

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Foskett Ranch Elementary School	31-66951-0000000	11/7/23	11/14/2023

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp), and for federal Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements for both the SPSA and federal ATSI planning requirements.

California’s ESSA State Plan supports the state’s approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state’s Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

This plan is being used by Foskett Ranch Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement
- Attendance Plan

This template is based on the December, 2023 CDE revision of the School Plan for Student Achievement. Some modifications have been made to inform the SPSA development process.

Table of Contents

- SPSA Title Page 1
- Table of Contents..... 2
- Plan Description 3
- Educational Partner Involvement 3
- Resource Inequities 3
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components 4
 - California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators 4
 - Other Needs 4
- School and Student Performance Data 5
 - Student Enrollment..... 5
 - CAASPP Results..... 7
 - ELPAC Results 11
 - California School Dashboard 14
 - Overall Performance 16
- Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures..... 27
 - Goal 1..... 27
 - Goal 2..... 29
 - Goal 3..... 31
- Budget Summary 32
 - Budget Summary 32
 - Other Federal, State, and Local Funds 32
- Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan 33
 - Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source..... 33
 - Expenditures by Funding Source 33
 - Expenditures by Budget Reference 33
 - Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source 33
 - Expenditures by Goal..... 33
- School Site Council Membership 34
- Recommendations and Assurances 35
- Instructions..... 36
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements 43
- Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements 46
- Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs 49

Plan Description

Briefly describe your school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA's planning requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other federal, state, and local programs.

This plan is being used by Foskett Ranch Elementary School for meeting the following ESSA planning requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs:

- Schoolwide Program
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement
- Attendance Plan

Ensuring equity in our school program is a focus for Foskett Ranch Elementary. Our responsibility to our teachers, our families, and particularly our students is paramount. Our site is proud to integrate technology in meaningful ways for both teachers and students, providing professional development for our staff and ensuring that we have curriculum and teaching strategies in place that inspires and supports all students. This enables us to provide top notch first instruction and individually monitor progress for all students in a positive and safe environment for learning.

Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did Foskett Ranch Elementary School consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The Foskett Ranch School Site Council meets at least three times per year to discuss academic and behavioral data and to check progress towards current goals. This process allows for discussions regarding next steps and what is needed to help Foskett Ranch students be as successful as possible in both areas. Site Council members agreed that the focus for the 24-25 school year should be in these areas

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

To address the root causes of chronic absenteeism, it's essential to consider the specific needs and challenges of our student population. While resource inequities are not the primary factor in our absenteeism rate, several key issues seem to impact attendance at Foskett Ranch. These challenges require tailored interventions to support consistent attendance and overall well-being. Below are the primary reasons contributing to absenteeism at Foskett Ranch, along with strategic interventions designed to address each area.

Family and Community Engagement

Family or community issues, such as lack of support for education, may impact student attendance.

Interventions:

Create strong partnerships with parents through regular communication and workshops.

Offer resources for parents that reinforce the importance of attendance.

School Culture and Climate

If students feel disconnected or disengaged from school culture, they may be less likely to attend.

Interventions:

Foster a welcoming, inclusive school culture by emphasizing positive relationships and respect.

Provide activities and clubs that align with student interests, enhancing their sense of belonging.

Encourage peer support and buddy systems to help new or isolated students feel connected

High Population of Special Day Students with Moderate to Severe Autism

A significant factor contributing to absenteeism is the high population of special day students with moderate to severe autism. Parents may keep these students home more often due to issues like lack of sleep, overstimulation, or minor symptoms that could be manageable but present unique challenges in this population.

Interventions:

Work closely with families to establish clear guidelines around attendance for minor symptoms, helping them feel comfortable sending their children to school when appropriate.

Provide resources or workshops for families on managing sleep and developing consistent routines that can support regular attendance.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Identify and describe any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

California School Dashboard (Dashboard) Indicators

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category.

Foskett ranch has an issue with student chronic absenteeism rate. For the 2023 school year Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities, and White students are all in the red for absenteeism. English Learners, Hispanic, and Two or More races are in the orange. White students are in the orange for English Language Arts. Hispanic students are in the orange for mathematics.

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance.

Not available

Other Needs

In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

iReady data has been low in spots as well. We need to continue to increase the rigor for students and have all students increase their attendance at Foskett.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by student ethnicity and grade level for Foskett Ranch Elementary School. Annual enrollment consists of the number of students enrolled on Census Day (the first Wednesday in October). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
American Indian	0.60%	0.51%	0.72%	2	2	3
African American	1.80%	2.78%	3.37%	6	11	14
Asian	4.49%	5.82%	4.81%	15	23	20
Filipino	0.90%	2.28%	2.88%	3	9	12
Hispanic/Latino	25.45%	23.54%	25.00%	85	93	104
Pacific Islander	%	0%	%	0	0	
White	58.08%	54.43%	51.68%	194	215	215
Multiple/No Response	8.38%	10.13%	11.06%	28	40	46
Total Enrollment				334	395	416

Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24
Kindergarten	71	99	77
Grade 1	55	55	66
Grade 2	42	69	51
Grade3	64	53	71
Grade 4	53	63	55
Grade 5	49	56	70
Total Enrollment	334	395	416

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall enrollment of Foskett Ranch has steadily increased over the past three years
2. The smallest cohort is currently in second grade
3. The population of Hispanic students has increased 1.5% in the past year

School and Student Performance Data

English Learner (EL) Enrollment

This report displays the annual K-12 public school enrollment by English Language Acquisition Status (ELAS). This information was submitted to the CDE as part of the annual Fall 1 data submission in the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS).

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
English Learners	27	33	38	5.1%	8.1%	9.1%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	4	9	11	1.6%	1.2%	2.6%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)				5.3%		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of EL students has increased over the past three years
2. A large number of students were reclassified in the 21-22 school year

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	67	56	70	64	56	70	64	56	70	95.5	100.0	100
Grade 4	53	64	55	50	64	55	50	64	55	94.3	100.0	100
Grade 5	51	54	68	50	54	68	50	54	68	98.0	100.0	100
All Grades	171	174	193	164	174	193	164	174	193	95.9	100.0	100

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2426.	2421.	2440.	28.13	25.00	24.29	14.06	23.21	28.57	31.25	21.43	30.00	26.56	30.36	17.14
Grade 4	2456.	2460.	2462.	20.00	20.31	21.82	34.00	21.88	29.09	10.00	31.25	21.82	36.00	26.56	27.27
Grade 5	2519.	2520.	2484.	18.00	24.07	11.76	48.00	40.74	32.35	20.00	12.96	22.06	14.00	22.22	33.82
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	22.56	22.99	19.17	30.49	28.16	30.05	21.34	22.41	24.87	25.61	26.44	25.91

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	21.88	19.64	15.71	59.38	58.93	74.29	18.75	21.43	10.00
Grade 4	16.00	20.31	12.73	64.00	60.94	81.82	20.00	18.75	5.45
Grade 5	20.00	27.78	16.18	66.00	61.11	66.18	14.00	11.11	17.65
All Grades	19.51	22.41	15.03	62.80	60.34	73.58	17.68	17.24	11.40

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	9.38	16.07	12.86	62.50	51.79	62.86	28.13	32.14	24.29
Grade 4	14.29	7.81	5.45	61.22	50.00	61.82	24.49	42.19	32.73
Grade 5	12.00	14.81	7.35	76.00	66.67	54.41	12.00	18.52	38.24
All Grades	11.66	12.64	8.81	66.26	55.75	59.59	22.09	31.61	31.61

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	25.00	21.43	7.14	62.50	75.00	85.71	12.50	3.57	7.14
Grade 4	10.00	10.94	12.73	76.00	79.69	74.55	14.00	9.38	12.73
Grade 5	16.00	11.11	10.29	76.00	79.63	79.41	8.00	9.26	10.29
All Grades	17.68	14.37	9.84	70.73	78.16	80.31	11.59	7.47	9.84

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	20.31	19.64	21.43	64.06	60.71	67.14	15.63	19.64	11.43
Grade 4	14.00	15.63	21.82	58.00	68.75	56.36	28.00	15.63	21.82
Grade 5	16.00	24.07	23.53	72.00	61.11	50.00	12.00	14.81	26.47
All Grades	17.07	19.54	22.28	64.63	63.79	58.03	18.29	16.67	19.69

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall student achievement decreased slightly from 51.15% in 22-23 to 49.25% in 23-24
2. A significant percentage of students at Foskett Ranch are scoring in the "At or Near Standard" level in all domains
3. Students in all grades scored significantly lower in the writing domain as compared to the others

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics are an annual measure of what students know and can do using the Common Core State Standards for English language arts/literacy and mathematics.

The purpose of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments is to assess student knowledge and skills for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, as well as how much students have improved since the previous year. These measures help identify and address gaps in knowledge or skills early so students get the support they need for success in higher grades and for college and career readiness.

All students in grades three through eight and grade eleven take the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments unless a student's active individualized education program (IEP) designates the California Alternate Assessments.

Visit the California Department of Education's [Smarter Balanced Assessment System](#) web page for more information.

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with Scores			% of Enrolled Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	67	56	70	64	56	70	64	56	70	95.5	100.0	100
Grade 4	53	64	55	51	64	55	51	64	55	96.2	100.0	100
Grade 5	51	54	68	50	54	68	50	54	68	98.0	100.0	100
All Grades	171	174	193	165	174	193	165	174	193	96.5	100.0	100

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard Exceeded			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly Met			% Standard Not Met		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	2437.	2421.	2443.	20.31	8.93	22.86	28.13	33.93	30.00	28.13	33.93	35.71	23.44	23.21	11.43
Grade 4	2455.	2465.	2461.	9.80	9.38	9.09	33.33	31.25	30.91	27.45	32.81	38.18	29.41	26.56	21.82
Grade 5	2483.	2496.	2473.	14.00	14.81	14.71	12.00	24.07	8.82	36.00	27.78	41.18	38.00	33.33	35.29
Grade 11															
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.15	10.92	16.06	24.85	29.89	22.80	30.30	31.61	38.34	29.70	27.59	22.80

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	20.31	19.64	28.57	65.63	51.79	60.00	14.06	28.57	11.43
Grade 4	15.69	15.63	16.36	50.98	56.25	50.91	33.33	28.13	32.73
Grade 5	10.00	11.11	10.29	58.00	61.11	52.94	32.00	27.78	36.76
Grade 11									
All Grades	15.76	15.52	18.65	58.79	56.32	54.92	25.45	28.16	26.42

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	21.88	12.50	22.86	51.56	64.29	65.71	26.56	23.21	11.43
Grade 4	15.69	12.50	12.73	50.98	56.25	58.18	33.33	31.25	29.09
Grade 5	10.00	14.81	11.76	52.00	59.26	51.47	38.00	25.93	36.76
All Grades	16.36	13.22	16.06	51.52	59.77	58.55	32.12	27.01	25.39

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
Grade 3	20.31	14.29	17.14	64.06	66.07	75.71	15.63	19.64	7.14
Grade 4	13.73	15.63	14.55	56.86	62.50	69.09	29.41	21.88	16.36
Grade 5	10.00	11.11	10.29	58.00	64.81	63.24	32.00	24.07	26.47
All Grades	15.15	13.79	13.99	60.00	64.37	69.43	24.85	21.84	16.58

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall student achievement slightly increased from 40.8% in 22-23 to 38.9% in 23-24
2. More students scored below standard in the Concepts and Procedures domain than the other domains
3. 69% of students were at or near standard in communicating reasoning, a higher percentage as compared to the other domains

School and Student Performance Data

The English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) system is used to determine and monitor the progress of the English language proficiency for students whose primary language is not English. The ELPAC is aligned with the 2012 California English Language Development Standards and assesses four domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Visit the California Department of Education's [English Language Proficiency Assessments for California \(ELPAC\)](https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/eng/elpac/) web page or the [ELPAC.org](https://elpac.org) website for more information about the ELPAC.

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	1412.4	1388.0	*	1421.7	1398.9	*	1390.7	1362.4	8	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	6	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	4	4
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	5	5
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4
All Grades										27	34	30

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	16.67	0.00	*	25.00	50.00	*	41.67	25.00	*	16.67	25.00	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	7.41	26.47	20.00	29.63	41.18	43.33	44.44	26.47	23.33	18.52	5.88	13.33	27	34	30

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	16.67	16.67	*	41.67	33.33	*	25.00	16.67	*	16.67	33.33	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	22.22	44.12	40.00	44.44	38.24	36.67	11.11	11.76	6.67	22.22	5.88	16.67	27	34	30

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	8.33	0.00	*	25.00	33.33	*	41.67	41.67	*	25.00	25.00	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	3.70	5.88	10.00	14.81	35.29	30.00	37.04	35.29	36.67	44.44	23.53	23.33	27	34	30

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	8.33	0.00	*	75.00	83.33	*	16.67	16.67	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	22.22	35.29	36.67	55.56	55.88	53.33	22.22	8.82	10.00	27	34	30

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	41.67	33.33	*	50.00	33.33	*	8.33	33.33	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	33.33	61.76	60.00	44.44	35.29	23.33	22.22	2.94	16.67	27	34	30

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	16.67	0.00	*	58.33	75.00	*	25.00	25.00	*	12	12
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	7.69	20.59	10.00	46.15	55.88	60.00	46.15	23.53	30.00	26	34	30

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24	21-22	22-23	23-24
K	*	41.67	18.18	*	16.67	54.55	*	41.67	27.27	*	12	11
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	8.00	23.53	17.86	56.00	50.00	67.86	36.00	26.47	14.29	25	34	28

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 44% of EL students at Foscett are performing at Level 4 for Oral language
2. Student performance in the writing domain significantly increased from the previous year
3. Well Developed achievement levels in all areas has increased over the past year

School and Student Performance Data

California School Dashboard Student Population

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2022-23 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
395	23.8	8.4	0.3
Total Number of Students enrolled in Foskett Ranch Elementary School.	Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

2022-23 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	33	8.4
Foster Youth	1	0.3
Homeless	5	1.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	94	23.8
Students with Disabilities	61	15.4

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	11	2.8
American Indian	2	0.5
Asian	23	5.8
Filipino	9	2.3
Hispanic	93	23.5
Two or More Races	40	10.1
White	215	54.4

Conclusions based on this data:

- Hispanic is the second largest ethnicity subgroup at 23.5%

2. Student population continues to increase

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance





The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”



2023 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts  Yellow	Chronic Absenteeism  Red	Suspension Rate  Orange
Mathematics  Yellow		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Academic performance in ELA and Math is rated as Medium
2. Chronic Absenteeism in 23-24 was rated as very high
3. Suspension rate increased from very low to medium

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts


The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.


Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”







This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Yellow 2.4 points below standard Maintained -2.8 points 161 Students	English Learners 29.9 points below standard Increased +4.1 points 16 Students	Foster Youth Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless Less than 11 Students 2 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 8.1 points below standard Increased +5.9 points 45 Students	Students with Disabilities 125.2 points below standard Decreased Significantly -54.3 points 32 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Less than 11 Students 4 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 9 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Yellow 7.8 points below standard Increased +8.5 points 33 Students	43.1 points above standard 16 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 Orange 7 points below standard Decreased -11.4 points 94 Students

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
75.5 points below standard 11 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students	1.1 points below standard Maintained -2.1 points 144 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

- Students with disabilities scored an average of 125 points below standard in ELA, more than any other subgroup
- White students out-performed Hispanic students in ELA by 20.7 points
- English Learners and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students both increased achievement levels

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics


The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.


Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."







This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Yellow 23.1 points below standard Decreased -5.6 points 161 Students	English Learners 13.9 points below standard Increased +14.4 points 15 Students	Foster Youth Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless Less than 11 Students 2 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged 34.3 points below standard Increased +8.5 points 45 Students	Students with Disabilities 134.6 points below standard Decreased Significantly -71 points 32 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Less than 11 Students 4 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	Less than 11 Students 9 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Orange 32.2 points below standard Decreased -4.9 points 33 Students	18.3 points below standard 16 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 Yellow 19.4 points below standard Maintained -2 points 94 Students

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
60.2 points below standard 11 Students	Less than 11 Students 5 Students	24 points below standard Decreased -7.4 points 144 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. All students scored an average of 23.1 points below standard in Math
2. Students with disabilities significantly decreased
3. English Learners and SOcio-Economically Disadvantaged students increased their scores

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

English Learner Progress
69.6% making progress towards English language proficiency
Number of EL Students: 23 Students
Performance Level: No Performance Level

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2023 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
2	4	2	14

Conclusions based on this data:

- Two students decreased one level in English Proficiency
- Four students maintained a lower level of English proficiency
- Fourteen students increased at least one level of English proficiency

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words “No Performance Color.”







This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group		
All Students Red 24.6% Chronically Absent Maintained -0.3 426 Students	English Learners Orange 20.5% Chronically Absent Declined -2.8 39 Students	Foster Youth Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless Less than 11 Students 5 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Red 34.5% Chronically Absent Increased 5.5 116 Students	Students with Disabilities Red 35.5% Chronically Absent Increased 4.6 76 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
61.5% Chronically Absent 0 13 Students	Less than 11 Students 2 Students	14.8% Chronically Absent Increased 5.3 27 Students	8.3% Chronically Absent 0 12 Students
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 Orange 29.9% Chronically Absent Declined -1.6 97 Students	 Orange 26.1% Chronically Absent Declined -5.5 46 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 Red 21.8% Chronically Absent Increased 0.7 229 Students

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 24.6% of students were considered chronically absent in the 23-24 school year
2. The subgroups with the highest percentage of chronically absent students in 23-24 was Students with Disabilities
3. Socioeconomically disadvantaged students increased chronic absenteeism by 5.5%

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.





Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."







This section provides number of student groups in each level.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Orange 1.2% suspended at least one day Increased 0.9 430 Students	English Learners  Blue 0% suspended at least one day Maintained 0 41 Students	Foster Youth Less than 11 Students 1 Student
Homeless Less than 11 Students 7 Students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  Yellow 0.8% suspended at least one day Increased 0.8 119 Students	Students with Disabilities  Orange 3.9% suspended at least one day Increased 3.9 76 Students

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
<p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>13 Students</p>	<p>Less than 11 Students 2 Students</p>	<p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0 27 Students</p>	<p>8.3% suspended at least one day</p> <p>12 Students</p>
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
<p> Blue</p> <p>0% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Maintained 0 98 Students</p>	<p> Green</p> <p>2.2% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Declined -0.4 46 Students</p>	<p> No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>	<p> Orange</p> <p>1.3% suspended at least one day</p> <p>Increased 1.3 232 Students</p>

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall suspension rate increased by 0.9% from the previous year
2. Students with disabilities had the highest suspension rate at 3.9%
3. The overall suspension rate for the 23-24 school year was 1.2%

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 1

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

College & Career Readiness

All students will reach high standards in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

All students will graduate from high school college and career ready.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

2024 CAASPP scores reflect that 49.2% of students scored proficient or above in ELA and 38.9% of students scored proficient or above in math. Overall scores on the Beginning of Year IReady Diagnostic show that 35% of students were at or above grade level in ELA and 16% of students were at or above grade level in math.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
As shown in the I-Ready diagnostic 1 and the 2024 CAASPP, students are struggling in vocabulary and comprehension of literary and informational texts	IReady: Overall- 35% of students performed at or above grade level Vocabulary- 35% of students performed at or above grade level. Literary Comprehension- 39% of students performed at or above grade level. Informational Comprehension- 34% of students performed at or above grade level. 66% of students performed one year or more below grade level CAASPP: 49.2% of Foskett Ranch students scored proficient or above in ELA	By the third i-Ready diagnostic, percentage of students performing on or above grade level will increase to at least 70%. More specifically, student performance in vocabulary and comprehension (both literary and informational) will increase by at least 15%. The percentage of students proficient or above on the CAASPP will also increase by 5%
As shown on the i-Ready diagnostic and the 2024 CAASPP, students are struggling in all four math domains.	IReady: Overall- 16% of students performed at or above grade level Numbers and operations- 25% of students performed at or above grade level. Algebra and Algebraic Thinking- 22% of students performed at or above grade level. Measurement and Data- 26% of students performed at or above grade	By the third i-Ready diagnostic, percentage of students performing on or above grade level will increase to at least 60%. More specifically, student performance in all areas will increase by at least 15%. The percentage of students proficient or above on the CAASPP will also increase by 5%

	level. Geometry- 25% of students performed at or above grade level. CAASPP: 38.9% of Foskett Ranch students scored proficient or above in Math	
--	--	--

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school’s strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
1.1	<p>A. Grade levels and/or individual teachers will work with district Instructional Specialists to look at data and collaborate on instructional strategies and BEST practices in specified areas of need</p> <p>B. All students practice IReady math and ELA on a weekly basis for a minimum of 45 minutes for each subject area</p> <p>C. Grade level teams analyze student progress in math to provide targeted intervention</p> <p>D. Grade levels plan common formative assessments in both ELA and math and use the data to plan and inform instruction.</p> <p>E. ISP teacher and classroom teachers will provide targeted tier 2 intervention to identified students</p> <p>F. Leveled Literacy Intervention and UFLI will be used by support staff to provide tier 2 supports for identified students</p>	All Students	<p>29149.00 LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)</p> <p>Intervention Support Teacher 5545 LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)</p> <p>grade level collaboration days, additional PD, release days for IEP/SST meetings</p>

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

While the implementation of the strategies was successful, CAASPP scores in both ELA and Math slightly decreased. IReady goals were met.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

There are no major differences in implementation or expenditures

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

During the 24-25 school year, grade levels will be released for half days to receive professional development and guidance on math first instruction, creating effective assessments, and using assessment data to inform instruction. The ISP will also be utilized for math or ELA, depending on grade level need.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 2

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

School & Community Engagement

All students and their families will feel safe and be actively engaged in school.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

All students and families will be actively engaged in learning and their school communities.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Foskett Ranch is currently labeled as ATI due to its high chronic absenteeism during 22-23 (24.6%). Overall attendance for the 22/23 school year was 91.9% School-wide implementation of MTSS and PBIS, including the reduction of chronic absenteeism.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Socio-emotional learning	In the California Healthy Kids Survey, only 69% of students felt that Foskett Ranch provided Socio-emotional learning supports	By June 2025, the number of Foskett Ranch students that feel that Foskett Ranch provides socio-emotional supports will increase to at least 85%
School-wide procedures and expectations	In the California Healthy Kids Survey, only 33% of students felt that other students were well behaved all or most of the time.	By June 2025, Foskett Ranch will have school wide procedures and behavior expectations for common areas. At least 75% of the students will feel that others are well behaved all or most of the time
Chronic Absenteeism	According to the California Dashboard, Foskett Ranch has 24.6% of its population identified as chronically absent	By June 2025, the percentage of chronically absent students will decrease to 20%
Opportunities for student involvement in the arts	Currently, Foskett Ranch does not offer student opportunities to engage in art or band	By June 2025, Foskett Ranch will offer art classes to all students and band for students who are interested

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
2.1	A. Staff will create and implement implement PBIS, a school-wide behavior plan.	all students	6500 LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)

	<p>B. Identified students participate in pro social skills groups or individual counseling sessions presented by the school psychologist</p> <p>C. Students participate in various student, staff and outside led programs (during and after school) such as choir, student council, chess, K-Kids, etc. to promote youth development and youth leadership skills.</p> <p>D. Students are acknowledged for citizenship and achievement each trimester.</p> <p>E. Students are recognized on their birthdays, student of the week, and positive behavior tickets given by staff.</p> <p>F. Safety and security needs will be met, including cameras, materials, and signage</p> <p>G. All staff will implement Morning Meetings in their classrooms to encourage community building</p>		<p>student incentives and materials needed to support MTSS and Socio-emotional learning 37000 Prop 28</p> <p>Part time art technician 1500 Prop 28</p> <p>Band 6500 Prop 28</p> <p>materials for art and band programs</p>
2.2	<p>A. The importance of regular attendance will be communicated to families through Back to School Night presentations, mailers, weekly newsletters, signage around the school, and individual letters and phone calls</p> <p>B. Foskett Ranch will hold class and/or grade wide competitions for the percentage of students in attendance</p>	all students	<p>2000 LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)</p> <p>student incentives, postage, postcards (mailers), signage</p>

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Although we do not currently have chronic absenteeism percentages from the 23-24 school year, our overall attendance rate increased by over 1% from the 22-23 school year

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

the amount of hours for the art technician was increased during the 23-24 school year to provide longer art lessons and prep time to the staff member

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As the strategies have proven to be successful, we will continue with them

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal 3

Title and Description of School Goal

Broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed.

LCAP Goal to which this School Goal is Aligned

LCAP goal to which this school goal is aligned.

Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that your school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal.

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Strategies/Activities

Complete the Strategy/Activity Table with each of your school's strategies/activities. Add additional rows as necessary.

Strategy/Activity #	Description	Students to be Served	Proposed Expenditures
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2023-24

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required, and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary

Complete the Budget Summary Table below. Schools may include additional information, and adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp).

Budget Summary

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$34,441.00
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$88,194.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$0

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school includes in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed.

Note: If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)	\$43,194.00
Prop 28	\$45,000.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: **\$88,194.00**

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: **\$88,194.00**

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)	43,194	0.00

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)	43,194.00
Prop 28	45,000.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
	80,194.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
	LCFF-Supplemental (Site Fund)	43,194.00
	Prop 28	45,000.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	34,694.00
Goal 2	53,500.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 3 Parent or Community Members
- 0 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Gina Pasquini	Principal
Jill Yeoman	Classroom Teacher
Natalie Cackler	Classroom Teacher
Michelle Salli-Brown	Other School Staff
Austin Bowen	Parent or Community Member
Chareen Lauritzen	Parent or Community Member

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 11/4/24.

Attested:



Principal, Gina Pasquini on 11/4/24

SSC Chairperson, Chareen Lauritzen on 11/4/24

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) process.

This SPSA template consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the Consolidated Application (ConApp) pursuant to California *Education Code (EC)* Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the local educational agency (LEA) that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with *EC* 64001(g)(1), the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below.

Instructions: Table of Contents

- Plan Description
- Educational Partner Involvement
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment
- Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures
- Annual Review
- Budget Summary
- Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs
- Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the CDE's Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the LEA, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Plan Description

Briefly describe the school's plan to effectively meet the ESSA requirements in alignment with the LCAP and other federal, state, and local programs.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for CSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Schools eligible for ATSI must briefly describe the purpose of this plan by stating that this plan will be used to meet federal ATSI planning requirements.

Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Within California, these stakeholders are referred to as educational partners. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Educational Partner Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA must partner with the school and its educational partners in the development and implementation of this plan.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

This section meets the requirements for ATSI.

Resource Inequities

This section is required for all schools eligible for ATSI and CSI.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for CSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- Schools eligible for ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required school-level needs assessment.
- Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the ATSI plan.
- Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required school-level needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Referring to the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. In addition to Dashboard data, other needs may be identified using locally collected data developed by the LEA to measure pupil outcomes.

SWP Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for SWP, the school shall describe the steps it is planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all SWP relevant federal planning requirements.

CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall describe the steps the LEA will take to address the areas of low performance, low graduation rate, and/or performance gaps for the school to improve student outcomes.

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for CSI.

ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements for ATSI.

Goals, Strategies/Activities, and Expenditures

In this section, a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for CSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA’s LCAP.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section to meet federal planning requirements for ATSI, improvement goals must also align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Goal

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the school plans to accomplish, what the school plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the school will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal should be specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. Schools should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related strategies/activities to achieve such goals. SPSA goals should align to the goals and actions in the LEA's LCAP.

A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach.

A S.M.A.R.T. goal is:

- **Specific,**
- **Measurable,**
- **Achievable,**
- **Realistic, and**
- **Time-bound.**

A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the Dashboard and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the

baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

When completing this section for CSI, the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's eligibility for CSI.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Completing this section as described above fully addresses all relevant federal ATSI planning requirements.

Strategies/Activities Table

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the goal.

Complete the table as follows:

- Strategy/Activity #: Number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.
- Description: Describe the strategy/activity.
- Students to be Served: Identify in the Strategy/Activity Table either All Students or one or more specific student groups that will benefit from the strategies and activities. ESSA Section 1111(c)(2) requires the schoolwide plan to identify either "All Students" or one or more specific student groups, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners.
- Proposed Expenditures: List the amount(s) for the proposed expenditures. Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to EC Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.
- Funding Sources: List the funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the comprehensive needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.
- When completing this section for CSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

- When completing this section for ATSI, this plan must address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.
- When completing this section for ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the ATSI designation.

Note: Federal school improvement funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for ATSI. Schools eligible for ATSI do not receive funding but are required to include evidence-based interventions and align with the goals, actions, and services in the LEA's LCAP.

Annual Review

In the following Goal Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Goal Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal.

- Describe the overall implementation and effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or material difference between the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Note: *If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be left blank and completed at the end of the year after the plan has been executed.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for CSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal CSI planning requirements.
- CSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI planning requirements.

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

- When completing this section for ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the federal ATSI planning requirements.
- ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for ATSI planning requirements.

Budget Summary

In this section, a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp.

Note: *If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program, this section is not applicable and may be deleted.*

Additional CSI Planning Requirements:

- From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that are eligible for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Note: *CSI funds may not be expended at or on behalf of schools not eligible for CSI.*

Additional ATSI Planning Requirements:

Note: *Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for ATSI.*

Budget Summary Table

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the ConApp:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving funds from its LEA for CSI should complete the Budget Summary Table as follows:

- **Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA for the purpose of developing and implementing the CSI plan for the school year set forth in the CSI LEA Application for which funds were received.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the Schoolsite Council (SSC). The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need).
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to:
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved.
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards.
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.
 - B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will:
 - a. Provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. Use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. Strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. Increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. Provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. Strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. Preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. Implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. Professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. Strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the LEA (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds, and any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
 1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to:
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the *Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR)*, sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. *EC* sections 64001 et. seq.

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for School to CSI/ATSI Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with educational partners (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (*Sections: Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/fund/grant/about/discretionary/2023-non-regulatory-guidance-evidence.pdf>);

Non-Regulatory Guidance: Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable*); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the LCAP and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

CSI Resources

For additional CSI resources, please see the following links:

- **CSI Planning Requirements** (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csi.asp>
- **CSI Webinars:** <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiwebinars.asp>
- **CSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:**
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/csiplansummary.asp>

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school eligible for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (*Sections: Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable*).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Eligible for ESSA School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are eligible for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (*EC Section 64001[a]* as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (*EC Section 52062[a]* as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: *EC* sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

ATSI Resources:

For additional ATSI resources, please see the following CDE links:

- ATSI Planning Requirements (see Planning Requirements tab):
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/tsi.asp>
- ATSI Planning and Support Webinar:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/documents/atsiplanningwebinar22.pdf>
- ATSI Planning Summary for Charters and Single-school Districts:
<https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/atsiplansummary.asp>

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

- Programs included on the ConApp: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>
- ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>
- Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Updated by the California Department of Education, October 2023