

GLTS

Greater Lawrence Technical School

APPENDIX A

**Massachusetts Model System for
Educator Evaluation**

Collective Bargaining Contract Language

Administrator Contract Language



This document was prepared by the
Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D.
Commissioner

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education Members

Ms. Maura Banta, Chair, Melrose
Ms. Beverly Holmes, Vice Chair, Springfield
Dr. Vanessa Calderón-Rosado, Milton
Ms. Harneen Chernow, Jamaica Plain
Mr. Gerald Chertavian, Cambridge
Mr. Matthew Gifford, Chair, Student Advisory Council, Brookline
Dr. Jeff Howard, Reading
Ms. Ruth Kaplan, Brookline
Dr. Dana Mohler-Faria, Bridgewater
Mr. Paul Reville, Secretary of Education, Worcester
Mr. David Roach, Sutton

Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D., Commissioner and Secretary to the Board

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, an affirmative action employer, is committed to ensuring that all of its programs and facilities are accessible to all members of the public.

We do not discriminate on the basis of age, color, disability, national origin, race, religion, sex or sexual orientation.

Inquiries regarding the Department's compliance with Title IX and other civil rights laws may be directed to the Human Resources Director, 75 Pleasant St., Malden, MA 02148-4906. Phone: 781-338-6105.

© 2012 Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Permission is hereby granted to copy any or all parts of this document for non-commercial educational purposes. Please credit the "Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education."

This document printed on recycled paper

Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
75 Pleasant Street, Malden, MA 02148-4906
Phone 781-338-3000 TTY: N.E.T. Relay 800-439-2370
www.doe.mass.edu



Appendix A. Administrator Model Contract Language

Article ____

Table of Contents

- (1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation
- (2) Definitions
- (3) Evidence Used in Evaluation
- (4) Rubric
- (5) Evaluation Cycle: Training
- (6) Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation
- (7) Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment
- (8) Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Educator Plan Development
- (9) Evaluation Cycle : Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts -- New Administrators
- (10) Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Experienced Administrators
- (11) Observations
- (12) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment
- (13) Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two Year Self-Directed Plans Only
- (14) Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation
- (15) Educator Plans : General
- (16) Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan
- (17) Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan
- (18) Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan
- (19) Educator Plans: Improvement Plan
- (20) Timelines
- (21) Career Advancement
- (22) Rating Impact on Student Learning Growth
- (23) Using Student feedback in Administrator Evaluation
- (24) Using Staff feedback in Administrator Evaluation
- (25) Transition from Existing Evaluation System
- (26) General Provisions

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

1) Purpose of Educator Evaluation

- A) This contract language is locally negotiated and based on M.G.L., c.71, § 38; M.G.L. c.150E; the Educator Evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00 et seq.; and the Model System for Educator Evaluation developed and which may be updated from time to time by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. See 603 CMR 35.02 (definition of model system). In the event of a conflict between this collective bargaining agreement and the governing laws and regulations, the laws and regulations will prevail.
- B) The regulatory purposes of evaluation are:
 - i) To promote student learning, growth, and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement, enhanced opportunities for professional growth, and clear structures for accountability, 603 CMR 35.01(2)(a);
 - ii) To provide a record of facts and assessments for personnel decisions, 35.01(2)(b);
 - iii) To ensure that every school committee has a system to enhance the professionalism and accountability of teachers and administrators that will enable them to assist all students to perform at high levels, 35.01(3); and
 - iv) To assure effective teaching and administrative leadership, 35.01(3).

2) Definitions

- A) **Administrator:** Inclusive term that applies to all Administrators covered by this article, unless otherwise noted. Administrators may include individuals who serve in positions involving teaching and other direct services to students.
- B) **Artifacts of Professional Practice:** Products of an Administrator's work and staff and student work samples that demonstrate the Administrator's knowledge and skills with respect to specific performance standards.
- C) **Categories of Evidence:** Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, judgments based on observations and artifacts of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration; and additional evidence relevant to one or more Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice (603 CMR 35.04).
- D) **District-determined Measures:** Measures of student learning, growth and achievement related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant frameworks, that are comparable across grade or subject level district-wide. These measures may include, but shall not be limited to: portfolios approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects.
- E) **Educator Plan:** The growth or improvement actions identified as part of each Educator's evaluation. The type of plan is determined by the Administrator's career stage, overall performance rating, and the rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement. There shall be four types of Educator Plans:

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- i) **Developing Educator Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the New Administrator and the Evaluator for one school year or less.
 - ii) **Self-Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Administrator for Experienced Administrators who are rated proficient or exemplary.
 - iii) **Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Administrator and the Evaluator of one school year or less for Experienced Administrators who are rated needs improvement.
 - iv) **Improvement Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Evaluator of at least 30 calendar days and no more than one school year for Experienced Administrators who are rated unsatisfactory with goals specific to improving the Administrator's unsatisfactory performance. In those cases where an Administrator is rated unsatisfactory near the close of a school year, the plan may include activities during the summer preceding the next school year.
- F) **ESE:** The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.
- G) **Evaluation:** The ongoing process of defining goals and identifying, gathering, and using information as part of a process to improve professional performance (the "formative evaluation" and "formative assessment") and to assess total job effectiveness and make personnel decisions (the "summative evaluation").
- H) **Evaluator:** Any person designated by a superintendent who has primary or supervisory responsibility for observation and evaluation. The superintendent is responsible for ensuring that all Evaluators have training in the principles of supervision and evaluation. Each Administrator will have one primary Evaluator at any one time responsible for determining performance ratings.
- i) **Primary Evaluator** shall be the person who determines the Administrator's performance ratings and evaluation.
 - ii) **Supervising Evaluator** shall be the person responsible for developing the Educator Plan, supervising the Administrator's progress through formative assessments, evaluating the Administrator's progress toward attaining the Educator Plan goals, and making recommendations about the evaluation ratings to the primary Evaluator at the end of the Educator Plan. The Supervising Evaluator may be the primary Evaluator or his/her designee.
 - iii) **Administrators Assigned to More Than One Building:** The superintendent or designee will determine who the primary evaluator is for each Administrator who is assigned to more than one building.
 - iv) **Notification:** The Administrator shall be notified in writing of his/her primary Evaluator and supervising Evaluator, if any, at the outset of each new evaluation cycle. The Evaluator(s) may be changed upon notification in writing to the Administrator.
- I) **Evaluation Cycle:** A five-component process that all Administrators follow consisting of 1) Self-Assessment; 2) Goal-setting and Educator Plan development; 3) Implementation of the Plan; 4) Formative Assessment/Evaluation; and 5) Summative Evaluation.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- J) **Experienced Administrator:** An administrator who has completed three school years in the same position in the district.
- K) **Family:** Includes students' parents, legal guardians, foster parents, or primary caregivers.
- L) **Formative Assessment:** The process used to assess progress towards attaining goals set forth in Educator Plans, performance on standards, or both. This process may take place at any time(s) during the cycle of evaluation, but typically takes place at mid-cycle.
- M) **Formative Evaluation:** An evaluation conducted at the end of Year 1 for an Administrator on a 2-year Self-Directed Growth plan which is used to arrive at a rating on progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice, or both.
- N) **Goal:** A specific, actionable, and measurable area of improvement as set forth in an Educator Plan. A goal may pertain to any or all of the following: Administrator practice in relation to Performance Standards, Administrator practice in relation to indicators, or specified improvement in student learning, growth and achievement. Goals may be developed by individual Administrators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Administrators who have the same role.
- O) **Measurable:** That which can be classified or estimated in relation to a scale, rubric, or standards.
- P) **Multiple Measures of Student Learning:** Measures must include a combination of classroom, school and district assessments, student growth percentiles on state assessments, if state assessments are available, and student MEPA gain scores. This definition may be revised as required by regulations or agreement of the parties upon issuance of ESE guidance expected by July 2012.
- Q) **New Administrator:** An administrator who has not completed three years in the position in the district.
- R) **Observation:** A data gathering process that includes notes and judgments made during one or more school or worksite visits(s) of any duration by the Evaluator and may include examination of artifacts of practice including student work. An observation may occur in person or through video. Video observations will be done openly and with knowledge of the Administrator. The parties agree to bargain the protocols of video observations should either party wish to adopt such practice. School or worksite observations conducted pursuant to this article must result in feedback to the Administrator. Normal supervisory responsibilities of evaluators will also cause them to drop in on other activities in the school or worksite at various times as deemed necessary by the evaluator. Carrying out these supervisory responsibilities, when they do not result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Administrator, are not observations as defined in this Article.
- S) **Parties:** The parties to this agreement are the local school committee and the employee organization that represents the Administrators covered by this agreement for purposes of collective bargaining ("Employee Organization/Association").
- T) **Performance Rating:** Describes the Administrator's performance on each performance standard and overall. There shall be four performance ratings:

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- Exemplary: the Administrator's performance consistently and significantly exceeds the requirements of a standard or overall. The rating of exemplary on a standard indicates that practice significantly exceeds proficient and could serve as a model of practice on that standard district-wide.
 - Proficient: the Administrator's performance fully and consistently meets the requirements of a standard or overall. Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory.
 - Needs Improvement: the Administrator's performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall, but is not considered to be unsatisfactory at this time. Improvement is necessary and expected.
 - Unsatisfactory: the Administrator's performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of needs improvement, or the Administrator's performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.
- U) **Performance Standards:** Locally developed standards and indicators pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 38 and consistent with, and supplemental to 603 CMR 35.00. The parties may agree to limit standards and indicators to those set forth in 603 CMR 35.04.
- V) **Professional Teacher Status:** PTS is the status granted to an Educator pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71, § 41.
- W) **Rating of Administrator Impact on Student Learning:** A rating of high, moderate or low based on trends and patterns on state assessments and district-determined measures. The parties will negotiate the process for using state and district-determined measures to arrive at an Administrator's rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement, using guidance and model contract language from ESE, expected by May 2013.
- X) **Rating of Overall Administrator Performance:** The Administrator's overall performance rating is based on the Evaluator's professional judgment and examination of evidence of the Administrator's performance against the four Performance Standards and the Administrator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan, as follows:
- i) **Standard 1:** Instructional Leadership
 - ii) **Standard 2:** Management and Operations
 - iii) **Standard 3:** Family and Community Engagement
 - iv) **Standard 4:** Professional Culture
 - v) **Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s)**
 - vi) **Attainment of Student Learning Goal(s).**

When the four Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice are referenced, it is understood that they may be supplemented or substituted in part in the Educator Plan by appropriate Standards of Effective Teaching Practice for those administrators who also serve as teachers or caseload educators, at the discretion of the evaluator.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- Y) **Rubric:** A scoring tool that describes characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance. The rubrics for Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice are used to rate Administrators on Performance Standards, as are Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice in cases where the Administrator teaches. These rubrics consist of:
- i) **Standards:** Describes broad categories of professional practice, including those required in 603 CMR 35.04, and, where appropriate 35.03
 - ii) **Indicators:** Describes aspects of each standard, including those required in 603 CMR 35.04, and where appropriate 35.03
 - iii) **Elements:** Defines the individual components under each indicator
 - iv) **Descriptors:** Describes practice at four levels of performance for each element
- Z) **Summative Evaluation:** An evaluation used to arrive at a rating on each standard, an overall rating, and as a basis to make personnel decisions. The summative evaluation includes the Evaluator's judgments of the Administrator's performance against Performance Standards and the Administrator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan.
- AA) **Superintendent:** The person employed by the school committee pursuant to M.G.L. c. 71 §59 and §59A. The superintendent is responsible for the implementation of 603 CMR 35.00.
- BB) **Trends in student learning:** At least two years of data from the district-determined measures and state assessments used in determining the Administrator's rating on impact on student learning as high, moderate or low.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

3) Evidence Used In Evaluation

The following categories of evidence shall be used in evaluating each Administrator:

- A) Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, which shall include:
 - i) Measures of student progress on classroom assessments that are aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or other relevant frameworks and are comparable within grades or subjects in a school;
 - ii) At least two district-determined measures of student learning related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or the Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks or other relevant frameworks that are comparable across grades and/or subjects district-wide. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. One such measure shall be the MCAS Student Growth Percentile (SGP) or Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment gain scores, if applicable, in which case at least two years of data is required.
 - iii) Measures of student progress and/or achievement toward student learning goals set between the Administrator and Evaluator for the school year or some other period of time established in the Educator Plan.
 - iv) The appropriate measures of the Administrator's contribution to student learning, growth, and achievement shall be set by the district. The measures set by the district should be based on the Administrator's role and responsibility.
- B) Judgments based on observations and artifacts of practice including, but not limited to:
 - i) Unannounced observations of practice of any duration.
 - ii) Examination of Administrator work products.
 - iii) Examination of student and educator work samples.
- C) Evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards, including but not limited to:
 - i) Evidence compiled and presented by the Administrator, including :
 - (a) Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth such as self-assessments, peer collaboration, professional development linked to goals in the Educator Plan, contributions to the school community and professional culture;
 - (b) Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families;
 - ii) Evidence of progress towards professional practice goal(s);
 - iii) Evidence of progress toward student learning outcomes goal(s).
 - iv) Student and Staff Feedback – see # 23-24, below; and
 - v) Any other relevant evidence from any source that the Evaluator shares with the Administrator. Other relevant evidence could include information provided by other administrators, principals and/or the superintendent.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

4) **Rubric**

The rubrics are a scoring tool used for the Administrator's self-assessment, the formative assessment, the formative evaluation and the summative evaluation. The districts may use either the rubrics provided by ESE or comparably rigorous and comprehensive rubrics developed or adopted by the district and reviewed by ESE.

5) **Evaluation Cycle: Training**

- A) Prior to the implementation of the new evaluation process contained in this article, districts shall arrange training for all Educators, principals, and other Administrators and evaluators that outlines the components of the new evaluation process and provides an explanation of the evaluation cycle. The district through the superintendent shall determine the type and quality of training based on guidance provided by ESE.
- B) By November 1st of the first year of this agreement, all Administrators shall complete a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal-setting satisfactory to the superintendent. Any Administrator hired after the November 1st date, and who has not previously completed such an activity, shall complete such a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal-setting within three months of the date of hire. The district through the superintendent shall determine the type and quality of the learning activity based on guidance provided by ESE.

6) **Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation**

- A) At the start of each school year, the superintendent or designee shall conduct a meeting for Administrators focused substantially on Administrator evaluation. The superintendent or designee shall:
 - i) Provide an overview of the evaluation process, including goal setting and the Educator Plan.
 - ii) Provide all Administrators with directions for obtaining a copy of the forms used by the district. These may be electronically provided.
 - iii) The meeting may be digitally recorded to facilitate orientation of Administrators hired after the beginning of the school year.

7) **Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment**

- A) **Completing the Self-Assessment**
 - i) The evaluation cycle begins with the Administrator completing and submitting to the Primary or Supervising Evaluator a self-assessment by September 10th or within two weeks of the start of their employment at the school.
 - ii) The self-assessment includes:

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- (a) An analysis of evidence of student learning, growth and achievement for students under the Administrator's responsibility.
- (b) An assessment of practice against each of the four Performance Standards of Effective Leadership practice and any relevant Standards of Effective Teaching Practice, using the district's rubric(s).
- (c) Proposed goals to pursue:
 - (1st) At least one goal directly related to improving the Administrator's own professional practice.
 - (2nd) At least one goal directed related to improving student learning.

B) Proposing the goals

- i) Administrators must consider goals for grade-level, subject-area, department teams, school-level teams, district-level teams, or other groups of Administrators who share responsibility for student learning and results, except as provided in (ii) below. Administrators may meet with teams to consider establishing team goals. Evaluators may participate in such meetings.
- ii) For New Administrators in their first year in a position, the Evaluator or his/her designee will meet with each Administrator by September 10th (or within two weeks of the Administrator's first day of employment if the Administrator begins employment after September 10th) to assist the Administrator in completing the self-assessment and drafting the professional practice and student learning goals which must include induction and mentoring activities.
- iii) Unless the Evaluator indicates that a New Administrator in his/her second or third years in the current position should continue to address induction and mentoring goals pursuant to 603 CMR 7.12, they may address appropriate shared team goals.
- iv) For Experienced Administrators with ratings of proficient or exemplary, the goals may be team goals. In addition, these Administrators may include individual professional practice goals that address enhancing skills that enable the Administrator to share proficient practices with colleagues or develop additional leadership skills.
- v) For Experienced Administrators with ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory, the professional practice goal(s) must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared team goals.

8) **Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Development of the Educator Plan**

- A) Every Administrator has an Educator Plan that includes, but is not limited to, one goal related to the improvement of practice; one goal for the improvement of student learning. The Plan also outlines actions the Administrator must take to attain the goals established in the Plan and benchmarks to assess progress. Goals may be developed by individual

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

Administrators, by the Evaluator, or by teams of Administrators who have the similar roles and/or responsibilities. See Sections 15-19 for more on Educator Plans.

- B) To determine the goals to be included in the Educator Plan, the Evaluator reviews the goals the Administrator has proposed in the Self-Assessment, using evidence of Administrator performance and impact on student learning, growth and achievement based on the Administrator's self-assessment and other sources that Evaluator shares with the Administrator. The process for determining the Administrator's impact on student learning, growth and achievement will be determined after ESE issues guidance on this matter. See #22, below.
 - C) Educator Plan Development Meetings shall be conducted as follows:
 - i) Administrators meet with the Evaluator at the end of the previous evaluation cycle or by September 15th of the next academic year to develop their Educator Plan. Administrators working on an extended year schedule may meet during the summer hiatus.
 - ii) For those Administrators new to the school or district, the meeting with the Evaluator to establish the Educator Plan must occur by September 15th or within three weeks of the start of their assignment in that school
 - iii) The Evaluator shall meet individually with Experienced Administrators with ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory to develop professional practice goal(s) that must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared team goals.
 - D) The Evaluator completes the Educator Plan by October 1st. The Administrator shall sign the Educator Plan within 5 school days of its receipt and may include a written response. The Administrator's signature indicates that the Administrator received the plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents. The Evaluator retains final authority over the content of the Educator Plan.
- 9) **Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – New Administrators**
- A) New Administrators in the first year in a position shall have at least four unannounced observations during the work year.
 - B) In their second and third years in the position, Administrators shall have at least three unannounced observations during the work year.
- 10) **Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Experienced Administrators**
- A) The Administrator whose overall rating is proficient or exemplary must have at least one unannounced observation during the evaluation cycle.
 - B) The Administrator whose overall rating is needs improvement must be observed according to the Directed Growth Plan during the period of Plan which must include at least two unannounced observations.
 - C) The Administrator whose overall rating is unsatisfactory must be observed according to the Improvement Plan which must include both unannounced and announced

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

observation. The number and frequency of the observations shall be determined by the Evaluator, but in no case, for improvement plans of one year, shall there be fewer than one announced and four unannounced observations. For Improvement Plans of six months or fewer, there must be no fewer than one announced and two unannounced observations.

11) Observations

The Evaluator's first observation of the Administrator should take place by November 15. Observations required by the Educator Plan should be completed by June 1st, or as required by the Plan. The Evaluator may conduct additional observations after this date.

The Evaluator is not required nor expected to review all the indicators in a rubric during an observation.

A) Unannounced Observations

- i) Unannounced observations may be in the form of a school site or work site visitation or any other means deemed useful by the Evaluator. Visitations may include, but are not limited to: staff meetings, team meetings, classroom visits with supervising evaluator, walkabouts within the school or department, or individual conferences with students or parents.
- ii) The Administrator will be provided with at least brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 3-5 school days of the observation. The written feedback shall be delivered to the Administrator in person, by email, placed in the Administrator's mailbox or mailed to the Administrator's home.
- iii) Any observation or series of observations resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by at least one observation of a similar administrative activity within 30 school days.

B) Announced Observations

- i) All Experienced Administrators on Improvement Plans and other Administrators at the discretion of the evaluator shall have at least one Announced Observation.
 - (a) The Evaluator shall select the date and time of the activity to be observed and discuss with the Administrator any specific goal(s) for the observation.
 - (b) Within 5 school days of the scheduled observation, upon request of either the Evaluator or Administrator, the Evaluator and Administrator shall meet for a pre-observation conference. In lieu of a meeting, the Administrator may inform the Evaluator in writing of the nature of the activity, the purpose served, the desired outcome, and any other information that will assist the Evaluator to assess performance
- (1st) The Administrator shall provide the Evaluator a draft of the activity plan or agenda. If the actual plan or agenda is different,

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

the Administrator will provide the Evaluator with a copy prior to the observation.

(2nd) The Administrator will be notified as soon as possible if the Evaluator will not be able to attend the scheduled observation. The observation will be rescheduled with the Administrator as soon as reasonably practical.

(c) Within 5 school days of the observation, the Evaluator and Administrator shall meet for a post-observation conference. This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Administrator, but shall be rescheduled within 24 hours if possible.

(d) The Evaluator shall provide the Administrator with written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation conference. For any standard where the Administrator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:

(1st) Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.

(2nd) Describe actions the Administrator should take to improve his/her performance.

(3rd) Identify support and/or resources the Administrator may use in his/her improvement.

(4th) State that the Administrator is responsible for addressing the need for improvement.

12) **Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment**

A) A specific purpose for evaluation is to promote student learning, growth and achievement by providing Administrators with feedback for improvement. Evaluators are expected to make frequent unannounced visits to classrooms and administrative worksites. Evaluators are expected to give targeted constructive feedback to Administrators based on their observations of practice, examination of artifacts, and analysis of multiple measures of student learning, growth and achievement in relation to the Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice.

B) Formative Assessment may be ongoing throughout the evaluation cycle but typically takes places mid-cycle when a Formative Assessment report is completed. For an Administrator on a two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan, the mid-cycle Formative Assessment report is replaced by the Formative Evaluation report at the end of year one. See section 13, below.

C) The Formative Assessment report provides written feedback and ratings to the Administrator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Performance Standards and overall, or both

D) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Assessment report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice to the Administrator, the Administrator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement,

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Administrator may provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the Administrator's performances against the four Performance Standards.

- E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Administrator, the Evaluator and the Administrator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Assessment Report.
 - F) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Assessment report and provide a copy to the Administrator. All Formative Assessment reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or to the Administrator's school mailbox or home.
 - G) The Administrator may reply in writing to the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
 - H) The Administrator shall sign the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Administrator received the Formative Assessment report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - I) As a result of the Formative Assessment Report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
 - J) If the rating in the Formative Assessment report differs from the last summative rating the Administrator received, the Evaluator may place the Administrator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.
- 13) **Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation for Two Year Self-Directed Plans Only**
- A) Administrators on two year Self-Directed Growth Educator Plans receive a Formative Evaluation report near the end of the first year of the two year cycle. The Administrator's performance rating for that year shall be assumed to be the same as the previous summative rating unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance in which case the rating on the performance standards may change, and the Evaluator may place the Administrator on a different Educator plan, appropriate to the new rating.
 - B) The Formative Evaluation report provides written feedback and ratings to the Administrator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on each performance standard and overall, or both.
 - C) No less than two weeks before the due date for the Formative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Administrator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Administrator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the Administrator's performance against the four Performance Standards.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- D) The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Administrator. All Formative Evaluation reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by email or to the Administrator's school mailbox or home.
 - E) Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Administrator, the Evaluator and the Administrator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Evaluation Report.
 - F) The Administrator may reply in writing to the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
 - G) The Administrator shall sign the Formative Evaluation report by within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Administrator received the Formative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - H) As a result of the Formative Evaluation report, the Evaluator may change the activities in the Educator Plan.
 - I) If the rating in the Formative Evaluation report differs from the last summative rating the Administrator received, the Evaluator may place the Administrator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.
- 14) **Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation**
- A) The evaluation cycle concludes with a summative evaluation report which must be written and provided to the Administrator by June 1st.
 - B) The Evaluator determines a rating on each standard and an overall rating based on the Evaluator's professional judgment, an examination of evidence against the Performance Standards and evidence of the attainment of the Educator Plan goals.
 - C) The professional judgment of the primary evaluator shall determine the overall summative rating that the Administrator receives.
 - D) For an Administrator whose overall performance rating is exemplary or proficient and whose impact on student learning is low, the evaluator's supervisor shall discuss and review the rating with the evaluator and the supervisor shall confirm or revise the Administrator's rating. In cases where the superintendent serves as the primary evaluator, the superintendent's decision on the rating shall not be subject to review.
 - E) The summative evaluation rating must be based on evidence from multiple categories of evidence. MCAS Growth scores shall not be the sole basis for a summative evaluation rating.
 - F) To be rated proficient overall, the Administrator shall, at a minimum, have been rated proficient on the Instructional Leadership Standard of Effective Administrative Leadership Practice.
 - G) No less than four weeks before the due date for the Summative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Administrator, the Administrator will provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Administrator may also provide to the evaluator additional evidence of the Administrator's performance against the four Performance Standards.

- H) The Summative Evaluation report should recognize areas of strength as well as identify recommendations for professional growth.
 - I) The Evaluator shall deliver a signed copy of the Summative Evaluation report to the Administrator face-to-face, by email or to the Administrator's school mailbox or home no later than June 1st.
 - J) The Evaluator shall meet with the Administrator rated needs improvement or unsatisfactory to discuss the summative evaluation. The meeting shall occur by June 10th.
 - K) The Evaluator may meet with the Administrator rated proficient or exemplary to discuss the summative evaluation, if either the Administrator or the Evaluator requests such a meeting. The meeting shall occur by June 10th.
 - L) Upon mutual agreement, the Administrator and the Evaluator may develop the Self-Directed Growth Plan for the following work year during the meeting on the Summative Evaluation report.
 - M) The Administrator shall sign the final Summative Evaluation report by June 15th. The signature indicates that the Administrator received the Summative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
 - N) The Administrator shall have the right to respond in writing to the summative evaluation which shall become part of the final Summative Evaluation report.
 - O) A copy of the signed final Summative Evaluation report shall be filed in the Administrator's personnel file.
- 15) **Educator Plans – General**
- A) Educator Plans shall be designed to provide Administrators with feedback for improvement, professional growth, and leadership; and to ensure Administrator effectiveness and overall system accountability. The Plan must be aligned to the standards and indicators and be consistent with district and school goals.
 - B) The Educator Plan shall include, but is not limited to:
 - i) At least one goal related to improvement of practice tied to one or more Performance Standards;
 - ii) At least one goal for the improvement the learning, growth and achievement of the students under the Administrator's responsibility;
 - iii) An outline of actions the Administrator must take to attain the goals and benchmarks to assess progress. Actions must include specified professional development and learning activities that the Administrator will participate in as a

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

means of obtaining the goals, as well as other support that may be suggested by the Evaluator or provided by the school or district. Examples may include but are not limited to coursework, self-study, action research, curriculum development, study groups with peers, and implementing new programs.

- C) It is the Administrator's responsibility to attain the goals in the Plan and to participate in any trainings and professional development provided through the state, district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan.
- 16) **Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan**
- A) The Developing Educator Plan is for all New Administrators.
 - B) The Administrator shall be evaluated at least annually.
- 17) **Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan**
- A) A Two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Experienced Administrators who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is moderate or high. A formative evaluation report is completed at the end of year 1 and a summative evaluation report at the end of year 2.
 - B) A One-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for Experienced Administrators who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is low.
 - i) For Administrators whose impact on student learning is low, the Evaluator and Administrator shall analyze the discrepancy between the summative evaluation rating and the rating for impact on student learning to seek to determine the cause(s) of the discrepancy.
- 18) **Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan**
- A) A Directed Growth Plan is for those Experienced Administrators whose overall rating is needs improvement.
 - B) The goals in the Plan must address areas identified as needing improvement as determined by the Evaluator.
 - C) The Evaluator shall complete a summative evaluation for the Administrator at the end of the period determined by the Plan, but at least annually, and in no case later than June 1st.
 - D) For an Administrator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is at least proficient, the Evaluator will place the Administrator on a Self-Directed Growth Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
 - E) For an Administrator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is not at least proficient, the Evaluator will rate the Administrator as unsatisfactory and will place the Administrator on an Improvement Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

19) Educator Plans: Improvement Plan

- A) An Improvement Plan is for those Experienced Administrators whose overall rating is unsatisfactory.
- B) The parties agree that in order to provide effective leadership for students, staff and the community and provide students with the best instruction, it may be necessary from time to time to place an Administrator whose practice has been rated as unsatisfactory on an Improvement Plan of no fewer than 30 calendar days and no more than one school year. In the case of an Administrator receiving a rating of unsatisfactory near the close of one school year, the Improvement Plan may include activities that occur during the summer before the next school year begins.
- C) The Evaluator must complete a summative evaluation for the Administrator at the end of the period determined by the Evaluator for the Plan.
- D) An Administrator on an Improvement Plan shall be assigned a Supervising Evaluator (see definitions). The Supervising Evaluator is responsible for providing the Administrator with guidance and assistance in accessing the resources and professional development outlined in the Improvement Plan. The primary evaluator may be the Supervising Evaluator.
- E) The Improvement Plan shall define the problem(s) of practice identified through the observations and evaluation and detail the improvement goals to be met, the activities the Administrator must take to improve and the assistance to be provided to the Administrator by the district.
- F) The Improvement Plan process shall include:
 - i) Within ten school days of notification to the Administrator that the Administrator is being placed on an Improvement Plan, the Evaluator shall schedule a meeting with the Administrator to discuss the Improvement Plan. The Evaluator will develop the Improvement Plan, which will include the provision of specific assistance to the Administrator.
 - ii) The Administrator may request that a representative of the Employee Organization/Association attend the meeting(s).
 - iii) If the Administrator consents, the Employee Organization/Association will be informed that an Administrator has been placed on an Improvement Plan.
- G) The Improvement Plan shall:
 - i) Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - ii) Describe the activities and work products the Administrator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - iii) Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Administrator;

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- iv) Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - v) Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s) and indicator(s);
 - vi) Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Administrator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - vii) Include the signatures of the Administrator and Supervising Evaluator.
- H) A copy of the signed Plan shall be provided to the Administrator. The Administrator's signature indicates that the Administrator received the Improvement Plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
- I) Decision on the Administrator's status at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan.
- i) All determinations below must be made no later than June 15th. One of three decisions must be made at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan:
 - (a) If the Evaluator determines that the Administrator has improved his/her practice to the level of proficiency, the Administrator will be placed on a Self-Directed Growth Plan.
 - (b) In those cases where the Administrator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Administrator is making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall place the Administrator on a Directed Growth Plan.
 - (c) In those cases where the Administrator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her Summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Administrator is not making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Administrator be dismissed.
 - (d) If the Evaluator determines that the Administrator's practice remains at the level of unsatisfactory, the Evaluator shall recommend to the superintendent that the Administrator be dismissed.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

20. Timelines (Dates in italics are provided as guidance)

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|---|---|
| Superintendent meets with evaluators and administrators to explain evaluation process | <i>Start of school year, but no later than September 15</i> |
| Evaluator meets with first-year New Administrators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process | September 10 |
| Administrator submits self-assessment and proposed goals | September 10 |
| Evaluator meets with Administrators in teams or individually to establish Educator Plans (Educator Plan may be established at Summative Evaluation Report meeting in prior school year) | September 15 |
| Evaluator completes Educator Plans | October 1 |
| Evaluator should complete first observation of each Administrator | November 15 |
| Administrator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) * or four weeks before Formative Assessment Report date established by Evaluator | <i>January 5*</i> |
| Evaluator should complete mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports for Administrators on one-year Educator Plans | <i>February 1</i> |
| Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Meetings if requested by either Evaluator or Administrator | <i>February 15</i> |
| Administrator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) *or 4 weeks prior to Summative Evaluation Report date established by evaluator | <i>May 1*</i> |
| Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report | June 1 |
| Evaluator meets with Administrators whose overall Summative Evaluation ratings are Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory | June 10 |
| Evaluator meets with Administrators whose ratings are proficient or exemplary at request of Evaluator or Administrator | June 10 |
| Administrator signs Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any within 5 school days of receipt | June 15 |

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

A) Experienced Administrators on Two Year Plans

| Activity: | Completed By: |
|---|---|
| Evaluator completes unannounced observation(s) | Any time during the 2-year evaluation cycle |
| Evaluator completes Formative Evaluation Report | June 1 of Year 1 |
| Evaluator conducts Formative Evaluation Meeting, if any | June 15 of Year 1 |
| Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report | June 1 of Year 2 |
| Evaluator conducts Summative Evaluation Meeting, if any | June 10 of Year 2 |
| Administrator signs Summative Evaluation Report | June 15 of Year 2 |

B) Educator Administrators on Plans of Less than One Year

- i) The timeline for Administrators on Plans of less than one year will be established in the Educator Plan.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

21. Career Advancement

- A) In order to attain Professional Teacher Status, the Educator should achieve ratings of proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A supervisor considering making an employment decision that would lead to PTS for any Educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each performance standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the superintendent by May 1. The supervisor's decision is subject to review and approval by the superintendent.
- B) In order to qualify to apply for a promotional position within administration, the Administrator must have had a Summative Evaluation performance rating of proficient or exemplary for at least the previous two years.
- C) Experienced Administrators whose summative performance rating is exemplary and, after 2013-14 whose impact on student learning is rated moderate or high, shall be recognized and rewarded with additional leadership roles, promotions, additional compensation, public commendation or other acknowledgement as determined by the district through collective bargaining where applicable.

22. Rating Impact on Student Learning Growth

ESE will provide model contract language and guidance on rating Administrator impact on student learning growth based on state and district-determined measures of student learning by May 15, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

23. Using Student feedback in Administrator Evaluation

ESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using student feedback in Administrator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

24. Using Staff feedback in Administrator Evaluation

ESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using staff feedback in Administrator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

25. Transition from Existing Evaluation System

- A) The parties shall agree on a process for identifying the Educator Plan that each Administrator will be placed on during the Administrator's first year being evaluated under the new procedures, providing that Administrators who have received ratings of unsatisfactory or its equivalent in the prior year will be placed on Directed Growth or Improvement Plans at the sole discretion of the Superintendent.

Appendix A: M.A.P.S.A. Model Contract Language

- B) The existing evaluation system will remain in effect until the provisions set forth in this Article are implemented. The relevant timeframe for adopting and implementing new systems is set forth in 603 CMR 35.11(1).

26. General Provisions

- A) Only Administrators who are licensed as administrators may serve as primary evaluators of Administrators.
- B) Evaluators shall not make negative comments about the Administrator's performance, or comments of a negative evaluative nature, in the presence of students, parents or other staff, except in the unusual circumstance where the Evaluator concludes that s/he must immediately and directly intervene. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit a supervisor's ability to investigate a complaint, or secure assistance to support an Administrator.
- C) The superintendent shall insure that Evaluators have training in supervision and evaluation, including the regulations and standards and indicators of effective teaching practice promulgated by ESE (35.04), and the evaluation Standards and Procedures established in this Agreement.
- D) Should there be a serious disagreement between the Administrator and the Evaluator regarding an overall summative performance rating of unsatisfactory, the Administrator may meet with the Evaluator's supervisor to discuss the disagreement. Should the Administrator request such a meeting, the Evaluator's supervisor must meet with the Administrator. The Evaluator may attend any such meeting at the discretion of the superintendent.
- E) The parties agree to establish a joint labor-management evaluation team which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually through the first three years of implementation and recommend adjustments to the parties.
- F) Violations of this article are subject to the grievance and arbitration procedures. The arbitrator shall determine whether there was substantial compliance with the totality of the evaluation process. When the evaluation process results in the termination or non-renewal of an Administrator, then no financial remedy or reinstatement shall issue if there was substantial compliance.