

EMPIRICAL REASONING

Uses observation, experience and experimentation to explain phenomena and make decisions

Capabilities	Explores		Investigates			Evaluates			
Indicators	Asks questions	Makes predictions	Designs investigation	Makes sense of data	Evaluates process	Draws conclusions	Evaluates claims		© Big F
Quality Criteria	Not yet evident	Not yet evident	Not yet evident	Not yet evident	Not yet evident	Not yet evident	Not yet evident		
	Explores observations	Makes predictions		Describes what is observed	Lists what worked and what didn't			1	Students at this le connections to th They are curious a based on their ob check them.
	Asks questions based on observations		Designs a process to gather data		Explains cause and effect	States conclusion based on findings	Questions unrealistic claims in familiar contexts	2	Students at this le explore their area On the basis of th that show they re in order to share to They question sor
		Explains predictions		*Recognises patterns in data		Explains conclusions drawing on data	Reviews the reasonableness of the claims	3	Students at this le and conduct inve They take account collecting and org themes within the notice errors in th questions to clarif
	Poses testable inquiry questions	Develops hypotheses	Modifies procedure to account for variables	Analyses patterns and trends	Analyses strengths/ weaknesses of investigation	Evaluates conclusions	Analyses claims using evidence and reasoning	4	Students at this le methods to clarif They formulate te ideas. They develous isolating variables investigations and articulating feasib the data, and they evaluate claims to validity and reliab
	Reframes inquiry questions on the basis of knowledge and insight	Revises hypotheses on the basis of evidence	Evaluates investigation design in response to constraints	Generalises using patterns and trends	Justifies approaches to investigation	Defends conclusions	Critically evaluates claims and assumptions	5	Students at this le authoritative kno They construct an inquiry by explori methodologies. T conclusions. They They propose crea contextual factors consideration for
	Defense i i	Bestevel - 11	- Fundament		1	Defend		-	Church in the state

*Amended 2022

evel systematically refine hypotheses to develop owledge from their investigations.

nd test hypotheses, employing a flexible approach to their ing alternative or contradictory perspectives and They produce robust data from which to draw justifiable y reflect on methodologies to refine investigation design. eative and innovative solutions that account for multiple rs. They suspend judgement, ethically evaluating claims with the potential impacts.

level pose and test hypotheses, applying investigative fy and explore their new understandings.

estable inquiry questions in response to complex issues and lop methodologies to test hypotheses using controls and s. They make specific suggestions for improving d reducing error. They propose one or more solutions bility and impacts. Their explanations are consistent with ey identify the scope and limitations of their findings. They o develop an informed position taking into account the bility of evidence.

level develop inquiry questions related to their interests estigations to test their predictions.

It of the main variables when designing their investigations, ganising data from repeated tests. They identify patterns or the data and use this evidence to draw conclusions. They heir own processes and suggest improvements. They ask ify the reasonableness of others' claims.

level ask questions and plan and undertake processes to as of interest.

heir observations, they can design and carry out processes ecognise causal relationships. They collect and record data their findings and make decisions.

me assumptions and viewpoints within a claim.

evel notice and explore phenomena and ideas, making heir own experience.

about the world around them. They make predictions oservations and use strategies such as trial and error to

Progression

Picture Education 2022