

# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2024 - 2025 School Report



Bulloch County
Sallie Zetterower Elementary School

#### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

#### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <a href="Planning and Preparation webinar">Planning and Preparation webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

|                 | Position/Role                | Name           |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal                    | Marlin Baker   |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal          | Farrah Reddick |
| Team Member # 3 | Assistant Principal          | Victoria Allen |
| Team Member # 4 | Math Instructional Coach     | Misty Anderson |
| Team Member # 5 | Literacy Instructional Coach | Anna Perkins   |
| Team Member # 6 | MTSS Coordinator             | Stacey Collier |
| Team Member # 7 | Teacher                      | Marligh Cooper |

#### Additional Leadership Team

|                  | Position/Role                 | Name              |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Team Member # 1  | Teacher                       | Jorjanne Drury    |
| Team Member # 2  | Teacher                       | Kelsey Dial       |
| Team Member # 3  | Teacher                       | Katie Rushing     |
| Team Member # 4  | Teacher                       | Jennifer Losinski |
| Team Member # 5  | Teacher                       | Jennifer Badie    |
| Team Member # 6  | Paraprofessional              | Angela Christmas  |
| Team Member # 7  | Family Engagement Specialist  | Nyja Gaines       |
| Team Member # 8  | Teacher                       | Susie Frawley     |
| Team Member # 9  | Special Education Coordinator | Sophia Henry      |
| Team Member # 10 | Teacher                       | Tiffany Robbins   |

#### 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

#### 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

#### Stakeholders

|                 | Position/Role                 | Name            |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Special Education Coordinator | Pam Holland     |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Parent Engagement Coordinator | Nyja Gaines     |
| Stakeholder # 3 | MTSS Coordinator              | Stacey Collier  |
| Stakeholder # 4 | School Council Parent         | Lacey Rehm      |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Counselor                     | Jennifer West   |
| Stakeholder # 6 | School Council Parent         | Celeste Carmona |
| Stakeholder # 7 | School Council Parent         | Matt Anderson   |
| Stakeholder # 8 | SEL Specialist                | Elise Gottlieb  |

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?

The School Improvement Team ensures that various stakeholders have the opportunity to offer input into the implementation of the School Improvement Team. The School Improvement Team shares and gathers input on the School Improvement Plan, including the needs assessment process from parents and other stakeholders through various parental involvement opportunities various committees such as: School Council, Parent and Family Involvement Schoolwide Events, and Title I Parent Liaison events.

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Coherent Instructional System webinar">Coherent Instructional System webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.  |          |
|   | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.  Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.    | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.             |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. |          |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 1 | -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning                             |   |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary           | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|                        | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational         | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging            | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident         | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 | -Creates an academically challenging learning environment  |          |
|------------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |          |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligne curriculum standards |   | ned to   |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 4 | -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learn   | ning     |
|------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). |          |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).                          | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 5 | -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students   |   |
|------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).  Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. |   |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.  |   |

| Instruction Standard 6 | -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning   |          |
|------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational         | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).                                   |          |
| 3. Emerging            | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |          |

| Instruction Standard 7 | -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning   | ng targets |
|------------------------|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  |            |
|                        | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.  |            |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  | ✓          |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.  |            |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. |            |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress |   | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. |           |
| 2. Operational   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  |           |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   | <b>√</b>  |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |           |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and |   |
|   | adjustments are made.   |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.  |   |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.   |   |
|  | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.         |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. |   |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor stuprogress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices |   | tudent   |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.                                |          |
|  | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.   |          |
| 2. Operational   | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   |          |

| Assessment Standard | Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction   |   |  |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary        | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. |   |  |
| 2. Operational      | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.  | ✓ |  |
| 3. Emerging         | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   |   |  |
| 4. Not Evident      | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   |   |  |

| Assessment Standard 5 -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. |          |
| 2. Operational  | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.   |          |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Effective Leadership webinar"><u>Effective Leadership webinar</u></a> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 | -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff  |   |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary          | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  | ✓ |
|                       | The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. |   |
| 2. Operational        | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |   |
| 3. Emerging           | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident        | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  |   |

| Leadership Standard 2 | -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learn  | ing      |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary          | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.    |          |
|                       | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. |          |
| 2. Operational        | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.                            | <b>√</b> |
|                       | The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.                                 |          |
| 3. Emerging           | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.               |          |
| 4. Not Evident        | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.  |          |

|                | Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction and professional learning practices   |          |  |
|----------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary   | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and | <b>√</b> |  |
|                | understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.   |          |  |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  |          |  |
| 3. Emerging    | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.   |          |  |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.   | _        |  |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. |          |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |          |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solvir |   | ng       |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | <b>√</b> |
| 2. Operational  | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.   |          |
| 3. Emerging   | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.   |          |

| Leadership Standard 6 learning | -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused   | l on student |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary                   | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.  The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan. | <b>√</b>     |
| 2. Operational                 | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.  The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.            |              |
| 3. Emerging                    | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.  |              |
| 4. Not Evident                 | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.  |              |

| Leadership Standard 7 -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple da sources |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. |   |
|   | A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |   |
|   | Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.   |   |
| 2. Operational  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.  | ✓ |
|   | Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.                       |   |
|   | Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.   |   |
|   | Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.   |   |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual      | ✓ |
|   | needs is provided to teachers and other staff.                                |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual   |   |
|   | needs.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual |   |
|   | needs.  |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school cultuguide the continuous improvement process |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  |          |
|   | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.   |          |
|   | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|   | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.   |          |
| 3. Emerging   | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|   | The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.   |          |
|   | This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.  The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. |          |
| 3. Emerging   | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.  The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.  |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. |   |
|  | Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.  |   |
| 2. Operational   | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.                      | ✓ |
| 2.5  | Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.   |   |
| 3. Emerging  | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.   |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.  School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | <b>~</b> |
| 2. Operational  | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.  |          |
| 3. Emerging   | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.  |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.                                  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.  In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.   |          |

| Planning and Organization Standard 6 -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing |  |          |  |
|--|--|----------|--|
| safe, clean, and invitin   | safe, clean, and inviting learning environment   |          |  |
| 1. Exemplary   | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed. | <b>√</b> |  |
| 2. Operational   | Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.  The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.  |          |  |
| 3. Emerging  | Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.  |          |  |
| 4. Not Evident   | Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.  The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.   |          |  |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Professional Capacity webinar">Professional Capacity webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. |   |
|  | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.  |   |
| 2. Operational   | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of of data |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).   | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.   |          |

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.  |          |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learneeds of the staff |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).  Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.  Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.          | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.   |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.  Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational   | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.  |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.   |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff pand student learning |  | practices |
|---|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively. |           |
|   | Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning.  |           |
| 2. Operational  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.                | ✓         |
| 3. Emerging   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.                                  |           |
| 4. Not Evident  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.                               |           |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <a href="Family and Community Engagement webinar">Family and Community Engagement webinar</a> for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.                         |          |
|   | Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. |          |
| 2. Operational  | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.                         |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.   |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |   |

|                | Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students   |          |  |
|----------------|--|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary   | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.  Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. |          |  |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.  | <b>√</b> |  |
| 3. Emerging    | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.   |          |  |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.   |          |  |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| achievement status to families   |  |          |
| 1. Exemplary   | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).   |          |
|  | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). |          |
| 2. Operational   | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.   | <b>√</b> |
|  | Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).               |          |
| 3. Emerging  | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.   |          |
|  | Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.  |          |
|  | Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.   |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement |  |          |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. |          |
| 2. Operational   | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |          |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. |   |
| 2. Operational   | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.                        | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |   |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

# 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the <a href="Supportive Learning Environment webinar">Supportive Learning Environment webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.      |   |
|  | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.                      |   |
| 2. Operational   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.         | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.         |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 | -Creates an academically challenging learning environment  |          |
|------------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary           | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |          |
| 2. Operational         | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging            | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident         | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |          |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor progress |   | their own |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. |           |
| 2. Operational   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  | ✓         |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |           |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |           |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.  |   |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community |   | ns and a |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. |          |
| 2. Operational   | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.           | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging  | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident   | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.  Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.  The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and |          |
|   | strengths to prepare students for success.  |          |
| 2. Operational  | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.   |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. |          |
| 2. Operational  | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.  | <b>√</b> |
| 3. Emerging   | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.  |          |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.   |          |

| School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.  |   |
|   | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.            |   |
| 2. Operational  | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.     | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process |   |          |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary   | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.  |          |
|  | The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.   |          |
|  | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.  |          |
| 2. Operational   | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.  | <b>√</b> |
|  | The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.   |          |
| 3. Emerging  | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | _        |
| 4. Not Evident   | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.   |          |

#### 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

#### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

What perception data did you use? [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]

Perception data was taken from Student Health Survey, CCRPI Personnel Survey, and CCRPI Parent Survey to determine existing trends and patterns.

What does the perception data tell you? (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")

Updated data attached in Section D Additional Files.

The perception data indicates a positive upward trend in school climate.

- 2016-2017 Two Star Rating on CCRPI School Climate
- 2017-2018 Four Star Rating on CCRPI School Climate
- 2018-2019 Four Star Rating on CCRPI School Climate

School Climate Survey Results 2017

- Student-73%
- Teacher/Staff/Admin-76%
- Parent-78%

School Climate Survey Results 2018

- Student-75%
- Teacher/Staff/Admin-87%
- Parent-87%

School Climate Survey Results 2019

- Student-75%
- Teacher/Staff/Admin-87%
- Parent-89%

What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)

The process data used to support the trend was continuing to offer events that connected the school and home to build relationships. The events are:

- STEM Day
- Family Literacy Night
- Back to School Night
- "Cardinals Fly High" Postive recognition
- GMAS Parent Workshop

|   | <ul> <li>Brave Tomorrow Counseling</li> <li>Math Teams Grades 3-4-5</li> <li>Counseling Lunch Bunch</li> </ul>  |
|---|---|
|   | Student Recognition for Leadership  |
|   | Title 1 Family Engagement Workshops   |
|   | PTO Sponsored Events  |
|   | Thanksgiving Luncheon   |
|   | Veterans Day  |
|   |   |
| What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What | We found that providing a positive school climate for students, parents, and staff members have contributed to the upward trend in climate scores. From the events we were able to provide:  • Multiple social, emotional, academic opportunities • Several opportunities to reach and include students and parents |
| did you do for whom?")  | <ul> <li>An atmosphere of inclusion and collaboration</li> <li>Opportunities for participation for students and parents</li> </ul>  |
|   |   |
| What achievement data did you use?  | To help all students achieve, we systematically use achievement data to guide the instructional decisions and meet students' learning needs. Achievement data is taken from School Data Dig for School Improvement Planning and GMAS results. Other assessment results included iReady and GKIDS.                   |
|   |   |
| What does your achievement data tell you?   | From the achievement data, we found that there is an downward trend in GMAS ELA achievement. For the 2022-2023 school year, our school showed a decrease as we underperformed the district. There is a continued need to focus on ELA.  |
|   |   |
| What demographic data did you use?  | Demographic data was taken from:  GMAS Subgroups  iReady Subgroups  Infinite Campus Behavior Reports  Attendance Data  Population Data  |

| you? | When analyzing demographic data, we found that there has been an uneven trend in ELA. Our comprehensive plan focuses on the population of students we are serving and measures how well we are meeting their individual student needs in ELA. |
|------|---|
|      | meeting their individual student needs in ELA.  |

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <a href="Identifying Needwebinar">Identifying Needwebinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate strengths in creating a shared understanding and expectation regarding standards mastery through teacher collaboration. Weekly grade-level professional learning communities and monthly guided reading data analysis have remained consistent. Maintaining content rigor across all subjects remains a challenge.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate a staff fully engaged in relationship building with a shared focus of a common vision. A culture of continuous improvement, with input from all stakeholders, is prevalent throughout the building. This includes a deep and shared understanding of best practices such as deconstructing standards and root cause analysis.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate the faculty and staff are dedicated to a culture of continuous growth. Extensive resources and systems are in place to support and sustain professional learning. Data validate a continued need for professional development focus in phonics, guided reading and math.

Family and Community
Engagement:Summarize the family and
community engagement trends and
patterns observed by the team while
completing this section of the report.
What are the important trends and
patterns that will support the identification
of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate a flexible, responsive, and reliable approach to communication that meets student and parent needs. The provided resources and communication practices have proved to help students enhance their academic achievement. A continued focus will be to build upon the welcoming environment which connects with both families and community.

#### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

#### Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate a supportive and well-managed school environment. A clearly communicated vision, effective school structures and systems, and proactive protocol/procedures enhance the learning environment of both students and staff. Additionally, trends which indicate a continued focus on building an academically challenging environment with a specific focus on student independence should continue.

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate an effective use of the school budget to meet the needs of a growing student population. Overall student enrollment has continued to increase, which includes an increase of students in need of English language learning services. A 4-star financial rating has been maintained for the school.

Student Achievement:Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The recent trends and patterns indicate a growth trend. This growth has occurred in correlation with the implementation of guided reading as a major initiative. A major challenge as we move forward is to build upon growth and raise student achievement in ELA.

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | 54% of Economically Disadvantaged students achieved a level of          |
|-----------|---|
|           | Developing, Proficient, or Distinguished on GMAS ELA.                   |
|           | 57% of Hispanic students acheived a level of Developing, Proficient, or |
|           | Distinguished on GMAS ELA.  |

#### NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <a href="Identifying Need webinar">Identifying Need webinar</a> for additional information and guidance.

| Challenges | An ongoing challenge is to continue building on teacher collaboration |
|------------|---|
|            | and ensuring all student needs are met effectively.                   |

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

#### Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need               | 71.5 of ELA students achieved below proficiency on GMAS |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need?        | High  |
| Is the need trending better or | Unknown   |
| worse over time?               |   |
| Can Root Causes be             | Yes   |
| Identified?                    |   |
| Priority Order                 | 1   |

| Additional Considerations |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

#### Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need               | 68% of math students achieved below proficiency on GMAS. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| How severe is the need?        | High   |
| Is the need trending better or | Unknown  |
| worse over time?               |  |
| Can Root Causes be             | Yes  |
| Identified?                    |  |
| Priority Order                 | 2  |

| Additional Considerations |
|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|

#### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

# 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

#### Overarching Need - 71.5 of ELA students achieved below proficiency on GMAS

#### Root Cause #1

| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Need for intensive and focused guided reading instruction and professional development for teachers.   |
|--|--|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes  |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes  |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

Overarching Need - 68% of math students achieved below proficiency on GMAS.

# NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Need for intensive and focused math instruction and professional development for teachers.   |
|--|--|
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes  |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes  |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Foster Care Program Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title I, Part C - Education of Migratory Children Title I, Part D - Programs for Neglected or Delinquent Children Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| A 1 1'4' 1 D         |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
| Additional Nesponses |  |



# School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025



Bulloch County
Sallie Zetterower Elementary School

# SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

# 1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District                          | Bulloch County  |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| School Name                       | Sallie Zetterower Elementary School                     |
| Team Lead                         | Marlin Baker  |
| Federal Funding Options to Be     | Traditional funding (Federal funds budgeted separately) |
| Employed (SWP Schools) in         |   |
| this Plan (Select all that apply) |   |

| Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| ✓   | / Free/Reduced meal application                                 |  |
|   | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY |  |
|   | Other (if selected, please describe below)                      |  |

# 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

# 2.1 Overarching Need # 1

#### Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified | 71.5 of ELA students achieved below proficiency on GMAS                       |
|--------------------------------|---|
| in CNA Section 3.2             |   |
| Root Cause # 1                 | Need for intensive and focused guided reading instruction and professional    |
|                                | development for teachers.   |
| Goal                           | Sallie Zetterower Elementary will increase its CCRPI ELA weighted proficiency |
|                                | rate for all students by 3% from 58.9% in 2022 to 60.1% in 2023; to 61.3% in  |
|                                | 2024; to 62.5% in 2025 by implementing Jan Richardson's Guided Reading        |
|                                | Framework.  |

| Action Step                          | Introductory guided reading professional development (Jan Richardson framework) for new ELA teachers |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                            | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                                      | Foster   |
|                                      | Homeless   |
|                                      | English Learners   |
|                                      | Migrant  |
|                                      | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                      | Student with Disabilities  |
|                                      | Immigrant  |
| Systems                              | Professional Capacity  |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percentage of professional development sessions attended by new teachers                             |
| Method for Monitoring                | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency  |
| Effectiveness                        |  |
| Position/Role Responsible            | New ELA teachers, Administration, District   |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| What partnerships, if any, with |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |  |
| Community based                 |  |
| organizations, or any private   |  |
| entity with a demonstrated      |  |
| record of success is the LEA    |  |
| implementing in carrying out    |  |
| this action step(s)?            |  |

| Action Step                            | K-5 ELA teachers will participate in PD for Jan Richardson's Guided Reading Framework.  |
|--|---|
| Funding Sources                        | Title I, Part A<br>N/A  |
| Subgroups                              | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant |
| Systems                                | Professional Capacity   |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation   | Percentage of professional development sessions attended by teachers  |
| Method for Monitoring<br>Effectiveness | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency   |
| Position/Role Responsible              | K-5 ELA teachers, administrators  |
| Timeline for Implementation            | Quarterly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step                 | Novice (2 years or less experience with JRichardson framework) ELA teachers will participate in one peer observation each semester |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                   | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                             | Foster   |
|                             | Homeless   |
|                             | English Learners   |
|                             | Migrant  |
|                             | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                             | Student with Disabilities  |
|                             | Immigrant  |
| Systems                     | Professional Capacity  |
| Method for Monitoring       | Percentage of teachers who complete a peer observation   |
| Implementation              |  |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency  |
| Effectiveness               |  |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 ELA teachers, administrators   |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step     | Experienced (JRichardson framework) K-5 ELA teachers will participate in a peer observation by the end of the fist semester |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A   |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                 | Foster  |
|                 | Homeless  |
|                 | English Learners  |
|                 | Migrant   |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                 | Student with Disabilities   |
|                 | Immigrant   |

| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Method for Monitoring       | Percentage of students of K-5 teachers using leveled library |
| Implementation              |  |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency            |
| Effectiveness               |  |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 ELA teachers, administrators                             |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step                 | Analyze guided reading data through utilization of progress monitoring and formal benchmark assessments |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A   |
| Subgroups                   | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                             | Foster  |
|                             | Homeless  |
|                             | English Learners  |
|                             | Migrant   |
|                             | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                             | Student with Disabilities   |
|                             | Immigrant   |
| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction  |
| Method for Monitoring       | Percentage of K-5 ELA teachers providing monthly running record data                                    |
| Implementation              |   |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency   |
| Effectiveness               |   |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 teachers, administrators  |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |  |
| Community based                 |  |
| organizations, or any private   |  |
| entity with a demonstrated      |  |
| record of success is the LEA    |  |
| implementing in carrying out    |  |
| this action step(s)?            |  |

| Action Step                 | Implement Jan Richardson K-5 framework in each ELA K-5 classroom |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                   | Economically Disadvantaged                                       |
|                             | Foster   |
|                             | Homeless   |
|                             | English Learners   |
|                             | Migrant  |
|                             | Race / Ethnicity / Minority                                      |
|                             | Student with Disabilities  |
|                             | Immigrant  |
| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction   |
|                             | Effective Leadership   |
|                             | Professional Capacity  |
|                             | Supportive Learning Environment                                  |
| Method for Monitoring       | % of teachers with a least one observation per semester          |
| Implementation              |  |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI Reading weighted proficiency                |
| Effectiveness               |  |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 ELA teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

# 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

# 2.2 Overarching Need # 2

#### Overarching Need

| ( | Overarching Need as identified | 68% of math students achieved below proficiency on GMAS.                         |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| į | n CNA Section 3.2              |  |
| F | Root Cause # 1                 | Need for intensive and focused math instruction and professional development for |
|   |                                | teachers.  |
|   | Goal                           | Sallie Zetterower Elementary will increase its CCRPI Math weighted proficiency   |
|   |                                | rate for all students by 3% from 61.9% in 2022 to 63.0% in 2023: to 64.1% in     |
|   |                                | 2024; to 65.2% in 2025 by implementing Teacher Clarity with math teachers.       |

| Action Step                 | K-5 math teachers will receive professional development with Teacher Clarity |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                   | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                             | Foster   |
|                             | Homeless   |
|                             | English Learners   |
|                             | Migrant  |
|                             | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                             | Student with Disabilities  |
|                             | Immigrant  |
| Systems                     | Professional Capacity  |
| Method for Monitoring       | % of math PLCs participating in Teacher Clarity PD                           |
| Implementation              |  |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI Math weighted proficiency                               |
| Effectiveness               |  |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administrators                     |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly   |

| W   | hat partnerships, if any, with |
|-----|--------------------------------|
|     | Es, business, Non-Profits,     |
| Co  | ommunity based                 |
| or  | ganizations, or any private    |
| er  | itity with a demonstrated      |
| re  | cord of success is the LEA     |
| im  | plementing in carrying out     |
| thi | s action step(s)?              |

| Action Step                 | Collaborative K-5 math PLCs will deconstruct standards to include Tiered Vocabulary and the creation of progressive Learning Targets |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources             | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                   | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                             | Foster   |
|                             | Homeless   |
|                             | English Learners   |
|                             | Migrant  |
|                             | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                             | Student with Disabilities  |
|                             | Immigrant  |
| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction   |
| Method for Monitoring       | % of PLCs with at least one deconstructed standard with Tiered Vocabulary and  |
| Implementation              | progressive learning targets per unit  |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI math weighted proficiency   |
| Effectiveness               |  |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administrators   |
| Timeline for Implementation | Yearly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step     | K-5 math teachers will display and reference in instruction the Learning Targets |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups       | Economically Disadvantaged   |
|                 | Foster   |
|                 | Homeless   |
|                 | English Learners   |
|                 | Migrant  |
|                 | Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                 | Student with Disabilities  |
|                 | Immigrant  |

| Systems                     | Coherent Instruction  |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Method for Monitoring       | % of walkthrough observations in which learning targets are displayed |
| Implementation              |   |
| Method for Monitoring       | 5% increase of CCRPI math weighted proficiency                        |
| Effectiveness               | · ·   |
| Position/Role Responsible   | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administrators              |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step                          | Collaborative K-5 math PLCs will deconstruct standards to include Tiered Vocabulary and the creation of progressive Learning Targets with aligned Success Criteria |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                            | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities Immigrant                                |
| Systems                              | Coherent Instruction   |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | Percentage of K-5 ELA teachers documenting instructional implementation of Word Knowledge Inventory  |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | 5% increase of CCRPI math weighted proficiency   |
| Position/Role Responsible            | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administrators   |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step                          | K-5 math teachers will display and reference in instruction the Learning Targets and Success Criteria |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A   |
| Subgroups                            | Economically Disadvantaged  |
|                                      | Foster  |
|                                      | Homeless  |
|                                      | English Learners  |
|                                      | Migrant   |
|                                      | Race / Ethnicity / Minority   |
|                                      | Student with Disabilities   |
|                                      | N/A   |
|                                      | Immigrant   |
| Systems                              | Coherent Instruction  |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | % of classrooms with Learning Targets and Success Criteria posted                                     |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | 5% increase of CCRPI math weighted proficiency  |
| Position/Role Responsible            | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administration  |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Quarterly   |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

| Action Step                          | K-5 math teachers will analyze summative assessment data to adjust instruction, developing remediation and enrichment plans for student groups |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources                      | Title I, Part A  |
| Subgroups                            | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority  |
|                                      | Student with Disabilities  N/A  Immigrant  |
| Systems                              | Coherent Instruction   |
| Method for Monitoring Implementation | % of K-5 math teachers providing quarterly standards mastery data  |
| Method for Monitoring Effectiveness  | 5% increase of CCRPI math weighted proficiency   |
| Position/Role Responsible            | K-5 math teachers, instructional coaches, administration   |
| Timeline for Implementation          | Quarterly  |

| What partnerships, if any, with |
|---------------------------------|
| IHEs, business, Non-Profits,    |
| Community based                 |
| organizations, or any private   |
| entity with a demonstrated      |
| record of success is the LEA    |
| implementing in carrying out    |
| this action step(s)?            |

#### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

#### **Required Questions**

1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).

Sallie Zetterower Elementary has developed a school-wide plan with the participation of individuals, collectively serving as the School Improvement Team, who will initiate the comprehensive school-wide improvement plan. Those persons involved included the principal. assistant principals, grade level representatives, special education teacher, MTSS teachers, parent liaison, paraprofessional and parent representatives. The SIP Focus Team developed a comprehensive school-wide needs plan based upon data analysis and needs established during the previous school year. The team meets in regularly scheduled meetings throughout the school year to analyze most recent data and assess progress of implemented initiatives. In addition, the School Improvement Focus Team met during June 2024 to formally review annual data/information and set the goal and initiatives for the 2024-2025 school improvement plan. The plan was further developed and enhanced in June 2024 by the full School Leadership Team then presented to the full faculty.

2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

The Bulloch County School System maintains a goal to provide professionally qualified teachers for all students K-12 with ongoing monitoring of a system-wide hiring process and procedure that includes certification assessment of all certified teacher recommendations prior to board approval and classroom assignments by the following personnel: principals, human resources and the certification officer.

In addition, the system's Title II, Part A Coordinator is charged with assessing and monitoring individual school level certification notebooks and teacher master schedules on an annual basis to assure professionally qualified status and placement, while the school principals are required to notify parents of all non-professionally qualified teachers via letter in order to adhere to Federal mandates regarding professionally qualified teachers providing academic instruction.

Both the strategic plan and the Comprehensive LEA Improvement Plan (CLIP) detail strategies for recruiting and retaining professionally qualified teachers system-wide on an as needed basis. The recruitment officer for the system participates in annual recruitment fairs in search of professionally qualified and certified educators based on system needs. An initiative utilized across the system includes GACE Assessment(s) reimbursement when possible to support educators who do not meet immediate professionally qualified status upon hiring and classroom placement. Until such professionally qualified status has been met, an individual teacher remediation plan is created and monitored in collaboration with said teacher, principal and the Title II, Part A and

updated to professionally qualified status within one year or less with appropriate content assignment or reassignment of staff.

The school administration maintains all teacher certification documents indicating profession qualification status on site. The school staff roster and professional qualification status of teachers are reviewed and updated as needed. In addition, professionally qualified information is submitted to central office for review annually.

All teachers at Sallie Zetterower Elementary have met the requirements to be classified as professionally qualified and have achieved an overall rating of proficient on the Teacher Keys Evaluation System.

3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

All students at Sallie Zetterower Elementary will receive direct instruction from a professionally qualified teacher employing a variety of research-based instructional strategies. Title I funds will be used for funding supplemental paraprofessionals to aid in instruction. Instructional priorities will focus on guided reading and math. Teachers will employ grouping strategies within the instructional process to allow for differentiation based on instructional need. In addition, instructional MTSS specialists, support staff, and a tiered approach to intervention will be utilized to ensure that the most at-risk students receive additional support to address their individually identified needs. Teachers are provided professional development in content areas including comprehension, phonics, and math as well as professional learning communities. The PLC process is implemented at the school in an ongoing basis to ensure student learning, collaborative work among teachers, and a focus on results. Grade level teams meet weekly to discuss critical questions such as "What do we want students to know and be able to do?", "How will we know if students know it?", "What will we do if they don't know it?", and " What will we do if they already know it?". Professional development for teachers is provided by two instructional coaches as well as the principal. The school seeks further PLC professional development opportunities such as state or nationwide conferences focusing on the work of PLCs. Title I funds are also being allocated to provide supplemental counseling services for students from outside agencies.

4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a

Not Applicable

| description of the measurable scale (point  |  |
|---|--|
| •   |  |
| system) that uses the objective criteria to |  |
| rank all students.                          |  |

#### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

# 3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

#### Required Questions

5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

Transition and orientation activities are provided for our pre-kindergarten students preparing to enter kindergarten as well as for our fifth-grade students preparing to transition to the middle school setting. Pre-kindergarten students have the opportunity to visit in our kindergarten classes near the end of the school year. Fifth-grade students have the opportunity to tour the middle school, attend an orientation at the middle school along with their parents, and to participate in a middle school social where they are introduced to students from the other feeder schools.

Transition meetings are held for pre-kindergarten and fifth-grade special education students at the end of each school year. These transition meetings afford parents an opportunity to meet with a special education teacher and a regular education teacher from the elementary/middle school. Middle school teachers discuss with parents how their child may find the middle school experience different from that of the elementary school. The meeting focuses on how the student's educational needs may best be met at the middle school in the least restrictive environment (LRE).

A representative from the district's Prekindergarten Disabilities Program attends all pre-kindergarten transition meetings along with a special education teacher and regular education kindergarten teacher.

Teachers and parents discuss how the rising kindergartener needs may be best met at the elementary school. Each meeting includes a discussion of how parents may best help ready their child for kindergarten over the summer months.

An English Language (EL) teacher, the counselor, the parent involvement coordinator, and school faculty/staff assist in meeting the educational and social needs of our ELL. School forms are translated into languages other than English, as necessary. The school district provides a phone translation service to aid communication between parents and school employees.

6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and lncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers and local partners-

Increased student access to early college high school or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills. [Se. 1114(b)(7)(II)]

7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

Our behavioral support will focus on a preventative components that involve providing direct instruction which will establish behavioral expectations and allow each student to take ownership of their own behaviors. A tiered MTSS approach to behavior intervention will also be employed to ensure students receive support, feedback, behavior mentors, and incentives for meeting expectations as are appropriate. Students will also receive instruction that focuses on personal accountability and leadership.

#### ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan.

The school will utilize Language Line for meetings with teachers, administration, any information being relayed to the parents that is not in their primary language. Most parent teacher meeting forms, GMAS information, and field trip forms will be translated into the parents' primary language so that parents can be involved in school activities when available. All ELL services paperwork will be translated to help meet the needs of the parents and keep them informed about their student's progress/placement in the English Language Program. ESOL Night/ESOL newsletters will be arranged for parents by the district ELL staff. Migrant services will be provided to those matching the criteria. Migrant Services will also attend Open House and Back to School nights to assist our Spanish speaking population.