



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

33 Task Force members in attendance

Planning/Facilitation consultants in attendance: Mark Roffers, Nick Johnson, MD Roffers Consulting

District staff in attendance: Cale Bushman, Interim Superintendent; Diana White, Coordinator of Communications & Marketing; Julie Schell, Director of Elementary Education; Jon Euting, Assistant Superintendent of Academics; Kara Rakowski, 4K Principal and Early Childhood Coordinator; Tabatha Gundrum, Director of Human Services; Ryan Urmanski, Director of Buildings & Grounds; Ralph Williams, Director of Technology & Media Services.

Presentations: MD Roffers presented option evaluation considerations including revisiting enrollment projections, number of schools to close, and cost estimates. Based on Task Force member requests at the end of the October 30th meeting, MD Roffers then presented the following three additional detailed elementary facility consolidation options: Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/Franklin (and 4K to Riverview); Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from G.D. Jones to Lincoln); Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln—assumes Lincoln repurposing).

Task Force Review of Options: Following small group discussions, the following summarizes individual member responses as to whether each of the three additional options presented met each of the five option evaluation criteria. The information was delivered through small group reporters.

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (and 4K to Riverview)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from G.D. Jones to Lincoln)	Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	19	22	32
	No	2	0	0
	Not Sure	12	11	1
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	30	30	33
	No	0	0	0
	Not Sure	3	3	0
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes	12	33	33
	No	9	0	0
	Not Sure	12	0	0
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	30	33	33
	No	1	0	0
	Not Sure	2	0	0
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	32	33	33
	No	0	0	0
	Not Sure	1	0	0



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

The “**Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from G.D. Jones to Lincoln)**” and “**Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln)**” options received a majority of “yes” votes against all five criteria. Reasons for support included shifting all Lincoln and Grant elementary school students through 1:1 consolidations; and shifting elementary students to schools with separate gyms and cafeterias, air conditioning, and “most suitable” learning spaces; and consolidation of a Westside 4K Academy at Lincoln.

The “**Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/Franklin (and 4K to Riverview)**” option received a majority of “yes” votes against four of the five criteria. Reasons for support included a perception of sound attendance areas, good east side school spacing, retaining schools with large numbers of nearby students (managed bussing impact), and retaining schools with at least “more suitable” learning spaces and separate gym/cafeteria spaces. As many members were not sure whether the option successfully “Maintain[ed] Educational Opportunities” as agreed that it met this criterion. Concerns focused around closing a comparatively newer and upgraded school with air conditioning and “most suitable” learning spaces.

Total Task Force Member Votes Across all Five Criteria	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
	Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (and 4K to Riverview)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from G.D. Jones to Lincoln)	Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln)
Yes	123	151	164
No	12	0	0
Not Sure	30	14	1

Task Force Forum on Options: Task Force members had 40 minutes to ask questions or present comments or statements on the options before the Task Force completed a ranking exercise of all ten evaluated options at the end of the meeting. The following MDRoffers notes from this discussion:

- A separate gym and cafeteria is important to have at each remaining school. Conflicts otherwise grow, including after school when these spaces are used by community members too.
- Messaging of the Task Force’s recommendation is critical. The message should clearly present that the WSD has around 1,300 empty seats in elementary schools today.
- Shifting all Rib Mountain students to South Mountain could be an option, even though a classroom addition would be required at South Mountain.
- It is important to remember there is projected housing growth in the Village of Rib Mountain.
- Remembering that projections will not be perfect, a long-range plan should include actual enrollment triggers/threshold for potential future closures.
- We should be careful in trying to do/recommend too much at once, which will affect more people and potentially create more opposition.
- Will the general public say... "are we doing enough?" Closing 4 elementary schools may be too few when fiscal responsibility is our primary criterion.



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

- Building new schools while closing others (more) is the most efficient solution long-term.
- A 4 to 5 section school would be most efficient, which would lead to 5 or 6 total elementary schools in the WSD.
- Having 500-600 student schools would mean more support staff.
- A fix to our problem is to go bigger in school size.
- There is a lot that is not on the dashboards as it would relate to building a new school.
- The small neighborhood school is good, but not when they are only at 50% capacity.
- The WSD should focus not just on buildings but also on curriculum. I'd like my kid to access other programs like foreign language at school, for example.
- How far is Maine in proximity to other schools, especially compared to Hewitt-Texas? Maine did not have a closure option. (MDRoffers responded that Maine is closer to the center of the WSD than Hewitt-Texas, and is near its capacity, and an earlier presentation went into Maine in more detail.)
- To get closer to filling the 1,300 student excess capacity across the elementary schools, I recommend closing Grant, Hawthorn Hills, Hewitt-Texas, John Marshall, and Lincoln.
- Task Force members should continue to trust the process - hand this over to decision makers and the Board for their decision.
- The process it has removed what doesn't work so we can focus on what can work. It is important to close schools now, to have actionable items.
- There is not great way to make a package work with closing fewer than two west side schools, even though enrollment seems to be declining more on the east side.
- If this was a new city, we might only need 4 to 6 elementary schools. But what we are heading towards with what we actually have—a number of older and smaller schools—is a realistic and appropriate direction.
- Is it better to create a few larger, newer schools? We are not saying it is a recommendation now, but perhaps it would be 20+ years from now.
- I advocate for a Longfellow closure, and relocated Administration to East High.
- We all need to remember that there will be another process to finalize attendance areas and look at them at a more granular scale.
- What about another option - Rib Mountain students to shift between South Mountain and Stettin? There would be an estimated 393 students in 2030, with enrollment just at capacity.
- We need to whittle it down, not rip the band aid off. We need a Long Range Plan from the District... the population is going out to the west side. We are doing the right thing at the right time, but there is a need for a Long Range Plan.
- Baby steps are good...more closed schools at once would result in more opposition from the community.
- I think closing 6 schools is too much.
- I am sensitive to a gradual approach, but want to make the recommendation to the Board with up to 5 schools closing.



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

- I understand ripping the band aid off, but if we did the wrong thing, and were too quick in closing schools, that would be a problem.
- In regards to a phased approach, maybe it is not right to go to the public too often with referendums.
- If the Task Force ends up recommending the retention in John Marshall, and the closure of Hawthorn Hills, it will need to clearly explain why the one of the oldest schools in the WSD would be retained over a much newer building.
- In defense of John Marshall, it is the center of a neighborhood. We've discussed school closures fiscally, but this school is an anchor to its neighborhood and a center of activity.

Task Force Ranking of Options Results: Task Force members then individually ranked the ten elementary facility options discussed at its October and November meetings in order of preference, with 1 equaling each member’s most preferred option and 10 equaling its least preferred option. The following are results of the ranking exercise, sorted from the most preferred to the least preferred option. The lower the rank number, the more preferred was the option. With the Task Force members consent, members not in attendance were allowed to provide their ranking after reading materials from the meeting. Four additional members elected to do so, resulting in a grand total of 37 completed ranking forms.

Option	Average Rank	#1 Ranks	#10 Ranks
Hewitt-Texas to Riverview	2.5	22	2
Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln)	2.9	7	0
Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln)	3.1	1	0
Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/Franklin (and 4K to Riverview)	4.0	6	0
Rib Mountain to South Mountain/G.D. Jones	5.8	1	2
Grant to Jefferson/Lincoln	5.9	0	3
Franklin to Hawthorn Hills/Riverview	6.6	0	1
John Marshall to Hawthorn Hills (and Neigh. 55 to Franklin)	7.0	0	4
Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/Franklin/Lincoln (& 4K to Riverview)	8.1	0	9
Lincoln to Grant/Hawthorn Hills	9.0	0	16

The four most preferred options are Hewitt-Texas to Riverview, Grant to Jefferson (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln), Lincoln to G.D. Jones (and 4K Academy from Jefferson to Lincoln), and Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/Franklin (and 4K to Riverview). The lowest of these four options was ranked 1.8 points better than the fifth option. These four options are all mutually exclusive – meaning they all could be executed together (and two must be executed together).

The Rib Mountain to South Mountain/G.D. Jones option and the Grant to Jefferson/Lincoln option arguably fell into a middle category of preference, followed by the remaining four options.



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Several Task Force members noted in their ranking forms that they downgraded the Rib Mountain to South Mountain/G.D. Jones option because it assigned some students to G.D. Jones. They expressed a preference for sending all Rib Mountain students to South Mountain instead, combined with a classroom expansion at South Mountain.

Some of the lower rated options outlined the closure of the same school as some of the most preferred alternatives. Some of these lower rated options would have relocated students to schools across the Wisconsin River.

Individual member written ranking form responses (following meeting):

1. Please offer any rationale/reasons why you ranked the options the way you did. This information may be used to support the Task Force recommendation later.
 - East side needs to block student access to DCE SD, schools on the east side should stay at three based on geography. The community would not take the move to losing more than 2 schools or 3 well versus the west side losing less. Lincoln/Grant/G.D. Jones all within a few miles none at capacity.
 - I was assuming Hawthorn Hills would be closed. Hewitt-Texas was decided right away.
 - Lincoln seems best designated as 4K/EC center. East side needs center 4K/EC - Riverview?
 - No crossing the River. Unsure if 4K academy is fiscally responsible.
 - Riverview can easily take Hewitt, so I ranked that as my number 1.
 - Looking for fewer, larger schools
 - I fully support all options 1-5, closing Hewitt, Rib Mt, John Marshall, Lincoln, and Grant and using Lincoln as 4K academy. I do not think crossing the river is a great idea (rankings 9 and 10)
 - I am in favor of keeping schools close to their populations they serve and moving large grade cohorts. Along with balancing students directionally within the city. Lastly, I am in favor of creating a 4K academy that could efficiently serve its students.
 - Very much in favor of repurposing Lincoln as a central 4K academy. Closures on the east side are more necessary than the west side.
 - A touch tricky to rank given the flow; I expect December's meeting and conversation will sort this out.
 - My rankings were based on the closures that I thought made the most sense fiscally and geographically. I like the idea of moving entire schools or at least managing the number of splits.
 - Grant is the most obvious closure. The school is outdated and needs significant investment to maintain a viable learning environment. I think 5 schools need to close. Maybe not all at once, but with a planned timeline. If the community can see what's coming there may be more buy-in to the changes. Less than 5 doesn't solve the problem.
 - Going through the criteria possibilities helped secure my decisions.
 - I think we need to close 5 schools to meet the needs of the district.



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

- Cost of Grant, Lincoln, Hewitt, and Hawthorn OR Marshall make sense. This has the least impact on students after 5 years.
- I do not like the 1-10 option would have several #1 and a few #10 and not sure #5... crossing the river is a non-starter
- If there was an option to close Franklin and Hewitt, would rank both of those schools higher to close.
- I ranked Hawthorn Hills to Marshall and Franklin as #1 and #2. John Marshall is a community center. The community was built around the neighborhood. Strong "alum" group - very supportive. CESA 10 says cost do not seem extravagant. Perimeter school, need to be South anchor for this community.
- Options don't do enough - based on 4-5 section schools. WSD only needs 6 buildings.
- I would support Rib going to South and build onto South rather than Rib going to Stettin. I would support closing Hawthorn, Grant, Hewitt, and Lincoln. I like the idea of 4K going to Lincoln as an academy. I would also support Longfellow closing with admin moving to another district building such as East.
- I feel that it is right to close: Hewitt, Hawthorn, Grant, Lincoln, with Lincoln repurposed as a 4K academy. If we need more we need to close more on east side first.
- First four were easy. Rib Mt may be #5 but I would wait for enrollment projections to either prove or disprove that this would be a good decision. Put a date for evaluation or an enrollment trigger for that next step.
- Hewitt-Texas, Grant, and Hawthorn Hills seem to be the best candidates for consolidation into other schools. I do not like the idea of our southernmost elementary school on the east side closing. It's in a good neighborhood, it would be ideal to give families with quick access to Bus 51 S a school in the WSD to keep their children in so they don't flee to DCE. I was one of the first kindergarten classes to start at Hawthorn Hills and I am sentimental toward the building. It likely should never have been constructed but who could have seen the east side of town atrophy the way it has since the early 90's? I strongly believe 4 schools could and should eventually consolidate into the remaining school buildings in the district. I believe Rib Mountain Elementary is the best additional school on the west side of the river to fold and consolidate. Stettin Elementary, Jones, and South Mountain should have room and work geographically for a restructuring of the neighborhood boundaries for former Rib Mountain students. Lincoln is a less attractive option, for me, because I believe there should remain an elementary school within a couple blocks of the west bank of the river since many of more affordable homes lie closer to the river on both the east and west sides. I believe Grant needs to close due to its age and closer proximity to Thomas Jefferson, which should be able to accommodate many of the students in Grant's building. Hewitt-Texas and Grant immediately - 2025-2026, as far as I'm concerned Hawthorn Hills to quickly follow (maybe a developer will buy the land its on and repurpose for new homes). Rib Mountain within the next 4-5 years, if not sooner. Keep the river boundary. It is too polarizing for the community on the whole to make attendance on the opposite side compulsory. Individual families will



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

- choose opposing sides of the river on their own- I see this all the time with our students at Muir. Secondary school choice seems to be less concerned with the river. Keep the southmost schools open and thriving to 'protect our borders' with DCE.
- Grant should close -> no AC, separate gym/cafeteria and gym, learning space is suitable, \$3 deferred maintenance, and \$3-\$6 to be a receiver school. Grant to Jefferson (Plus). It appears that G.D. Jones and Jefferson could accommodate 220 students. John Marshall should stay open. Has separate gym/cafeteria, its more suitable, and has a small cost to be a receiver school. Lincoln should close, no separate gym and cafeteria, \$2.5 million deferred maintenance and 2.5M to be a receiver school. However, Lincoln to Grant and Hawthorn Hills seems tedious to cross the river and Grant should definitely close. Hawthorn Hills - I don't see a good reason to close Hawthorn Hills other than lowest enrollment and high receiver school close. It's the 4th newest school, considered most suitable learning space, has A/C, and despite its low attendance has an average operating cost. Has a more central location. Hewitt-Texas should close. It has a significantly higher operating cost than the other schools. It is considered to only be suitable for learning space and it does not have a separate gym and cafeteria. Franklin going to Hawthorn Hills with a large receiver school cost (\$3.9 million) and losing a separate gym and cafeteria doesn't make sense to me. Rib Mountain closing doesn't make much sense compared to other options. With attendance growing, it has A/C, avg deferred maintenance cost (\$2.1M), low operating cost (\$830/student), having a more suitable learning space, and being of medium size. Plus the cost to transfer students to South Mountain isn't small (\$2.5-2.9 million).
2. Any other comments, questions, or advice as we move towards the Task Force's final meeting and recommendation?
- Close Hawthorn, move to Marshall/Franklin plus plan. Close Hewitt, move to Riverview/Franklin plan. Close Lincoln, move to GD Jones, with Lincoln 4K Academy. Close Grant, move to Thomas Jefferson/GD Jones. North Mountain move to South Mountain (could be down the line with the other options)
 - I love the idea of having self-contained 4K academy on each side of the river. Lincoln and Hawthorn Hills.
 - Consider schools with both cafeteria and gym space as best schools to move others to. Consider schools with AC already installed.
 - No more options.
 - Option 1: Hewitt to Riverview, Rib to South Mountain/GD Jones, Grant to Jefferson/Lincoln, Hawthorn to JM/Franklin with 4K to Riverview. Option 2: Hewitt to Riverview, Lincoln to GD Jones, Grant to Jefferson, Hawthorn to JM/Franklin, Lincoln for all 4K academy. I think these are the better options. Would Rib Mountain be able to be divided to South Mountain and GD Jones if GD Jones took Lincoln and Lincoln was a 4K academy?
 - Stettin neighborhoods 65, 66, 67? Could also shift N55 and North of N57 to Franklin. Franklin great location with resources and able to absorb other contiguous neighborhood with



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

- growth or needs. Consider HH as 4K, partner site, or charter school. Reduced cost in deferred maintenance as compared to Lincoln.
- I want the group to suggest expanding offerings and efficiency (foreign language, extra aids, extra encore)
 - A lot of community members use the green space at Grant. There is not a park located in this neighborhood. Maybe this space could be used as a park or community space in the future. I do not think this should be a phased approach.
 - Do not consider moving any students to Stettin - the only school projecting significant growth!
 - You owe us all a beer (N/A for me).
 - Seeing the collective view of a few scenarios will be helpful in seeing the full picture. I think its essential that the consulting firm provide a recommendation to the BOD in addition to the Task Force. Involving the community was essential and should have weight on the decision-making. However, the consultant has the knowledge and experience and do this work every day. Their input should be weighted equally if not more. Although well equipped, I still think the consultant is better suited to make a recommendation to be considered.
 - I feel a conservative approach to closing schools.
 - Would like to see other Rib Mountain closing options. I feel Rib Mountain needs to close but the option isn't the best.
 - Could all of Rib Mountain fit into South Mountain if a cafeteria and 2 additional classrooms were added? Could 4 schools be presented with a 5th option if the board wants to get closer to 1,100 seats?
 - New Rib Mountain option makes sense. Rib to Stettin and South Mountain
 - We don't need as much time showing our thoughts. It gets circular. We could have been done earlier. We should be done on time.
 - Rib Mountain to South Mountain.
 - Process has been good. Great job keeping our target in front of us. Don't move Rib to Stettin/South - too far.
 - Speaking points. I hope there will be a formal list provided. We may not agree on all, but some consensus opinions/responses.
 - I feel the best option is to close 4 buildings.



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Complete Meeting Results: The following is an unedited list of small group written reports/notes.
Group A:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	4	3	5
	No			
	Not Sure	1	2	
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	3	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure	2		
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes	2	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure	3		
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	3	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure	2		
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure	1		

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - Utilization of HH, past discussions in past with partnerships with YMCA/wrap around/charter
 - Newer/most suitable building (HH) to not as new buildings
 - HH as less deferred maintenance than Lincoln
 - Franklin can absorb with low receiver costs
 - Do receiver schools need AC?
 - Separate gym and cafeteria
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - Everyone to one school
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group B:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes		4	4
	No	2		
	Not Sure	2		
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	3	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure	1		
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes		4	4
	No	4		
	Not Sure			
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - Are we really saving money with keeping Lincoln open as a 4K academy?
 - Yes, by spreading students out more
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group C:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	3		3
	No			
	Not Sure		3	
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	3	2	3
	No			
	Not Sure		1	
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes	3	3	3
	No			
	Not Sure			
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	3	3	3
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	3	3	3
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - All schools have separate gym/cafeteria
 - Lose the woods by Hawthorn
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - How much is 4K?
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
- Overall
 - Unknowns re: 4K Academies
 - Services offered: schools have daycares surrounding, YMCA, B&G Club, moving students less changes



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group D:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	1	2	4
	No			
	Not Sure	4	3	1
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	5	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure			
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes	3	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure	2		
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	5	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	5	5	5
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - In sum: thumbs up
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - In sum: thumbs up
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
 - Boring speech followed by thumbs up
- Overall:
 - 4Kers together
 - Kids going together, 1:1
 - Grant closure, recommendation to continue Grant greenspace/park



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group E:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	3	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure	1		
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - Staff reduction
 - Closer to capacity
 - Future referendum for AC
 - Like it doesn't impact MS/HS
 - Good commute time
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - 4K academy good idea
 - great capacity
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
 - Jefferson has gym and AC
 - All kids move together
- Overall
 - Like Jefferson and Jones have separate café/gym and AC
 - Like the 4K academy on west side idea
 - important to add AC at JM and Franklin
 - No impact on MS and HS placements



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group F:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes		4	4
	No	1		
	Not Sure	3		
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - Most suitable school to more suitable school
 - Newer school to older
 - AC school to non AC school
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
- Overall:
 - Like the 4K academy idea
 - like 3 schools on east side (N, Central, South)



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group G:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes		4	4
	No			
	Not Sure	4		
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	4	2	4
	No			
	Not Sure		2	
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes		4	4
	No	4		
	Not Sure			
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	3	4	4
	No	1		
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - Partial savings
 - Most suitable to downsizing
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - Lincoln to GD fits mostly, but should be tandem option with Grant to Jefferson
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
 - Tandem option
- Overall:
 - Last two options - should seem like a singular vote/option in the ranking



Elementary Facility Plan Citizen Task Force Outcomes from Meeting 8 – November 13, 2024

Group H:

Option Evaluation Criterion	Does option meet criterion?	Elementary Facility Consolidation Option		
		Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)	Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)	Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
1. Fiscal Responsibility	Yes	4	1	4
	No			
	Not Sure		3	
2. Efficient Building Utilization	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
3. Maintain Educational Opportunities	Yes		4	4
	No			
	Not Sure	4		
4. Minimize Impact on Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			
5. Schools Close to Students	Yes	4	4	4
	No			
	Not Sure			

Notes:

- Hawthorn Hills to John Marshall/ Franklin (Plus)
 - No AC but does have gym/café
 - All students affected but big groups
- Lincoln to G.D. Jones (Plus)
 - Because of cost if Lincoln still open for 4K
 - Both AGR schools going to most suitable school
 - Cost of Lincoln
- Grant to Jefferson (Plus)
 - Moving all together