

CLASSIFIED PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

(Assessment by observation, documentation and/or conferences)

	Name:	Site:	Position:	
	vork in harmony,	and to collaborate wit	he employee's ability to fit into the h others. Is the employee	 Highly collaborative; inspires high morale. Very tactful and obliging; sets good example. Usually congenial and helpful; good team worker. Occasionally resists authority; and opposes new ideas. Often insensitive and quarrelsome; causes friction.
	., verbal, nonverb	al, and written comm	ility to effectively communicate for unication). Can the employee	 Exceptionally and appropriately communicative. Tactfully communicates and sets a good example. Usually communicates in an appropriate manner. Occasionally communicates in an ineffective manner. Often exhibits inappropriate and ineffective communication.
			ss and self-reliance. Consider the ls. Is the employee a self-starter?	 Extremely resourceful, creative, self-reliant. Demonstrates desire to excel. Works steadily; occasionally submits new ideas. Shows little initiative; must be told what to do. Shows no initiative.
employee demon stress?			aking decisions. Does the y, logically, and rapidly under	 Displays outstanding ability to apply sound reason. Uses good common sense; most decisions workable. Judgment dependable on matters of routine nature. Poor use of common sense; decisions are sometimes
COMMENTS:				unsound. □Jumps to conclusions; often makes costly errors.
	ness in reporting		y and time devoted to actual work. he amount of time off for sick leave	 Exceptional attendance and punctuality. Consistently regular and prompt; seldom absent. Follows district attendance policies; reports absences in advance. Frequently absent and/or late. Habitually absent and/or late.
KNOWLEDGE OF for full job perform COMMENTS:		r the employee's unde	erstanding of all facets necessary	 Excellent understanding of duties; requires few directions Good overall knowledge of duties; needs little direction.
				 □Acceptable knowledge of duties; needs some direction. □Limited knowledge of duties. □Minimal knowledge of duties.
			ness, and accuracy in completing n meeting standards of quality.	□Consistently produces high quality of work. □Frequently does a good job; needs little supervision.

COMMENTS:	 Work is usually satisfactory; needs regular supervision. Works to minimal standards; needs frequent supervision. Below minimal standards; needs excessive supervision. Extremely efficient; goes above and beyond.
TIME MANAGEMENT: Consider the amount and promptness of work produced based on specific job requirements. Does the employee use time wisely. COMMENTS:	 Extremely efficiently; goes above and beyond. Uses time efficiently; often exceeds standards. Works at a steady pace; meets performance standards. Sometimes doesn't use time efficiently; below standard. Doesn't use time efficiently.
DEPENDABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY: Consider the employee's reliability in following instructions and carrying out assigned tasks responsibly with a minimum of direct supervision. Will the job be done conscientiously? Does the employee think and act reasonably? Is the employee able to tell right from wrong? COMMENTS:	 Extremely reliable, conscientious, and industrious. Works well independently; prompt and accurate. Reliable; generally accepts responsibility for assigned duties. Somewhat unreliable; requires frequent follow-up. Requires close, constant supervision; undependable.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE:

Provide comments of progress achieved in attaining previously set goals for work performance:

Specific comments/commendations and recommendations relative to overall work performance:

Recommendation by Rater for Probationary Employee: (To be completed only for probationary employees.)

 $\Box Be$ retained in a probationary status subject to final probationary rating.

 \Box Be granted permanent status.

□ Not be granted permanent status.

A signature on this evaluation does not necessarily mean that the employee agrees with the opinions expressed, but merely indicates that the employee has received a copy of the report and has been given the opportunity for discussion, comments, and written reaction. (A written rebuttal may be submitted to Human Resources within 10 work days, to be attached to the evaluation before being placed in their personnel file.)

Employee Signature

□ I would like to discuss this evaluation with the Director of Human Resources.

Evaluator Signature

Date

Title