

# 2024-2025 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools\_09192024\_07:06

2024-2025 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

# River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

Table of Contents					
River Ridge Elementary School					
for Schools_09192024_07:06 - Generated on 12/02/2024					

2024-2025 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

# 2024-2025 Phase One: Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools

The **Comprehensive School Improvement Plan or CSIP** is defined as a plan developed by the school council, or successor, and charter schools with the input of parents, faculty, and staff, based on a review of relevant data that includes targets, strategies, activities, and a time schedule to support student achievement and student growth, and to eliminate gaps among groups of students.

The comprehensive school and district improvement plan process is outlined in 703 KAR 5:225. The requirements included in the administrative regulation are key components of the continuous improvement process in Kentucky and ultimately fulfillment of school, district, and state goals under the Kentucky State Plan as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

While the regulation outlines a timeline for compliance purposes, the plan itself is a strategic and proven approach to improve processes and to ensure students achieve. The timeline for the school's 2024-2025 diagnostics is as follows:

## Phase One: August 1 - October 1

- Continuous Improvement Diagnostic for Schools
- School Safety Report
- Executive Summary for Schools

### Phase Two: October 1 - November 1

- The Needs Assessment for Schools
- School Assurances

## Phase Three: November 1 - January 1

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

## Phase Four: January 1 - December 31

• Professional Development Plan for Schools (Due May 1)



for Schools\_09192024\_07:06 - Generated on 12/02/2024

River Ridge Elementary School

Progress Monitoring

As principal of the school, I hereby commit to implementing continuous improvement processes with fidelity to support student achievement and student growth and to eliminate achievement gaps among groups of students. I also assure that the comprehensive school improvement plan is developed by the school council, (where applicable) with the input of parents, faculty, and staff.

I also assure that upon the completion of all diagnostics included in the four improvement phases, the most recent version of the CSIP will be posted to the school's website pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225 Section 3(5)(b).

Please enter your name and date below to certify.

Natalie Ewald 9/19/24



# 2024-2025 Phase One: Executive Summary for Schools\_09192024\_07:06

2024-2025 Phase One: Executive Summary for Schools

# River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

Generated on 12/02/2024	1
Biver Bidge Flomentany Scho	۸.

Ta	h	ما	of	Co	n	tο	nts
-		-				-	

2024.2025	Dhaca On	a. Evacutiva	Summary fo	r Schools
ZUZ4"ZUZJ	riiase Oii	C. LYCCUUVC	Juli III I al y 10	1 20110013

3



# 2024-2025 Phase One: Executive Summary for Schools

Description of the School

Describe the school's size, community/communities, location, and changes it has experienced in the last three years. Include demographic information about the students, staff, and community at large. What unique features and challenges are associated with the community/communities the school serves?

River Ridge Elementary is a large public school serving approximately 910 students Preschool through 5th grade. These students live in Villa Hills, Crescent Springs, Park Hills, Erlanger, Ft. Mitchell, Lakeside Park, and Bromley. RRE serves a diverse group of students and families across microeconomics, racial, and ethnic backgrounds. The percentage of students qualifying for free and reduced lunch at River Ridge continues to hover near or above 50% each year. This year, the current status is at 53%, which qualifies RRE as a Title One school. For the 7th year in a row, all students are provided a free breakfast and Lunch as a CEP school because of this large number of students who qualify for free and reduced lunch. Our Family Resource Center provides clothing, outerwear, canned goods/nonperishable food, emergency groceries, and more to our families in need. We also provide free dental checkups and vision screenings to students. Our Parent Teacher Organization organizes and facilitate school wide fundraisers and events to provide technology, student incentives, and teacher incentives to provide opportunities for students and teachers to engage in a rich learning environment in which they feel valued and safe. We service around 215 students who have been identified as special education and 170 students who have been identified as English Learners. These two subgroups make up 43% of our student population. We have 38 students in 4th and 5th grade who have been formally identified as Gifted/Talented in general intelligence, leadership, creativity, language arts, math, science, and/or social studies. A high percentage of our families look to RRE as a community resource that offers access to services they would not have otherwise. In addition to the service listed above, we are a 1:1 technology school in grades K-5, provide equitable access to high quality resources, and grade level curriculum. The size, diversity, positive school culture/climate and community involvement make River Ridge a special and unique place to work and learn every day.

### School Stakeholders

Identify and describe the school's stakeholder groups. How does the school ensure stakeholder involvement and engagement in the improvement planning process?

At River Ridge we encourage all parents, families, staff, and students to take a vested interest in our school improvement process. River Ridge developed a school action plan with specific action steps for improvement. River Ridge has teachers as leaders in the areas of MTSS team leads, Grade Level leads, Special Education Team Leads, Literacy Team leads, and Numeracy team leads who help lead the schools action plan through strategies that will lead to teacher improvement and students success. These teacher leads are building capacity with other teachers in our building by analyzing formative assessment data and common assessment data,



Brigance data, SEB data, MAP Growth and Fluency Data, as well as MTSS data. Our School Based Decision Making team is led by parents and teachers who regularly evaluate the assessment data and instructional practices provided to our students to make informed decisions that lead to improved student progress. Through our family engagement/Title 1 nights we work with parents to provide skills and strategies they need to assist their child in learning that aligns with our curriculum. The school parent newsletter as well as the teacher newsletter, provided every two weeks, also provides meaningful opportunities for parents to engage their children in the curriculum being taught at each grade level. We also have a great relationship with members of our community such as Emergency Services, Northkey, Family Community Care, Cabinet for family services, Remke, Alphabest, Action Ministries, the district and other community organizations who provide services, food, clothing, mental health services and other essentials our students need to be able to focus on instruction. All of these agencies help us work together to remove barriers to our students' learning that will allow them to show improvement in the classroom.

### School's Purpose

Provide the school's purpose statement and ancillary content such as mission, vision, values, and/ or beliefs, including the year in which they were last reviewed or revised. Describe how the school embodies its purpose through its program offerings and expectations for students and how stakeholders are involved in its development.

At River Ridge, our missing leads us to "Connect, Grow, and Achieve with Every Child Every Day". Our mission is to provide a trusting environment focused on successful experiences for our school community. Our core values are as follows: We make learning fun and meaningful, we provide a safe place for students to learn, we foster genuine connections with students and families, we take responsibility for student academic success and we celebrate individuality. At RRE, we are a PBIS (positive Behavior Intervention Supports) school and our expectations of students and staff is that everyone is Respectful, Responsible, and Everyone is safe. We are intentional with teaching and modeling our expectations throughout all parts of the bu9ilding and we celebrate success with behaviors and academics in a variety of ways. This year we are focusing on holding all stakeholders (parents, students, teachers, staff, and other community members) accountable to these standards and expectations. We have a comprehensive instructional program with a variety of student instruction needs during the day including a differentiated core instructional block and a comprehensive multi-tiered system of support for students requiring academic and social emotional/behavior interventions. Teachers and students have access to a variety of program in Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 instruction. Through Tier 2 and Tier 3 blocks of instruction, we facilitate intervention groups using programs to address the needs and skill deficits of struggling students in reading, math, and writing. We offer many ways to make meaningful connections with students beyond the classroom through activities and clubs such as Newsteam, Academic Team, Basketball, Cheer, Student council, 5th Grade Jobs, Ewise, Book Club, Drama Club, Choir, Girls on the Run and Running club. These opportunities are intended to provide students with extracurricular activities beyond the school day to further develop skills, behaviors, and beliefs related to creating well rounded students. In addition, through extensive support from our

PTO, we have made significant efforts to increase technological resources in a sustained effort to include technology to enhance instruction the 21st Century.

Notable Achievements and Areas of Improvement

Describe the school's notable achievements and areas of improvement in the last three years. Additionally, describe areas for improvement that the school is striving to achieve in the next three years.

In the 2021-2022 School year River Ridge was designated as a Green School based on the performance levels in Proficiency in the areas of reading and math; science, socials studies and writing; English Learner progress, and quality and climate of school survey. In the area of overall score, Reading and Math indicator, and Qualify of school Climate and safety Indicator ratings were all green. The area of science, social studies, and writing was very high blue. Our English Learner Progress indicated was a medium and fell in the yellow area. In the area of Math our overall proficiency increased 11% from the 20-21 school year. Our reading scores in the 21-22 school year increased by 11% from the previous year.

In 2022-2023 school year, we were once again designated an overall green level school. Our overall indicators remained the same for most areas, but scores went up in the area of reading and math (from 74.2 to 82.4) and in Overall Score (from 74.4 to 79.2). The overall English Learner Progress Rating score went from a 57.1 to a 75.1, putting us in the blue category. Our overall proficiency in reading showed a 4% increase and our math proficiency increased by 1%. our science scores and combined writing scores remained the same from the 21-22 school year, but social studies proficiency decreased by 6%.

Preliminary data for the 2023-2024 KSA assessment shows we did not show improvement or regression in math and stayed steady at 60% proficiency for our overall student population. Our reading proficiency decreased by 8%, but we implemented a new ELA Curriculum in grades K-5, Amplify, and would expect to see some dip in scores as we learn the new program. In 5th Grade SS, our scores slightly increased to 54% proficiency, a 1% increase from the 2023 KSA scores. We did make gains with our GAP group-students with disabilities in both reading and math. In math, we increased 2 percentage points to 24% proficiency, and in reading we increased 3 percentage points to 25% proficiency. In the area of science our students with special education made a 7 point increase to 34% proficiency. Our overall proficiency level rating dropped to yellow with a score of 69.8, which is a 10% decrease from the 22-23 school year.

In the last three years we have taken steps to improve Tier 1 instruction in all content areas. In the area of math we adopted a math curriculum that is being implemented in grades K-5. We have also adopted a new ELA Reading program in the 22-23 school year and have focused our learning walks on implementing this program with fidelity. The program is based on the science of reading. Our teachers worked hard this past summer to take what they have learned from their first year of full implementation and plan for instruction this school year. They attended PD sessions with other district staff to review the common assessments used in ELA,

learn more about the science of reading, and how to write and give common formative assessments, and implementing high cognitive tasks in their weekly lessons. Our teachers, in conjunction with the administrative team, have created a unit planning guide for this school year that provides teachers with meaningful questions as they plan each instructional unit in ELA, math, science, and SS to ensure they are internalizing through this process. They have also created a weekly lesson planning guide that follows the same process as the unit guide but is more specific to weekly plans. Our third grade team is departmentalized this year so that they can focus on one or two content areas for planning and teaching. Our K-2 teachers are meeting with administration weekly to complete and discuss the unit planning guides, weekly planning guides, along with the common formative data. This data is collected on a weekly basis and documentation of which students did not reach proficiency and a plan of action for the next week is all embedded into their weekly lesson plans. These plans are reviewed by the administration team each week and on days they are in these classrooms. The team then meets on Thursdays as a team to share the weekly lesson planning guides and discuss what teachers should expect as they start to plan for their own classrooms.

Another area that we are working to improve is in the area of social emotional and behavioral data. In the 22-23 school year, the number of behavior referrals was 277. In 22-23 we started to track the number of students and how often they are disrupting the learning environment and are required to be removed from class. The number of these disruptions in 22-23 was 783, with over 30 kids being represented in this number. In the 23-24 school year, the total number of behavior referrals was 296 with 106 students being represented in these numbers. The number of walkie calls in 23-24 was 1078 from 89 different students. This summer our teachers and staff participated in a Poverty Simulator to provide them with a different perspective of our students and their families lives. We have to change the way we are working with students and families if we want to decrease the number of behavior disruptions. We also did a Tier 1 behavior strategy 3 hour session for all teachers to use in the classroom led by NKCES. Each month, 1 team meeting is to discuss SEB data with administration, update behavior plans for students, and discuss any behaviors that teachers may need support with. We will also have NKCES coming back this year in 2 different PLC's to follow up with teachers and provide more strategies they can use with students who didn't respond to the strategies from the first session.

The mission and vision at River Ridge is for every teacher to connect with every student every day to help them grow and achieve academically and behaviorally. We are committed to fulfilling this mission by being intentional and accountable to each other, ourselves, and our students by following the district instructional priorities and keeping our focus on the three areas we have for growth this year; increasing reading proficiency, math proficiency, and growing in the area of students social emotional and behavioral health.

Generated on 12/02/2024
River Ridge Elementary School

### Additional Information

Provide any additional information you would like to share with the public and community that were not prompted in the previous sections.

At River Ridge, we take great pride in making school a meaningful and memorable place for students, their families, and our staff. Our instructional practices allow for consistency among all grade levels and with all students. Last year, our parent and community survey showed that parents wanted to be more involved in the school, so we have created more ways for parents to volunteer during the school day, and have planned more community events after school hours. We know that the key to success is to work with our families so they can feel comfortable with our staff and school environment. With such a diverse population of students, it is imperative that we continue to find ways to connect with them. Our family resource center helps by working with our families to reduce barriers to learning in many ways. Our staff is dedicated to the education and well-being of the over 900 students we serve by coming together as a learning community.



Generated on 12/02/2024

River Ridge Elementary School

# **Attachment Summary**

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)





# 2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report\_09192024\_07:07

2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report

# River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

## **Table of Contents**

2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report 3



# **2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report** School Safety Report

Pursuant to KRS 158.162, the local board of education shall require the school council or, if none exists, the principal in each school to adopt an emergency plan that must be utilized in case of fire, severe weather, earthquake, or a building lockdown and that: establishes evacuation routes; identifies the best available severe weather zones; develops earthquake protocols for students; and, develops and adheres to practices controlling access to the school building. The emergency plan shall be annually reviewed by the council, principal, and first responders and revised as needed.

In addition to the emergency plan requirements in KRS 158.162, KRS 158.164 requires the local board of education to direct the school council or, if none exists, the principal in each school to establish procedures to perform a building lockdown and to invite local law enforcement to assist in establishing lockdown procedures.

KRS 158.162 also requires the emergency plan be discussed with all school staff prior to the first instructional day of the school year and provided, along with a diagram of the facility, to appropriate first responders. Further, the principal in each school shall conduct, at a minimum, the following emergency response drills within the first 30 instructional days of the school year and again during the month of January: one severe weather drill, one earthquake drill, and one lockdown drill. In addition, required fire drills shall be conducted according to administrative regulations promulgated by the Department of Housing, Buildings and Construction.

Questions Related to the Adoption and Implementation of the Emergency Plan

1. Has the school council or, where applicable, principal adopted an emergency plan in accordance with local board policy and in compliance with the specifications in KRS

158.162(3) and is a copy maintained on file in the school office?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box. Please note that Senate Bill 1 (2019) and Senate Bill 8 (2020) amended KRS 158.162(3)(d) to require, for example, classroom doors remain closed and locked during instructional time (with limited exceptions) as well as classroom doors with windows be equipped with material to quickly cover the window during a building lockdown. Schools are encouraged to comply with these changes as soon as practicable but, if needed, have until July 1, 2022 to fully implement. Accordingly, failure to comply with KRS 158.162(3)(d), as amended, shall be reported for the 2022-2023 school year and each year thereafter.

Yes

2. Has the school provided local first responders with a copy of the school's emergency plan along with a diagram of the school as required by KRS 158.162(2)(b)?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.
Yes

3. Has the school posted primary and secondary evacuation routes in each room by any doorway used for evacuation as required by KRS 158.162(3)(a)?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box. Yes

4. Has the school posted the location of severe weather safe zones in each room as required by KRS 158.162(3)(b)?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.
Yes

5. Have practices for students to follow during an earthquake been developed as required by KRS 158.162(3)(c) and is a copy maintained on file in the school office?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box. Yes

6. Are practices in place to control access to the school building, including but not limited to controlling outside access to exterior doors during the school day; controlling the main entrance of the school with electronically locking doors, a camera, and an intercom system; controlling access to individual classrooms; requiring classroom doors to remain closed and locked during instructional time (with limited exceptions outlined in statute); requiring classroom doors with windows to be equipped with material to quickly cover the windows during a lockdown; requiring all visitors to report to the front office of the building, provide valid identification, and state the purpose of the visit; and providing a visitor's badge to be visibly displayed on a visitor's outer garment as required by KRS 158.162(3)(d)?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.
Yes

7. Was the school's emergency plan reviewed following the end of the prior school year by the school council, principal, and first responders and revised as needed as required

by KRS 158.162(2)(c) and is a copy maintained in the school office?

Please provide the most recent date of review/revision of the school's emergency plan in the comment box. If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.

Yes

May 13, 2024

8. Did the principal discuss the emergency plan with **all** school staff prior to the first instructional day of the <u>current</u> school year and appropriately document the time and date of such discussion as required by KRS 158.162(2)(d)?

Please provide the most recent date the school completed this discussion in the comment box. If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.

Yes

August 8, 2024 9:15 am

9. During the first 30 instructional days of the <u>current</u> school year, did the principal conduct at least one severe weather drill, one earthquake drill, and one lockdown drill as required by KRS 158.162(5) and are the drills maintained in the appropriate drill log for the given school year?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.
Yes

10. During the month of January during the <u>prior</u> school year, did the principal conduct at least one severe weather drill, one earthquake drill, and one lockdown drill as required by KRS 158.162(5) and is a copy maintained on file in the school office?

If the answer is "no", please explain in the comment box.
Yes

11. Over the immediately preceding twelve months, did the principal conduct fire drills in accordance with administrative regulations promulgated by the Department of Housing, Buildings and Construction as required by KRS 158.162(5)?

If the school did NOT meet the requirement, respond "no" and please explain further in the comment box.

Yes



2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report - 2024-2025 Phase One: School Safety Report\_09192024\_07:07 - Generated on 12/02/2024 River Ridge Elementary School

# **Attachment Summary**

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)	l
	2 22 31 1 2 3 3	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1



# 2024-2025 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools\_10162024\_08:29

2024-2025 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools

# River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

### Schools\_10162024\_08:29 - Generated on 12/02/2024

Divor	Didgo	Elementary	School
river	Kluge	ciententary	SCHOOL

Ta	h	۵	of	Co	nte	nts
10		-	<b>U</b>	Lu		

2024-2025 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for	Schools 3
Attachment Summary	14



# 2024-2025 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools Understanding Continuous Improvement: The Needs Assessment for Schools

The Needs Assessment Diagnostic will facilitate the use of multiple sources of data to determine the current reality and establish a foundation for decision-making around school goals and strategies. Once completed, the diagnostic will lead to priorities to be addressed in the comprehensive school improvement plan to build staff capacity and increase student achievement. The needs assessment is to be conducted annually as an essential part of the continuous improvement process and precedes the development of strategic goals (i.e. desired state).

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes).

The needs assessment provides the framework for all schools to clearly and honestly identify their most critical areas for improvement that they will address later in the planning process through the development of goals, objectives, strategies and activities. 703 KAR 2:225 requires, as part of continuous improvement planning for schools, each school to complete the needs assessment between October 1 and November 1 of each year and include: (1) a description of the data reviewed and the process used to develop the needs assessment; (2) a review of the previous plan and its implementation to inform development of the new plan; and, (3) perception data gathered from the administration of a valid and reliable measure of teaching and learning conditions.

#### Protocol

1. Clearly detail the process used for reviewing, analyzing and applying data results as you conducted this year's needs assessment. Include names of school councils, leadership teams and stakeholder groups involved, a timeline of the process, the specific data reviewed, and how the meetings were documented.

The administrative team has reviewed and analyzed the KSA data for the 23-24 school year and shared this data with all special education, regular education, special area, and intervention teachers during a staff meeting on Oct. 17, 2024 as evidenced by the KSA google data sheet and the staff meeting agenda. During the data discussion, we identified trends from the 22-23 school year KSA data to this year. We then reviewed the current school action plan and discussed how this data correlates to our 23-24 MAP, MAP Fluency, Common Assessment data and continues to support our goals. This data provided us with valuable trends that indicate our needs on the Action Plan are in line with that data. In a PLC documented in the agenda on Oct. 16 individual student scores were shared with 3, 4, and 5th grade teachers. We looked at this data as a grade level by content areas, as well as by individual students. Teachers will be reviewing this data to include in lesson plans the week of 10/28 to identify students who are apprentice and have scores that were close to the cut off. They will identify how all students will have differentiated instruction through Tier 1 Needs based instruction to increase their

proficiency in each content. In MTSS meetings, held and documented in agendas for the week of 10/21/24, teachers analyzed triangulated MAP data, KSA data, as well as common assessment data on the RR Data Dashboard to identify students who need T2 or T3 interventions or needs based instruction. The SBDM council will review this data on 11/4/24 along with celebrations and areas of growth with be shared with stakeholders through the parent newsletter. This will also identify ways parents can help their students reach mastery in each content level, this will continue through the year in each parent newsletter. With our general education and special education teachers, we also reviewed each common assessment data analysis from last year to identify units we did not have 80% proficiency to identify ways to improve the instruction on those standards. This will be included in each unit planning guide for teams that is uploaded to a google document and reviewed by all team members and administrators. We then added the KSA and 24-25 Fall MAP Growth and Fall Math Fluency scores to our Data dashboard, which houses individual student data, to triangulate data to identify if there are students who need to be provided needs based instruction or MTSS. In grades 4 and 5 we also triangulated this data to the KSA student data to determine next steps for instruction as well as any trends in standards that teachers will need to focus more heavily on this school year. In the area of Math we have followed this same process with MAP and KSA data. This data was shared with our SBDM council in order for our community stakeholders to know what goals and objectives we have for this school year and how the data shows this need. Our next steps are to continue to analyze formative and common assessment in the areas of math, reading, science and social studies in addition to the MAP Fluency test that students in grades K-5 will take in December. Each grade level team will use analyze their weekly common formative assessments and common assessment data to determine proficiency in all students and to segregate data for our students who are in our gap groups (special education and EL) and compare to the overall performance of all students in that grade level to determine if we are closing the gap in these content areas in their weekly meetings. This data is uploaded into their lesson plans weekly and there are identified areas in their lesson plans addressing differentiation for those students who are below proficiency. During weekly PLC's with administrators' teachers will discuss this analysis tool and how they have incorporated needs-based instruction and differentiation to reteach any skills students did not master. They are bringing their upcoming weekly lesson plans to discuss at the PLC to ensure rigorous instruction that meets the standard, along with a student product that includes a high cognitive task is included. We also discuss Tier 1 instruction in the area of math, ELA, science and social studies. We continue to reflect on implementation to fidelity of the CKLA/Amplify curriculum. Teachers, along with administrators, review and discuss student progress data for MTSS in PLCs every 8 weeks for reading, math, writing, speech, fine motor, gross motor, and behavior. All PLC's are documented in a google document each week for both administrator and team meetings.

### Review of Previous Plan

2. Summarize the implementation of the goals, objectives, strategies and activities from the previous year's Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP). What was successful? How does it inform this year's plan?

River Ridge Elementary School

In the 23-24 school year, we increased our proficiency score in math by 1% and in social studies we increased by 2%. In reading, science, and combined writing our overall proficiency dropped. CSIP goals were written for 5-year attainability, however, objectives were written for short term (one year) growth. Each objective was written for growth over one year based on our 22-23 KSA data. We are continuing to use the math intervention program that aligns to the T1 math curriculum and had 88% of students in T2 and T3 interventions for math reasoning. but 44% in math calculation. We are in the process of vetting math instructional resources for interventions in math calculation to ensure students are being provided the appropriate interventions to make good progress on their goals in MTSS. The consistency of math vocabulary across grade levels allowed our students with disabilities to increase from 22% proficiency to 24%, for free and reduced students from 48% to 54% and our EL students went from from 46%-49%. We also implemented a structure for teachers to use guiding questions when planning each lesson to ensure they are aligning to the standards. This school year we have implemented a unit planning guide and weekly planning guide for teachers to internalize questions and key points to consider when planning for math, reading, science, and social studies instruction. This was done in all content areas. While we did not meet the goals set by our yearly objectives in math, we did make positive gains in this area indicating that our strategies and activities have made a positive impact. In the area of reading, we did not show growth and stayed stagnant at 59% proficiency. We are currently in the process of vetting a new math resource to use in the 24-25 school year to ensure that we are using a high quality resource in this content area. In the area of reading, our proficiency dropped to 52%. However, we implemented a new High Quality Instructional Resource for ELA in the areas of Skills (K-2) and Knowledge (K-5) that aligns with the science of reading. We increased our overall fidelity of the use of this program from the fall to the spring and teachers are more confident in the use of this program this year. We have created a process for teachers to plan together for this program to be intentional in it's use especially as it relates to our K-2 students in the area of phonics, phonemic awareness, and decoding. This will allow us to have more students reading at grade level to access all content areas. We have implemented this process for science, social studies, and writing to be analyzed in weekly PLC's to adjust instruction in real time and to provide us with data to drive Tier 1 instruction. Using our data from last year, we created a PLC plan for the school year, as well as common formative assessment plan with our teachers to ensure students are being assessed weekly and this data is driving instruction. They are including this in their weekly lesson plans. We expect to see great gains as we continue to implement this program to fidelity in conjunction with having more in depth data using map fluency to identify areas of need for each individual student. We are continuing to focus on collaboration in the general education classroom in both ELA and math this year to include effective coteaching strategies. Our special education teachers and general education teachers planned for ELA as a team and co-taught these classes and our increase for students with disabilities in Reading went up 2%. We are also including our Preschool teachers in weekly planning of the Frog Street Curriculum and how it aligns to Amplify. Preschool teachers are observing in kindergarten classes to ensure they are able to understand the science of reading and implementation of Amplify in the K-5 classes. We are confident that we can build our readiness in students in our preschool classes for Kindergarten with the intentionality in planning and collaboration. Our special area teachers are in the process of aligning



their standards to the knowledge content taught in amplify whenever possible. Our students with special education and EL need repeated exposure to the vocabulary and this collaboration and alignment will help increase background knowledge for students. We are currently in the process of creating a curriculum map for each of our special area teachers that mimics the content areas to ensure all students are provided rigorous instruction in all areas that can make connections to the core content. We will continue to build on the strategies and systems of support we have put in place to analyze data, make instructional decisions, and identify individual students who are not reaching mastery to build on our successes this year.

The academic data was used in conjunction with our walkie call data (when students are removed from class), behavior referral data, teacher walk data, and other student behavior data and calculated loss of instruction due to these disruptions. This data shows that the loss of instruction is having a negative impact on our classes and individual students and therefore we have implemented a goal to decrease the overall instructional disruptions to the school day. This summer we had a day focused on our behavior data in which teacher engaged in a Poverty Simulator in which they were immersed in what the day in the life of a child, parent, grandparent, etc. experience in poverty. This allowed our teachers to take a different perspective on our students lives. We then provided training to all teachers on T1 strategies they can use in the classroom to manage student behaviors. We implemented a new behavior management system school wide that focuses on a more positive proactive approach to behaviors. Our administrators also created a structure for when a student is removed from the class so that they can provide consistency and restorative practices in a safe environment to students. Our teachers were trained on this process and how they can provide support to the student when they return to class. While our overall data does not demonstrate growth in all areas, other data we have does demonstrate that we increased achievement in areas where we place consistent, focused, aligned efforts for growth. This includes adequate teacher training, monitoring of student data and implementation, frequent follow up and evaluation of strategies, and making adjustments as needed based on need.

#### **Trends**

3. Analyzing data trends from the previous two academic years, which academic, cultural and behavioral needs remain significant areas for improvement?

# **Example of Trends**

- The number of behavior referrals increased from 204 in 2022-2023 to 288 in 2023-2024.
- From 2022 to 2024, the school saw an 11% increase in novice scores in reading among students in the achievement gap.
  - From 2022 2024, our reading proficiency KSA data decreased from 55% to 59%, but dropped to 52% this year. This continues to be an area of significant improvement for the 24-25 school year.
  - From 2022-2024, our math proficiency KSA data increased from 59% to 60% and increased to 61% this year. This is not enough of a increase to be able to

reach our goal. This continues to be an area of significant improvement for the 24-25 school year.

- From 2022-2024, our science proficiency KSA data has remained stagnant, 54%, 54% to 53% this year. This continues to be an area of significant improvement for the 24-25 school year.
- From 2022-2024, our social studies proficiency KSA data has also been inconsistent going from 59% to 53% to 55%. This continues to be an area of significant improvement for the 24-25 school year.
- From 2022-2024, our combined writing proficiency KSA scores have dropped drastically from 61% in 22 and 23, dropping to 51% this year. This continues to be an area of significant improvement for the 24-25 school year.
- While our students with disabilities have made gains in reading proficiency on KSA from 2022 (21%) to this year (26%), this continues to be a significant gap from all student scores and an area of improvement for the 24-25 school year.
- While our students with disabilities made gains in math proficiency on KSA this year from 2022 (12%) to this year (24%), this continues to be a significant gap from all student scores.
- The number of Discipline Referrals in 2022-2023 was 277, the number of discipline referrals in 2023-2024 was 296 and so far in 2024-2025 (Aug. to Middle of Oct) is 68. The number of classroom disruptions' due to these behaviors is having a negative impact on the academic progress of all students. This is another significant area of growth for us.
- Students who are being disruptive in the class and have risen to a level of impeding the education of others and need to be removed is also being tracked. The number of calls to remove a student in 22-23 was 783, in 23-24 945, so far this year there are 316. This is also having a negative impact on the academic progress of all students.
- -According the Quality and School Climate survey results in 2023, 98% of students felt that River Ridge is a caring place, in 2024 this dropped to 96%. In 2023, 90% of students feel that their teachers make them feel welcome in their class, in 2024 this went up to 94% which is close to the 95% that students scored this area in 2022. In 2023, 90% of students feel that school staff handles safety concerns quickly and this year that rose to 93%. In 2023, 47% of students report that students being mean or hurtful is a problem for the school and in 2024 that number decreased to 31%. This is another significant area of growth for us.

### **Current State**

4. Describe in narrative form the current state of the school using precise numbers and percentages as revealed by multiple sources of outcome data. Cite the source of data used.

## **Example of Current Academic State:**



- Thirty-four percent (34%) of students in the achievement gap scored proficient on Kentucky Summative Assessment (KSA) in reading. Local benchmark data indicates that thirty-two percent of all students receive Tier II intervention in reading.
- Fifty-four percent of our students scored proficient in math on the KSA compared to the state average of 57%. Local formative assessments show 53% of students are on grade level in math.

## **Example of Non-Academic Current State:**

- Teacher attendance rate was 84% for the 2023-2024 academic year. 2022-2023 Impact survey data shows that 71% of staff feel like they belong at our school.
- Survey results and perception data indicated 62% of the school's teachers received adequate professional development.
  - The Teacher Impact survey, given in 2023 indicated that 60% of teachers scored managing student behavior favorable. The question "How often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school" was 11% favorable. The other questions in that section were much higher ranging from 64%-74% favorable.
  - On the same survey, the professional development section had a 58% favorable outcome. Teachers scored the lowest area as 'How often do professional development opportunities help you explore new ideas?"
  - On the same survey, the area of emotional well being was scored 42% favorable. Areas that were identified as lowest (least favorable) were "How concerned are you about the emotional well being of your colleagues" at 9% favorable, and "How concerned are you about your own emotional well-being as a result of your work" which was 36% favorable.
  - So far this year there are 316 walkie calls (call to remove a student for increased disruptions to the class) and 68 behavior referrals that are impacting classroom instruction.
  - According to the Quality and School Climate survey results in 2024, 96% of students felt that River Ridge is a caring place, above the district average of 91%. 94% of students feel that their teachers make them feel welcome in their class. 95% of students feel that adults from their school care about them. 93% of students feel that school staff handles safety concerns quickly. 31% of students report that students being mean or hurtful is a problem for the school. When asked if all students are treated the same if they break school rules, only 52% of students agreed. Only 84% of students agree that A teacher or some other adult from my school will care if they miss a day of school. 73% of students agree that adults from their school stay calm when dealing with bad behavior.
  - According to the KSA (Kentucky Summative Assessment) in the spring of 2024, 55% of our students scored proficient/distinguished in reading, which is below the district average of 57%.

- According to the KSA (Kentucky Summative Assessment) in the spring of 2024, 61% of our students scored proficient/distinguished in math, which is equal to the district average of 61%.
- According to the KSA (Kentucky Summative Assessment) in the spring of 2024, 53% of our students scored proficient/distinguished in science, which is above the district average of 48%.
- According to the KSA (Kentucky Summative Assessment) in the spring of 2024, 51% of our students scored proficient/distinguished in writing, which is slightly below the district average of 52%.
- According to the KSA (Kentucky Summative Assessment) in the spring of 2024, 55% of our students scored proficient/distinguished in social studies, which is above the district average of 51%.
- 11. According to the 23-24 KSA data, the percentage of students with disabilities s
- 12. According to the 23-24 KSA data, the percentage of students with disabilities :
  - The Fall 2024 Brigance (Kindergarten Readiness) data showed that 60% of our students were ready with interventions and 40% were Kindergarten Ready.
  - According to the ACCESS test given in the spring of 2024, 16% of our English learner student population reached attainment. 85% of our ELL students showed growth.
  - According to the 2024 KSA test in reading, 29% of English Language Learners are scoring P/D.
  - According to the 2024 KSA test in combined writing, 14% of students are P/D.
- 17. According to the 23-24 CBAS Transition Ready data, 54.41% of 4th grade stu-
- 18. According to the 23-24 CBAS Transition Ready data, 62.99% of 5th grade stuc

### Priorities/Concerns

5. Clearly and concisely describe the two or three greatest areas of weakness using precise numbers and percentages.

**NOTE:** You must thoroughly address these priorities in the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) diagnostic and template.

**Example:** Students in our gap groups are scoring significantly below all students. Sixtyeight percent of students in the achievement gapscored below proficiency on the Kentucky Summ gap learners.

1. Increasing Reading proficiency is the first priority of concern. This area impacts all other areas of content if a student is not reading on grade level. The number of students who are scoring proficient and distinguished in reading grades 3-5 is 51% according to KSA data. Our MAP growth data indicates that only 43% of students



- (K-5) are proficient in reading. This deficit in Tier 1 instruction for all students has a negative impact on our students with disabilities and students who have EL.
- 2. The second priority of concern is math. The number of students who are scoring proficient and distinguished in math in grades 3-5 on the 2024 KSA is 61%. MAP Growth data for math indicates that 47% of students (K-5) are proficient. This deficit in Tier 1 instruction for all students has a negative impact on our students with disabilities and students who have EL.
- 3. The third area of priority is decreasing the amount of instructional disruptions in classes so teachers can focus on academic instruction. This will also increase their over all emotional well being at work so they can feel more effective in their jobs. The climate and culture staff survey, given in 2023 indicated that 60% of teachers scored managing student behavior favorable. The question "How often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school" was 11% favorable. The other questions in that section were much higher ranging from 64%-74% favorable. The area of emotional well being was scored 42% favorable. Areas that were identified as lowest (least favorable) were "How concerned are you about the emotional well being of your colleagues" at 9% favorable, and "How concerned are you about your own emotional well-being as a result of your work" which was 36% favorable.

## Strengths/Leverages

6. Plainly state, using precise numbers and percentages revealed by current data, the strengths and leverages of the school. Explain how the school will utilize the strengths and leverages to improve areas of concern listed above.

**Example:** Reading achievement has increased from 37% proficient to its current rate of 58%. The systems of support we implemented for reading can be adapted to address our low performance in math.

Our 2024 KSA proficiency scores in math increased by 1% to 61% proficiency. All of our gap groups (Special Education, ELL, and F/R) increased proficiency in the area of math on the 2024 KSA. Our students with disabilities increased P/D by 2%, our F/R lunch P/D increased by 6% and our ELL students P/D increased by 3%. Our common assessment scores in grades 3-5 show that we are performing slightly below (60% of students) our 61% of P/D on the KSA. Our MAP Growth scores show that our overall proficiency in grades 3-5 is 58.3%, which is below our overall student score on KSA in math. The alignment of all grade levels using one instructional resource has led to increased proficiency of all students. This same system of implementation of the reading high quality instructional resource is being used and implemented to address our low performance in reading. Teachers using the same vertical alignment in vocabulary and strategies in grades 3-5 will continue to improve our reading scores with the use of the instructional resource that follows the science of reading. The master schedule includes more time for teachers in grades K-2 to teach students to read (phonemic awareness and phonics/decoding skills) as well as listening comprehension. In grades 3-5 the focus is on reading to comprehend. We have already seen a vast improvement in teachers knowledge in teaching these

skills, and more students are transition ready at the younger grades to improve their decoding/reading skills. We are confident this process will cotninue to show improved growth in the area of reading. We are currently in the process of reviewing other high quality math instructional resources to purchase for the 24-25 school year to improve our proficiency in math.

Our overall KSA proficiency scores in social studies also increased from 53% of students P/D in 2023 to 55% in 2024. Our ELL students increased their overall proficiency to 20% on the 24 KSA. The students who qualify for free and reduced lunch increased to 50% P/D on the 2024 KSA. Social Studies teachers have been using common assessment analysis tools to analyze the data for each standard and use this data to adjust instruction for all students. This same system is being used for all other subject areas to improve scores in science, math, and reading.

On the 24 KSA our students with disabilities improved their P/D proficiency scores in reading, math and science this year. In math, our students scored 24% proficient and in science our students scored 36% proficient and were ranked #1 in the KCSD. The intentional focus on co-teaching, including general education teachers and special education teachers planning for ELA instruction and using effective coteaching strategies that will continue to be an area of focus.

## Evaluate the Teaching and Learning Environment

- 7. Consider the processes, practices and conditions evident in the teaching and learning environment as identified in the six Key Core Work Processes outlined below:
- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Results
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes
- KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture

Utilizing implementation data, perception data, and current policies and practices:

- a. Complete the Key Elements Template.
  - b. Upload your completed template in the attachment area directly below.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

### **Attachment Name**



24 RR School Key Elements

8. After analyzing the Key Elements of your teaching and learning environment, which

River Ridge Elementary School

two or three processes, practices or conditions will the school focus its resources and efforts upon in order to produce the desired changes?

Note that all processes, practices and conditions can be linked to the six Key Core Work Processes.

**NOTE:** The Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) diagnostic and template must thoroughly address these.

In our needs assessment, the areas of KCWP 1: Design and Deploy standards and KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction are areas identified as significant improvement for us. Therefore, we will focus on implementing the ELA and Math High quality instructional resource programs to provide effective and rigorous instruction that is aligned for each grade level. Each week, teachers and administrators will meet each week to review lesson plans that were created by teachers using the backward design model to ensure that the KAS and KCSD curriculum map are being followed to fidelity. This will include analyzing the common formative assessment data and reviewing the assessments to determine if they meet the rigor of the standards. Planning includes a unit planning guide that guides them through the backward design process and identifying prior knowledge needed, misconceptions student may have, gaps in their current learning, as well as aligning to the common assessment. Teachers are meeting with their teams once a week to align with each other on the lesson plans for the upcoming week, ensure common assessment protocols are being followed and to analyze data to identify trends that will drive instruction. We will continue to analyze data to identify overall proficiency in all students, ELL students, students with disabilities, and T2/T3 MTSS students. Teachers are using a data dashboard to enter their individual class data and that is filtered into a grade level data sheet. Individual student scores are also included on the data dashboard to identify longitudinal trend data and needs students have. Administrators are reviewing this data weekly, providing feedback to teachers, using this data to guide discussions in the planning PLC's the next week, and to identify trends in teacher data that may require informal support for them to make progress instructionally.

In order to meet the goals for instruction, we will focus on the KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment. In order for students to have access to a rich learning environment, we must decrease the number of instruction disruptions to the school day. Therefore, our second focus is on improving students overall behaviors to decrease the number of instructional disruptions to the learning environment. A decrease in instructional disruptions will allow teachers to focus on the T1 instruction to increase proficiency for all students. The master schedule includes a morning meeting focused on recalibrating the class from the day before socially, emotionally, and behaviorally. It includes a calming strategy for students to practice prior to moving into the instructional day. Teachers are being provided embedded PD in PLC's on T1 and T2 behavioral strategies they can use to decrease student behaviors that disrupt the learning environment. The teachers (regular education and special education) are meeting monthly with the counselors and administrative team to review all behavior data (discipline referrals, walkie call data, schoolwide behavior management data, and individual student data) to provide

Schools\_10162024\_08:29 - Generated on 12/02/2024

River Ridge Elementary School

interventions and behavioral strategies to students and teachers. This year our administrative team has created a reset room where students who need to be removed from the classroom have a safe space to calm down, reflect, and restore relationships through an apology or other restorative assignment that allows them to get back to class quicker and learn to manage their behaviors. This process includes a hierarchy of accountability for teachers, students, and parents to provide collaboration between home and school to improve students social emotional and behavioral deficits. The school has also implemented a monthly character trait that is announced every morning on the news, with an explanation of how students can learn to use this trait, is reinforced throughout the school day through positive points and leader of the pack awards. This character trait is the basis for students being chosen to go to the 'book vending machine' at the end of the month. We have also implemented a school wide expectation for teachers to include at every transition in the classroom called MVPT. In this model teachers identify what the Movement is during each activity, what the Voice level is, what Participation looks like and how much Time they have to complete the task.

Both of these goals are being analyzed through student data, teacher instructional walk data in T1, T2, and T3 instruction, MTSS data and notes from monthly behavior meetings. We will focus instructional walks on the type of product provided to students (high cognitive vs. knowledge) and if it is providing every student with an opportunity to interact. We also will focus on how teachers are adjusting instruction in real time to differentiate instruction for all students during these instructional walks. This data will be used in conjunction with student assessment data to ensure that they align.



# **Attachment Summary**

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
24 RR School Key Elements		• 7



Utilizing implementation data, perception data, and current policies and practices, analyze the Key Elements of your teaching and learning environment. Identify in the chart below any processes, practices or conditions the school will focus its resources and efforts upon in order to produce the desired changes and improvement. Note that each element identified for focus should be addressed in the strategies of the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP).

Key Elements	Is this an area of focus?  Yes/No	Specific Processes, Practices or Conditions Identified for Focus
Notes our school continually assess, review, and revise school curricula to support the assurance that all students have the knowledge, skills, and dispositions for future success?	Yes	Our school has a process in place for teachers to meet two times a week in PLC's to analyze data in all content areas using formative and common assessments. The teachers are using a data assessment analysis tool and entering this into their grade level data dashboard. This data is then included in their lesson plans and how they are specifically planning for differentiation of instruction for individual students. This data analysis tool includes segregating the data of our students with special education, EL, and are being provided T2 and T3 MTSS in reading and math. This year a calendar has been created by the teachers and administrative team to ensure common formative assessments are being given biweekly and in line with the upcoming common assessments to ensure adaptations to instruction to lead to increased mastery in the standards. This includes reteaching the standards not mastered as well as creating rigorous needs-based instruction to all students. We have also implemented a unit planning guide for all content areas that guides teachers through the backward design process. This document has teachers deconstruct the standards to ensure all parts are rigorously taught, determine prior knowledge students need to meet the standard and when they may have been taught previously, identify possible misconceptions students may have and how they will address them, understand the common assessment questions and format to ensure they are aligning common formative assessments and tasks and products, identify key reading skills (in all content

		areas), identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary, consider current students data, consider data analysis of the same standards from last year to address areas of growth, and what gaps may exist between our high quality instructional resources and the KAS standards.
Notes our instructional program include consistent implementation of evidence-based instructional practices essential for academic, behavioral, and social-emotional competencies that are aligned to Kentucky Academic Standards and current research?	Yes	Tier 1 high quality instructional resource for ELA. Teachers continue to intentionally plan during PLC's and on their own to ensure that fidelity of the program is met as well as the KAS standards are effectively addressed. We are also in the second year of implementing a new SEB Tier 1 curriculum that provides students with instruction in social emotional skills that are vertically aligned. A 15 minute morning meeting has been added to the master schedule for students to start their day with building relationships, problem solving problems that have occurred, analyzing their own growth in social emotional/behavioral needs, and starting the day with a calming strategy.  Administrators are monitoring the effectiveness of this instruction through monthly instructional walks. We are more intentional in these walks on the data from common formative assessment to determine which content areas need to be focused on. In the all content areas, we are intentionally using these walks to focus on the Cycle of quality of Instruction in Elements 2 and 4. In the area of product, we are tracking the type of product (knowledge vs. high cognitive) being provided to students and if they are truly able to interact with the product individually. This data is analyzed in administrative weekly meetings if the task teachers are providing focus on high cognitive demands that will lead to students having a deeper understanding of the content. We are looking at overall trends as well as individual teacher feedback to determine embedded professional development in PLC's or through informal supports for teachers. We will provide

		teachers opportunities to observe each other to improve planning and instruction in this area.  Last year we focused more on providing feedback in the area of adapting instruction on a regular basis. We have done a better job of this, but continue to focus on this in our monthly walks. We are reviewing the lesson plans to ensure that the adaptation of instruction is documented, along with weekly formative assessment data. The administrative team has worked together to determine what this looks like in the classroom and have been intentional in our monthly walks at finding ways teachers are adapting instruction. We
		are then providing feedback and strategies teachers can implement in their next lesson through our walk feedback form. We follow up with teachers on how they have implemented this in their daily instruction through conversations and future walks in their classroom.
Assessment Literacy Does our school have a comprehensive, balanced assessment system that provides a variety of evidence to support educational decision making and includes four primary assessment purposes: formative, diagnostic, interim/benchmark, and summative?	Yes	Our school uses weekly formative assessment data in all content areas to analyze student mastery towards the standards taught that week in order to make instructional changes for the next week's lesson. This year teachers are using bi-weekly common formative assessment data to plan for instruction as a grade level and as an individual teacher. We also analyze common assessment data after each assessment window has closed to make instructional changes to the next unit. We utilize MAP and MAP fluency data to determine individual student needs in order to make growth for all students in reading. We use MAP and a screener from our math intervention program to determine student needs as a diagnostic.
		This year we have created a data dashboard that not only shows trends over time by grade level in reading and math, but also for individual students using MAP Growth, KSA, MAP fluency, Access, Sped. Goals and objectives, and MTSS status.
KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data	No	Our school has a process in place for teachers to meet two times a week in PLC's to analyze data in

(F		
Does our school communicate and		all content areas using formative and common
implement a sustainable system for		assessments. The teachers are using a data
reviewing, analyzing, and applying data		assessment analysis tool and entering this into
results to ensure a uniform way to elicit,		their grade level data dashboard. This data is then
interpret, and act on meaningful		included in their lesson plans and how they are
evidence of student learning?		specifically planning for differentiation of
		instruction for individual students. This data
		analysis tool includes segregating the data of our
		students with special education, EL, and are being
		provided T2 and T3 MTSS in reading and math.
KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver	No	With the increased scores for students with
Support		disabilities in most areas on the KSA, we are
Has our school established a framework		continuing to refine and implement a co-teaching
that organizes systems, data, and		framework for all students with disabilities in the
practices to promote positive, equitable		area of ELA. This year we will have teachers work
and inclusive learning experiences for		together to implement this in math as well. We
all students?		have trained all teachers in effective research-
		based co-teaching practices that yield the highest
		results. We have created a master schedule that
		allows for special education and regular education
		teachers to co-teach during the entire ELA block in
		grades 1-5. We are working on a plan to
		implement this framework more effectively in
		Kindergarten. Through instructional walks, we are
		intentionally focusing on this framework and
		providing feedback to teachers to improve
		instruction in the co-teaching classroom.
		Our LBD teachers are meeting in PLC's monthly to
		review data on students' goals and objectives and
		to share strategies to improve them. We are
		implementing high quality instructional resources
		in the resource classroom to improve overall
		growth in reading and math on students'
		objectives.
		The administrative team and special education
		team leads are purposefully and intentionally
		reviewing the special education progress on goals
		and objectives at the end of each midterm and
		trimester. Students who are not making progress
		on goals and objectives are discussed in the next
		LBD meeting and will have ARC's schedule to

ensure they are able to meet their goals and objectives through differentiated strategies.

Through this framework and training, we are providing embedded professional development throughout the year to teachers to focus on high yield instructional strategies that will not only improve our students with disabilities proficiency, but also our EL and T2/T3 students.

We are also using performance matters and schoology to analyze formative and common assessments to be able to triangulate data in PLC's.

### KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Has our school intentionally designed the learning environment to be inclusive and accessible for all students within a culture where learning and continued growth is the primary focus and foundation for all actions?

Yes

This year, to decrease the number of instructional disruptions to the school day, so teachers and students can focus on improving mastery towards standards, we have created a reset room. This is a classroom that provides students with a calm down area free of distractions, a process for students to identify the behavior of concern, how it impacted their learning and that of others, and how they can change the behavior. They are to complete a think sheet and restorative assignment prior to returning to class. When returning to class a restorative conference is held, with the teacher and anyone else that may have been damaged by the disruption to ensure that relationships can be restored and learning can continue. Teachers and staff participate in PLC's that focus on behavior data, T1, T2, and T3 instructional strategies in the area of SEB, and implement behavior plans for students to be more successful which leads to less disruptions to the learning environment.

Through the co-teaching framework, we have intentionally created a master schedule that provides inclusive learning for all students.

We have also implemented a structure around analyzing students' grades, mastery towards ELA and Math, student participation in extracurricular activities as well as attendance and how these factors lead to successful transition readiness. In

	5	

grades K-3 we are analyzing MAP Growth and MAP Fluency data as well as formative and common assessments to determine which students are not ready to transition to the next grade. We are using this data to provide needs-based instruction as well as MTSS interventions to ensure students moving to the next grade are ready to learn the grade level standards.

In grades 4 and 5 we are using PLC's to not only discuss formative and common assessment data, but also to analyze midterm and report card grades. We are pulling this data from Infinite Campus and making plans for individual students who have D's or F's in any content area. We are using adult advocates to meet with these students monthly in order to build relationships, connect with students on a personal level, as well as work with them on strategies to improve their transition readiness. Our RBTL team is working with families to ensure students are at school so they can access the instruction provided by teachers. Each week this team meets to discuss students who are chronically absent and provides interventions for these students. They also work with the families of these students to provide support that can help reduce the barriers students and families are facing in school attendance.

With 56% of our students as part of the free and reduced gap group we recognize that one barrier they have to participating in extracurricular activities is access to after school or outside of school activities due to transportation or financial issues. We are providing students access to these activities and clubs with a Timberwolf Talent Time during the school year. This provides students access to groups and clubs that interest them so they can build relationships and crucial social emotional skills for working with others that will lead to a successful career. All teachers in our building provide an opportunity at least three times a year for students in grades K-5 to participate in an extracurricular activity.

Key Elements of the Teaching and Learning Environment – School



2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances\_10162024\_08:22

2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances

### River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

### **Table of Contents**

	_
2024 2025 Dhaca Twa School Accurances	-
2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances	-



### 2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances Introduction

Assurances are a required component of the improvement planning process (703 KAR 5:225). Please read each assurance carefully and indicate whether your school complies by selecting the appropriate response (Yes, No or N/A). If you wish to provide further information or clarify your response, space for comments is provided. Comments are optional. You may upload any supporting documentation as needed.

### Federal Programs

1. If the school receives Title II, Part A funds, the school provides professional development for staff that is in accordance with the purpose of Title II, Part A of ESSA (job-embedded, evidence-based, sustainable, data-driven and classroom-focused); addresses the needs of all students; and, strives to ensure all students are transition ready as intended by Section 2103 of ESSA, which governs the local use of Title II, Part A funding.

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

- 2. The school ensures that the use of federal funding, including expenditures for certified or classified positions (e.g. counselors, nurses, media specialists, etc.), is reasonable and necessary in compliance with 2 CFR 200.403 and 200.405.
  - Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

### Title I Programs

3. The school distributes to parents and family members of participating children in a targeted assisstance program, or all children in a schoolwide program, a written parent and family engagement policy, which is agreed on by such parents, and describes the means for carrying out the requirements of ESSA Section 1116 (c) through (f). The school makes the policy available to the local community and updates it periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school. For reference, Section 1116(b) of

ESSA allows existing parent and family engagement policies the school may have in place to be amended to meet the requirements under Title I, Part A.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

4. The school convenes an annual meeting, at a convenient time, to which all parents of participating children in a targeted assisstance program, or all children in a schoolwide program, are invited and encouraged to attend, to inform parents of their school's participation in Title I, Part A and to explain the requirements of Title I, Part A, and the right of the parents to be involved, as required under Section 1116(c)(1).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

5. The school offers a flexible number of meetings to parents, such as meetings in the morning or evening, and may provide, with funds provided under this part, transportation, child care, or home visits, as such services relate to parental involvement (ESSA Section 1116(c)(2).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

6. The school involves parents, in an organized, ongoing, and timely way, in the planning, review, and improvement of programs under Title I. This includes the planning, review, and improvement of the school parent and family engagement policy and the joint development of the schoolwide program plan undersection 1114(b). If a school has in place a process for involving parents in the joint planning and design of the school's programs, the school may use that process, if such process includes an adequate representation of parents of participating children (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(3)).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

- 7. The school provides parents of participating children in a targeted assistance program, or all children in a schoolwide program—
- A. timely information about programs under Title I;
- B. a description and explanation of the curriculum in use at the school, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the achievement levels of the challenging state academic standards; and
- C. if requested by parents, opportunities for regular meetings to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children, and respond to any such suggestions as soon as practicably possible (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(4)).
  - Yes
  - o No
  - o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

8. The school jointly develops with parents for all children served under this part a school-parent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the state's high standards. The compact meets the requirements outlined in ESSA 1116(d)(1-2).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

9. The school provides assistance to parents of children served by the school in understanding such topics as the challenging state academic standards, state and local academic assessments, the requirements of Title I, and how to monitor a child's progress and work with educators to improve the achievement of their children, as required by ESSA Section 1116(e)(1).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

- 10. The school provides materials and training to help parents to work with their children to improve their children's achievement, such as literacy training and using technology (including education about the harms of copyright piracy), as appropriate, to foster parental involvement, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(2).
  - Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

11. The school educates teachers, specialized instructional support personnel, principals, other school leaders, and other staff, with the assistance of parents, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, implement and coordinate parent programs, and build ties between parents and the school, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(3).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

12. To the extent feasible and appropriate, the school coordinates and integrates parent involvement programs and activities with other federal, state, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conducts other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children (ESSA Section 1116(e)(4)).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

13. The school ensures that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to the parents of participating children, or all

River Ridge Elementary School
\_\_\_\_\_

children in a schoolwide program, in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(5).

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

14. To the extent practicable, the school provides opportunities for the informed participation of parents and family members (including parents and family members who have limited English proficiency, parents and family members with disabilities, and parents and family members of migratory children), including providing information and school reports required under section 1111 in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language parents understand (ESSA Section 1116(f)).

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

Title I Schoolwide Programs

15. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan, or CSIP) during a 1-year period or qualifies for an exception under Section 1114(b)(1) of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

16. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served as well as individuals who will carry out such plan (e.g. teachers, administrators, classified staff, etc.) as required by Section 1114(b)(2) of ESSA.

- Yes
- o No
- o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

17. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that will remain in effect for the duration of the school's participation under Title I, Part A of ESSA as required by Section 1114(b)(3) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

18. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that is available to district leadership, parents, and the public and in an understandable and uniform format as required by Section 1114(b)(4) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

19. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that, to the extent appropriate and applicable, coordinates with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1114(b)(5) of ESSA.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

20. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that is based on a comprehensive needs assessment, which included a review of academic achievement data, and includes, among other items, a description of the strategies the school will implement to address school needs as required by Section 1114(b)(6) of ESSA. The comprehensive needs assessment was developed with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan, and the school documents

how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results, as required by 34 CFR 200.26 (Code of Federal Regulations).

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

21. In a schoolwide program, the school developed, pursuant to Section 1114(b)(7), a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that includes a description of the strategies to be implemented to address school needs, including how such strategies: (1) provide opportunities for all children; (2) use methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase learning time, and provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum; and, (3) address the needs of all children through, for example, the following activities: school-based mental health programs; a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems; professional development to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers; and/or, strategies for assisting preschool children transition to local elementary school programs.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

22. The school regularly monitors the implementation and results achieved by the schoolwide program, using data from the state's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement. The school determines whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the challenging state academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards. The school revises the plan as necessary based on student needs and on the results of the regular monitoring, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program and to ensure that all students are provided opportunities to meet the challenging state academic standards, as required by Section 1114 (b)(3) of ESSA and 34 CFR 200.26.

Yes

o No

o N/A

### **COMMENTS**

Title I Targeted Assistance School Programs

23. In a targeted assistance school program, participating students are identified in accordance with Section 1115(c) and on the basis of multiple, educationally related, objective criteria.

o Yes

o No

e N/A

### **COMMENTS**

24. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students using resources under Title I, Part of ESSA to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(A) of ESSA.

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

25. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves, pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(B) of ESSA, participating students using methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program of the school, which may include, for example, expanded learning time, summer programs, and/or a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems.

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

26. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by coordinating with and supporting the regular educational program as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(C) of ESSA.

2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances - 2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances_10162024_08:22 - Generated on 12/02/2024 River Ridge Elementary School
o Yes
o No
• N/A
COMMENTS
27. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by providing professional development to, for example, teachers, administrators, classified staff, and/or other school personnel who work with participating students as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(D) of ESSA.
o Yes
o No
• N/A
COMMENTS
28. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves, pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(E) of ESSA, participating students by implementing strategies to increase the involvement of parents of participating students in accordance with Section 1116 of ESSA.
o Yes
o No
• N/A COMMENTS
29. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students, to the extent appropriate and applicable, by coordinating with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(F) of ESSA.
o Yes
o No
● N/A
COMMENTS

30. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by reviewing the progress of participating students on an ongoing basis and revising the targeted assistance program, if necessary, to provide additional assistance to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(G) of ESSA.

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

Schools Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement

31. If identified for targeted support and improvement in the previous year, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, was informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; included evidence-based interventions; and, approved by local leadership. For reference, "evidence-based" is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

32. If identified this school year for targeted support and improvement, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, is informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; includes evidence-based interventions; and, is approved by local leadership. For reference, "evidence-based" is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

o Yes

o No

N/A

### **COMMENTS**

33. If identified in the previous year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school developed and implemented

2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances - 2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances\_10162024\_08:22 - Generated on 12/02/2024 River Ridge Elementary School

a plan to improve student outcomes that also identified resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

### **COMMENTS**

34. If identified this school year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that also identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

### **COMMENTS**



2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances - 2024-2025 Phase Two: School Assurances\_10162024\_08:22 - Generated on 12/02/2024 River Ridge Elementary School

### **Attachment Summary**

Standard Nove	Description	Accordated them(s)
Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)



### 2024-2025 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan\_11062024\_08:11

2024-2025 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

### River Ridge Elementary School Natalie Ewald

2772 Amsterdam Rd Villa Hills, Kentucky, 41017 United States of America

Tab	le	of	Co	nt	en	ts
-----	----	----	----	----	----	----

2024-2025 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan	3
Attachment Summary	(



### 2024-2025 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. During the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps between identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes).

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.** 

**Operational Definitions** 

**Goal:** Long-term three- to five-year targets based on the required school level goals. Elementary/ middle schools must have goals for state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must have goals for state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term targets should be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools.

**Objective:** Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.

**Strategy:** An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon, as identified in the Needs Assessment for Schools, in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed below or another established improvement approach (i.e. *Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.*).

**Key Core Work Processes:** A series of processes identified by the Kentucky Department of Education that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction



Plan\_11062024\_08:11 - Generated on 12/03/2024

River Ridge Elementary School

KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Results

KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support

KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture

**Activity:** Actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.

**Measure of Success:** Criteria that shows how well the school is accomplishing their action steps. The **measures** may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way.

**Progress Monitoring:** Process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. The description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.

**Funding:** Local, state, or federal funds/grants used to support (or needed to support) the improvement in

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

The required goals for elementary/middle schools include the following:

- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
- English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- Achievement Gap

The required goals for high schools include the following:

- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
- English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- Postsecondary Readiness (high school only)
- Graduation Rate (high school only)
- Achievement Gap

Using the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan Template

The template is a complement to the Needs Assessment for Schools. Using your determined priorities, you will set both short- and long-term targets and outline the activities intended to produce the desired changes.

- a. Develop your Strategic Goals using the <u>Comprehensive School Improvement Plan</u> (<u>CSIP</u>) Template.
  - b. Upload your completed template in the attachment area directly below. <u>ATTACHMENTS</u>

### **Attachment Name**



RR CSIP Phase 3

Summarize the plan of action developed through your goal setting process.

Describe which objectives and strategies will be maintained and which will be added or modified to address current needs as outlined in your needs assessment diagnostic, especially those of any identified gap groups.

This year we will continue the strategies and objectives for reading, math, and science. The percentages were adjusted to continue to reach our overall goals in these areas with the 23-24 KSA scores.

We have added a social studies, and combined writing goal to address the deficits in these areas as identified in the 23-24 KSA scores. This year we have added intentional planning with teachers and a unit planning guide in all content areas that provide questions for teachers to answer as they engage in backward design planning.

In the area of improving achievement for students with disabilities, we have continued the same strategies and objectives, with higher percentages because we made progress towards the math objective and met the reading objective.

This year we have included strategies for teachers and students, as well as training for teachers to decrease the disruptions to learning in each classroom in all content area goals and objectives. These are applicable in all environments of the school to ensure rigorous instruction is the priority for students and staff.



### **Attachment Summary**

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
RR CSIP Phase 3		•



### Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

### Rationale

cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement. funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs,

that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy. improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An

required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template. superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's

requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state

## Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
- Achievement Gap
- o English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for high schools include the following:
- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social-studies and writing
- Achievement Gap
- o English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- Postsecondary Readiness
- Graduation Rate

### Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement

# Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

activities outlined in this template. List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and

-The 2024 KSA data shows that the greatest area of weakness is in the area of reading. The overall proficiency of all students dropped from 59% to 52%. This remains the Spring reading MAP was 57% projected proficiency, which is below our actual KSA proficiency score. below our goal of 75%. This closely aligns to our overall 2024 Spring MAP reading proficiency scores in which K-5 overall proficiency was 49%. Grades 3-5 Proficiency on

students in grades K-5 to be 46%. In grades 3-5 the overall projected proficiency was 54%. We exceeded the Math MAP proficiency projections. reach our objective and do not anticipate meeting the overall goal of 79% in 2027. The Math MAP data for the Spring of 2024, indicated an overall proficiency of -The 2024 KSA data shows that another area of weakness is in overall math proficiency. While we did make slight progress on this goal, at 61% proficiency, we did not

-In order for students to have access to a rich learning environment, we must decrease the number of instructional disruptions to the school day. According to the increase from 783 in 22-23. So far this year, we have had 562. In 23-24 the number of behavior referrals was 296. So far this year, there are 99 behavior referrals. 23-24 School year the number of instructional disruptions to the school day (as documented by walkie call data to remove a disruptive student) was 945, which was an Impact survey teachers took in 2023 teachers answered "how often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school?" 11% favorable. In the

# Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template. List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and

### KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

reading skills (in all content areas), identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary, consider current students data, consider data analysis of the same standards from last understand the common assessment questions and format to ensure they are aligning common formative assessments and tasks and products, identify key meet the standard and when they may have been taught previously, identify possible misconceptions students may have and how they will address them, design process. This document has teachers deconstruct the standards to ensure all parts are rigorously taught, determine prior knowledge students need to needs-based instruction to all students. We have also implemented a unit planning guide for all content areas that guides teachers through the backward adaptations to instruction to lead to increased mastery in the standards. This includes reteaching the standards not mastered as well as creating rigorous administrative team to ensure common formative assessments are being given biweekly and in line with the upcoming common assessments to ensure students with special education, EL, and are being provided T2 and T3 MTSS in reading and math. This year a calendar has been created by the teachers and and how they are specifically planning for differentiation of instruction for individual students. This data analysis tool includes segregating the data of our The teachers are using a data assessment analysis tool and entering this into their grade level data dashboard. This data is then included in their lesson plans year to address areas of growth, and what gaps may exist between our high quality instructional resources and the KAS standards. Our school has a process in place for teachers to meet two times a week in PLC's to analyze data in all content areas using formative and common assessments

### KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

analyzing their own growth in social emotional/behavioral needs, and starting the day with a calming strategy. meeting has been added to the master schedule for students to start their day with building relationships, problem solving problems that have occurred on their own to ensure that fidelity of the program is met as well as the KAS standards are effectively addressed. We are also in the second year of We are in the second year of implementing a new Tier 1 high quality instructional resource for ELA. Teachers continue to intentionally plan during PLC's and implementing a new SEB Tier 1 curriculum that provides students with instruction in social emotional skills that are vertically aligned. A 15 minute morning

provide teachers opportunities to observe each other to improve planning and instruction in this area. trends as well as individual teacher feedback to determine embedded professional development in PLC's or through informal supports for teachers. We will task teachers are providing focus on high cognitive demands that will lead to students having a deeper understanding of the content. We are looking at overall being provided to students and if they are truly able to interact with the product individually. This data is analyzed in administrative weekly meetings if the to focus on the Cycle of quality of Instruction in Elements 2 and 4. In the area of product, we are tracking the type of product (knowledge vs. high cognitive) from common formative assessment to determine which content areas need to be focused on. In the all content areas, we are intentionally using these walks Administrators are monitoring the effectiveness of this instruction through monthly instructional walks. We are more intentional in these walks on the data

assessment data. The administrative team has worked together to determine what this looks like in the classroom and have been intentional in our monthly our walk feedback form. We follow up with teachers on how they have implemented this in their daily instruction through conversations and future walks in walks at finding ways teachers are adapting instruction. We are then providing feedback and strategies teachers can implement in their next lesson through on this in our monthly walks. We are reviewing the lesson plans to ensure that the adaptation of instruction is documented, along with weekly formative Last year we focused more on providing feedback in the area of adapting instruction on a regular basis. We have done a better job of this, but continue to focus

### KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment.

disruptions to the learning environment. behavior data, T1, T2, and T3 instructional strategies in the area of SEB, and implement behavior plans for students to be more successful which leads to less been damaged by the disruption to ensure that relationships can be restored and learning can continue. Teachers and staff participate in PLC's that focus on restorative assignment prior to returning to class. When returning to class a restorative conference is held, with the teacher and anyone else that may have behavior of concern, how it impacted their learning and that of others, and how they can change the behavior. They are to complete a think sheet and we have created a reset room. This is a classroom that provides students with a calm down area free of distractions, a process for students to identify the This year, to decrease the number of instructional disruptions to the school day, so teachers and students can focus on improving mastery towards standards,

Through the co-teaching framework, we have intentionally created a master schedule that provides inclusive learning for all students

based instruction as well as MTSS interventions to ensure students moving to the next grade are ready to learn the grade level standards. as formative and common assessments to determine which students are not ready to transition to the next grade. We are using this data to provide needsas well as attendance and how these factors lead to successful transition readiness. In grades K-3 we are analyzing MAP Growth and MAP Fluency data as well We have also implemented a structure around analyzing students' grades, mastery towards ELA and Math, student participation in extracurricular activities

with these students monthly in order to build relationships, connect with students on a personal level, as well as work with them on strategies to improve pulling this data from Infinite Campus and making plans for individual students who have D's or F's in any content area. We are using adult advocates to meet In grades 4 and 5 we are using PLC's to not only discuss formative and common assessment data, but also to analyze midterm and report card grades. We are

these students to provide support that can help reduce the barriers students and families are facing in school attendance Each week this team meets to discuss students who are chronically absent and provides interventions for these students. They also work with the families of their transition readiness. Our RBTL team is working with families to ensure students are at school so they can access the instruction provided by teachers.

and crucial social emotional skills for working with others that will lead to a successful career. All teachers in our building provide an opportunity at least with a Timberwolf Talent Time during the school year. This provides students access to groups and clubs that interest them so they can build relationships access to after school or outside of school activities due to transportation or financial issues. We are providing students access to these activities and clubs three times a year for students in grades K-5 to participate in an extracurricular activity. With 56% of our students as part of the free and reduced gap group we recognize that one barrier they have to participating in extracurricular activities is

#### Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	74.7	-3.6
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	73.1	ა
English Learner Progress	58.4	-7.7
Quality of School Climate and Safety	75.5	-1.7

### 1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

school report card. Goal 1a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading from 52% in 2024 to 75% in 2029 as measured by the

	Objective 1: To increase our percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished in reading from 52% in 2024 to 57% in 2025 as measured by the school report card.	Objective
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards  KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction  KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment	Strategy
Implement needs-based instruction in T1 and RTI to align with T1 instruction to provide individualized instruction to all students to increase their proficiency.  During T1 needs based instruction, teachers will use eSpark and IXL to provide students with specific instruction and practice on skills identified as areas of weaknesses from their MAP growth test. Teachers are only using these specific programs so they can analyze	- Implementation of K-5 reading curriculum, CKLA, to align reading instruction to KAS standards across classrooms and provide teachers and students with opportunities to deepen their understanding of basic reading, reading fluency, and reading comprehension.  -Teachers will analyze data for all reading common assessments and common formative assessments biweekly desegregating students by overall proficiency, special education student proficiency, ELD student proficiency, and T2/T3 MTSS reading students proficiency. Teachers will use this data to adjust instruction.  -Teachers are using backward design model of planning by completing a unit planning guide prior to weekly planning in ELA. Each unit planning guide asks teachers to deconstruct the standard, identify prior knowledge students will need to meet the standards for their grade level, analyze the summative assessment to be given, identify misconceptions student may have, and identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary. They then work together to plan for common formative assessments to be given throughout the unit.	Activities
-RTI data will be used to monitor progress with intervention supports.	Success  -Use of MAP and Map Fluency Data to determine growth over the course of the school year.  -Common Assessments and bi weekly common formative assessments will be used to assess and monitor student mastery towards standards.	Measure of
-CKLA fidelity walks completed two times a year to ensure the program is being implemented with fidelity across classrooms. Informal supports to individual teachers or teams who	Utilize data from Learning Walk tool to monitor elements of the Quality Cycle of Instruction to determine reinforcement or recommendation for each element. We will continue to track the type of product teachers are providing to ensure students are provided both knowledge and high cognitive tasks. Data is reviewed monthly to determine school-wide trends and to provide differentiated professional learning for teachers based on data from the learning walk tool.	Progress Monitoring
	\$45,0000 Title 1 and SBDM (CKLA Materials, IXL and Espark materials, Needs based instruction materials, planning days for teachers and vertical alignment PD (paid for those not needing PD).	Funding

Goal 1a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading from 52% in 2024 to 75% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		the data on a biweekly basis and adjust instruction in	-KSA data to	are not showing	
		the program, as well as pull into small groups to reteach	determine	fidelity will be put in	
		skills needed.	annual	place to increase	
			growth and	instruction in CKLA.	
		- Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction and	achievement		
		monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific	for all	-Weekly PLC's to	
		feedback on classroom instruction in order to	student	review and analyze	
		consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core	groups	student weekly and	
		instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a high		common assessment	
		cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all students	-Grade Level	data with teachers and	
		based on formative assessment data.	Lesson Plans	administrators.	
		-Evaluate the health of reading interventions after each		Review Common	
		round to ensure that the programs used are effective.	-Number of	Assessment data in	
			walkie calls	Performance Matters	
		-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level	each month	to analyze data in	
		teams to use backward design planning using the	and overall	context. Formative	
		alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum map,	for 24-25.	assessment data will	
		and assessments.	-Behavior	be analyzed biweekly	
			Referrals	in a spreadsheet that	
		-Teachers and administrators are working together in		teachers will input	
		planning days and vertical alignment PD to ensure that	-Teacher	data if they are not	
		lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and	survey given	using performance	
		are aligned across grade levels	in Feb. 2025	matters for data.	
			to		
		-Teachers will focus on providing individual student	determine if	-Review of lesson	
		product for each portion of the lesson that will engage	teachers	plans for each grade	
		students in the lesson, decreasing behavior disruptions.	have a	level to ensure	
			more	planning includes	
		-Special area teachers collaborate with general	favorable	fidelity of program as	
		education teachers to ensure that their lessons are	outlook on	well as intentionality	
		supporting the ELA standards in addition to their	student	in their planning aligns	
		content standards. Curriculum development through	behavior	with the standards and	
		design of curriculum map for all special area teachers to	disrupting	the summative	
		ensure implementation of standards aligned to reading.	their	assessment given.	
			classroom		
		-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB	instruction.	-RTI data and health of	
		data, including walkie call data and behavior referral		interventions will be	

Goal 1a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading from 52% in 2024 to 75% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Success	Progress Monitoring
		data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for	-Impact Survey Results in	analyzed every 6-8 weeks.
		individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.	2025.	-KSA (state assessment) will be analyzed annually.
		-Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3		
		social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.		
		-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.		
		-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.		

<u></u>	G
ğ	<u> </u>
Ă	브
ည	2
ᅙ	R
	e
	Z.
	혍
	5
	È
	ĭ
	ä
	as
	et
	he p
	ğ
	ä
	B
	큡
	쪎
	으
	15
	P
	en
	당
	SC
	Ĭ,
	ring p
	P
	9
	2
	ä
	<u>/</u>
	ist
	펿
	Ĕ.
	풄
	ď
	3
	₹
	3
	∄
	3
	6
	1%
	3
	2
	Ž
	4
	8
	Ö
	%
	5
	000
	62
	Se
	3
	ea
	īns
	ě
	5
	rom 61% in 2024 to 80 % in 2029 as measured by the school
	공
	SC
	2
	<u>ő</u>

planning days,	assessment data will	the course of			distinguished in math
		1			distinguished in most
programs, full	context. Formative	growth over	opportunities to deepen their understanding of		proficient and
	to allaryze data in	8000	מימטטי ססיווט מיומ פי סיומכ גכמכיוכים מיומ סיממכיונט איונוי		
intervention	to analyze data in	determine	classrooms and provide teachers and students with		students scoring
(Math	Performance Matters	data to	to align reading instruction to KAS standards across	Deploy Standards	our berceillage of
			An alien was dien in demonstructure VAP at and and a second	Donlos Chandonds	Oliv Borostano of
\$20,000	Assessment data in	-Use of MAP	<ul> <li>Implementation of K-5 math curriculum, Bridges,</li> </ul>	KCWP 1: Design and	Objective 1: To increase
					- 1
		Success			
Funding	Progress Monitoring	Measure or	ACTIVITIES	Strategy	Objective
1		2	A at the	Charter.	Ohioation

		for 24-25.	feedback on classroom instruction in order to		
		each month	- Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction		
		walkie calls			
		-Number of	groups to reteach skills needed.		
			instruction in the program, as well as pull into small		
		Lesson Plans	analyze the data on a biweekly basis and adjust		
		-Grade Level	Teachers are only using these programs so they can		
			of weaknesses from their MAP growth test.		
		groups	instruction and practice on skills identified as areas		
		for all student	use eSpark and IXL to provide students with specific		
		achievement	-During T1 needs based instruction, teachers will		
		growth and			
		annual	proficiency.		
		determine	instruction to all students to increase their		
		-KSA data to	to align with T1 instruction to provide individualized		
			-Implement needs-based instruction in T1 and RTI		
		supports.			
		intervention	throughout the unit.		
	monthly,	progress with	plan for common formative assessments to be given		
	data analyzed	monitor	T2, and T3 vocabulary. They then work together to		
	and behavior referral	be used to	misconceptions student may have, and identify T1,		
	-Monthly walkie call	-RTI data will	summative assessment to be given, identify		
			the standards for their grade level, analyze the		
	analyzed annually.	standards.	identify prior knowledge students will need to meet		
	assessment) will be	towards	guide asks teachers to deconstruct the standard,		
	-KSA (state	mastery	to weekly planning in math. Each unit planning		
		student	planning by completing a unit planning guide prior		
	weeks.	monitor	-Teachers are using backward design model of		
	analyzed every 6-8	to assess and			
	-RTI data will be	will be used	instruction.		
		assessments	proficiency. Teachers will use this data to adjust		
	fidelity of program.	biweekly	proficiency, and T2/T3 MTSS reading students		
	planning includes	common	special education student proficiency, ELD student		
	level to ensure	and formative	desegregating students by overall proficiency,	Environment	
	plans for each grade	Assessments	and common formative assessments biweekly	Learning Culture and	
	-Review of lesson	-Common	-Teachers will analyze data for all reading common	KCWP 6: Establishing	report card.
					measured by the school
planning days)	biweekly.	year.		Deliver Instruction	65% in 2025 as
vertical	be analyzed	the school	basic reading, reading fluency, and reading	KCWP 2: Design and	from 61% in 2024 to

area teachers to ensure implementation of standards aligned to math.  -Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.	-Special area teachers collaborate with general education teachers to ensure that their lessons are supporting the math standards in addition to their content standards. Curriculum development	and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.	during full planning days and vertical alignment PDs monthly to ensure that lesson plans are intentional	map, and common and formative assessments.	-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level teams to use backward design planning using the alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum	effective. Teachers and administration will begin analyzing math interventions that may better align with student performance and standards.	-Evaluate the health of math interventions after	high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all students based on formative assessment data.	consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a
		Survey Results in 2025.	-Impact	their	outlook on student behavior disrupting	if teachers have a more favorable	in Feb. 2025	-Teacher	-Behavior Referrals

Juring PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.  A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.  Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.			t 10 d 0
	-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.	during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.

## State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science from 53% in 2024 to 76% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

Objective	Objective 1: To increase	the percentage of	students scoring	proficient/distinguished	in science from 53% in	2024 to 58% in 2025 as	measured by the school	report card.																						
Strategy	KCWP 1: Design and	Deploy Standards		KCWP 2: Design and	Deliver Instruction		KCWP 6: Establishing	Learning Culture and	Environment																					
	-Teachers are using backward design model of	planning by completing a unit planning guide prior	to weekly planning in science. Each unit planning	guide asks teachers to deconstruct the standard,	identify prior knowledge students will need to meet	the standards for their grade level, analyze the	summative assessment to be given, identify	misconceptions student may have, and identify T1,		plan for common formative assessments to be given	throughout the unit.		-Teachers will analyze data for all science common	assessments and common formative assessments	biweekly desegregating students by overall	proficiency, special education student proficiency,	ELD student proficiency, and T2/T3 MTSS reading	students proficiency. Teachers will use this data to	adjust instruction.		- Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction	and monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific	feedback on classroom instruction in order to	consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core	instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a	high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all	students based on formative assessment data.	-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level teams to use backward design planning using the	alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum map, and common and formative assessments.	
Measure of Success	-Weekly	Formative	and	Performance	Assessments		-District	Common	Assessments		-KSA		-Grade Level	Lesson plans																
Progress Monitoring	-Learning Walk	feedback from Admin	Team and District	Consultants in PLTW	Classroom and	regular classroom	teachers during	Science Instruction		-Monthly Analysis of	Science formative	and common	assessments using	Performance Matters	(LMS) during grade	level PLC's.		-Learning walks to	monitor	implementation of	science instruction at	all grade levels and	ensure alignment	with grade level	lesson plans.					
Funding	\$2500	(Project Lead	the Way	Grant, High	Quality	Instructional	resources)																							

Goal 2a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science from 53% in 2024 to 76% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

	Objective	Objective Objective
	Shareky	Straton
-leachers and administrators are working together during full planning days and monthly vertical alignment PDs to ensure that lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.  -Project lead the Way teacher collaborates with general education teachers to ensure alignment to the science standards. Curriculum development through design of curriculum map for PLTW class, along with other special area teachers to ensure implementation of standards aligned to science and reading.  -Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.  -Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.  -A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.		
	Success	Meacure of
	FIOR GO INCHICATION	Drogress Monitoring
		E inding

Goal 2a: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science from 53% in 2024 to 76% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

	Objective
	Strategy
-Encourage <b>student involvement</b> in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	Me
	Aeasure of Success
	Progress Monitoring
	Funding

Goal 2b: River Ridge Elementary will measured by the school report card.	nentary will increase the per eport card.	Goal 2b: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in S measured by the school report card.	social Studies fro	in Social Studies from 55% in 2024 to 77% in 2029 as	2029 as
Objective	Str	Strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: To increase	KCWP 1: Design and	- Teachers are using backward design model of	-Weekly	-Learning Walk	\$2500 (TCM
the percentage of	Deploy Standards	planning by completing a unit planning guide prior	Formative	feedback from Admin	Social Studies,
students scoring		to weekly planning in social studies. Each unit	and	Team and District	Mystery
proficient/distinguished	KCWP 2: Design and	planning guide requires teachers to deconstruct the	Performance	Consultants in	Science, other
in Social Studies from	Deliver Instruction	standard, identify prior knowledge students will	Assessments	regular classroom	high-quality
55% in 2024 to 59% in		need to meet the standards for their grade level,		teachers during	instructional
2025 as measured by	KCWP 6: Establishing	analyze the summative assessment to be given,	-District	Social Studies	resources)
the school report card.	Learning Culture and	identify misconceptions student may have, and	Common	Instruction	
	Environment	identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary.	Assessments		
			-KSA	-Monthly Analysis of	
		-Implementation of TCM Social Studies High Quality		Social Studies	
		Instructional Materials in each grade level to	-Grade Level	formative and	
		provide continuity to all students in every grade	Lesson plans	common	
		level.		assessments using	
				Performance Matters	
		-Teachers will analyze data for all social studies		(LMS) during grade	
		common assessment and common formative		level PLC's.	
		assessments biweekly desegregating students by			
		overall proficiency, special education student		-Learning walks to	
		proficiency, ELD student proficiency, and T2/T3		monitor	
		MTSS reading students proficiency. Teachers will		implementation of	
		use this data to adjust instruction.		social studies	
				instruction at all	
		- Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction		grade levels and	
		and monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific		ensure alignment	

Goal 2b: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in **Social Studies** from 55% in 2024 to 77% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

measured by the school report card.	ort card.				
Objective	Stra	Strategy	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		feedback on classroom instruction in order to consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core instruction. Areas of focus will be on providing a high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all students based on formative assessment data.  -Weekly PIC's with administration and grade level		with grade level lesson plans.	
		-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level teams to use backward design planning using the alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum map, and common and formative assessments.			
		-Teachers and administrators are working together during full planning days and monthly vertical alignment PDs to ensure that lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.			
		-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.			
		-Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.			
		-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so			

•	Objective
participate in class.  -Encourage studen activities and school connections to school	Strategy
students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.  -Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	
	Measure of Success
	Progress Monitoring
	Funding

Goal 2c: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in **Combined Writing** from 51% in 2024 to 75% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

Objective	Strategy		Measure of	Progress	Funding
1	7	1	Distriction	Simonioial S	7
the percentage of	Deniov Standards	<ul> <li>leacners are using packward design model of planning by completing a unit planning guide for ELA</li> </ul>	formative	feedback from	Ş
childonte cooring	d de de la constante de la con	that includes writing Each unit planning guide asks	writing samples	Admin Team and	
proficient/distinguished	KCWP 2: Design and	teachers to deconstruct the standard, identify prior	0 0000	District Consultants	
in combined writing	Deliver Instruction	knowledge students will need to meet the standards	-KSA	in all ELA (reading	
from 51% in 2024 to		for their grade level, analyze the summative		and writing) classes.	
56% in 2025 as	KCWP 6: Establishing	assessment to be given, identify misconceptions	-Grade Level		
measured by the school	Learning Culture and	student may have, and identify T1, T2, and T3	Lesson plans	-Monthly Analysis	
report card.	Environment	vocabulary.		of Writing	
				formative	
		-Use of Amplify CKLA high quality instructional	*:	assessments in	
		resource to provide students with instruction in	2	grade level team	
		writing that aligns with the Reading standards.		meetings.	
		-Teachers will analyze data for all reading common		-Learning walks to	
		and common formative assessments biweekly		monitor	
		desegregating students by overall proficiency,		implementation of	
		special education student proficiency, ELD student		writing instruction	
		proficiency, and T2/T3 MTSS reading students		at all grade levels	
		proficiency. Teachers will use this data to adjust		and ensure	
		instruction.		alignment with	
				grade level lesson	
		<ul> <li>Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction</li> <li>and monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific</li> </ul>		plans.	
		feedback on classroom instruction in order to			
		consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core			
		instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a			
		high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all			
		students based on formative assessment data.			
		-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level			
		reams to use backward design planning using the alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum			
		map, and common and formative assessments.			

illeganted by the action report card	יטור נשות:				
Objective	Strategy		Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		-Evaluate the health of written expression interventions after each round to ensure that the programs are effective. Teachers and administration will begin analyzing written expression interventions that may better align with student performance and standards.		The state of the s	
		-Teachers and administrators are working together during full planning days and vertical alignment PDs monthly to ensure that lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.			
		-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.			
		-Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.			
		-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.			
		-Special area teachers collaborate with general			

education teachers to ensure that their lessons are

Goal 2c: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient/distinguished in Combined Writing from 51% in 2024 to 75% in 2029 as measured by the school report card.

		Objective
		Strategy
-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	supporting the ELA standards in addition to their content standards. Curriculum development through design of curriculum map for all special area teachers to ensure implementation of standards aligned to reading and writing.	
		Measure of Success
		Progress Monitoring
		Funding

#### 3: Achievement Gap

of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board establish yearly targets (objectives). achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of	Progress Monitoring	Funding
			Success		
Objective 1: To increase	KCWP 1: Design and	- Implementation of K-5 reading curriculum, CKLA,	-RTI Progress	-RTI data will be	\$0
our percentage of	Deploy Standards	to align reading instruction to KAS standards across   Monitoring	Monitoring	reviewed every 6-8	
students with		classrooms and provide teachers and students with	Data for	weeks.	
disabilities scoring	KCWP 2: Design and	opportunities to deepen their understanding of	frequent,		
proficient/distinguished	Deliver Instruction	basic reading, reading fluency, and reading	ongoing	-Formative and	
in reading from 26% in		comprehension.	monitoring of	summative data will	
2024 to 29% in 2025 as	KCWP 6: Establishing		student	be reviewed weekly	
measured by the school	Learning Culture and	-Teachers will analyze data for all reading common	progress with	and monthly at PLC	
report card.	Environment	assessments and common formative assessments	interventions.	meetings	
		biweekly desegregating students by overall			

		Objective
		Strategy
students proficiency, and 12/13 M135 reading students proficiency. Teachers will use this data to adjust instruction.  Teachers are using backward design model of planning by completing a unit planning guide prior to weekly planning in ELA. Each unit planning guide asks teachers to deconstruct the standard, identify prior knowledge students will need to meet the standards for their grade level, analyze the summative assessment to be given, identify misconceptions student may have, and identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary.  -Implement needs-based instruction in T1 and RTI to align with T1 instruction to all students to increase their proficiency.  -During T1 needs based instruction, teachers will use eSpark and IXL to provide students with specific instruction and practice on skills identified as areas of weaknesses from their MAP growth test. Teachers are only using these programs so they can analyze the data on a biweekly basis and adjust instruction and monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific feedback on classroom instruction in order to consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all students based on formative assessment data.	proficiency, special education student proficiency,	Activities
assessments to track growth and progress of all students with disabilities group.  -Regular meetings with special education teachers to analyze and evaluate the effectiveness of the coteaching model.	-Formative and	Measure of Success
will be monitored every 4 weeks.	-Progress towards	Progress Monitoring
	_	Funding

-Teachers will focus on providing individual student product for each portion of their lesson that will	-Teachers and administrators are working together in planning days and vertical alignment PD to ensure that lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.	-Regular review by administrators and district consultants of Special Education Data including IEP minutes and alignment to schedules, evaluating effectiveness of programs used for SDI, as well as close monitoring of proficiency data using data analysis tool.	-Continue using co-teaching fidelity markers during instructional walks and with teachers to provide continued professional development in the implementation of co-teaching	-Implement effective co-teaching models and evidence-based strategies in all ELA classrooms to improve instruction and understanding for students with special education in reading.	-BiMonthly PLCs to closely monitor and discuss progress towards IEP goals and toward grade level standards to ensure equitable access to the curriculum for all students.	-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level teams to use backward design planning using the alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum map, and assessments.	-Evaluate the health of reading interventions after each round to ensure that the programs are effective.	The state of the s
								Success
								0
								o o

Updated June 2023	

-					
			and common formative assessments biweekly	Environment	report card.
				KCWP 6: Establishing	2024 to 27% in 2025 as
			basic reading, reading fluency, and reading comprehension.	Deliver Instruction	proficient/distinguished in math from 24% in
			opportunities to deepen their understanding of	KCWP 2: Design and	disabilities scoring
			classrooms and provide teachers and students with	Deploy Standards	students with
			- Implementation of K-5 math curriculum, Bridges,	KCWP 1: Design and	Objective 2: To increase
			-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.		
			participate in class.		
			practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to		
			-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative		
			strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.		
			<ul> <li>Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral</li> </ul>		
			students, and grade level as a whole.		
			that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual		
			referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations		
			-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior		
			disruptions.		
		Juccess	engage students in the lesson decreasing hehavior		
Funding	Progress Monitoring	Measure of	Activities	Strategy	Objective

	Objective
	Strategy
reachers are using backward design model of planning by completing a unit planning guide prior to weekly planning in math. Each unit planning guide asks teachers to deconstruct the standard, identify prior knowledge students will need to meet the standards for their grade level, analyze the summative assessment to be given, identify misconceptions student may have, and identify T1, T2, and T3 vocabulary.  Implement needs-based instruction in T1 and RTI to align with T1 instruction to provide individualized instruction to all students to increase their proficiency.  During T1 needs based instruction, teachers will use eSpark and IXL to provide students with specific instruction and practice on skills identified as areas of weaknesses from their MAP growth test. Teachers are only using these programs so they can analyze the data on a biweekly basis and adjust instruction in the program, as well as pull into small groups to reteach skills needed.  Implementation of the Cycle of Quality Instruction and monthly PPR walks to provide clear and specific feedback on classroom instruction in order to consistently grow and improve our Tier 1 core instruction. Areas for focus will be on providing a high cognitive task and adjusting instruction for all students based on formative assessment data.	Activities proficiency, and T2/T3 MTSS reading students
	Measure of Success
	Progress Monitoring
	Funding

_
=
×
맠
Ö
ied ed
$\equiv$
_
ิดี
2
0
N
ω

	Objective Strategy	
each round to ensure that the programs are effective. Teachers and administration will begin analyzing math interventions that my better align with student performance and standards.  -Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level teams to use backward design planning using the alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum map, and common and formative assessments.  -Teachers and administrators are working together during full planning days and vertical alignment PDs monthly to ensure that lesson plans are intentional and meet the standards and are aligned across grade levels.  -Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.  -Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1, T2, and T3 social emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.  -A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class	-Fvaluate the health of math interventions after	
o <u>L</u>	Measure of Success	
	Progress Monitoring	
	Funding	

C				
	2			
	ì			
	ī	۱		
Ċ	Š	Ĺ		
		,	۰	
	=	i		
֡	C	,		
֡	<u>`</u>		,	
ľ		1		
•	^	٠	,	

Objective					
strategy					
ACTIVITIES	-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	-Bimonthly PLCs to closely monitor and discuss progress towards IEP goals and toward grade level standards to ensure equitable access to the curriculum for all students.	-Implement effective co-teaching models and evidence-based strategies in all ELA classrooms to improve instruction and understanding for students with special education in reading.	-Continue using co-teaching fidelity markers during instructional walks and with teachers to provide continued professional development in the implementation of co-teaching	-Regular review by administrators and district consultants of Special Education Data including IEP minutes and alignment to schedules, evaluating effectiveness of programs used for SDI, as well as close monitoring of proficiency data using data analysis tool.
Success					
Progress Monitoring					
Burbun-					

#### 4: English Learner Progress

က်
Sal
4
Z
4: River Ridge Eler
2
gb
eΕ
Element
ē
-
3
≟
Σ̈́
ř
se
õ
בר כ
370
ra
=
90
isi
ary will increase our overall English Learner P
ar
ne.
ner Progress Indicator Sta
rogress Inc
re
SS
nd
ica
icator Stat
rs
at
Sn
SCC
)Te
status score fro
₹
58
4
⊒.
20,
24
Ö
79
⊒.
20
29
•

Objective	Objective 1: Increase	the overall English	Learners progress	indicator status score	from 58.4 in 2024 to	62.26 in 2025.																
Strategy	KCWP 1: Design and	Deploy Standards		KCWP 2: Design and	Deliver Instruction		KCWP 6: Establishing	Learning Culture and	Environment													
Activities	-Weekly PLC's with administration and grade level	teams to use backward design planning using the	alignment to the KAS standards, KCSD Curriculum	map, and assessments to meet the needs of ELD	students.		-Implementation of progress monitoring three	times per year to compare with common	assessment data and MAP to determine trends in	English Proficiency vs Content Mastery.	-Teachers will analyze data for all reading, math,	science, and social studies common and formative	assessments weekly using a data analysis tool that desegregates students by overall proficiency,	special education student proficiency, and T2/T3	determine standards deficits and provide	immediate re-teaching of standards to the whole	group or individual students.	-Provide ongoing embedded PD using instructional walk data, school wide trends, district initiative,	and ELD data in weekly PLC's to further develop	understanding of how to meet the needs of our ELD students.	-Utilize EL Scaffolding Toolkit to assist teachers in providing scaffolded instruction specific to	supporting students at each English Proficiency Level.
Measure of Success	Weekly	formative	assessments,	Common	Assessments,	ACCESS																
Progress Monitoring	-EL Progress	monitoring 3X per	year		-Analysis of weekly	formative and	common	assessments using	Data Analysis tool	and Performance Matters.												
Funding	\$0																					

5	-Ensure ongoing collaboration with FRC		Objective
Activities Measure of Progress Monitoring	Activities	Strategy	Objective

### 5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5: River Ridge Elementary will decrease the percentage of students believing that students being mean or hurtful is a problem for the school 31% in 2024 to 15% in 2029 as measured by the Quality School Climate Safety survey.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: Decrease the percentage of students believing	KCWP 6: Establish Learning Culture and Environment	-Stakeholders will collaborate to reduce physical and mental	Quality of School Climate	-SEB intervention data for Tier II and	\$0
that students being mean or hurtful is a problem for the school		health barriers to learning for all students to ensure optimal	and Safety Survey	Tier III	
from 31% in 2024 to 25% in 2025		growth and development		-District Data	
as measured by the Quality		through implementation of		Dashboard for SEB	
School Climate Safety Survey.		Second Steps (SEL Curriculum) at		reviewed monthly at	
		all grade levels.		Admin Team	_
				meetings	
		-A structure for students to			
		follow prior to returning to class		-Discipline Referrals	
		that aligns with the restorative		and walkie call data	
		practices continuum when		reviewed weekly at	
		removed from the class so		Admin Team	
		students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.		meetings	
		-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data,			
		including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors			
		will engage in meaningful			
		root problem from the data and			
		next steps for individual			
		teachers, individual students,			
		and grade level as a whole.			
		-Ongoing professional			
		development for teachers during			
		PLC's during the school year to			_
		Tocus on 11, 12, and 13 social			

Goal 5: River Ridge Elementary will decrease the percentage of students believing that students being mean or hurtful is a problem for the school 31% in 2024 to 15% in 2029 as measured by the Quality School Climate Safety survey.

Objective	Strategy	Objective Strategy Activities  emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.  -Master Schedule includes 15 minutes for all students and teachers to engage in morning meeting that includes	the \$15
		minutes for all students and teachers to engage in morning meeting that includes restorative circles, problem solving, and calming strategies to start the day.	ents and in morning es yroblem
		-Increased positive reinforcement for students who are showing caring and kind behaviors school wide (leader of the pack, cleanest classroom, cleanest lunch table, random acts of kindness, compliments).	tudents who and kind ide (leader of classroom, e, random mpliments).
		-Encourage student involvement in extracurricular activities and school events to provide meaningful connections to school beyond the classroom.	it tracurricular ol events to il connections he classroom.
		-Ensure ongoing collaboration with FRC Coordinator to support families of students in sub	ollaboration ator to support ts in sub
		groups with nome/scrioor connections and individual	dividual
		student success at school	Cilooi.

Goal 8: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of teachers reporting favorably to the question "How often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school." From 11% in 2024 to 55% in 2028 as measured by the Kentucky Impact Survey.

			Objective 1: To increase the percentage of teachers reporting favorably to the question "How often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school" from 11% in 2024 to 33% in 2026.	Objective
			KCWP 6: Establish Learning Culture and Environment	Strategy
-Ongoing professional development for teachers during PLC's during the school year to focus on T1. T2. and T3 social	-Monthly PLC's with teachers will be focused on SEB data, including walkie call data and behavior referral data. Teachers, administrators, and counselors will engage in meaningful conversations that identify the root problem from the data and next steps for individual teachers, individual students, and grade level as a whole.	-A structure for students to follow prior to returning to class that aligns with the restorative practices continuum when removed from the class so students can learn to regulate emotions to participate in class.	-Stakeholders will collaborate to reduce physical and mental health barriers to learning for all students to ensure optimal growth and development through implementation of Second Steps (SEL Curriculum) at all grade levels.	Activities
			Kentucky Impact Survey Results, 2026	Measure of Success
			Interim survey on status of student misconduct disruptions to the learning environment in April 2025.	Progress Monitoring
			\$0	Funding

Goal 8: River Ridge Elementary will increase the percentage of teachers reporting favorably to the question "How often does student misconduct disrupt the learning environment at your school." From 11% in 2024 to 55% in 2028 as measured by the Kentucky Impact Survey.

emotional and behavioral strategies to decrease the instruction disruptions to the school day.  -Master Schedule includes 15 minutes for all students and teachers to engage in morning meeting that includes restorative circles, problem solving, and calming strategies to start the day.  -Increased positive reinforcement for students who are showing caring and kind behaviors school wide (leader of the pack, cleanest classroom, cleanest lunch table, random acts of kindness, compliments)Send Teacher survey in April 2025 to determine if we are making progress towards goals using similar questions in this area from the KY Inpact Survey.
---

# Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional

diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE). template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal

# Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart: leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school

## Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

achievement for underperforming subgroups? Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student

Response

N X

### **Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:**

contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may

Response:

N A

# Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

causes of underperformance. Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the

Response:

× ×

### Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

esponse:

additional pieces of evidence. Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate

### TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP. documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions

based Practices website. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform. Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-

evidence. Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of

		N/A.	Evidence-based Activity
		Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	Evidence Citation
		X	Uploaded in CIP

# Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Commissioner of Education for final approval. Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround
process Response:
N/A
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may
contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.  Response:

#### CSI Evidence-based Practices

process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP). being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding

based Practices website. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform. Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-

evidence. Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of

		N/A	Evidence-based Activity
			Evidence Citation
٥		$\boxtimes$	Uploaded in CIP