1	Title 5. EDUCATION
2	Division 1. California Department of Education
3	Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula
4	Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for
5	Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability
6	Plan Template
7	Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for
8	Supplemental and Concentration Grants
9	
10	§ 15494. Scope.
11	(a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in
12	section 15495(d).
13	(b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local
14	control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils
15	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03.
16	(c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services
17	are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and
18	improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas.
19	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
20	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
21	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
22	<u>6312.</u>
23	
24	§ 15495. Definitions.
25	In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and
26	42238.02, the following definitions are provided:
27	(a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and
28	47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other
29	numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of
30	the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil
31	advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups
32	representing pupils.

1	(b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections
2	52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county
3	superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English
4	learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a
5	majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in
6	Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a
7	county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English
8	learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these
9	requirements.
10	(c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA
11	pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in
12	conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5.
13	(d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of
14	education, or charter school.
15	(e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other
16	persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to
17	Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or
18	56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions.
19	(f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and
20	52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of
21	pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education
22	Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county
23	superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory
24	committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including
25	any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind
26	Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of
27	Title I of that act.
28	(g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for
29	which an LCAP is approved.
30	(h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not
31	limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities,
32	pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to

1	operate and deliver educational instruction and related services.
2	(i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections
3	52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities
4	identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the
5	nature of the program operated by the charter school.
6	(j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuan
7	to Education Code section 52052.
8	(k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality.
9	(I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity.
0	(m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the
1	definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible
2	for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners.
13	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
4	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
15	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
16	<u>6312.</u>
7	
8	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services
19	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for
20	Supplemental and Concentration Grants.
21	(a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding
22	apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils,
23	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to
24	support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for
25	unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to
26	the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of
27	unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA
28	shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the
29	LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall
30	determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased
31	or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows:

(1) Estimate the amount of the LCFF target attributed to the supplemental and

32

concentration grants for the LEA calculated pursuant to Education Code sections 1 2 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 3 (2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for 4 unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on 5 services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14 6 shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in 7 the 2012-13 fiscal year. 8 (3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1). 9 (4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide 10 11 funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in 12 the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 13 (5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2). 14 (6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant 15 to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted 16 17 Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation 18 program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 19 (7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6). 20 (8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero 21 or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its 22 percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target 23 attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant 24 to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is 25 adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the 26 Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School 27 Transportation program. 28 (b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds 29 apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education 30 31 Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved

services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to

32

	. ago o o o
1	upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter
2	school, or a county office of education as follows:
3	(1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or
4	more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or
5	in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a
6	districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all o
7	the following:
8	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
9	districtwide basis.
10	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
11	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
12	any local priority areas.
13	(2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55
14	percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted
15	may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A
16	school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following:
17	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
18	districtwide basis.
19	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
20	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
21	any local priority areas.
22	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the
23	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The
24	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,
25	any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational
26	theory.
27	(3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is
28	40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP

(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a

is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds

on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do

29

30

31

32

all of the following:

1	scho	wloc	ide	basis.
	<u>30110</u>	JUIW	iue	<u>vasis.</u>

- (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
 are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
 any local priority areas.
- 5 (4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40
- percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is
 adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide
- 8 basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the
- 9 following:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
 schoolwide basis.
- (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
 are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
 any local priority areas.
 - (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to, any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational theory.
 - (5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following:
- (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
 countywide or charterwide basis.
- 25 (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
 26 are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its
 27 unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable.
- 28 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
- 29 <u>Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,</u>
- 30 <u>47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section</u>
- 31 <u>6312.</u>

1	§ 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of
2	Proportionality.
3	In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3),
4	the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of
5	districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through
6	(b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will
7	increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a
8	county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district
9	has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated
0	pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school
1	district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071.
12	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
13	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
4	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
15	<u>6312.</u>
16	
7	
8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

§ 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

LEA: SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

--- ---

LCAP Year: 2015-16

Contact: Harriet MacLean, Ed.D., Assistant Superintendent of Education Services, hmaclean@srcs.org, 415-492-3222

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process

San Rafael City Schools (SRCS) sponsored an indepth, targeted and multi-layered engagement process this year. This engagement process included multiple opportunities to review the LCAP and to provide feedback on the plans to support all students in SRCS to graduate college and career ready.

The engagement opportunities took place in the form of:

- District staff engagements
- Parent advisory meetings and community workshops
- Board presentations
- District advisory committee meetings

Please see below for more details on each of the areas.

Impact on LCAP

Each stakeholder has a unique and relevant perspective on the needs of the students and the direction that SRCS is taking to address those needs. By providing ongoing and focused engagements, SRCS has been able to provide valuable information to its stakeholders on the district's visions, while at the same time, gathering valuable input into refining a targeted set of goals and outcomes.

A large majority of our engagement this year was to move from several goals for multiple initiatives to five aligned goals. This goal alignment involved a thoughtful stakeholder engagement process that involved central office leadership, site principals, teachers, parents, students and community. This process culminated in the creation of five shared LCAP goals for both the elementary and high school districts that are directly aligned with the district goals. These shared goals are also directly aligned to the school board's goals, and as such, promote a common focus and commitment districts-wide.

Overall, through our engagement process, we received feedback on areas of continued focus from the 2015-18 LCAP, including implementation of the state standards. attracting and retaining high quality staff, support for targeted subgroups of students, student success and support systems, and promoting a college-going culture. SRCS has also maintained its commitment to strengthening community engagement and promoting a welcoming and supportive school climate. Within the plan for 2015-18 is a more targeted focus on supporting students with the highest needs, as reflected by an investment in programs to ensure all students are on reading on grade level by third grade using the SEAL Training program, ensuring support for students at each of the transition points (i.e., K-1st, 5-6th grade, 8-9th grade, 12th to college, and centralized translation

Also new this year is a goal specifically dedicated to finance and resources. While this goal covers many vital programs, of particular note is SRČS's Facilities Master Plan and details for its first few years of implementation. Lastly, SRCS will continue to provide focused attention on the needs of students at their specific grade levels, while managing both districts through centralized services, academic support and leadership to ensure that the districts work together to support the needs of all of its students. This coordinated support is evident in the 2015-18 LCAP.

District Staff Engagements:

- Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units
 - o CSEA Labor Meeting March 11, 2015
 - SRFT Labor Meeting March 9, 2015 and May 28, 2015
- Principals' Meetings: Regular bi-monthly meetings beginning in November in which LCAP was discussed consistently.
- Site and District Leadership Workshop
 - o March 17, 2015
- Join the Conversations: Sessions with the Superintendent at school sites for teachers and staff
 - Terra Linda High School 12/8/14
 - San Rafael High School 12/10/15 and 1/7/15
 - Madrone High School 4/7/15

District Staff Engagements:

- Presentations and discussion with Collective Bargaining Units: New LCFF funding structure and the LCAP process was reviewed. Updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goalsetting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals. These discussions specifically lead to LCAP goals and actions related to:
 - Attracting and retaining high quality staff
 - Professional development time
- Principals' Meetings: Through regular conversations with site administrators, priorities emerged as needed elements of the LCAP. These included:
 - How to align LCAP master district plan to be implemented by all schools and Site SPSA Plans will align with LCAP
 - The district office must provide greater levels of support for sites in achieving district-wide goals
 - Need for a common and consistent data tracking and analysis system
 - Need to provide comprehensive English Language Development plan and articulated programs/services
- Join the Conversations: At these sessions, the Superintendent and members of the administrative team received feedback on progress the District was making on its LCAP goals. Additionally, the team received information about priorities at the school site to learn more about specific priorities for targeted subgroups and the particularly needs of a school site. Furthermore, the team also visited classrooms to see teaching and learning in action and had conversations with site leaders. The information gathered at these extended sessions helped refine the District's LCAP with a better understanding of actions and services for targeted subgroups and District-wide trends.

Parent Advisory Meetings and Community Workshops:

- LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee
 - o January 13, 2015
 - o March 12, 2015
 - o April, 22, 2015
 - o June 1, 2015
- Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement
 - DELAC Meetings October 21, 2014,
 January 13, 2015, February 19, 2015,
 March 17, 2015, May 5, 2015
 - EL Task Force January 15, 2015 and January 29, 2015
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members
 - o March 25, 2015
 - o May 4, 2015
 - These meetings were advertised through flyers, social media, website and calls

LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English
Learner Parent Advisory Committee: The
SRCS Superintendent, Director of Strategic
Initiatives, Community Engagement and
Communications Coordinator, and/or Chief
Business Official explained the LCFF and
LCAP process and received initial feedback
from staff, parents, and community members.
These served as a way to inform and gather
authentic input and feedback from
stakeholders. Forums provided a pathway to
understand the LCFF and LCAP process more
clearly so participants could provide input via
upcoming meetings and sessions.

The district has been particularly interested in engaging with parents from the PAC and the ELPAC as it develops its LCAP and implement the English Language Development Master Plan in order to ensure that these plans address the needs of English learner and low income students.

Specifically, the PAC/ELPAC had the following roles:

- Provide feedback on the District's process for engaging parents, teachers, staff and the community
- Provide feedback on the District's LCAP
- Support prioritizing strategies for the LCAP

- Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement: Served as a way to inform, educate, and gather input from English Language Learner representatives and members of the community who are socioeconomically disadvantaged. Served to inform the process.
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members:

March 25, 2015: Approximately 140 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At this first workshop, the District: provided a brief review of the guidelines for LCFF/LCAP; reviewed SRCS' process for LCAP Development; and provided an update on progress towards LCAP goals for 2014-15. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' refined LCAP goals and prioritize actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP

May 4, 2015: Approximately 75 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At the second workshop, the District reviewed SRCS' process and progress for LCAP development; provided examples of how feedback has shaped plan and process; and gave an overview of "big picture" for goal areas. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' draft LCAP.

San Rafael City Schools Board Meetings

- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
 - January 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - January 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o Feb. 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o Feb. 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - March 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o March 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o April 27 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o May 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - May 26 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
 - June 8 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
 - June 22 Regular Board Meeting Public Hearing
 - June 24 Regular Board meeting Final Adoption

 SRCS Regular Board Meetings: SRCS used the School Board meetings as a consistent venue for updating both the Board and the district at large on district's progress towards the goals and outcomes within the LCAP as well as to encourage stakeholder engagement in the Annual Update and LCAP refinement process.

The Board received an update on LCFF and LCAP development at each scheduled board meeting. The items were agenized at the beginning of the meeting so as to encourage public comment. Drafts were shared and the Board heard public comment on the DRAFT LCAP. The final LCAP and District budget presented to the Board for final adoption.

District Advisory Committee Meetings:

- Budget Advisory Committee
 - o November 19, 2014
 - o December 17, 2014
 - o January 21, 2015
 - o February 11, 2015
 - o March 18, 2015
 - o April 22, 2015
- Curriculum Advisory Committee
 - January 6, 2015
 - o February 3, 2015
 - o March 9, 2015

SRCS provided ongoing updates to its advisory committees both on the content of the LCAP and on the district's progress towards meetings its goals. It also used utilized the insight and expertise of various advisory committees throughout the year to evaluate progress and to recommend refinements to the LCAP. Each group maintained a specific focus and district leadership integrated feedback from the various advisory committees as it refined and updated the LCAP.

- Budget Advisory Committee: Served to update and engage the budget committee members in order to inform budget process for this year and the future. Specific updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals.
- Curriculum Advisory Committee: Provided LCAP overview and timeline as well as LCAP explanation, update and review of upcoming dates for input.

Annual Update

SRCS used the stakeholder engagements described above to provide regular updates on the LCAP progress. SRCS presented or discussed the Annual Update during these events:

- LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee
 - o March 12, 2015
 - o April, 22, 2015
- Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units
 - o CSEA Labor Meeting March 11, 2015
 - SRFT Labor Meeting March 9, 2015 and May 28, 2015
- Join the Conversations:
 - o Terra Linda High School 12/8/14
 - San Rafael High School 12/10/15 and 1/7/15
 - Madrone High School 4/7/15
- Site and District Leadership Workshop
 - o March 17, 2015
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members
 - o March 25, 2015
- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
 - January 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - January 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o Feb. 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o Feb. 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o March 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - March 23 Regular Board Meeting Update

Annual Update

Analysis of the 2014-15 LCAP during the Annual Update process revealed the need for more refined and targeted goals that aligned with the school board's goals.

During the annual update process this year, therefore, SRCS not only provided an update on progress made to-date on the LCAP, but also gathered stakeholder feedback in order to develop refined goals, actions and services.

This process began with the central office leadership team aligning the nine goals in the elementary school LCAP, and the 11 goals in the high school LCAP into five shared goals that directly align with the school board goals.

These refined goals were reviewed first by the PAC and ELPAC on March 12, 2015, and then later in a larger community workshop on March 25, 2015 where participants provided feedback both on the refined goals and recommended actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAPs.

The refined goals were also reviewed during the board meetings in March where further feedback was gathered from board members and the community.

Next, a district leadership team that included site principals, union representatives and central office leaders reviewed the stakeholder feedback and progress towards the 2014-15 goals. This process led to the development of draft goals, actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP.

• Budget Advisory Committee

- o March 18, 2015
- o April 22, 2015

• Curriculum Advisory Committee

- o January 6, 2015
- o February 3, 2015
- o March 9, 2015

This draft LCAP has been further reviewed and refined throughout the remainder of the stakeholder engagement process as described above.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?

- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

		Page 23 of 96		
	student receives rigorous instruction and support and is held to high	Related State and/or Local Priorities:		
	ions so that they can foster critical thinking, collaboration, creativity,	1_X 2_X 3 4_X 5_X 6 7 8_X		
and com	munication skills in order to master the Common Core State Standards	COE only: 9 10		
while co	ntinuing to be college, career and community ready.	Local: Specify		
Identified Need:	District systems and structures to support transition to the Common Core State Language Development (ELD) standards, with specific attention paid to studer Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CO Site staff will track matched cohort data for target students using the following: CAHSEE pass rates, A-G graduate rates, reclassification rate, Advanced Place attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-out rates, high and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AV	to standards-based instructional CSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) CAASP assessment results, ement passing rates. 5) School school graduation rates. 8) Parent		
Cool Applies to:	Schools: All High Schools			
Goal Applies to.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students			
	LCAP Year 1 : 2015-16			
Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students				

Targeted Subgroups

- k) Increased monitoring of EL and reclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance.
- I) Increased English learner and other targeted subgroup participation in summer school and credit recovery programs.
- m) Completed English Learner Master Plan, including transition timeline for full program implementation.
- n) Completed plan for the Newcomer Program, including transition timeline for full implementation
- o) Maintained CAHSEE pass rate.

College Going Culture

- p) Maintained counselor-student ratio
 - o Increased number of college planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college goers, students with disabilities, and low-income youth
- q) Increased student participation in Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- r) Document progress on the development of the plan to increase/improve college and career services.
- s) Documented research, meetings, and initial planning on 21st Century Instructional Plan.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a) Implement CCSS Implementation plan through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals. (Extra-duty hourly)	All Schools	_X_ALL	\$5,000 (GF One- time funds)
b) Purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned (i.e. highly engaging non-fiction books with a variety of text complexity) and purchase materials that support English Language Arts, ELD and other textbook/materials replacement.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$80,000 (Prop-20 Lottery) \$192,000 & \$20,000 ELD (GF One- time funds)
c) Pilot district-wide comprehensive assessment systems for English language arts and mathematics. In English language arts, teachers will work collaboratively to develop a CCSS and SBAC aligned assessment system. Implement the English language development assessments. Establish baseline student achievement data for all systems. (software licenses, extra-duty time)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$59,000 (GF One- time funds)

Page 25 of 96

			Fage 25 01 90
d) Increase ELD support in content areas via direct coaching to teachers and train-the-trainer coaching embedded in the school day (5-sections). This work will also be supported through collaboration time (subject to negotiations) and coaching provided by site administrators using the Instructional Observation Protocol.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities	\$127,000 (GF One- time funds)
e) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10 million General Fund \$850,000 (Parcel Tax)
f) Establish committee to develop and implement district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—possibly expanding interventions and enrichment beyond the school day and focusing on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, and other at-risk youth.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_academically at-risk youth, students with disabilities_	Title I (Sites)
g) Continue to implement online credit recovery service (Apex Learning) and assess student progress to determine effectiveness and consider piloting a new credit recovery program and develop recommendations for district-wide implementation in 2016-17. (software license)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_academically at-risk youth	\$23,000 General Fund
h) Implement district plan to increase access to electives and enrichment activities for targeted student subgroups, including making changes to the master schedule to increase student access and participation. (within site FTE allocation)	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

Page 26 of 96

i) Increased communications and trainings will be provided for students and parents on the student information system.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
j) Provide transition support services to incoming 9 th grade students.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Site allocations
k) Identify site EL coordinators within site allocation, including assistant principals, to design and implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
Continue and expand summer credit recovery program targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery (software license - Apex)	All Schools 11-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$15,000 Title I Site Carryover
m) Complete the design of and initiate implementation of the English Learner Master Plan. (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs)	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$89,000 General Fund
n) Provide additional sections (2.6 FTE) for newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools. Add 1.0 ELD at SRHS. Develop a plan to phase in a redesigned Newcomer Program with support pathways for native language literacy.	SRHS & TLHS 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$337,000 (newcomer) \$109,000 (ELD) General Fund

Page 27 of 96

a) Dravida abaya watia 0.0 FTF () Lati	ODLIC 1		ΦΕ 4 000
o) Provide above ratio 0.2 FTE to both	SRHS &	ALL	\$54,800
comprehensive high schools to provide	TLHS		General
supplemental instruction and preparation to targeted student subgroups to pass the CAHSEE.	9-12	OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	Fund
p) Maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE).	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$880,000 Parcel Tax
q) Expand AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High within existing teacher allocations, focusing on targeted student subgroups. (5860)	All Schools 9-12	ALLOR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$24,000 General Fund
r) Continue development of a plan to improve and increase College and Career Center services—specifically for ELs, first generation college goers, and low-income youth. Increase College & Career Advisor from 1.0 to 2.0 (1.0 FTE at each comprehensive HS)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$130,000 General Fund
s) Develop a 21st century/technology instructional plan.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$0

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

Common Core

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

- a) All students receive instruction aligned to the CCSS.
- b) Students use CCSS-aligned resources and instructional materials.
- c) District-wide common assessments in place to improve monitoring of student progress and mastery of CCSS.
- d) Content curriculum will be accessible to EL students with the implementation of effective strategies supported by coaches.

- ELs monitored to ensure they are achieving at least one level growth per year on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment
- Increased EL student Math and ELA CAHSEE pass rate by 5%

Student Success and Support Systems

- e) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathematics.
 - Increased student pass rate of Algebra 1 by 5%
 - Decreased D/F list by 10%
- f) Each classroom has a highly qualified credentialed teacher.
- g) Increased number of students receiving credit recovery.
- h) Maintained counselor-student ratio
 - Increased number of college planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college goers, students with disabilities, and low-income youth

Targeted Subgroups

- i) Maintained CAHSEE pass rate.
- j) Increased monitoring of EL and reclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance.
- k) Improved English learner academic grades and graduation rate
- I) Increased EL academic performance in language arts and mathematics
- m) Increased student participation in AVID.
- n) Increased and improved college and career services.
- o) Improved teacher efficacy in the integration of 21st Century skills in daily instruction

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a) Continue CCSS Implementation plan and refine work plan to continue to improve instructional and assessment practices through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals (Extra-duty hourly)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 General Fund
b) Purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned (i.e. highly engaging non-fiction books with a variety of text complexity) and purchase materials that support Social Studies	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 Prop 20 Lottery & General Fund

Page 29 of 96

c) Implement the district-wide comprehensive assessment system (Software Licensing if applicable) for English language arts and mathematics. Implement the English language development assessments.	All schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$44,000 General Fund
d) Provide on-going coaching and support to core content teachers in the integration of the ELD standards and strategies for differentiation into CCSS-aligned units of instruction. This work will be supported through collaboration time (subject to negotiations) and coaching provided by site administrators using the Instructional Observation Protocol.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities	TBD \$0
e) Refine district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—including interventions and enrichment beyond the school day.	SRHS & Madrone HS 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_academically at-risk youth, students with disabilities_	Title I – site funds
f) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10.2 million General Fund \$860,000 (Parcel Tax)
g) Implement credit recovery program recommendations (if any) at all high schools. (Apex)	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_academically at-risk youth	\$23,000 General Fund
h) Maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$890,000 Parcel Tax

Page 30 of 96

i) Provide above ratio 0.2 FTE to both comprehensive high schools to provide supplemental instruction and preparation to targeted student subgroups to pass the CAHSEE.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$55,600 General Fund
j) Continue to implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
k) Continue summer credit recovery program and summer school targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery	All Schools 11-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$37,500 Title I
I.1) Continue implementation of the English Learner Master Plan (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$91,000 General Fund
I.2) Begin phasing in a redesigned Newcomer Program with support pathways for native language literacy and continue to provide additional sections for newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools as necessary based on the new design.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$450,000 General Fund
m) Continue supporting the AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High within the existing teacher allocation—focusing on targeted student subgroups. (Software Licensing)	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$24,000 General Fund

and Career Center first generation coll	orove and increase College services—specifically for ELs, ege goers, and low-income FTE College & Career	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$135,000 General Fund
o) Implement the 21st century/technology instructional plan and develop a rubric to inform practice. Purchase additional devices (subject to funding availability).		All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 Parcel Tax
		LCAP Y	ear 3: 2017-18	
Common Core a) Students use CCSS-aligned resources and instructional materials. b) Content curriculum will be accessible to EL students with the implementation of effective strategies. a. ELs monitored to ensure they are achieving at least one level growth per year on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment b. Increased EL student Math and ELA CAHSEE pass rate by 5% Student Success and Support Systems c) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathematics. a. Increased student pass rate of Algebra 1 by 5% b. Decreased D/F list by 10% d) Each classroom has a highly qualified credentialed teacher. e) Maintained counselor-student ratio a. Increased number of college planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college goers, students with disabilities, and low-income youth f) Increased student participation in AVID.				
	Targeted Subgroups g) Maintained CAHSEE pass rate. h) Increased monitoring of EL and reclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance. i) Improved English learner academic grades and graduation rate j) Increased EL academic performance in language arts and mathematics k) Maintained college and career services. l) Improved teacher efficacy in the integration of 21st Century skills in daily instruction			
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures

Page 32 of 96

			1 age 32 01 30
a) Purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned for new adoption cycle (i.e. highly engaging non-fiction books with a variety of text complexity).	All Schools 9-12	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 Prop-20 Lottery & General Fund
b) Continue on-going coaching and support to core content teachers in the integration of the ELD standards and strategies for differentiation into CCS-aligned units of instruction. This work will be supported through collaboration time (subject to negotiations) and coaching provided by site administrators using the Instructional Observation Protocol.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	TBD \$0
c) Continue implementation of the district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—including interventions and enrichment beyond the school day.	San Rafael & Madrone 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Title I site allocations
d) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10.4 million General Fund \$870,000 Parcel Tax
e) Maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE).	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$890,000 Parcel Tax
f) Continue supporting the AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High within the existing teacher allocation, focusing on targeted student subgroups.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$24,000 General Fund

Page 33 of 96

g) Provide above ratio 0.2 FTE to both comprehensive high schools to provide supplemental instruction and preparation to targeted student subgroups to pass the CAHSEE.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$55,600 General Fund
h) Continue to implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
i) Continue summer credit recovery program and summer school targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$37,500 (Title I)
j) Implement final phases of the English Learner Master Plan including the redesigned Newcomer Program with support pathways for native language literacy with reduced additional sections per program design. (Director of English Learner Programs)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$92,500 General Fund \$TBD
k) Continue support for College and Career Center services—specifically for ELs, first generation college goers, and low-income youth (Maintain 2.0 FTE College & Career Advisors 2.0 FTE (1 at each comprehensive high schools)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$135,000 General Fund
I) Expand 21st century/technology instructional practices and use a rubric to inform practice (add devices, subject to availability of funds.)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 Parcel Tax

		Page 34 of 96	
GOAL: colla	ovide all staff with differentiated professional development with a focus on coration, alignment, and high quality staff retention and support, so as to mize student learning and achievement.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_X 2_X 3 4_X 5_X 6 7 8_X COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify	
Professional development to support the District's transition to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and English Language Development (ELD) standards and to foster deeper understanding of the District's goals, initiatives and expectations. Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CCSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) Student performance on CAASP assessments, CAHSEE pass rates, A-G graduate rates, reclassification rate, Advanced Placement passing rates. 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-o rates, high school graduation rates. 8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes.			
Goal Applies to	Schools: All Schools		
Coai / ipplico i	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students		
	LCAP Year 1: 2015-16		
Expected Ann Measurable Outcomes:	Common Core a) Redefined role and protocols for Instructional Leadership Teams to an student growth, and refine instructional initiatives b) Increased number of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned units of instructional student Success and Support Systems c) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data d) Improved teacher efficacy in the use of student information systems, I other electronic information tools e) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and student assessment—inc Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Targeted Subgroups f) Increased academic achievement of English learners across all core of Increased academic achievement of students in targeted subgroups High-Quality Staff h) Improved staff retention rates i) Increased efficacy of new staff in the use of district-wide tools, protocolline in targeted strategies to support new and existing staff	etion across all core content areas learning management systems, and luding refined protocols for content areas	

Page 35 of 96

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a) Align the role of the Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) at all sites to support implementation of district and site instructional goals and monitor student progress.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0° -
b) Create and implement CCSS-aligned units of instruction and assessments which will be uploaded to an online repository for teachers to access district-wide (UCB / HSSP consultants)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$25,000 Title II (carryover)
c) Provide professional development based on new assessments and data to set student achievement targets and monitor student growth (training release time)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 - Title II
d) Engage staff to identify key alignment processes, systems and trainings to effectively implement a student information system	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e) Evaluate existing PLC structure and enhance with aligned tools to inform teacher collaboration and foster refinement of CCSS-aligned units, rubrics, and related tools to evaluate student performance.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

Page 36 of 96

f) Provide professional development for all teachers on new ELD standards and related instructional strategies	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$15,000 Title II
g) Provide professional development to site administrators focused on the use of assessments, implementation of Response to Intervention (RTI), and implementation of the EL Master Plan. (Consultants)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:_Students with disabilities	\$0
h) Implement staff recruitment and selection processes and retain qualified teachers through competitive compensation (compensation packages subject to negotiations)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
i) Establish on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
j) Implement process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support staff, and administrator recruitment and retention All Schools 9-12		_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
k.1) Participate in a county-wide collaborative task force to identify issues and possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

				Page 37 01 96
pay from \$110 per of interim daily rate for	crease in the daily sub rate of day to \$120 per day, and the days 11-20 in the same per day to \$140 per day	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$20,000 General Fund
		LCAP Y	ear 2 : 2016-17	
b) Improved teacher efficace c) Improved teacher efficace other electronic informati d) Refined tools for teacher Professional Learning Co Targeted Subgroups e) Increased academic achieves		rt Systems by in the analy in the use ion tools r collaboratio ommunities (ed CCSS-aligned units of instruction across all core con ysis of student assessment data of student information systems, learning management s n and student assessment— including refined protocols PLCs) English learners across all core content areas students in targeted subgroups	systems, and
	High-Quality Staff g) Improved staff retention h) Increased efficacy of nev i) Refined strategies to sup	w staff in the	use of district-wide tools, protocols, and systems d existing staff	
Act	ions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	ing improvement and further SS-aligned units of instruction	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

Page 38 of 96

b) Continue to provide professional development on assessment systems and data to set refined student achievement targets and monitor student growth to inform instructional practices and interventions	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 Title II
c) Refine processes and systems and provide trainings to improve implementation of the student information and learning management systems	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$10,000 General Fund
d) Refine PLC structure with improved tools to inform teacher collaboration and foster refinement of CCSS-aligned units, rubrics, and related tools to evaluate student performance.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$0
e) Continue to provide professional development for all teachers on new ELD standards and related instructional strategies in the development of instructional units.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$15,000 Title II
f) Continue to provide professional development to site administrators focused on the use of assessments, implementation of Response to Intervention (RTI), and implementation of the EL Master Plan. (Consultants)	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
g) Continue staff recruitment and selection processes and retain qualified teachers through competitive compensation (subject to negotiations)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

i) Continue process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support staff, and administrator recruitment and retention		All Schools 9-12 All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)X_ALLOR:	\$0 \$0		
			Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
		LCAP Ye	ear 3 : 2017-18			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Common Core a) Increased number of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned units of instruction across all core content areas Student Success and Support Systems b) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data c) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and student assessment— including refined protocols for Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Targeted Subgroups d) Increased academic achievement of English learners across all core content areas e) Increased academic achievement of students in targeted subgroups High-Quality Staff f) Maintained level of student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment.					
Act	ions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
	ort improvement and further SS-aligned units of instruction	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0		

Page 40 of 96

			Page 40 of 96
b) Continue to support PLCs on using assessment data to set refined student achievement targets	All Schools	<u>X</u> ALL	\$0
and monitor student growth to inform instructional practices and interventions	9-12	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
c) Enhance PLC protocols to support on-going refinement of CCSS-aligned units, rubrics, and	All Schools	_X_ALL	\$0
related tools to evaluate student performance.	9-12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
d) Continue to provide professional development for all teachers on new ELD standards and related	All Schools	ALL	\$5,000 Title II
instructional strategies in the delivery of differentiated instruction.	9-12	OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
e) Continue to provide professional development to site administrators focused on the use of	All Schools	ALL	\$0
assessments, implementation of Response to Intervention (RTI), and implementation of the EL Master Plan. (Consultants)	9-12	OR: Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	

GOAL:	District	age our resources responsibly, transparently and in alignment with goals and priorities so that the District is able to focus its efforts to ne needle for student success.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_X_ 2 3 4 5 6 7_X_ 8 COE only: 9 10 Local: Specify
Identified	d Need:	Fiscal transparency is achieved as resources are aligned and structured to supgrowing student enrollment and the transitions to the Local Control Funding Fo Core State Standards (CCSS). Required metrics: 1) 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have accematerials; all school facilities are maintained in good repair. 7) A-G completion to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas.	ess to standards-based instructional
Goal An	plies to:	Schools: All schools	
Coal Ap	plies to.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All students	

LCAP Year 1: 2015-16

- a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources
- b) Maintained or improved student device ratios
- c) Increased number of staff assigned new computers and/or tablets
- d) Bond measure passed in November 2015; completed timeline and budgets for implementation of Master Facilities Plan (MFP)
- e) Improved broadband and connectivity

Expected Annual

Measurable

Outcomes:

- f) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews
- g) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act.
- h) Maintained services for students with disabilities.
- i) Increased student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment.
- i) A plan to increase student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment via athletics.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Provide 3 full time site administrators and office staff to support instructional programs at both comprehensive high schools and 1 full time site administrator at Madrone with office staff.	All Schools 9-12	XALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.9 million General Fund
a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.2 million General Fund
a.3) Provide full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE).	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$210,000 General Fund

Page 42 of 96

b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	Parcel Tax \$80,000
c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Parcel Tax \$80,000
d.1) Complete an RFP process to select design teams and begin design process with school site facilities committees.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$O
d.2) Complete communications plan developed for Master Facilities Plan and funding options.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$26,000 General Fund
e) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband throughput and connectivity through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0 General Fund Bond Funds
f.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 General Fund

Page 43 of 96

f.2) Identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	Capital - Facility Fund 25
g) In accordance with the California Education Code, contribute 3% of the General Fund to support Routine Restricted Maintenance.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$1 million General Fund
h) Contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality special education services for students with disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education. (IDEA) Act.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$3.7 million - LCFF
i) Continue to support athletic programs through hiring of various classified and certificated athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$190,000 - \$45,000 \$22,000 General Fund
j) Conduct a needs assessment and review data to determine the appropriate level of district funding to the comprehensive high schools for athletics. As part of the process and to make a data—driven decision, the following will be analyzed: the support levels of athletics in districts across the county; the current needs of the schools; compliance with Title IX; and the effectiveness of athletics in increasing student achievement and engagement, particularly for targeted subgroups. (Current allocation to each site: \$45,000)	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	_X_ALL	\$90,000 General Fund

LCAP Year 2: 2016-17

- a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources
- b) Maintained or improved student device ratios
- c) Bond measure passed in November 2015; completed timeline and budgets for implementation of Master Facilities Plan (MFP)
- d) Improved broadband and connectivity

Expected Annual

Measurable

Outcomes:

- e) Individualized needs of targeted subgroups at school sites are supported
- f) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews
- g) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act.
- h) Maintained services for students with disabilities.
- i) Maintained level of student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment.
- j) Maintained or increased levels of student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Provide 3 full time site administrators and office staff to support instructional programs at both comprehensive high schools and 1 full time site administrator at Madrone with office staff.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.9 million General Fund
a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.2 million General Fund
a.3) Provide full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE).	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$210,000 General Fund

Page 45 of 96

b) Purchase hardware devices to maintain or improve student device ratio.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	Parcel Tax \$50,000
c) Continue process to select design teams and design process with school site facilities committees.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$0
d) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband throughput and connectivity through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL	Building Fund 23 (Bond Funds)
e) Establish and pilot a process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups. See relevant outcomes and actions/services in student learning and culture and climate	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities	\$50,000 General Fund
f.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$30,000 General Fund
f.2) Reevaluate need to identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Capital Facilities Funds

Page 46 of 96

0,	th the California Education	All	<u>X</u> ALL	\$1 million		
•	% of the General Fund to	Schools	OR:	General		
support Routine Re	stricted Maintenance.	9-12	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	Fund		
			Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
h) Contribute LCFF	funds to provide high quality	All	Other Subgroups.(Specify) _X_ALL	\$3.8 million		
	ervices for students with	Schools	OD:	,		
• •	ort student IEPs and in	9-12	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners			
	quirements outlined in the		Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient			
	with Disabilities Education.		Other Subgroups:(Specify)			
(IDEA) Act.	ort athletic programs through	San	V 411	\$190,000		
	ssified and certificated athletic	Rafael &	_X_ALL	\$45,000		
	ensive sites are also provided a	Terra	OR:	\$22,000		
	tic Director. The District funds	Linda	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient	General		
50% of an Athletic	Trainer at SRHS	9-12	Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Fund		
j) Allocate an athlet	ic allocation to each	San	_X_ALL	\$90,000		
	n school as determined to be	Rafael &	OR:	General		
appropriate based of	on analysis from previous year.	Terra	Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	Fund		
		Linda 9-12	Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient			
			Other Subgroups:(Specify)ear 3: 2017-18			
	a) Schools and departments a		maintain high-quality learning conditions; support stude	nt learning		
	and properly functioning sch			in loaning		
	b) Maintained or improved stud					
		ovember 201	5; completed timeline and budgets for implementation of	of Master		
Expected Annual	Facilities Plan (MFP)					
Measurable	d) Improved broadband and co	•	une at cahool citae are cumported			
Outcomes:	Outcomes: e) Individualized needs of targeted subgroups at school sites are supported f) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as					
	; <i>'</i>	•	ance on the annual Williams site reviews	pp00 d0		
g) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act.						
h) Maintained services for students with disabilities.						
	, ,	els of studen Scope of	t engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. Pupils to be served within identified scope of	Budgeted		
Ac	tions/Services	Service	service	Expenditures		
		00.1.00				

Page 47 of 96

a.1) Provide 3 full time site administrators and office staff to support instructional programs at both comprehensive high schools and 1 full time site administrator at Madrone with office staff.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.9 million General Fund
a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.2 million General Fund
a.3) Provide full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE).	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$210,000 General Fund
b) Purchase hardware devices to maintain or improve student device ratio.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Parcel Tax - \$50,000
c) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband throughput and connectivity through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0 General Fund Bond Funds
d) Implement the process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)students with disabilities	\$50,000 - General Fund

Page 48 of 96

e) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$30,000 General Fund
f) Based on the potential bond, reevaluate need to identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	- \$0
g) In accordance with the California Education Code, contribute 3% of the General Fund to support Routine Restricted Maintenance.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1 million General Fund
h) Contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality special education services for students with disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education. (IDEA) Act.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$4 million
i.1) Continue to support athletic programs through hiring of various classified and certificated athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$190,000 \$45,000 \$22,000 General Fund
i.2) Continue to allocate an athletic allocation to the comprehensive high schools as determined to be appropriate based on analysis of funding across the county and an analysis of the effectiveness of the prior use of funding.	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$110,000 General Fund

	4. Develo	op and implement highly effect	ive two-way	systems of communication	Related State and/or L	
GOAL:	between	the District and its stakeholde	rs to ensure	increased awareness about,	1 2 3_X_ 4 5	
	participa	tion in, and support for decision	on-making a	bout the District's goals.	COE only: 9_ Local: Specify	_ 10
				ity to ensure participation and su	pport by all stakeholde	rs for
Identifie		implementation of district program			rant sign in aboata da	oumonted
				r required parent committees, pa dent expulsion rates, Gallup Poll		
Cool Ar		Schools: All schools				
Goal Ap	oplies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al	l students			
				ear 1: 2015-16		
		•	•	rograms and initiatives across th		12
		b) Higher responsiveness from authentic two-way dialogue	the district t	o stakeholders and increased co	liaborative decision-ma	iking through
c) Uniform, valid and reliable survey data at all schools gathered from families and students.						
	d) Recommendations developed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and					
		· ·		ns on coordinating community liai	•	
Expecte	ed Annual	ongoing professional develo		Ç	· ·	
	surable	e) Community liaison staffing r				
Outo	comes:			ocess for the target populations.		
		, -		support for first-generation colle	ege students to take the	required
		coursework and complete the	•		auticipation in dictrict o	wanta and
		h) Increased parent access to planning.	district initiat	ives and projects and improved p	articipation in district e	vents and
		i) Increased parent voice and	refined role i	n district decision-making		
				llies and healthier communities.		
	۸۵	tions/Services	Scope of	Pupils to be served within i	dentified scope of	Budgeted
			Service	service		Expenditures
		Community Engagement and	All			\$25,000
		lan, including messaging about	Schools	<u>X</u> ALL		(GF One-
		planning, programmatic	9-12			time funds)
	changes, SBAC, college readiness activities and other educational knowledge to be distributed			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Lear	ners	
		osite, social media and other		Foster YouthRedesignated fluer	nt English proficient	
		of the plan, begin a Request for		Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
	Proposal (RFP) process and identify and select a					

Page 50 of 96

			Page 50 01 90
vendor and for a website redesign.			
a.2) Maintain .5 FTE Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine and enhance communication efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$64,000 General Fund
b) Increase customer service by offering higher- quality phone and online opportunities for stakeholders to provide input and feedback on District initiatives and key decisions	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
c.1) Design system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of parents, guardians and caregivers.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$500 General Fund
c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to all students in order to measure gaps in engagement and service for targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$16,000 General Fund
d) Establish a committee that will evaluate and refine the role of community liaisons and the related services, including translation, as well as develop common expectations and on-going professional development.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e) Maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$120,000 General Fund

Page 51 of 96

f) Add .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$20,000 General Fund
g) Establish a baseline of attendance and continue increased outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college goers, and low-income students.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:Students with disabilities	\$0
h) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
i.1) Establish the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
i.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making. Also, restructure the ELAC and DELAC through Board policy to modernize objectives and align to LCFF and LCAP processes.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$0

	unity agencies and groups to lize partnerships to create a senvironment.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify	\$0
		LCAP Ye	ear 2: 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 attendance in events and counderrepresented. b) LCAP process includes valid stakeholders. c) Community liaison staffing r d) Improved parent participation e) Increased parent access to planning. f) Increased parent voice and 	onferences by d and reliable maintained at on the IEP pro district initiat refined role i	ocess for the target populations. ives and projects and improved participation in district of	hat are
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
plan strategies to ir	d engagement plan and action acrease attendance and	All Schools 9-12	<u>X</u> ALL OR:	\$0
_	et population to increase pation and decision-making.		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
proportional participa. a.2) Maintain .5 FT Communications C and enhance communications	E Community Engagement and coordinator to continue to refine nunication efforts and strategies nmunity and a targeted focus on	All Schools 9-12	Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient	\$64,000 General Fund

Page 53 of 96

b.2) Implement Gallup Poll to all students in order to measure gaps in engagement and service for targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$16,000 General Fund
c) Maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$121,000 General Fund
d) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$21,000 General Fund
e) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
f.1) Establish the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
f.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$0

				Page 54 01 96
agencies and group	oration with community os to develop and formalize ate a community schools	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify	\$0
		LCAP Ye	ear 3 : 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	planning. b) Community liaison staffing r c) Improved parent participatio d) Uniform, valid and reliable s e) Maintained parent voice and	maintained at on the IEP pro curvey data a d refined role	ocess for the target populations. t all schools gathered from students.	events and
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
•	prove and refine bilingual and ne, social media, etc.) Is and strategies.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
Communications C and enhance comm	E Community Engagement and coordinator to continue to refine nunication efforts and strategies munity and a targeted focus on	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$64,000 General Fund
support at all school	levels of Community Liaison old sites and evaluate staffing te based on objective data and	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$123,000 General Fund

Page 55 of 96

c) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$22,000 General Fund
d) Implement Gallup Poll to all students in order to measure gaps in engagement and service for targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$16,000 General Fund
e.1) Establish the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	\$0
e.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$0
f) Continue collaboration with community agencies and groups to develop and formalize partnerships to maintain a community schools environment.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify	\$0

GOAL:	student	lish effective systems and a we s and families to feel safe and ir ant learning and the school com	ncluded so t		Related State and/or L 1 2 3 4 5_X COE only: 9 Local: Specify	6 <u>X</u> 7 <u>X</u> 8 <u>X</u>
Identified	d Need:	Required metrics: 5) School atter graduation rates. 6) Student susp survey. 7) A-G completion rates, all core content areas. 8) Parent rates in College and Career Cent	ndance rates; bension rates analysis of s and teacher	students through positive behaviors; chronic absenteeism rates, high student expulsion rates, Gallup tudent schedules to ensure all student of use of the online student a	school drop-out rates, Poll results, needs ass udents have access to	high school sessment instruction in
Goal Ap	plies to:	Schools: All schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All	students			
				ear 1: 2015-16		
Meas	a) Increased health and counseling services to students and improved student attendance and participation b) Improved College and Career Center services for all students c) Completed Career/Technical Education (CTE) expansion plan. d) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey e) Reduced suspension rate by 5% f) Improved attendance for students with chronic absence by 5% g) At-risk students are identified and provided with appropriate services				ticipation	
	А	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within it service	dentified scope of	Budgeted Expenditures
district to health ar services	continuend provident for "At Ri	TE nurse for the high school to support student physical greater access to health sk" students.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnFoster YouthRedesignated fluen _XOther Subgroups: _at-risk students,	nt English proficient	\$110,000 General Fund
caseloac		nt levels of counseling staff riew and revise duties based on n.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnFoster YouthRedesignated fluenOther Subgroups:(Specify)		\$0

Page 57 of 96

b.1) Review and determine approach and best practices to increase College and Career Center services in conjunction with the College and Career Pathways Grant. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE) b.2) Continue to participate in the School to	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupils XEnglish LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation collegegoers,_students_with_disabilities	\$80,000 Career Pathways Grant
Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups.	Schools 9-12	OR: _X_Low Income pupils XEnglish Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation college goers, students with disabilities	General Fund
b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$54,500 General Fund
c) Continue design of a Career Technical Education expansion plan that incorporates career/technical education pathways and integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS).	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$18,000 General Fund
d.2) Finalize plan and implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board Goals.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Site allocation

Page 58 of 96

	o establish professional tematize cultural competency.	All Schools	ALL	\$0
development to sys	nematize cultural competency.	9-12	OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups: significant ethnic groups	
	and student engagement	All Schools	_X_ALL	Site budgets
responsible social r	ngs (i.e. peer counseling, media assemblies)	9-12	OR:	
Using Gallop Poll fo	or measurement.		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
f.2) Develop the pla electives and athlet	n to increase participation in	San Rafael &	_X_ALL	\$0
electives and atmet	ic activities.	Terra Linda 9-12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
	prevention education program een while investigating other	All Schools	_X_ALL	\$9,000 General
options).	een write investigating other	9-12	OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Fund
			ear 2 : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 b) Increased student access to c) Reduced suspension rate b d) Increased student and staff e) Improved attendance for student 	Career/Tecly 5% engagement udents with cled and provid	ed with appropriate services	·
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of	Pupils to be served within identified scope of	Budgeted

Page 59 of 96

a) Maintain 1.0 FTE nurse for the high school district to continue to support student physical health and provide greater access to health services for "At Risk" students.	All Schools 9-12	X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$110,000 General Fund
b.1) Phase in a Career Technical Education expansion plan that incorporates career/technical education pathways and integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan within site allocations. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$80,000 Career Pathways Grant
b.2) Participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils XEnglish Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation college goers, students with disabilities	\$33,000 (LCFF)
b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersX_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$54,500 General Fund
c.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS).	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$18,000 General Fund
c.2) Implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board Goals.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Site allocation

Page 60 of 96

d) Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies) Using Gallop Poll for measurement.		All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL	Site budgets
e) Implement the plan to increase participation in electives and athletic activities.		San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	TBD
f) Continue suicide prevention education program (possibly contract with new service provider).		All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$9,000 General Fund
		LCAP Ye	ear 3: 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 b) Maintained student access to c) Reduced suspension rate body d) Increased student and staff e) Improved attendance for student 	to Career/Ted y 5% engagement udents with cl	es to students and improved student attendance and pechnical Education (CTE) pathways by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey hronic absence by 5% ed with appropriate services	articipation
Actions/Services Scope of Service		Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
district to continue to support student physical Sc		All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$110,000 General Fund

Page 61 of 96

			Page 61 01 96
b.1) Implement Career Technical Education expansion plan that incorporates career/technical education pathways and integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan within site allocations. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE)	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$80,000 Career Pathways Grant
b.2) Participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils XEnglish Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation college goers,_students with disabilities_	\$33,000 (LCFF)
b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups.	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students	\$54,500 General Fund
c.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS).	All Schools 9-12	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$18,000 General Fund
c.2) Continue to implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board Goals.	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Site allocation
d) Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies) Using Gallop Poll for measurement	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Site allocations

Page 62 of 96

e) Implement the plan to increase participation in electives and athletic activities	San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	TBD
f) Continue suicide prevention education program	All Schools 9-12	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$9,000 General Fund

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: Neglated State and/or Local Priorities: Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1. Staff will skillfully implement the State Standards and use highly effective instructional strategies, curriculum, and assessment practices aligned with Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC). Students will experience high quality instructional programs that prepare them for college and careers. Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1. Staff will skillfully implement the State Standards and use highly effective instructional strategies, curriculum, and assessment practices aligned with Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC). Students will experience high quality instructional programs that prepare them for college and careers. Local: Specify Local: Specify					
Goal Applies	Sto: Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A		English Learners, Stud	dents with Disabilities	
Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, Students will receive instruction that is aligned with the Common Core. Increase English Learner Math (currently 46%) and ELA (currently 25%) CAHSEE pass rate by 5%. Increase graduation rate by 5% (currently 91.2%). Increase course passing rate of core content by 5% (C or higher). Increase the percentage of student's demonstrating college readiness in ELA as indicated by the EAP by 5% (currently 30%). Increase the percentage of student's demonstrating college readiness in Math as indicated by the EAP by 3% (currently 14%). Establish a new district assessment system so as to identify reliable, valid data (including initial CAASPP data) to use as a baseline. This system will include teacher developed SBAC/CC aligned interim summative assessments in math and ELA			 All core content teachers developed and taught at least one CCS-aligned unit of instruction. Three assessment system options will be piloted in early Fall 2015. Based on the results of the pilot, a final selection will be implemented Lit. Lead role was redefined. The team vetted CCS-aligned units and are creating an online repository for district-wide teacher access. In 2014-15, 73 out of 267 (27.3%) ELs passed the English portion of the CAHSEE. In 2014-15, 113 out of 267 (42.3%) ELs passed the math portion of the CAHSEE. In 2014, SRH math EAP data indicated 16% of students tested are fully ready and 44% are conditionally ready for college level math 2014-15 EAP data is not available at this time. 		
		LCAP Year 0 : 2	2014 -15 UPDAT		
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	FADDUITILES ■			Actual Annual Expenditures	
(ILT) at all site	1.a. Expand the role of the Instructional Leadership Teams (ILT) at all sites to support implementation of district goals and monitor student progress.		Leadership Teams	schools have functioning Instructi (ILTs) in place, which meet mon and school site priorities and goals	thly to
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL	<u>x_</u> ALL <u>X_ALL</u>				

Page 65 of 96

x Foster Youth x	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
1.b. Expansion of Teamodel in Literacy and	acher Leader/Trainer of Trainers I Math.	1.b. Est. Cost: \$40,000 (CCSS Funds)	/ departments; teach	oviding guidance and structure to PLCs ers may elect hourly pay or sub release (See elaboration in 1.c. below.)	1.b. \$3,100 (CCSS Funds)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
x Foster Youth x	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_ Students with			sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
Coaches-Teacher on	scription and select Common Core Special Assignment (TSA) positions ation and building of CCSS aligned	1.c. Est. Cost: \$130,000 (CCSS Funds)	1.c. Job descriptions created, and five high school "Lit. Leads" are in place in the HSD (2 at TL, 2 at SR, 1 at Madrone). The Lit. Leads are providing guidance to teachers at all high schools to create and implement the following: Literacy-based, collaboratively designed, Common Core-aligned units Instructional strategies and lessons that are highly engaging and effective for students Common assessments & rubrics Student work and exemplars Professional learning & feedback		1.c. Est. Cost: \$91,460 (CCSS Funds)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			X_ALL		
x Foster Youth x	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
1.d. Continuation of c and structure.	of cross school collaboration schedule 1.d. Est. Cost: \$25,000 (CCSS Funds) 1.d. This activity will be carried over to 2015-16 as 1.d. A task force composed of K-12 teachers and administrators was convened to create a three year plan (inclusive) for full implementation of the Common Core State Standards.		1.d. Est. Cost: \$6,000 (CCSS Funds)		
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	

Page 66 of 96

					Page 66 of 96
<u>x</u> ALL			<u>X</u> ALL		
x Foster Youth x	ls _x_English Learners _Redesignated fluent English Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
1.e. Continuation of s	site specific teacher collaboration.	1.e. Est. Cost: \$0	above). Evidence of	ration is occurring as part of 1.b (see work completed from each PLC / ploaded into a shared Google Site, as the site principals.	1.e. \$6,800 (CCSS)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL			_X_ALL		
x Foster Youth x	ls <u>x</u> English Learners _Redesignated fluent English Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthROther Subgroups:	sEnglish Learners ledesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
added to teacher cale State Standards with	elopment, including additional day endar, to support implementation of a focus on literacy and ELD ELD Standards, Next Generation	1.f. Est. Cost: \$55,000 (LCFF)	1.f. This day was provided to HSD teachers on August 18, 2014, prior to the start of the 2014-2015 school year. A half percent was added to the salary schedule for SRFT.		1.f. Est. Cost: \$66,000 (GF)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL	,		_X_ALL		-
x Foster Youth x	ls <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR:Low Income pupils	sEnglish Learners tedesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
	relopment focused on use of ing data to monitor student growth.	1.g. Est. Cost: \$25,000 (CCSS Funds)	1.g. Professional development for site leaders focused on use of assessments and data to monitor student growth implemented with BridgeWorks consultant. Evidence includes ILLT syllabi/agendas, CCSS units and aligned student work collected by HSD administration and uploaded into a resource bank / Google site which was created by the Lit. Leads in March 2015.		1.g. \$24,990 (CCSS Funds)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		

Page 67 of 96

x Foster Youth x	ls _x_English Learners _Redesignated fluent English subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthROther Subgroups:(English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	1 age 07 01 30
1.h. Develop a plan t	o fully utilize instructional time.	1.h. Est. Cost: \$0	1.h. Ed Services is working in collaboration with HSD administration in the ILLT and Principals / Asst. Principals meetings to ensure instructional best practices are implemented "bell to bell." They will gather evidence to demonstrate increased student performance levels as a result of targeted use of instructional time. Systems and best practices are being collaboratively developed under the guidance of the HSD Lit. Leads, ILTs, and PLCs to meet this goal. Evidence of this work exists within the resource bank of units, lessons and student work exemplars created by the Lit. Leads in Spring 2015.		1.h. Est. Cost: \$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities				English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
content, process and	school design programs that modify product to meet the needs of all gh achieving and gifted students.	1.i. Est. Cost: \$0	1.i. Units, lessons, and instructional strategies were redesigned in PLCs and departments with guidance from site administrators, HSD Lit. Leads, and UCBHSSP consultants. Program changes include easier access to Honors designation through differentiation embedded into curriculum, the College of Marin concurrent enrollment pilot to provide access to advanced and CTE courses as part of the high school experience, and increased focus on instructional strategies designed to increase critical thinking aligned with CCSS in all content areas as evidenced by Google Site resource bank under development in Spring 2015 and continuing into 2015-16. Costs included teacher extra-duty hourly pay and consultant contract.		1.b. Cost: \$95,000 (CCSS)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			X_ALL		

Page 68 of 96

		,			Page 68 of 96
x Foster Youth x	ls _x_English Learners _Redesignated fluent English subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with		Foster YouthR Other Subgroups:		
Secondary Instruction	pand the position of Director of n to focus on serving key sub-groups dents, foster youth, Special Education	1.j. Est. Cost: \$75,000 (LCFF)	 1.j. Redesigned and expanded position of Director of Secondary Instruction established (Director of Teaching & Learning 9-12), with focus on serving key sub-groups. Systemic improvements implemented in the 2014-15 school year include: Monthly HSD Principals meetings aligned to the work of the ILLT, with follow up and support to Principals. Monthly HSD Assistant Principals meetings, aligned to the work of the ILLT and co-facilitated by the Executive Director of Student Services to ensure instructional improvements also address health, behavioral and specialized learning needs. Education Services Directors attended and participated in regular staff and department meetings at each high school to be responsive to site needs. 		1.j. Cost for 1.0 FTE: \$125,000 (GF)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			X_ALL		-
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities				sEnglish Learners ledesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
1.k. Expansion of Teamodel in ELD.	acher Leader/Trainer of Trainers	1.k. \$15,000 (CCSS Funds)	1k. Expansion of the teacher leader / train-the-trainers model in ELD and Literacy through implementation of Lit Leads work with the EL Programs Director, in ILTs, and in departments in alignment with the ILLT and the UCBHSSP consultants.		1.k. Costs included in 1.i.
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities				sEnglish Learners ledesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

The three-year Common Core Implementation Team plan will drive professional development, instructional coaching, student assessment, academic interventions, and all other tasks related to improving academic achievement.

- 1.b. The expansion of the Teacher Leader / Trainer of Trainers model was modified early in the academic year by the redesign of the Literacy Lead roles and functions. This change reduced costs, generating a savings of \$38,500. A significant learning to implement in the 2015-16 school year is to ensure Lit. Leads have a full period release to provide coaching and support to their peers.
- 1.d. Increased opportunities for cross-school collaboration is anticipated for 2015-16 through the creation, piloting, and selection of common interim benchmark assessments districtwide.
- 1.h. Full utilization of instructional time is embedded in the design and distribution of Common Corealigned units of instruction.
- 1.k. This action has been modified as action 1.d. in the 2015-16 school year. The focus of PD and coaching will be to integrate ELD into English language arts classes so as to reduce the need for designated ELD and accelerate the acquisition of academic English and grade-level literacy skills.

	2. All students have access to a broad range of courses and pathways that support college and career readiness including: AP, CTE, Seal of Biliteracy, and electives. 2. All students have access to a broad range of courses and pathways that support college and career readiness including: AP, CTE, Seal of Biliteracy, and electives. 2. All students have access to a broad range of courses and pathways that support college and career readiness including: AP, CTE, Seal of Biliteracy, and electives. COE only: 9 10					
Goal Applies	Goal Applies to: Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Increase represer and low income in a baseline. Revised master so Increase represer income students in 	ntation of ELs, including re in electives. naintain number of gradua tly 20.1%).	e-designated students, curriculum and establish e-designated and low	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 High schools number of EL students in co A higher number income students in come students. Master sched growth above In 2014-15, 12 Biliteracy (299) In 2014-15, 73 English portion 	28 graduates out of 442 received the Seal of %). 3 out of 267 (27.3%) ELs passed the n of the CAHSEE. 13 out of 267 (42.3%) ELs passed the math
			LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDAT		
	Planned A	Actions/Services			Actual A	ctions/Services

Page 70 of 96

	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
2.a. Establish a CTE planning team, evaluate current CTE programs, and develop expansion plan of CTE pathways.	2.a. Est. Cost: \$0	2.a. Currently the CTE Planning Team (principals, career pathway coaches, CTE teachers, and district office staff) have been meeting to develop the expansion of college and career pathways. The Career Pathway grant was implemented this school year, with the role of the coaches in the process of being evaluated.	2.a. Est. Cost: \$0
Scope of service:		Scope of service: Comprehensive high schools	
<u>x</u> ALL		ALL	
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups: at-risk students	
2.b. Prepare for the 15-16 acceleration of junior year math courses with teacher collaboration time to develop curriculum.	2.b. Est. Cost: embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., and 1.d.	2.b. Through coordination of the HS Lit. Leads, Algebra teachers of all three high schools had structured collaboration time at each site to prepare for the acceleration of junior year math courses in 2015-16. Math PLCs at each high school collaboratively created units and lessons with highly effective instructional strategies, common assessments and accompanying rubrics, reading / writing assessments & tasks, and examining student work together. Additionally, math teachers met with the College of Marin math instructors prior to Spring Break for purpose of sharing student performance data.	2.b. Costs are embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., and site categorical funds.
Scope of service:		Scope of service: District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		_X_ALL	
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
2.c. Conduct an inventory and analysis of student participation, interest and completion rates of honors/APs, A-G courses, CTE, and electives. Develop a plan to expand access and participation for underrepresented students.	2.c. Est. Cost: \$0	 2.c. Completed an inventory and analysis of student participation, interest and completion rates of honors/APs, A-G courses, CTE, and electives. From those data, each individual site set goals to expand access and participation for underrepresented students. EL Program Director Met with administrators and ELD lead 	2.c. Est. Cost: \$0

Page 71 of 96

				Page 71 of 96
teachers from TL and SRH to plan changes to course offerings for newcomer and continuing ELs in the 2015-16 school year.				
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	Comprehensive high schools	
<u>x</u> ALL		_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		
2.d. Expand AVID (9th grade years).	2.d. Est. Cost: \$0	and San Rafael HS. in 2013-14 to 5 secti grew to 4 sections in San Rafael in 2014- A group of core contidentified to participal	2.d. AVID expanded to all four grade levels at Terra Linda and San Rafael HS. Terra Linda AVID grew from 4 sections in 2013-14 to 5 sections in 2014-15. San Rafael HS AVID grew to 4 sections in 2013-14. One section was added at San Rafael in 2014-15. Cost is AVID membership fee. A group of core content teachers at TL and SRH have been identified to participate in AVID Summer Institute training in order to expand the use of AVID practices across both	
Scope of service:		Scope of service:	Comprehensive high schools	
<u>x</u> ALL		ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _X_Low Income pup _X_Foster Youth _> _Other Subgroups:	oils _X_English Learners C_Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
Vhat changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of eviewing past progress and/or hanges to goals? CTE, AVID, EL newcomer, ROP, College & Career Center opportunities will continue to be provided to students. AVID will continue to expand as AVID strategies and best practices are implemented in classrooms schoolwide. En newcomer classes will be redesigned in 2015-16 to accelerate English acquisition and allow for greater access to the core content classes.				ms schoolwide. EL

Out wise at OOA!		Related State and/or Local Priorities:	
Original GOAL from prior year	3. Develop a district-wide highly effective, comprehensive and integrated English Language	1 2_x_ 3 4 5 6 7 8_x	
LCAP:	Development (ELD) system.	COE only: 9 10	
20711		Local : Specify	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone		
Goal Applies to:	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: English Learners		

Page 72 of 96

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	rate by 5%.		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Newcomer class sizes were reduced to 20:1 at the beginning of the 2014-15 school year. However, influx of additional newcomer students has exceeded the targeted allocation. Most of the CCS-aligned units of instruction include EL support. Units will be evaluated by the end of the school year to determine next steps. The local Board adopted temporary reclassification criteria for 2014-15; based on this criteria, thirty-nine students were reclassified (10.2%.) Current CELDT data challenges do not allow matched data analysis for annual progress. In 2014-15, 73 out of 267 (27.3%) ELs passed the English portion of the CAHSEE. In 2014-15, 113 out of 267 (42.3%) ELs passed the math portion of the CAHSEE. 	
Planned Actions/Services			014 -15 UPDATE Actual Actions/Services		
Tianned Actions/Gervices		Budgeted Expenditures	Actual Actions/oct vices		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
3.a. Additional sections to create newcomer/ELD cohorts within the master schedule.		3.a. Est. Cost: \$275,000 (LCFF)	3a. Additional sections (3.6 FTE) of newcomer and ELD courses were funded at TLH and SRH.		3.a. Est. Cost: \$446,000 (GF)
Scope of service	e: District-wide		Scope of service:	: Comprehensive high schools	
ALL			ALL		
OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
3.b. Develop and staff new position (0.5 FTE)- Director of English Language Development.		3.b. Est. Cost: \$75,000 (LCFF)	3b. Director of EL Programs, a Cabinet level position, was hired 11-03-14.		3.b. Est. Cost: \$60,000 (GF)
Scope of service	e: District-wide		Scope of service:	: District-wide	
ALL OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Redesignated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify) at-risk students		

Page 73 of 96

3.c. Assess current ELD systems and practices and develop a revised English Learner Master Plan that clearly identifies programs, services and pathways for all ELs including newcomers and Long Term English Learners. The plan will include revamped identification and reclassification criteria and services and progress monitoring systems and practices. It will also develop and support pathways for native language literacy.	3.c. Est. Cost: \$5,000 (LCFF)	3c. Director of EL Programs submitted a work plan and timeline that includes critical components of a comprehensive EL Program such as revision of EL programs, reclassification criteria, ELD curriculum, and assessment. The district EL Task Force was reconvened and met twice in late Winter to discuss EL program design and compliance issues. As part of the resolution of noncompliance findings, the EL Director drafted an Instruction Observation Protocol to enable the provision of feedback to teachers on the use of effective differentiation strategies and research-based instructional practices for English learners and other students needing academic language development. Initial meetings with TLHS and SRHS administrators and lead ELD teachers from both sites took place in April and May to discuss modifications to core content courses and master schedules to increase accessibility to ELs.	3.c. Est. Cost: \$0 (GF)
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: Comprehensive high schools	
ALL OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient		ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient	
Other Subgroups:(Specify) 3.d. Professional Development for all teachers on New ELD standards and appropriate instructional strategies.	3.d. Est. Cost: embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., 1.d	Other Subgroups:(Specify) 3d. The district EL Task Force reconvened in January 2015. This group reviewed various EL program components, including a discussion about EL-specific professional development. UCB-HSSP consultants have provided training and guidance to the HSD Lit. Leads to address EL strategies more explicitly in the design of instructional units. In Summer 2015, A group of 5 core content teachers will participate in Quality Teaching for English Learners (QTEL) Summer Institute training. Target subject areas include ELA, math, and social science.	3.d. Cost: embedded in actions 1.b, 1.c, 1.d
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
ALL OR:		ALL OR:	

Low Income pupils _x_English Learners	X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners
Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient	Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient
Other Subgroups:(Specify)	X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	All of the actions and services enumerated in this goal will continue in 2015-16 with further refinement and expansion, in particular increased professional development on the integration of the ELD standards and differentiation practices in the core content areas. There will be a summer professional development symposium which will include a mandatory component addressing integration of CCS ELD standards and differentiation for ELs.

	GOAL from prior year 4. Develop highly effective district-wide intervention and support services and programs which are connected with core content and that allow students to participate in electives and enrichment activities.						
Goal Applies	Goal Applies to: Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, Eng. All sites have staff trained on new Student Information System (SIS) Develop a master scheduling plan to ensure all students have access to electives and enrichment activities Gather baseline data on student participation in enrichment activities and electives AVID participation increase by 10% Increase in targeted tutoring and other support programs Increased pass rate of Algebra I by 5% Decrease D/F list by 15% 		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 System (SIS) Master schedustudent subgrocourses, and experience AVID participa 25%. San Rafael an upper grade students 948 out of 235 in Semester 2 In 2014-15, 73 English portion 	tion in the district increased Terra Linda provided of tudents to tutor and suppose. 3 (40.3%) students earner in a core content area. 5 out of 267 (27.3%) ELs of the CAHSEE. 3 out of 267 (42.3%) ELs	to allow targeted billege prep, honors sed by at least pportunities for ort targeted lower ed a D or F grade passed the	
		LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDAT				
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services				
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
4.a. Est. Cost: \$4,500 (LCFF)				ous, an SIS system, eral training opportu	was implemented nities were provided to	4.a. Est. Cost: \$39,000 (GF)	

Page 75 of 96

representatives from all si	tes.		all staff. Due to imple was contracted to co make a recommenda			
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			X_ALL			
x Foster Youth x	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)Students with		Foster YouthR			
	et-wide data tracking/analysis and uding refining early warning system.	4.b. Est. Cost: \$10,000 (LCFF)	4.b. iResults was pur once SIS issues have year and the funds w	4.b. Est. Cost: \$9,541 (GF)		
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_X_ALL			
x Foster Youth x	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with</u>		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthROther Subgroups:			
determine high impactor practices; develop distintervention is connecting instructional day. Key	prove existing intervention services; et and evidence-based intervention strict RTI model ensuring that eted with the core content and elements of the plan include: algebra of AVID, expansion of credit recovery.	4.c. Est. Cost: \$150,000 (LCFF/Parcel Tax)	 4.c. Education Service discussions regardin AVID and credit reconfect was embedded comprehensive high average cost in the Foundation of AV TL added .20 AP Algebra SDAIE, class. SR added .20 AF expansion of AV 	4.c. Est. Cost: \$232,000 (Parcel Tax)		
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	Comprehensive high schools		
_x_ALL			ALL			
x Foster Youth x	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with</u>		X Foster Youth X X Other Subgroups	ils <u>X</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) students with disabilities		
4.d. Review and revise bo	ard policy on grading and reporting student	4.d. Est. Cost: \$0		recovery program using Apex was subgroups with ELs having priority. A	4.d. Est. Cost:	

Page 76 of 96

progress. (From Section 3B - see to 4.d. Redesigned sum intervention program	mer credit recovery pro	ogram and	(Section 3B below) Est. Cost: \$18,000 (Title 1)	summer credit recov	\$23,000 (GF) Title I carryover.	
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL				ALL		
x Foster Youth x	_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify) _ Students with				ils <u>X</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? 4.d. This action will be reviewed in the context of the analysis of district-wide assessment data after the summer programs have been completed. Apex will be implemented throughout the 2015-16 school year for credit recover thus increasing the number of students served from our targeted populations. A separate summer school program will be provided to ELs two years or less in US schools.						or credit recovery,

Original						Related State and/o	r Local Priorities:
GOAL	5. Provide all students with high quality Common Core and new ELD standards-aligned instructional 1_x_2_x_3_4_5_6_7_						5 6 7 8
from prior	mate	rials and assessments.				COE only: 9	
year						i	
LCAP:						Local : Specify	
Cool Applie	o to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael	HS, Madrone				
Goal Applie	S 10.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al	II, Low Income pupils,	English Learners, Fo	ster Youth		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Expected Annual leasurable Bridging materials purchased and/or developed and in use. Establish new district assessment systems.		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	instruction CCSS-alig State Boa Materials Spring 20	D assessment system was purchased for use in		
			LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDAT	Έ		
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Services				
			Budgeted				Estimated
			Expenditures				Actual Annual
			LAPORIGITATION				Expenditures

Page 77 of 96

				Page 77 01 96
5.a. Pilot appropriate CCSS/ELD curriculum/programs.	5.b. Est. Cost: \$0	5.a. New K-8 CCSS-6 materials will be appr November 2015. HSI based on the ESD materials will pill be contingent upon function with a team of ELD to develop new ELD cure CCSS ELD standards	5.a. Est. Cost: \$0	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		_X_ALL		
OR:		OR:		
<u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u>		Low Income pupils Foster YouthR Other Subgroups:(s <u>X</u> English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify)	
5.b. Secondary Curriculum Committee will adopt materials aligned with CCSS and ELD standards as appropriate and review course offerings.	5.b. Est. Cost: \$0	5b. New K-8 CCSS-a materials will be appr November 2015. HSI based on the ESD materials are program. HSD will pill Secondary Curriculur and parameters to us materials for all content cycle of curriculum are course description re	5.b. Est. Cost: \$0	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		X_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
5.c. Continued development and/or purchase of appropriate standards aligned materials (CCSS/ELD).	5.c. Est. Cost: \$108,000 (CCSS Funds)	5c. Due to the State I materials adoption so CCSS-aligned instruct 2014-15. This proces 2015-16 school year Allocated funds were to facilitate implement	5.c. \$126,000 (CCSS Funds)	

Page 78 of 96

					Page 78 01 96
			mandated SBAC ass	essment.	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL			_X_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupil _x_Foster YouthF _Other Subgroups:(1 7/		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRoOther Subgroups:(
5.d. Develop a district-wide comprehensive assessment system that includes systemic use of formative and interim SBAC and ELD aligned assessments and administer the PSAT to all 10th grade students.		5.d. Est. Cost: \$46,000 (LCFF)	5d. All 10th and 11th g school day in the Fall HSD teachers will pile SBAC-aligned assess selection of the HSD from this pilot. Concu will collaboratively debenchmark assessment system will developed system in LAS Links ELD assess the 2015-16 school y	5.d. Est. Cost: \$12,446 (General Fund) \$0 \$7,091	
			on-line and will be so little teacher involvem	ored by the publisher – requiring very nent in scoring; however, teachers will the information to inform instruction	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL			_X_ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
5.e. Train and support tea and assessments.	chers in use/development of new materials	5.e. Est. Cost embedded in actions 5.c. and 5.d.	5.e. The committee the assessments will info will be developed in Figure 1.00 that full use of benching 2015-16. Following the August	5.e. Est. Cost embedded in actions 5.c. and 5.d.	
				essional development on analysis of vill be planned in collaboration with site	

Page 79 of 96

Scope of service: District-wide Scope of service: District-wide				
ALLX_ALL				
OR: OR:				
x_Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersLow Income pupilsEnglish Learners				
_x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	nt			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? A comprehensive HSD assessment system will be implemented in second	emester 2015-16.			
	and/or Local Priorities:			
from prior 1.6 Attract support and retain the most effective teachers support staff and administrative staff	4 5 6 7 8			
vear	nly: 9 10			
Local : Specify				
Cool Applies to: Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone				
Goal Applies to: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth				
Expected • Maintain 100% of highly qualified teachers. • 100% of SRCS ESD teachers				
Annual Actual Annual Establish baseline for Teacher survey and PD evaluation metrics. Actual Annual Measurable Lit. Leads provided coaching				
Measurable Outcomes: AP core content teachers	and support to all non-			
Outcomes:				
LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE				
Planned Actions/Services Actual Actions/Services				
Budgeted	Estimated			
Expenditures	Actual Annual			
6.a. Update and revise staff recruitment and selection processes. 6.a. Est. Cost: \$0 6.a. District recruitment plan was developed and	Expenditures			
implemented increasing staff participation in several	6.a. Est. Cost:			
Educator Job Fairs, selection and hiring of highly qualifie	ed \$3,120 (GF)			
teachers in anticipation of vacancies and shortage areas	S			
Scope of service: District-wide Scope of service: District-wide				
<u>x_</u> ALL <u>_x_</u> ALL				
OR: OR:				
Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersLow Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient	nt			
roster routhRedesignated lident English proficientroster routhRedesignated lident English proficientRedesignated lidentRedesignated lident	II.			

administrators and HSD Lit. Leads.

Page 80 of 96

					rage oo or so
6.b. Develop effective new employee orients	e processes for on-boarding staff and ation.	6.b. Est. Cost: \$0	6.b Orientation for ne redesigned to include administrative staff ir District's goals, the L necessary, mandate	6.b. Est. Cost: \$0	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL			_X_ALL		
	English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify)			sEnglish Learners ledesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
6.c. On-site coaching, district wide PD, and grade level collaboration meetings to support professional growth.		6.c. Cost embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., 1.d	6.c. Teacher collaboration, supported by the HSD Literacy Leads and UCBHSSP, took place as part of 1.b (see above). Evidence of work completed from each PLC / Department is in the process of being uploaded into a shared Google site, as well as being submitted to the site principals.		6.c. Cost embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., 1.d.
			The Education Service professional develop optional high school well as a mandatory CCS ELD standards	Cost embedded in action 3.d.	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
6.d. Develop a process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher support staff and administrative recruitment and retention.		6.d. Est. Cost: \$0	6.d. This action is in process and to be completed by the new Chief Human Resources Officer and the Recruitment Task Force in 2015-16.		6.d. Est. Cost: \$0
Scope of service: District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
	English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify)			sEnglish Learners ledesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	

Page 81 of 96

6.e. Develop a clearly articulated shared understanding of highly effective classroom practices, school environments, and professional learning communities for all staff that drives both district and site professional development plans.	6.e. Est. Cost: \$10,000 (CCSS funds)	6.e. This work is under the ILLT meetings, so involvement of ILT le going professional de Following the August professional development of the Pollowing the August professional development of the Pollowing the Po	6.e. Est. Cost: \$0 (CCSS Funds)	
Scope of service: _x_ALL		Scope of service: _X_ALL	District-wide	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	R: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	Force was formed to begin to identify new recruiting practices, which resulted in a proach and increased participation in local, regional, and state job fairs. Il continue its work in 2015-16, focusing on on-boarding processes, exit interview ew teacher induction support.			

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	7. Integrate technology and 21st century tools into all classrooms to support college and career readiness. COE only: 9 10 Least Creative.						5 6 7 8 10	
Goal Applie	Goal Applies to: Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth							
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Revised Technology Master Plan. Improve student device ratio (currently 2.4:1). Implement new Student Information System (SIS); train all teachers. Adopt/develop technology and digital citizenship scope and Eight (8) new ChromeBook carts were Student Information System was implement teachers were trained. Internet broadband was increased from Currently 2.4:1). 			nplemented and				
				LCAP Year 0: 2	.014 -15 <mark>UPDAT</mark>	Έ		
		Planned	Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
				Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures

Page 82 of 96

7.a. All staff support for new Student Information System (SIS).		7.a. Est. Cost: \$30,000 (LCFF	7.a. Professional d 6-12 counselors ar Campus for develo schedule at each s	nd administrators in ping and loading the		Est. \$15,000 (GF)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_X_ALL	•		
	English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify)		OR:Low Income pupFoster YouthOther Subgroup		rners ent English proficient	
scope and sequence; esta	opt/develop technology and digital citizenship ablish a baseline for parent/student portal; Own Device (BYOD) policy and procedures.	7.b. Est. Cost: \$0		, this action was del 2015, an advisory	th the student elayed to the 2015-16 committee will review	\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
<u>x</u> ALL		-	_X_ALL			
OR:	English Learners		•	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		
Foster YouthRe	edesignated fluent English proficient		Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient			
Other Subgroups:(Other Subgroup	s:(Specify)		
	ctions, services, and expenditures esult of reviewing past progress goals?	7.b. will be mod	dified and continu	ed as 1.s.		
Original					Related State and/or	Local Priorities:
	ate school communities that are cultural	ly responsive, safe, s	supportive, and highly	y engaging for all	1 2 3 4 5_	x 6 <u>x</u> 7 <u>8</u>
from prior studen	its.				COE only: 9	10
LCAP:					Local : Specify	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A					
Coai / (ppiloo te:	English Learners, Fost		r Latino, Students with Disa			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Maintain school attendance rates (currently 96 Maintain or decrease High School drop out rat Maintain or reduce rate of chronic absenteeism Maintain or reduce rate of suspensions (currer Maintain or reduce expulsion rate (Currently < Develop a master scheduling plan to enhance access to electives and enrichments.)	re (currently 6.1%). rn (currently 7.4%). ntly 5%). 1%). ensure all students	Measurable Outcomes:	subgroups greate electives. 2014-15 attendar 2014-15 student e 2014-15 student s	s have been modified to allow a raccess to college prep, honor accerate is 94.72%. expulsion rate is still less than suspension rate is 4.7% (110 strate will be available in late Face accertance.	ors courses, and 1% (4 students.) students.)

LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE						
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services				
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures			
8.a. Increase nurse staffing by .5 FTE.	8.a. Est. Cost: \$50,000 (LCFF)	8.a. Nurse FTE alloca	8.a. Nurse FTE allocation was increased by 0.5.			
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide			
<u>x</u> ALL		ALL				
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify) Latino or Hispanic, _Students with Disabilities		OR: _x_Low Income pupi _x_Foster YouthI _x_Other Subgroups with Disabilities				
8.b. Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS).	8.b. Est. Cost: \$3,500 (LCFF)	8.b. All high schools initial implementation continue and expand	\$114 (GF)			
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide			
_x_ALL		ALL				
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Latino or Hispanic, _Students with Disabilities		OR: X_Low Income pupi X_Foster Youth _X X_Other Subgroups Disabilities				
8.c. Develop a plan for all sites to participate in cultural competency professional development.	8.c. Est. Cost: \$0	8.c. SRCS Equity Te. National Equity Projecompetency profession the Equity Team has inequities within our served and achieve a been analyzing condicreate/maintain these. Most NEP committee Leadership for Equity Subsequent to that, Net in the second seco	\$35,000 (SF Foundation grant)			

Page 84 of 96

					Page 84 01 96
				steps for the 2015-16 school year and professional development in cultural 015-16 school year.	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			ALL		
_x_Foster YouthF	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)_Latino or Hispanic, ties		OR: _X_Low Income pup _X_Foster Youth _X	ils _X_English Learners _Redesignated fluent English proficient s: Latino or Hispanic, Students with	
	ents and staff in assessing and designing I programs (focus groups, survey, etc.)	8.d. Est. Cost: \$0		e carried over to 2015-16 as actions lude analysis of Gallup Poll data	\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	N/A	
_x_ALL			ALL		
x Foster Youth F	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) <u>Latino or Hispanic,</u> ities		OR:Low Income pupils	sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
8.e. Develop a plan to coo	ordinate counseling interns	8.e. Est. Cost: \$0	8.e. A job description description was final will be posted in Fall	n, appropriate stipend level, and posting ized for counseling interns. Positions 2015.	\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		
_x_Foster YouthF	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) <u>Latino or Hispanic,</u> tites		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthR	sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
8.f. Expansion of suicide p	prevention education.	8.f. Est. Cost: \$18,000 (LCFF)	8.f. Suicide prevention contract work with Te	on services were continued through een Screen.	\$9,000 (GF)
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_X_ALL		

Page	85	of	96
------	----	----	----

OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify) Latino or Hispanic, Students with Disabilities					sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	Ü
8.g. Create a plan to ensure that more students have access to electives and athletic activities.		8.g. Est. Cost: \$0	8.g. This action has been moved to the 2015-16 school year as actions 1.h and 5.f.2.		\$0	
Scope of service:	service: District-wide			Scope of service:	N/A	
_x_ALL				ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Latino or Hispanic, Students with Disabilities					sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? Action 8.d. will be carried over to 2 Action 8.g. will be continued in 20 Action 8.g. will be continued in 20 Action 8.g. will be continued in 20 Action 8.g. will be carried over to 2 Action 8.g. will be continued in 20 Action 8.g. will b					.2 and 5.f.2 to include analysis of Gallup	Poll data.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	9. Create a college and career readiness culture and provide all students with increased high quality college and career readiness support services. Related State and/or Local to the college and career readiness support services. COE only: 9					
Goal Applie	s to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafa Applicable Pupil Subgroups:			inglish Learners, Foster Youth, Hispanic o	r Latino, Students with Disabilities
Expected Annual Measurable Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils Establish a baseline for college acceptance rates Increased hours of access to the college and career center for all students; All students have individualized college and career plans; additional targeted support for ELs, first generation college goers, special education Actual Annual Measurable				Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 program for concurrent enroll Will hire additional staff in the students. In 2014, 53.8% of graduates In 2014, 34.7% of R-FEP and college admissions criteria. The local Board adopted tem 	Marin to implement the COMPASS ment. 2015-16 to increase services to targeted completed A-G college admissions criteria. EL graduates (combined) completed A-G porary reclassification criteria for 2014-15; ine students were reclassified (10.2%.)
	LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE					
	Planned Actions/Services Actual Actions/Services					

Page 86 of 96

(From Section 3B - see 9.a. Maintain a low studer Rafael High School to proindividualized attention ar	nt to counselor ratio allowing Madrone and San ovide ELs and low-income students with more and services.	Budgeted Expenditures 9.a. Est. Cost: \$340,000 (Parcel Tax) (From Section 3B - see below) 9.a. Est. Cost: \$190,000 (LCFF/Parcel Tax)	9.a. Completed at all		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures \$840,000 (Parcel Tax)
Scope of service:	Madrone and San Rafael High School		Scope of service:	District-wide	
x_Foster YouthI x_Other Subgroups with Disabilities	ls _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient :(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students		x Foster Youth	ils _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient s:(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students	
services/practices and de services/practices, specifi (From Section 3B - see 9.b Review and evalu center services/pract and increase services	the current college and career center velop a plan to improve and increase ically for students with disabilities. below) uate the current college and career ices and develop a plan to improve s/practices, specifically for ELs, first pers, low income students, and foster	9.b. Est. Cost: \$0 (From Section 3B - see below) 9.a. Est. Cost: \$0	9.b. Through Spring 2015, a pilot College of Marin concurrent enrollment project has been implemented for students in grades 9-12 Data is being collected from this pilot and will be analyzed. High school administrators, counselors, and COM staff will develop a plan targeting all		\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students with Disabilities			ALL OR:x_Low Income pupilsx_English Learnersx_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientx_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students with Disabilities		
9.c. Establish a collection and planning	ge and career readiness data ng process/protocol.	9.c. Est. Cost: \$0	9.c. Site administration and community stakeholders such as Marin Promise will establish a data collection plan in Fall 2015.		\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL	1		ALL		

Page 87 of 96

_x_Foster YouthF	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent Eng (Specify)_ Hispanic or La			_x_Foster YouthI	ls <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient :(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students	
9.d. Explore increase in concurrent enrollment in community college, particularly for all students, including high achieving and Special Education students, including a review and possible revision to Board Policy on concurrent enrollment. (From Section 3B - see below) 9.d. Explore increase in concurrent enrollment in community college, particularly for ELs, redesignated English proficient pupils, low-income students and foster youth.			9.d. Est. Cost: \$0 (From Section 3B) 9.d. Est. Cost: \$0	9d. A pilot was established to complement the COMPASS Jumpstart with College of Marin, to roll out beginning the first day of Spring Semester 2015. Participating students are concurrently enrolled in grades 9-12, with all options being UC / CSU transferrable, including CTE aligned courses. Data from this pilot will be collected and analyzed to redesign a concurrent enrollment program at the high schools which will successfully enroll students who are not traditionally college-going, specifically ELs, first generation college goers, low income students, and foster and homeless youth.		\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL				ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students with Disabilities				x Foster Youth	ls _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient :(Specify)_ Hispanic or Latino, Students	
	diverse (email, phone, social ress communication strategies		(From Section 3B) 9.d. Est. Cost: \$0		er readiness information was discussed and will continue to be a priority in	\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL				ALL		
x Foster Youth F	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent Eng (Specify)_ Hispanic or La			Foster Youth X	s <u>X</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient s:(Specify)_ Latino Students	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of College and continuous continu		areer readiness con 15-16 as actions 5.k		were provided in English and Spanish. Tl	nis work will	

	ncrease the involvement of parents, guard esented and high-needs populations.	Related State and/or 1 2 3_x 4 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	6 6 7 8 10			
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A	.——————————	English Loornors Foot	or Vouth Hispania or	Latino, Students with Disa	hilition
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Establish a baseline for parent attendance in econferences. Establish Parent Advisory Committee with repsite.	events and	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Baselines were se Committee (PAC) The Parent Advisor the committee, efficiency site. However, not input is documented 	t for parent attendance in the and Parent Leader Group by Committee (PAC) was estable to have represented. Pared. swere members of the LCAP	ablished. In recruiting sentation from every tent and community
LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE						
Planned Actions/Services				Actual Ac	tions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
10.a. Ensure that all sites are using uniform, valid and reliable survey tools to gather data from families.		10.a. Est. Cost: \$0	District Office adm schools' survey too Surveys are aligne all K-8 schools pul	ed with a core set of led from the Harvar s well as site specifi	vise and align the questions common to	\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service	: District-wide		
x Foster Youth	oils _x_English Learners C_Redesignated fluent English Subgroups:(Specify)_Hispanic or h Disability	10 a Eat Cook 60	x_Foster Youth _ x_Other Subgrou with Disability	ps:(Specify) <u>Hispa</u>	uent English proficient nic or Lation; Students	
10.b. Develop a Commu	nity Engagement and Communication plan.	10.a. Est. Cost: \$0		nity Engagement ared by the Communic		\$0

Page 89 of 96

				Page 89 of 96
		and the Director for St series of meetings to i process. One compon Parent Advisory Comm		
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:		ALL		
 x Low Income pupils x English Learners x Foster Youth x Redesignated fluent English proficient x Other Subgroups:(Specify) Hispanic or Lation; Students with Disability 		_x_Foster Youth _x_F	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) <u>Hispanic or Lation; Students</u>	
10.c. Collaborate with community-based organizations to ensure a variety of college-bound programs to meet information and support needs of diverse students.	10.c. Est. Cost: \$0		ed closely with Canal Alliance, Marin	
(From Section 3B - see below) 10.c. Increase outreach to families to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of ELs, first generation college goers, low income students, and foster youth.	(From Section 3B) 10.c. Est. Cost: \$0	Promise, and 10,000 I bound programs to su	\$0	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Hispanic or Lation; Students with Disability		_x_Foster Youth _x_F	s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) <u>Hispanic or Lation; Students</u>	
10.d. Ensure strong district and site community liaison support services.	10.d. Est. Cost: \$70,000 (LCFF)	District community liais through central office.	on is implemented at San Rafael High. son support services are delivered. We are currently researching ways to elivery across the district.	\$68,000 General Fund
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:		ALL		
_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English		_x_Foster Youth _x_F	s _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient	
proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Hispanic or Lation; Students with Disability		<u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(with Disability	(Specify) Hispanic or Lation; Students	
10.e. Involve PIQE graduates and an expanded role of student board members to facilitate communication between families to gather input and feedback.	10.e. Est. cost: \$0	DELAC members in 2	has been developed to provide 015-16 with leadership development or a similar training provider. Board	\$0

			to participate more fu	to encourage student Board members ally in discussion and decision-making in training and mentorship.	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			ALL		
OR:			OR:		
x_Low Income pupils	s x English Learners		_X_Low Income pupi	ils X_English Learners	
	Redesignated fluent Eng		Foster Youth _X_	Redesignated fluent English proficient	
	ubgroups:(Specify) <u>His</u> r	anic or	_X_Other Subgroups: _Latino students_		
Lation; Students with	<u>Disability</u>				
expenditures will be reviewing past	res will be made as a result of ving past progress and/or changes to goals? Continue providing bilingual Community Liaisons, but refine their PD and services. Formalize community Liaisons, but refine their PD and services. Formalize community Liaisons, but refine their PD and services. Formalize community Liaisons, but refine their PD and services.				

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	GOAL 11. Provide all schools with adequate classroom spaces, technology infrastructure, common areas, lunch and athletic facilities that support engaging 21st century learning experiences for all students.						r Local Priorities: 5 6 7 8 0 10
Goal Applie	es to:	Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafae Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A	I HS, Madrone II, Low Income pupils,	English Learners, Fo	ster Youth		
Expected Annual Measurable Master Facilities Plan developed with cost estimates. Improved broadband and connectivity. Actual Annual Measurable Master Facilities Pl foundation for plan Measurable				s Plan in place with cost es planning and identifying fu pand was increased from 30 and facilities are in good re	nding resources. 00 Mb/s to 1 Gb/s.		
			LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDAT	ГЕ		
		Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
Budgeted Expenditures							Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
11.a. Complete master facilities plan and cost estimates including technology infrastructure needs assessment; develop a funding plan (state funding, local funding, and/or federal funding) and list of projects including timeline for scope and sequence; develop an RFP to identify A/E				various stakeholo comprehensive ir			\$0 GF Master planning fees paid from Fund 25

Page 91 of 96

design teams.			In addition, the Distri- for financing and pha identify A/E design to - 2015-16)		
Scope of service: D	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
11.b. Upgrade district internet bandwidth to improve and accommodate access to SBAC assessment system.		e 11.b. Est. Cost: \$TBD (E-Rate/LCFF)	11.b. The purchase of the district internet based Education and took p	\$184,500 General Fund	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL			X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)					
		Continue master facilities identification of resource		ncluding IT infrastructure and upgrades. 's facilities needs.	Work toward

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$_1,258,349

San Rafael High School District's LCFF calculation reflects a total of \$1,258,349 in Supplemental LCFF funds for the 2015-16 school year. Actual proportionality expenditures are \$1,721,300. San Rafael High School District's percent of unduplicated students is approximately 46%, and the funds are being used to support these students throughout the district in the following ways:

Quantitative

- 1.b) Purchase ELD standards-based instructional materials. \$20,000. These materials will allow delivery of improved instruction based on the 2012 ELD Common Core Standards. Improved instruction and support will generate greater gains in English proficiency.
- 1.c) Pilot and purchase a comprehensive assessment system. \$59,000. These assessments will enable school staff to measure the academic achievement gap and progress of targeted student subgroups. It will also enable the establishment and delivery of targeted academic interventions, leading to closure of the achievement gap.
- 1.g) Continue to support on-line credit recovery program for targeted student subgroups with missing academic credits. (\$23,000) These efforts will maintain high school graduation ratios above the state average for all targeted student subgroups.
- 1.n) Provide above-ratio FTE to support additional content classes for newcomer students. (\$337,000) Provide above-ratio FTE to support additional ELD courses. (\$109,000) These courses provide additional academic and linguistic support to newcomer ELs to ensure the rapid acquisition of academic English and reducing the core content achievement gap.
- 1.0) Provide above ratio 0.2 FTE to both comprehensive high schools to provide supplemental instruction and preparation to targeted student subgroups to pass the CAHSEE. (\$54,800) These sections ensure that targeted students are better prepared academically so as to pass the CAHSEE and graduate.
- 1.q) Expand AVID courses at the comprehensive high schools, focusing on targeted student subgroups. (\$24,000) AVID courses provide additional academic support to first-generation college goers, including English learners and low-income students.
- 4.c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to collect engagement data for targeted students in order to determine effectiveness of improvement efforts and continue refinement of services. (\$16,000) These data will allow more accurate determination of need and how to improve/refine services, programs, and instructional practices.

- 5.b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. (\$54,500) Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups.
- 5.d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS). (\$18,000) This effort in intended to reduce suspensions and disciplinary actions of targeted student subgroups, thus increasing classroom participation and academic achievement.

Qualitative

- 1.d) Increase ELD support, research-based practices, and differentiation in content areas through peer coaching. (\$120,000) Peer coaching and use of the Instruction Observation Protocol will support practices for greater differentiation, attention to academic language production, and checking for understanding. These instructional improvements will lead to improved academic achievement for targeted student subgroups.
- 1.m) Continue supporting the position of Director of English Learner Programs so as to complete the design and initial implementation of the EL Master Plan. (\$89,000) Improved English learner programs will ensure greater academic gains, equitable access to college preparatory courses, and accelerated gains in English proficiency for English learners. Improvements to differentiation and attention to academic language will improve academic achievement of all targeted subgroups.
- 1.p) Maintain counselor staffing level at 2.8 FTE above historical allocation. (\$350,000) These staffing levels allow maintenance of quality and frequency of counseling services targeted to English learners, low-income students, foster youth, and academically at-risk students. These services facilitate the maintenance of the graduation rate above the state average and provide access to college preparatory courses.
- 1.r) Increase staffing in the College and Career Center and develop a plan to further improve services focused on targeted subgroups. (\$130,000) These improvements will ensure more low-income students, English learners, and foster youth are served.
- 4.a.1) Implement improvements to the district communication plan, including a new website format, to increase participation of families of targeted student subgroups. (\$25,000) These actions will result in greater parent involvement and increased services to benefit low-income families and ELs.
- 4.a.2) Continue to support 0.5 FTE of the Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine communication with and involvement of families of low-income students, foster youth, and English learners. (\$64,000) Improved communication will result in greater parent involvement which research indicates results in greater student engagement and achievement.
- 4.e) Maintain current staffing levels of Community Liaison staff to continue to provide supplemental services to targeted student subgroups. (\$120,000)
 Community Liaisons provide assistance to low-income families and families who speak a language other than English and link them to community-based services (when applicable.) These services counteract the impacts of poverty and low parent education and lead to gains in student engagement and achievement.
- 4.f) Provide 0.5 FTE Community Liaison support for students with disabilities. (\$20,000) This increased support will allow for greater parent participation in the IEP process. This in turn leads to more accurate and effective services to students.
- 5.a.1) Continue to support 0.5 FTE (beyond historical 0.5 allocation) to provide health services to low-income families. (\$55,000) Many low-income families have little or no health coverage. Providing for their health needs improves attendance of low-income students which engenders academic gains.
- 5.b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education. (\$33,000) Services are focused on low-income youth, English learner, and reclassified students.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

6.9 %

The district is increasing and/or improving services for our low income, English learners, and foster youth students by providing additional FTE above the contractual staffing ratio for newcomer, ELD, AVID, and ROP sections; which allows for reduced class size and increased support and differentiation from teachers. The district is also continuing to fund the district Director of EL Programs, district Communications and Engagement Coordinator, and bilingual community liaisons district-wide; these positions are focused on increasing parent involvement and decision-making, which in turn increases student achievement. The district will continue efforts to update the EL Master Plan and will fund new expenditures including new ELD standards-based instructional materials; comprehensive on-going assessment system (including ELD assessments); academic interventions; increased College and Career Center services; implementation of the Gallup Poll to measure engagement of low-income students and English learners and measure the perceived effectiveness of services; etc. These new expenditures and increased services will lead to improved instruction for targeted student subgroups, improved monitoring of academic achievement, improved and increased provision of academic and socio/emotional interventions, increased and improved provision of family-based services, increased access to college preparatory courses, increased and improved access to college and career opportunities, etc.

According to the proportionality calculations included in the Local Control Funding Formula and prepared for the adopted budget, the percentage of increased or improved services is 6.9%. The total amount of services identified in section 3.a and described in the list of targeted expenditures for low income, English learners, and foster youth students is \$1,721,300 and exceeds the minimum proportionality \$1,238,349.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

2015-16 LCAP review technical questions

Date: 8-26-15

District: San Rafael City High School District

Contact: Mike Watenpaugh, Ed.D., Superintendent

The Marin County Office of Education (MCOE) has reviewed the San Rafael City High School District's Board-approved Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) for 2015-16 and appreciates the amount of energy and effort the district has invested in their plan.

The MCOE LCAP team are making a recommendation to approve the District's LCAP but request some additional technical information in order to complete the LCAP review process. Please review and submit your responses and any pertinent supporting documentation via email to Terena Mares at tmares@marinschools.org as soon as feasible. We would appreciate your response by September 4, 2015 in order for us to complete our review process in time to approve your budget by September 15th.

At the conclusion of the LCAP review and approval process we will be meeting with each district individually to provide feedback on the entire review, especially as it relates to future approvals. We will be reaching out over the coming days to coordinate and schedule your meeting.

If you have questions, please feel free to contact Terena Mares at tmares@marinschools.org or 415 499–5805.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators: Please respond to the following question(s):

2.06 Each goal should identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state

priorities.

Although we noted the District's LCAP addressed all eight state priorities and used all required state metrics, we found certain of the district's goals did not include measurable outcomes using the required state metrics for the related state priorities as indicated below:

- Goal 2 Measurable outcomes do not include the metrics required to address state priorities #4, #5 and #8.
- Goal 3 Measurable outcomes do not include metrics required to address state priority #7.
- Goal 4 Measurable outcomes do not include metrics required to address state priority #6.

Please provide additional information describing how the district will address these missing elements

.

Response:			