

ADDENDUM NO. 1

(Issued November 1, 2024)

Request for Qualifications and Proposals

Material Testing and Special Inspection Services
Roosevelt Middle School Modernization Project

The following changes, additions, modifications and corrections hereinafter set forth shall apply to the proposal documents for the project and shall be made a part thereof and subject to all the requirements thereof, as if originally specified and/or shown;

Question #1

The DSA tracker shows that this project is listed as a Class 1 inspector.

Not being able to see the drawings and reading the description of the scope of work, I was wondering if the project inspection could be performed by a Class 2 inspector as well.

District Response #1

A Class 1 Project Inspector is required for the Project.

Question #2

Is there a geotechnical report you can upload for us to review?

District Response #2

Geotechnical Report has been added to the Project's Shared Folder:

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/OAEQ7xzwAWZotUk9PVA>

Access will be granted upon request.

Question #3

Is there a union or PLA requirement on this project?

District Response #3

The District has a PLA but it has not been applied to Material Testing and Special Inspection services.

Question #4

The RFQ/P limits pages to 15, our schedule of fees is 6 pages. Can we include the fee schedule in the appendix vs. section 2.4, and not have it be included in the 15 limit page count?

District Response #4

Response to Section 2.4 may reference that Fee Schedule has been placed in the Appendix.

Question #5

Section 3 of the RFQ/P requests a letter from our insurance company but does not indicate where this letter should be included within the submittal. Should this be included in the appendix section?

District Response #5

The letter from the insurance company can be included in the Appendix. Submittal of an ACORD Certificate is acceptable.

Question #6

The RFP references the DSA 291: Laboratory of Record Verified Report for structural testing/special inspections, however it does not include reference to DSA Form 293: Responsible Geotechnical Engineer. Would you like us to include GEOR and soils testing in our response, that is listed on the DSA 103?

District Response #6

The District has already retained a Geotechnical Consultant for the Project.

Question #7

Section 6 Insurance. The policy limits for General Liability, Auto Liability, Workers Compensation and Errors and Omission were not provided. Can you please provide the policy limits required for Section 6?

District Response #7

Insurance limits can be found in Section 3 of the RFQ/P.

Question #8

Section 5 Payment. It does not provide the time frame District will pay Consultant. Can this be provided?

District Response #8

The District does not provide time frame for payments but strives to provide prompt payments.

Question #9

Section 11 Indemnity. Is it possible to include California Civil Code 2782.2 language in this section? It's the industry standard for design professional services agreements. For example, "To the greatest extent allowed by law (including California Civil Code 2782.8), Consultant shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the District, its Board of Trustees, officers, agents, and employees from any and all claims damages, losses, causes of action and demands, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs, incurred in connection with or in any manner arising out of Consultant's performance of or failure

District Response #9

For the purposes of the RFQ/P submittal, the District will not make modifications to the indemnity requirements.

**RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM (AS WELL AS PREVIOUSLY ISSUED ADDENDA) MUST BE
ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE PROPOSAL.**