

Weighting An Evaluation

Reflecting Priorities: Not all criteria in an evaluation are equally important– and there may be varying opinions on their importance. Weighting will allow us to prioritize the aspects that are more critical to the overall decision making process. This ensures that the most elements that are most important to those serving on the task force receive the appropriate emphasis.

Fairness: Weighting contributes to fairness in evaluation. It ensures that different criteria are considered in proportion to their significance to those serving on the task force from their unique perspective, preventing any one criterion from unduly influencing the final outcome.

Transparency: Weighting adds transparency to the evaluation process. By clearly defining the weights for each criterion, both evaluators and those being evaluated understand the relative importance of different aspects.

Consistency and Clarity: It provides clear expectations and guidance for those that are presenting proposals before the task force. Because the same criteria will be used across all proposals, it offers a reliable check for bias and consistency over time.

Efficiency: We are limited in the amount of time we have together. Using a weighted evaluation will allow us to save time and resources by staying focused on a set of categories.

Standardization: The categories are not one-size-fits-all. Weighting the evaluation will allow for the task force to attribute the desired amount of importance to what matters most. Tailoring an outcome to the context.