



State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

PO Box 500

TRENTON, NJ 08625-0500

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

ANGELICA ALLEN-MCMILLAN, Ed.D.
Acting Commissioner

August 25, 2021

Ms. Eileen Shafer, State District Superintendent
Paterson Public School District
90 Delaware Avenue
Paterson, NJ 07503

Re: Long-Range Facilities Plan, Major Amendment Approval
Paterson Public School District (4010), Passaic County

Dear Ms. Shafer:

The Department of Education (Department) has approved the major amendment to the Long-Range Facilities Plan (LRFP or Plan) submitted by the Paterson Public School District (District) pursuant to the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act, P.L. 2000, c. 72 (N.J.S.A. 18A: 7G-1 *et seq.*), as amended by P.L. 2007, c. 137 (Act), N.J.A.C. 6A:26 -1 *et seq.* (Educational Facilities Code), and the Facilities Efficiency Standards (FES). Findings are summarized in the attached "Summary of the Long-Range Facilities Plan, as Amended August 25, 2021."

The approved amendment fulfills LRFP reporting requirements for a period of five years from the date of this letter pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A: 7G-4 (a) unless the LRFP needs to be further amended to address a proposed school facilities project that is inconsistent with the approved Plan. The approved LRFP amendment supersedes all prior LRFP approvals. Unless and until a new amendment is submitted to and approved by the Department pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-4(c), this approved LRFP shall remain in effect. The approval of the LRFP does not imply approval of an individual school facilities project listed therein or its corresponding costs and eligibility for State support. Determination of preliminary eligible costs will be made at the time of the approval of a school facilities project pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-5. Similarly, approval of the LRFP does not imply approval of portions of the Plan that are inconsistent with the FES or proposed building demolition or replacement.

Please email LRFPsubmission@doe.nj.gov with any questions you may have about the LRFP determination.

Sincerely,

Susan Kutner
Director, Office of School Facilities Planning

SK:sk

Encl. Summary of the Long-Range Facilities Plan, as Amended August 25, 2021

c: Bernard E. Piaia, Jr., Department of Education, Office of School Facilities Projects
Richard Matthews, Paterson Public School District, Business Administrator
Donna Gorman, Consultant

Paterson Public School District (4010)
Summary of the Long-Range Facilities Plan, as Amended August 25, 2021

The Department of Education (Department) has completed its review of the major amendment to the Long-Range Facilities Plan (LRFP or Plan) submitted by the Paterson Public School District (District) pursuant to the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act, P.L. 2000, c. 72 (N.J.S.A. 18A: 7G-1 *et seq.*), as amended by P.L. 2007, c. 137 (Act), N.J.A.C. 6A:26-1 *et seq.* (Educational Facilities Code), and the Facilities Efficiency Standards (FES).

The following provides a summary of the District’s approved amended LRFP. The summary is based on the standards set forth in the Act, the Educational Facilities Code, the FES, District-reported information in the Department’s LRFP reporting system, and supporting documentation. The referenced reports in *italic* text are standard reports available on the Department’s LRFP website.

1. Inventory Overview

The District is classified as a SDA District for funding purposes. It provides services for students in grades PK-12.

The District identified existing and proposed schools, sites, buildings, rooms, and site amenities in its LRFP. Table 1 lists the number of existing and proposed district schools, sites, and buildings. Detailed information can be found in the *School Asset Inventory Report* and the *Site Asset Inventory Report*.

As directed by the Department, school facilities projects that have received initial approval by the Department and have been approved by the voters, if applicable, are represented as “existing” in the LRFP. Approved projects that include new construction and/or the reconfiguration/reassignment of existing program space are as follows: Taub Middle School at Union Avenue (301).

Table 1: Number of Schools, School Buildings, and Sites

Category	Existing	Proposed
Number of Schools (assigned DOE school code)	51	51
Number of School Buildings ¹	50	49
Number of Non-School Buildings ²	4	2
Number of Vacant Buildings	1	2
Number of Sites	51	48

Based on the existing facilities inventory submitted by the District:

- Schools using leased buildings (short or long-term): STARS Academy (025), School 36 Hamilton (043), School 29 (311), School of Health Science (004),
- Schools using temporary classroom units (TCUs), excluding TCUs supporting construction: School 3 (311), School of STEM at JFK (304)
- Vacant/unassigned school buildings: Former School 14

¹ Includes district-owned buildings and long-term leases serving students in district-operated programs

² Includes occupied district-owned buildings not associated with a school, such as administrative buildings

Findings:

The Department has determined that the proposed inventory is adequate for approval of the District’s LRFPA amendment. However, the LRFPA determination does not imply approval of an individual school facilities project listed within the LRFPA; the District must submit individual project applications for project approval.

2. District Enrollments

The District determined the number of students, or “proposed enrollments,” to be accommodated for LRFPA planning purposes on a district-wide basis and in each school.

The Department minimally requires the submission of a standard cohort-survival projection using historic enrollment data from the Application for School State Aid (ASSA) or NJ Smart. The cohort-survival method projection method forecasts future students based upon the survival of the existing student population as it moves from grade to grade. A survival ratio of less than 1.00 indicates a loss of students, while a survival ratio of more than 1.00 indicates the class size is increasing. For example, if a survival ratio tracking first to second grade is computed to be 1.05, the grade size is increasing by 5% from one year to the next. The cohort-survival projection methodology works well for communities with stable demographic conditions. Atypical events impacting housing or enrollments, such as an economic downturn that halts new housing construction or the opening of a charter or private school, typically makes a cohort-survival projection less reliable.

Proposed enrollments are based on a modified cohort-survival enrollment projection. (2020-21 enrollments atypically declined due to pandemic.) Adequate supporting documentation was submitted to the Department to justify the proposed enrollments. Table 2 provides a comparison of existing and projected enrollments. All totals include special education students.

Table 2: Enrollments

Grades	2019-20 Enrollments (2020-21 atypically low)	District Proposed Enrollments 2024-25
PK (excl. private providers)	1,059	1,049
Grades K to 5	11,404	11,032
Grades 6 to 8	4,922	5,528
Grades 9 to 12	6,150	6,882
Totals PK to 12	24,535	24,491

Findings:

The Department has determined the District’s proposed enrollments to be acceptable for approval of the District’s LRFPA amendment. The Department will require a current enrollment projection at the time an application for a school facilities project is submitted incorporating the District’s most recent enrollments in order to verify that the LRFPA’s planned capacity is appropriate for the updated enrollments.

3. District Practices Capacity

Based on information provided in the room inventories, District Practices Capacity was calculated for each school building to determine whether adequate capacity is proposed for the projected enrollments based on

district scheduling and class size practices. The capacity totals assume instructional buildings can be fully utilized regardless of school sending areas, transportation, and other operational issues. The calculations only consider district-owned buildings and long-term leases; short term leases and temporary buildings are excluded. **A capacity utilization factor of 90% for classrooms serving grades K-8 and 85% for classrooms serving grades 9-12 is applied in accordance with the FES.** No capacity utilization factor is applied to preschool classrooms.

In certain cases, districts may achieve adequate District Practices Capacity to accommodate enrollments but provide inadequate square feet per student in accordance with the FES, resulting in educational adequacy issues and “Unhoused Students.” Unhoused students are considered in the “Functional Capacity” calculations used to determine potential State support for school facilities projects and are analyzed in Section 4.

Table 3 provides a summary of proposed enrollments and existing and proposed District-wide capacities. Detailed information can be found in the LRFP website reports titled *FES and District Practices Capacity Report, Existing Rooms Inventory Report, and Proposed Rooms Inventory Report*.

Table 3: District Practices Capacity Analysis

Grades	Proposed Enrollments	Existing District Practices Capacity	Existing Deviation*	Proposed District Practices Capacity	Proposed Deviation*
Elementary (PK to 5)	12,081	10,626.62	-1,454.38	12,473.88	392.88
Middle (6 to 8)	5,528	6,237.23	709.23	5,704.03	176.03
High (9 to 12)	6,882	5,687.65	-1,194.35	6,890.40	8.40
District Totals	24,491	22,551.49	-1,939.51	25,068.30	577.30

** Positive numbers signify surplus capacity; negative numbers signify inadequate capacity. Negative values for District Practices capacity are acceptable for approval if proposed enrollments do not exceed 100% capacity utilization.*

Considerations:

- Based on the proposed enrollments and existing room inventories, the District is projected to have inadequate capacity for the following grade groups, assuming all school buildings can be fully utilized: PK-5, 9-12
- Adequate justification has been provided by the District if the proposed capacity for a school significantly deviates from the proposed enrollments. Generally, surplus capacity is acceptable for LRFP approval if additional capacity is not proposed through new construction.

Findings:

The Department has determined that proposed District capacity, in accordance with the proposed enrollments, is adequate for approval of the District’s LRFP amendment. The Department will require a current enrollment projection at the time an application for a school facilities project is submitted, incorporating the District’s most recent Fall Enrollment Report, in order to verify that the LRFP’s planned capacity meets the District’s updated enrollments.

4. New Construction Funding Eligibility

Functional Capacity was calculated and compared to the proposed enrollments to provide a **preliminary estimate** of Unhoused Students and new construction funding eligibility. **A final determination will be made at the time of project application approval.**

Functional Capacity is the adjusted gross square footage of a school building (*total gross square feet minus excluded space*) divided by the minimum area allowance per full-time equivalent student for the grade level contained therein. *Unhoused Students* is the number of students projected to be enrolled in the District that exceeds the Functional Capacity of the District’s schools pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.2(c). *Excluded Square Feet* includes (1) square footage exceeding the FES for any pre-kindergarten, kindergarten, general education, or self-contained special education classroom; (2) grossing factor square footage (*corridors, stairs, mechanical rooms, etc.*) that exceeds the FES allowance, and (3) square feet proposed to be demolished or discontinued from use. Excluded square feet may be revised during the review process for individual school facilities projects.

Table 4 provides a preliminary assessment of the Functional Capacity, Unhoused Students, and Estimated Maximum Approved Area for Unhoused Students for each FES grade group. The calculations exclude temporary facilities and short-term leased buildings. School buildings proposed for whole or partial demolition or reassignment to a non-school use are excluded from the calculations pending project application review. If a building is proposed to be reassigned to a different school, the square footage is applied to the proposed grades after reassignment. Buildings that are not assigned to a school are excluded from the calculations. In addition, only preschool students eligible for state funding (former ECPA students) are included. Detailed information concerning the calculations can be found in the *Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students Report* and the *Excluded Square Footage Report*.

Table 4: Estimated Maximum Approved Area for Unhoused Students

Category	PK/K to 5	6 to 8	9 to 12	Total
Eligible PK /K-12 Proposed Enrollments	12,081	5,528	6,882	
FES Area Allowance (SF/student)	125.00	134.00	151.00	
Prior to Completion of Proposed Work:				
Existing Gross Square Feet	1,800,517	895,477	785,526	3,481,519
Adjusted Gross Square Feet	1,173,064	647,758	651,157	2,471,979
Adjusted Functional Capacity	9,384.51	4,944.28	4,312.30	
Unhoused Students	2,696.49	583.72	2,569.70	
Est. Max. Area for Unhoused Students	337,061.00	78,217.86	388,024.61	
After Completion of Proposed Work:				
Gross Square Feet	2,285,196	1,016,092	1,028,261	4,329,549
New Gross Square Feet	814,952	229,758	159,105	1,203,815
Adjusted Gross Square Feet	1,988,016	877,516	810,262	3,675,794
Functional Capacity	15,904.13	6,737.21	5,365.98	
Unhoused Students after Construction	0.00	0.00	1,516.02	
Est. Max. Area Remaining	0.00	0.00	228,919.61	

Facilities used for non-instructional or non-educational purposes are ineligible for State support under the Act. However, projects for such facilities shall be reviewed by the Department to determine whether they are consistent with the District's LRFP and whether the facility, if it is to house students (full or part time) conforms to educational adequacy requirements. These projects shall conform to all applicable statutes and regulations.

Estimated costs represented in the LRFP by the District are for capital planning purposes only. The estimates are not intended to represent preliminary eligible costs or final eligible costs of approved school facilities projects.

Considerations:

- The District has approved projects pending completion, as noted in Section 1, that impact the Functional Capacity calculations.
- The Functional Capacity calculations *exclude* square feet proposed for demolition or discontinuation for the following FES grade groups and school buildings pending a feasibility study and project review: PK-5, 6-8, 9-12.
- Based on the preliminary assessment, the District has Unhoused Students prior to the completion of proposed work for the following FES grade groups: PK-5, 6-8, 9-2.
- New construction is proposed for the following FES grade groups: PK-5, 6-8, 9-12.
- Proposed new construction exceeds the estimated maximum area allowance for Unhoused Students prior to the completion of the proposed work for the following grade groups: PK-5, 6-8.
- The District, based on the preliminary LRFP assessment, will have Unhoused Students after completion of the proposed LRFP work. If the District is projected to have Unhoused Students, adequate justification has been provided to confirm educational adequacy in accordance with Section 6 of this determination.

Findings:

Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students calculated in the LRFP are preliminary estimates. Preliminary Eligible Costs (PEC) and Final Eligible Costs (FEC) will be included in the review process for specific school facilities projects. A feasibility study undertaken by the District is required if building demolition or replacement is proposed per N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.3(b)(10).

5. Proposed Work

The District assessed program space, capacity, and physical plant deficiencies to determine corrective actions. Capital maintenance, or "*system actions*," address physical plant deficiencies due to operational, building code, and /or life cycle issues. Inventory changes, or "*inventory actions*," add, alter, or eliminate sites, site amenities, buildings, and/or rooms.

The Act (N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7b) provides that all school facilities shall be deemed suitable for rehabilitation unless a pre-construction evaluation undertaken by the District demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the structure might pose a risk to the safety of the occupants even after rehabilitation or that rehabilitation is not cost-effective. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.3(b)(10), the Commissioner may identify school facilities for which new construction is proposed in lieu of rehabilitation for which it appears from the information presented that new construction is justified, provided, however, that for such

school facilities so identified, the District must submit a feasibility study as part of the application for the specific school facilities project. The cost of each proposed building replacement is compared to the cost of additions or rehabilitation required to eliminate health and safety deficiencies and to achieve the District’s programmatic model.

Table 5 lists the scope of work proposed for each school based on the building(s) serving their student population. Proposed inventory changes are described in the LRFP website reports titled “*School Asset Inventory Report* and “*Proposed Room Inventory Report*.” Information concerning proposed systems work, or capital maintenance can be found in the "LRFP Systems Action Summary Report."

With the completion of the proposed work, the following schools are proposed to be eliminated: Newcomers (150), STARS Academy (025); the following schools are proposed to be added: New ECC, High School at Former Paterson Catholic.

Table 5: School Building Scope of Work

Proposed Scope of Work	Applicable Schools
Renovation only (no new construction)	
System actions only (no inventory actions)	Adult and Continuing Education (010), Awadallah (313), International HS (035) School 1 (050), School of Earth and Space Science (005)
Existing inventory actions only (no systems actions)	High School at Former Paterson Catholic,
Systems and inventory changes	Dale Avenue (045), School 30 MLK (312), School 9 Riley (130), JFK Academies (304, 305, 306, 307), Eastside Academies (001, 002, 003)
New construction	
Building addition only (no systems actions)	n/a
Renovation and building addition (system, existing inventory, and new construction actions)	Landoli ECC (302), Clemente (315); Parks School of Fine and Performing Arts (020), School 10 (140), School 12 (160), School 13 (170), School 15 (190), School 18 (220), School 2 (060), School 21 (250), School 25 (280), School 26 (290), School 27 (300), School 28 (310), School 4 Napier (080), School 5 (090), School 7 (110), School 8 (120), Lautenberg (100)
New building on existing site	School 33 Kilpatrick (047), Weir (325), School 19 (230), School 20 (240), School 24 (270), School 3 (070), Urban Leadership Academy (303)
New building on new or expanded site	School 36 Hamilton (043), New ECC. School 29 (311), School of Health Science (004), School of Earth and Space Science (005)

Proposed Scope of Work	Applicable Schools
Site and building disposal (in addition to above scopes)	
Partial building demolition	School 28 (310)
Whole building demolition	School 33 Kilpatrick (047), Weir (325), School 19 (230), School 20 (240), School 24 (270), School 3 (070), Urban Leadership Academy (303)
Site and building disposal or discontinuation of use	Newcomers (150); STARS Academy (025); School 36 Hamilton (043), School 29 (311), School of Health Science (004)

Findings:

The Department has determined that the proposed work is adequate for approval of the District’s LRFP amendment. However, Department approval of proposed work in the LRFP does not imply the District may proceed with a school facilities project. The District must submit individual project applications with cost estimates for Department project approval. Both school facilities project approval and other capital project review require consistency with the District’s approved LRFP.

6. Proposed Room Inventories and the Facilities Efficiency Standards

The District’s proposed school buildings were evaluated to assess general educational adequacy in terms of compliance with the FES area allowance pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.2 and 2.3.

District schools proposed to provide less square feet per student than the FES after the completion of proposed work as indicated in Table 5 are as follows: School 1, School 12

Findings:

The Department has determined that the District’s proposed room inventories are adequate for LRFP approval. If school(s) are proposed to provide less square feet per student than the FES area allowance, the District has provided justification indicating that the educational adequacy of the facility will not be adversely affected and has been granted an FES waiver by the Department pending project submission and review. This determination does not include an assessment of eligible square feet for State support, which will be determined at the time an application for a specific school facilities project is submitted to the Department. The Department will also confirm that a proposed school facilities project conforms with the proposed room inventory represented in the LRFP when an application for a specific school facilities project is submitted to the Department for review and approval.

7. Other LRFP Amendment Considerations

n/a

PATERSON PUBLIC SCHOOLS
PATERSON, NJ

LONG RANGE FACILITY PLAN UPDATE
JULY 2021

PATERSON PUBLIC SCHOOLS 



Prepared by **DMR ARCHITECTS**

777 Terrace Avenue, Suite 607
Hasbrouck Heights, NJ 07604
201-288-2600
DMR #4744

LRFP Update 2021-2026 - Paterson Board of Education

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

01 Executive Summary

02 Board Resolution

03 Data Check Report

04 Cohort Survival/ Project Enrollment Spreadsheet

05 Proposed Capital Improvement Plan

06 Proposed School District Map

07 Proposed School Maps

1 of 7 thru 7 of 7

LRFP Update 2021-2026

Paterson Board of Education

Executive Summary

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

2021-2026 Long Range Facility Update (LRFP)

In compliance with the New Jersey Department of Education requirements, school districts are required to amend their Long-Range Facility Plan (LRFP) at least once every five years. The LRFP assists districts in planning for the next 5 years by examining facility conditions, capacities and usage. The LRFP also helps the district to determine if major facilities improvement projects or school restructuring is required.

In accordance with this requirement, Paterson Public Schools retained DMR Architects of Hasbrouck Heights, NJ to complete the report. This report also identifies and coordinates with project applications requiring DOE approval.

In close coordination with Paterson Board of Educations' Director of Facilities, Neil Mapp, and Ross Haber Associates (Demographic Consultant), DMR completed the report, included within, projecting for the years 2021-2026.

The LRFP reporting system requires various types of analysis in order to make the appropriate conclusions of facility needs for the next five years, examining enrollments, development of sites, buildings, program spaces, and estimated project costs. These analyses were completed by incorporating information and data provided by the Paterson School District, and completing a demographic study and a redistricting study.

The demographic study, based on input from the district, reviewed data by the State of New Jersey, approved new Paterson housing units and input from the professional demographer, Ross Haber Associates, indicated an increase in enrollment over the next five years. (See Section 04 - Cohort Survival / Projection Report Enrollment). The district's long-term goal is to phase out leased spaces, and house student in district owned buildings. The redistricting study demonstrates capacity generating space in several clusters of schools District-wide.

The district provided a list of proposed capital improvements including identified building deficiencies and ADA accessibility improvements. With this information, a proposed Systems and Capital Improvements list was created for each school. (See Section 05 - Proposed Systems/Capital Improvement Plan). Based on the availability of funds, a replacement high school and several proposed additions would help to alleviate the High School overcrowding.

LRFP Update 2021-2026

Paterson Board of Education

Data Check Report



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

District: Paterson City

District Code: 4010

County: Passaic

District Type: (Abbott, EPCA, Non-Level II)

LRFP Name: Paterson 2021 Amendment

This report highlights issues that may prevent LRFP approval by the DOE.

Cited issues should be verified for accuracy and intent prior to electronic LRFP submission.

After the LRFP is electronically submitted, this report must be signed at the bottom and transmitted to the DOE.

The transmittance of this report signals that the district has submitted all other required supporting documentation and that the LRFP is complete and ready for DOE review.

DO NOT transmit this report to the DOE unless ALL other required supporting documentation has been submitted.

NOTE: The FES Analysis is based on the FES version referenced in the 2002 biennial Report.

Ref. No.	Reporting Area	Issue	Statement True for Proposed LRFP?		Comments
			YES	NO	
1	Enrollment	Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from the website Baseline Cohort-Survival Projection for the cited grades. (<i>Supporting documentation must be submitted to the DOE.</i>)	X		G4; G8; G11; SCSEK5; G3; G7; G12; SCSE68; G2; G9; SCSE912; PK4; G6; G1; G10; G5; K
2	Enrollment	Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from the website Baseline Cohort-Survival Projection by more than 10% for the cited grades.	X		G4; G8; G11; SCSEK5; G3; G7; G12; SCSE68; G2; G9; SCSE912; PK4; G6; G1; G10; G5; K
3	Enrollment	Proposed District-wide Enrollments deviate from Current School Year Enrollments by more than 10% for the cited grades.	X		G4; G11; SCSEK5; G12; SCSE68; G9; SCSE912; G6; G1; G10
4	Enrollment; LRFP/School Grade Alignment	Proposed District-wide Enrollments do not equal the Proposed School Grade Alignment totals for the cited grades. (<i>This must be adjusted to equal for LRFP approval.</i>)	X		G11; G12; G10
5	LRFP/School Grade Alignment	No students are assigned to a Proposed School.	X		Adult and Continuing Education: Alternative High School; Garrett Morgan Academy (See International HS); School of Architecture + Construction Trades at JFK (see STEM); School of Business Technology at JFK (See STEM); School of Culinary Arts, Hospitality + Tourism at Eastside (See School of Info Tech); School of Education + Training at JFK (See STEM); School of Government Public Administration at Eastside (See School of Info Tech)
6	Inventory/Assets	No Assets are assigned to a Site.		X	
7	Inventory/Assets	No Instructional Buildings are assigned to a School.	X		Alternative High School; Garrett Morgan Academy (See International HS); School of Architecture + Construction Trades at JFK (see STEM); School of Business Technology at JFK (See STEM); School of Culinary Arts, Hospitality + Tourism at Eastside (See School of Info Tech); School of Education + Training at JFK (See STEM); School of Government Public Administration at Eastside (See School of Info Tech)



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

8	Inventory/Assets	A preschool community provider-owned building is included in the district's Inventory. <i>(Please confirm that the district plans to request, or has already received, state facilities funding for the center. If this is not true, the center should be included in the ECCP Survey section of the website and not in the Inventory section.)</i>		X	
9	Inventory/Rooms; LRFP/School Grade Alignment	A Proposed School's capacity, based on district practices, is not within 5% of the Proposed School Enrollment.	X		School of Earth and Space Science (PANTHER); High School at Former Paterson Catholic; Dale Avenue School #41; School 15; Edward W Kilpatrick School #33; School 10; School 24 Fine and Performing Arts; School 9 Charles J Riley; School 21; School 8; School 18; Senator Frank Lautenberg School #6; School 16; School 5; School 4 Rev Dr Frank Napier Jr School; School 3; Norman S Weir School #75; School 12
10	Inventory/Rooms; LRFP/School Grade Alignment	Proposed FES Capacity Generating Rooms in Instructional Building(s) assigned to a Proposed School do not match the Proposed School Grade Alignments. <i>(For example, room type General Classroom, grades 9-12, is included in a Proposed School that is only assigned enrollments for grades K-5; or self-contained special education enrollments are proposed for a school but there are no proposed self-contained special education classrooms in its Instructional Buildings.)</i>	X		Alexander Hamilton Academy #36(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 1-5); Dr. Martin Luther King Jr Educational Complex #30(Self-Contained Special Education, PK); Edward W Kilpatrick School #33(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 1-5); Edward W Kilpatrick School #33(Self-Contained Special Education, Kindergarten); International High School #55(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 9-12); School 1(Pre-Kindergarten); School 1(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 1-5); School 1(Self-Contained Special Education, Kindergarten); School 12(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 6-8); School 13(Self-Contained Special Education, Grades 6-8); School 15(Self-Contained Special Educ ...
11	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Schools are missing Specialized or Administrative/Support FES Room Types from the Room Inventory.	X		Alternative High School(Administrative / Student Services); Alternative High School(Assembly / Large Group); Alternative High School(Faculty); Alternative High School(Food Services / Large Group); Alternative High School(Health Services); Alternative High School(Media Services); Alternative High School(Physical Education / Large Group); Alternative High School(Science); Alternative High School(Small Group Instruction); Alternative High School(Technological Literacy); Alternative High School(Visual and Performing Arts); Anna Iandoli Early Learning Center(Faculty); Anna Iandoli Early Learning Center(Health Services); Anna Iandoli Early Learning Center(Small Group Instruction); Dale Avenue ...
12	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings do not have "Grossing Factor Spaces" included in the Room Inventory.		X	
13	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings have a Grossing Factor, based on the Room Inventory, that is not within a 1.30-1.70 range. <i>(Please confirm that the Room Inventory is accurately represented.)</i>	X		School of Earth Space Science(1.71); School 19 - NEW(1.7); School 27(1.71); Adult High School(1.97)



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

14	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings have Proposed Room(s) assigned a District Class Size Practice of 25 or greater.	X		High School at Former Paterson Catholic; International High School #55; Rosa L Parks School of Fine and Performing Arts #52; School of Earth and Space Science (PANTHER); School of Health Science (HARP); School of Information Technology at Eastside; School of STEM at JFK
15	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings contain FES Capacity Generating Rooms with no District Class Size Practices assigned. <i>(Capacity will be automatically assigned in the reports.)</i>	X		Alexander Hamilton Academy #36 - NEW; Charles J Riley School 9; Dale Avenue School; Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Educational Complex; Edward W. Kilpatrick School #33 - NEW; HS Academies - Architecture (307), Business (306), Education (305), STEM (304); IT (001), Culinary Arts/Hospitality/Tourism (002), Gov (003); New Roberto Clemente Elementary; Norman S Weir School - NEW; Rev Dr. Frank Napier Jr School; Roberto Clemente School; School 10; School 12; School 13; School 15; School 18; School 2; School 2 Annex; School 20 - NEW; School 21; School 24 - NEW; School 25; School 26; School 27; School 28; School 7; School 8; School of Earth Space Science; Senator Frank Lautenberg School 6
16	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings do not contain Rooms. <i>(Please complete the Room Inventory.)</i>		X	
17	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings contain Net Program Spaces sized greater than 10,000 square feet. <i>(Please check that the room size was entered correctly.)</i>		X	
18	Inventory/Rooms	Proposed Instructional Buildings contain FES Capacity Generating or Specialized Space room types sized less than 100 square feet. <i>(Please check that the room size was entered correctly.)</i>		X	
19	Inventory/Rooms; LRFP	Proposed Buildings contain Reconfiguration Actions in which the total square feet of Existing Room Reconfiguration Actions, including Support Spaces, is not within 3% of the total square feet of the Potential Room Reconfiguration Actions. <i>(Check that the existing rooms proposed for reconfiguration have been assigned a Reconfiguration Action so that they are excluded from the Proposed Inventory.)</i>	X		Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Educational Complex; School 13; School 18
20	LRFP	Proposed School(s) provide less gross square feet per student than prescribed in the Facilities Efficiency Standards.	X		School of Education + Training at JFK (See STEM); School of Government Public Administration at Eastside (See School of Info Tech); School of Architecture + Construction Trades at JFK (see STEM); School of Culinary Arts, Hospitality + Tourism at Eastside (See School of Info Tech); Garrett Morgan Academy (See International HS); School of Business Technology at JFK (See STEM); School 1; Alternative High School; School 12; Adult and Continuing Education
21	LRFP	Building(s) proposed to be demolished are assigned work.	X		Edward W Kilpatrick School; Norman S Weir School; School 19; School 20; School 3; TCUs (3)
22	LRFP	Leased Buildings are assigned work.		X	



LRFP Submission Data Check Report

I have reviewed the contents of this report and have submitted all required LRFP supporting documentation to the Department of Education. The district's LRFP submission is complete.

Eileen Shafer 7/27/21
Signature, District Representative Date

Eileen Shafer, Superintendent

Printed Name, Title

ES

LRFP Update 2021-2026

Paterson Board of Education

Proposed

Capital

Improvements

CAPITAL PROJECTS

LOCATION	ADDRESS	PROJECT	Estimated Cost	Fiscal Year
SECTOR 1				
Eastside High School (EHS) & Baurele Field	150 Park Ave, 07501	ESIP		Ongoing
		Chair Lift	\$ 50,000.00	2000-2021
		Boys and Girls Bathroom Renovation in Basement	\$ 380,000.00	2000-2021
		Boys and Girls Bathroom Renovation on 1st Floor	\$ 415,000.00	2021-2026
		Boys and Girls Bathroom Renovation on 2nd Floor	\$ 415,000.00	2021-2026
		Boys and Girls Bathroom Renovation 3rd Floor	\$ 415,000.00	2021-2026
		Site Work Remediation	\$ 150,000.00	2021-2026
		Replacement of Cafeteria Floors	\$ 60,000.00	2021-2026
		Replace Decking and Stairs at TCU	\$ 50,000.00	2021-2026
		Chemistry Labs	\$ 900,000.00	2021-2026
Waterproofing foundation	\$ 50,000.00	2021-2026		
Sub Total			\$ 2,885,000.00	
International High School & Garrett Morgan Academy	200 Grand Street,07501	Turf Ball Field and modify fencing	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 200,000.00	
PS#2	22 Passaic Street, 07501	New Building Window Replacement	\$ 674,000.00	2021-2026
		New Roof	\$ 1,500,000.00	2021-2026

Sub Total			\$	2,174,000.00	
PS#3	448 Main Street, 07501	Replace Decking, Ramp and Stairs at TCU	\$	50,000.00	2021-2026
		New Staff Bathroom	\$	50,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$	100,000.00	
PS#5	430 Totowa Avenue, 07502	Total Roof and Parapet replacement, installation of new HVAC equipment and interior repairs	\$	8,000,000.00	2020-2021
		Fence Replacement	\$	95,000.00	2021-2026
		Roof Leak Mitigation (Insurance Claim)			2020-2021
Sub Total			\$	8,095,000.00	
PS#6	137 Carroll Street, 07514	Roof Replacement	\$	175,000.00	2021-2026
		Waterproof Foundation Wall to the Boiler Room	\$	200,000.00	2021-2026
		ESIP			Ongoing
Sub Total			\$	375,000.00	
PS#8	35 Chadwick Street, 07503	New Playground Installed			Complete
		Retaining Wall and Fence Replacement Construction	\$	460,000.00	2020-2021
		Replace the BMS	\$	300,000.00	2021-2026
		Boiler Replacement	\$	78,000.00	2020-2021
Sub Total			\$	838,000.00	
PS#11	121 North 2nd Street, 07522	New Playground Installed			Complete
		New Perimeter Fencing and Gate	\$	300,000.00	2021-2026

	141 North Elm Street, 07522	Parking Lot Paving and Fencing	\$ 25,000.00	2021-2026
		Building Envelop Repairs (new roof and masonry)	\$ 1,500,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 1,825,000.00	
PS#13	690 East 23rd Street, 07504	ESIP		Ongoing
		Heating Pipe Replacement above the Auditorium Ceiling		complete
		Heating Pipe insulation abatement		complete
Sub Total			\$ -	
PS#18	51 E. 18th St. 07524	Parking Lot	\$ 205,000.00	2020-2021
		Perimeter Fencing	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
		New Auditorium Seats	\$ 250,000.00	2021-2026
		Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 755,000.00	
PS#21	322 10th Ave. 07514	Retrofit Unit Ventilators	\$ 50,000.00	2021-2026
		Retaining Wall and Fence Replacement Construction	\$ 350,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 400,000.00	
PS#25	287 Trenton Ave, 07503	New Playground Installed		
		Building Envelop Repairs (new roof and masonry and wooden architectural Detail repairs)	\$ 8,000,000.00	2021-2026
		Site Work Remediation (Replace retaining wall and new fencing)	\$ 500,000.00	2021-2026
		New Auditorium Seats	\$ 250,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 8,750,000.00	
Grand Total			\$ 26,397,000.00	

LOCATION	ADDRESS	PROJECT	Estimated Cost	
SECTOR 2				
PS#9		Replace Spandrel Glazing	\$ 80,000.00	2021-2026
		Replace 5 Airedale Ventilation Units	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 180,000.00	
PS#19	31 James Street, 07522	New Playground Installed		Complete
		Building Envelop Repairs (new roof and masonry)	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 200,000.00	
PS#30 (MLK)	851 East 28th Street, 07513	Flood mitigation (insurance claim)		2020-2021
		Refurbished Gym Floor	\$ 45,000.00	2021-2026
		Sidewalk Replacement	\$ 65,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 110,000.00	
Young Man Leadership Academy	45 Smith Street	Interior & Exterior Renovation	\$ 800,000.00	2020-2021
		New Boiler	\$ 75,000.00	2020-2021
Sub Total			\$ 875,000.00	
Rutland Resource Center		Roof Replacement	\$ 60,000.00	2021-2026
		Site Investigation (Replace environmental Cap)	\$ 30,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 90,000.00	
		New Playground Installed		COMPLETE

Edward W. Kilpatrick	295 Ellison Street, 07501	Repair and Painting of the Building's Elevations Construction	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
		Perimeter Fencing	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 400,000.00	
Early Learning Center	660 14th Ave, 07514	New Fire Escape Replacement	\$ 62,000.00	2020-2021
		Playground Replacement	\$ 85,000.00	2020-2021
		Stair Relocation	\$ 82,000.00	2020-2021
		Retaining Wall	\$ 50,000.00	2020-2021
Sub Total			\$ 279,000.00	
Dale Ave	21 Dale Ave, 07505	Professional Services for Building's Front Elevation	\$ 35,000.00	2021-20226
		ESIP (New Boiler)		Ongoing
		ESIP (Chiller Replacement)		Ongoing
Sub Total			\$ 35,000.00	
Don Bosco		Roof Restoration (NJSDA)	\$ 80,000.00	2021-2026
		Transitional SPED Program	\$ 50,000.00	2021-2026
		New Classroom Addition	\$ 12,000,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 12,130,000.00	
Rosa Parks	413 12th Ave, 07524	ESIP		Ongoing
		4 Airedale Ventilation Units	\$ 80,000.00	2021-2026
		Roof Replacement	\$ 175,000.00	2021-2026

		New Theatrical Lighting	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
		Chair Lift	\$ 50,000.00	2020-2021
Sub Total			\$ 405,000.00	
Dr. Hani Awadallah		Professional Service for Replacement of Environmental Cap	\$ 10,000.00	2021-2026
		New Ornamental Fencing	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 110,000.00	
Panther Academy		No Projects		
Sub Total			\$ -	
John F. Kennedy (JFK)	61-127 Preakness Ave, 07502	ESIP		Ongoing
		Gym Floor Repairs and Refinishing	\$ 70,000.00	2021-2026
		Roof Leak Mitigation (Insurance Claim)		2020-2021
		Boiler #2 Replacement	\$ 75,000.00	2021-2026
		Complete Building Envelope Repair	\$ 10,000,000.00	2021-2026
		Replacement of Egress Doors from Gym	\$ 50,000.00	2021-2026
		Replace Sidewalk along Preakness Avenue Phase 1	\$ 54,000.00	2020-2021
		Replace Sidewalk along Preakness Avenue Phase 2	\$ 20,000.00	2020-2021
		Repair Parking Lot Pavement		2021-2026
		Chair Lift	\$ 50,000.00	2020-2021
Sub Total			\$ 10,319,000.00	
Sage Building		No Projects		

Grand Total			\$	25,133,000.00

LOCATION	ADDRESS	PROJECT	ESTIMATED COST	
SECTOR 3				
PS#1	589 11TH Ave, 07514	New Facilities, Central Stores and Food Service Building	\$ 23,000,000.00	2021-2026
		Remove TCU		2021-2026
		New Roof	\$ 80,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 23,080,000.00	
PS#4	55 Clinton Street	New Playground Installed		Complete
		Repave Parking Lot	\$ 60,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 60,000.00	
PS#7	106 Ramsey Street, 07501	New Playground Equipment Installed		Complete
		Building Envelop Repairs (new roof and masonry)	\$ 200,000.00	2021-2026
		New Fence	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
		Handicap Accessibility to the building	\$ 30,000.00	2021-2026
		Repave Playground	\$ 70,000.00	2021-2026
		Gym Floor Replacement	\$ 75,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$ 475,000.00	
		New Exterior Doors and Hardware	\$ 100,000.00	2021-2026
		New Perimeter Fencing	\$ 150,000.00	2021-2026

		Sub Total	\$	250,000.00	
PS#15		Building Envelop Repairs (masonry repair and roof repair over nurse's office)	\$	100,000.00	2021-2026
		Sub Total	\$	100,000.00	
PS#16		No Projects			
		Sub Total	\$	-	
PS#24		ESIP			Ongoing
		No Projects			
		Sub Total	\$	-	
PS#26		Sky Light replacement	\$	50,000.00	2021-2026
		Sidewalk Pavement Repair	\$	75,000.00	2021-2026
		Perimeter Fencing	\$	250,000.00	2021-2026
		Sub Total	\$	375,000.00	
PS#27		Sidewalk Pavement Repair	\$	150,000.00	2021-2026
		Remove TCU			Complete
		Sub Total	\$	150,000.00	
PS#28		Parking Lot/Playground Paving	\$	100,000.00	2021-2026
		New Playground Installed			Complete
		New Floors in Kitchen and the Cafeteria	\$	150,000.00	2021-2026

		Cooling system for Gym and Auditorium	\$	500,000.00	2021-2026
		Auditorium Seating, ACM Tile Abatement and Tile Replacement	\$	500,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$	1,250,000.00	
PS#29		Installing Mechanical Ventilation Building wide	\$	3,000,000.00	2021-2026
		Handicap Accessibility to the Building	\$	30,000.00	2021-2026
Sub Total			\$	3,030,000.00	
New Roberto Clemente (NRC)	482-506 Market Street, 07501	ESIP (BMS System)			Ongoing
Sub Total			\$	-	

Grand Total \$ 28,770,000.00

Total Capital Projects \$ 80,300,000.00