BOARD OF EDUCATION REPORT N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-4.5(d)

On behalf of the	Cherry Hill Twp Board of Education	Board of E	ducation, the Evaluation
	SFA Name		
Committee accepted	d the Food Service Management Con	npany (FSMC)	proposal from
_	Aramark		
	FSMC Name		
There were6	companies that requested the RF	P and4	responded.
Insert Number		Insert Numl	ber

Evaluation Committee Member Name		Position	
1.	LS	Asst. Superintendent - Business	
2.	JB	Principal	
3.	JP	Principal	
4.	RM	Principal	
5.	BKA	School Nurse	

Provide a narrative for choosing the successful FSMC that includes the following required information per N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-4.5(d):

- (1) List the names of all FSMCs who submitted proposals.
- (2) Summarize the proposals of each FSMC.
- (3) Rank FSMCs in order of evaluation.
- (4) Provide reasons why the winning FSMC was selected over the others.
- (5) Detail the terms, conditions, scope of services, fees, and other matters to be included in the contract.
- (1) The following companies submitted proposals in response to the District's RFP for FSMC:

Nutri Serv Food Managment Pomptonian Food Service

Whitsons Culinary Group

2) Aramark provided a comprehensive program for food service management. They included 193 days for staff to provide for training and program set up, and adequate management personnel. Their price per meal was \$1.20 for breakfast and \$1.45 for lunch. The flat management fee is \$225,000. The return on investment is \$268,179.

NutriServ provided a fairly comprehensive program for food service management. They included only two people on the management team, which is not sufficient for a District with 18 schools to serve. Their price per meal was \$1.00 for breakfast and \$1.37 for lunch. Their hourly staff is scheduled for 182 days. The flat management fee is \$249,000. The return on investment is \$297,092.

Pomptonian provided a fairly comprehensive program for food service management. Their proposal included the most spent on food, but the least price per meal for breakfast of \$.90. They had the highest price per meal for lunch at \$1.90. Their hourly staff is scheduled for 182 days. Their current clients are mostly North Jersey school districts. The flat management fee is \$195,747. The return on investment is \$376,848.

Whitsons provided a fairly comprehensive program for food service management. Their proposal included the least amount to be spent on food and had a heavy management presence while spending the least amount on hourly staff which were scheduled for 182 days. The flat management fee is \$197,800 and the return on investment is \$373,167.

3) The FSMCs are ranked as follows:

Aramark - 16.5

NutriServ – 13.9

Whitsons – 13.8

Pomptonian - 13.7

- 4) The District has worked with Aramark for many years and has had a long-standing relationship with the company. They presented a realistic proposal that reflected the realities and expectations of the District. By changing the contract from a price per meal to a cost reimbursement model, Aramark will have more flexibility and opportunities to tailor the food service program to the District's needs.
- 5) This contract is for one year with four one-year renewals. The FSMC will be required to adequately staff and manage the District's food service program; provide meals that meet the requirements of the HHFKA, and are nutritious, tasty and appealing to students and staff; submit all required meal reimbursement documentation to the State and Federal government for reimbursements; and manage the food service program in a cost effective manner.