



Santa Rosa
Independent School District

*"Warriors On the Move –
Education on the Rise"*



Annual Financial Accountability Management Report

December 16, 2019

Fiscal Year 2017-2018

SANTA ROSA ISD EARNs AN ABOVE STANDARD RATING

The Santa Rosa Independent School District has received a rating of "Above Standard" under the Texas School Finance Accountability Rating System for fiscal year 2017-2018.

This is the seventeenth year of School FIRST (Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas), a financial accountability system for Texas school districts developed by the Texas Education Agency in response to Senate Bill 875 of the 76th Texas Legislature in 1999 and Senate Bill 218 of the 77th Legislature in 2001. The primary goal of School FIRST is to achieve quality performance in the management of school district's financial resources. This goal is now more significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with the Texas school finance system.

The School FIRST accountability rating system assigns one of four financial accountability ratings to Texas school districts, with the highest being "Superior," followed by "Above Standard", "Meets Standard" and "Substandard Achievement." Districts that receive the "Substandard Achievement" rating under School FIRST must file a corrective action plan with the Texas Education Agency.

INSIDE THIS ISSUE:

Overview	2
District's Mission	3
District's Long Range Goals	3
Rating Comparison	4
Rating Worksheet Overview	10
Board Member's Reimbursements	16
Superintendent's Reimbursements	17
Outside Compensation, Gifts, and Other	18
Contact Information	19

OVERVIEW

Senate Bill 875 of the 76th Legislature (1999) and Senate Bill 218 of the 77th Texas Legislature (2001) authorized the implementation of a financial accountability rating system, which is officially referred to as School FIRST. The primary goal of School FIRST is to improve the management of school districts' financial resources. School FIRST was developed in consultation with the Comptroller of Public Accounts. Its development also initiated from the many comments that were received from school districts and regional education service center personnel. The school district's School FIRST rating is based upon an analysis of staff and student data reported for the 2017-2018 school year, including financial data for the 2018 fiscal year (for Santa Rosa Independent School District, the fiscal period was September 1, 2017 to August 31, 2018).

Senate Bill 218 also requires each school district to prepare the Annual Financial Accountability Management Report. Many business related issues are covered in this report; however, its focus lies on the School FIRST rating worksheet. This worksheet was developed by representatives from the Texas Education Agency (TEA), the Texas Business & Educational Council (TBEC) and the Texas Association of School Business Officials (TASBO). The worksheet is used to rate the District in five defined indicators, based upon its relative performance. A negative response to indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A results in the district receiving a rating of "Substandard Achievement" regardless of points earned.

Santa Rosa ISD's rating under School FIRST for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2018 is "Above Standard." This report briefly describes data used to calculate the rating and explains the meaning for each indicator. In addition, the Annual Financial Accountability Management Report includes the reimbursements made to the board members and to the superintendent for the 2017-2018 school year. Also, as required, the superintendent's current contract is attached at the end of this report.

MISSION STATEMENT

Santa Rosa Independent School District is in partnership with the administration, staff, teachers, parents, and the community. The district is committed to provide all students with the highest quality instruction through the use of modern technology, highly qualified teachers, and high performing learning environments. It is our goal to reach a level of high student performance, exemplary academic achievement, and to produce self-motivated citizens who will fulfill their potential and enhance their welfare for the future.

"Once a Warrior, Always a Warrior"

2017-2018 DISTRICT'S LONG RANGE GOALS

The long range goal of Santa Rosa ISD is to prepare students to be college and workforce ready. When 100% of our students graduate from Santa Rosa High School they will be prepared to enter the workforce or prepared for postsecondary. A long range goal for Santa Rosa ISD is to be an "Academic Achievement Distinction Designated" (AADD) district. To provide facilities that will provide the education that will prepare students for the future.

"Warriors On the Move — Education On the Rise"

Dr. Angela Gonzalez,
Superintendent of Schools





**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas
2018-2019 WITH 2017-2018 COMPARISON
DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

2018-2019

Name: SANTA ROSA ISD (031914)

Rating: B=Above Standard

Indicators Answered YES: 5

Indicators Answered NO: 0

Points Earned: 86 of 100

2017-2018

Name: SANTA ROSA ISD (031914)

Rating: A=Superior

Indicators Answered YES: 5

Indicators Answered NO: 0

Points Earned: 98 of 100

**2018-2019 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2017-2018 DATA -
DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

Name: SANTA ROSA ISD (031914)		Publication Level 1: 8/7/2019 3:33:27 PM	
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
Rating: B = Above Standard		Last Updated: 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
District Score: 86		Passing Score: 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:42 PM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:42 PM	Yes

2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:42 PM	Yes
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:43 PM	Yes
4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:43 PM	Yes
5	This indicator is not being scored.		
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:43 PM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:44 PM	10
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:44 PM	10

9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:45 PM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:46 PM	0
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:46 PM	6
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:47 PM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:47 PM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:48 PM	10
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	8/5/2019 10:53:48 PM	10
			86 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			86 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.
----	--

B.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
	A = Superior	90-100
	B = Above Standard	80-89
	C = Meets Standard	60-79
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60
No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.		

2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: SANTA ROSA ISD(031914)		Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM	
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM	
Rating: A = Superior		Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM	
District Score: 98		Passing Score: 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	4/20/2018 8:13:53 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		

2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:53 AM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:53 AM	Yes
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:54 AM	Yes
4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:54 AM	Yes
5	<u>Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:54 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum

6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:54 AM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:54 AM	10
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:55 AM	10
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:55 AM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	7/11/2018 1:26:03 PM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:56 AM	8
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	6/19/2018 11:05:02 AM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:56 AM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	4/20/2018 8:13:56 AM	10

15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	4/20/2018 8:13:56 AM	10
			98 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.	
B.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
	A = Superior	90-100
	B = Above Standard	80-89
	C = Meets Standard	60-79
	F = Substandard Achievement	<60
No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.		

RATING WORKSHEET OVERVIEW – 2017-18 and 2016-17 Fiscal Year

1. Was The Complete Annual Financial Report (AFR) And Data Submitted To The TEA Within 30 Days Of The November 27th or January 28 Deadline Depending On The School District's Fiscal Year End Date Of June 30th or August 31, Respectively?

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, was **filed** with the Texas Education Agency **within one month** of the deadline date of January 28, 2019. The AFR was filed on **February 7, 2019**. For the AFR for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2017, was filed on **January 26, 2018**.

2. A. Was There An Unmodified Opinion In The AFR On The Financial Statements As A Whole? (The American Institute Of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Defines Unmodified Opinion. The External Independent Auditor Determines If There Was An Unmodified Opinion.)

Yes, the opinion expressed by our independent auditors on the **August 31, 2018** and **August 31, 2017** audit report was an **Unmodified Opinion**.

A “modified” on the District’s financial report would have meant that corrections were needed in some of our reporting or financial controls. A district’s goal, therefore, is to receive an “unmodified opinion” on its Annual Financial Report. An Unqualified Opinion is the same as a “clean audit”.

2. B. Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)

Yes, the external independent auditors report was **free of any instance of material weakness** in internal controls on the **August 31, 2018** and **August 31, 2017** audit reports.

Internal control weaknesses create a risk that a district would not be able to properly account for its use of public funds, and should be immediately addressed. An independent audit is required to state if a district had material weaknesses.

3. Was The School District In Compliance With The Payment Terms Of All Debt Agreements At Fiscal Year End? (If The School District Was In Default In A Prior Fiscal Year, An Exemption Applies In Following Years If The School District Is Current On Its Forbearance Or Payment Plan With The Lender And The Payments Are Made On Schedule For The Fiscal Year Being Rated. Also Exempted Are Technical Defaults That Are Not Related To Monetary Defaults. A Technical Default Is A Failure To Uphold The Terms Of A Debt Covenant, Contract, Or Master Promissory Note Even Though Payments To The Lender, Trust, Or Sinking Fund Are Current. A Debt Agreement Is A Legal Agreement Between A Debtor (Person, Company, Etc. That Owes Money) And Their Creditors, Which Includes A Plan For Paying Back The Debt.)?

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's **was in compliance** with all debt payment terms for **August 31, 2018** and **August 31, 2017**.

4. Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's **made timely payments** to Government Agencies for **August 31, 2018** and **August 31, 2017**.

5. Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)

For the 2018 school year, this indicator is not being scored. For the 2017 school year, Santa Rosa ISD's total unrestricted net asset balance was greater than zero and it was \$7,256,939.

6. Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=90	<90 >=75	<74 >=60	<60 >=45	<45 >=30	<30

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's number of days on hand was **113.5075** for the **2018** school year and **159.855** for the **2017** school year.

7. Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=3.00	<3.00 >=2.50	<2.50 >=2.00	<2.00 >=1.50	<1.50 >=1.00	<1.00

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's measure of current assets to current liabilities was **4.1986** for the **2018** school year and **3.5871** for the **2017** school year.

8. Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
<=0.60	>0.60 <=0.70	>0.70 <=0.80	>0.80 <=0.90	>0.90 <=1.00	>1.00

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets was **0.3353** for the **2018** school year and **0.3534** for the **2017** school year.

9. Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's number of days of cash on hand is greater than 60. It is **113.5075 days** for the **2018** school year and it is **159.855 days** for the **2017** school year.

10. Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	8	6	4	2	0
>=1.20	<1.20 >=1.15	<1.15 >=1.10	<1.10 >=1.05	<1.05 >=1.00	<1.00

No, Santa Rosa ISD's debt service coverage ratio was **0.9049** for the **2018** school year which earned **0** points and yes, **6.4478** for the **2017** school year which earned **10** points.

11. Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)

RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS

ADA Size	10	8	6	4	2	0
10,000 and Above	<= 0.0855	> 0.0855 <= 0.1105	> 0.1105 <= 0.1355	> 0.1355 <= 0.1605	> 0.1605 <= 0.1855	> 0.1855
5,000 to 9,999	<= 0.1000	> 0.1000 <= 0.1250	> 0.1250 <= 0.1500	> 0.1500 <= 0.1750	> 0.1750 <= 0.2000	> 0.2000
1,000 to 4,999	<= 0.1151	> 0.1151 <= 0.1401	> 0.1401 <= 0.1651	> 0.1651 <= 0.1901	> 0.1901 <= 0.2151	> 0.2151
500 to 999	<= 0.1311	> 0.1311 <= 0.1561	> 0.1561 <= 0.1811	> 0.1811 <= 0.2061	> 0.2061 <= 0.2311	> 0.2311
Less than 500	<= 0.2404	> 0.2404 <= 0.2654	> 0.2654 <= 0.2904	> 0.2904 <= 0.3154	> 0.3154 <= 0.3404	> 0.3404
Sparse	<= 0.3364	> 0.3364 <= 0.3614	> 0.3614 <= 0.3864	> 0.3864 <= 0.4114	> 0.4114 <= 0.4364	> 0.4364

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's administrative cost ratio was **0.1533** for the **2018** school year which earned **6** points out of 10 and **0.1346** for the **2017** school year which earned **8** points out of 10.

12. Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's did not have a 15 percent decline in the student to staff ratio over 3 years. For **2018** school year, the District actually had a **4.81 percent loss** for the time period. For **2017** school year, the District actually had a **4.54 percent loss** for the time period.

13. Did The Comparison Of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) Data To Like Information In the School District AFR Result In A Total Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of All Expenditures by Function?

Yes, Santa Rosa ISD's data quality measure was **0%** for **2018** and **2017**, far below the allowable 3 percent variation.

This indicator measures the quality of data reported to PEIMS and in the Annual Financial Report to make certain that the data reported in each case "matches up." If the difference in numbers reported in any fund type is more than 3 percent, the district "fails" this measure.

14. Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)

Santa Rosa ISD had no material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws reported in our **2018** and **2017** AFR.

15. Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?

Santa Rosa ISD did not have an adjusted repayment schedule related to the Foundation School Program for school year **2018** and **2017**.

REQUIRED DISCLOSERS

Reimbursements Received by the Board Members

For the Twelve-month Period Ended August 31, 2018	Sandra Rickford	Bobby de la Fuente	Cynthia Saldivar	Hector Lumbrera
Description of Reimbursements	Board Member 1	Board Member 2	Board Member 2	Board Member 3
Meals	\$536.50	\$448.00	\$371.50	\$736.00
Lodging	\$1,332.20	\$1,423.77	\$1,129.89	\$1,654.58
Transportation	\$930.42	\$814.23	\$286.05	\$1,092.18
Motor Fuel	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other	\$1265.00	\$1,115.00	\$545.00	\$1,115.00
Total	\$4,064.12	\$3,801.00	\$2,332.44	\$4,597.76

For the Twelve-month Period Ended August 31, 2018	Santos Castaneda	Danny Theys	Raul Garza	Arnulfo Castaneda	Leah Theys
Description of Reimbursements	Board Member 3	Board Member 4	Board Member 5	Board Member 6	Board Member 7
Meals	\$371.50	\$883.50	\$659.50	\$547.50	\$972.00
Lodging	\$1,094.08	\$1,149.69	\$1,888.44	\$1,712.11	\$1,518.34
Transportation	\$336.05	\$1,150.28	\$605.28	\$605.28	\$356.31
Motor Fuel	\$	\$	\$		
Other	\$545.00	\$1,660.00	\$1,285.00	\$1,285.00	\$1,756.00
Total	\$2,346.63	\$4,843.47	\$4,438.22	\$4,149.89	\$4,602.65

Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent

For the Twelve-month Period Ended August 31, 2018	Heriberto Villarreal
Description of Reimbursements	Superintendent
Meals	\$2,084.50
Lodging	\$6,582.27
Transportation	\$3,261.33
Motor Fuel	\$
Other	\$2,715.00
Total	\$14,643.10

Description of Reimbursements:

All “reimbursements” expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other: - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

**For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2018**

Name of Entity	Amount Received
-----------------------	------------------------

None	\$0.00
------	--------

Total	\$0.00
-------	--

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period	Heriberto	Sandra	Bobby	Cynthia	Hector	Santos	Danny	Raul	Arnulfo	Leah
Ended August 31, 2018	Villarreal	Rickford	De la Fuente	Saldivar	Lumbrera	Castaneda	Theys	Garza	Castaneda	Theys
		Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board
	Superintendent	Member 1	Member 2	Member 2	Member 3	Member 3	Member 4	Member 5	Member 6	Member 7
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Note - An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.										

Business Transactions between School District and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period	Sandra	Bobby	Cynthia	Hector	Santos	Danny	Raul	Arnulfo	Leah
Ended August 31, 2018	Rickford	De la Fuente	Saldivar	Lumbrera	Castaneda	Theys	Garza	Castaneda	Theys
	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board	Board
	Member 1	Member 2	Member 2	Member 3	Member 3	Member 4	Member 5	Member 6	Member 7
Amounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.									

CONTACT INFORMATION

**Santa Rosa Independent School District
Business Office
P.O. Box 368
Santa Rosa, Texas 78593**

Phone: 956-636-9815

Fax: 956-636-1439

www.srtx.org



Santa Rosa Independent School District

*“Warriors On the Move —
Education On the Rise”*

For additional information, please contact:

**Mrs. Marisa Cuevas
Interim Chief Financial Officer
Phone: 956-636-9815**