

(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### How to use this report:

- Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

### **Navigating through the reports:**

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

#### Other Resources:

- Review the Summary Report or the district-level report for this school
- · Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <a href="mailto:reportcard@doe.nj.gov">reportcard@doe.nj.gov</a> with any questions about the reports



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

- Report Key:

  \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

  N No Data is available to display

  † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### **School Contact Info**

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	MIDDLESEX
District	EAST BRUNSWICK TWP
Principal Name	MRS. MANETTA
Address	5 FLAGLER STREET E BRUNSWICK, NJ 08816
Phone Number	(732)613-6830
Email Address	MMANETTA@EBNET.ORG
Website	https://www.ebnet.org/chittick
Twitter	https://twitter.com/ChittickEB



(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK	0	0	0
KG	42	52	76
1	64	52	59
2	77	72	69
3	64	91	71
4	78	75	100
5	70	90	82
Total	395	432	457

### **Enrollment Trends by Student Group**

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	43.8%	44.4%	46.0%
Male	56.2%	55.6%	54.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19.7%	22.0%	23.4%
Students with Disabilities	18.5%	20.8%	22.8%
English Learners	0.5%	8.8%	6.8%
Homeless Students		0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care		0.7%	0.7%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	48.1%	49.3%	48.6%
Hispanic	8.6%	10.0%	10.7%
Black or African American	6.8%	5.1%	5.7%
Asian	32.9%	31.5%	31.9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Two or More Races	2.8%	3.2%	2.4%

### Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	0	0	0
PK - Full Day	0	0	0
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	42	52	76

### **Enrollment by Home Language**

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students				
English	58.2%				
Arabic	9.8%				
Spanish	7.2%				
Chinese	4.6%				
Hindi	4.2%				
Other Languages	16.0%				

## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE **REPORT**

#### CHITTICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: Low Growth: Less than 35

**Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** 

**High Growth: Greater than 65** 

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video about Student Growth Percentiles that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

### Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	55	53	50	Met Standard	56	51	50	Met Standard
White	52.5	48	50	Met Standard	51	46	51	Met Standard
Hispanic	67	54	49	**	50.5	50	48	**
Black or African American	45	44.5	44	**	47	45	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	57	61	61	Met Standard	67	60	61	Exceeds Standard
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	52	**	*	*	53	**
Two or More Races	*	50	49	**	*	36	51	**
Economically Disadvantaged	43	48	48	Met Standard	54	48	47	Met Standard
Students with Disabilities	33	42	41	Not Met	54	46	43	Met Standard
English Learners	52	61	54	**	47.5	56	51	**



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35


Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


### Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



#### Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	231	98.0	71.5	75.6	56.7	71.5	74.3	Met Target†
White	109	97.4	65.2	71.5	65.6	65.2	67.1	Met Target†
Hispanic	22	95.8	50.0	59.0	42.5	50.0	37.5	Met Target
Black or African American	17	100.0	64.7	52.7	37.3	64.7	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	75	98.7	88.0	88.7	82.3	88.0	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	52.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	99	97.2	78.8	82.5	64.5	78.8		
Male	132	98.6	65.9	69.3	49.4	65.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	98.0	47.7	51.5	38.5	47.7	41.8	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	187	98.0	77.0	80.2	67.5	77.0		
Students with Disabilities	48	92.7	31.3	34.4	21.6	30.3	45	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	183	99.5	82.0	82.8	63.9	82.0		
English Learners	28	96.7	50.0	47.5	27.3	50.0	51.5	Met Target†
Non-English Learners	203	98.1	74.4	77.4	59.4	74.4		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	59.1	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	26.3	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.1	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.

NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

**REPORT** 

#### **CHITTICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL**

(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	65	766	771	750	*	*	23%	49%	17%	66%	52%
White	28	764	766	759	0%	*	*	*	*	61%	61%
Hispanic	*	*	750	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	754	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	20	788	*	777	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	27	770	778	756	*	*	*	*	*	74%	57%
Male	38	763	764	744	*	*	*	*	*	61%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	746	744	733	*	*	*	*	*	38%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	52	771	776	762	*	*	*	*	*	73%	64%
Students with Disabilities	10	726	740	719	*	*	*	*	*	20%	24%
Students without Disabilities	55	773	776	756	*	*	*	*	*	75%	57%
English Learners	*	*	726	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Non-English Learners	*	*	773	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	90	780	774	756	*	*	16%	36%	41%	77%	58%
White	49	773	768	764	*	*	*	39%	33%	71%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	755	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	764	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	27	798	*	782	0%	*	*	*	*	85%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	*	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Female	36	790	781	762	*	*	*	42%	42%	83%	63%
Male	54	774	768	751	*	*	*	31%	41%	72%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	761	751	740	*	*	*	*	*	69%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	74	784	778	767	*	*	*	*	*	78%	70%
Students with Disabilities	17	743	744	726	*	*	*	*	*	41%	25%
Students without Disabilities	73	789	779	762	*	*	*	*	*	85%	64%
English Learners	*	*	737	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	775	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%

# NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### **CHITTICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL**

(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	77	768	773	755	*	*	16%	53%	17%	70%	58%
White	34	757	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	59%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	762	743	*	*	*	*	*	*	43%
Black or African American	*	*	758	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	29	790	*	780	0%	0%	*	*	*	93%	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	39	776	781	762	*	*	*	*	*	77%	66%
Male	38	761	766	749	*	*	*	*	*	63%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	741	748	739	*	*	*	*	*	39%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	59	777	778	766	*	*	*	*	*	80%	71%
Students with Disabilities	16	732	738	724	*	*	*	*	*	25%	22%
Students without Disabilities	61	778	779	762	*	*	*	*	*	82%	65%
English Learners	N	N	738	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Non-English Learners	77	768	774	757	*	*	16%	53%	17%	70%	60%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%




(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.





(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	232	98.0	69.4	64.3	45.0	69.4	62.5	Met Target
White	110	97.4	63.7	57.1	54.1	63.7	50.2	Met Target
Hispanic	22	95.8	45.4	39.5	29.2	45.4	26.3	Met Target
Black or African American	17	100.0	47.1	33.2	23.4	47.1	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	75	98.7	90.6	85.1	77.0	90.6	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	99	97.2	68.7	66.0	46.0	68.7		
Male	133	98.6	69.9	62.9	43.9	69.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	45	98.0	42.2	39.2	26.6	42.2	32.8	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	187	98.0	75.9	69.3	55.9	75.9		
Students with Disabilities	48	92.7	43.8	*	17.1	42.5	31.1	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	184	99.5	76.1	*	50.5	76.1		
English Learners	29	96.8	41.4	52.1	24.6	41.4	46.8	Met Target†
Non-English Learners	203	98.1	73.4	65.2	46.9	73.4		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	36.3	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	*	*	*	*	16.2	*		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.7	N		

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	66	765	770	752	*	*	20%	44%	24%	68%	53%
White	29	760	765	760	0%	*	*	*	*	66%	64%
Hispanic	*	*	744	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	749	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	20	791	*	780	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	27	764	768	752	*	*	*	*	*	63%	53%
Male	39	766	772	751	*	*	*	*	*	72%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	739	750	736	*	*	*	*	*	36%	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	52	772	774	762	*	*	*	*	*	77%	66%
Students with Disabilities	10	740	750	730	*	*	*	*	*	50%	29%
Students without Disabilities	56	770	773	756	*	*	*	*	*	71%	57%
English Learners	*	*	744	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-English Learners	*	*	772	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	90	765	766	748	*	*	20%	54%	16%	70%	49%
White	49	760	760	755	*	*	20%	*	*	67%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	746	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	*	*	749	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	27	785	*	774	*	0%	*	48%	41%	89%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	*	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	36	768	768	748	*	*	*	*	*	75%	50%
Male	54	763	765	748	*	*	*	*	*	67%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	16	754	748	733	*	*	*	*	*	50%	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	74	767	770	758	*	*	*	*	*	74%	62%
Students with Disabilities	17	740	741	725	*	*	*	*	*	41%	22%
Students without Disabilities	73	771	771	753	*	*	*	*	*	77%	55%
English Learners	*	*	750	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Non-English Learners	*	*	767	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	77	761	766	748	*	*	18%	47%	19%	66%	49%
White	34	748	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	53%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	747	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Black or African American	*	*	749	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	29	782	*	777	0%	0%	*	*	*	90%	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	39	757	766	749	*	*	*	*	*	62%	50%
Male	38	764	767	747	*	*	*	*	*	71%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	743	747	733	*	*	*	*	*	39%	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	59	766	770	758	*	*	*	*	*	75%	62%
Students with Disabilities	16	737	739	726	*	*	*	*	*	25%	20%
Students without Disabilities	61	767	771	752	*	*	*	*	*	77%	55%
English Learners	N	N	750	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Non-English Learners	77	761	767	750	*	*	18%	47%	19%	66%	51%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%




(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



<sup>†</sup> Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	*	*
5	*	*

#### **English Language Progress to Proficiency**

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	90.5%	60.7%	Exceeds

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within one standard deviation

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District		% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	20	50.0%	50.0%
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJŚLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

### NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.


#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	31	6.9	8.9	Met
White	16	7.3	8.9	Met
Hispanic	5	10.0	8.9	Not Met
Black or African American	1	4.3	8.9	Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	8	5.6	8.9	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	11.1	8.9	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	11	10.8	8.9	Not Met
English Learners	4	12.9	8.9	Not Met

### **Days Absent**

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.





(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018


#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

### Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note see note below table

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Total Unique Incidents	1
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.22

### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1
No Identified Nature	5		5

### Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

### Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-ofschool suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	0	0.0%
Removal to other education program	*	*
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions 0



Overview

#### CHITTICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School		
Typical Start Time	9:10 AM		
Typical End Time	3:25 PM		
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 15 Mins		
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 35 Mins		
Shared Time - Instructional Time	N		

#### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1.4:1

### Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <a href="User Friendly Budgets">User Friendly Budgets</a>, or the NJDOE Finance <a href="District Report Search">District Report Search</a> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$370	\$15,145	\$15,515



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

### Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	48	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	9.5	12.0
Average years experience in district	9.1	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	68.8%	75.5%

### Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	46	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	20.6	16.0
Average years experience in district	13.8	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	82.6%	76.2%

### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	10:1	12:1
Students to Administrators	457:1	176:1
Teachers to Administrators	48:1	15:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		674:1
Students to Nurses		622:1
Students to Counselors		368:1
Students to Child Study Team		193:1

Academic Achievement



#### **CHITTICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL**

(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

### Bachelor's Degree



### Master's Degree



### **Doctoral Degree**

Teacher

readilei	• , ,
Admin	0%

0%

### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	88.7%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	91.5%	86.2%

### Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	97.6%



(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

**Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-

level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	89.6%	100.0%
Male	10.4%	0.0%
White	93.8%	100.0%
Hispanic	0.0%	0.0%
Black or African American	2.1%	0.0%
Asian	4.2%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these accountability resources.

### Comprehensive Status

This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
No	n/a	n/a

### **Targeted Status**

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a
White	No		
Hispanic	No		
Black or African American	No		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No		
American Indian or Alaska Native	No		
Two or More Races	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No		
Students with Disabilities	No		
English Learners	No		



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	68.01	15.0%
Mathematics Proficiency	80.45	15.0%
English Language Arts Growth	49.94	20.0%
Mathematics Growth	68.66	20.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018)	98.51	20.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	48.38	10.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	70.53	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score	80.04	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Score is in the bottom 5th percentile	No	n/a

<sup>†</sup> Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



(23-1170-125)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	Exceeds Target	Met	No
White	51.63	14.08	No	Met Target†	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	55.35	14.08	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	62.99	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	62.94	14.08	No	Not Met	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	**	**	**	Not Met	No

<sup>†</sup> Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

Highlights:	<ul> <li>Curriculum includes: Everyday Math, Fundations, Reader's &amp; Writer's Workshop &amp; Next Generation Science Standards.</li> <li>Chittick School Clubs: Art Enrichment, Student Council, Safety Patrol, Chorus, Band and Orchestra.</li> <li>Students participate in programs related to Anti-Bullying Prevention, Fire Safety and building a respectful community.</li> </ul>
	Chittick school develops life-long learners who persevere through challenges and become positive, respectful citizens of the world. We engage our learners by embracing differentiation, and utilize technology to encourage forward thinking and collaboration. We foster a nurturing social and learning environment by celebrating acceptance and what makes us unique. Our students "SOAR" by showing "Superb manners, Outstanding acts of kindness, Acceptance of others, and Respect for our school."
Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:	Chittick is a Blue Ribbon School and a National School of Excellence.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.



Courses, Curriculum, Instruction:

The instructional program is characterized by a strong emphasis on literacy and math skills along with carefully integrated courses in health, science and social studies. Rich curricula in music, visual arts, technology, physical education, media, and world language enhance the academic program. Research-based best practices are used to differentiate instruction to meet the needs of all learners. Our students consistently demonstrate their skills on both formative and summative assessments.



Clubs and Activities:

Students have the opportunity to participate in activities that extend their learning in music, leadership, and community service. In this way, our students can pursue their interests and develop a greater love of learning. Extra-curricular activities at Chittick School include: Art Enrichment, Coding Club, Chorus, Safety Patrol, STEM Club and Student Council. Music students also have an opportunity to participate in all district band, chorus and orchestra activities.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

	Before and After School Programs:	Fee-based Before and After School care programs are offered. The programs are managed by the Out of School Time Coordinator.
233	Staff and Professional Learning:	Staff Development opportunities are provided in a variety of manners throughout the school year via After-School Professional Development (PD) sessions, Professional Learning Communities, house meetings and building-based PD. The PD offerings are vast; aligned to both district, school and individual professional growth goals. Additionally, personalized learning is offered through content specific hybrid courses and over 100 summer staff development workshops offered in-house.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### **School Narrative**

41	Student Supports and Services:	Character education is woven into every day school life. Our supportive school environment is dedicated to all students being safe, responsible, respectful and kind. Supports and specialized programs offered to students include Short-Term Supportive Counseling, Superflex Program, Second Step Program, Intervention and Referral Services, Speech, Gifted and Talented, Physical and Occupational Therapy. A full-time Student Assistance Specialist serves as the Anti-Bullying Specialist.
Co	Student Health and Wellness:	Chittick offers breakfast and lunch daily. All students attend Physical Education two times per week and enjoy daily recess. Students receive instruction in Health about wellness and positive habits. Our Health Instruction incorporates the Second Step Curriculum to integrate social-emotional learning.
To State of the St	Parent and Community Involvement:	From robust websites to an active social media presence, the district is committed to effective communication with our community. Weekly communication about community events and our school-based Virtual Backpacks keep families informed. Our partnership with the EB Education Foundation enhances and enriches teaching and learning. PTAs host numerous events throughout the year to involve families in school life. Parent University sessions provide an opportunity to learn about current initiatives.



(23-1170-125) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### **Report Key:**

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
  \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### **School Narrative**

Climate Surveys:	Is a Climate Survey Used: Yes; Who is surveyed: Students, Parents, Teachers  School climate survey data is gathered and analyzed annually. Students, staff, and parents are all provided with the opportunity to share input on the school climate. Chittick is currently participating in the School Climate Transformation Project as the result of a grant from the NJDOE.
Facilities:	Constructed in 1969, Chittick School is a 52,241 square-foot facility on 9.6 acres. Recent facility improvements completed over the last several years include a new main entrance enhancing building security; a flexible learning space to pilot its functionality; a storage addition and a new HVAC system providing air-conditioning throughout the facility. Additional improvements are in the planning stage for this school. As with most District facilities, the school is highly used by the community.