Engineering Design Components & Evaluation Process



What is an Engineering Design Challenge?

An engineering design challenge is a problem-based task that approaches design problems using the manner. The EDP encourages open-ended problem solving and learning from failure. Learners engage with integrated concepts from multiple disciplines and use design thinking as a mechanism to design a solution for an authentic problem.

There are numerous engineering design process models; however, the models all share the foundational practices:

- Identifying questions or defining problems
- Planning
- Testing

- Imagining and brainstorming a solution
- Creating
- Improving design

During this process, scientists and engineers identify the advantages and limitations of their designs and models. A cost-benefit analysis is used to balance the scope, expectations of quality, and managing a budget for the prototype, proof of concept, or process being designed.

When conducting an engineering design challenge, a clearly defined problem should be presented at the beginning of the challenge. Defining the challenge with criteria, constraints, a budget, and a design rubric is essential. A design rubric determines if the solution meets the parameters of the challenge. The students will use their rubric design score to improve their solution before testing it again. The evaluation criteria can provide a framework of knowledge, skills, and performance related to a design problem. Throughout the process, students are engaged in the STEM fluency skills of collaboration, communication, critical thinking, creativity, innovation, adaptability, resilience, and time/resource management.

Additional resources for developing an engineering design challenge can be found in the STEM Toolkit:

- The Engineering Design Process Facilitator Guide- STEM Implementation Tools: STEM Instructional Planning Guide
- Sample Engineering Design Challenge and Design Rubric

Engineering Design Evaluation Process

The following questions should be considered when evaluating an engineering design solution.

Were the criteria and constraints for the design challenge met?

• Students design their solution based on the criteria (desired outcomes) and consider constraints (limitations).

Did the design include ideas from all team members? Did the design solve the problem?

• Students must work in collaborative teams to contribute solution ideas and design a cohesive plan. Teamwork is a requirement of engineers in a work environment.

Did the design solve the problem?

• Students develop and implement testing processes, gather data, and engage in data analysis. Data results are analyzed to determine how the design solves the problem.

Was the project at or under budget?

• Students conduct a cost-benefit analysis comparing projected or estimated costs and benefits (or opportunities) associated with project decisions. In an engineering design challenge, examples may include but are not limited to material costs, building and implementation, time investment or duration, environmental impact, safety considerations, and projected durability or longevity of the design solution.

Was the design team able to increase their rubric design score after making improvements?

• Students collaboratively design improvements or adaptations based on testing and analyzing their prototype, process, or proof of concept.

Did the students communicate their solution clearly and address the needs of the client?

• When presenting design solutions to internal or external stakeholders, students communicate design aspects and how the solution addresses the client's needs.

Teacher Evaluation Rubric Example

A rubric is a tool that can be used to guide and assess student performance and skills related to the design challenge and associated thinking processes (engineering design process and computational thinking). This teacher-level rubric could be used as a formal evaluation of a design solution.

CRITERIA	3 Points	2 Points	1 Point	0 Points	SCORE
Defined Problem: Criteria	All criteria were met	Most criteria were met	Some criteria were met	None of the criteria were	
& Constraints	and	and	and	met	
Were the criteria (desired	all constraints were	most constraints were	some constraints were	and	
outcomes) and constraints	considered in the	considered in the	considered in the design	constraints were not considered in the design	/3
,	design process	design process	process	process	
(rules) for the design				ргоссээ	
challenge met?					
Collaborative	The design has	The design has	The design has elements	The design has elements	
Design Plan	elements contributed	elements contributed	contributed by some	contributed by one team	/3
Did the design include ideas	by all team members	by most team members	team members	member	/3
from all team members?		members			
Testing & Data Analysis Did the design solve the problem? Cost - Benefit Analysis Was the design at or under budget?	Reliable testing methods were used for testing the design and based on data gathered during testing procedures, the design solved the problem Based on a costbenefit analysis, the design was at or under budget	Somewhat reliable testing methods were used for testing the design and based on data gathered during testing procedures, the design somewhat solved the problem Based on a cost-benefit analysis, the design was slightly over budget	procedures, the design somewhat solved the problem	Unreliable testing methods were used for testing the design or based on data gathered during testing procedures, the design did not solve the problem A cost-benefit analysis was not used to determine if the design was at or under budget	/3
Improvements Was the design team able to improve their rubric design score after making improvements?	The design team was able to greatly improve their design score after making improvements	The design team was able to somewhat improve their design score after making improvements	The design team maintained the same design score after making improvements	The design team lowered their design score after making improvements	/3
Communication	Students clearly	Students somewhat	Students vaguely	Students did not	
Did the students	communicated their solution	clearly communicated their solution	communicated their solution	communicate their solution	
communicate their solution	and	and	and	and	/3
clearly and address the needs	fully addressed the	mostly addressed the	somewhat addressed the		
of the client?	needs of the client	needs of the client	needs of the client	of the client	
of the chem.				TOTAL	/18
				IOIAL	, 10