

# **2019 ANNUAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REPORT**



# INTRODUCTION

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST) was developed by the Texas Education Agency (TEA) in response to senate Bill 875 of the 76<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature in 1999. It is administered by TEA and calculated on information submitted to TEA via our Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission each year.

Each school district is required to prepare an annual financial accountability report and hold a public hearing within two months of the receiving the official ratings.

The primary objective of the rating system is to assess the quality of financial management in Texas public schools. A secondary objective is to measure and report the extent to which financial resources in Texas public schools assure the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes. Other objectives reflect the implementation of a rating system that fairly and equitably evaluates the quality of financial management decisions.

The School FIRST rating system for the rating year 2018-19 (based on 2017-18 data) consists of 15 base indicators.

The School FIRST accountability rating system assigns one of four financial accountability ratings to Texas school districts: "A" for "Superior"; "B" for "Above Standard"; "C" for "Meets Standard"; and "F" for "Substandard Achievement". Districts that receive the "Substandard Achievement" ratings under the School FIRST must file a corrective action plan with TEA.

This is the 18<sup>th</sup> year of the Schools FIRST rating system. Beaumont Independent School District currently has a rating of "Superior" for the 2018-2019 fiscal year.

**FIRST**  
**(Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas)**  
**2018-2019 Ratings Based on 2017-18**  
**School Year Data**



## Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

### 2018-2019 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2017-2018 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: <b>BEAUMONT ISD(123910)</b>		Publication Level 1: 8/7/2019 3:33:27 PM	
Status: <b>Passed</b>		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
Rating: A = Superior		Last Updated: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
District Score: 90		Passing Score: 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:28 PM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:28 PM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:28 PM	Yes
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:29 PM	Yes



	<u>promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>		
4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:29 PM	Yes
5	This indicator is not being scored.		
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:29 PM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:30 PM	6
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:30 PM	8
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:31 PM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:32 PM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:32 PM	6
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:34 PM	10

13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:34 PM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:35 PM	10
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	8/5/2019 11:45:35 PM	10
			90 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			90 Score

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

<b>A.</b>	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is <b>F for Substandard Achievement</b> regardless of points earned.	
<b>B.</b>	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
	<b>A = Superior</b>	90-100
	<b>B = Above Standard</b>	80-89
	<b>C = Meets Standard</b>	60-79
	<b>F = Substandard Achievement</b>	<60
<b>No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.</b>		

# **SUPERINTENDENT'S CONTRACT**





## **Superintendent's Current Employment Contract**



The Superintendent's contract has been posted on the district website at [www.bmtisd.com](http://www.bmtisd.com)



The contract will remain posted for the next twelve months



## Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board of Trustees and Manager

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**

	Meals	Lodging	Registration	Transportation	Other	Total
Dr. John Frossard	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 189.39	\$ -	\$ 189.39
A.B. Bernard	-	-	375.00	-	-	375.00
Angela Corbin Bransford	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zenobia Randall Bush	109.00	306.72	414.90	395.00	-	1,225.62
Nathan Cross	-	-	375.00	-	-	375.00
Kevin Reece	-	-	375.00	94.70	-	469.70
Thomas Sigee	243.00	578.88	1,086.69	770.00	188.38	2,866.95
Denise Wallace-Spooner	133.00	272.16	744.94	375.00	105.28	1,630.38

## Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**



The Superintendent did not receive any outside compensation



## **Gifts Received by the Superintendent and Board of Managers**

(Gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate)

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**



The Superintendent and the Board of Managers did not receive gifts that totaled \$250 or more

## **Business Transactions Between BISD and the Board of Managers**

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**



No business transactions occurred between BISD and the Board of Managers



## **Gifts Received by the Superintendent and Board of Managers**

(Gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate)

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**



The Superintendent and the Board of Managers did not receive gifts that totaled \$250 or more

## **Business Transactions Between BISD and the Board of Managers**

**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2019**



No business transactions occurred between BISD and the Board of Managers