

Williamston Community Schools

Building Principal Evaluation Model

As of July 2024



Building Principal Evaluation

Planning Worksheet

As of July 2024

Administrator: _	 Date: _	
Evaluator:	_	
Evaluator.		

District and School Goals (20%):

Board Goal	School Evidence & Progress/Growth	Rubric
Provide High Quality, Individualized Educational Experiences for All Students		Effective: Meets or exceeds established annual school process and program improvement targets based on the school's improvement plan
Tot All Students		Developing: Shows improvement on identified school process and program improvement targets based on the school's improvement plan
		Needing Support: Improvement not made based on the school's improvement plan
2. Maintain a Fund Balance Sufficient to Sustain Cash Flows		Effective: Meets or exceeds established annual school process and program improvement targets based on the school's improvement plan

Without Borrowing	Developing: Shows improvement on identified
from the State	school process and program improvement
	targets based on the school's improvement
	plan
	Needing Support: Improvement not made
	based on the school's improvement plan
	Effective: Meets or exceeds established
3. Sustain	annual school process and program
Communication with	improvement targets based on the school's
Students, Parents, Staff,	improvement plan
and Community	
	Developing: Shows improvement on identified
	school process and program improvement
	targets based on the school's improvement
	plan
	Needing Support: Improvement not made
	based on the school's improvement plan
	Effective: Meets or exceeds established
4. Improve District	annual school process and program
Infrastructure and the	improvement targets based on the school's
Safety and Security of	improvement plan
all Schools and Facilities	
	Developing: Shows improvement on identified
	school process and program improvement
	targets based on the school's improvement
	plan
	Needing Support: Improvement not made
	based on the school's improvement plan
	Effective: Meets or exceeds established
	annual school process and program

5. Implement a	improvement targets based on the school's
Common, Evidence-	improvement plan
Based Grading System	
	Developing: Shows improvement on identified
	school process and program improvement
	targets based on the school's improvement
	plan
	Needing Support: Improvement not made
	based on the school's improvement plan

Student Growth (20%):

Student Growth Measure	Effective	Developing	Needing Support
Average (mode) Teacher Student Learning Objective (SLO) Rating* Goal = The average (mode) SLO rating is "effective" per the SLO Reflection Rubric *Each teacher will have one (1) required SLO but can optionally create a second	The average (mode) teacher SLO rating is "Effective" per the SLO Reflection Rubric	The average (mode) teacher SLO rating is "Developing" per the SLO Reflection Rubric	The average (mode) teacher SLO rating is "Needing Support" per the SLO Reflection Rubric
Aggregate Student Growth Percentile (State Assessment) Goal = The aggregate mean Student Growth Percentile for combined Math and ELA is between a 20-40% average for the last three years of state assessment data as reported via the SGP Multi-Year Rating Report	Meets or exceeds the established goal of a 20-40% aggregate median SGP average per the SGP Multi-Year Rating Report	Does not meet the established goal of a 20-40% aggregate median SGP average per the SGP Multi-Year Rating Report but is above 10%	Does not meet the established goal of a 20-40% aggregate median SGP average per the SGP Multi-Year Rating Report and is below 10%

Signature (Principal):	Date:	
Signature (Superintendent):	Date:	

Principal Evaluation Self Assessment Survey

Self assessment of leadership characteristics based on the School ADvance Administrator Evaluation System for Principal Evaluation developed by MASA and Michigan ASCD. Select the rating for each characteristic you feel most accurately describes your current performance level as described by the rubric. These data will be used to inform conversations with the superintendent and to develop a better understanding of the rubric itself.

1.	Email *
D	omain 2: Leadership
2.	Personal Vision Characteristics Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective Minimally Effective
	Effective Highly Effective

3.	Shared Vision Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
4.	Informed Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

5.	Strategic and Systematic Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
6.	Fair, Legal, Honest, Ethical, and Professional Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

7.	Resilient Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
D	omain 3: Programs
8.	Curriculum Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

9.	Instruction Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective Minimally Effective Effective
	Highly Effective
10.	Assessment Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

11.	Policies, Laws, and Procedures Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
12.	Systems, Processes, and Procedures Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

13.	Allocation and Management of Resources Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
Do	omain 4: Processes
14.	Relationships Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

15.	Inclusion Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
16.	Communications Characteristics
16.	Communications Characteristics Mark only one oval.
16.	
16.	Mark only one oval.
16.	Mark only one oval. Ineffective
16.	Mark only one oval. Ineffective Minimally Effective

17.	Collaborative Inquiry Characteristics	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Ineffective	
	Minimally Effective	
	Effective	
	Highly Effective	
18.	Systematic Use of Multiple Data Sources Characteristics	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Ineffective	
	Minimally Effective	
	Effective	
	Highly Effective	

19.	Data Systems Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
Do	omain 5: Systems
20.	Personal Use of Technology Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

21.	Learning and Teaching with Technology Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
22.	Leadership for Technology Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

23.	Professional Development Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective Minimally Effective Effective Highly Effective
24.	Leadership Development Characteristics Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Minimally Effective Effective

25.	Performance Evaluation Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective
26.	Productivity Characteristics
	Mark only one oval.
	Ineffective
	Minimally Effective
	Effective
	Highly Effective

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



Williamston Community Schools

Administrative Individual Development Plan (IDP)

As of: July 2024

Overview: Our belief is that the most significant personal growth comes as a result of serious internal reflection, goal setting, and feedback. An IDP is a structured format designed to outline goals, strategies, outcomes, and student growth expectations for the upcoming or current school year. Each IDP can vary depending on the needs and goals of the administrator and the recommendations of the evaluator.

Process: Complete the IDP form as indicated. The IDP must be approved/reviewed by the evaluator at the beginning, middle and end of the year. No later than the last day of June, the evaluator will assign a final effectiveness rating. If a decision is made not to renew the contract of an administrator, written notice will be given no less than 90 days prior to the existing contract expiring. The state-approved effectiveness ratings are:

- Effective
- Developing
- Needing Support

IDP Plan

School Year:	
Administrator Name:	Evaluator Name:
Initial IDP Conference:	
Review and approve previous year's evaluation	ation (as applicable)
Review IDP form (attach)	
Mentor Assigned:	
Administrator Signature:	Date:
Evaluator Signature:	Date:
Mid-Year Review Conference:	
Review IDP form (update and attach)	
Administrator Signature:	Date:
Evaluator Signature:	Date:
End of Year Review Conference:	
Review IDP form (update and attach)	
Will an IDP be assigned for next year? Yes	No
Rationale: School ADvance Domain and Co	mponents (list):
Administrator Signature:	Date:
Evaluator Signature:	Date:

IDP Form: IDP objectives must be directly aligned to the School ADvance Administrator Evaluation System or Student Growth. If connected to the School ADvance rubric, the specific domain and component(s) must be noted. If connected to student growth, the goal can be aligned to the Student Growth Metric on the Administrative Evaluation Planning Worksheet and Evaluation Worksheet.

IDP Performance Objective One			
Rationale:			
School ADvance Domain and Component <i>or</i> Student Growth Metric:			
Action Plan:			
Mid-Year Review Update:			
End of Year Review:			
IDP Performance Objective Two			
Rationale:			
School ADvance Domain and Component <i>or</i> Student Growth Metric:			
School ADvance Domain and Component <i>or</i> Student Growth Metric: Action Plan:			
Action Plan:			
Action Plan: Mid-Year Review Update:			
Action Plan:			



Williamston Community Schools

Principal Evaluation Worksheet As of July 2024

Administrator Name:	

Effective:	100 - 70
Developing:	69 - 50
Needing Support:	49 - 0

District and School Goals	Effective	Developing	Needing Support	Points
Board Goal 1: Provide High Quality, Individualized Educational Experiences	4	2.4	0	
Board Goal 2: Maintain Fiscal Stability	4	2.4	0	
Board Goal 3: Sustain Communication	4	2.4	0	
Board Goal 4: Improve Infrastructure and School Safety and Security	4	2.4	0	
Board Goal 5: Implement a Common, Evidence-Based Grading System	4	2.4	0	
District and School Goals Subtotal:	20	12	0	0
School ADvance Administrator Evaluation System	Effective	Developing	Needing Support	Points
Vision for Learning and Achievement Factors				
2.1: Personal Vision Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
2.2: Shared Vision Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Leadership Work and Behavior Factors			<u></u>	
2.3: Informed Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
2.4: Strategic and Systemic Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
2.5: Fair, Honest, Ethical, and Professional Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
2.6: Resilient Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
High Quality/Fidelity/Reliability Instructional Program Factors				
3.1: Curriculum Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
3.2: Instruction Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
3.3: Assessment Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Safe, Effective, Efficient School Operations Factors				
3.4: Policies, Laws, and Procedures Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
3.5: Systems, Processes, and Procedures Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
3.6: Allocation and Management of Resources Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Community Building Factors				
4.1: Relationship Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
4.2: Inclusion Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
4.3: Communications Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Evidenced Based and Data Informed Decision Making Factors				
4.4: Collaborative Inquiry Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
4.5: Systematic Use of Multiple Data Sources Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
4.6: Data Systems Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Technology Integration and Competence Factors				
5.1: Personal Use of Technology Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
5.2: Learning and Teaching with Technology Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
5.3: Leadership for Technology Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
Human Capacity Development Factors				
5.4: Professional Development Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
5.5: Leadership Development Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
5.6: Performance Evaluation Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
5.7: Productivity Characteristics	2.4	1.44	0	
ADvance Rubric Subtotals:	60	36	0	0
Student Growth Measurements	Effective	Developing	Needing Support	Points
Average (mode) Teacher Student Learning Objective (SLO) Rating	10	6	0	
Aggregate Student Growth Percentile (State Assessment)	10	6	0	
Student Growth Subtotal	20	12	0	0
Total				0

AdministratorSignature:	
Superintendent Signature:	
Date:	