certificated, Classified, Administrative, and Community members that meets once a month to review safety procedures and needs site wide. The Board Approved School Safety Plan is available in the Friendship School office, at the South County SELPA office, and at SDCOE's Special Education office.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
Other	6	2		

2022-23 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
Other	5	2		

2023-24 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
Other	5	2		

2021-22 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts				
Mathematics				
Science				
Social Science				

2022-23 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts				
Mathematics				
Science				
Social Science				

2023-24 Secondary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-22 Students	Number of Classes with 23-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
English Language Arts				
Mathematics				
Science				
Social Science				

2023-24 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2023-24 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	2
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	1

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$100,434.05	\$100,434.05	0.00	\$100,162.24
District	N/A	N/A	\$11,572	
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-200.0	-34.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$10,771	
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-200.0	

Fiscal Year 2023-24 Types of Services Funded

County Office of Education schools are not required to display this data. (Education Code Section 41409.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2022-23 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary		
Mid-Range Teacher Salary		
Highest Teacher Salary		
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary		
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries		
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries		

2023-24 Advanced Placement (AP) Courses

This table displays the percent of student in AP courses at this school.

Percent of Students in AP Courses	0
-----------------------------------	---

This table displays the number of AP courses offered at this school where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered
Computer Science	0
English	0
Fine and Performing Arts	0
Foreign Language	0
Mathematics	0
Science	0
Social Science	0
Total AP Courses Offered Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.	0

Professional Development

The Friendship School academic calendar includes two full Professional Development days and 10 minimum days (early release Fridays) that are dedicated to staff development. Friendship School's professional development continues to be focused around appropriate alternate assessment tools, required trainings (Health Procedures, CPR/First Aid/Food Handlers), meeting the health needs of students with the most significant disabilities, implementing and adapting curriculum for students with the most significant disabilities, and team-building. Minimum days also provide staff time to collaborate and address

Professional Development

needs within their classrooms with their staff team. Additionally, teachers are supported through in-class coaching and monthly teacher-principal meetings.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	12	12	12

GENERAL INFORMATION

The Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) has been developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section 17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 17002(d)(2). The tool is designed to identify areas of a school site that are in need of repair based upon a visual inspection of the site. In addition, the EC specifies the tool should not be used to require capital enhancements beyond the standards to which the facility was designed and constructed.

Good repair is defined to mean that the facility is maintained in a manner that ensures that it is clean, safe, and functional. As part of the school accountability report card, school districts and county offices of education are required to make specified assessments of school conditions including the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities and needed maintenance to ensure good repair. In addition, beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, school districts and county offices of education must certify that a facility inspection system has been established to ensure that each of its facilities is maintained in good repair in order to participate in the School Facility Program and the Deferred Maintenance Program. This tool is intended to assist school districts and county offices of education in that determination.

County superintendents are required to annually visit the schools in the county of his or her office as determined by EC Section 1240. Further, EC Section 1240(c)(2)(l), states the priority objective of the visits made shall be to determine the status of the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health or safety of pupils or staff as defined in district policy, or as defined by EC Section 17592.72(c) and the accuracy of data reported on the school accountability report card with the respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair as required by EC Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75, and 17089. This tool is also intended to assist county offices of education in performing these functions.

The EC also allows individual entities to adopt a local evaluation instrument to be used in lieu of the FIT provided the local instrument meets the criteria specified in EC Section 17002(d) and as implemented in the FIT. Any evaluation instrument adopted by the local educational agency for purpose of determining whether a school facility is maintained in good repair may include any number of additional items but must minimally include the criteria and rating scheme contained in the FIT.

USER INSTRUCTIONS

The FIT is comprised of three parts as follows:

Part I, Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1), that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. Each section also provides examples of clean, safe and functional conditions. The list of examples is not exhaustive. If an evaluator notes a condition that is not mentioned in the examples but constitutes a deficiency, the evaluator can note such deficiency in the applicable category as “other.”

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and, if left unmitigated, could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. They constitute extreme deficiencies and indicate that the particular building system evaluated failed to meet the standard of good repair at that school site. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. If the underlined statement is not true, then there is an extreme deficiency (to be marked as an “X” on the Evaluation Detail) resulting in a “poor” rating for the applicable category. It is important to note that the list of extreme deficiencies noted in the Good Repair Standard is not exhaustive. Any other deficiency not included in the criteria but meeting the definition above can be noted by the evaluator and generate a poor rating.

Part II, Evaluation Detail is a site inspection template to be used to evaluate the areas of a school on a category by category basis. The design of the inspection template allows for the determination of the scope of conditions across campus. In evaluating each area or space, the user should review each of the 15 categories identified in the Good Repair Standard and make a determination of whether a particular area is in good repair. Once the determination is made, it should be recorded on the Evaluation Detail, as follows:

OK	No Deficiency - Good Repair: Mark "OK" if all statements in the Good Repair Standard are true, and there is no indication of a deficiency in the specific category.
D	Deficiency: Mark "D" if one or more statement(s) in the Good Repair Standard for the specific category is not true, or if there is other clear evidence of the need for repair.
X	Extreme Deficiency: Indicate "X" if the area has a deficiency that is considered an "Extreme Deficiency" in the Good Repair Standard or there is a condition that qualifies as an extreme deficiency but is not noted in the Good Repair Standard.
NA	Not Applicable: If the Good Repair Standard category (building system or component) does not exist in the area evaluated, mark "NA".

Below are suggested methods for evaluating various systems and areas:

- **Gas and Sewer** are major building systems that may span the entire school campus but may not be evident as applicable building systems in each classroom or common areas. However, because a deficiency in either of these systems could become evident and present a health and safety threat anywhere on campus, the user should not mark "NA" and should instead include an evaluation of these systems in each building space.

- **Roofs** can be easily evaluated for stand alone areas, such as portable classrooms. For permanent buildings containing several areas to be evaluated, roofs should be considered as parts of individual areas in order to accurately account for a scope of any roofing deficiency. For example, a 10 classroom building contains damaged gutters on one side of the building, spanning across five classrooms. Therefore, an evaluator should mark five classrooms as deficient in the roof category and the other five classrooms as in good repair, assuming there are no other visible deficiencies related to roofing.

- **Overall Cleanliness** is intended to be used to evaluate the cleanliness of each space. For example, a user should note a deficiency due to dirty surfaces in Overall Cleanliness, rather than **Interior Surfaces**. At the same time, the user should note such deficiency only in Overall Cleanliness in order to avoid accounting for such deficiency twice, i.e. in two sections.

- The tool is designed to evaluate stand-alone restrooms as separate areas. However, restrooms contained within other spaces, such as a kindergarten classroom or a library, can be evaluated as part of that area under Restrooms. If the area evaluated does not contain a restroom, Restrooms should be marked "NA."

- **Drinking fountains** can exist within individual classrooms or areas, right outside of classrooms or restrooms or other areas, or as stand alone fixtures on playgrounds and sports fields. If a drinking fountain or a set of fountains is located inside a building or immediately outside the area being evaluated, it should be included in the evaluation of that area under Drinking Fountains. If a fountain is located on the school grounds, it should be evaluated as part of that outside space. If there is no drinking fountain in the area evaluated, Drinking Fountains should be marked "NA."

- **Playgrounds/School Grounds**, should be evaluated as separate areas by dividing a campus into sections with defined borders. In this case, several sections of the good repair criteria would not apply to the evaluation, as they do not exist outside of physical building areas, such as **Structural Damage** and **Fire Safety**, for example.

Part III includes the **Category Totals and Ranking**, the **Overall Rating**, and a section for **Comments and Rating Explanation**.

Once the inspector completes the site inspection, he or she must total the number of areas evaluated. The inspector must also count all of the spaces deemed in good repair, deficient, extremely deficient, or not applicable under each of the 15 sections. Next, the evaluator must determine the condition of each section by taking the ratio of the number of areas deemed in good repair to the number of areas being evaluated (after subtracting non-applicable spaces from the total number of areas evaluated). If any of the 15 sections received a rating of extreme deficiency, the ratio (i.e., the percentage of good repair) for that section and the category the section is in should default to zero. The total percent per category (A through H) is determined by the total of all percentages of systems in good repair divided by the number of sections in that category. For example, to determine the total percent for the Structural category, add the percentages for the Structural Damage and Roof sections and divide the result by two.

Next, the overall school site score is determined by computing the average percentage rating of the eight categories (i.e., the total of all percentages divided by eight). Finally, the rater should determine the overall School Rating by applying the Percentage Range in the table provided in Part III to the average percentage calculated and taking into consideration the Rating Description provided in the same table.

*Although the FIT is designed to evaluate each school site within a reasonable range of facility conditions, it is possible that an evaluator may identify critical facility conditions that result in an Overall School Rating that does not reflect the urgency and severity of those deficiencies and/or does not match the rating's Description in Part III. In such instances, the evaluator may reduce the resulting school score by one or more grade categories and describe the reasons for the reduction in the space provided for Comments and Rating Explanation.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the school district should be provided the opportunity to provide comments and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

(REV 04/22)

PART I: GOOD REPAIR STANDARD

(X): If underlined statement is not true, then this is an extreme deficiency (marked as an "X") on the Evaluation Detail resulting in a "poor" rating for the applicable category.

Gas Leaks

Gas systems and pipes appear safe, functional, and free of leaks.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There is no odor that would indicate a gas leak. (X)
- b. Gas pipes are not broken and appear to be in good working order. (X)
- c. Other

Mechanical Systems

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC) as applicable are functional and unobstructed. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The HVAC system is operable. (X)
- b. The facilities are ventilated (via mechanical or natural ventilation).
- c. The ventilation units are unobstructed and vents and grills are without evidence of excessive dirt or dust.
- d. There appears to be an adequate air supply to all classrooms, work spaces, and facilities (i.e. no strong odor is present, air is not stuffy)
- e. Interior temperatures appear to be maintained within normally accepted ranges.
- f. The ventilation units are not generating any excessive noise or vibrations.
- g. Other

Sewer

Sewer line stoppage is not evident. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. There are no obvious signs of flooding caused by sewer line back-up in the facilities or on the school grounds. (X)
- b. The sanitary system controls odors as designed.
- c. Other

Interior Surfaces (Floors, Ceilings, Walls, and Window Casings)

Interior surfaces appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Walls are free of hazards from tears and holes.
- b. Flooring is free of hazards from torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, holes.

- b. An area should appear to be clean with minimal dirt, dust, and debris. Carpets should appear to have been swept or cleaned recently. Light fixtures and all bulbs are working properly. Facilities are well stocked and odor free. (OK)
- c. An area marked as "Deficiency" would appear to not have been cleaned in the last two weeks and carpet may look dull, matted, and dirty. The room may have a recognizable amount of dirt or debris. Carpets do not appear to have been swept or vacuumed in two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and fewer than five percent of the bulbs are working. Daily trash has not been taken out. (D)
- d. An area marked as having an "Extreme Deficiency" would appear to be dirty, dingy, or scuffed with an evident buildup of dust and debris. Floors have not been swept or vacuumed in over two weeks. Light fixtures are dirty and more than five percent of the bulbs have not been replaced. Trash overflow and the area being evaluated has a noticeable odor. (X)
- e. Area(s) evaluated is free of unabated graffiti.
- f. Other

Part IIb (Optional) - The Cleanliness Detail worksheet measures the Cleanliness of each area. Based on Part IIb, use the following to report Cleanliness. The district may choose how to report maintenance and cleaning staffing at the site or district level. Staffing may be reported as Full-Time Equivalent increments.

- a. If 75.0 percent or more of the review is "Yes", the area is Clean.
- b. If 50 - 74.9 percent of the review is "Yes", the area should be reported as a Deficiency (D).
- c. If 49.9 percent or less of the review is "Yes", the area should be reported as an Extreme Deficiency (X)

1. Floors swept, vacuumed, and/or mopped. Free of spots and stains.
2. Walls and Doors free of spots and grime.
3. Desk and Counters clean.
4. Furniture dusted and clean.
5. Baseboards and window sills dusted and clean.
6. Light fixtures clean.
7. Sink clean and drains working properly.
8. Trash cans are empty and clean. The ground is free of gum and/or other food residue.
9. Windows are free from damage, clean, and in working condition.
10. Water fountains, including handles/buttons, are clean and in working condition.
11. Toilets and bathroom sinks are clean and in working condition.
12. Mirrors and Hand Dryers are clean, intact, and in working condition.
13. Bathroom supplies are stocked and in working condition.
14. Area is free of graffiti.

- c. Ceiling is free of hazards from missing ceiling tiles and holes.
- d. There is no evidence of water damage (e.g. no condensation, dampness, staining, warping, peeling, mineral deposits, etc.)
- e. Other

Overall Cleanliness

School grounds, buildings, common areas, surfaces, and individual rooms appear to have been cleaned regularly. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Restrooms, drinking fountains, and food preparation or serving areas appear to have been cleaned each day that school is in session.

15. Landscaping - Maintained sufficiently to not hinder stu

Pest/Vermin Infestation

Pest or vermin infestation are not evident. Examples include following:

- a. There is no evidence of a major pest or vermin infestation.
- b. There are no holes in the walls, floors, or ceilings.
- c. Rodent droppings or insect skins are not evident.
- d. Odor caused by a pest or vermin infestation is not evident.
- e. There are no live rodents observed.
- f. Other

Electrical (Interior and Exterior)

1. There is no evidence that any portion of the school has a power failure. (X)
2. Electrical systems, components, and equipment appear to be working properly.
 - a. There are no exposed electrical wires. Electrical equipment is properly covered and secured from pupil access. (X)
 - b. Outlets, access panels, switch plates, junction boxes and fixtures are properly covered and secured from pupil access.
 - c. Other
3. Lighting appears to be adequate and working properly, including exterior lights.
Examples include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Lighting appears to be adequate.
 - b. Lighting is not flickering.
 - c. There is no unusual hum or noise from the light fixtures.
 - d. Other

Restrooms

Restrooms in the vicinity of the area being evaluated appear to be accessible during school hours, clean, functional and in compliance with SB 892 (EC Section 35292.5) and AB 367 (EC Section 35292.6). The following are examples of compliance with SB 892 and AB 367:

- a. Restrooms are maintained and cleaned regularly.
- b. Restrooms are fully operational.
- c. Restrooms are stocked with toilet paper, menstrual products, soap, and paper towels.
- d. Restrooms are open during school hours.
- e. Other

Sinks/Fountains (Inside and Outside)

Drinking fountains appear to be accessible and functioning as intended.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Drinking fountains are accessible.
- b. Water pressure is adequate.
- c. A leak is not evident.
- d. There is no moss, mold, or excessive staining on the fixtures.
- e. The water is clear and without unusual taste or odor.
- f. Other

Fire Safety

The fire equipment and emergency systems appear to be functioning properly.

Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- c. There does not appear to be damaged tiles or other cir indicate asbestos exposure.
- d. Surfaces (including floors, ceilings, walls, window casir to be free of mildew, mold odor and visible mold.
- e. Other

Structural Damage

There does not appear to be structural damage that has c or uninhabitable conditions. Examples include but are not

- a. Severe cracks are not evident. (X)
- b. Ceilings & floors are not sloping or sagging beyond the
- c. Posts, beams, supports for portable classrooms, ramps building members appear to be intact, secure and functioni
- d. There is no visible evidence of severe cracks, dry rot, r undermines the structural components. (X)
- e. Other

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector st

Roofs (observed from the ground, inside/outside the

Roof systems appear to be functioning properly. Example limited to the following:

- a. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are free o
- b. Roofs, gutters, roof drains, and down spouts are intact.
- c. Other

Playground/School Grounds

The playground equipment and school grounds in the vici evaluated appear to be clean, safe, and functional. Exam limited to the following:

- a. Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes and deterioration
- b. Open "S" hooks, protruding bolt ends, and sharp points found in the playground equipment.
- c. Seating, tables, and equipment are functional and free
- d. There are no signs of drainage problems, such as floc soil, water damage to asphalt, or clogged storm drain inle
- e. Other

Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences (Interior and exterior)

Conditions that pose a safety and/or security risk are not include but are not limited to the following:

- a. The fire sprinklers appear to be in working order (e.g., there are no missing or damaged sprinkler heads). (X)
- b. Emergency alarms appear to be functional. (X)
- c. Emergency exit signs function as designed, exits are unobstructed. (X)
- d. Fire extinguishers are current and placed in all required areas.
- e. Fire alarms pull stations are clearly visible.
- f. Other

Hazardous Materials (Interior and Exterior)

There does not appear to be evidence of hazardous materials that may pose a threat to pupils or staff. Examples include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Hazardous chemicals, chemical waste, and flammable materials are stored properly (e.g. locked and labeled properly). (X)
- b. Paint is not peeling, chipping, or cracking.

- a. There is no exposed broken glass accessible to pupils
- b. Exterior doors and gates are functioning and do not po
- c. Windows are intact and free of cracks.
- d. Windows are functional and open, close, and lock as d
a valid reason they should not function as designed.
- e. Doors are intact.
- f. Doors are functional and open, close, and lock as desig
valid reason they should not function as designed.
- g. Gates and fences appear to be functional.
- h. Gates and fences are intact and free of holes and othe
present a safety hazard to pupils, staff, or others.
- i. Other

rust, or buildup. Floors and
within the last week.
restroom areas adequately

have been cleaned in
or stained. Corners of
grime buildup. Floors do
not last more than a few
weeks. Some light
bulbs have burned out.

should appear to be
free of dirt, stains, or trash.
restroom areas every 2-3
weeks. Light fixtures
should be burned out. There is
no unusual odor. (X)

may be used to evaluate the Overall
Performance Rating for
custodial staff. The district may
be based on assigned staff or

should be rated clean (OK).
should be rated "Deficient (D)".
should be rated Extreme

restroom stains, and build up.

restroom trash. Floors and furniture are free

restroom condition.
restroom and in working condition.
restroom condition.
restroom working condition.
restroom condition.

udent and staff.

ude but are not limited to the

ion. (X)

ent.

circumstances that may

leaks, HVAC grills) appear

*created or could create hazardous
not limited to the following:*

not in accordance with intended design. (X)
leaks, and other structural
damage not as designed. (X)
mold, or damage that

inspector should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific area

building)

examples include but are not

limited to visible damage.

.

*integrity of the area being evaluated
examples include but are not*

limited to areas not found.

cracks/edges are not

limited to areas of significant cracks.

peeling areas, eroded

surfaces.

evident. Examples include but are

and staff. (X)

se a security risk. (X)

esigned, unless there is

igned, unless there is a

r conditions that could

as provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART IIa: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: _____

School Name: **FRIENDSHIP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL**

Building / Area Name	Estimated Square Footage	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOM	SINKS/ FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES
LAUNDRY ROOM		OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK	OK
<i>District's Plan to Address:</i>		COMMENTS:														
<i>Deficiencies Noted in Prior Year?</i>																

Marks: **OK** = Good Repair; **D** = Deficiency; **X** = Extreme Deficiency; **NA** = Not Applicable
 Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

PART III: CLEANLINESS DETAIL
 NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF IN THE DISTRICT OR AT SITE (MPC/FY): _____ Date of Inspection: 08/02/24 School Name: FRIENDSHIP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

NUMBER OF CUSTODIAL STAFF ASSIGNED TO SITE: _____

Building / Area Name	Area Characteristics (Grade level served, events, traffic volume, public usage, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Rating
		Floors	Walls & Doors	Desks & Counters	Furniture	Baseboards /Window Sill	Light Fixtures	Sinks	Trash / Refuse	Windows	Water Fountains	Toilets	Mirrors & Hand Dryers	Bathroom Supplies	Graffiti	Landscaping	
CR12		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
CR13		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
CR14		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	92.31%
	COMMENTS:																
CR15		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
OFFICE/#16		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	91.67%
	COMMENTS:																
CR17		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
CR18		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
CR19		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
GIRLS RESTROOM BY CR19		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
BOYS RESTROOM BY CR19		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	100.00%
	COMMENTS:																
District's Plan to Address:																	
Deficiency Noted in Prior Year?																	

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

PART III: CLEANLINESS DETAIL
 NUMBER OF MAINTENANCE STAFF IN THE DISTRICT OR AT SITE (SPECIFY): _____ Date of Inspection: 08/02/24 School Name: FRIENDSHIP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

NUMBER OF CUSTODIAL STAFF ASSIGNED TO SITE: _____

Building / Area Name	Area Characteristics (Grade level served, events, traffic volume, public usage, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Rating
		Floors	Walls & Doors	Desks & Counters	Furniture	Baseboards /Window Sill	Light Fixtures	Sinks	Trash / Refuse	Windows	Water Fountains	Toilets	Mirrors & Hand Dryers	Bathroom Supplies	Graffiti	Landscaping	
LAUNDRY ROOM		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	84.62%
COMMENTS:																	
<i>District's Plan to Address:</i>																	
<i>Deficiency Noted in Prior Year?</i>																	

Use additional Area Lines as necessary.

When completing Part III of the FIT, the inspector should note the date and time of the inspection as well as weather conditions and any other pertinent inspection information in the specific areas provided and utilize the Comments and Rating Explanation Section if needed.

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION: SOUTH BAY UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT		COUNTY: SAN DIEGO
SCHOOL SITE: FRIENDSHIP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS): SP-ED
INSPECTOR'S NAME:		NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE: 11
INSPECTOR'S TITLE:		NUMBER OF RESTROOMS ON SITE: 2
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING VOLUME (CUBIC FEET):		NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE):
TOTAL ESTIMATED SITE SQUARE FOOTAGE / ACREAGE:		WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION:
TOTAL ESTIMATED BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE:		SITE ENROLLMENT:

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING (round all calculations to two decimal places)

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	A. SYSTEMS		B. INTERIOR	C. CLEANLINESS		D. ELECTRICAL	E. RESTROOMS/FOUNTAINS		F. SAFETY		G. STRUCTURAL		H. EXTERNAL	
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	SEWER	INTERIOR SURFACES	OVERALL CLEANLINESS	REST/VERMIN INFESTATION	ELECTRICAL	RESTROOMS	SINKS/FOUNTAINS	FIRE SAFETY	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	ROOFS	PLAYGROUNDS/SCHOOL GROUNDS
	Number of "OK"s:	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	10	11	11	11
	Number of "D"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Number of "X"s:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Number of N/A's:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "OK"s divided by (Total Areas - "N/A"s)		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	81.82%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	90.91%	100.00%	100.00%
Total Percent per Category (average of above)*		100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		81.82%	100.00%		100.00%		95.45%		100.00%	
Rank (Circle one) GOOD = 90% - 100% FAIR = 75% - 89.99% POOR = 0% - 74.99%		Good		Good	Good		Fair	Good		Good		Good		Good	

*Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Total Percent per Category".

OVERALL RATING:

DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 9 CATEGORIES ABOVE	97.16%	SCHOOL RATING**	Good
--	---------------	-----------------	-------------

**For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
99%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	EXEMPLARY
90%-99.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	GOOD
75%-89.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	FAIR
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	POOR

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION:

DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO REPORT (Attach additional pages if necessary):