

BEAUMONT INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Beaumont, Texas

---

ANNUAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT

---

## **Introduction**

The Texas Administrative Code requires each school district to prepare an annual financial management report to report information and financial accountability ratings to parents and taxpayers.

The state's school financial accountability rating system, known as the School Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST), ensures that Texas public schools are held accountable for the quality of their financial management practices and that they improve those practices. The system is designed to encourage Texas public schools to better manage their financial resources to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

Many business-related issues are covered in this report. The primary reporting tool, however, is the Financial Accountability Ratings Worksheet. This worksheet was developed by representatives of the Texas Education Agency (TEA), the Texas Business & Education Council (TBEC) and the Texas Association of School Business Officials (TASBO). It is administered by TEA and calculated on information submitted to the Agency via our PEIMS submission each year and other documentation procured by TEA.

The worksheet consists of 7 criteria. Currently, the District has a rating of ***“Failed.”***

**FIRST**  
(Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas)  
2014-2015 Ratings Based on 2013-14  
School Year Data

## Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2014-2015 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2013-2014 DATA -  
DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

<b>Name: BEAUMONT ISD(123910)</b>		<b>Publication Level 1:</b> 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM	
<b>Status: Failed</b>		<b>Publication Level 2:</b> 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM	
<b>Rating:</b> Substandard Achievement		<b>Last Updated:</b> 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM	
<b>District Score:</b> 0		<b>Passing Score:</b> 16	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	4/6/2015 2:57:27 PM	Yes
2	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	4/6/2015 2:57:28 PM	No
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	4/6/2015 2:57:28 PM	Yes
4	<u>Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)</u>	7/30/2015 12:23:41 PM	Yes
			0 Multiplier Sum
5	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	7/15/2015 11:57:19 AM	6
6	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	8/17/2015 5:53:20 PM	0

7	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	4/6/2015 2:57:30 PM	0
			6 Weighted Sum
			0 Multiplier Sum
			0 Score

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

<b>A.</b>	Did The District Answer ' <b>No</b> ' To Indicators 1, 2, 3, Or 4? If So, The District's Rating Is <b>Substandard Achievement</b> .		
<b>B.</b>	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For Summation of the Indicator Scores (Indicators 5-7)		
	<b>Pass</b>		16-30
	<b>Substandard Achievement</b>		<16

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF  
TOTAL REIMBURSEMENTS RECEIVED BY  
SUPERINTENDENT AND BOARD OF MANAGERS  
FISCAL YEAR AUGUST 31, 2015

# **Summary Schedule of Total Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board of Managers**

**Fiscal Year Ending August 31, 2015**

Name	Meals	Lodging	Registration	Transportation/ Motor Fuel	Misc.	Total
Dr. Vernon Butler	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dr. John Frossard	62.00	-	-	101.92	-	-
Dr. Jimmy Simmons	-	-	-	-	-	-
Joe Domino	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robert Turner	-	-	-	-	-	-
A.B. Bernard	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lenny Caballero	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jack Carroll	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vernice Monroe	-	-	-	-	-	-

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

**Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board of Managers..... \$0**

**Business Transactions Between School District and Board of Managers..... \$0**