Ector County Independent School District Ector Middle School 2024-2025

Board Goals

Board Goal 1: Goal 1: The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: By May 2025, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their READING RIT goal will increase from 69% to 71%.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP 2024 fall and MAP 2025 EOY

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Reading End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year		Formative		Summative
and end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative	
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative S			
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback		Formative		Summative May
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
I				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: By May 2025, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their MATH RIT goal will increase from 65% to 70%.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP 2024 fall and MAP 2025 EOY

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Math End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year and		Formative		Summative
end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative	
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Ector 2. Stategic Starring, Ector 3. Effective instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative		Summative	
19th atter 3. Teachers will undergo a recuback cycle by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to					
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I:	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative May	
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve Quality Tier 1 Instruction					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 3: By May of 2025, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on 6th-8th Math STAAR will increase from 38% to 55%.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

6th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 6th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goals: Reading - 37%, Math - 47%, 8th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 8th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: Reading - 55%, Math - 55%

Evaluation Data Sources: 2025 STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Math End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year and		Formative		Summative	
end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative	
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
ECVCI 5. Effective instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3 Details Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to			iews	Summative	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative		Summative	
5.	Oct		iews Mar	Summative May	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I:	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:	Oct	Formative			
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Oct	Formative			

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve Quality Tier 1 Instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: By May of 2025, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on 6th-8th Reading STAAR will increase from 42% to 55%

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

6th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 6th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goals: Reading - 37%, Math - 47%, 8th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 8th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: Reading - 55%, Math - 55%

Evaluation Data Sources: 2025 STAAR

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Reading End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year		Formative		Summative
and end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative	
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
			•		
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3 Details Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Rev. Formative	iews	Summative	
	Oct		iews Mar	Summative May	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content	Oct	Formative		-	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Formative		-	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores.	Oct	Formative		-	

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: By May of 2025, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on Algebra 1 EOC will increase from 93% to 98%.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

English I and Algebra I college ready - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2024 Goal: Eng I - 50%, Alg I - 61%

Evaluation Data Sources: ALG 1 EOC

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Reading End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year	Formative			Summative
and end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative		Summative
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
I				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: By May of 2025, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on 8th Social Studies STAAR will increase from 12% to 40%.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR 2025

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Reading End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year			Formative		
and end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative	
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative	e	Summative	
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
I				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 7: By May of 2025, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on 8th Science STAAR will increase from 38% to 55%.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2025 STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2024 Reading End of Year MAP data for data tracking and update it with beginning-of-year		Formative	Summative	
and end-of-year data throughout the year. Teachers and students will track progress by specific TEK from each assessment to show progress. This information will be used to plan interventions and tutoring blocks, build student capacity, and celebrate growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track progress throughout the year.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, department heads, teachers and students tracking their own data.				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor, and multiple response strategies will be monitored to ensure lesson planning,		Formative		Summative
coaching cycle, and boosting participation ratio fidelity. Administrators will conduct ten walkthroughs a week and document progress in Eduphoria.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during walkthrough and observation.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin, ICs				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will undergo a "feedback cycle" by administrators, department heads, and instructional coaches to		Formative Summati		
provide "on the spot" coaching, feedback, and modeling. This will build the capacity of teachers to strengthen their content and pedagogy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build capacity in teachers to improve overall scores.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Department Heads and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Teachers will use SCAs for planning using "Know and Shows," "backward planning," "coaching and feedback	Formative			Summative
cycle follow-through," data desegregation, and next steps in weekly PLC meetings with admin, department heads, and instructional coaches.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Dept. Heads, ICs				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
I				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Decod Cod 2 Cod 2 The second C2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.1	
Board Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or	above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.	
Ector Middle School	22 - 620	Campus #068901047

Performance Objective 1: School Connectedness panorama data will increase from 37% to 50 %.

Evaluation Data Sources: Spring 2025 Panorama

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Grade-level assistant principals have been assigned to 6th, 7th, and 8th grades, along with a counselor and a		Formative		Summative
clerk. Each grade-level assistant principal and counselor will work together to build positive relationships with students, teachers, and family members. APs and counselors will rotate with their students the following year to building capacity and trust with their students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the trust within our community.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Assistant Principals, Counselors				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Grade-level counselors will conduct SEL lessons and hold peer-to-peer mentoring groups to support and build		Formative		Summative
positive relationships with students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the trust within our community. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Assistant Principals, Counselors	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2: Student daily attendance will increase from 92% to 95%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Weekly Attendance Dashboard

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Grade-level counselors will conduct SEL lessons and hold peer-to-peer mentoring groups to support and build		Formative		Summative
positive relationships with students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the trust within our community.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Counselors				
Title I:				
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
trategy 2: Grade-level assistant principals, counselors, and teachers will hold attendance/truancy meetings with parents roughout the six weeks to assist with truancy prevention and provide support for families with transportation, SEL, and/or sources.	Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus culture, discipline, and attendance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals, counselors, teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
	~			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: Exclusionary disciplinary infractions will decrease.

Evaluation Data Sources: District and campus Focus discipline reports.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The campus will receive back to school CHAMPS Classroom Management Training to build teacher-capacity	Formative			Summative
in classroom management.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in referrals and discipline.		1	1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals, classroom teachers, counselors				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: The campus will be trained on "referral checklist" to guide and assist teachers with steps for better classroom	Formative Summati			Summative
management in the beginning, middle and end of year.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the	Ott	Jan	Iviai	Iviay
trust within our community.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals, Classroom Teachers, Counselors				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
	<u> </u>	1	1	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		
Two riogress Accomplished — Continue/Woully	Discoi	itiliuc		

Performance Objective 4: ECP will increase college and career readiness by creating equitable opportunities for students to develop instructional routines that support secondary academic success.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increase instructional routines and organization for students.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Ector will train and introduce AVID strategies to teacher and students and the AVID Site Team Lead will hold	Formative			Summative
one meeting in the Fall and one meeting in the Spring to assist with implementation.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase instructional routines and organization for students.		3 333		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: AVID teacher, admin, counselors				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Counselors will meet with students in the beginning of the year, middle of the year, and end of the year to		Formative		Summative
demonstrate to students how to set academic and career goals as well as encourage them to create short and long-term goals for achieving academic success and skill development.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase instructional routines and organization for students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselors				
Start Responsible for Hammi, Counselors				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		
	•			

Performance Objective 5: ECP will increas student Sense of Belonging - Panorama results from 33% to 50% for the 2025 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Spring 2025 Panorama

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Grade-level assistant principals have been assigned to 6th, 7th, and 8th grades, along with a counselor and a clerk. Each grade-level assistant principal and counselor will work together to build positive relationships with students, teachers, and family members. APs and counselors will rotate with their students the following year to building capacity and trust with their students.	Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the trust within our community.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Assistant Principals, Counselors				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Grade-level counselors will conduct SEL lessons and hold peer-to-peer mentoring groups to support and build	Formative			Summative
positive relationships with students. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Building a positive relationship with parents and students and rebuilding the trust within our community.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Assistant Principals, Counselors				
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		