NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS BUDGET AND PROPOSED TAX RATE

The South San Antonio Independent School District will hold a public meeting at 6:00 pm, August 17, 2016 in the Board Room, Room 269, Central Administration Office, 5622 Ray Ellison Blvd., San Antonio, Texas. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the school district's budget that will determine the tax rate that will be adopted. Public participation in the discussion is invited.

The tax rate that is ultimately adopted at this meeting or at a separate meeting at a later date may not exceed the proposed rate shown below unless the district publishes a revised notice containing the same information and comparisons set out below and holds another public meeting to discuss the revised notice.

Maintenance Tax	\$1.04000	\$1.040000/\$100 (proposed rate for maintenance and operations)			
School Debt Service Tax Approved by Local Voters		\$0.411500/\$100 (proposed rate to pay bonded indebtedness)			
Compa	rison of Propos	ed Budget wit	h Last Year's	Budget	
The applicable percentage increase or decrease for the fiscal year that begins during the d	ase (or difference)	in the amount bu	udgeted in the pr	receding fiscal year a	-
	and operations	0.99 % i			
Debt Service		-2.56 % decrease			
Total expend	ditures	0.48 % i	ncrease		
	otal Appraised				
(1	as calculated u	nder Section :	26.04, Tax Co	de)	
	Preceding Tax Y	<u>'ear</u>	<u>Current Ta</u>	<u>x Year</u>	
Total appraised value* of all property	\$1, 845, 606, 963		\$1, 970, 311	, 674	
Total appraised value* of new property**	\$15, 511, 184		\$15, 640, 209		
Total taxable value*** of all property	\$1, 463, 731, 630		\$1, 587, 775, 278		
Total taxable value*** of new property**	\$11, 851, 268		\$15, 470, 22		
*Appraised value is the amount shown on the ** "New property" is defined by Section 26.0 *** "Taxable value" is defined by Section 1.	012(17), Tax Code.	l defined by Sect	on 1.04(8), Tax	Code.	
	Bon	ded Indebtedn	ess		
Total amor	unt of outstanding			169, 946, 899	
*Outstanding principal.	-	·			
	arison of Propo	sed Rates wit	h last Year's	Rates	
	Maintenance &	Interest &		Local Revenue	State Revenue
	Operations	Sinking Fund*	Total	Per Student	Per Student
Last Year's Rate	\$1.040000	\$0. 411500*	\$1.451500	\$2, 268	\$7, 055
Rate to Maintain Same Level of Maintenance & Operations Revenue & Pay Debt Service	\$1.043511	\$0. 411500*	\$1.455011	\$2, 486	\$6, 987
Proposed Rate	\$1.040000	\$0. 411500*	\$1.451500	\$2, 487	\$6, 962
		φ0.411300*	ψ1. 401000		
	used to pay for bo	onded indebtednes	s on construction		
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this c		
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this o ' s Levy on A v	listrict.	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u>	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this o 's Levy on Av	listrict. /erage Residence	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy y Last Year	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this c 's Levy on Av I \$	district. /erage Residence This Year	
The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v <u>Last Year</u> \$77,024 \$44,521 100	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this c 's Levy on Av <u>I</u> \$	district. <u>verage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 82, 731 550, 788	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this c <u>'s Levy on Av</u> <u>T</u> \$ \$	district. /erage Residence his Year 182, 731 150, 788 11. 451500	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v <u>Last Year</u> \$77,024 \$44,521 100	nded indebtedness approved by the	s on construction voters of this c 's Levy on Av <u>I</u> \$ \$ \$ \$	district. /erage Residence his Year 82, 731 50, 788 51, 451500 5737, 19	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence Increase (Decrease) in Taxes	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were <u>Proposed Levy v</u> <u>Last Year</u> \$77, 024 \$44, 521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22	nded indebtedness approved by the with Last Year	s on construction voters of this c 's Levy on Av S \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	district. /erage Residence This Year 182, 731 150, 788 11. 451500 1737. 19 190. 97	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence Increase (Decrease) in Taxes Under state law, the dollar amount of schoo surviving spouse of such a person, if the s	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22 I taxes imposed on urviving spouse was	with Last Year the residence ho s 55 years of age	s on construction voters of this of 's Levy on Av I \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Jistrict. <u>/erage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 182, 731 150, 788 11. 451500 1737. 19 190. 97 son 65 years of age of he person died, may no	
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22 I taxes imposed on urviving spouse was person turned 65, te the district cas	the residence ho s 55 years of age regardless of ch n adopt before re	s on construction voters of this of 's Levy on Av I \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	district. <u>verage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 82, 731 550, 788 51. 451500 5737. 19 590. 97 son 65 years of age of the person died, may no se or property value. proval at an election	ot be increased above
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence Increase (Decrease) in Taxes Under state law, the dollar amount of schoo surviving spouse of such a person, if the s the amount paid in the first year after the Notice of Rollback Rate: The highest tax ra	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy W Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22 I taxes imposed on urviving spouse was person turned 65, te the district can district adopts a p	the residence ho s 55 years of age regardless of ch n adopt before re rate in excess of	s on construction voters of this of 's Levy on Av I \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	district. <u>verage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 82, 731 550, 788 51. 451500 5737. 19 590. 97 son 65 years of age of the person died, may no se or property value. proval at an election	ot be increased above
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence Increase (Decrease) in Taxes Under state law, the dollar amount of schoo surviving spouse of such a person, if the s the amount paid in the first year after the Notice of Rollback Rate: The highest tax ra election will be automatically held if the The following estimated balances will remain	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22 I taxes imposed on urviving spouse was person turned 65, te the district can district adopts a mean n at the end of the	the residence ho s 55 years of age regardless of ch n adopt before re rate in excess of Fund Balances	s on construction voters of this of 's Levy on Av S S mestead of a per or older when t anges in tax rat quiring voter ap the rollback ra	district. <u>verage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 82, 731 550, 788 51. 451500 5737. 19 590. 97 son 65 years of age of the person died, may no e or property value. proval at an election te of \$1. 451500. encumbered with or by	ot be increased above is \$1.451500. This
*The Interest & Sinking Fund tax revenue is The bonds, and the tax rate necessary to pay <u>Comparison of</u> Average Market Value of Residences Average Taxable Value of Residences Last Year's Rate Versus Proposed Rate per \$ Value Taxes Due on Average Residence Increase (Decrease) in Taxes Under state law, the dollar amount of schoo surviving spouse of such a person, if the s the amount paid in the first year after the Notice of Rollback Rate: The highest tax ra	used to pay for bo y those bonds, were Proposed Levy v Last Year \$77,024 \$44,521 100 \$1.451500 \$646.22 I taxes imposed on urviving spouse was person turned 65, te the district can district adopts a mean n at the end of the	the residence ho s 55 years of age regardless of ch n adopt before re rate in excess of Fund Balances	s on construction voters of this of 's Levy on Av S S S mestead of a per or older when t anges in tax rat quiring voter ap the rollback ra vear and are not acceipt of the fir	district. <u>verage Residence</u> <u>his Year</u> 82, 731 550, 788 51. 451500 5737. 19 590. 97 son 65 years of age of the person died, may no e or property value. proval at an election te of \$1. 451500. encumbered with or by	ot be increased above is \$1.451500. This