



Seneca School

School Based Plan

2024-2025

Building Data

Kindergarten Early Literacy

Letter Naming Fluency

		Total	Percent of Total	Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
	Total	47	100%	4	9%	4	9%	35	74%	4	9%	0	0%	83%
Gender	F	21	45%	1	5%	0	0%	19	90%	1	5%	0	0%	95%
	M	26	55%	3	12%	4	15%	16	62%	3	12%	0	0%	73%
Race	A	5	11%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	60%
	B	1	2%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
	H	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	100%
	I	1	2%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
	M	3	6%	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	67%
	W	32	68%	1	3%	2	6%	26	81%	3	9%	0	0%	91%
	ELL	15	32%	4	27%	1	7%	9	60%	1	7%	0	0%	67%
	SpEd	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	EcoDis	19	40%	4	21%	1	5%	13	68%	1	5%	0	0%	74%

Kindergarten Early Literacy	Word Reading Fluency
-----------------------------	----------------------

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
13	28%	5	11%	19	40%	7	15%	3	6%	62%
4	19%	4	19%	9	43%	3	14%	1	5%	62%
9	35%	1	4%	10	38%	4	15%	2	8%	62%
4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	20%
1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
2	40%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	1	20%	60%
0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	33%
5	16%	3	9%	16	50%	7	22%	1	3%	75%
10	67%	1	7%	3	20%	1	7%	0	0%	27%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
9	47%	3	16%	6	32%	1	5%	0	0%	37%

Kindergarten Early Numeracy

Number Naming Fluency

		Total	Percent of Total	Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
	Total	47	100%	5	11%	6	13%	25	53%	8	17%	3	6%	77%
Gender	F	21	45%	1	5%	3	14%	14	67%	3	14%	0	0%	81%
	M	26	55%	4	15%	3	12%	11	42%	5	19%	3	12%	73%
Race	A	5	11%	3	60%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	40%
	B	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	H	5	11%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%	1	20%	60%
	I	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	M	3	6%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	67%
	W	32	68%	2	6%	3	9%	18	56%	7	22%	2	6%	84%
	ELL	15	32%	4	27%	2	13%	9	60%	0	0%	0	0%	60%
	SpEd	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	EcoDis	19	40%	3	16%	3	16%	13	68%	0	0%	0	0%	68%

		Total	Percent of Total	Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
	Total	45	100%	10	22%	4	9%	15	33%	6	13%	10	22%	69%
Gender	F	25	56%	7	28%	1	4%	11	44%	2	8%	4	16%	68%
	M	20	44%	3	15%	3	15%	4	20%	4	20%	6	30%	70%
Race	A	3	7%	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	33%
	B	2	4%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	50%
	H	3	7%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	67%
	I	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	M	1	2%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
	W	36	80%	6	17%	3	8%	14	39%	4	11%	9	25%	75%
	ELL	9	20%	6	67%	0	0%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	33%
	SpEd	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	EcoDis	18	40%	7	39%	1	6%	6	33%	2	11%	2	11%	56%

1st grade Early Literacy

Nonsense Word Fluency

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
6	13%	9	20%	20	44%	5	11%	5	11%	67%
4	16%	7	28%	9	36%	3	12%	2	8%	56%
2	10%	2	10%	11	55%	2	10%	3	15%	80%
1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	33%
0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	50%
1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	67%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
4	11%	6	17%	19	53%	4	11%	3	8%	72%
3	33%	4	44%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	22%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
5	28%	4	22%	6	33%	2	11%	1	6%	50%

1st grade Early Numeracy

Number Comparison Fluency-Pairs

		Total	Percent of Total	Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
	Total	45	100%	7	16%	6	13%	12	27%	15	33%	5	11%	71%
Gender	F	25	56%	4	16%	4	16%	11	44%	6	24%	0	0%	68%
	M	20	44%	3	15%	2	10%	1	5%	9	45%	5	25%	75%
Race	A	3	7%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	67%
	B	2	4%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	50%
	H	3	7%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	67%
	I	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	M	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
	W	36	80%	4	11%	6	17%	9	25%	12	33%	5	14%	72%
	ELL	9	20%	3	33%	1	11%	3	33%	1	11%	1	11%	56%
	SpEd	0	0%	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
	EcoDis	18	40%	5	28%	1	6%	5	28%	4	22%	3	17%	67%

2nd grade Reading

Oral Reading Fluency

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
3	9%	5	15%	16	47%	7	21%	3	9%	76%
3	19%	3	19%	8	50%	0	0%	2	13%	63%
0	0%	2	11%	8	44%	7	39%	1	6%	89%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
2	33%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	67%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
1	4%	5	20%	12	48%	5	20%	2	8%	76%
1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	67%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
1	9%	2	18%	6	55%	2	18%	0	0%	73%

2nd grade Math

Concepts and Application

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
4	12%	5	15%	12	35%	6	18%	7	21%	74%
3	19%	2	13%	9	56%	0	0%	2	13%	69%
1	6%	3	17%	3	17%	6	33%	5	28%	78%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	50%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
3	12%	3	12%	8	32%	4	16%	7	28%	76%
1	17%	2	33%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	50%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
2	18%	1	9%	6	55%	2	18%	0	0%	73%

		Total	Percent of Total	Average Score	2 or More Levels Below	2 or More Levels Below %	1 Level Below	1 Level Below %	On Level	Above Level	On or Above Level %	22-23 BOY On or Above Level %	21-22 BOY On or Above Level %
	Total	34	14%	430	1	3%	15	44%	7	11	53%	51%	31%
Gender	F	16	7%	422	1	6%	10	63%	1	4	31%	48%	28%
	M	18	7%	438	0	0%	5	28%	6	7	72%	55%	35%
	X	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
Race	A	1	0%	426	0	0%	1	100%	0	0	0%	100%	29%
	B	1	0%	414	0	0%	1	100%	0	0	0%	25%	0%
	H	6	2%	413	1	17%	4	67%	1	0	17%	0%	20%
	I	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
	M	1	0%	439	0	0%	0	0%	1	0	100%	50%	0%
	W	25	10%	435	0	0%	9	36%	5	11	64%	58%	41%
	ELL	6	2%	408	1	17%	5	83%	0	0	0%	20%	19%
	SpEd	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
	EcoDis	11	5%	419	1	9%	7	64%	3	0	27%	10%	17%

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
8	19%	4	9%	19	44%	9	21%	3	7%	72%
4	18%	2	9%	12	55%	3	14%	1	5%	73%
4	19%	2	10%	7	33%	6	29%	2	10%	71%
1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0%
2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	25%
1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	50%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	100%
4	12%	3	9%	16	47%	8	24%	3	9%	79%
5	71%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	29%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
7	54%	2	15%	2	15%	1	8%	1	8%	31%

Well Below Average	Well Below Average %	Below Average	Below Average %	Average	Average %	Above Average	Above Average %	Well Above Average	Well Above Average %	Total Proficient %
5	12%	4	9%	17	40%	9	21%	8	19%	79%
2	9%	4	18%	8	36%	5	23%	3	14%	73%
3	14%	0	0%	9	43%	4	19%	5	24%	86%
0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	25%
0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	50%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	100%
4	12%	1	3%	12	35%	9	26%	8	24%	85%
3	43%	1	14%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	43%
0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0%
3	23%	2	15%	5	38%	2	15%	1	8%	62%

		Total	Percent of Total	Average Score	2 or More Levels Below	2 or More Levels Below %	1 Level Below	1 Level Below %	On Level	Above Level	On or Above Level %	22-23 BOY On or Above Level %	21-22 BOY On or Above Level %
	Total	43	16%	446	4	9%	21	49%	11	7	42%	55%	61%
Gender	F	22	8%	441	2	9%	11	50%	6	3	41%	48%	58%
	M	21	8%	451	2	10%	10	48%	5	4	43%	63%	65%
	X	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
Race	A	1	0%	443	0	0%	1	100%	0	0	0%	25%	50%
	B	4	2%	435	0	0%	4	100%	0	0	0%	67%	50%
	H	2	1%	423	1	50%	1	50%	0	0	0%	33%	50%
	I	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	-	-
	M	2	1%	443	0	0%	2	100%	0	0	0%	50%	100%
	W	34	13%	448	3	9%	13	38%	11	7	53%	64%	63%
	ELL	7	3%	412	4	57%	3	43%	0	0	0%	29%	60%
	SpEd	0	0%	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	0%	0%
	EcoDis	14	5%	430	3	21%	8	57%	3	0	21%	52%	53%

Building Based Goals

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Kindergarten - Literacy

- By June 2025, 85% of Kindergarten students will be able to fluently identify all 26 uppercase and lowercase letters
- By June 2025, 80% of Kindergarten students will be able to fluently read high frequency words (CKLA tricky words)

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
GOAL 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. Integration of early school readiness skill with a letter a day. Multi-sensory play-based experiences to letter names. Utilize RTI teacher/TOSA/TA/Kinder TA to collaboratively plan intervention instruction. Utilize LN AIMSWeb probes to target instruction. Utilize Heggerty instruction, letter inventories assessments to drive instruction. Use small groups to target specific LN Consistency in assessment administration/scoring Implementation of CKLA phonics program with fidelity Continuous repetition and recall of LN throughout the duration of the year. LN drills through bursts that encourage errorless learning GOAL 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. Targeted drills in high frequency words through bursts that encourage errorless learning Earlier exposure and conversation around high frequency words 	AIMSWeb Teacher Probes Letter Inventory IST and Team Meetings	Classroom Teacher	Goal 1: By February 2025, 70% of Kindergarten students will be proficient for AIMSWeb benchmark letter name fluency.	Goal 1: By June 2025, 85% of Kindergarten students will be proficient for AIMSWeb benchmark letter name fluency.
		Intervention Teacher Intervention TA	Goal 2: By February 2025, 70% of Kindergarten students will be proficient for AIMSWeb benchmark word reading fluency (high frequency words/CKLA tricky words)	Goal 2: By June 2025, 80% of Kindergarten students will be proficient for AIMSWeb benchmark word reading fluency (high frequency words/CKLA tricky words)
			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Small group instruction (Student centered learning experiences) that tailor goals to individual students ▪ Building familiarity and fluency with high frequency words 				
--	--	--	--	--

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Kindergarten - Numeracy

- By June 2025, 85% of Kindergartener students will be proficient in number naming fluency.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Early exposure to numbers 1-20 ▪ Multi-sensory play-based experiences with numbers 1-20 ▪ Bursts with Teachers/Ta’s that encourage errorless learning ▪ Utilize RTI teacher/TOSA/TA/ENL Teacher/Kinder TA to collaboratively plan intervention instruction. ▪ Consistent repetition and recall of numbers 1-20 throughout the year ▪ Small group differentiated instruction 	AimsWeb Teacher probes Ready Math assessments Ready math online quizzes	Classroom Teacher Kindergarten TA Intervention Teacher Intervention TA	Goal: By February 2025, 70% of Kindergarten students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus number naming fluency. Updated Progress:	Goal: By June 2025, 85% of Kindergarten students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus number naming fluency. Updated Progress:

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Grade 1 – Literacy

- By June 2025, 85% of 1st grade students will be able to fluently read high frequency words (CKLA tricky words)
- By June 2025, 85% of 1st grade students will be fluent in nonsense word reading
 - By June 2025, Arabic students will increase their nonsense word fluency by 20% of their BOY benchmark score

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<p>GOAL 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Targeted drills in high frequency words through bursts ▪ Consistent application of high frequency words when writing ▪ Small group instruction (Student centered learning experiences) ▪ Building familiarity and fluency with high frequency words ▪ Application of high frequency words through learning games and independent practice <p>GOAL 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Targeted drills in NWF ▪ Word building with manipulating letters and sounds through RTI ▪ Small group instruction (Student centered learning experiences) ▪ Explaining the purpose of NWF (Prepare students for learning) increase ▪ Building familiarity and fluency with determining nonsense or real word ▪ Utilize ENL Teacher/TA to collaboratively plan intervention instruction 	<p>AIMSWeb</p> <p>Teacher probes</p>	<p>Classroom Teacher</p> <p>Intervention Teacher</p> <p>Intervention TA</p>	<p>Goal 1: By February 2025, 70% of 1st grade students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus word reading fluency (high frequency words/CKLA tricky words).</p> <p>Goal 2: By February 2025, 70% of 1st grade students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus nonsense word reading fluency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By February 2025, Arabic students will increase their nonsense word fluency by 10% of their BOY benchmark score. 	<p>Goal 1: By June 2025, 85% of 1st grade students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus word reading fluency (high frequency words/CKLA tricky words).</p> <p>Goal 2: By June 2025, 85% of 1st grade students will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus nonsense word reading fluency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By June 2025, Arabic students will increase their nonsense word fluency by 10% of their MOY benchmark score.
			<p>Updated Progress:</p>	<p>Updated Progress:</p>

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Grade 1 – Numeracy

- By June 2025, 85% of 1st graders will be proficient in number comparison fluency pairs

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
Goal 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Early exposure to numbers 20-99 ▪ Multi-sensory play-based experiences with numbers 20-99 ▪ Bursts with Teachers/Tas ▪ Utilize RTI teacher/TOSA/TA/ENL Teacher/Kinder TA to collaboratively plan intervention instruction. ▪ Consistent repetition and recall of numbers 20-99 throughout the year ▪ Practice with place value to help identify decade numbers ▪ Practice with number discrimination using a number line 	Growth Monitoring Assessments	Classroom teacher Intervention teacher	Goal: By February 2025, 70% of 1st graders will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus number comparison fluency pairs.	Goal: By June2025, 85% of 1st graders will be proficient in AIMSWeb plus number comparison fluency pairs.
			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Grade 2 – Literacy

- By June 2025, students in all subgroups will increase their oral reading fluency with 90% meeting benchmark by EOY.
 - ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in oral reading fluency with 50% of students meeting benchmark by MOY and 65% of students meeting benchmark by EOY.
 - EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in oral reading fluency with 60% of students meeting benchmark by MOY and 75% of students meeting benchmark by EOY.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Examine best practices in NWF and early literacy instruction. ▪ Direct instruction and repetition around high frequency words (tricky words) to build WRF. ▪ Utilize CKLA tricky word progress monitoring as applicable ▪ Instructional team will provide NWF bursts as applicable ▪ Use AIMSweb Plus progress monitoring to guide instruction ▪ Leverage intervention planning, IST/PST to monitor specific student growth ▪ Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction ▪ Adjust instruction as needed 	AIMSWeb Plus benchmarks and progress monitoring CKLA tricky word progress monitoring, as applicable	Classroom Teacher Intervention Teacher/TA	80% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the MOY ORF benchmark 50% of ELL students will meet or exceed the MOY ORF benchmark 60% of EcoDis students will meet or exceed the MOY ORF benchmark	90% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the EOY ORF benchmark 65% of ELL students will meet or exceed the EOY ORF benchmark 75% of EcoDis students will meet or exceed the EOY ORF benchmark
				Updated Progress:

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Grade 2 – Numeracy

- By June 2025, ELL students will demonstrate proficiency on Concepts and Application with 65% of students meeting benchmark at MOY and 75% of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
- Amongst all subgroups, students will increase their iReady Diagnostic level with 80% of students on or above level by EOY.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
Goal 1 & 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Continue to implement Ready Math program ▪ Explicit math vocabulary instruction ▪ Utilize math discourse to strengthen understanding ▪ Utilize the three read strategy to better understand the question and determine problem solving next steps ▪ Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction ▪ Leverage intervention planning, IST/PST to monitor specific student growth ▪ Adjust instruction as needed 	Concepts and Application benchmarks IReady growth monitoring	Classroom teacher Intervention teacher/TA ELL teacher/TA	Goal 1: 65% of ELL students will meet or exceed the MOY CA benchmark Goal 2: 60% of students will meet or exceed their expected growth on iReady by MOY	Goal 1: 75% of ELL students will meet or exceed the EOY CA benchmark Goal 2: 80% of students will meet or exceed their expected growth on iReady by EOY
				Updated Progress:

--

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings - Supervision)

Goal(s): Grade 3– Literacy

- By June 2025, students in all subgroups will increase their oral reading fluency with 90% meeting benchmark by EOY.
 - EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in oral reading fluency with 80% of students meeting benchmark by MOY and 90% of students meeting benchmark by EOY
- By June 2025, students in all subgroups will increase their vocabulary knowledge with 90% meeting benchmark by EOY.
 - ELL students will demonstrate proficiency in vocabulary with 45% of students meeting benchmark by MOY and 60% of students meeting benchmark by EOY.
 - EcoDis students will demonstrate proficiency in vocabulary with 65% of students meeting benchmark by MOY and 75% of students meeting benchmark by EOY.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<p>GOAL 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Provide ample time and opportunities to explore their interests through independent reading ▪ Student lead fluency progress monitoring and goal setting ▪ Continue implementation of CKLA and Humanities ▪ Differentiated phonemic awareness and phonics instruction through small group, RTI, intervention groups and TA bursts as applicable ▪ Use AIMSweb Plus progress monitoring to guide instruction ▪ Leverage intervention planning, IST/PST to monitor specific student growth ▪ Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction ▪ Adjust instruction as needed <p>GOAL 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. 	<p>AIMSWeb Plus benchmarks and progress monitoring</p>	<p>Classroom Teacher</p> <p>Intervention Teacher/TA</p> <p>ELL teacher/TA</p>	<p>Goal 1: 80% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the MOY ORF benchmark</p> <p>80% of EcoDis students will meet or exceed the MOY ORF benchmark</p> <p>Goal 2: 80% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the MOY vocabulary benchmark</p> <p>45% of ELL students will meet or exceed the MOY vocabulary benchmark</p> <p>65% of ELL students will meet or exceed the MOY vocabulary benchmark</p>	<p>Goal 1: 90% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the EOY ORF benchmark</p> <p>90% of EcoDis students will meet or exceed the EOY ORF benchmark</p> <p>Goal 2: 90% of the students in all subgroups will meet or exceed the EOY vocabulary benchmark</p> <p>60% of ELL students will meet or exceed the EOY vocabulary benchmark</p> <p>75% of ELL students will meet or exceed the EOY vocabulary benchmark</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize vocabulary PowerPoints targeting specific CKLA stories ▪ -Application of content vocabulary through discourse ▪ -Exposure to vocabulary through read alouds (during Humanities and CKLA) ▪ -Use AIMSweb Plus progress monitoring to guide instruction ▪ -Leverage intervention planning, IST/PST to monitor specific student growth ▪ -Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction ▪ -Adjust instruction as needed 			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:
---	--	--	-------------------	-------------------

Focus Area: Instruction & Curriculum (School Based Planning Teams – Grade Level/Department Meetings – Supervision)

- Goal(s): Grade 3 – Numeracy**
- By June 2025, amongst all subgroups, students will increase their proficiency on the Concepts and Applications benchmark with 90% of students on or above level by EOY.
 - ELL students will demonstrate proficiency on Concepts and Application with 60% of students meeting benchmark at MOY and 75% of students meeting benchmark at EOY.
 - Amongst all subgroups, students will increase their iReady Diagnostic level with 75% of students on or above level by EOY.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilize Data Wise protocol to analyze data and plan next steps of instruction, implement and assess. ▪ Continue to implement Ready Math program ▪ Explicit math vocabulary instruction ▪ Utilize math discourse to strengthen understanding ▪ Utilize the three read strategy to better understand the question and determine problem solving next steps ▪ Collaborate with ENL teacher/TA, intervention teacher/TA, and building administration to plan intervention instruction 	Concepts and Application benchmarks IReady growth monitoring	Classroom teacher Intervention teacher/TA ELL teacher/TA	Goal 1: 80% of all students will meet or exceed the MOY concepts and applications benchmark 60% of ELL students will meet or exceed the MOY concepts and applications benchmark Goal 2: 65% of all students will meet or exceed their	Goal 1: 90% of all students will meet or exceed the EOY concepts and applications benchmark 75% of ELL students will meet or exceed the EOY concepts and applications benchmark

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leverage intervention planning, IST/PST to monitor specific student growth Adjust instruction as needed 			expected growth by the MOY diagnostic	Goal 2: 75% of all students will meet or exceed their expected growth by the EOY diagnostic
			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:

Focus Area: Implementation Systems (SEL, Behavior, Attendance, etc.)				
Goal(s):				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> By June 2025, 100% of classroom teachers will implement Caring School Community curriculum weekly and with fidelity. 				
Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement lessons, starting from first few weeks of school. Utilize online components to supplement lessons Grade 3 SEL survey implementation for students in Grade 3 (year 2) 		Principal SES team Lead Teacher	Goal: Morning Meetings	Goal:
			Updated Progress:	Updated Progress:

Focus Area: Well Being of Self and Community (SEL/Restorative Practices) (Faculty/Department - Supervision)

Goal(s):

- **Goal(s):** By June 2025, all service providers, classroom teachers and ELL providers will be familiar and use Panorama as a system to track attendance for our ELL students.
- In 23-24, our English Language Learners missed 41% of school, overall at the k6 level.

Action Plan <i>Include targeted instructional practice to examine</i>	Plan to Assess		Mid-Year Goal & Progress	End of the Year Goal & Progress
	How will it be monitored?	Who is responsible?		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SES staff was trained in Panorama in 23-24; classroom teachers and ELL teachers will be trained during our October Superintendent’s Conference Day ▪ Teacher Teams will utilize Panorama in IST and PST meetings to guide conversations ▪ ELL teachers, in tandem with SES team members and other key team members will complete home visits to support student attendance ▪ Staff will continue to send home communication in both English and native language for all families 	Monthly attendance reports	Principal ELL team	Goal: ELL student attendance will increase by 25% by February 2025.	Goal: ELL student attendance will increase by 50% by June 2025.
	Panorama	Service Providers Classroom teachers Lead Teacher	Updated Progress:	Updated Progress: