| LEA: <u>Nicasio School District</u> Contac | t: Christy Stocker, Principal | Email: cstocker@nicasioschool.org | Phone: (415) 662-2184 | LCAP Year: <u>2015-18</u> | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Introduction: Nicasio School is committed to providing a high quality, engaging, and well-rounded educational experience in an environment where all students feel supported and experience success. | | | | | | | |
| | | VISION STATEMENT | | | | | |
| | Nicasio School prepares students to excel in high school and in life by attracting and maintaining outstanding teachers who deliver a stimulating curriculum through innovative instructional methods. Working with parents and the community, we support all students in reaching their full potential academically, physically, and emotionally by providing a safe and nurturing environment. | | | | | | |
| | | MISSION STATEMENT | | | | | |
| Benefiting from its unique rural setting, strong and participate as responsible citizens both glo | , . | uished history, Nicasio School will educat | e children to value learning, a | ct with integrity, live a healthful life, | | | |
| Nicasio School serves approximately 50 studen Nicasio School in primary grades as English Lan school, the majority are reclassified as Fluent E | nguage Learners (ELL) with their p | primary language being Spanish. By the ti | me our ELL students reach up | per elementary school or early middle | | | |
| Students learn in multi-grade classrooms with graders attend Sir Francis Drake High School in | 0 1 | | 0 | e of Nicasio. While many graduating 8th | | | |
| Nestled in the heart of Marin County, California first Nicasio schoolhouse constructed in 1867. | a, Nicasio School is one of the fev | w remaining single-school public school d | istricts in Marin. The district i | tself was established in 1862 with the | | | |
| Nicasio School has changed dramatically since the late 1800s. Thanks to voters supporting a \$2.4 million bond in 1999, today's campus is comprised of modern, state-of-the-art facilities. In addition to large classrooms, students at Nicasio School have access to the school's library, art room, up-to-date technology, and a multi-purpose room equipped with a stage and full sitchen. Having the advantage of a rural environment, students also enjoy working in the school's organic garden with support from their teachers along with parent and community rolunteers. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year. For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement: Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each school site, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52055(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01, community members, local bargaining units, LEA personnel, county child welfare agencies, county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders, community organizations representing English learners, and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

| | Impact on LCAP 2015-16 | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Students in grades 5-8 participated in the school's annual survey on February 23, 2015 during their scheduled language arts class period. | 1. Goal 2 – provided baseline for Action 6 | | |
| 2. Parents were invited to participate in the school's online annual survey during a three-week period from late February through mid March. Those without internet access were provided with a hard copy of the survey. A total of 50 parents (16 fathers, 33 mothers, and 1 other), which represents 66% of out total parent population, took part in this year's survey. This is a significant increase over last year's participation of 38 parent participants. Currently, enrollment at Nicasio School includes a total of 38 families, of which 10 families (26%) are predominantly Spanish-speaking households. A total of 11 Spanish-speaking parents (0 fathers and 11 mothers) participated, which represents 22% of our total parent population. | Goal 2 - provided baseline for Action 6 Goal 6 - provided basis for Actions 1 and 2 | | |
| 8. Certificated teachers met on April 1, 2015 to review the 2014-15 LCAP and to provide input for the 2015-16 LCAP. This meeting took place after school during the regular weekly PLC meeting. Certificated teachers met a second time on April 21, 2015 to review their comments from April 1st and to provide additional feedback. This meeting took place after school during a regular monthly staff meeting. | Goals for 2015-16 were created by rewording, combining, and deleting Goals from 2014-15 based on feedback from teachers as follows: Goal 1 – Retained the word "competitive" in the goal to tie in with parcel tax language. Restated Action 1 to include "fair and competitive agreement and salary schedule" through the "negotiation process." The wording "Develop outstanding staff" was removed from this goal; professional development was instead included in Goal 3, Action 2. Goal 2 – Incorporates "learn" with "interact and play" in the expected measureable outcome. Goal 3 – Incorporates weekly Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings as part of professional development opportunities in Action 2, to ensure adequate time to implement new curriculum and standards. Redefines which subject area curriculum would be targeted for adoption in each of the three action years. Goal 4 – Combines goals and actions from Goals 4 and 5 in 2014-15 to create an overarching goal of meeting individual needs of all learners. Removes District level assessments and clarified use of classroom assessments based on state-adopted curriculum. Goal 5 – Identifies how successful transition to high school would be measured and established a reference of a 4-year span of student data to address concerns about sample size. | | |

- 4. Nicasio School alumni currently enrolled in high school (grades 9-12) participated in a survey during the month of April 2015. A total of 11 former students took part, which represents approximately 50% of the students who were contacted.
- 5. Teachers and one classified employee met with the principal on May 27, 2015 to review the draft of Annual Updates for the 10 goals outlined in 2014-15 and the new goals drafted for 2015-16.

Goal 6 – Reflects a combination of Goals 7 and 8 from 2014-15 with Goal 7, which focused on communication, becoming Action 1 in the new year as a means of encouraging parent involvement. Simplified focus of involvement on parents, removing students and community as primary targets. Student involvement is now reflected in 2015-16 under Goal 2.

Goal 7 is a reflection of Goal 9, which had no recommended changes from teachers.

Goal 10 from 2014-15 is not included in 2015-16, as was recommended by teachers.

- 4. Goal 5 The Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes include naming the four academic subjects (English, Math, Social and Science) and specifying that students experience success in all four academic subject areas since the survey revealed a perceived weakness in one subject over the other three.
- 5. Goal 1, Action 3 This action combined certificated and classified salaries. A teacher proposed deleting the portion of this action that included classified staff, concerned it could negatively impact the negotiation process for teachers. The principal pointed out that the District has a history of providing the same salary increases to all staff comparable to the negotiated increase for teachers; since classified employees do not have a bargaining unit, establishing this goal makes it clear what the District's intent is. In the end, the majority of staff members agreed that this is a reasonable action for the District to undertake. However, to distinguish the two categories of staff, Action 3 was divided into two actions, Action 3 (certificated) and Action 4 (classified).

Goal 2, Action 3 – This action stated that "a part-time teacher (.4 FTE) will support grades 5-8..." Staff members suggested that the word "support" sounded like the person would be serving more as an aide. It was suggested that it be clear that this employee would be providing instruction. This action has been reworded as, "To support the classrooms for grades 3-8 during core academics, a part-time teacher (.4 FTE) will provide language arts instruction to grades 5-8."

Goal 4 – The expected annual measurable outcome was revised to specific that the Smarter Balanced assessment results are based on grades 3-8 only.

| | | r | |
|----|---|----|---|
| | | | Goal 7 – An action was added to address the need to create and display signs on campus listing the playground rules. It was suggested that another action be listed that addresses the emergency training (CPR and First Aid) that all staff participate in every two years. However, since this goal is about the facilities being in good repair, this goal did not seem like an appropriate place to add this action item. |
| 6 | A Parent/Community Meeting was held on May 28, 2015. A total of 17 parents and community members were invited to participate on this committee, most of whom represent Nicasio School Foundation and Parent Club in addition to others who have been actively involved at the school. Of these 17 people, there were seven who were able to commit and participate in the meeting. | 6. | Goal 4, Action 3 – A parent suggested rewording a portion of this action for clarity. The wording presented was, "This will result in an increase of the overall scale score range for each English language learners by one performance level over a 12-month period." The wording has been changed to "This will result in each student's overall CELDT score increasing by at least one performance level over a 12-month period." |
| | | | Goal 5 – Parents suggested that this goal include social preparedness in addition to academics for students transitioning to high school. The wording presented was "Provide sufficient preparation for students to transition successfully into their academic courses as freshmen in high school." The wording of this goal has been changed to "Provide sufficient preparation for students to transition successfully academically and socially as freshmen in high school." A measurable outcome was added to survey freshmen about their social transition. |
| | | | Goal 6 – Added Action 4: "Identify two parent chairs for Foundation and Parent Club events, one English-speaking parent and one Spanish-speaking parent" to improve communications about events for all families. |
| 7. | A public hearing was held at a regular school board meeting on June 4, 2015. | 7. | There were no comments or recommendations made by the public. |

| Involvement Process 2015-16 | Impact on LCAP 2015-16 | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Annual Update: LCAP 2014-15 | Annual Update: LCAP 2014-15 | | |
| Students in grades 5-8 participated in the school's annual survey on February 23, 2015 during their scheduled language arts class period. | Goal 1 – data used in annual update, pupil engagement, school climate Goal 8 – data used in annual update, student involvement in school community | | |
| 2. Parents were invited to participate in the school's online annual survey during a three-week period from late February through mid March. Those without internet access were provided with a hard copy of the survey. A total of 50 parents (16 fathers, 33 mothers, and 1 other), which represents 66% of out total parent population, took part in this year's survey. This is a significant increase over last year's participation of 38 parent participants. Currently, enrollment at Nicasio School includes a total of 38 families, of which 10 families (26%) are predominantly Spanish-speaking households. A total of 11 Spanish-speaking parents (0 fathers and 11 mothers) participated, which represents 22% of our total parent population. | Goal 1 – data used in annual update, pupil engagement, school climate Goal 8 – data used in annual update, parent involvement in school community | | |
| 3. Certificated teachers met on April 1, 2015 to review the 2014-15 LCAP and to provide input for the 2015-16 LCAP. This meeting took place after school during the regular weekly PLC meeting. Certificated teachers met a second time on April 21, 2015 to review their comments from April 1st and to provide additional feedback. This meeting took place after school during a regular monthly staff meeting. | 3. For each goal (1-10), teachers provided feedback with recommendations for application of goals in 2014-15, including related actions and services. These details are included on the Annual Update in the last section of each goal and were driven by the ideas outlined below: Goal 1 – Mixed opinions were shared about using the word "competitive" with salaries, suggesting using "at or above county averages." Pointed out that professional development is mentioned several places within LCAP; suggested consolidating. Teachers acknowledged that current salaries, based on Marin County averages, are currently at/near the county average for beginning to mid range teachers. For teachers on the higher end of the salary schedule, salaries are at/just below county average; impact: Actual Measurable Outcome; Actual Actions 3 and 4. Goal 3 – Wanted to ensure that teachers have appropriate time and professional development provided if they are to implement new standards. Teachers indicated that the weekly 1-hour Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings are essential for them to have time to collaborate with one another and to review and implement new curriculum; impact: Actual Action 3. | | |

| | | | Goals 4 and 5 – Recommended that these two goals be combined in 2015-16. The teachers were concerned about the small sample size of students when calculating percentages related small sub groups. As such, the progress of English language learners was examined over a five-year span – Actual Annual Measurable Outcome |
|----|--|----|---|
| | | | Goal 5 – Pointed out that there were no District developed assessments; recommended either removing the word "District" from this goal or identify a specific subject area for development of District level assessments for each action year. |
| | | | Goal 6 – Suggested that this goal be deleted out of concern that small student body provided too little of a sample size to be statistically meaningful. The teachers were concerned about the small sample size of students when calculating percentages related small sub groups. As such, graduate students representing the last four years were surveyed to obtain a larger number of student representatives; impact: Actual Annual Measurable Outcome |
| | | | Goals 7 and 8 – Discussed possibility of combining these goals, but there was some concern that by combining them the focus of each would become lost and both were seem as valuable. |
| | | | Goal 8 – Identified other metrics for parent involvement as participation in school events: Back-to-School Night, Winter Program, Open House, and field trips. |
| | | | Goal 9 – No suggestions made. |
| | | | Goal 10 – Agreed that this goal could be removed since exploring shared services is an option that is regularly explored, but is reliant on random opportunities as they arise in other districts. |
| 4. | Nicasio School alumni currently enrolled in high school (grades 9-12) participated in a survey during the month of April 2015. A total of 11 former students took part, which represents approximately 50% of the students who were contacted. | 4. | Goal 6 – Data collected from the survey was used to identify what percentage of students report that they were prepared for transitioning into high school. This data included the students' perceptions of preparedness and statics based on grades received in academic courses; impact: Actual Measurable Outcomes. |
| 5. | Teachers and one classified employee met with the principal on May 27, 2015 to review the draft of Annual Updates for the 10 goals outlined in 2014-15 and the new goals drafted for 2015-16. | 5. | Goal 6 – The teachers suggested moving the following language from Action 1 and placing it with the Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes "Due to the small size of Nicasio School's student enrollment, it is necessary to examine trends across several years in order to gather statistically significant data" |

Г

| 6. | A Parent/Community Meeting was held on May 28, 2015. A total of 17 parents and community members were invited to participate on this committee, most of whom represent Nicasio School Foundation and Parent Club in addition to others who have been actively involved at the school. Of these 17 people, there were seven who were able to commit and participate in the meeting. | 6. | No changes were suggested for the 2014-15 annual update. The actions and actual annual measurable outcomes did result in parents asking questions specifically about textbooks/curriculum and the new Smarter Balanced statewide assessment. Parents wanted to know if districts are required to use state-approved materials or whether they could use other materials. They also wanted to know if there were consequences for districts if students did not participate in the Smarter Balanced assessment. |
|----|--|----|---|
| 7. | A public hearing was held at a regular school board meeting on June 4, 2015. | 7. | There were no comments or recommendations made by the public. |

Г

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal.

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the school site level. The LEA may identify which school sites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and /or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data, used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schools sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the school site level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

| GOAI | L: (1) Identify, | attract, and retain outstanding staff Highly qualified teachers and staff | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \checkmark 2_3_4_5_6_7_8_$ COE only: 9_10_ Local : Specify |
|------|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | Competitive salary schedules Schools: Other: Single-School District | | | |
| C | Goal Applies to: | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year | 1 : 2015-16 GOAL 1 | |
| | Expected Annual asurable Outcomes: | and for classified staff will remain competitive engagement. | e with comparable | ers, 100% of whom will be appropriately assigned a e school districts in Marin County. Student attendar dential Monitoring Report , CTA Comparisons of Sa | nce rate will be at least 95% as a result of pupil |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of se | ervice Budgeted Expenditures |
| | | onitoring Report provided by Marin County Communicate with teachers as needed to ntials are current. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administration |
| | • | egotiations with teachers and work to develop e agreement and salary schedule. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, CBO Salary and Superintendent Services |
| | Pay certificated teach competitive health ar | ers a competitive salary and provide nd welfare benefits. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #3 Teacher Salaries and Benefits |
| | | alary increases for classified staff based on the ons for certificated teachers. | Single-School District | All | Costs for classified staff are included at: CBO & Office Mngr - Goal 2, Action #1 Instructional Aide - Goal 4 - Action #5 Custodian - Goal 7 - Action #2 Professional Experts - Goal 2 - Action #5 & Goal 6 - Action #3 |

| | | | LCAP Year 2: 2 | 2016-17 GOAL 1 | |
|----|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | teachers and for classified staff will remain corresult of pupil engagement. ² | congevity List, Crea | ers, 100% of whom will be appropriately assigned and omparable school districts in Marin County. Student att dential Monitoring Report, CTA Comparisons of Salarie ers), Student Attendance | endance rate will be at least 95% as a |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. | | oring Report provided by Marin County Office ate with teachers as needed to ensure that all | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administration |
| 2. | | tiations with teachers and work to develop a eement and salary schedule. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, CBO - Salary and Superintendent Services |
| 3. | Pay certificated teachers health and welfare benef | a competitive salary and provide competitive fits. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #3 - Teacher Salaries and Benefits |
| 4. | Provide comparable salar outcome of negotiations | ry increases for classified staff based on the for certificated teachers. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs for classified staff are included at: CBO & Office Mngr - Goal 2, Action #1 Instructional Aide - Goal 4 - Action #5 Custodian - Goal 7 - Action #2 Professional Experts - Goal 2 - Action #5 & Goal 6 - Action #3 |

| | | | LCAP Year 3: 2 | 017-18 GOAL 1 | |
|----|---|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| N | Expected Annual leasurable Outcomes: | teachers and for classified staff will remain corresult of pupil engagement. | Longevity List, Cred | ers, 100% of whom will be appropriately assigned and mparable school districts in Marin County. Student at dential Monitoring Report , CTA Comparisons of Salar prs), Student Attendance | ttendance rate will be at least 95% as a |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. | | itoring Report provided by Marin County mmunicate with teachers as needed to ials are current. | Single-School District | ✓AII | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administration |
| 2. | | otiations with teachers and work to develop agreement and salary schedule. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, — CBO Salary and Superintendent Services |
| 3. | Pay certificated teache competitive health and | rs a competitive salary and provide I welfare benefits. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #3 Teacher Salaries and Benefits |
| 4. | | lary increases for classified staff based on the ns for certificated teachers. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs for classified staff are included at: CBO & Office Mngr - Goal 2, Action #1 Instructional Aide - Goal 4 - Action #5 Custodian - Goal 7 - Action #2 Professional Experts - Goal 2 - Action #5 & Goal 6 - Action #3 |

| GOAL: | (2) Maintai | n optimal class sizes and configurations to enha | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 ✓ 2_3_4_5 ✓ 6 ✓ 7 ✓ 8 COE only: 9_10 Local : Specify | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Identified N | Maintain enrollment that creates a balance across grade levels and promotes positive intellectual and social interactions for students Maintain low teacher to student ratio to promote a successful learning environment for all students in multi-grade, self-contained classrooms | | | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | oplies to: | Schools:Other :Single-School DistrictApplicable Pupil Subgroups:All | | | | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year 2 | l: 2015-16 GOAL 2 | | | | |
| | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:Students will benefit by having a group of peers in their respective grade level with whom to learn, interact, and play, which will promote student engagement. Class sizes will average 15-20 students in grades TK-5 and up to 25 in grades 6-8 based on 3.4 FTE certificated teachers with an average total enrollment of 50 students. There will be a zero (0) dropout rate. Suspensions and expulsions will be statistically insignificant. Metric(s): School Attendance Rates, Chronic Absenteeism Rate, Dropout Rate (Middle School drop out rate), Suspension Rate, Expulsion Rate, Enrollment Roster, Inter-District Requests/Waitlist | | | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of se | ervice Budgeted Expenditures | | | |
| Maintain current configuration for school administration: .60 FTE principal; .80 FTE office manager; 1 day/month superintendent; 0.5 FTE Chief Business Official (CBO); maintain office phone & internet communications and student information systems (SIS) | | Single-School District | All | Admin salaries \$176,124; Benefits \$52,186; Supt services \$8,564; Office Supplies \$4,350; Phone \$3,950; Services SIS \$8,427; Funding source LCFF. | | | | |
| 2. Update and maintain enrollment projections. Outreach to parents and community members to identify potential incoming students. Accept inter-district transfer students into Nicasio School in order to foster a stimulating learning environment for resident students in accordance with the District's inter-district attendance policy, rules and regulations. | | Single-School District | All | Costs included in school administration – (Goal 2) Action #1 | | | | |
| three ho During co classrood the class | omeroom teach ore instruction m aide will be srooms for gra | assrooms with 3.4 FTE teachers. Each of the hers (3.0 FTE) will support three grade levels. In of math, reading and writing, a part-time placed in the primary classroom. To support des 3-8 during core academics, a part-time ovide language arts instruction to grades 5-8. | Single-School District | All | 3.4 FTE Teacher salaries \$256,012; Benefits \$69,210; Funding Source LCFF \$164,607, Parcel Taxes \$160,614 | | | |
| Provide an instructional aide to support all pupils. Employ a classroom aide for the TK-2 classroom in the morning and the Learning Center in the afternoon. | | Single-School District | All | Costs included in Goal 4 Action #5 | | | | |

| 5. | Enhance student learning by providing a broad course of study beyond state required subject areas and by providing teachers with sufficient planning periods to prepare for instruction in multi-grade, self-contained classrooms. Offer enrichment programs to students in grades TK-8: Spanish, Art, Performing Arts and PE Specialist. | Single-School District | All | Classified PEA salaries \$29,755, Benefits \$2,633, Supplies \$1,376; Funding Source Local Foundation donation |
|----|---|---------------------------|------|--|
| 6. | As a result of pupil engagement, students and parents will report an average of 90% satisfaction with overall classroom and campus climate. Attendance rate will average 95%. There will be a zero (0) dropout rate. Suspensions and expulsions will be statistically insignificant. | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in school administration – (Goal 2) Action #1 |

| | | | LCAP Year | 2: 2016-17 GOAL 2 | |
|----|--|--|---|--|---|
| Μ | Expected Annual leasurable Outcomes: | Class sizes will average 15-20 students in grad students. There will be a zero (0) dropout rate | les TK-5 and up to e. Suspensions and ubsenteeism Rate, | ive grade level with whom to learn, interact, and play, wh 25 in grades 6-8 based on 3.4 FTE certificated teachers w d expulsions will be statistically insignificant. Dropout Rate (Middle School drop out rate), Suspension F | vith an average total enrollment of 50 |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. | principal; .80 FTE office FTE Chief Business Office | guration for school administration: .60 FTE manager; 1 day/month superintendent; 0.5 cial (CBO) Maintain office phone & internet udent information systems (SIS) | Single-School District | ✓AII | Admin salaries \$179,650; Benefits - \$55,475; Superintendent services \$8,584; Office Supplies \$4,350; Communication \$3,950; Contracted services Student Information Systems \$8,427; Sole funding source LCFF. |
| 2. | and community membe Accept inter-district tra foster a stimulating lear | nrollment projections. Outreach to parents ers to identify potential incoming students. nsfer students into Nicasio School in order to rning environment for resident students in strict's inter-district attendance policy, rules | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in school administration – - (Goal 2) Action #1 |
| 3. | three homeroom teach During core instruction classroom aide will be p the classrooms for grad | assrooms with 3.4 FTE teachers. Each of the lers (3.0 FTE) will support three grade levels. of math, reading and writing, a part-time placed in the primary classroom. To support les 3-8 during core academics, a part-time pyide language arts instruction to grades 5-8. | Single-School District | ✓All | 3.4 FTE Teacher salaries \$261,662; - Benefits \$74,996; Funding Source LCFF \$171,896, Parcel Taxes \$164,762 |
| 4. | Provide an instructiona | l aide to support all pupils. Employ a TK-2 classroom in the morning and the | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 4 Action #5 - |
| 5. | beyond state required s sufficient planning perio self-contained classroom | ng by providing a broad course of study subject areas and by providing teachers with ods to prepare for instruction in multi-grade, ms. Offer enrichment programs to students , Art, Performing Arts and PE Specialist. | Single-School District | ✓All | Classified PEA salaries \$30,217, Benefits - \$2,695, Supplies \$1,275; Funding Source Local Foundation donation |
| 6. | average of 90% satisfac climate. Attendance rat | agement, students and parents will report an tion with overall classroom and campus te will average 95%. There will be a zero (0) ons and expulsions will be statistically | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in school administration – - (Goal 2) Action #1 |

| | | 1 | LCAP Yea | r 3: 2017-18 GOAL 2 | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:Students will benefit by having a group of peers in their respective grade level with whom to learn, interact, and sizes will average 15-20 students in grades TK-5 and up to 25 in grades 6-8 based on 3.4 FTE certificated teacher There will be a zero (0) dropout rate. Suspensions and expulsions will be statistically insignificant.Measurable Outcomes:Metric(s): School Attendance Rates, Chronic Absenteeism Rate, Dropout Rate (Middle School drop out rate), Susp District Requests/Waitlist | | | | | n average total enrollment of 50 students. |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. | principal; .80 FTE office FTE Chief Business Office | guration for school administration: .60 FTE manager; 1 day/month superintendent; 0.5 cial (CBO) Maintain office phone & internet udent information systems (SIS) | Single-School District | ✓AII | Admin salaries \$183,239; Benefits \$61,476; Superintendent services \$8,584; Office Supplies \$4,350; Communication \$3,950; Contracted services Student Information Systems \$8,427; Sole funding source LCFF. |
| 2. | and community member Accept inter-district tra foster a stimulating lear | nrollment projections. Outreach to parents ers to identify potential incoming students. nsfer students into Nicasio School in order to rning environment for resident students in strict's inter-district attendance policy, rules | Single-School District | ✓AII | Costs included in school administration – - (Goal 2) Action #1 |
| 3. | 3. Support multi-grade classrooms with 3.4 FTE teachers. Each of the three homeroom teachers (3.0 FTE) will support three grade levels. During core instruction of math, reading and writing, a part-time classroom aide will be placed in the primary classroom. To support the classrooms for grades 3-8 during core academics, a part-time teacher (.4 FTE) will provide language arts instruction to grades 5-8. | | Single-School District | ✓All | 3.4 FTE Teacher salaries \$268,191; Benefits - \$81,021; Funding Source LCFF \$179,363 Parcel Taxes \$169,849 |
| 4. | Provide an instructiona | l aide to support all pupils. Employ a TK-2 classroom in the morning and the | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 4 Action #5 |
| 5. | beyond state required s sufficient planning perio self-contained classroom | ng by providing a broad course of study subject areas and by providing teachers with ods to prepare for instruction in multi-grade, ms. Offer enrichment programs to students , Art, Performing Arts and PE Specialist. | Single-School District | ✓AII | Classified PEA salaries \$30,689, Benefits - \$2,758, Supplies \$1,507; Funding Source Local Foundation donation |
| 6. | average of 90% satisfac climate. Attendance rat | agement, students and parents will report an ition with overall classroom and campus te will average 95%. There will be a zero (0) ons and expulsions will be statistically | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in school administration – - (Goal 2) Action #1 |

| GOA | L: | (3) Impleme | ent academic content and performance standard | Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \checkmark 2 \checkmark 3 _ 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 \checkmark 8 _$ COE only: 9 _ 10 Local : Specify | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Textbooks and materials Ongoing professional development opporture Regular, weekly meetings for teachers within | | | Ongoing professional development opport | | | | | |
| C | Goal Appli | es to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | | | |
| | | | | LCAP Year | 1 : 2015-16 GOAL 3 | | | |
| | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: All students (100%) will have access to standar will be incorporated into the instructional day instruction. | | | ards aligned textbo , providing all tea Implementation (| nat include academic content and performance state boks, materials, and assessments. A weekly, 1-hou chers (100%) with the opportunity to work collabo SARC: List of District-adopted CCSS curriculum), Pro- cipation | r Professional Learning Community (PLC) meeting bratively on matters related to curriculum and | | |
| | | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| | 1. All students will have access to ELA Common Core standards aligned curriculum. Adopt and purchase English Language Arts textbooks and materials once California Department of Education approves curriculum for adoption (California curriculum approval of ELA instructional materials anticipated in winter 2015). | | Single-School District | ✓All | Textbooks \$14,680; Funding Sources – Parcel Taxes \$6,000, EPA \$5,680, LCFF Supplemental \$3,000. Instructional Materials \$8,891 Funding source Lottery \$7,191, Federal REAP \$1,700 | | | |
| | 2. Make professional development opportunities available to all teachers and staff through on-site and off-site trainings and workshops as needed. For certificated teachers, three in-service professional development days will be included in the annual school calendar. In addition, certificated teachers will meet weekly for 1-hour within their instructional day (PLC Meeting) with a focus on professional growth. | | Single-School District | All | Substitute salaries & benefits \$3,146 LCFF Registration & travel \$2,000 LCFF Instructional Internet \$4,320 EPA Ed Tech professional services \$7,875 LCFF | | | |

| | | LCAP Year | 2: 2016-17 GOAL 3 | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:All students (100%) will be enrolled in broad courses of study that include academic content and performance standards in required, state-adopted subject areas. All students (100%) will have access to standards aligned textbooks, materials, and assessments. A weekly, 1-hour Professional Learning Community (PLC) meeting will be incorporated into the instructional day, providing all teachers (100%) with the opportunity to work collaboratively on matters related to curriculum and instruction.Metric(s): Standards-Aligned Materials, CCSS Implementation (SARC: List of District-adopted CCSS curriculum), Professional Development Training Records, School Accountability Report Card, Smarter Balanced Assessment Participation | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| curriculum. Adopt instru | ccess to the most current, standards-aligned uctional materials in science and/or social partment of Education approves curriculum | Single-School District | ✓All | Textbooks \$14,744; Funding Sources – Parcel Taxes \$6,064, EPA \$5,680, LCFF Supplemental \$3,000. Instructional Materials \$8,476 Funding source Lottery \$7,191, Federal REAP \$1,285 | |
| 2. Make professional development opportunities available to all teachers and staff through on-site and off-site trainings and workshops as needed. For certificated teachers, three in-service professional development days will be included in the annual school calendar. In addition, certificated teachers will meet weekly for 1-hour within their instructional day (PLC Meeting) with a focus on professional growth. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Substitute salaries & benefits \$3,137 LCFF Registration & travel \$2,000 LCFF Instructional Internet \$4,320 EPA Ed Tech professional services \$8,000 LCFF | |
| · | | LCAP Year | 3: 2017-18 GOAL 3 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | students (100%) will have access to standards | s aligned textbook | nat include academic content and performance standa s, materials, and assessments. A weekly, 1-hour Profes rs (100%) with the opportunity to work collaboratively | ssional Learning Community (PLC) meeting will | |
| | Metric(s): Standards-Aligned Materials, CCSS Accountability Report Card, Smarter Balancec | | | sional Development Training Records, School | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| All students will have access to the most current, standards-aligned curriculum. Adopt instructional materials in science and/or social studies as California Department of Education approves curriculum for adoption. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Textbooks \$15,324; Funding Sources – Parcel Taxes \$6,644, EPA \$5,680, LCFF Supplemental \$3,000. Instructional Materials \$7,813 Funding source Lottery \$7,191, Federal REAP \$622 | |
| Make professional development opportunities available to all teachers and staff through on-site and off-site trainings and workshops as needed. For certificated teachers, three in-service professional development days will be included in the annual school calendar. In addition, certificated teachers will meet weekly for 1- hour within their instructional day (PLC Meeting) with a focus on professional growth. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Substitute salaries & benefits \$3,137 LCFF Registration & travel \$2,000 LCFF Instructional Internet \$4,320 EPA Ed Tech professional services \$8,500 LCFF | |

| GOAL: Identified N Goal Ap | | Standardized assessments Differentiated instruction Intervention and support programs Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 ✓ 2 ✓ 3 4 ✓ 5 6 7 ✓ 8 ✓ COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | LCAP Yea | ar 1: 2015-16 GOAL 4 | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Of general education students in grades 3-8, 70% will demonstrate proficiency on the Smarter Balanced assessment in both Math and English Language Arts. Of English Language Learners, 60% will demonstrate proficiency on the Smarter Balanced assessment in both Math and English Language Arts. The majority (75%) of English Language Learners will be reclassified as English proficient by the end of 8th grade. Students receiving special education services will meet 75% of their IEP goals. Metric(s): Student Access and Enrollment, Standardized Tests (Smarter Balanced, CELDT), EL Progress and Reclassification Rates, IEP Progress Reports, Professional Development Training Records, School Accountability Report Card, Student Progress Reports and Report Cards | | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of serv | ice Budgeted Expenditures | |
| determ Admini progre Smarte | nine individual l ister standardiz ss: California Er er Balanced, ST/ | gularly to evaluate level of proficiency and earning needs for targeted intervention. ed tests to evaluate and monitor student nglish Language Development Test (CELDT), AR, and classroom assessments using oted curriculum. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Assessment costs included in Goal #2 – Action #1 Principal & Action #3 Teachers. Additional classified salary and benefits for CELDT \$1,319 Funding Source LCFF Supplemental | |
| 2. Place s progra Individ | pecial educatio ms and provide ualized Educati nent and service | n students in appropriate instructional specialized services in accordance with on Plan (IEP). Monitor special education es through IEP progress reports and annual | Single-School District | ✓Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Special Education Services Goal 5xxx \$32,150 Excess Costs & COE Transfer (7142) \$88,600 Funding sources: LCFF \$76,262, Parcel Taxes \$17,550, SELPA AB602 Allocation\$26,938 | |
| instruc overall | | | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (monitoring) and Goal 3, Action #2 (professional development) | |
| 4. Provide scholarships to low income students and foster youth for school programs, such as field trips. | | Single-School District | ✓Low Income ✓Foster Youth | Field Trips (Object 5819) \$3,000 Funding Source Foundation donation (resource 9311) | | |
| | | earning Center that provides instructional nguage learners and students with disabilities. | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Instructional Aide \$19,727 Benefits \$8,043; Funding Source LCFF Supplemental \$13,884; Federal REAP \$13,886 | |

| | | | LCAP Yea | r 2: 2016-17 GOAL 4 | | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| N | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:Of general education students in grades 3-8, 72% will demonstrate proficiency on the Smarter Balanced assessment in both Math and English Language Arts. Of English Language Learners, 62% will demonstrate proficiency on the Smarter Balanced assessment in both Math and English Language Arts. The majority (75%) of English Language Learners will be reclassified as English proficient by the end of 8th grade. Students receiving special education services will meet 75% of their IEP goals.Metric(s): Student Access and Enrollment, Standardized Tests (Smarter Balanced, CELDT), EL Progress and Reclassification Rates, IEP Progress Reports, Professional Development Training Records, School Accountability Report Card, Student Progress Reports and Report Cards | | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| 1. | determine individual lea Administer standardized progress: California Eng | larly to evaluate level of proficiency and arning needs for targeted intervention. d tests to evaluate and monitor student lish Language Development Test (CELDT), R, and classroom assessments using ed curriculum. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Assessment costs included in Goal #2 – Action #1 Principal & Action #3 Teachers. Additional classified salary and benefits for CELDT \$1,319 Funding Source LCFF Supplemental | | |
| 2. | programs and provide s Individualized Education | students in appropriate instructional pecialized services in accordance with n Plan (IEP). Monitor special education through IEP progress reports and annual | Single-School District | ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Special Education Services Goal 5xxx \$32,250 Excess Costs & COE Transfer (7142) \$89,476 Funding sources: LCFF \$79,892, Parcel Taxes \$17,550, SELPA AB602 Allocation\$24,284 | | |
| 3. | instruct English languag | eachers have an appropriate credential to ge learners. This will result in each student's reasing by at least one performance level l. | Single-School District | ✓ All ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (monitoring) and Goal 3, Action #2 (professional development) | | |
| 4. | Provide scholarships to school programs, such a | low income students and foster youth for as field trips. | Single-School District | ✓Low Income ✓Foster Youth | Field Trips (Object 5819) \$3,000 Funding Source Foundation donation (resource 9311) | | |
| 5. | | arning Center that provides instructional uage learners and students with disabilities. | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Instructional Aide \$20,126 Benefits \$8,370; Funding Source LCFF Supplemental \$14,248; Federal REAP \$14,248 | | |

| | | | LCAP Ye | ar 3: 2017-18 GOAL 4 | |
|----|--|---|---|--|---|
| N | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Language Learners, 65% will demonstrate pro Language Learners will be reclassified as Engl Metric(s): Student Access and Enrollment, Sta | oficiency on the Sn lish proficient by th andardized Tests (S | rate proficiency on the Smarter Balanced assessment in bot narter Balanced assessment in both Math and English Lang ne end of 8th grade. Students receiving special education se Smarter Balanced, CELDT), EL Progress and Reclassification I ard, Student Progress Reports and Report Cards | uage Arts. The majority (75%) of English ervices will meet 75% of their IEP goals.2 |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. | determine individual lea Administer standardize progress: California Eng | Ilarly to evaluate level of proficiency and arning needs for targeted intervention. d tests to evaluate and monitor student ;lish Language Development Test (CELDT), R, and classroom assessments using ed curriculum. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Assessment costs included in Goal #2 – Action #1 Principal & Action #3 Teachers. Additional classified salary and benefits for CELDT \$1,319 Funding Source LCFF Supplemental |
| 2. | programs and provide s Individualized Education | students in appropriate instructional specialized services in accordance with n Plan (IEP). Monitor special education through IEP progress reports and annual | Single-School District | ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Special Education Services Goal 5xxx \$32,350 Excess Costs & COE Transfer (7142) \$84,579 Funding sources: LCFF \$75,095, Parcel Taxes \$17,550, SELPA AB602 Allocation\$24,284 |
| 3. | instruct English languag | eachers have an appropriate credential to ge learners. This will result in each student's reasing by at least one performance level I. | Single-School District | ✓ All ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (monitoring) and Goal 3, Action #2 (professional development) |
| 4. | Provide scholarships to school programs, such a | low income students and foster youth for as field trips. | Single-School District | ✓Low Income ✓Foster Youth | Field Trips (Object 5819) \$3,000 Funding Source Foundation donation (resource 9311) |
| 5. | | arning Center that provides instructional guage learners and students with disabilities. | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Redesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disability | Instructional Aide \$20,525 Benefits \$9,188; Funding Source LCFF Supplemental \$14,857; Federal REAP \$14,856 |

| GOAL: | (5) Provide | sufficient preparation for students to transition | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_2_3_4_5_6_7_8 ✓ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local : Specify | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Identified Ne | eed(s) : | Measurement of student success during free | eshman year of hig | h school | |
| Goal App | plies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year | 1: 2015-16 GOAL 5 | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: an average grade of B- or higher during their f also report feeling equally prepared for all fou the social climate of high school. Due to the sr span (the current year and the three prior yea | | | first semester of th ur subjects areas. mall size of alumni ars). | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of se | rvice Budgeted Expenditures |
| 1. Distribute a survey to former Nicasio School students after their first semester of their freshman year at high school. Use data collected to modify instructional program appropriately. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (Admin staff) | |
| | | | LCAP Year | 2: 2016-17 GOAL 5 | |
| | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:All (100%) of Nicasio School's students will matriculate to high school with at least 80% of Nicasio School's alumni transitioning successfully into high school, earning an average grade of B- or higher during their first semester of their freshman year in four academic subjects: English, Math, Social Studies and Science. Students will also report feeling equally prepared for all four subjects areas. At least 80% of these students will also report that they were able to integrate successfully within the social climate of high school. Due to the small size of alumni classes (3-7 students), this percentage (80%) will be calculated based on an average over a 4-year span (the current year and the three prior years).Metric(s): Dropout Rate, Graduates meeting standards during freshman year, Survey of Nicasio School graduates | | | | |
| Actions/Services | | Scope of | Pupils to be served within identified scope of se | rvice Budgeted | |
| Distribute a survey to former Nicasio School students after their first semester of their freshman year at high school. Use data collected to modify instructional program appropriately. | | Service Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (Admin staff) | |

| LCAP Year 3: 2017-18 GOAL 5 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All (100%) of Nicasio School's students will matriculate to high school with at least 80% of Nicasio School's alumni transitioning successfully into high school, earning an average grade of B- or higher during their first semester of their freshman year in four academic subjects: English, Math, Social Studies and Science. Students will also report feeling equally prepared for all four subjects areas. At least 80% of these students will also report that they were able to integrate successfully within the social climate of high school. Due to the small size of alumni classes (3-7 students), this percentage (80%) will be calculated based on an average over a 4-year span (the current year and the three prior years). <i>Metric(s): Dropout Rate, Graduates meeting standards during freshman year, Survey of Nicasio School graduates</i> | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| 1. Distribute a survey to former Nicasio School students after their first semester of their freshman year at high school. Use data collected to modify instructional program appropriately. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1 (Admin staff) | | |

| GOAL: (| 6) Encourag | e all parents to be active participants in the sch | ool community | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3 ✓ 4 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Identified Need | l(s) : | Parent involvement at the school level from Maintain and improve school-to-home com Employ English/Spanish translator and pare | nmunications | d Spanish-speaking families | | |
| Goal Applie | es to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | | |
| | <u>_</u> | | LCAP Ye | ar 1: 2015-16 GOAL 6 | | |
| - | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Students' families, both English-Speaking and Spanish-speaking, will be well informed about the school's news and events and about their children's progress in school Metric(s): Parent Input (Annual Survey of Parents/Guardians, Back-to-School Questionnaire (identify preferred communication methods), Parent Participation (attendor at school events, donations (time/money) to classrooms, field trips), Volunteer Records (Foundation, Parent Club, Field Trip Drivers, Visitor Sign-in Log) | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of ser | vice Budgeted Expenditures | |
| | ome for both | tent and clear communication between school n English-speaking and Spanish-speaking | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | |
| survey, ide parent/gua survey to id | entify the pro ardian. Mid- dentify com | ool questionnaire and an annual parent eferred communication methods of each way through the year, distribute an annual munication methods that are effective and is can improve. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | |
| Employ a part-time English/Spanish translator to serve as school-to- home communicator (oral and written) and parent liaison. Spanish translation services will be provided to 100% of Spanish-speaking households, both orally and in writing. Student reports, student records, school documents and forms, and school news will be provided in both English and Spanish languages. | | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Reclassified FEP | Classified salary and benefits \$5,644 Funding Source: Local foundation donation (resource 9319) | | |
| | | airs for Foundation and Parent Club events, parent and one Spanish-speaking parent. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | |
| 5. Translate K | <-8 Commor | n Core report cards into Spanish for 2015-16. | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Reclassified FEP | Costs included in Goal 6, Action #3, Classified salary and benefits | |

| | | LCAP Year | 2 : 2016-17 GOAL 6 | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | | | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | | |
| _ | ent and clear communication between school English-speaking and Spanish-speaking | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | | |
| Through a Back-to-School questionnaire and an annual parent survey, identify the preferred communication methods of each parent/guardian. Mid-way through the year, distribute an annual survey to identify communication methods that are effective and where communications can improve. | | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | | |
| Employ a part-time English/Spanish translator to serve as school-to- home communicator (oral and written) and parent liaison. Spanish translation services will be provided to 100% of Spanish-speaking households, both orally and in writing. Student reports, student records, school documents and forms, and school news will be provided in both English and Spanish languages. | | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Reclassified FEP | Classified salary and benefits \$5,759 Funding Source: Local foundation donation (resource 9319) | | | |
| 4. Identify two parent cha | irs for Foundation and Parent Club events, arent and one Spanish-speaking parent. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | | |

| LCAP Year 3: 2017-18 GOAL 6 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | | | | | | |
| | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| Ensure regular, consistent and clear communication between school and the home for both English-speaking and Spanish-speaking households. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | |
| Through a Back-to-School questionnaire and an annual parent survey, identify the preferred communication methods of each parent/guardian. Mid-way through the year, distribute an annual survey to identify communication methods that are effective and where communications can improve. | | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | |
| Employ a part-time English/Spanish translator to serve as school-to- home communicator (oral and written) and parent liaison. Spanish translation services will be provided to 100% of Spanish-speaking households, both orally and in writing. Student reports, student records, school documents and forms, and school news will be provided in both English and Spanish languages. | | Single-School District | ✓ English Learners ✓ Reclassified FEP | Classified salary and benefits \$5,872 Funding Source: Local foundation donation (resource 9319) | | |
| | rs for Foundation and Parent Club events, rent and one Spanish-speaking parent. | Single-School District | ✓ All | Costs included in Goal 2, Action #1, School Site Administrative salaries | | |

| GOAL: | | all facilities are up-to-date, functional and safe • Maintain facilities in good repair | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 ✓ 2345678 COE only: 910 Local : Specify |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Identified N | eed : | Deferred maintenance schedule | | | |
| Goal Ap | oplies to: | Schools:Other: Single-School DistrictApplicable Pupil Subgroups:All | | | |
| | | | LCAP Year | r 1: 2015-16 GOAL 7 | |
| Expected Annual management report will be remedied within of 0% injuries related to poor condition or maint | | | one fiscal year bas itenance of the fac | mpus facilities are in "exemplary" status. Any corrected on level of priority. Students will be able to learn cilities. ² | n and play on a clean and safe campus, resulting |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of ser | vice Budgeted Expenditures |
| Conduct an annual facilities inspection in December/January and complete related report; use data collected to prepare the section for facilities on the School Accountability Report Card (SARC). Maintain membership in Marin Schools Insurance Authority JPA. | | Single-School District | | Property & Liability Insurance \$6,011 Funding Source LCFF | |
| | 2. Maintain communication system between administration and custodian for work order requests from teachers and staff. 🛛 | | Single-School District | ✓All | Function 8xxx: Custodian salary and benefits \$41,589 M&O supplies \$6,000 Repairs \$7,231, Health & Safety licenses \$1,676, Utilities \$19,350, Fund 14 Repairs \$60,000. Funding Source LCFF |
| | • | naintenance schedule. | Single-School District | ✓AII | Included in staff costs – Goal 2, Action #1 Administration, and this goal Action #2 Custodian |
| Maintain existing potable water system. Continue to pursue viable options for long-term potable water system and availability of potable water. | | Single-School District | ✓Ali | Object 5535 Water testing \$12,000, Water deliveries \$7,000, Object 5610 water repairs \$2,000 Funding source LCFF | |
| | | ayground rules to promote student safety. | Single-School District | ✓AII | Costs included in Goal #2, Action #1, Administrative staff. |
| | nce to identify | anagement report provided by Keenan and implement corrective action when | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal #2, Action #1, Administrative staff. |

| | | | LCAP Yea | r 2: 2016-17 GOAL 7 | | |
|----|---|------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| N | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: The annual Facilities Inspection Report will indicate that the campus facilities are in "exemplary" status. Students will be able to learn and play on a clean and safe campus, resulting 0% injuries related to poor condition or maintenance of the facilities. Metric: Work Order Log; Annual Facilities Review (Marin School Insurance Authority - MSIA); Annual Facilities Inspection Report | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| 1. | Conduct an annual facilities inspection in December/January and complete related report; use data collected to prepare the section for facilities on the School Accountability Report Card (SARC). Maintain membership in Marin Schools Insurance Authority JPA. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Property & Liability Insurance \$6,020 Funding Source LCFF | |
| 2. | Maintain communication system between administration and custodian for work order requests from teachers and staff. 2 | | Single-School District | ✓All | Function 8xxx: Custodian salary and benefits \$37,763 M&O supplies \$6,000 Repairs \$7,161, Health & Safety licenses \$1,676, Utilities \$19,350, Fund 14 Repairs \$10,000. Funding Source LCFF | |
| 3. | . Monitor and maintain a deferred maintenance schedule. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Included in staff costs – Goal 2, Action #1 - Administration, and this goal Action #2 Custodian | |
| 4. | Maintain existing potable water system. Continue to pursue viable options for long-term potable water system and availability of potable water. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Object 5535 Water testing \$12,000, Water deliveries \$7,000, Object 5610 water repairs \$2,000 Funding source LCFF | |
| 5. | 5. Review facility risk management report provided by Keenan Insurance to identify and implement corrective action when necessary. ¹ | | Single-School District | ✓All | Costs included in Goal #2, Action #1, Administrative staff. | |

| | LCAP Year 3: 2017-18 GOAL 7 | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| М | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: The annual Facilities Inspection Report will indicate that the campus facilities are in "exemplary" status. Students will be able to learn and play on a clean and safe Measurable Outcomes: Metric: Work Order Log; Annual Facilities Review (Marin School Insurance Authority - MSIA); Annual Facilities Inspection Report | | | | | |
| | | Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| 1. | Conduct an annual facilities inspection in December/January and complete related report; use data collected to prepare the section for facilities on the School Accountability Report Card (SARC). Maintain membership in Marin Schools Insurance Authority JPA. | | Single-School District | ✓AII | Property & Liability Insurance \$6,050 - Funding Source LCFF | |
| 2. | Maintain communication system between administration and custodian for work order requests from teachers and staff. 2 | | Single-School District | ✓All | Function 8xxx: Custodian salary and benefits \$39,629 M&O supplies \$6,000 Repairs \$7,161, Health & Safety licenses \$1,676, Utilities \$19,350, Fund 14 Repairs \$10,000. Funding Source LCFF | |
| 3. | 3. Monitor and maintain a deferred maintenance schedule. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Included in staff costs – Goal 2, Action #1 Administration, and this goal Action #2 Custodian | |
| 4. | Maintain existing potable water system. Continue to pursue viable options for long-term potable water system and availability of potable water. | | Single-School District | ✓All | Object 5535 Water testing \$12,000, Water deliveries \$7,000, Object 5610 water repairs \$2,000 Funding source LCFF | |
| 5. | | agement report provided by Keenan d implement corrective action when | Single-School District | All | Costs included in Goal #2, Action #1, - Administrative staff. | |

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | (1) Identify , attract, retain and develop outstanding staff | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 ✓ 2345678 COE only: 910 Local : Specify | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Students will continue to benefit from high quality instruction. All teachers (100%) will be appropriately assigned and credentialed. Teachers' salaries will remain competitive with neighboring public schools. Student attendance rate will be at least 95%. | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All certificated teachers (100%) meet the definition of "highly qualified". One of the four teachers is teaching in an assignment outside of his/her credentialed subject area - the position requires a single subject credential and this teacher possesses a multiple-subject credential. The school board reviews this assignment annually and determines that the teacher meets the necessary level of competency; this is formalized through a board resolution prior to the start of the school year. Teachers' salaries, based on Marin County averages, are currently at/near the county average for beginning to mid range teachers. For teachers on the higher end of the salary schedule, salaries are at/just below county average. Student attendance rate in 2014-15 is 95.27 as of 5/22/2015. Data from the school survey indicates that students in grades 5-8 have a positive perception of both classroom and school climate, with a 92% satisfaction rating. Parent satisfaction with school climate is at 91%. | |

| | LCAP Year: 2014-15 GOAL 1 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Planned Actions/Services | | | Actual Actions/Services | | | | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 1. | Review credential m | onitoring report provided by MCOE | Included in School Administration costs (Goal 2) | 1. | throughout the school of this review, one of certificate was sche | ntial monitoring report was reviewed bol year as it was provided by MCOE. As a result credentialed teacher was reminded that his/her duled to expire 08/2015 and it has been piration date of 08/2020. | Included in School Administration costs (Goal 2) |
| 2. | | ssional development plan and make oment activities available to all teachers and | Professional development \$3,600 Source Federal & LCFF | 2. | teachers and staff p and received two-ye addition, 100% of te requirement for "m teachers meet weel school year for one- needs of students a Smarter Balanced st also attended works Standards, Project-E | as on emergency preparedness. All (100%) participated in emergency response workshops ear certification in first aid response and CPR. In eachers and staff completed the new annual andated reporter" training. Certificated kly on Wednesday afternoons throughout the -hour sessions that focused on meeting the t risk and preparations for implementing the tatewide assessment. All three core teachers shops off campus related to Common Core State Based Learning and Guided Language Acquisition | Substitute salaries & benefits \$1,605; Funding source Federal Title IIA Supt Services\$8,584 |
| 3. | | negotiations with teachers and work to ompetitive agreement | Contract negotiations & administration \$10,584; Source LCFF | 3. In May 2015, certificated teachers sunshined the District to open negotiations for 2015-16. S | | Source Direct Services Local Revenue; Business Officer \$1,108 Source LCFF | |
| 4. | Pay teachers a comp master's degree | etitive salary including incentive pay for | Costs included in goal 2 | 4. The District and certificated teachers came to agreement on the 2014-15 salary schedule in June 2014, which included a 2% salary increase and an increase in health benefits to cover full costs of a basic health plan, dental and vision for the employee. A 2% salary increase was also applied to the 2014-15 classified salary schedule. In November 2014, the classified salary schedule was revised to place the position of office manager on a separate schedule for classified management along with a newly defined position for chief business official. These classified management positions also received approval from the governing board to have the same health benefits cap as the certificated teachers. | | Costs included in goal #2 | |
| Sco | ope of service: | Single-School District | | Sco | pe of service: | Single-School District | |
| ✓ | ✓ All | | - | ✓ ALL | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | The 2014-15 goal originally stated "identify, attract, retain and develop outstanding staff." In 2015-16 this goal will be limited to identifying, attracting and retaining staff members since these three areas are most directly tied to the need to pay competitive salaries and benefits. The development of staff members will be incorporated into a separate goal in 2015-16 that will be related to preparing teachers and staff for curriculum implementation and the need for differentiated instruction. |
|---|--|
| o , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| will be made as a result of reviewing past progress | |
| and/or changes to goals? | Actions/Services/Expenditures |
| | Remove the action of creating a professional development plan and its related expenditures from Goal 1 and incorporate it into a separate |
| | goal related to curriculum implementation in 2015-16. Add provision for competitive health and welfare benefits in addition to salaries. |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | (2) Maintain optimal class sizes and configurations to enhance learning | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 ✓ 2 3 4 5 ✓ 6 7 ✓ 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Students will benefit by having a group of peers in their grade level with whom to play and interact promoting pupil engagement. Class sizes will average 15-20 students in Grades TK-5 and up to 25 in grades 6-8. There will be a zero (0) dropout rate. Suspensions and expulsions will be statistically insignificant. | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | students: 16 students Each grade level consis approved for enrollme along with 14 renewal district and remained e 4 students (two in-dist area and un-enrolled f | t of the 2014-15 school year included a total of 55 (K/1/2), 17 students (3/4/5) and 22 students (6/7/8). sted of 4-8 students. A total of 6 new students were ent under the inter-district attendance agreement s. During the school year, 2 students moved out of enrolled under inter-district attendance agreements; trict and two out-of-district) moved away from the from the district. There was a zero (0) dropout rate 0) suspensions and expulsions. |

| | | | LCAP Year: | 2014- | 15 GOAL 2 | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | Planned Actions/Services | | | | Actual Actions/Services | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 1. | Outreach to parents students | and community to identify potential incoming | Maintain current configuration for school | 1. | 2017-18 school yea students and siblin | Admin Salaries \$129,331, Benefits \$26,156, Office | |
| 2. | Maintain student info | ormation system | administration. \$172,355 Source LCFF | 2. | office manager. Da | ase (Aeries) is maintained on regular basis by the Ita is also verified for accuracy throughout the LPADS submissions. | supplies \$4,350, Communication \$3,750, Student Info |
| 3. | Review inter-district configurations | policy and board guidelines for classroom | (included above) | 3. | • | Systems – prof services \$9,012. Total \$172,599 Source LCFF | |
| 4. | Establish teaching as guidelines for inter-d | signments in accordance with board istrict attendance. | (included above) | 4. | Three full-time tea classrooms: K-2, 3- the guidelines of 1 to 25 students in g supported with an arts instruction to p | Instructional Aide | |
| 5. | 5. Provide instructional aide to support all pupils | | Classroom Aide \$14,548; Sources LCFF \$378, Federal \$14,170 | | The K-2 classroom instructional aide v afternoon, the inst in the Learning Cer | salary \$10,958, Benefits \$2,311; Funding Source Federal REAP grant | |
| 6. | Offer 2-3 enrichment | t programs to all students | Spanish, Arts & Physical Education \$29,589 Source - Foundation | 6. With funds provided by Nicasio School Foundation, all students* participated in the following year-long enrichment programs: Art, Spanish, and PE with a specialist. All students also participated in an | | Classified salaries \$26,677 Benefits \$3,265, Supplies \$5,782 Source Foundation \$34,757 & other local donations \$867 | |
| Sco | pe of service: | Single-School District | | Sco | ope of service: | Single School District | |
| ✓ A | ALL | | | ✓ | ALL | | |
| | ill be made as a result | services, and expenditures of reviewing past progress nges to goals? enrollment is 50 Actions/Services Define current st | students. s/Expenditures taffing configurations th | nat ne | ed to be maintained | A FTE certificated teachers and that the goal for a in administration, for certificated teachers, and ins e students and their teachers. | |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 Goal Applies to: | CAP: (3) Implement Common Core State Standards in state-approved subject areas Schools: Other: Single-School District | | | | | Related State and/or 1 ✓ 2 ✓ 3_ 4_ 5_ COE only: 9 Local : Specify | _6_7√8_ | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All students will be enrolled in b Core standards. The District will have access to Common Core sta assessments. | adopt math curric | ulum. All students will | j. | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | ELA and Math cours adopted and implen grades K-5 and <i>Glen</i> Pending a California District piloted <i>Read</i> | of the 2014-15 school year, stu es aligned to Common Core stat nented the California-approved <i>coe California Math</i> (Courses 1, -approved list of Common Core <i>ling Wonders</i> (2014) and <i>Units co</i> which are aligned to Common | ndards. The District program <i>My Math</i> for 2, 3) for grades 6-8. ELA programs, the <i>f Study in Writing</i> by |
| | | | LCAP Year: 2 | 014-: | 15 GOAL 3 | | | |
| | Planned Actions/Se | ervices | | | | Actua | Actions/Services | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 1. Adopt and purchase Common Core curriculum Books Dev \$2 \$5,520 \$6,700 \$32,17 LCFF \$ LCFF \$ 2. Adopt and purchase California approved curriculum for non- Common Core subjects \$7,760 (Common S9,244) \$9,244 | | Books \$17,395 Prof Dev \$2,560 Internet \$5,520, Ed Tech \$6,700 Total \$32,175 Sources LCFF \$11,020 EPA \$7,760 State (Common Core) \$9,244 Lottery \$4,151 | | program <i>My Ma</i> (Courses 1, 2, 3) the area of techn experiences and Core statewide a No purchases we District is waitin Studies and Scie Certificated teac school year durin newly adopted r | th for grades K-5 and for grades 6-8. Additi- nology to provide stud access that will prepa assessment, Smarter E ere made in non-Com g for California to app nce. hers were provided wing their weekly, 1-hou | I the California-approved Glencoe California Math onal purchases were made in lents with educational are them for the new Common ialanced, in spring 2015. mon Core subject areas. The rove new programs in Social ith time at the start of the ir PLC meetings to review the rrate, and develop a scope grade level. | Textbooks & Tablets to implement SBAC \$11,481 Common Core \$9,244 Lottery \$1,237, LCFF \$1,000 Instruction Materials \$14,169 Lottery \$6,248, LCFF \$601, REAP & Title III \$7,320 Prof Dev \$7,896 LCFF & EPA Internet \$5,520 EPA Ed Tech Services \$6,700 LCFF | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Sco | ope of service: | Single-School Di | strict | |
| ✓ ALL | | | | ✓ / | ALL | | | |
| will be made as a re | ons, services, and expenditures sult of reviewing past progress changes to goals? | content and star Actions/Services Over the next th depending on th | ndards since CCSS refers Fexpenditures ree years, add ELA progr e order by which Califor e will be three in-service | only f am a nia pr | to ELA and Math a doption as the firs rovides an approve | nd does not address S t priority with Science ed list of programs. W | bject areas" to cover a broader cience, Social Studies, etc. and/or Social Studies following ith respect to professional deve will be weekly PLC meetings p | ; in subsequent years lopment, specify |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | (4) Differentiate instruction effectively to meet the needs of all learners Related State and/o 1 √ 2 √ 3 4 √ 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify Local : Specify | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Er | nglish Learners, Students | with Disability, Low-Inco | ome, Foster Youth | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All students, including English Language Learners a disabilities, will demonstrate academic growth in ro math. The majority (75%) of English Language Lear reclassified as English proficient by the end of 8th g | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | With respect to measuring whether all students demonstrated academic growth in reading, writing, and math in 2014-15, this outcome is not written in a specific enough manner to be measurable. All students (1009 did demonstrate growth over the course of the school year, but the District needs to determine what amount of growth is being targeted for measurement. | | | |
| | | LCAP Year: 2 | 014-15 GOAL 4 | | | |
| | Planned Actions/Services | Budgeted | Actual Actions/Services Estimated Actual | | | |
| | | Expenditures | | | | Annual Expenditures |
| practices that includes English Language Learners and students | | Professional Development \$2,240 Source LCFF | meetings to discu strategies for mee students and thos Guided Language workshop (in sum Project-Based Lea | ss best teaching practic eting the needs of diver se with disabilities. One Acquisition Developme mer). Another teacher | ent (GLAD) refresher attended a three-day One teacher attended a | Workshop Fees for registration: \$315 (GLAD \$220, PBL \$45, CCSS \$50) Cost of substitute teachers (4 days): \$528 Funding source LCFF Supplemental |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | Scope of service: | Single-School Distri | ict | |
| ALL OR: Low Income pupils Foster YouthRe Other Subgroups: | ✓ English Learners designated fluent English proficient | | ALL OR: Low Income pupils Foster YouthRed Other Subgroups: | ✓ English Learners esignated fluent Englisi | h proficient | |

| | LCAP Year: 2014-1 | 5 GOAL 4 (continued) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Planned Actions/Services | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | | | |
| 2. Maintain learning center that provides instructional support for English Language Learners | Maintain Learning Center \$16,832 Sources: LCFF \$13,285, Federal \$3,547 | All English Language Learners are assigned to the Learning Center (either under the direct instruction of their core teacher or facilitated by an instructional assistant) in place of the Spanish enrichment program. Students in grades K-5 participate in the ELD program three days per week while students in grades 6-8 participate four days per week. Classified salary and benefits \$11,116 funding source LCFF supplemental | | | |
| Adopt and purchase curriculum to support English Learners in grades K-8 | \$3,000 | 3. No curriculum or materials purchases have been made in 2014-15 specific to English Language Learners. However, the new Common Core math programs and the piloted reading and writing programs implemented in 2014-15 provide teachers with recommendations for differentiated instruction to meet the needs of ELL students. | | | |
| Monitor academic achievement of students redesignated as fluent English proficient | Costs included in Goal #2 | 4. The principal and teachers regularly monitor the academic achievement of students who have been redesignated as Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) to ensure that they receive adequate support. Students who are redesignated are not automatically removed from the Learning Center and placed into the Spanish program with their English-only speaking peers. RFEP students, as needed, still have access to the Learning Center and its resources. | | | |
| Scope of service: Single-School District | | Scope of service: Single-School District | | | |
| ALL | | ALL | | | |
| OR: Low Income pupils ✓ English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | | OR: Low Income pupils ✓ English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | | | |

| | | | LCAP Year: 2014-1 | 5 GOAL 4 (continued) | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Planned Actions/Se | rvices | | | Actual Actions/Services | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| specialized services in accordance with IEP. LCFF AB602 | | \$127,325 Source: LCFF \$97,190, SELPA AB602 allocation (local) \$30,135 | 5. Over the course of the school year, a total of 15 District students have been enrolled in special education programs, which represents over 25% of the study body. Most students are enrolled at Nicasio School and receive support in resource, speech/language, counseling, and occupational therapy on site. Two students are enrolled in programs outside of the District. There are also two students who are eligible to receive services whose parents/guardians have elected not to enroll their child in the program. | | Psychologist & Speech Therapy services \$18,270, Transportation \$14,246 Excess tuition costs \$74,340. Funding Source LCFF \$76,874, SELPA AB602 allocation \$29,982 | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | | |
| ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disabilities • Provide scholarships to students for after-school programs and \$3,000 | | \$3,000 | Other Subgroups: Stud A total of five stude | ignated fluent English proficient dents with Disabilities nts received partial to full scholarships for year- | Year-End Fieldtrips | |
| tutoring/homework | programs | | Foundation | end fieldtrip related | l expenses. | Grades 3/4/5: \$370 Grades 6/7/8: \$404 |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | Single-School District | |
| ALL OR: ✓Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners ✓Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | ALL OR: ✓Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners ✓Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?who are learning English as second evaluate level of proficiency and de Actions/Services/Expenditures Define how proficiency will be mean | | | | age and students with disa ine individual learning need . What assessments will be nal development specific to | with) that is measurable for general education stud abilities. Combine Goal 4 and Goal 5 (Assess studer ds for targeted intervention) in the 2015-2018 LCA e used for all students? What assessments will be u o ELL and special education; add requirement for a | nts regularly to P. used for pupil |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | (5) Assess students regularly to evaluate level of proficiency intervention | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_2_3_4√5_6_7_8√ COE only: 9_10_ Local : Specify | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Learners, E | nglish Learners, Students with Disabi | ility | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | The majority (75%) of students will demonstrate proficiency on and State level assessments. All English Learners will be assesse annually to determine their level of English language developm students eligible for special education will receive an appropria Individualized Educational Plan (IEP). | ed ient. All te Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | summer. There were n of the Smarter Balance assessments for all stu- results, are as follows: -Grades K-2, 93% ELA c -Grades 3-5, 94% ELA c -Grades 6-8, 81% ELA c All (100%) English Lang English Language Deve there were 5 out of 9 v language development the same level; 1 stude students in the District receiving appropriate s | and 88% Math | | |

| | | LCAP Year: 2 | 2014-15 GOAL 5 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| | Planned Actions/Services | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | |
| | all English Language Learners in the Fall | CELDT \$1,476 – Source - Federal Costs included in Goal #2 | All English Language Language Developm Students' result we to identify trends an students' teachers t instructional practic | Classified salaries and benefits \$1,245 Funding source LCFF supplemental | | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | Scope of service: Single-School District | | | |
| All | | - | ALL | | _ | |
| OR: Low Income pupils ✓ English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: | | | OR: Low Income pupils ✓ Foster YouthRedes Other Subgroups: | English Learners signated fluent English proficient | | |
| 3. Develop and implem Core standards | ent new report cards to include Common | Costs included in Goal #2 | 3. New report cards for all students in all grade levels were implemented in 2014-15 with Common Core standards for English language arts, mathematics, and literacy components for social studies and science. Report cards currently are in English only with work underway to translate them into Spanish for the next school year. | | Costs included in Goal #2 | |
| 4. Analyze Smarter Bala | anced Results annually | Costs included in Goal #2 | There were no Smarter Balanced results to analyze from spring 2014, which was a pilot year for the statewide assessment. | | Costs included in Goal #2 | |
| ✓ All | | | ✓ All | - | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | LCAP Year: 2014-1 | .5 GO | AL 5(continued) | | |
|---|---|---------|---|---|------------------------|--|---|
| | Planned Actions/Se | ervices | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| academic reporting | ports for students with disabil period (trimester) based on IE cation placement and service | P goals | Monitor services and placements \$12,400 Sources LCFF \$10,928 Federal \$1,472 | Student progress reports related to special education (IEP) goals were prepared each trimester to accompany student report cards. These are prepared by MCOE personnel who provide special education services at Nicasio School. Special education placement and services have been monitored on a regular basis for accuracy and to assess student progress. Oversight was provided by the West Marin Special Education Director, the LEA Representative (Principal), and the Resource Specialist provided by MCOE. | | Costs of progress report activity is embedded in excess cost Special Education Director (services) \$15,104 Source: LCFF | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Sco | ope of service: | Single-School District | |
| ALL | | | | _/ | ALL | • | - |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✔ Other Subgroups: Students with Disabilities | | | OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient ✓ Other Subgroups: Students with Disabilities | | | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? Goal 5 Incorporate into Goal 4 (Differentiate instruction effectively to meet the needs of Actions/Services/Expenditures Regular assessment is an action that supports teachers in identifying students' ind strategies would be most appropriate to support each student. | | | | | ne which instructional | | |

| Original GOAL from | | | | | | Related State and/o | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| prior year LCAP: | (6) Provide sufficient preparat | ion for student tra | ansition to high school | | | 12345 | |
| 2014-15 | | | | | | COE only: 9 | 10 |
| | Calculation Others Circle | | | | | Local : Specify | |
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single Applicable Pupil Subgroups: | e-School District | I | | | | |
| | Feachers will modify instruction and curriculum to prepare 8th graders for successful transition to high school as needed. 100% of students will matriculate to high school. 0% of students will require remedial education during their freshman year. | | | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | 100% of the former students who participated* in the survey indicated that Nicasio School prepared them to transition successfully into high school to varying degrees: 88% felt prepared in English, Math and Social Studies, while 50% felt prepared in Science. 100% of the students who participated indicated that, during their freshman year, they received a "B" or higher in English, Math and Social Studies; 88% received a "B" or higher in Science; 12% received a "D" in Science. *Due to the small size of Nicasio School's student enrollment, it is necessary to examine trends across several years in order to gather statistically significant data. | | |
| | | | LCAP Year: 2 | 014-15 GOAL 6 | statistically significant | | |
| | Planned Actions/Sei | vices | | | Actual A | ctions/Services | |
| | | VICCS | Budgeted | | | | Estimated Actual |
| | | | Expenditures | | | | Annual Expenditures |
| • | Develop and distribute a survey to Nicasio school graduates in the spring of their freshman school year | | Cost included in Goal #2 | alumni survey; 1 enrolled in high 2013/14*). Scho School, San Don | casio School graduates w 10 responded. All alumni school and represent a 4 pols represented include nenico, Drew High Schoo Environmental Leadersh | I-year span (2010/11 – Sir Francis Drake High I, Marin Academy, and | Cost included in Goal #2, Action #1 |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | Single-School Distr | ict | |
| ✓ All | | | | ✓ All | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| will be made as a res | ons, services, and expenditures ult of reviewing past progress changes to goals? | high school years Actions/Services State in the mea | s is likely to involve mor /Expenditures sureable outcome the p | e factors outside of the ercentage that indicate | e scope of Nicasio School. es successful transition ar | en in high school" since their nd in which academic course ation from the small sample | s. Also, establish that |

| | | | | | Related State and/o | r Local Priorities: |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: | (7) Ensure regular, consistent and clear commu | nication between schoo | ol and the home for bot | th English-speaking | 1_2_3√4_5 | ✔ 6 7 8 |
| 2014-15 | and Spanish-speaking households | | | | COE only: 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | Local : Specify | |
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Other: Single-School District | | | | | |
| | | nglish Learners | | | | |
| | All students' families will be well informed about th events and about their children's progress in schoo families will be able to communicate effectively an and staff in both English and Spanish. | ol. All students' | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | mothers and 1 other). This is a significant inc Of this year's 50 partic households, which is a Spanish-speaking hous 91% of parents agree t home is sufficient. 899 correspondence and t new online Parent Por 72% of parents consid sufficient. With that sa provided by teachers of Communication from t | participated in the school surv This represents a total of 66% rease over last year's particip cipants, 22% were from Spani close representation of the o scholds school-wide (26%). That communication between 6 of them find the most value he school newsletter while or tal an effective forum for we er communication between t aid, 98%-100% of the parents on progress reports and reports school-related organizations a to (75%), Nicasio School Found | 6 of our total parents. aation of 38 parents. sh-speaking overall percentage of a the school office and e in email hly 60% consider the ekly communications. eeachers and parents consider the content rt cards as valuable. are viewed as sufficient |
| | | LCAP Year: 2 | 014-15 GOAL 7 | | | |
| | Planned Actions/Services | | | Actual A | Actions/Services | |
| | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 1. Develop and distribute an annual parent survey mid-way through the school year to identify communication methods that are effective and where communications can improve Costs included in Goal #2 | | | An online parent survey was made available to all parents in February and March 2015. The survey was available in both English and Spanish. Parents were also provided with a hard copy upon request and their data was later hand-entered online by a school employee. To encourage participation from Spanish-speaking families, the school's translator contacted each family in person or by phone to provide hard copies if needed and any other necessary assistance. An online parent survey was made available to all parents in Costs incl Goal #2 | | Costs included in Goal #2 | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | Scope of service: | Single-School Distr | ict | |
| ✓ All | | | ✓ All | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | LCAP Year: 2014-1 | 5 GOAL 7 (continued) | | | |
|---|---|--------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Planned Actions/Se | rvices | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | |
| | panish translator to serve as s and written) and parent liaisc | | Spanish Translation \$9,606 Source Nicasio School Foundation | A part-time classified employee has been contracted to provide 120 hours of translation services during the 2014-15 school year. Translation services have exceeded this contract by 29 hours. Since the District's enrollment of students from Spanish-speaking households exceeds 15%, the state mandates the District to provide student reports and related information in the students' primary language. The District values the importance of having all families well informed not only about their children, but also about things happening at the school in general. As such, many translations services are provided beyond the minimum mandate. | | Spanish Translation \$10,104 Funding Source Local Foundation \$9,238 LCFF Supplemental \$866 | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | Single-School District | | |
| ALL Other Subgroups:(Spe | ALL | | | ALL OR: Low Income pupils ✓ Foster YouthRedes Subgroups:(Specify) | English Learners ignated fluent English proficientOther | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? Goal 7 Incorporate Goal 7 into Goal 8 in 2015-16. Actions/Services/Expenditures The actions in Goal 7 are necessary in order to promote parent participation, which is Goal 8. Therefore, it seems approtents these two goals. | | | | ropriate to consolidate | | | |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | prior year LCAP: (8) Encourage parents, students, and community members to be active participants in the school community | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Schools: Other: Single-School District Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Pupils; English Learners | | | | | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Families, students and community members will have the opportunity to be involved in making decisions that benefit the school and its community. Parents from Spanish-speaking households will be involved in the school community at an equivalent percentage rate as parents from English-speaking households. | | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All parents were invited to participate in the annual school survey in spr of 2015. A total of 50 parents participated, representing 66% of all parents. 98% of all parent participants responded that they feel welcom and encouraged to participate in school events and activities. Parents has the opportunity to provide feedback regarding school curriculum, enrichment programs, homework, school climate, communication, website, parent organizations, and open-ended comments. Of the 50 parents who participated, 22% represented Spanish-speaking households. This ratio is fairly comparable to the total percentage of Spanish-speaking families school-wide, which is 26%. Of the 11 Spanish- speaking participants, 8 (73%) agreed that the school was doing a good j of communicating with them. A total of 25 students (96%) in grades 5-8 participated in a student versi of the school survey. Students were able to provide feedback on topics such as: textbooks/materials, enrichment programs, classroom engagement, homework, and school climate. | | ating 66% of all nat they feel welcome activities. Parents had ol curriculum, ommunication, ments. ed Spanish-speaking tal percentage of 5. Of the 11 Spanish- ol was doing a good job ed in a student version feedback on topics | |
| | | LCAP Year: 2 | 014-15 GOAL 8 | | | | |
| Planned Actions/Services Budgeted Expenditures | | | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | |
| | | Costs included in Goal #7 | 1. Refer to Actions/Services under Goal #7. | | Costs included in Goal #7 | | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | _ | Scope of service: | Single-School Distr | ict | | |
| OR: Low Income pupils ✓English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupils ✓English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | | |

| | | | | LCAP Year: 2014-1 | 5 GO / | AL 8 (continued) | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|---|------------------------|
| | Planned Actions/Services | | | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | | | |
| 2. | Distribute a questionnaire via back to school packets in the summer to encourage and identify parent volunteers | | Costs included in Goal #2 | The administrative team collaborated with the Parent Club and Nicasio School Foundation to include a questionnaire in Back-to- School Packets to survey parents about volunteer interests and availability. | | | Costs included in Goal #2 | |
| 3. Communicate regularly with parent groups: Parent Club and Foundation | | Costs included in Goal #2 | 3. | The administrative t person with Parent volunteers. | | | | |
| 4. | Develop and distribute both an annual parent and student survey mid-year to collect feedback related to the school's programs, climate etc. | | Costs included in Goal #2 | 4. | The parent survey w requested during M participated in their | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sc | Scope of service: Other (Single-school district) | | | Scope of service: | | LEA Wide | | |
| ✓ | ✓ All | | • | ✓ ¢ | ✓ All | | | |
| | What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | | | | | promote Goal 8 – Pa | rent Participation. Therefore, it seems appropriate | e to consolidate these |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: 2014-15 | (9) Ensure all facilities are up | - to-date, function e-School District | al and safe₪ | | | Related State and/o 1 ✓ 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9 Local : Specify | 678 | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Goal Applies to: Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Students will be able to learn an will be 0% safety-related injuries | A A play on a clean a | and safe campus. There | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: 014-15 GOAL 9 | or facilities. The Annual Facilities In | reported due to safety issues related to the campus nspection Report completed in January 2015 ary" status with an overall rating of 99%. | | |
| Planned Actions/Services | | | Budgeted | Actual Actions/Services | | | Estimated Actual | |
| Maintain a work order request log that documents repairs needed, date requested and date completed. Maintain membership in Marin Schools Insurance Authority (MSIA) JPA for property and liability insurance. Review the MSIA facility risk management report to identify and implement corrective action. Conduct an Annual Facilities Inspection in December/January and complete related report. Use the data collected to complete the facilities section of the SARC. Continue to pursue viable options for long-term availability of potable water. | | | Expenditures Custodial and Maintenance \$76,205 Source LCFF Insurance \$6,031 Source LCFF Costs included in Goal #2 Water deliveries \$17,100 Source LCFF | Work order requests submitted by teachers and staff are consistently completed in a timely manner by the custodian generally within 1-2 days of the request. Membership in Marin School's Insurance Authority has been maintained. The administrative team meets with an insurance representative each spring to conduct a walk-through of campus and its buildings. The administrative team conducted its own walk-through of campus and its buildings in January 2015 and used information gathered to complete the Annual Facilities Inspection Report. During the 2014-15 school year, the District did not need to purchase potable water; the school's well provided a sufficient supply. The District continues its ongoing research to identify an alternative water source for long-term use as mandated by the State. The District is currently exploring the potential of partnering | | | Annual Expenditures Custodial & Maintenance (function 8xxx) \$105,450 Source LCFF \$95,781, Prop 39 \$9,669 Water testing, deliveries & repairs \$39,093 Source LCFF 26,833 State Water Contract \$17,233 | |
| Scope of service: | Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | Single-School Distri | ct | | |
| ✓ All | | | - | ✓ All | | | - | |
| will be made as a re | ons, services, and expenditures sult of reviewing past progress changes to goals? | Actions/Service Specify in the m that any correct | easureable outcome the | d by MSIA report will b | | n the Annual Facilities Inspe nonth period. Establish the r | | |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: Goal Applies to: Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | (10) Explore and identify opported identify opported identify opported identify opported identify opported identify opported identify (80%) of the majority (80%) of students in appropriate services at Nicasio S Marin community. A reduction in special education will preserve opported identify opported ide | e-School District All n special education chool and/or local n the cost of busine | l; Students with Disabilit n will receive ly within the West ess services and | · | 100% of students in gra receiving services eithe | Related State and/or 12345 COE only: 9 Local : Specify ades K-8 receiving special edu er at Nicasio School or by agre rin community. One pre-school or Terra Linda. | ✓ 6 7 ✓ 8 ✓ 10 ucation services are eement at another |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | LCAP Year: 20 | 014-15 GOAL 10 | | | |
| | Planned Actions/Se | rvices | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | | | Budgeted Expenditures | | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| Identify common services needed at Nicasio School and neighboring districts. Collaborate with neighboring districts to develop a plan of action to meet identified needs. | | Costs included in Goal #2 | The District continues to explore opportunities to share business services and associated costs with another district(s). Current conversations are taking place between Nicasio School District and Bolinas-Stinson School District. | | | Costs included in Goal #2 | |
| Scope of service: Single-School District | | | Scope of service: | Single-School Distri | ct | | |
| ✓ All | | | - | ✓ All | | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther ✓ Subgroups: Students with Disabilities | | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther ✓ Subgroups: Students with Disabilities | | | |
| Goal 10What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?Goal 10Eliminate this goal in 2015-16. While it is ideal to provide services for students with disabilities at Nicasio School, this is not always with the District's control since the severity of need determines services and placement. The limited special education program at Nicasio necessitates that students with more significant needs may be more appropriately served in another school/program. It is not always possible to find such a school/program within West Marin in cases that warrant out-of-district placement. Similarly, identifying other districts willing to share services, such as business services, is not within the District's control and varies significantly as neighboring districts' needs change year-to-year. Nicasio School will remain constantly mindful of opportunities to share services with other district but it is not feasible to make this a targeted goal with specific measurable outcomes on an annual basis.Actions/Services/Expenditures | | | | | | ogram at Nicasio School n. It is not always dentifying other as neighboring | |

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

| Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: | \$17,975 | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Nicasio School District's supplemental grant is estimated at \$17,975. These funds are being expended to support the Learning Center, the principal purpose of which is to serve | | | | | | | |

English Language Learners (ELL). The Learning Center services relate directly to Goals 2 and 4 in Section 2; the Learning Center serves all ELL students within the regular school day, providing them with additional instruction to develop their English language skills under the guidance of highly trained and qualified instructors. The district uses the Supplemental Grant in this school-wide program because recent experience has shown this pilot program, initially implemented in the 2013-14 school year is proving effective in assisting English Learners in particular to meet academic growth. The estimated LCFF supplemental grant expenditures associated with the Learning Center including English language development supporting materials and professional development is \$18,203.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

4.58% % Nicasio School District is providing services for its students of need by a minimum of 4.58% more than is provided to all of the District's students. The estimated cost for the district's core instructional program including staff, books and instructional supplies, professional development and educational technology is \$361,433 and the dedicated costs funded with the LCFF supplemental grant for students of higher need is \$18,203 or 4.61% above and beyond the core instructional program provided to all students. When the MPP is measured from a service perspective, the district provides 3.4 FTE certificated teachers and 0.375 FTE Instructional Aide for all students for a total of 3.775 FTE Core Instructional Staff. The learning Center provides an additional 0.375 FTE or a 9.93% increase in services, far in excess of the required MPP.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:

(1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 – June 30).
 (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 – June 30).

- (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

(2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 – June 30).
(3) Divide (1) by (2).

01-13-15 [California Department of Education]