



Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2024 - 2025 School Report



Bulloch County
Langston Chapel Middle School

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Dr. Willie Robinson |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principals | David Elmore, Zachary Yaeger, Tony Natson, & Chakira Hollingsworth |
| Team Member # 3 | MTSS Coordinator | Caroline Scarboro |
| Team Member # 4 | Special Education Coordinator | Tammy Deloach |
| Team Member # 5 | Teacher | Joni Chaver |
| Team Member # 6 | Teacher | Crystal Dalton |
| Team Member # 7 | Teacher | Mikayla Kinsey |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Teacher | Natasha Flowers |
| Team Member # 2 | Teacher | Jon Martin |
| Team Member # 3 | Teacher | Brantley Simmons |
| Team Member # 4 | District School Improvement Support | Chad Prosser |
| Team Member # 5 | | |
| Team Member # 6 | | |
| Team Member # 7 | | |
| Team Member # 8 | | |
| Team Member # 9 | | |
| Team Member # 10 | | |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Parent Teacher Organization President | Julie Griepentrog |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Georgia Southern Partnership Coordinator | Dr. Amanda Wall |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Business Partner | Jennifer Bynum |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Business Partner | Melissa Coleman |
| Stakeholder # 5 | | |
| Stakeholder # 6 | | |
| Stakeholder # 7 | | |
| Stakeholder # 8 | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process? | LCMS utilizes stakeholder input by sharing the SIP goals, plans, and budget to inform school improvement initiatives and action steps through meetings with the PTO, School Council business partners and continuously soliciting stakeholder feedback. As a Professional Development school we partner closely with GSU. |
|--|---|

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Coherent Instruction Data

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.</p> <p>Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

Coherent Instruction Data

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 2. Operational | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> | |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p> | |

Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p> | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Professional Capacity Data

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).</p> <p>Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.</p> <p>Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.</p> <p>Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p> | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | <p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> | |
| 4. Not Evident | <p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p> | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.</p> <p>The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | |

Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> | |
| 2. Operational | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p> | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

| | |
|---|--|
| What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan] | Student leadership group, teacher interviews, leadership survey, DOE 2023 Student Health Survey Data, etc. |
| What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?") | LCMS is concentrating on improving school climate and culture, teacher retention, building relationships with students and faculty, and establishing high expectations both academically and behaviorally. If you look at the Georgia Student Health survey student do not feel a connection to school or have a joy in coming to school and participating academically, socially, and extra-curricular. For example, over 25% of students felt that they were not treated with respect by adults in the building and also only close to 40% did not look forward to coming to school. |
| What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops) | Open house is provided for parents and students. Regular callouts and are used as a communication tool to let parents know about academic events, extracurricular activities and athletic events. Our family engagement specialists also host parent engagement workshops and Parents Nights. |
| What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?") | The process data reveals a continued need and focus on relationships and school climate and culture improvement. Many students do not have the skills needed to deal with social/emotional issues. |

| | |
|---|--|
| What achievement data did you use? | The achievement data we utilized for SIP creation was EOG/EOC data and iReady data. |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | 50% of students at LCMS are considered Tier III in reading and 41% of our students are Tier III in math according to iReady universal screener. This means that a majority of students are two or more grade levels behind in reading and/or math. Reading and math continues to be a focus of need from both iReady and GMAS data. There's a shift in achievement levels between math and reading. Math growth is outpacing reading growth. |
| What demographic data did you use? | GMAS subgroups |
| What does the demographic data tell you? | The demographic data indicates multiple demographic groups need support in literacy and math as only 24% scored proficient or above in ELA and 17% in math. The subgroup data indicated literacy and math needs for all groups. Over 90% of students are classified as economically disadvantaged. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | |
|---|--|
| Coherent Instructional: Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | Student achievement data indicates there is a need for a system that ensures teachers are planning for quality instruction, delivering quality instruction and monitoring student progress. There is also a need to improve Tier 1 instruction for all students and to provide more targeted support for SWD. |
| Effective Leadership: Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | There is a need for ongoing leadership development to ensure systems are in place to develop distributed leadership and positive school climate and ensure high quality instruction in all classrooms. |
| Professional Capacity: Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | The professional capacity is addressed by an analysis of school climate data which indicated a need for support in the area of building positive relationship between staff and students, a focus in PLCs on Tier 1 instruction, standards based classrooms, and PLC basics, and PLC meetings help us develop academic improvement action steps. |
| Family and Community Engagement: Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | Our school utilizes many different ways to engage family by weekly newsletter, social media, emails, call-outs, and the LCMS webpage. Despite the school's efforts, parent engagement continues to be a challenge. |

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| | |
|---|---|
| Supportive Learning Environment: Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | Although there have been some improvements in school climate, there is still a need to continue to develop systems that increase engagement, strengthen MTSS and ensure all stakeholders feel a part of the school community. |
|---|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| Demographic and Financial: Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | No major demographic changes are noticed in trend data. Overall funding has increased and funds have been allocated to mental health and lowering class size. |
|---|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| Student Achievement: Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | About 40% of our students are reading at or above grade level according to iReady universal screener. 26% of students are scoring proficient and above on ELA GMAS data. Over 50% of students in each grade level are two or more grade levels behind based on iReady in Reading. |
|---|---|

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

| | |
|---|--|
| Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the Identifying Need webinar for additional information and guidance. | |
|---|--|

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Strengths | While GMAS data does not indicate much growth, it does not indicate a significant decline. |
|-----------|--|

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

| | |
|------------|---|
| Challenges | The GMAS data indicates little growth in all subjects, especially with the EL population. |
|------------|---|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| | |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need | The majority of staff members need support with Tier I instruction to lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups. |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Worse |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 2

| | |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need | The majority of staff members need support with Tier I behavior management strategies to improve school climate and best meet the needs of all students. |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Worse |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - The majority of staff members need support with Tier I instruction to lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups.

Root Cause # 1

| | |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Teachers need professional development and PLCs that focus on standards deconstruction, instructional alignment, instructional strategies, assessments, remediation, enrichment, and additional reading/literacy supports with a specific focus on SWD. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Others : |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - The majority of staff members need support with Tier I behavior management strategies to improve school climate and best meet the needs of all students.

Root Cause # 1

| | |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed | Establishing behavioral expectations, positive celebrations, professional learning for staff members targeting behavior strategies, and opportunities for stakeholders to participate as part of the school community. |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025



Bulloch County
Langston Chapel Middle School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| | |
|---|---|
| District | Bulloch County |
| School Name | Langston Chapel Middle School |
| Team Lead | Willie Robinson |
| Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply) | Traditional funding (Federal funds budgeted separately) |

| Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Free/Reduced meal application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other (if selected, please describe below) |

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.1 Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|---|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | The majority of staff members need support with Tier I instruction to lead to increased student achievement for all subgroups. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Teachers need professional development and PLCs that focus on standards deconstruction, instructional alignment, instructional strategies, assessments, remediation, enrichment, and additional reading/literacy supports with a specific focus on SWD. |
| Goal | LCMS will increase its overall weighted content mastery score by 3% from 40.6% in 2022 to 42.4% in 2023; to 44.1% in 2024; to 45.8% in 2025 by implementing Teacher Clarity and Specially Designed Instruction protocols. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Provide professional development on the implementation of Standards Based Classrooms. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers who participate in PD |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS scores, classroom observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, instructional coaches |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Monitor the implementation of Standards Based Classroom strategies |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of Indicators on instructional awareness walk document scored at a level 3 or higher |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS scores, classroom observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, instructional coaches, |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|-----|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | N/A |
|--|-----|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide professional development on the Collaborative Planning Initiative through the Teacher Clarity professional learning series. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers who participate in PD |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS scores, classroom observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | District office personnel, administrators, instructional coaches, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Monitor the implementation of the Collaborative Planning Initiative |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of indicators on Collaborative Planning Observation Instrument scored as an "E" |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Collaborative Planning Observation Instrument |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide professional development on Specifically Designed Instruction |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers who participate in professional learning |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS score, classroom observations, SDI implementation rubric |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administration, district office personnel |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | First District RESA |
|--|---------------------|

Action Step # 6

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Monitor the implementation Specifically Designed Instruction (SDI) through data tracking and implementation rubric |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of Indicators SDI Implementation Rubric scored at level 2 or higher |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS score, clasroom observations, SDI implementation rubric |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, district office personnel |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 7

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Participate in Teacher Clarity Coaching Days |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers who have participated in Teacher Clarity Coaching |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | TAPS score, classroom observations, coaching logs |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, teachers |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 8

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Conduct data digs once a nine-weeks through half-day sessions digging into the common assesment data and IReady data discussed in PLCs |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |

Action Step # 8

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers participating in quarterly data digs |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Action plan based on data analysis, classroom observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Grade level teachers, PLCs, Administrators, and Instructional Coaches |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

2.2 Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need

| | |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | The majority of staff members need support with Tier I behavior management strategies to improve school climate and best meet the needs of all students. |
| Root Cause # 1 | Establishing behavioral expectations, positive celebrations, professional learning for staff members targeting behavior strategies, and opportunities for stakeholders to participate as part of the school community. |
| Goal | LCMS will increase its overall weighted content mastery score by 3% from 40.6% in 2022 to 42.4% in 2023; to 44.1% in 2024; to 45.8% in 2025 by addressing Tier 1 instruction. |

Action Step # 1

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Reestablish PBIS team, timeline for PBIS implementation, and expectations and share with faculty |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of tasks completed on the timeline for implementation. |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Decrease in % of disciplinary referrals |
| Position/Role Responsible | PBIS Committee |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 2

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Refine school-wide expectations and rules and school-wide behavior matrix. Display them in classrooms, hallways, lunchroom, and restrooms. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of classrooms and common areas with displayed expectations. |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Decrease in % of disciplinary referrals |
| Position/Role Responsible | PBIS Committee |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 3

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Action Step | Establish LCMS Lead Teachers based on strengths to provide exemplars for new teachers or teachers with specific needs |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |

Action Step # 3

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Systems | Professional Capacity Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of areas of need with Lead Teacher established and process for individual utilization established |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Decrease in % of disciplinary referrals |
| Position/Role Responsible | PBIS Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Provide professional development for the Implementation of Morning Meetings |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers who participate in PD |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Decrease in % of disciplinary referrals |
| Position/Role Responsible | District personnel, admin |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

Action Step # 4

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 5

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Monitor the Implementation of Chapel Talks |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers implementing morning meetings |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Chapel Talks walkthrough observations |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators/Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 6

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Action Step | Provide Tier 2 and Tier 3 Behavior Interventions targeted support for selected teachers |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Professional Capacity |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers with the highest number of discipline referrals who attend training |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | PBIS Spotlight reports, discipline data |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators, district personnel |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

Action Step # 7

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Action Step | Implement team-building strategies to strengthen the relationships in the building |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percent of teachers participating in quarterly team building activities |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness | Staff surveys |
| Position/Role Responsible | Climate Committee |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

Action Step # 7

| | |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? | |
|--|--|

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).</p> | <p>The school sought out feedback from multiple stakeholders, including community business partners, GSU partnerships, school leadership team, prior impact check evidence and commentary which includes support personnel and school leaders, PTO, and multiple social media platforms.</p> |
| <p>2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</p> | <p>The school improvement plan goal of school climate and culture ensure that we are working on teacher retainment at LCMS. The more we can address this area of need the more LCMS can have consistent, experienced, and dedicated teachers to meet the needs of all of our students. The teacher turnover rate is high each year, so this is an area of need. One area of focus is building capacity through professional development and establishing a collaborative culture so that experienced educators will guide and lead teachers to a GVC for all students. We have partnered with Georgia Southern University to create a yearlong paid internship experience at LCMS. The intent is to bring them in, provide intensive support, and retain those individuals. To better serve low-income and minority students, title will pay for several certified and non-certified personnel to lower class sizes and provide additional classroom support. Intentional support in building teacher capacity is being provided through district instructional coaches and targeted professional learning.</p> |
| <p>3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</p> | <p>Literacy and math are both high priorities at LCMS. We are implementing a Read 180 program which is a Tier III reading intervention for students who are at-risk. The Read 180 program scaffolds for literacy and provides an targeted reading instruction for those students who are 2 or more grade levels behind. The program also includes System 44 capabilities for addressing the academic needs of the most at-risk students. This intervention is available to all who qualify based upon Spring GMAS performance, iReady universal screener data and Read 180 screener. I-Ready will be used as a Tier II intervention for ELA/Math for students in grades 6-8 . Students will be assessed bi-weekly based on the (skills) standards taught. Savaas will be used to support tier I instruction for ELA. Wrap around services such as external mental health providers are utilized as appropriate. Students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children are provided with services from the school counselors, attendance monitoring and district provided social workers. The school's parent liaison continues to coordinate between teachers/administrators and families of students in need. Supplemental teachers and</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>paraprofessionals are paid for out of Title I-A. Utilizing school and external mental health counseling and other support services will improve academic achievement and produce a positive school climate. LCMS has coordinated systems for identifying, referring, and addressing mental health needs. These wraparound support for students struggling with barriers to learning, include programs that address mental health, school climate, violence prevention, and trauma. The school community coordinates school-community mental health partnerships with other supportive, youth-serving groups, which include law enforcement, juvenile justice, and health care. The utilization of these support services will decrease interference with the cognitive processes required for classroom learning, reduce frequent absences from school due to illness and school avoidance and increase a student's ability to persevere during challenging academic tasks. This will lead to an overall healthier student body that is more engaged in school life.</p> <p>At LCMS all faculty and staff are aware of MTSS implementation and early student identification. A district-wide flowchart is utilized that addresses the steps to take in the MTSS process. When student demonstrate a deficit in learning or a pattern of behavior that interfere with learning, the flowchart provides steps from how to get started with the process, documenting the deficit, and what to do when the intervention is not promoting success. Our MTSS process allows the use of data to continually monitor and improve the effectiveness of teacher actions. MTSS makes the district-wide system more effective and ensures we're supporting the needs of every student. Having multiple data points helps teachers better understand student needs. Early identification allows LCMS to help students make progress and prevent struggles from becoming stumbling blocks. Teachers work in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) on how to work effectively with students who struggle through implementation of evidence-based instruction paired with progress monitoring checkpoints until the student becomes successful.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|-----|
| <p>4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.</p> | N/A |
|---|-----|

3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

| | |
|---|---|
| 5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. | N/A |
| 6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including: Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills. | At LCMS, we have a transition plan in coordination with our local high school which includes utilizing YouScience data to inform students of careers that best align with their aptitudes and interests. Additionally, 8th graders visit the high school to learn more about career pathways that are offered and learn more about dual enrollment opportunities. A rising 9th grader orientation was provided for students and parents. High school counselors come to LCMS to advise rising 9th grade students. |
| 7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students. | <p>To effectively reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, we will implement a multifaceted approach that addresses the root causes of behavioral issues and promotes a supportive and inclusive learning environment for all students. Here's how our school can support such efforts while considering the effects on all subgroups of students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement Restorative Practices • Provide Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) Programs • Offer Mental Health Support • Training for Teachers and Staff • Establish Clear and Consistent Discipline Policies • Monitor and Analyze Data • Foster Positive School Climate • Engage Parents and Guardians • Provide Alternative Supportive Interventions • Continuously Evaluate and Adjust Strategies <p>At LCMS, we have two SEL specialists which enables us to go the extra mile in meeting the social emotional needs of our students before behavior issues take place. We also incorporate the PBIS framework,</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | which includes celebrating positive behaviors and minimizing negative outcomes. Additionally, Suite360 modules are used as instructional lessons for students attending guidance groups. Increase the number of chromebook carts allows for equitable access in all content areas which include connections. These supports include mentoring and self-esteem building activities. We will use LiveSchool to provide incentives for students that show behavior improvements and display good behavior. This will help improve behaviors to limit the amount of students being removed from the classroom. |
|--|--|

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

| | |
|---|--|
| 8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan. | |
|---|--|