### **School Renewal Plan Cover Page**

### Renewal Plan for 5 Year Cycle: 2024/25 to 2028/29 Upcoming School Year: 2025/26

School Name:	Lexington Technology Center
SIDN:	3201995
Plan Submission:	School utilizes Cognia
Grade Span:	9 To 12
District:	Lexington 1
Address 1:	2421 Augusta Highway
Address 2:	
City:	Lexington, SC
Zip Code:	29072
School Renewal Plan Contact Person:	Bryce Myers
School Plan Contact Phone:	8038213001
School Plan E-mail Address:	bmyers@lexington1.net

### **Required Signature Page**

The school renewal plan, or annual update, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) (S.C. Code Ann. §59-139-10 *et seq.* (Supp. 2004)), the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. §59-18-1300 *et seq.* (Supp. 2004)), and SBE Regulation 43-261. The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the School Improvement Council, and the School Read to Succeed Literacy Leadership team lead are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements.

#### **Assurances for the School Renewal Plans**

The assurance pages following this page have been completed and the district superintendent s and school principal s signature below attests that the school/district complies with all applicable assurances requirements including ACT 135 assurance pages.

### **Required Printed Names and Signatures**

Superintendent		
Gerrita Postlewait Printed Name	Susita Portunait	<u>3 - 27- 25</u> Date
Principal		
Bryce Myers Printed Name	Bry Mynz Signature	<u>3-6-20</u> 25 Date
Chairperson, District Board of Ti	rustees	
Kathy Henson Printed Name	Signature	<u>4/1/25</u> Date
Chairperson, School Improvemen	nt Council	/ /
Shannon Pytel Printed Name	Signature	3/4/25 Date
School Read To Succeed Literacy	Leadership Team Lead	1
Anna Reeside Printed Name	Signature	3725 Date

## **Assurances for School Renewal Plan**

Assurances checked below, along with the signature page signed by the superintendent and school principal, attest that the school complies with all applicable regulatory and statutory requirements listed.

	dhood Development and Academic Assistance Act (Act 135) Assurances Ann §59-139-10 <i>et seq.</i> (Supp. 2004))
N/A	Academic Assistance, PreK–3 The school makes special efforts to assist children in PreK–3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).
Yes	Academic Assistance, Grades 4–12 The school makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4–12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).
Yes	Parent InvolvementThe school encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parental involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them; providing parents with their child's individual test results and an interpretation of the results; providing parents with information on the district's curriculum and assessment program; providing frequent, two way communication between home and school; providing parents an opportunity to participate on decision making groups; designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials; including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations; and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children.
Yes	Staff DevelopmentThe school provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised Standards for Staff Development.
Yes	TechnologyThe school integrates technology into professional development, curriculumdevelopment, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning.
Yes	<b>Innovation</b> The school uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students.
Yes	Collaboration The school (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system).

1

N/A	<b>Developmental Screening</b> The school ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location.
N/A	Half-Day Child Development The school provides half-day child development programs for <b>four-year-olds</b> (some districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and elementary schools. However, they may be housed at locations with other grade levels or completely separate from schools.
N/A	<b>Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK–3</b> The school ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK–3 are appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and cultural context.
N/A	<b>Parenting and Family Literacy</b> The school provides a four component program that integrates all of the following activities: interactive literacy activities between parents and their children (Interactive Literacy Activities); training for parents regarding how to be the primary teachers for their children and how to be full partners in the education of their children (parenting skills for adults, parent education); parent literacy training that leads to economic self-sufficiency (adult education); and an age-appropriate education to prepare children for success in school and life experiences (early childhood education). Family Literacy is not grade specific, but is generally most appropriate for parents of children at the primary and elementary school levels and below as well as for secondary school students who are parents. Family Literacy program goals are to strengthen parental involvement in the learning process of preschool children; to offer parents special opportunities to improve their literacy skills and education; to provide parents a chance to recover from dropping out of school; and to identify potential developmental delays in preschool children by offering developmental screening.
Yes	<b>Recruitment</b> The district makes special and intensive efforts to <b>recruit</b> and <b>give priority</b> to serving those parents or guardians of children, ages birth through five years, who are considered at-risk of school failure. "At-risk children are defined as those whose school readiness is jeopardized by any of, but not limited to, the following personal or family situation(s): parent without a high school graduation or equivalency, poverty, limited English proficiency, significant developmental delays, instability or inadequate basic capacity within the home and/or family, poor health (physical, mental, emotional) and/or child abuse and neglect.
N/A	Coordination of Act 135 Initiatives with Other Federal, State, and District Programs The district ensures as much program effectiveness as possible by developing a district-wide/school-wide coordinated effort among all programs and funding. Act 135 initiatives are coordinated with programs such as Head Start, First Steps, Title I, and programs for students with disabilities.

### **Stakeholder Involvement for School Renewal Plan**

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the School Renewal Plan. A participant for each numbered position is required.

	Position	Name				
1.	Principal	Bryce Myers				
2.	Teacher	Danielle Mize				
3.	Parent/Guardian	Shannon Pytel				
4.	Community Member	Royce Shannon				
5.	5. Paraprofessional Yadira Heming					
6.	. School Improvement Council Member Dr. Devin Henson					
7.	Read to Succeed Reading Coach	Anna Reeside				
8.	School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead	Anna Reeside				
9.	School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member Amy Cooper					
	<b>OTHERS</b> (May include school board members, district or school administrators, students, PTO members, agency representatives, university partners, Head Start representatives, First Step representatives, etc.) ** Must include the Literacy Leadership Team for Read to Succeed					

### **District Requested Strategic/Renewal Plan Waiver**

The State Board of Education has the authority to waive regulations pursuant to (*SBE Regulation 43-261*) (C) District and School Planning which states the following:

Upon request of a district board of trustees or its designee, the State Board of Education may waive any regulation that would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic plan or school renewal plan.

All waivers must be requested in writing, signed by the local superintendent, and approved by the local school board prior to being sent to State Accountability. Use the following link to obtain more information on the waiver process: <a href="http://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/state-accountability/waiver-requests/">http://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/state-accountability/waiver-requests/</a>

<b>Not Applicable</b>	
District Wavier Requested and Approved	Explain how the SBE Regulation would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic or school renewal plan.
1. Extension for initial District Strategic and School Renewal Plans ( <i>SBE Regulation 43-261</i> )	
2. Teachers teaching more than 1500 minutes ( <i>SBE Regulation 43-205</i> )	
3. Teachers teaching more than 4 preps ( <i>SBE Regulation 43-205</i> )	
4. High School Principal over two schools or grades more than 9-12 ( <i>SBE Regulation 43-205</i> )	
5. Other (Include the SBE Regulation number to be waived)	
6. Other (Include the SBE Regulation number to be waived)	



Lexington Technology Center Strategic Renewal Plan School Plan: 2024/25 - 2028/29 School Year: Update 1 - 2025/26

# Table of Contents

2023-24 Report Card Link

Needs Assessment Data

**Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings** 

Performance Goals and Action Plans

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	ACT % Meeting Benchmarks for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	25.0%		(13 / 52)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	25.0%		(13 / 52)
		Gender	Female	18.5%		(5 / 27)
			Male	32.0%		(8 / 25)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	25.5%		(13 / 51)
			Special Ed	0.0%		(0 / 1)
		Race	Black / Latinx	12.5%		(1 / 8)
			White / Other	27.3%		(12 / 44)
All	2022-	All	All	15.3%		(24 / 157)
	2023	2023 ELL	Not ELL	15.6%		(24 / 154)
			ELL	0.0%		(0 / 3)
		Gender	Female	10.7%		(8 / 75)
			Male	19.5%		(16 / 82)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	15.6%		(23 / 147)
			Special Ed	10.0%		(1 / 10)
		Race	Black / Latinx	4.0%		(1 / 25)
			White / Other	17.4%		(23 / 132)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	ACT % Meeting Benchmarks for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	63.5%		(33 / 52)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	63.5%		(33 / 52)
		Gender	Female	66.7%		(18 / 27)
			Male	60.0%		(15 / 25)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	64.7%		(33 / 51)
			Special Ed	0.0%		(0 / 1)
		Race	Black / Latinx	75.0%		(6 / 8)
			White / Other	61.4%		(27 / 44)
English	2022-	All	All	52.2%		(82 / 157)
	2023	ELL	Not ELL	53.2%		(82 / 154)
			ELL	0.0%		(0 / 3)
		Gender	Female	57.3%		(43 / 75)
			Male	47.6%		(39 / 82)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	55.1%		(81 / 147)
			Special Ed	10.0%		(1 / 10)
		Race	Black / Latinx	44.0%		(11 / 25)
			White / Other	53.8%		(71 / 132)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	ACT % Meeting Benchmarks for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	38.5%		(20 / 52)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	38.5%		(20 / 52)
		Gender	Female	25.9%		(7 / 27)
			Male	52.0%		(13 / 25)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	39.2%		(20 / 51)
			Special Ed	0.0%		(0 / 1)
		Race	Black / Latinx	25.0%		(2 / 8)
			White / Other	40.9%		(18 / 44)
Math	2022-	All	All	26.8%		(42 / 157)
	2023	ELL	Not ELL	26.6%		(41 / 154)
			ELL	33.3%		(1 / 3)
		Gender	Female	22.7%		(17 / 75)
			Male	30.5%		(25 / 82)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	27.9%		(41 / 147)
			Special Ed	10.0%		(1 / 10)
		Race	Black / Latinx	16.0%		(4 / 25)
			White / Other	28.8%		(38 / 132)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	ACT % Meeting Benchmarks for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	46.2%		(24 / 52)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	46.2%		(24 / 52)
		Gender	Female	44.4%		(12 / 27)
			Male	48.0%		(12 / 25)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	47.1%		(24 / 51)
			Special Ed	0.0%		(0 / 1)
		Race	Black / Latinx	62.5%		(5 / 8)
			White / Other	43.2%		(19 / 44)
Reading	2022-	All	All	42.0%		(66 / 157)
	2023	ELL	Not ELL	42.9%		(66 / 154)
			ELL	0.0%		(0 / 3)
		Gender	Female	42.7%		(32 / 75)
			Male	41.5%		(34 / 82)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	44.2%		(65 / 147)
			Special Ed	10.0%		(1 / 10)
		Race	Black / Latinx	12.0%		(3 / 25)
			White / Other	47.7%		(63 / 132)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	ACT % Meeting Benchmarks for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	46.2%		(24 / 52)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	46.2%		(24 / 52)
		Gender	Female	40.7%		(11 / 27)
			Male	52.0%		(13 / 25)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	47.1%		(24 / 51)
			Special Ed	0.0%		(0 / 1)
		Race	Black / Latinx	62.5%		(5 / 8)
			White / Other	43.2%		(19 / 44)
Science	2022-	All	All	29.9%		(47 / 157)
	2023	2023 ELL Gender	Not ELL	30.5%		(47 / 154)
			ELL	0.0%		(0 / 3)
			Female	26.7%		(20 / 75)
			Male	32.9%		(27 / 82)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	31.3%		(46 / 147)
			Special Ed	10.0%		(1 / 10)
		Race	Black / Latinx	8.0%		(2 / 25)
			White / Other	34.1%		(45 / 132)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students meeting or exceeding College and Career Ready Bencharks on SAT for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	76.6%		(537 / 701)
		ELL	Not ELL	77.3%		(508 / 657)
			ELL	65.9%		(29 / 44)
		Gender	Female	79.4%		(273 / 344)
			Male	73.9%		(264 / 357)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	78.3%		(528 / 674)
			Special Ed	33.3%		(9 / 27)
Evidence-		Race	Black / Latinx	61.9%		(83 / 134)
Based			White / Other	80.1%		(454 / 567)
Reading and	22-23	All	All	75.9%		(555 / 731)
Writing		ELL	Not ELL	76.6%		(526 / 687)
			ELL	65.9%		(29 / 44)
	C	Gender	Female	81.8%		(278 / 340)
			Male	70.8%		(277 / 391)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	78.5%		(547 / 697)
			Special Ed	23.5%		(8 / 34)
		Race	Black / Latinx	56.9%		(82 / 144)
			White / Other	80.6%		(473 / 587)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students meeting or exceeding College and Career Ready Bencharks on SAT for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	40.9%		(287 / 701)
		ELL	Not ELL	40.3%		(265 / 657)
			ELL	50.0%		(22 / 44)
		Gender	Female	35.7%		(123 / 345)
	In		Male	46.1%		(164 / 356)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	42.1%		(284 / 674)
			Special Ed	11.1%		(3 / 27)
		Race	Black / Latinx	24.6%		(33 / 134)
Math			White / Other	44.8%		(254 / 567)
math	22-23	All	All	49.7%		(363 / 731)
		ELL	Not ELL	49.2%		(338 / 687)
			ELL	56.8%		(25 / 44)
		Gender	Female	45.9%		(156 / 340)
			Male	52.9%		(207 / 391)
	InstrSettir	InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	51.8%		(361 / 697)
			Special Ed	5.9%		(2 / 34)
		Race	Black / Latinx	29.9%		(43 / 144)
			White / Other	54.5%		(320 / 587)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students with Silver or higher on Ready to Work for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	2023-	All	All	65.2%		(386 / 592)
	2024	ELL	Not ELL	66.6%		(361 / 542)
			ELL	50.0%		(25 / 50)
		Gender	Female	66.5%		(173 / 260)
			Male	64.2%		(213 / 332)
		InstrSetting Race	Not Special Ed	72.6%		(376 / 518)
			Special Ed	13.5%		(10 / 74)
			Black / Latinx	50.0%		(66 / 132)
Ready to			White / Other	69.6%		(320 / 460)
Work	2022-	All	All	69.6%		(446 / 641)
	2023	ELL	Not ELL	69.7%		(421 / 604)
			ELL	67.6%		(25 / 37)
		Gender	Female	65.4%		(174 / 266)
			Male	72.5%		(272 / 375)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	76.5%		(430 / 562)
			Special Ed	20.3%		(16 / 79)
		Race	Black / Latinx	54.5%		(73 / 134)
			White / Other	73.6%		(373 / 507)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	80.6%		(129 / 160)
		ELL	Not ELL	82.2%		(129 / 157)
			ELL	0.0%		(0 / 3)
		Gender	Female	83.3%		(60 / 72)
			Male	78.4%		(69 / 88)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	85.0%		(113 / 133)
			Special Ed	59.3%		(16 / 27)
Algebra 1	22-23	All	All	76.1%		(118 / 155)
/ igeora i		ELL	Not ELL	75.2%		(112 / 149)
			ELL	100.0%		(6 / 6)
		Gender	Female	80.9%		(55 / 68)
			Male	72.4%		(63 / 87)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	78.1%		(107 / 137)
			Special Ed	61.1%		(11 / 18)
		Race	Black / Latinx	78.6%		(11 / 14)
			White / Other	83.7%		(36 / 43)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	76.2%		(224 / 294)
		ELL	Not ELL	77.4%		(222 / 287)
			ELL	28.6%		(2 / 7)
		Gender	Female	80.3%		(110 / 137)
			Male	72.6%		(114 / 157)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	79.4%		(208 / 262)
			Special Ed	50.0%		(16 / 32)
Biology 1	22-23	All	All	75.5%		(234 / 310)
Diology		ELL	Not ELL	76.0%		(231 / 304)
			ELL	50.0%		(3 / 6)
		Gender	Female	77.5%		(110 / 142)
			Male	73.8%		(124 / 168)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	79.5%		(225 / 283)
			Special Ed	33.3%		(9 / 27)
		Race	Black / Latinx	53.3%		(16 / 30)
			White / Other	81.1%		(86 / 106)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	94.6%		(455 / 481)
		ELL	Not ELL	95.3%		(446 / 468)
			ELL	69.2%		(9 / 13)
		Gender	Female	97.3%		(217 / 223)
			Male	92.2%		(238 / 258)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	96.1%		(424 / 441)
			Special Ed	77.5%		(31 / 40)
English 2	22-23	All	All	91.9%		(395 / 430)
g		ELL	Not ELL	92.8%		(388 / 418)
			ELL	58.3%		(7 / 12)
		Gender	Female	94.4%		(184 / 195)
			Male	89.8%		(211 / 235)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	94.6%		(370 / 391)
			Special Ed	64.1%		(25 / 39)
		Race	Black / Latinx	87.8%		(43 / 49)
			White / Other	95.8%		(136 / 142)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students passing the EOCEP test for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	73.2%		(398 / 544)
		ELL	Not ELL	74.7%		(392 / 525)
			ELL	31.6%		(6 / 19)
		Gender	Female	74.8%		(187 / 250)
			Male	71.8%		(211 / 294)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	77.6%		(387 / 499)
			Special Ed	24.4%		(11 / 45)
US History	22-23	All	All	75.8%		(449 / 592)
obmistory		ELL	Not ELL	76.8%		(448 / 583)
			ELL	11.1%		(1 / 9)
		Gender	Female	72.8%		(177 / 243)
			Male	77.9%		(272 / 349)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	80.1%		(431 / 538)
			Special Ed	33.3%		(18 / 54)
		Race	Black / Latinx	53.6%		(30 / 56)
			White / Other	76.1%		(150 / 197)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	On Time Graduation Rate for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	91.6%		(466 / 509)
		ELL	ELL	91.7%		(11 / 12)
			Not ELL	91.5%		(455 / 497)
		Gender	Female	92.9%		(182 / 196)
			Male	90.7%		(284 / 313)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	93.7%		(433 / 462)
			Special Ed	70.2%		(33 / 47)
		Race	Black / Latinx	88.7%		(86 / 97)
GradRate			White / Other	92.2%		(380 / 412)
	22-23	All	All	98.0%		(478 / 488)
		ELL	Not ELL	98.0%		(478 / 488)
		Gender	Female	98.6%		(216 / 219)
			Male	97.4%		(262 / 269)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	99.5%		(439 / 441)
			Special Ed	83.0%		(39 / 47)
		Race	Black / Latinx	93.8%		(45 / 48)
			White / Other	98.4%		(433 / 440)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	54.5%		(1051 / 1929)
		ELL	Not ELL	54.5%		(974 / 1787)
			ELL	54.2%		(77 / 142)
		Gender	Female	63.4%		(529 / 834)
			Male	47.7%		(522 / 1095)
		InstrSetting Race	Not Special Ed	58.3%		(1024 / 1756)
			Special Ed	15.6%		(27 / 173)
			Black / Latinx	46.4%		(181 / 390)
Honors			White / Other	56.5%		(870 / 1539)
Tionory	22-23	All	All	52.5%		(1039 / 1980)
		ELL	Not ELL	52.3%		(975 / 1865)
			ELL	55.7%		(64 / 115)
		Gender	Female	61.7%		(554 / 898)
			Male	44.8%		(485 / 1082)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	56.0%		(1016 / 1815)
			Special Ed	13.9%		(23 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	41.8%		(171 / 409)
			White / Other	55.3%		(868 / 1571)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	20.4%		(381 / 1864)
		ELL	Not ELL	19.9%		(345 / 1730)
			ELL	26.9%		(36 / 134)
		Gender	Female	24.9%		(202 / 811)
			Male	17.0%		(179 / 1053)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	22.4%		(378 / 1691)
			Special Ed	1.7%		(3 / 173)
		Race	Black / Latinx	14.7%		(56 / 382)
AP/IB			White / Other	21.9%		(325 / 1482)
	22-23	All	All	18.5%		(355 / 1920)
		ELL	Not ELL	18.1%		(327 / 1810)
			ELL	25.5%		(28 / 110)
		Gender	Female	20.3%		(176 / 865)
			Male	17.0%		(179 / 1055)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	19.9%		(350 / 1755)
			Special Ed	3.0%		(5 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	12.0%		(48 / 401)
			White / Other	20.2%		(307 / 1519)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	8.6%		(160 / 1863)
		ELL	Not ELL	8.2%		(141 / 1729)
			ELL	14.2%		(19 / 134)
		Gender	Female	10.9%		(89 / 813)
			Male	6.8%		(71 / 1050)
		InstrSetting Race	Not Special Ed	9.2%		(156 / 1690)
			Special Ed	2.3%		(4 / 173)
			Black / Latinx	6.0%		(23 / 382)
Dual Credit			White / Other	9.3%		(137 / 1481)
Buur Create	22-23	All	All	8.8%		(169 / 1917)
		ELL	Not ELL	9.2%		(166 / 1808)
			ELL	2.8%		(3 / 109)
		Gender	Female	12.3%		(106 / 865)
			Male	6.0%		(63 / 1052)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	9.6%		(168 / 1752)
			Special Ed	0.6%		(1 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	6.3%		(25 / 400)
			White / Other	9.5%		(144 / 1517)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students absent 10 percent or more of their membership days for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	24.4%		(454 / 1860)
		ELL	Not ELL	24.4%		(439 / 1801)
			ELL	25.4%		(15 / 59)
		Gender	Female	26.3%		(213 / 811)
	In		Male	23.0%		(241 / 1049)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	23.4%		(395 / 1687)
			Special Ed	34.1%		(59 / 173)
		Race	Black / Latinx	28.8%		(110 / 382)
Chronic			White / Other	23.3%		(344 / 1478)
Absences	22-23	All	All	21.0%		(402 / 1916)
		ELL	Not ELL	20.8%		(387 / 1862)
			ELL	27.8%		(15 / 54)
		Gender	Female	21.8%		(188 / 864)
			Male	20.3%		(214 / 1052)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	20.4%		(357 / 1751)
			Special Ed	27.3%		(45 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	21.0%		(84 / 400)
			White / Other	21.0%		(318 / 1516)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	44.1%		(820 / 1860)
		ELL	Not ELL	43.9%		(790 / 1801)
			ELL	50.8%		(30 / 59)
		Gender	Female	37.9%		(307 / 811)
			Male	48.9%		(513 / 1049)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	43.6%		(735 / 1687)
			Special Ed	49.1%		(85 / 173)
		Race	Black / Latinx	53.6%		(185 / 345)
Referrals			White / Other	41.9%		(635 / 1515)
Referruis	22-23	All	All	40.1%		(768 / 1916)
		ELL	Not ELL	40.2%		(749 / 1862)
			ELL	35.2%		(19 / 54)
		Gender	Female	30.8%		(266 / 864)
			Male	47.7%		(502 / 1052)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	40.4%		(707 / 1751)
			Special Ed	37.0%		(61 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	47.0%		(166 / 353)
			White / Other	38.5%		(602 / 1563)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	11.9%		(221 / 1860)
		ELL	Not ELL	11.8%		(212 / 1801)
			ELL	15.3%		(9 / 59)
		Gender	Female	8.0%		(65 / 811)
			Male	14.9%		(156 / 1049)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	11.6%		(196 / 1687)
			Special Ed	14.5%		(25 / 173)
		Race	Black / Latinx	15.1%		(52 / 345)
In School			White / Other	11.2%		(169 / 1515)
Suspensions	22-23	All	All	15.2%		(291 / 1916)
		ELL	Not ELL	15.0%		(280 / 1862)
			ELL	20.4%		(11 / 54)
		Gender	Female	9.8%		(85 / 864)
			Male	19.6%		(206 / 1052)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	15.0%		(262 / 1751)
			Special Ed	17.6%		(29 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	22.7%		(80 / 353)
			White / Other	13.5%		(211 / 1563)

	Year	Group	Subgroup	%	Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Lexington Technology Center	Number of Students
	23-24	All	All	4.8%		(90 / 1860)
		ELL	Not ELL	4.8%		(86 / 1801)
			ELL	6.8%		(4 / 59)
		Gender	Female	3.1%		(25 / 811)
			Male	6.2%		(65 / 1049)
		InstrSetting Race	Not Special Ed	4.1%		(70 / 1687)
			Special Ed	11.6%		(20 / 173)
Out of			Black / Latinx	9.3%		(32 / 345)
School			White / Other	3.8%		(58 / 1515)
Suspensions	22-23	All	All	4.2%		(81 / 1916)
		ELL	Not ELL	4.2%		(79 / 1862)
			ELL	3.7%		(2 / 54)
		Gender	Female	2.2%		(19 / 864)
			Male	5.9%		(62 / 1052)
		InstrSetting	Not Special Ed	3.8%		(66 / 1751)
			Special Ed	9.1%		(15 / 165)
		Race	Black / Latinx	7.1%		(25 / 353)
			White / Other	3.6%		(56 / 1563)

## **Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings**

		2024	
Goal Area	Goal	Baseline	2025
College and Career Ready	The percent of students the graduation cohort demonstrating college and/or career readiness as reported on the state report card will reach 91.5% by 2029.	81.5%	95.2%
Gifted and Talented (Academic)	The percent of Gifted and Talented identified students in the graduation cohort demonstrating college readiness (as defined by SCDE) will reach 86.4% by 2029.	81.4%	83.7%
Gifted and Talented (Other)	Overall survey agreement index on the Lexington 1 Gifted and Talented Student Survey will increase yearly by .05 until 2029.	N/A Students ta at their hon	
School Climate	The satisfaction index on the student pulse survey will increase to TBD by 2029.	5.7	5.7
School Climate	The satisfaction index on the teacher pulse survey will increase to TBD by 2029.	4.9	4.9
Teacher and Administrator	Increase the cumulative average of teachers' composite score on the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 rubric from TBD to TBD by 2029. (Observation protocols will be reevaluated and calibrated in the 24-		
Quality	25 school year in order to obtain accurate baseline data.)	3.0	3.4

School Name: Lexington Technology Center

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, the annual needs assessment will provide focus for planning teams to set priorities for the plan. The comprehensive needs assessment must identify targeted areas of discrepancy between the desired performance levels and the current status as indicated by available data. Any discrepancies in the following areas identified by the school and district report cards must be included in the plan: (1) achievement, (2) achievement by subgroups, (3) graduation rates, (4) attendance, (5) discipline, (6) teacher/administrator quality and professional growth, and (7) other priority areas.

Measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

State Report Card for districts and schools data: http://ed.sc.gov/data/report-cards/state-report-cards/

Directions: In the appropriate boxes, use school data to identify areas in need of improvement. Required areas to be addressed: Student Achievement, Teacher/Administrator Quality, and School Climate. <u>Use the questions in each box to guide your reflection.</u>

## **Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings**

Student Achievement         Primary School         (K - 2) Student         Elementary/ Middle School         (3 - 8) Student         High School (9 - 12) Student	<ul> <li>What were areas of strength and growth related to student achievement on your school's report card last year?</li> <li>What did your mid-year review of your school's work plan indicate regarding student achievement now?</li> <li>Based on your review, what is your school's priority area of need in this area?</li> <li>Lexington Technology Center (LTC) does not have an official SCDE school report card. LTC is a part of the greater Career and Technical Education program within the district. In reviewing 2023-24 Career and Technical Education data, we determined that there was continued room for growth in two primary areas: College and Career Readiness (CCR) and systematic high quality instructional practices. While we have made progress to continue to focus on streamlining methods of recording our data as well as improving student data tracking and implementing professional learning practices for high quality instructional practices focusing on craftsmanship, complexity, and authenticity. The data from our mid-year review and district dashboard confirms that we are ahead of the progress we made last year. In order to reach our goals and conclude the academic year above our benchmark, we must continue to provide all students with additional opportunities to become CCR and continue to provide teachers the opportunity to improve in their craft.</li> </ul>
Teacher/Administrator Quality	<ul> <li>What were areas of strength and growth related to teacher/admin quality on the SCDE staff and student survey last year?</li> <li>What did your mid-year review and the staff pulse check survey data indicate regarding teacher/admin quality now?</li> <li>Based on your review, what is your school's priority area of need in this area?</li> <li>Lexington Technology Center (LTC) does not have an official SCDE school report card. LTC is a part of the greater Career and Technical Education program within the district. In the mid-year pulse check survey, 17 of 37 non-administrative certified completed the survey. Most of the questions involving teachers and instruction broke down to approximately 94% agreeing and 6% disagreeing on each question. According to the data, a majority of the staff "agree" to "strongly agree" that LTC provides challenging instructional programs for students that focus on understanding for both low achieving and academically gifted students. They "agree" to "strongly agree" that assessment data is used to set goals and plan instruction. Over the course of the school year, professional learning has focused on creating common unit plans and</li> </ul>

## **Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings**

	developing a "Week-at-a-Glance" that is aligned to the Graduate Profile. In addition, teacher-led choice learning opportunities have provided teachers with practical instructional strategies to support teachers and for planning engaging lessons. As a result, approximately 45% of the faculty have a positive response to the instructional practices at LTC. The team wonders how the remaining 55% of the faculty view the steps to improve instructional practices to meet the needs of all students and the impact to student learning. Along with encouraging all instructors to complete the climate survey, the team would like to also look at additional data points to include student achievement and passage rates to access quality instruction.
School Climate	<ul> <li>What were areas of strength and growth related to school climate on the SCDE staff and student survey last year?</li> <li>What did your mid-year review and the student/staff pulse check survey data indicate regarding school climate now?</li> <li>Based on your review, what is your school's priority area of need in this area?</li> <li>Lexington Technology Center does not have an official SCDE school report card. LTC is a part of the greater Career and Technical Education program within the district. In the mid-year pulse check survey, 17 of 39 non-administrative certified completed the survey. The questions that address school climate in the pulse survey are similar to the teacher/administrator quality in point spread and variation. For the most part, the majority of students, teachers and staff feel safe and enjoy their working conditions at LTC. The data was very similar between groups. However, under safety, there was a higher selection of strongly agreed in comparison to other questions. For instance, 12 of 17 staff selected mostly agree or strongly agree for student behavior. Staff felt safe before and after school and during the school day 82% of the time. 96% of students believe that students behave well in school. We will continue to focus on creating an environment that is mutually beneficial to teacher and student success, and we are open to input from both entities on how to improve safety conditions in the school.</li> </ul>

Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1	Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final
High	College and Career Ready	The percent of students the graduation cohort demonstrating college and/or career readiness as reported on the state report card will reach 91.5% by 2029.	81.50% Actual Data (CCR)	83.50% 95.2%%	85.50%	87.50%	89.50%	91.50%
Action Plan								
Activity		Timeline	Responsibility	Est. Cost	Funding Source	Implementation Inc	licators	
Implement the new Instructional Frame Profile		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA	NA	-Implementation Mon -Classroom Observa		
Use the curriculum a outlined in the Distri Management, Asses Instructional Techno Develop a school-ba learning plan based plan.	ssment Plans and blogy Plans. ased professional	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA	NA	assessed curriculum -Increase in question thinking on classroom	ning and critical m observation tool. ation as assessed on	
Implement revised s process that is align plan and school rer	ed to the strategic	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teacher Leaders	NA	NA	<ul> <li>Completed school</li> <li>Completed the sch</li> <li>process yearly</li> </ul>	work plans ool work plan review	
Identify student inte implement appropria needed.		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teacher	NA	NA	<ul> <li>Increase in studer or receive academi and remain on trac</li> <li>Revised staffing a match changes in i program</li> </ul>	c credit in a course k to graduate and scheduling to	

Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1	Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final
High	Teacher and Administrator Quality	Increase the cumulative average of teachers' composite score on the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 rubric from TBD to TBD by 2029. (Observation protocols will be reevaluated and calibrated in the 24-25 school year in order to obtain accurate baseline data.)	3.00 Actual Data (4.0 Rubric)	3.10 3.4	3.20	3.30	3.40	3.50
Action Plan								
Activity		Timeline	Responsibility	Est. Cost	Funding Source	Implementation Indi		
Implement the new L Instructional Framew Profile		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team	NA	NA	-Implementation Mon -Classroom Observat		
- Use the curriculum a outlined in the Distric Management, Assess Instructional Technolo - Implement practices improve student acce instructional program necessary to fully tak opportunities.	t's Curriculum sment Plans and ogy Plans. s and structures that ess to quality	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA	NA	-Closer alignment of standard and instruct -Increase in question thinking on classroon - Increase in satisfica relevant areas of the survey	ion to standard, ing and critical n observation tool. tion as assessed on	
Develop and implement that is aligned to the respective school ren	strategic plan and	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team	NA	NA	<ul> <li>Completed school v</li> <li>Updated agendas for and end of year meet</li> </ul>	or beginning, middle,	

Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1		Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final	
High	School Climate	The satisfaction index on the student pulse survey will increase to TBD by 2029.	Baseline data will be collected during the 2024-25 school year.		5.7	5.75	5.8	5.85		5.9
			Actual Data		5.7					
Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1		Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final	
High	School Climate	The satisfaction index on the teacher pulse survey will increase to TBD by 2029.	Baseline data will be collected during the 2024-25 school year.		4.9	5	5.1	5.2		5.3
			Actual Data		4.9					
Action Plan										
Activity		Timeline	Responsibility	Est. Cost		Funding Source	Implementation Ind	icators		
Implement the new Instructional Frame Profile		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team	NA		NA	-Implementation Mor -Classroom Observa			
Use the curriculum outlined in the Distr Management, Asse Instructional Techno	ssment Plans and	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA		NA	<ul> <li>Closer alignment of assessed curriculum</li> <li>Increase in question thinking on classroor</li> <li>Increase in satisfica relevant areas of the survey</li> </ul>	ing and critical n observation tool. tion as assessed on		
	pritize safety, promote navior, and support the	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA		NA	<ul> <li>Increase in satisfac relevant areas of the survey</li> <li>Increase in satisfac the school pulse surv</li> <li>Increase in satisfac the SC Teacher Work Survey</li> </ul>	SCDE climate tion as assessed by rey tion as assessed on		
Implement the distr communications an	ct's strategic d engagement plan.	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team	NA		NA	<ul> <li>Increase in satisfac relevant areas of the survey</li> <li>Increase in satisfac</li> </ul>	SCDE climate		

Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1	Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final
High		The percent of Gifted and Talented identified students in the graduation cohort demonstrating college readiness (as defined by SCDE) will reach 86.4% by 2029.	81.4% Actual Data	82.4% 83.7%	83.4%	84.4%	85.4%	86.4%
Action Plan								
Activity		Timeline	Responsibility	Est. Cost	Funding Source	Implementation Ind		
Implement the new Lu Instructional Framework Profile		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team	NA	NA	-Implementation Mon -Classroom Observa		
Use the curriculum ar outlined in the Distric Management, Assess Instructional Technolo Implement practices a improve student acce instructional program necessary to fully tak opportunities.	t's Curriculum sment Plans and ogy Plans. and structures that ses to quality ming and the support	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA	NA	assessed curriculum -Increase in question thinking on classroon	ing and critical n observation tool. ation as assessed on	
Implement district gift systems and structure preparation, identifica models to include the students may need to	es related to ation, and delivery e necessary support	July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers	NA	NA	<ul> <li>Increase in the nur work samples that r attributes of powerf experiences</li> <li>Increase in the nur who remain in G/T or graduation.</li> </ul>	meet multiple ful learning	

Level	Area	Goal	Baseline	Interim 1	Interim 2	Interim 3	Interim 4	Final
All	Gifted and Talented (Other)	Overall survey agreement index on the Lexington 1 Gifted and Talented Student Survey will increase yearly by .05 until 2029.			nts take surveys	at their homescho	ol	
			Actual Data	N/A				
Action Plan								
Activity		Timeline	Responsibility	Est. Cost	Funding Source	Implementation Indi	icators	
Implement district gifted and talented systems and structures related to preparation, identification, and delivery models to include the necessary support students may need to be successful.		July 2024-June 2029	School Leadership Team and Teachers			<ul> <li>Increase in the num samples that meet m powerful learning exp</li> <li>Increase in the num remain in G/T course graduation.</li> </ul>	ultiple attributes of periences ber of students who	