LOS LUNAS SCHOOLS BOARD OF EDUCATION
REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

A. CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Osowski called the Regular Meeting of the Los Lunas Schools Board of Education to order at 6:00 pm. She welcomed those who were participating in the board meeting in person and those who were watching the board meeting via livestreaming on YouTube. She asked that anyone addressing the Board use the microphone at the podium, and to be sure to identify themselves for the record. She noted that the microphone was very sensitive and asked them to use caution.

Dr. Osowski added that, as a reminder, the link to the meeting was on the District's webpage, under the Board of Education page. She stated that the streaming would run through the end of the meeting. In the event there was a disruption in the audio portion, she would pause the meeting until it was reestablished.

LLS Board Members Present: Dr. Michelle Osowski, Board President
Bruce Bennett, Board Vice President
Justin Talley, Board Secretary
P. David Vickers, Member
Sonya C'Moya, Member

Administrators Present: Susan D. Chavez Acting Superintendent
Brian Baca, Deputy Superintendent
Andrew Saiz, Assistant Superintendent
Sandy Traczyk, Chief Finance Officer
Jessica Montaño, Assistant Superintendent
Miranda Baca, Dir. of Finance
Desi Garcia, Dir. of Safety & Security
Tiffany McMinn, Dir. of Facilities
Mary McGowan, Dir. of Special Education
Clair Toledo, Principal, Century High School
Dr. Nimrah Marquez, Principal, Los Lunas Middle School
Teri Gough, Principal, Katherine Gallegos Elementary
Amy Viramontes, Asst. Principal, Los Lunas High School

A. CALL TO ORDER (Continued)

Others Present:

Felina Martinez, Valencia County News Bulletin
James Viatier, LLS District Rep, POMs
Chris Gillespie, Village of Bosque Farms
John Gabaldon, LL Fire Department
Denise Vigil, VC Sherriff
Cruz Munoz, Village of Los Lunas Councilman
Approximately twenty-five (25) additional individuals were in attendance.
A range of 30-42 individuals watched via live streaming.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Acting Superintendent, Susan Chavez, was asked to lead the audience in the Pledge.

2. ASCERTAIN QUORUM

- **Dr. Michelle Osowski, Board President**
- **Bruce Bennett, Vice President**
- **Justin Talley, Board Secretary**
- **P. David Vickers, Board Member**
- **Sonya C'Moya, Board Member**

Dr. Osowski asked the Executive Assistant to call for a roll call of the board members. It was noted that all five board members were in attendance.

B. ANNOUNCEMENT OF MEETING

Dr. Osowski asked Acting Superintendent Ms. Chavez to announce the meeting.

Ms. Chavez reported the meeting had been announced in accordance with the NM Open Meetings Act and LLS Open Meetings Resolution, and was a legally constituted meeting of the Los Lunas Schools Board of Education.

C. EXECUTIVE: APPROVAL OF PRIORITIZED AGENDA

Dr. Osowski announced that took them to Item #C. EXECUTIVE: APPROVAL OF PRIORITIZED AGENDA. She asked Ms. Chavez if there were any changes or deletions to the agenda. Ms. Chavez asked that there was one amendment to Item G. She asked that it be modified to B-0900 and the date changed to 8/20/24.

Dr. Osowski called for a motion.

Mr. Vickers moved to approve the agenda as amended with the exception of Item G.

Dr. Osowski led a brief discussion with Mr. Vickers to confirm he wanted to remove the amended Item G from the agenda. He affirmed that was the case, then noted that he felt it would be more appropriate to discuss it in a workshop initially.

Dr. Osowski called for a second.

Mr. Talley seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers - yes; Mr. Bennett - yes; Mr. Talley – yes; Ms. C'Moya – yes; and Dr. Osowski - yes. The motion to approve the prioritized agenda, as amended, including the removal of Item G, passed 5:0.

D. ACTING SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS' REPORTS: Susan D. Chavez, Acting Superintendent ***(Discussion/Informational)***

1. Student Representative Report: Julianna Ortega, Century High School

Julianna thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak to them about Century High School. She then gave the following report.

Julianna stated that they had many fun activities planned, as well as, after school programs. On September 4^{th}, they had their Open House, which included classroom tours, door prizes, s'mores and a senior celebration bonfire. At the Open House, students and families were able to learn about, and sign-up for, after school electives and tutoring.

The after-school electives consisted of: It's Only Fabric and Thread, Innovators of the Century, Capture the Moment, Pumping Iron like a Centurion, Mythology, Community Mural Art and Centurion Music. The after-school credit recovery consisted of Imagine Learning and Algebra 1 Support Lab.

This year they had some new classes, including the second level for Project Lead the Way and Entrepreneurship, a new service learning class, and a class working on virtual job training in welding and caterpillar heavy equipment. Also, they had started an official Student Council.

Julianna stated that whenever a student finished an Edgenuity Class, they hung a paper crane, made by the Student Council. They were having a competition - the class with the most Edgenuity courses finished will receive a pizza party.

Another exciting thing happening at Century was having Apple iPads for the Entrepreneurship Class. Last year, they had three iPads that the entire class had to share, so students were not able to work individually; this year they had a class set so they could all do individual projects.

The Service-Learning Class was working to open a food pantry and thrift store for their students. It would help students with clothing, hygiene, and food needs. They were working with the community to get donations for the store and had recently met with LLHS students for ideas.

D. ACTING SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS' REPORTS: Susan D. Chavez, Acting Superintendent ***(Discussion/Informational)*** **(Continued)**

1. Student Representative Report: Julianna Ortega, Century High School

Julianna announced that other events coming up were Senior Sunrise on September 27th and their 2nd annual Craft Fair on December 7th . The craft fair was open for community members to buy and sell products. Their Entrepreneurship Class was planning to have their own booth to sell products; each student was given the opportunity to use their creativity to make something to sell.

As they could tell, there were a lot of exciting things happening at CHS and they were off to a good start.

The board members individually commended Julianna on her poise in addressing the group, their service-learning projects, and her career path. Discussion ensued regarding the food pantry, thrift store, craft fair, the date of their Senior Sunrise, and her choice of colleges.

2. Budget/Finance Report: Sandy Traczyk, Chief Finance Officer

Ms. Traczyk reported that the budget authority was going into the OBMS so they could start using the funds. She discussed the process of the initial budget and how they were adjusted as needed whether increases or decreases as needed. She provided information on the spreadsheet on the screen that depicted fund numbers, amounts spent and encumbered, an dhow those numbers would change throughout the fiscal year. She noted that some funds, such as transportation, would be in the negative, then supplemented at the end of the years, and reminded the Board that a large portion went towards salaries.

Discussion ensued at the end of Ms. Traczyk's report during which information was provided on items that affected individual funds and portions of the finances including GRADs, percentage of the budget being salaries, Title IV, ESSERS, and Medicaid.

D. ACTING SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS' REPORTS: Susan D. Chavez, Acting Superintendent (Discussion/Informational) (Continued)

3. Audit Committee Report: Sandy Traczyk, Chief Finance Officer

Ms. Traczyk stated that they continued to hold monthly meetings. The discussion in the audit committee was confidential, but they continued to provide the information as requested and the audit was progressing on time.

4. Construction Update: Tiffany McMinn, Dir. of Maintenance & Construction

Mrs. McMinn provided information on some of the many construction projects that were going on throughout the District Construction including the following:

- Construction In Progress
  - LLHS Stadium Phase 1
  - Sundance Roof Coating
  - Fire Alarm System Maintenance
  - BFE Pre-K Canopy

- Upcoming Projects
  - Security Fencing
    - Valencia Elementary
    - Los Lunas Middle School Security fencing south of the gym

- Ongoing / recently completed District Projects
  - Valencia Elementary Cafeteria Flooring was updated that past weekend
  - Ann Parish Elementary canopy
  - Striping at LLHS and VHS parking lot replicated for football field for marching band practice
  - Update on Peralta Elementary Projects

5. Other Comments

Ms. Chavez reported that they were settling into the fall semester. There were several events coming up including: community appreciation nights at which admission to announced athletic events were free and homecoming festivities at LLHS and VHS were the weekend of October 4th.

D. ACTING SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS' REPORTS: Susan D. Chavez, Acting Superintendent (Discussion/Informational) (Continued)

5. Other Comments (Continued)

Ms. Chavez thanked the District's incredible staff who worked hard to educate our students and kept everyone safe. She had visited multiple sites and had attended many competitions and activities.

They continued to address issues and concerns as they arose, and asked the board members to let her know if issues or concerns were brought to them, so she and her staff could address them.

Ms. Chavez was thanked for her report. Dr. Osowski stated that the items in the Superintendent's Report were for informational purposes only, and no action was needed or warranted.

E. BOARD COMMENDATIONS

Dr Osowski stated that she has attended the Special Meeting of the Valencia County Commission at which they certified the results of District's special election. She thanked the Commissioners for holding the Special Meeting to certify the results, as well as, the County Clerk's Officer for their efforts. Dr. Osowski stated that she was working with some community members and were gathering homecoming attire to distribute to the three high schools.

F. PUBLIC COMMENT ***(In Accordance with Board Policy B-2150 Public Address to the Board)***

Dr. Osowski asked Ms. Wolfe and Ms. Chavez if any individuals had asked to address the Board. Ms. Chavez stated that three individuals had asked to address the Board.

F. PUBLIC COMMENT ***(In Accordance with Board Policy B-2150 Public Address to the Board)*** **(Continued)**

- Benjamin Waite, community and parent, addressed the Board regarding the District's SROs. He had attended the work sessions where the issues was discussed, and understood the need to ensure proper policies and procedures were in place. He was thankful for the extensive training they undertook, felt the schools were safer with the presence of armed SROs at the sites. The vehicles were another tool they used, and allowed them to respond quicker in the event of an emergency. Furthermore, having a police car in the neighborhoods helped deter issues.

- Sam Colombo, manager of the 24-7 campaign, discussed the need to ensure policies were in place that reflected updated legislation and offered her services to analyze and update the District's current policy. She said she would be happy to leave information regarding the campaign and provide sample policy language.

- James Viatier, the District's Risk Management Representative with POMs, spoke extensively regarding the updated rules and regulations regarding armed SROs, as well as, the difference of our SROs being commissioned, and their training, as well as, the insurance piece associated with having SROs who were commissioned. He noted that he was out of time.

  Dr. Osowski invited him to stay for the discussion that would be coming later in the meeting.

G. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING POSSIBLE REVISION TO BOE POLICY E-900: Board Organization Meeting (Tabled at 8/27/24)

(This item was removed from the agenda under Item C. Approval of Agenda – kw)

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs

Dr. Osowski asked for a motion.

Mr. Bennett moved, and Dr. Osowski seconded, to table Item F. until a future meeting.

Dr. Osowski asked if there was any discussion before she called for a vote. Extensive discussion ensued, with input from the audience regarding take home vehicles

Mr. Bennet explained his reasons was the five budget meetings they'd had that included reductions in multiple departments, which included reduction in take-home vehicles, and filling empty positions. He wanted to table it so they could look at all of those things. He had full faith in their SROs but wanted them and the District protected. Mr. Bennett added that he wanted to get the policies and procedures updated. The budget was a living document and they needed to live with it until the next budget.

Mr. Talley agreed that the budget was a living document and felt it could very well be a savings exercise by passing it, noting the time taken to switch vehicles and dressing, and felt the past policy was not significantly different than the current one. Before they tabled it, he thought they should have discussion regarding it, and felt it would beneficial both fiscally and security-wise to pass it that evening and allow them to take home their vehicles.

Dr. Osowski thanked everyone for the Public Comment. She said that there seemed to be a perception in the community that this Board did not back the blue, which nothing could be further from the truth. They still had, in lieu of having a policy in place, and they should try to finalize that by the October meeting, they still had SROs that were carrying weapons and conducting their business. The only thing that seemed to be that they were delaying and postponing was the use of their take home vehicles.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

Ms. C'Moya stated that in that work session, then interjected that she was not a sworn-in member at that time - she was a community member, she'd heard a dollar amount that was minimal compared to our total budget - of what the take-home vehicles cost the District.

She did believe that their vehicles were a tool of their job, just like their weapons, just like their vests and cameras. Her understanding was there were only a few who actually lived outside of Valencia County. She liked the idea that when they get there, they were ready to go, and that they didn't have to stop and change their clothes.

Anyone that owned a weapon, knew that any time you take it off and put it down, there were chances of things happening – that was more of a concern for her including where they were changing, if they were changing in public schools, lockers, etc. She liked the idea of them getting dressed at their home, getting in their vehicle and getting on their way to work. She thought that it was an extension of their vehicle.

Ms. C'Moya stated that they owed it to those employees in our District, who were SROs and protecting our staff, to quit delaying that one piece of it, so that they knew they were supporting them. The purpose of writing the policy was to protect the financial interests of the community, but also to protect them from lawsuits as well. It had nothing to do with their vehicle. At this point in time – they were still covered in their vehicle at this time, as was any employee of Los Lunas was as well.

Dr. Osowski stated that she had a couple comments that she would like to add. First of all, she said thank you to their guest speaker for providing the updated information.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

Extensive discussion took place between Dr. Osowski and the Viatier, POMS Representative regarding the verbiage and application of 6.12.12 6.12.12.9; the confusion of the some of the verbiage, holes in the rule, liability, training.

Dr. Osowski said she echoed what Mrs. C'Moya said, there was not one Board Member that was sitting up there, that had talked about cutting that program. That myth needed to go away. They had not talked about a reduction in force. That myth needed to go away. They had not talked in any way, shape or form and they did it through the budget, did they talk about in any way reducing the magnitude of what they believed they brought to our schools. They knew their value and they had complemented their value, and raised salary to the value. So, please understand that and that should be very clear after this particular meeting. However, the silence in code put our personnel at risk. They had a body of lawyers, they had contacted the policy writer, they had contacted the Cuddy law firm and asked for guidance. A policy leveled the playing ground for all of their employees' safety and their community.

Further discussion ensued between Dr. Osowski and Mr. Viatier regarding the rule, its level of guidance including security requirements, scope of training, how vehicles were utilized, job description, training, conflicting language in the former MOUs, as well as whether they could be called out to participate and other events that are not District related events, jurisdiction, and confirmation that there would insurance coverage if something bad happened.

Dr. Osowski stated that she felt that they might want to put the brakes on using a vehicle until they had a better understanding of how they would be used, and had a policy

Mr. Talley stated that it was not a policy. It was a procedure. He wanted to make sure that they were not confusing the two. Because before, our SROs were taking home vehicles and there was another policy and procedure, albeit maybe self-contradictory. But there was procedure.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

He added that he thought they had policy under security that covered the SROs. The procedure might need to be typed up, which he thought they definitely needed to do. But he did think that there was a policy already just as there was policy before they'd adopted the NMSBA policies.

Dr. Osowski stated they had a former policy regarding security and one on school resource officers and firearms, but it did not specifically mention the roles, responsibilities, guardrails if you will, etc., for our school resource officers. They also had confusion regarding the use of when and how district vehicles could be used. So again, they had inherited a mess, and they were doing their best to fix it. Part of her rationale for tabling it until October 8th was for all the reasons she'd just mentioned, she did not want to leave any of their personnel uncovered without a comprehensive policy.

Dr. Osowski stated that the thing about changing the uniforms had her a bit puzzled. She said she had two sons in uniform, not in the police, but in the military. They were required to come to the worksite and change - one being in an officer's uniform at the rank of major. She'd asked them what was the time it took to change into uniforms? And they have said mama, it was pretty simple. They put on their trousers and reported in their polo, and then when they when go to their particular base and worksite, they put on the rest of their official uniform. She was not abiding that whole thing that they needed time to change, and that it took a huge amount of time. She appreciated donning and doffing. As she said, she had two boys in armed service, so she had talked with them and had talked with a neighbor, who was not with Los Lunas. She did not want him to be asked the question. So, some of the things that had been brought as a legitimate argument for using a vehicle to her, were small potatoes in comparison to the protection for our people. But that was her opinion.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

Extensive discussion ensued, with input from audience members regarding the uniform situation, including that they if they showed up a site or were driving and were wearing some sort of security, law enforcement shirt, it identified them as a law enforcement officer, and also identified them as a target. They had get to their site, and that was when they had to do a complete change of clothing, and put on all of the other equipment. He did not know how many pounds of equipment they carried with them, but their weapons need to be locked up somewhere. He did not know where they were locked up right now but they needed to be locked up somewhere. They could not be taking those in the personal vehicle.

Ms. C'Moya stated that one of the things is, the take-home vehicle was a piece of it. It was not the whole thing. The concern for her was… The concern was them, whether it was a personal car or not, she did believe they were a target. There were readily recognized by the student or individuals in the community who would give harm to them. She believed that the vehicle was an extension of that uniform, and the vehicle was just one piece of the policy that they did need to tighten up, including the MOUs, and their bring used to chase felons outside of the campus.

Mrs. C'Moya added that she really did think that SROs and the MOUs were designed to protect our students. They were an extension of the school community. And that was it. But she thought that the car portion was just a piece of their uniform. They would tighten it up and she was fully committed to partner with this to make sure that they did that. But the idea of the only thing different, still doing their job, and they're still doing everything in that handbook, because of policy, they were still doing that. The only thing they had done was take the car away. They had talked about the financial aspects of it. They all agreed that the financial aspect was not significant. They would tighten the policy up, and working with them so that it made sense, because she was not a law enforcement aficionado.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

Mr. Bennett stated that there was a policy not stated in the absence of a policy, it became the decision basically of the superintendent. He clarified for Mr. Talley's they would need to vote on it but did not have to push it forward at that time, because Ms. Chavez could make that decision.

A brief discussion ensued during the motion on the table, and that vote would need to be done first. After that, they could determine if they needed another motion, depending on that vote,

Mr. Baca stated that he had sat there and listened to their discussion. One of the things that was brought up was about transparency. They had been talking about the cost, originally, it was the $18000. He said it should be noted that was in a work session, not a full policy recommendation.

He said he agreed with Mr. Talley the budget, depending upon involvement of others, was always changing. They'd had a number of changes since it was approved, so having to wait until next March or April, that was not correct. I will tell you that right now. He noted that they there were other things not in the budget. He believed that a couple of departments claimed mileage for their work in the District. My question would be, was that true? In fact, what departments claimed mileage? And if so, was that in the budget? He was going to venture to say that it was more than the $18000 that was reported. He was talking about technology. Was there a budget for that? Again, it was hard to say. Because those were dependent upon travel as well and he wanted to address that.

The other thing was, the question regarding you know, the policies, and what distinguishes Los Lunas from other parties, and it was a law enforcement agency, they were recognized by DPS. He thought the designation that these guys had was special deputy. And, special deputy, that meant that these are police officers. They are police officers, that are paid by the district, and still have all the certifications, invite, responsibilities, and adherence to the code.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs (Continued)

Mr. Baca said he wanted to make it very clear that when we say that it is about a budget issue, and they were talking about take-home vehicles, Dr. Osowski mentioned earlier that the people in uniform… the military was not regulated by the Fair Labor Standards Act. They could not compare the two groups of people in uniform - there was a difference. There was a difference as far as Fair Labor Standard Act and anything after eight hours was time and 1/2. So the argument about any type of uniform and then being paid and not paid was not a valid comparison.

Mr. Baca stated that when they were talking about the safety and the things that they had been dealt, especially over the last week, the ability to respond to school fast, self-harm, the fact about it was that not being allowed to take home school vehicles, it made it very difficult for them to do their primary job of protecting our school. And protecting our students and our families. He said he would recommend and encourage us to think about supporting the take-home vehicle. Because he believe that it was an essential part of our security plan for our district.

Mr. Baca said he also recognized that there were considerations that needed to be done, his primary responsibility was with the schools and with the safety of our students. He said he was be happy to do that. But he did want to make that distinction that they were different. Because they were a law enforcement agency. They were the second in the state. And many times, as was said, when districts are trying to start this program they were sent to us. They had more authority than APS, because they were only commissioned through APS. Our people were cross-commissioned throughout the county. He urged them to consider that. And also, as a community member, as a father, and also as a state representative, he was committed to closing those loopholes. It may be protecting policy, not just for the sake of our SROs but for the sake of our students and communities.

H. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING TAKE HOME VEHICLES FOR SROs

Mr. Vickers stated there was a motion on the table. They were arguing policy not the tabling of the item. He felt they needed to vote on it. If the motion passed it would be discussed later, and if it failed, the a different motion could be made. He called for a point of order for the vote to be called.

Dr. Osowski asked if there was any discussion.

There being no further discussion, Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers - no; Mr. Bennett - yes; Mr. Talley – no; Ms. C'Moya – no; and Dr. Osowski - yes . The motion failed.

Dr. Osowski asked if there were other motions.

Mr. Talley moved, and Ms. C'Moya seconded, to approve the reinstatement of take-home vehicles assigned to school resources officers limited to district-related activities until policy and procedures were updated.

Discussion ensued, with input from audience members, regarding the issues raised with the responsibilities of the SROs under their commissions, after which, clarification of the motion occurred that simplified the motion to be that it was for the approval of SROs being allowed to take home the District-owned vehicles assigned to them. The motion was restated.

Mr. Talley moved, and Ms. C'Moya seconded to approve the reinstatement of LLS SROs being allowed to take the District-owned vehicles assigned to them home. There being no further discussion, Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers - yes; Mr. Bennett - yes; Mr. Talley – yes; Ms. C'Moya – yes; and Dr. Osowski - yes. The

motion passed. 5/0

A request was made for a short break.

BREAK

The Board went on Break at 8:03 pm.

REGULAR SESSION

The meeting was called back into Regular Session at 8:08 pm.

Dr. Osowski stated that before they moved on to item I, she wanted to put some final caveats on what they just approved by allowing take-home vehicles.

They were without a policy, and, they had already clarified that they were unsure where their holes and their gaps were. The other thing that she left very uncomfortable with was who was in charge of who. So she wanted to refer to board policy C 2200, administration in the absence of policy. She said she would like it to be very clear. *The superintendent should have authority, with proper legal constraints, implement action if a situation should develop that is not covered by established board policy*. It was the Superintendent's duty to inform the Board of any such actions and of the need to develop an official policy if it was warranted.

Dr. Osowski told Mrs. Chavez that she (Dr. Osowski) was charging her with the responsibility of developing a very strong procedure and guideline. Until the policy was adopted, it was under her direction and under her authority. She was the Acting Superintendent of this District. She was to make the decisions for those particular cases until the Board designed the policy.

Ms. Chavez said she understood.

I. APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEMS

(Discussion/Action) (* Indicates Items for Consideration by Consent. There will be no discussion of these items unless a Board member so requests, in which event the item may be placed on the regular agenda.)

Dr. Osowski asked for a motion.

Mr. Vickers moved, and Mr. Talley seconded, to approve all of the action items on the Consent Agenda with the following exception: Item K. APPROVAL OF SCHOOL STUDENT BEHAVIOR HANDBOOK 24- 26 SY

Dr. Osowski asked if there was any discussion.

There being no discussion, Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers - yes; Mr. Bennett - yes; Mr. Talley –yes ; Ms. C'Moya - yes; and Dr. Osowski - yes . The motion passed: 5/0

J. APPROVAL OF MINUTES*

1. **August 20, 2024 Regular Board Meeting (Approved by Consent)**
2. **September 10, 2024 Special Board Meeting (Approved by Consent)**

K. APPROVE THE LLS STUDENT BEHAVIOR HANDBOOK 2024-26 SY

Dr. Osowski asked for a motion.

Mr. Talley moved, and Mr. Bennett seconded, to APPROVE THE LLS STUDENT BEHAVIOR HANDBOOK 2024-26 SY as submitted.

Dr. Osowski asked if there was any discussion.

The board members individually thanked Mr. Garcia and all who were involved in the review and revision process of the handbook.

<u>There being no further discussion, Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers - yes; Mr. Bennett - yes; Mr. Talley – yes ; Ms. C'Moya - yes; and Dr. Osowski - yes . The motion passed 5/0.</u>

L. FINANCE COMMITTEE ITEMS (Meeting Held September 10, 2024) *

1. **Approval of Reports for August 2024**
   a. **Monthly Check Summary** **(Approved by Consent)**
   b. **Cash on Hand** **(Approved by Consent)**
   c. **Investments on Hand** **(Approved by Consent)**
   d. **Monthly Budget Reports** **(Approved by Consent)**
   e. **Revenue** **(Approved by Consent)**

2. **Approval of Budget Adjustment Requests (BARs)**
   a. **25184 Title VI Increase** **(Approved by Consent)**
   b. **27183 New Mexico Grown Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Increase** **(Approved by Consent)**
   c. **11000 Operational SEG Increase** **(Approved by Consent)**
   d. **28120 NM Highway Dept. Decrease** **(Approved by Consent)**
   e. **28120 NM Highway Dept. Increase** **(Approved by Consent)**
   f. **24194 CLSD Increase** **(Approved by Consent)**
   g. **24101 Title I Transfer** **(Approved by Consent)**
   h. **28189 GRADS Transfer** **(Approved by Consent)**
   i. **25153 Medicaid Transfer** **(Approved by Consent)**

3. **Approval of Budget Adjustment Request (Not Requiring Public Education Department (PED) Approval)**
   a. **24101 Title I Maintenance BAR** **(Approved by Consent)**
   b. **24101 Title I Maintenance BAR** **(Approved by Consent)**

4. **Approval of Deletion of Fixed Assets** **(Approved by Consent)**

5. **Approval of MOU Between LLS and Valencia County Trailblazers Team for Transition from IDEA Part C Services to IDEA Part B Services for a 4-Year Term FY25-FY28** **(Approved by Consent)**

6. **Approval of LLS Resolution #24-008: J. McKinney, Serenity360 LLC dba CandiFreeze**

(Approved by Consent)

L. FINANCE COMMITTEE ITEMS (Meeting Held September 10, 2024) *

7. **Approval of MOUs Between LLS with Early Child Care Providers**
    a. **Auntie Nikki's Daycare** **(Approved by Consent)**
    b. **Building Dreams Child Development Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    c. **Happy Hearts Child Development Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    d. **Kids Korner Preschool** **(Approved by Consent)**
    e. **La Vida Felicidad Inc.** **(Approved by Consent)**
    f. **Little Learners Child Development Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    g. **Peralta's Playhouse Child Care Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    h. **Peralta's Playhouse 2 Child Care Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    i. **Safe Site Child Development, Inc.** **(Approved by Consent)**
    b. **Sow N Seed Child Care Center** **(Approved by Consent)**
    c. **Sunshine Kids Preschool** **(Approved by Consent)**
    d. **Teresitas Learning Center 2 LLC** **(Approved by Consent)**

8. **Approval of State of NM Health Care Authority GSA No.25-630-8000-0125 for The Medicaid School Based Services Program for Los Lunas Schools FY25 - FY28** **(Approved by Consent)**

M. NEW BUSINESS: Board Member Requests for Topics for Upcoming Board Work Sessions and/or Board Meetings

Multiple dates and topics were suggested including the following:

- BoE Policy - Mr. Vickers requested it be moved to the top of the discussion at the next work session since it had been on multiple agendas and they never got to it – October work session
- Continue work on policy review – October work session – Section B
- Policy regarding SROs – October work session
- Work session with Dr. Sam from UNM-VC and Work Force Solution Programs – discussion of skills for student success post high school - January of February of 2025

- Athletic Handbook – November work session
- Status of RGE – Supt's Report

N. ANNOUNCEMENT OF MEETINGS

Dr. Osowski stated that took them to Item G. ANNOUNCEMENT OF MEETINGS. She added that, as allowed by the NM Open Meetings Act and the District's Open Meetings Resolution, on occasion, a quorum of the board members attended the same function, including those held at school sites, sports functions, trainings, as well as, community events. The board members agreed they would refrain from discussing and/or acting on LLS Board of Education issues in those settings. In addition, only official meetings were published, and, if other meetings were scheduled, they would be announced in accordance with the Open Meetings Act.

With that being said, Dr. Osowski announce the following meetings:

- October 8, 2024 Finance Committee Meeting CO Conference Room 3:00 pm
- October 8, 2024 Audit Committee Meeting CO Conference Room 4:00 pm
  (Attendance by Audit Committee Members only)
- October 8, 2024 Board Work Session CO Board Room 5:00 – 7:00 pm
  Discussion Topics: proposed changes to Board Policy B 0900; development of a BoE Policy for School Resource Officers; and suggested changes to BoE Policy Section B. School Board Governance and Operations
  (Quorum in Attendance - Discussion Only)
- October 9, 2024 NMSBA Region IV Fall Meeting Moriarty, NM 5:30 – 8:30 pm
  Association Meeting and Training - Quorum may be in Attendance)
- October 15, 2024 Regular Board Meeting CO Board Room 6:00 pm

O. ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Osowski stated that led them to the adjournment of the meeting, and would stand for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Talley moved, and Mr. Bennett seconded to adjourn the meeting.

Dr. Osowski stated that they had a motion and a second to adjourn the meeting.

Dr. Osowski called for a vote with the following results: Mr. Vickers – yes; Mr. Bennett -

<u>yes; Mr. Talley – yes; Ms. C'Moya – yes; and Dr. Osowski – yes. The motion to adjourn the meeting passed: 5/0.</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 8:28 pm.

Approved this 15th day of October 2024.

Dr. Michelle Osowski, Board President

Justin Talley, Board Secretary

ksw