

RATING YEAR **2006-2007** SELECT AN OPTION

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2006-2007 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2005-2006 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: GRAND PRAIRIE ISD(057910)	Publication Level 1: 6/25/2007 5:06:18 PM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/23/2007 9:30:25 AM
Rating: Superior Achievement	Last Updated: 8/23/2007 9:30:25 AM

Indicators Answered YES: 20

Indicators Answered NO: 1

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Result
1	<u>Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
2	<u>Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
3	<u>Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
4	<u>Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
5	<u>Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
6	<u>Was The Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 96%?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
7	<u>Did The Comparisons Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 4 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
8	<u>Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$770.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 2%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$100,000, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
9	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	No

10	<u>Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Master Or Monitor Assigned)</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
11	<u>Was The Percent Of Operating Expenditures Expended For Instruction More Than 54%?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
12	<u>Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
13	<u>If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
14	<u>Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivables) In The General Fund = Or > 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues < Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
15	<u>Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Standard In State Law?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
16	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
17	<u>Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
18	<u>Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
19	<u>Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
20	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes
21	<u>Were Investment Earnings In All Funds More Than \$15 Per Student?</u>	6/14/2007 10:47:23 AM	Yes

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, Or 3? OR Did the District Answer 'No' To Both 4 And 5? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement .	
B.	By Applicable Range For The Number Of Indicators Answered 'No'	
	Superior Achievement	0-2
	Above Standard Achievement	3-4
	Standard Achievement	5-6
	Substandard Achievement	7+ OR 'No' To Critical Indicator(s)

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios		Indicator 17	Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22	< 500	4	14
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.5	14
1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6	14
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.5	14
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	6.6	14

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE • AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 • (512) 463-9734