# Midland Independent School District Bunche Elementary

2024-2025 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



# **Mission Statement**

The mission of Bunche Elementary is to educate the future by maximizing the potential of every Bunche Bear.

# Vision

ALL Bears will grow through collaborative team practices producing:

LEARNERS who use risk-taking and self- reflection behaviors

THINKERS who are innovative and strategic problem solvers

POSITIVE MEMBERS of the COMMUNITY who build relationships through empathy and kindness

# Value Statement

Bunche's Compelling Purpose is to empower others to initiate transformation toward our vision by working in unity.

Collaborative team efforts

Best teaching practice implementation

Risk taking, reflection seeking, and problem solving

Open mindsets

Productive struggle

Communication and feedback

Highlight success

We will create a culture of learning, practice, and growth that ultimately leads to the success of ALL Bears!

# **Table of Contents**

Goals	5
Goal 1: Board Goal: 1 The percentage of 3rd grade students who score meets grade level or above on the Reading Language Arts STAAR assessment will increase from 4 55% by 2028.	_
Goal 2: Board Goal: 2 The percentage of 3rd grade students who score MEET GRADE LEVEL PERFORMANCE or above on the Math STAAR assessment will increase 38% to 50% by 2028.	e from 13
Goal 3: Board Goal :3 The percentage of 4th -English II students who MEET OR EXCEED their Reading Language Arts STAAR Annual Growth will increase from 55% 71% by 2028.	to 19
Goal 4: Board Goal: 4 The percentage of 4th -Algebra 1 students who MEET or EXCEED their Math STAAR Annual Growth will increase from 61% to 71% by 2028. Goal 5: Through the Student Experience we will foster safe and innovative learning spaces where students engage in rigorous and relevant experiences, preparing them for	
meaningful opportunities post graduation.  Goal 6: Through Growing & Developing Staff we will build retention and recruitment practices to promote professional growth that yields and rewards high-impact staff, improving student outcomes.	21
Goal 7: Through Engaging & Acting we will engage the entire Midland community through clear and actionable communication that cultivates trust and partnership.	35

# Goals

#### Goal 1: Board Goal: 1

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score meets grade level or above on the Reading Language Arts STAAR assessment will increase from 41% to 55% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 1:** GPM 1.1: The percentage of Pre-K students on track to develop understanding on the CLI Engage phonological awareness assessment will increase from 86% to 89% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: CLI** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The administrators and MCLs will lead PLCs in lesson development, internalization, and rehearsals using the		Formative		Summative
instructional frameworks.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 5				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Teachers will use the Magnetic Reading Foundation daily to develop phonemic awareness.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students scores will increase in phonemic awareness.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, Administrators	1,0,	100	1.17.	
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
1 1 total Statements. Demographies 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 3				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Students will be pulled in small groups based on the CLI Results. Teachers will work with each group on		Formative		Summative
phonemic awareness skills as needed.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students' phonemic awareness will increase.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, Administrators  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers:  Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

**Problem Statement 2**: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 1: Board Goal: 1

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score meets grade level or above on the Reading Language Arts STAAR assessment will increase from 41% to 55% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 2:** GPM 1.2: The percentage of kindergarten through 2nd grade students who meet or exceed their individual growth goals in reading, as measured by NWEA MAP, will increase from 49% to 55% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The teachers will help students their own data and progress and set academic goals through data folders.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teacher will pull out small groups based on data to provide student individualized instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> The administrators and MCLs will lead PLCs in lesson development, internalization, and rehearsals using the instructional frameworks.	Nov	Formative Feb	<b>A</b>	Summative June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will understand on how to deliver effective classroom reading instruction; therefore, enhancing student reading performance.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, Administrators  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	1107	reb	Apr	June
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will internalize and deliver the Amplify reading curriculum as Tier 1 instruction and supplement with		Formative		Summative
Magnetic Reading Foundational Skills as the Tier 2 resource.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided effective classroom instruction that enhances their reading skills.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 1: Board Goal: 1

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score meets grade level or above on the Reading Language Arts STAAR assessment will increase from 41% to 55% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 3:** GPM 1.3: The percentage of kindergarten through 2nd grade students who are reading on or above grade level, as measured by mCLASS, will increase from 56% to 70% by 2025.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 1:</b> The teachers will help students their own data and progress and set academic goals through data folders .		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teacher will pull out small groups based on data to provide student individualized instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				1
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> The administrators and MCLs will lead PLCs in lesson development, internalization, and rehearsals using the instructional frameworks.		Formative	T	Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will understand on how to deliver effective classroom reading instruction; therefore, enhancing student reading performance.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will internalize and deliver the Amplify reading curriculum as Tier 1 instruction and supplement with		Formative		Summative
Magnetic Reading Foundational Skills as the Tier 2 resource.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided effective classroom instruction that enhances their reading skills.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	

# **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 1: Board Goal: 1

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score meets grade level or above on the Reading Language Arts STAAR assessment will increase from 41% to 55% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 4:** GPM 1.4: The percentage of 3rd grade students whose STAAR proficiency is Meets and Masters, as measured by the winter NWEA MAP reading

assessment, will increase from 35% to 50% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: The teachers will help students their own data and progress and set academic goals through data folders.		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teacher will pull out small groups based on data to provide student individualized instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The administrators and MCLs will lead PLCs in lesson development, internalization, and rehearsals using the		Formative		Summative
instructional frameworks.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will understand on how to deliver effective classroom reading	1107	reb	Apı	June
instruction; therefore, enhancing student reading performance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will internalize and deliver the Amplify reading curriculum as Tier 1 instruction and supplement with		Formative		Summative
IREADY concept checks and application lessons.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided effective classroom instruction that enhances their reading skills.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

# **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

# Goal 2: Board Goal: 2

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score MEET GRADE LEVEL PERFORMANCE or above on the Math STAAR assessment will increase from 38% to 50% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 1:** GPM 2.1: The percentage of Pre-K students on track to develop understanding on the CLI Engage math assessment will increase from 92% to 94% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: CLI** 

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The teachers will follow district PreK Math Scope and Sequence daily.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase their math understanding and master Math objectives.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
	†			1
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: Teachers will pull small group based on data to provide student individualized instruction.		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
	Nov		Apr	Summative June

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Teachers will participate in the PLC to increase their understanding on effective delivery of math instruction		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>and unpack the district's math resource Stemscopes.</li> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective delivery of Math instruction resulting in enhancing students' Math performance.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators.</li> </ul>	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 2: Board Goal: 2

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score MEET GRADE LEVEL PERFORMANCE or above on the Math STAAR assessment will increase from 38% to 50% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 2:** GPM 2.2: The percentage of kindergarten through 2nd grade students who meet or exceed their individual growth goals in math, as measured by NWEA MAP, will increase from 57% to 62% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will follow the district's Math Scope and Sequence to ensure students are being taught Math TEKS		Formative		Summative
through StemScopes.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase their Math NWEA MAP goals.			_	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	<u> </u>
Strategy 2: Teachers will pull out small groups based on data to provide individualized Math instruction.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students gaps will close and enhance their math skills.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators			1	
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
I A. III-l. O1it- Instructional Metanisla and Assessments I 5. Effective Instruction				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teachers will attend PLC to plan/be coached on effective classroom math instruction.	Formative S			Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teachers will increase their understanding on planning and delivering effective Math classroom instruction which will increase student Math performance.	Nov Feb Apr			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

## **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

**Problem Statement 2**: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

**Problem Statement 4**: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. **Root Cause**: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 2: Board Goal: 2

The percentage of 3rd grade students who score MEET GRADE LEVEL PERFORMANCE or above on the Math STAAR assessment will increase from 38% to 50% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 3:** GPM 2.3: The percentage of 3rd grade students whose STAAR projected proficiency is Meets and Masters, as measured by the winter NWEA MAP math assessment, will increase from 34% to 50% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 1:</b> High-impact FEV tutoring for all students will be provided for at least 45 minutes daily.	adents will be provided for at least 45 minutes daily. Formative		Summative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will increase their understanding for Math concepts and skills and continue to advance their Math performance level.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will analyze data for Math MAP Growth, StemScopes, iReady to pull out small group and provided		Formative		Summative
individualized targeted Math instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students' will expand their understanding on Math concepts and more students will perform at the meets and masters level.	NOV	reb	Арі	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: The teachers will plan, internalize, and rehearse their math lessons using the Stemscopes scope and sequence			Summative	
and district-created instructional frameworks.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Teachers will enhance their understanding on how to teach Math conceptually which will increase students' Math understanding and performance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

# **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

#### Goal 3: Board Goal :3

The percentage of 4th -English II students who MEET OR EXCEED their Reading Language Arts STAAR Annual Growth will increase from 55% to 71% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 1:** GPM 3.1: The percentage of 4th grade students who meet or exceed their Reading annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 41% to 53% by 2025.

GPM 3.2: The percentage of 5th grade students who meet or exceed their Reading annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 40% to 53% by 2025.

GPM 3.3: The percentage of 6th grade students who meet or exceed their Reading annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 42% to 58% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will analyze student Reading assessments performance in NWEA, weekly assessments, and iReady		Summative		
and provide student individualized small group intervention to enhance their Reading skills and performance.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will close gaps and perform at a higher performance level in Reading MAP Growth.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers MCL, and Administrators.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 4.1 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 5				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 2: Teachers will provide differentiated weekly instruction through StemScopes and iReady Reading.	g. Formative		nd iReady Reading. Formative Summa	Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Academic gaps in individual student needs will be addressed.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 5						
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews		Reviews			
Strategy 3:	Formative			Summative		
Teachers will attend PLC to craft their Reading classroom instruction on how to plan and differentiate for high-performing students.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will know how to plan and differentiate for high performing students	Nov	Feb	Apr	June		
so they can increase their level of academic performance in Reading.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators						
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 5						

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

# **Student Learning**

#### Goal 4: Board Goal: 4

The percentage of 4th -Algebra 1 students who MEET or EXCEED their Math STAAR Annual Growth will increase from 61% to 71% by 2028.

**Performance Objective 1:** GPM 4.1: The percentage of 4th grade students who meet or exceed their Math annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 33% to 53% by 2025.

GPM 4.2: The percentage of 5th grade students who meet or exceed their Math annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 23% to 43% by 2025.

GPM 4.3: The percentage of 6th grade students who meet or exceed their Math annual growth goals on the NWEA MAP assessment will increase from 38% to 53% by 2025.

**Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will analyze data from NWEA, CFUs, and iReady Math and pull out groups and provide	Formative			Summative
individualized math instruction to close gaps and increase students' math skills and performance.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will enhance their understanding for Math concepts and skills. This will result in increasing NWEA MAP scores.		Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

## **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories.Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories.Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

**Problem Statement 3**: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

**Goal 5:** Through the Student Experience we will foster safe and innovative learning spaces where students engage in rigorous and relevant experiences, preparing them for meaningful opportunities post graduation.

**Performance Objective 1:** Strengthen instructional practices to improve student academic performance across all grades, cultivating a culture of continuous improvement.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Accountability Ratings

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Amplify, a highly qualified instructional material (HQIM), will be utilized grades K-6th to provide to enhance			Summative		
students' reading skills.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will increase their understanding and performance in Reading.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL, and Administrators  Title I:	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Teachers will participate in PLC where Amplify (ELAR) and StemScopes (Math) framework and YAG will be implemented with fidelity.	pe Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have an explicit structure and guide on delivering the ELAR and Math TEKS. This will enhance students' academic performance in ELAR and Math.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCL. Math Strategist, and Administrators  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5					

Strategy 3 Details Reviews		riews	ews		
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Students Kinder-6th Grade will receive Tier 1 instruction and participate in one-to-one small group intervention			Summative		
and tutoring weekly.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students' ELAR and Math scores will increase.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, Math Strategist, and Administrators  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers:  Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

**Goal 5:** Through the Student Experience we will foster safe and innovative learning spaces where students engage in rigorous and relevant experiences, preparing them for meaningful opportunities post graduation.

**Performance Objective 2:** 100% of PLCs will use student data effectively to inform instructional decisions and personalize learning experiences. PLCs will analyze assessment data, identify student needs and learning gaps, and develop targeted interventions and enrichment activities to support student growth and achievement.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** PLCs will demonstrate the ability to analyze assessment data, identify student needs and learning gaps, and develop targeted interventions and enrichment activities that are tailored to individual student needs. This personalized approach to instruction will support student growth and achievement, leading to improved academic outcomes and increased student engagement and success.

PLC data scorecards will show improvement. Student Achievement.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Based on data results, students will be provided individualized differentiated classroom instruction.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This is will improve teacher delivery of classroom instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, Math Strategist, and Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Based on data results for AMPLIFY, StemScopes, i Ready, CFU, students will be grouped in one-to-one small		Formative		Summative	
group differentiated instruction, be provided intervention, and tutoring.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will gain understanding of ELAR and Math concepts which will increase students' academic performance.			<u> </u>		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, Math Strategist, and Administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5					
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Teachers will participate in PLC for effective planning, enhance classroom instruction, monitor goals, and		Formative		Summative	
reflect on self-growth.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students scores will increase in ELAR and Math.	1101	100	P-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, MCLs, Math Strategist, and Administrators					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 2, 3 - Student Learning 1, 2, 3, 4, 5					

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

## **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: Current data reveals that only 20.51% of students are receiving necessary academic interventions. **Root Cause**: Staff does not have a process to analyze data and identify students who need targeted assistance.

**Problem Statement 3**: Data shows that 65.09% of Bunche students are at Risk (retention, bilingual, homeless, CPS, low academic progress, incarcerated, failing grades, failing STAAR, DAEP). **Root Cause**: Students meet one or more criteria for at Risk students set by the state of Texas.

#### **Student Learning**

Problem Statement 1: 3rd Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories.Problem Statement 2: 4th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories.Root Cause: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

**Problem Statement 3**: 5th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease in all reporting categories. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 4: 6th Grade Math STAAR Scores continue to decrease at the Master's level. Root Cause: Lack of planning for high achievers

Problem Statement 5: 3rd Grade TELPAS Composite scores for Intermediate, Advanced, and Adv. High have decreased Root Cause: Lack of structure and processes for

teaching and supporting Bilingual students.

**Goal 5:** Through the Student Experience we will foster safe and innovative learning spaces where students engage in rigorous and relevant experiences, preparing them for meaningful opportunities post graduation.

**Performance Objective 3:** Research, plan and implement new school safety infrastructure, facility updates and transportation operations that maximize instructional time.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Students will experience a safer and more conducive learning environment, with increased opportunities for uninterrupted instruction and academic engagement.

**Goal 5:** Through the Student Experience we will foster safe and innovative learning spaces where students engage in rigorous and relevant experiences, preparing them for meaningful opportunities post graduation.

**Performance Objective 4:** 100% of the district's safety policies will be implemented.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Safety drills and Audits.

Strategy 1 Details			Reviews				
Strategy 1: The campus will conduct weekly audits, monthly drills, and the Centegix program.				Formative Su			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators		Nov Feb		Apr	June		
No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discontinue				

**Goal 6:** Through Growing & Developing Staff we will build retention and recruitment practices to promote professional growth that yields and rewards high-impact staff, improving student outcomes.

Performance Objective 1: Recruit & onboard highly-qualified staff that effectively serve all students and the broader community.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Vacancy reports, District Accountability.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: PLCs will be designated for teachers to plan, internalize, and rehearse lessons using TLAC coding and		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administrators  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		•	
Strategy 2: Ensure teachers and support staff are equipped with instructional support and materials by visiting and		Formative Summative		
observing classrooms.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Strategically place teachers on MCL coaching assignments to ensure all staff are supported and equipped to		Summative		
provide targeted Tier 1 instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever				
4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 6: Through Growing & Developing Staff we will build retention and recruitment practices to promote professional growth that yields and rewards high-impact staff, improving student outcomes.

**Performance Objective 2:** Develop and implement talent pipelines and professional learning for all staff with systems of support that cultivate continuous learning, staff recognition and incentives, enhance job satisfaction, promote staff retention, and foster a culture of growth, well-being, work-life balance and career progression.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Pipeline data, and retention reports.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: PLCs will be designated for teachers to plan, internalize, and rehearse lessons using TLAC coding and	Formative			Summative
strategies.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administrators  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: Ensure teachers and support staff are equipped with instructional support and materials by visiting and		Summative		
observing classrooms.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators  Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Strategically place teachers on MCL coaching assignments to ensure all staff are supported and equipped to		Summative		
provide targeted Tier 1 instruction.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever				
4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 7: Through Engaging & Acting we will engage the entire Midland community through clear and actionable communication that cultivates trust and partnership.

**Performance Objective 1:** Promote proactive and transparent data sharing and improve communication practices, ensuring clear follow-up and promoting a culture of openness and accountability.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Committing to timely responses and transparent reporting of feedback outcomes holds the district accountable for addressing stakeholder concerns and implementing suggested improvements. This accountability reinforces trust in the district's leadership and ensures that actions are taken to address identified needs.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1:	Formative			Summative
The campus faculty will communicate with parents weekly regarding the classroom instructional focus, school-wide reminders, and important events via ClassDojo and newsletter.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved school culture and learning environment.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Administrators				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Bunche Elementary will send campus procedures, guidelines, and updates each month.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved school culture and learning environment.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and Office Staff  ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 7: Through Engaging & Acting we will engage the entire Midland community through clear and actionable communication that cultivates trust and partnership.

**Performance Objective 2:** Amplify the narrative and impact of communication regarding performance and expectations, empowering stakeholders to effectively understand, engage with, and contribute to the shared story of success.

Evaluation Data Sources: DEIC Meeting, K-12 Insight Survey, ESSA Survey, ESSER Survey

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will share student data with families two days before the testing window closes, and they will share	Formative			Summative
student progress during parent-teacher conferences.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: The campus will utilize social media, such as twitter, Facebook, and the website to highlight improvements and	Formative			Summative
academic growth.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, media specialist				
Title I:				
4.1				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: The campus will communicate systems, processes, and procedures that maximize student learning and teacher	Formative			Summative
effectiveness through messaging on Finalsite and holding face-to-face parent meetings.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
7.1, 7.2				
No Progress 100% Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	45		•
No Progress Continue/Modify	Discon	unue		