| 1  | Title 5. EDUCATION   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Division 1. California Department of Education                                       |
| 3  | Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula  |
| 4  | Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for                 |
| 5  | Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability           |
| 6  | Plan Template  |
| 7  | Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for       |
| 8  | Supplemental and Concentration Grants  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | <u>§ 15494. Scope.</u>   |
| 11 | (a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in      |
| 12 | section 15495(d).  |
| 13 | (b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local |
| 14 | control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils |
| 15 | pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03.              |
| 16 | (c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services  |
| 17 | are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and  |
| 18 | improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas.                   |
| 19 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:       |
| 20 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,         |
| 21 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section     |
| 22 | <u>6312.</u>   |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | § 15495. Definitions.  |
| 25 | In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and            |
| 26 | 42238.02, the following definitions are provided:                                    |
| 27 | (a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and      |
| 28 | 47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other   |
| 29 | numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of |
| 30 | the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil      |
| 31 | advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups        |
| 32 | representing pupils.   |

| 1  | (b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections           |
|----|---|
| 2  | 52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county         |
| 3  | superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English              |
| 4  | learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a              |
| 5  | majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in       |
| 6  | Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a       |
| 7  | county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English             |
| 8  | learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these           |
| 9  | requirements.   |
| 10 | (c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA           |
| 11 | pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in                |
| 12 | conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5.                |
| 13 | (d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of                |
| 14 | education, or charter school.   |
| 15 | (e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other                |
| 16 | persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to             |
| 17 | Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or          |
| 18 | 56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions.                |
| 19 | (f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and                 |
| 20 | 52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of          |
| 21 | pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education      |
| 22 | Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county               |
| 23 | superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory            |
| 24 | committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including           |
| 25 | any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind        |
| 26 | Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of           |
| 27 | Title I of that act.  |
| 28 | (g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for              |
| 29 | which an LCAP is approved.  |
| 30 | (h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not            |
| 31 | limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities, |
| 32 | pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to             |

| 1  | operate and deliver educational instruction and related services.                        |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | (i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections    |  |  |
| 3  | 52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities        |  |  |
| 4  | identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the |  |  |
| 5  | nature of the program operated by the charter school.                                    |  |  |
| 6  | (j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuant     |  |  |
| 7  | to Education Code section 52052.   |  |  |
| 8  | (k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality.                             |  |  |
| 9  | (I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity.                           |  |  |
| 10 | (m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the            |  |  |
| 11 | definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible |  |  |
| 12 | for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners.                     |  |  |
| 13 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:           |  |  |
| 14 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,             |  |  |
| 15 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section         |  |  |
| 16 | <u>6312.</u>   |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 | § 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services             |  |  |
| 19 | for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for           |  |  |
| 20 | Supplemental and Concentration Grants.   |  |  |
| 21 | (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding                 |  |  |
| 22 | apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils,         |  |  |
| 23 | pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to        |  |  |
| 24 | support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for      |  |  |
| 25 | unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to  |  |  |
| 26 | the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of        |  |  |
| 27 | unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA         |  |  |
| 28 | shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the    |  |  |
| 29 | LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall        |  |  |
| 30 | determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased     |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |
|    | or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows:         |  |  |

| 1  | concentration grants for the LEA calculated pursuant to Education Code sections          |
|----|--|
| 2  | 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.                      |
| 3  | (2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for                |
| 4  | unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on        |
| 5  | services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14      |
| 6  | shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in        |
| 7  | the 2012-13 fiscal year.   |
| 8  | (3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1).                                 |
| 9  | (4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage             |
| 10 | calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide        |
| 11 | funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in     |
| 12 | the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.   |
| 13 | (5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2).  |
| 14 | (6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant     |
| 15 | to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code           |
| 16 | sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted              |
| 17 | Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation            |
| 18 | program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted.                               |
| 19 | (7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6).         |
| 20 | (8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero  |
| 21 | or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its             |
| 22 | percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target        |
| 23 | attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant   |
| 24 | to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is    |
| 25 | adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the            |
| 26 | Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School                  |
| 27 | Transportation program.  |
| 28 | (b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds          |
| 29 | apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for      |
| 30 | districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education     |
| 31 | Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved         |
| 32 | services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to |

| 1  | upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter          |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | school, or a county office of education as follows:   |  |  |
| 3  | (1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or          |  |  |
| 4  | more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or    |  |  |
| 5  | in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a                  |  |  |
| 6  | districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of |  |  |
| 7  | the following:  |  |  |
| 8  | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a               |  |  |
| 9  | districtwide basis.   |  |  |
| 10 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and              |  |  |
| 11 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and   |  |  |
| 12 | any local priority areas.   |  |  |
| 13 | (2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55              |  |  |
| 14 | percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted    |  |  |
| 15 | may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A              |  |  |
| 16 | school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following:        |  |  |
| 17 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a               |  |  |
| 18 | districtwide basis.   |  |  |
| 19 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and              |  |  |
| 20 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and   |  |  |
| 21 | any local priority areas.   |  |  |
| 22 | (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the           |  |  |
| 23 | district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The   |  |  |
| 24 | description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,    |  |  |
| 25 | any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational           |  |  |
| 26 | theory.   |  |  |
| 27 | (3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is       |  |  |
| 28 | 40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP      |  |  |
| 29 | is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds         |  |  |
| 30 | on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do       |  |  |
| 31 | all of the following:   |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |

32 (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a

| 1  | schoolwide basis.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and             |
| 3  | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and  |
| 4  | any local priority areas.  |
| 5  | (4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40     |
| 6  | percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is         |
| 7  | adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide                |
| 8  | basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the           |
| 9  | following:   |
| 10 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a              |
| 11 | schoolwide basis.  |
| 12 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and             |
| 13 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and  |
| 14 | any local priority areas.  |
| 15 | (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the          |
| 16 | district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The  |
| 17 | description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,   |
| 18 | any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational          |
| 19 | theory.  |
| 20 | (5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant              |
| 21 | funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and                   |
| 22 | concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following:              |
| 23 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a              |
| 24 | countywide or charterwide basis.   |
| 25 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and             |
| 26 | are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its |
| 27 | unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable.                |
| 28 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:               |
| 29 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,                 |
| 30 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section             |
| 31 | <u>6312.</u>   |
| 32 |  |

| 1  | § 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Proportionality.   |
| 3  | In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3),          |
| 4  | the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of         |
| 5  | districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through    |
| 6  | (b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will  |
| 7  | increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a  |
| 8  | county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district    |
| 9  | has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated      |
| 10 | pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school |
| 11 | district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071.           |
| 12 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:           |
| 13 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,             |
| 14 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section         |
| 15 | <u>6312.</u>   |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | 8-22-14 [California Department of Education]   |

#### § 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

#### Introduction:

LEA: San Rafael City Elementary School District

LCAP Year: 2015-16

Contact: Harriet MacLean, Ed.D., Assistant Superintendent of Education Services, <a href="https://www.headstock.com">https://www.headstock.com</a>, 415-492-3222

# Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

# **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

#### A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic:** degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

*Implementation of State Standards:* implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

**Course access:** pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only):** coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

**Foster youth (for county offices of education only):** coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

# **B.** Pupil Outcomes:

**Pupil achievement:** performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

**Other pupil outcomes:** pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

## C. Engagement:

**Parental involvement:** efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement:** school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

**School climate:** pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

#### Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

# **Guiding Questions:**

- How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

| San Rafael City Schools (SRCS) sponsored an in-depth, Each stakeholder has a unique and relevant persp   |              |
|--|--------------|
| targeted and multi-layered engagement process this year. the needs of the students and the direction that SI   |              |
| This engagement process included multiple opportunities to taking to address those needs. By providing ongo  |              |
| review the LCAP and to provide feedback on the plans to focused engagements, SRCS has been able to pr  |              |
| support all students in SRCS to graduate college and valuable information to its stakeholders on the dis   |              |
| career ready. visions, while at the same time, gathering valuable refining a targeted set of goals and outcomes.                                     | input into   |
| The engagement opportunities took place in the form of:  |              |
| A large majority of our engagement this year was   | to move      |
| District staff engagements     District staff engagements  |              |
| <ul> <li>Parent advisory meetings and community workshops</li> <li>This goal alignment involved a thoughtful stakeho</li> </ul>                      |              |
| <ul> <li>Board presentations</li> <li>Board presentations</li> </ul>   |              |
| District advisory committee meetings     Site principals, teachers, parents, students and co     This principals, teachers, parents, students and co | mmunity.     |
| I his process culminated in the creation of five sha   |              |
| Please see below for more details on each of the areas.  |              |
| are directly aligned with the district goals. These s  |              |
| are also directly aligned to the school board's goa  |              |
| such, promote a common focus and commitment  | districts-   |
| wide.  |              |
| Overall, through our engagement process, we rece   | lived        |
| feedback on areas of continued focus from the 20   |              |
| LCAP, including implementation of the state stand  |              |
| attracting and retaining high quality staff, support f   |              |
| subgroups of students, student success and support   | ort systems, |
| and promoting a college-going culture. SRCS has  |              |
| maintained its commitment to strengthening comm  |              |
| engagement and promoting a welcoming and supp  |              |
| school climate. Within the plan for 2015-18 is a mo  |              |
| focus on supporting students with the highest need   |              |
| reflected by an investment in programs to ensure a   |              |
| are on reading on grade level by third grade using<br>Training program, ensuring support for students at   |              |
| transition points (i.e., K-1 <sup>st</sup> , 5-6 <sup>th</sup> grade, 8-9 <sup>th</sup> grade  |              |
| college, and centralized translation services for stu  |              |
| families.  |              |
|  | arada        |
| attention on the needs of students at their specific   | grade        |

| Also new this year is a goal specifically dedicated to finance<br>and resources. While this goal covers many vital programs, of<br>particular note is SRCS's Facilities Master Plan and details for<br>its first few years of implementation.  |
|--|
| Lastly, SRCS will continue to provide focused attention on the<br>needs of students at their specific grade levels, while<br>managing both districts through centralized services,<br>academic support and leadership to ensure that the districts<br>work together to support the needs of all of its students. This<br>coordinated support is evident in the 2015-18 LCAP. |

**District Staff Engagements:** 

- Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units
  - o CSEA Labor Meeting March 11, 2015
  - SRTA Labor Meeting March 9, 2015 and May 12, 2015
- Formally agenized and discussed at Collective Bargaining sessions:
  - o SRTA March 30, 3015
- **Principals' Meetings:** Regular bi-monthly meetings beginning in November in which LCAP was discussed consistently.
- Site and District Leadership Workshop
   March 17, 2015
- Join the Conversations: Sessions with the Superintendent at school sites for teachers and staff
  - San Pedro Elementary 12/1/14 and 1/23/15
  - Glenwood Elementary 12/2/14
  - Davidson Middle School 12/12/14
  - Sun Valley Elementary 12/18/14
  - Bahia Vista Elementary 2/24/15
  - Venetia Valley Elementary 1/8/15
  - Coleman Elementary 1/12/15
  - Short Elementary 1/13/15
  - Laurel Dell Elementary 1/14/15

District Staff Engagements:

- Presentations and discussion with Collective Bargaining Units: New LCFF funding structure and the LCAP process was reviewed. Updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals. These discussions specifically lead to LCAP goals and actions related to:
  - Attracting and retaining high quality staff
  - Professional development time
- Principals' Meetings: Through regular conversations with site administrators, priorities emerged as needed elements of the LCAP. These included:
  - How to align LCAP master district plan to be implemented by all schools and Site SPSA Plans will align with LCAP
  - The district office must provide greater levels of support for sites in achieving district-wide goals
  - Need for a common and consistent data tracking and analysis system
  - Need to provide comprehensive English Language Development plan and articulated programs/services
- Join the Conversations: At these sessions, the Superintendent and members of the administrative team received feedback on progress the District was making on its LCAP goals. Additionally, the team received information about priorities at the school site to learn more about specific priorities for targeted subgroups and the particularly needs of a school site. Furthermore, the team also visited classrooms to see teaching and learning in action and had conversations with site leaders. The information gathered at these extended sessions helped refine the District's LCAP with a better understanding of actions and services for targeted subgroups and District-wide trends.

Parent Advisory Meetings and Community Workshops: LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee: The SRCS LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Superintendent, Director of Strategic Initiatives, Learner Parent Advisory Committee **Community Engagement and Communications** • January 13, 2015 Coordinator, and/or Chief Business Official • March 12, 2015 explained the LCFF and LCAP process and • April, 22, 2015 received initial feedback from staff, parents, and o June 1, 2015 community members. These served as a way to inform and gather authentic input and feedback Targeted ELL Community Outreach and from stakeholders. Forums provided a pathway to Involvement understand the LCFF and LCAP process more • DELAC Meetings – October 21, 2014, clearly so participants could provide input via January 13, 2015, February 19, 2015, March upcoming meetings and sessions. 17, 2015, May 5, 2015 • EL Task Force – January 15, 2015 and The district has been particularly interested in January 29, 2015 engaging with parents from the PAC and the ELPAC as it develops its LCAP and implement the Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, English Language Development Master Plan in Students, Staff and Community Members order to ensure that these plans address the needs o March 25, 2015 of English learner and low income students. o May 4, 2015 • These meetings were advertised through flyers, Specifically, the PAC/ELPAC had the following social media, website and calls roles: Provide feedback on the District's process for engaging parents, teachers, staff and the community Provide feedback on the District's LCAP Support prioritizing strategies for the LCAP

| • Targeted ELL Community Outreach and<br>Involvement: Served as a way to inform, educate,<br>and gather input from English Language Learner<br>representatives and members of the community<br>who are socio-economically disadvantaged. Served<br>to inform the process.   |
|---|
| <ul> <li>Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers,<br/>Students, Staff and Community Members:</li> </ul>   |
| <b>March 25, 2015:</b> Approximately 140 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At this first workshop, the District: provided a brief review of the guidelines for LCFF/LCAP; reviewed SRCS' process for LCAP Development; and provided an update on progress towards LCAP goals for 2014-15. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' refined LCAP goals and prioritize actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP |
| <b>May 4, 2015</b> : Approximately 75 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At the second workshop, the District reviewed SRCS' process and progress for LCAP development; provided examples of how feedback has shaped plan and process; and gave an overview of "big picture" for goal areas. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' draft LCAP.   |
|   |
|   |

# San Rafael City Schools Board Meetings

- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
  - January 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - January 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - Feb. 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - Feb. 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - March 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - March 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - April 27 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - May 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - May 26 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
  - June 8 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
  - June 22 Regular Board Meeting Public Hearing
  - June 24 Regular Board meeting Final Adoption

• SRCS Regular Board Meetings: SRCS used the School Board meetings as a consistent venue for updating both the Board and the district at large on district's progress towards the goals and outcomes within the LCAP as well as to encourage stakeholder engagement in the Annual Update and LCAP refinement process.

The Board received an update on LCFF and LCAP development at each scheduled board meeting. The items were agenized at the beginning of the meeting so as to encourage public comment. Drafts were shared and the Board heard public comment on the DRAFT LCAP. The final LCAP and District budget presented to the Board for final adoption.

| <ul> <li>District Advisory Committee Meetings:         <ul> <li>Budget Advisory Committee</li> <li>November 20, 2014</li> <li>December 18, 2014</li> <li>January 22, 2015</li> <li>February 12, 2015</li> <li>March 19, 2015</li> <li>April 23, 2015</li> </ul> </li> <li>Curriculum Advisory Committee         <ul> <li>January 6, 2015</li> <li>February 3, 2015</li> <li>March 9, 2015</li> <li>March 9, 2015</li> </ul> </li> <li>Middle Grade Task Force         <ul> <li>February 9, 2015</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul> <li>SRCS provided ongoing updates to its advisory committees both on the content of the LCAP and on the district's progress towards meetings its goals. It also used utilized the insight and expertise of various advisory committees throughout the year to evaluate progress and to recommend refinements to the LCAP. Each group maintained a specific focus and district leadership integrated feedback from the various advisory committees as it refined and updated the LCAP.</li> <li>Budget Advisory Committee: Served to update and engage the budget committee members in order to inform budget process for this year and the future. Specific updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continua feedback that informed the development of the</li> </ul> |
|--|---|
|  | future. Specific updates were provided on the work<br>of the needs assessment committees, the<br>community goal-setting meeting, and give continua  |

| Annual Update  | Annual Update  |
|--|--|
| SRCS used the stakeholder engagements described  | Analysis of the 2014-15 LCAP during the Annual Update  |
| above to provide regular updates on the LCAP progress.   | process revealed the need for more refined and targeted  |
| SRCS presented or discussed the Annual Update during   | goals that aligned with the school board's goals.  |
| these events:  |  |
|  | During the annual update process this year, therefore,   |
| LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English   | SRCS not only provided an update on progress made to-  |
| Learner Parent Advisory Committee  | date on the LCAP, but also gathered stakeholder feedback   |
| <ul> <li>March 12, 2015</li> </ul>   | in order to develop refined goals, actions and services.   |
| <ul> <li>April, 22, 2015</li> </ul>  |  |
| Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units   | This process began with by the central office leadership   |
| <ul> <li>CSEA Labor Meeting - March 11, 2015</li> </ul>  | team aligning the nine goals in the elementary school  |
| <ul> <li>SRTA Labor Meeting - March 9, 2015 and</li> </ul>                                       | LCAP, and the 11 goals in the high school LCAP into five   |
| May 12, 2015   | shared goals that directly align with the school board   |
| Formally agenized and discussed at Collective  | goals.   |
| Bargaining sessions:   | Those refined goals were reviewed first by the BAC and   |
| • SRTA - March 30, 3015  | These refined goals were reviewed first by the PAC and ELPAC on March 12, 2015, and then later in a larger |
| Join the Conversations:  | community workshop on March 25, 2015 where   |
| <ul> <li>San Pedro Elementary - 12/1/14 and 1/23/15</li> </ul>                                   | participants provided feedback both on the refined goals   |
| <ul> <li>Glenwood Elementary – 12/2/14</li> <li>Devideor Middle School – 12/42/14</li> </ul>     | and recommended actions and services for the 2015-18   |
| <ul> <li>Davidson Middle School – 12/12/14</li> <li>Sup Valley Elementary – 12/18/14</li> </ul>  | LCAPs.   |
| <ul> <li>Sun Valley Elementary – 12/18/14</li> <li>Babia Vista Elementary – 2/24/15</li> </ul>   |  |
| <ul> <li>Bahia Vista Elementary – 2/24/15</li> <li>Venetia Valley Elementary – 1/8/15</li> </ul> | The refined goals were also reviewed during the board  |
| <ul> <li>Venetia Valley Elementary – 1/8/15</li> <li>Coleman Elementary – 1/12/15</li> </ul>     | meetings in March where further feedback was gathered  |
| $\circ$ Short Elementary – 1/13/15   | from board members and the community.  |
| <ul> <li>Laurel Dell Elementary – 1/14/15</li> </ul>   |  |
| Site and District Leadership Workshop  | Next, a district leadership team that included site  |
| <ul> <li>March 17, 2015</li> </ul>   | principals, union representatives and central office leaders   |
| Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers,   | reviewed the stakeholder feedback and progress towards   |
| Students, Staff and Community Members  | the 2014-15 goals. This process led to the development of  |
| <ul> <li>March 25, 2015</li> </ul>   | draft goals, actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP.  |
| SRCS Regular Board Meetings:   |  |
| <ul> <li>January 12 – Regular Board Meeting –</li> </ul>   | This draft LCAP has been further reviewed and refined  |
| Update   | throughout the remainder of the stakeholder engagement   |
| <ul> <li>January 26 – Regular Board Meeting –</li> </ul>   | process as described above.  |
| Update   |  |
|  | 6/16/2015 1:45 DM  |

| <ul> <li>Feb. 9 – Regular Board Meeting – Update</li> <li>Feb. 23 – Regular Board Meeting – Update</li> <li>March 9 – Regular Board Meeting – Update</li> <li>March 23 – Regular Board Meeting – Update</li> </ul> |  |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Budget Advisory Committee         <ul> <li>March 19, 2015</li> <li>April 23, 2015</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |  |
| <ul> <li>Curriculum Advisory Committee         <ul> <li>January 6, 2015</li> <li>February 3, 2015</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |  |
| <ul> <li>March 9, 2015</li> <li>Middle Grade Task Force</li> <li>February 9, 2015</li> </ul>   |  |

# Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

#### **Goal:** Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

**Related State and/or Local Priorities:** Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

**Schools:** Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

**Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

**Scope of Service:** Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

**Pupils to be served within identified scope of service**: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education 52052.

**Budgeted Expenditures:** For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

| GOAL: and cor   | student receives rigorous instruction and support and is held to high<br>tions so that they can foster critical thinking, collaboration, creativity,<br>nmunication skills in order to master the Common Core State Standards<br>ontinuing to be college, career and community ready.<br>Related State and/or Local Priorities:<br>$1 \\ X \\ 2 \\ X \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ X \\ 5 \\ X \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ X \\ COE only: 9 \\ 10 \\ Local: Specify$   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Identified Need:<br>Identified Need:<br>District systems and structures to support transition to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS), English<br>Language Development (ELD) standards, with specific attention paid to students with specific learning needs.<br>Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional<br>materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CCSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4<br>Student performance on CAASP assessments, student performance on district assessments, reclassification re<br>5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, middle school drop-out rates. 8) Parent and teacher rates<br>use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal Applies to:  | Schools: All K-8 Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: English Learners  |  |  |  |  |
|   | LCAP Year 1: 2015-16   |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:  | <ul> <li>Common Core <ul> <li>a) All students receive instruction aligned to the CCSS.</li> <li>b) District-wide common assessments in place to measure student progress and mastery of CCSS.</li> <li>c) Students use Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Targeted Subgroups <ul> <li>d) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathematics as measured by CAASP and district assessments.</li> <li>e) Content curriculum will be accessible to English Learner (EL) students with the implementation of effective strategies supported by coaches. A higher percentage of ELs will achieve at least one level growth per year on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment, LAS Links.</li> <li>f) Increased EL and re-designated fluent English proficient (R-FEP) student achievement in the core content areas.</li> <li>g) Effective, research-based program design created to meet the needs of Newcomer students and to be implemented in 2016-17.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Student Success and Support Systems</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |

| <ul> <li>parent/student surveys.</li> <li>i) Teacher to student ratios a</li> <li>j) Establish a plan for student criteria for grades K, 1, 2, a</li> <li>k) Increased targeted student</li> <li>l) All middle school students of m) Parent/student portal usage</li> <li>n) A comparison of pre- and p</li> <li>o) Safe and secure extended opportunities.</li> <li>p) A completed 21<sup>st</sup> century/te</li> <li>q) CELDT administered to all</li> </ul> | re maintained<br>is to be grade<br>nd 3.<br>subgroup par<br>will have incre<br>e of Student In<br>ost-data will i<br>day activities<br>echnology inst<br>ELs and data<br>echnology an | ccessful transitions for grades K and 6 will increase as<br>to support maximum student learning.<br>-level proficient in literacy by Grade 3, including identifier<br>tricipation in Advancement Via Individual Determination<br>eased opportunities for enrichment experiences.<br>Information System (SIS) is increased by 10%.<br>Indicate growth in language acquisition as a result of su<br>that support academics and provide students with enrice<br>tructional plan including research-based practices.<br>analyzed to monitor annual student progress.<br>d digital citizenship curriculum for grades 4-8. | cation of exit<br>(AVID).<br>mmer school.<br>chment      |
|---|---|--|--|
| Actions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                                 |
| a) Implement Common Core Implementation Plan<br>with ongoing team meetings. (Release-time)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$10,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time)                          |
| b) Implement the district-wide comprehensive<br>assessment system for English language arts,<br>mathematics, and English language development,<br>including the establishment of baseline student<br>achievement data using CAASPP. (Annual<br>Software Licensing). Release time to administer<br>assessments.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils <u>X_</u> English Learners<br>X_Foster Youth <u>X_</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$100,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$55,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time)   |
| c) Purchase/develop instructional materials that<br>are CCSS-aligned (e.g., highly engaging non-<br>fiction books with a variety of text complexity) and<br>purchase materials that support English Language<br>Arts, ELD and other textbook/materials  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$200,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$262,000<br>(Prop-20<br>Lottery) |

| <ul> <li>replacement (K-8). Purchase CCSS-aligned math curriculum for Grades 3-8 (Everyday Math 3-5; Big Ideas 6-8).</li> <li>d) Establish committee to develop and implement district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction in English language arts and mathematics—possibly expanding interventions and enrichment beyond the school day and focusing on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, students with disabilities, and other atrisk youth.</li> <li>K-8 sites will maintain and improve their existing intervention services (Instructional Assistants) while the district completes the process, begun in 2014-15, to research and define a fully articulated and effective district response to intervention model. This process will determine which high impact and evidence-based practices and services will be incorporated into a revised intervention model to be implemented in years 2 and 3.</li> </ul> | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_homeless students, students<br>with disabilities | \$50,000 ELD<br>(LCFF)<br>\$158,000<br>LCFF (math)<br>\$110,000<br>(LCFF-4200<br>one-time)<br>\$300,000<br>LCFF – IAs) |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| e) Develop the English Learner Master Plan and<br>increase ELD support in content areas via direct<br>coaching to teachers and train-the-trainer<br>coaching embedded in the school day, including<br>peer coaching and site administrator coaching<br>with the Instructional Observation Protocol. (0.5<br>FTE Director of English Learner Programs)   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students, students with<br>disabilities_     | \$90,000<br>(LCFF)   |

| f) Design and implement an effective EL and R-<br>FEP monitoring program using data from the<br>district-wide assessment system.   |                       | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br>Foster Youth <u>X</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0   |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|
| <ul> <li>g) Develop effective, research-based program to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students.</li> <li>Continue to fund additional classes at Davidson Middle and Venetia Valley K-8 for EL Newcomer students. (DMS— 2 ELD and 3 newcomer sections; VV— 3 ELD sections)</li> </ul>              | DMS &<br>VV           | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 | ELD –<br>\$77,000<br>(LCFF)<br>Newcomer<br>\$54,000<br>(LCFF) |
| h) Develop a targeted support plan to address the<br>needs of students at transition grades (K, 6),<br>including definition of Kindergarten readiness and<br>a plan for coordination with preschool programs<br>that feed into SRCS and support for students that<br>enter SRCS without preschool. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                  | \$0 (Site<br>Budgets)   |
| i) Provide highly qualified teachers in all<br>classrooms. K-3 shall be a school wide average of<br>24:1 or less; in Grades 4-5, class sizes will be<br>staffed at a district-wide average of 25:1; and in<br>grades 6-8 teachers will be staffed at a district-<br>wide average of 23:1.          | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                  | \$11.8 Million<br>LCFF<br>\$2 million<br>Parcel Tax           |
| j) Develop a plan to support student success in<br>achieving grade-level proficiency in literacy by<br>grade 3.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                  | \$0   |

| k) Expand AVID program at Davidson Middle and<br>Venetia Valley focusing on targeted student<br>subgroups (within site FTE allocations).  | Venetia<br>Valley 6-8<br>Davidson<br>Middle<br>School   | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 | \$0   |
|---|---|---|---|
| I) Middle School Enrichment Task Force will<br>continue to meet to develop enrichment options<br>and to define how to implement the ideas<br>generated to date.   | Venetia<br>Valley<br>Davidson<br>Middle   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0   |
| m) Increased communications and trainings will be<br>provided for students and parents on the student<br>information system.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0   |
| <ul> <li>n) Summer school programs will be provided to targeted students.</li> <li>The K-5 summer program, targeted to ELs, will focus on literacy with reading intervention provided through Fountas &amp; Pinnell curriculum and writing strategies addressed through the WRITE Institute curriculum.</li> <li>The BELL summer program will pilot an EL overlay to the existing ELA and math curriculum in grades 6-8.</li> </ul> | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                     | \$200,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$142,151<br>(ASES)<br>\$159,915<br>(BELL) |
| <ul> <li>o) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine<br/>extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all<br/>sites and with the regular school day systems—<br/>including behavior management, academics,<br/>family engagement, and enrichment activities.</li> </ul>   | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman, San<br>Pedro,<br>Davidson,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>Short, Venetia<br>Valley | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$980,000<br>(ASES<br>Grant)<br>\$107,000<br>(In-kind<br>LCFF)    |

| nools          OR:      Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners        Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$50,000<br>(LCFF)  |  |  |
| _x_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)   |  |  |
| LCAP Year 2: 2016-17  |   |  |  |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:       Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials.         Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:       Targeted Subgroups         d)       Effective, research-based program in place to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students.         e)       Increased percentage of ELs achieving at least one level of growth annually as demonstrated on LAS Links, the ELD assessments.         f)       Effective K-8 summer intervention/enrichment program for EL, low income and other "at risk" students. |   |  |  |
|   | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners        Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient        Other Subgroups:(Specify) |  |  |

| <ul> <li>engagement, and enrichment activities.</li> <li>i) CELDT administered to all ELs and data analyzed to monitor annual student progress.</li> </ul>  |                       |   |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Actions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures  |  |
| a) Provide highly qualified teachers in all<br>classrooms. K-3 shall be a school wide average of<br>24:1 or less; in Grades 4-5, class sizes will be<br>staffed at a district-wide average of 25:1; and in<br>grades 6-8 teachers will be staffed at a district-<br>wide average of 23:1. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$11.9 Million<br>LCFF<br>\$2 million<br>Parcel Tax                               |  |
| b) Maintain utilization of the district-wide<br>comprehensive assessment system to monitor<br>student progress. (Software Licensing)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$38,000 ELD<br>(LCFF)<br>\$62,000<br>(LCFF)                                      |  |
| c) Purchase instructional materials that are<br>Common Core aligned and that support<br>History/Social Sciences, ELD, and replacement<br>textbooks and materials.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$250,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$63,000<br>(Prop 20<br>Lottery)<br>\$50,000 ELD<br>(LCFF) |  |
| d) Implement effective, research-based program<br>to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students.<br>Continue to fund additional classes at Davidson<br>Middle and Venetia Valley K-8 for EL Newcomer<br>students. (DMS— 2 ELD and 3 newcomer<br>sections; VV— 3 ELD sections)                 | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | ELD –<br>\$77,000<br>(LCFF)<br>Newcomer<br>\$54,000<br>(LCFF)                     |  |

| e) Continue to implement the EL Master Plan (0.5<br>FTE Director of EL Programs)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                       | \$91,000<br>(LCFF)  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| f) Review summer school achievement data and<br>ongoing assessment data to design summer<br>programs in collaboration with District partners to<br>target English learners, low-income and at-risk<br>students.                                   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$225,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$143,000<br>(ASES)<br>\$160,000<br>(BELL) |  |
| g) Implement research-based response to<br>Intervention model.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                   | \$300,000<br>(LCFF)   |  |
| h) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine<br>extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all<br>sites and with the regular school day systems—<br>including behavior management, academics,<br>family engagement, and enrichment activities. | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Davidson,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>Short, San<br>Pedro,<br>Venetia<br>Valley | ALL<br>   | \$980,000<br>(ASES<br>Grant)<br>\$108,000<br>(In-kind<br>LCFF)    |  |
| i) Administer the California English language<br>Development Test (CELDT) to all English learners<br>annually in order to measure progress and identify<br>proficiency level.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>   | \$50,000<br>(LCFF)  |  |
| LCAP Year 3: 2017-18         Expected Annual Measurable       Common Core         a) Teacher to student ratios are maintained to support maximum student learning.  |  |   |   |  |

| Outcomes:   | <ul><li>b) District-wide common assessments in place to measure student progress and mastery of CCSS with the data available for analysis by site administrators and teachers.</li><li>c) Students use Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials.</li></ul> |  |  |   |
|---|---|--|--|---|
|   | <ul> <li>e) Increased percentage of EL the ELD assessments.</li> <li>f) Effective K-8 summer interv</li> <li>Student Success and Support</li> <li>g) Increased number of studer</li> <li>h) After-school program aligner</li> <li>engagement, and enrichmer</li> </ul>      | s achieving a vention/enrich <b>rt Systems</b> this mastering ad to regular sont activities. | ace to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students.<br>at least one level of growth annually as demonstrated of<br>ment program for EL, low income and other "at risk" st<br>I CCSS as a result of Route to Intervention model imple<br>school day in terms of behavior management, academi<br>analyzed to monitor annual student progress. | udents.<br>ementation.                              |
| Act   | tions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service  | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                            |
| classrooms. K-3 sh<br>24:1 or less; in Grad<br>staffed at a district- | ualified teachers in all<br>all be a school wide average of<br>des 4-5, class sizes will be<br>wide average of 25:1; and in<br>s will be staffed at a district-<br>:1.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$11.9 Million<br>LCFF<br>\$2 million<br>Parcel Tax |
|   | on of the district-wide<br>essment system to monitor  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$38,000 ELD<br>(LCFF)<br>\$62,000<br>(LCFF)        |

| c) Purchase instructional materials that are<br>Common Core aligned and that support Next<br>Generation Science Standards, ELD and<br>replacement textbook and materials  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$250,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$63,000<br>(Prop 20<br>Lottery)<br>\$50,000<br>(LCFF) |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| d) Assess effectiveness of EL Newcomer program<br>and re-evaluate number of sections for ELD and<br>Newcomer students at both middle schools.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | ELD –<br>\$44,000<br>(LCFF)<br>Newcomer<br>\$36,000<br>(LCFF)                 |
| e) Continue to implement the EL Master Plan (0.5<br>FTE Director of EL Programs)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$92,000<br>(LCFF)  |
| f) Review summer school achievement data and<br>ongoing assessment data to design summer<br>programs in collaboration with District partners to<br>target English learners, low-income and at-risk<br>students. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with disabilities             | \$225,000<br>(LCFF)<br>\$143,000<br>(ASES)<br>\$160,000<br>(BELL)             |
| g) Response to Intervention – analyze student<br>achievement data and modify program as<br>necessary to increase student achievement.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students performing below<br>grade level | Title I _ Site<br>Funds   |

| h) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine<br>extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all<br>sites and with the regular school day systems—<br>including behavior management, academics,<br>family engagement, and enrichment activities. | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Davidson,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>Short, San<br>Pedro,<br>Venetia<br>Valley | <u>ALL</u><br><u>OR:</u><br><u>X_Low Income pupilsX_English Learners</u><br>X_Foster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$980,000<br>(ASES<br>Grant)<br>\$110,000<br>(In-kind<br>LCFF) |
|---|--|--|--|
| i) Administer the California English language<br>Development Test to all English learners annually<br>in order to measure progress and identify<br>proficiency level.   | All Schools<br>K-8   | <u>ALL</u><br>   | \$50,000<br>(LCFF)   |

| GOAL:                | 2. Provide all staff with differentiated professional development with a focus on collaboration, alignment, and high quality staff retention and support, so as to maximize student learning and achievement.   |   | Related State and/or Local Priorities:         1_X       2_X       3       4_X       5_X       6       7       8_X         COE only:       9       10         Local: Specify |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Identified           | Identified Need:<br>Identified Need:<br>Professional development to support the District's transition to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and<br>English Language Development (ELD) standards and to foster deeper understanding of the District's goals,<br>initiatives and expectations.<br>Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional<br>materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CCSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4)<br>Student performance on CAASP assessments, student performance on district assessments (ELA, math, & EL<br>reclassification rate. 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, middle school drop-out rates. 8)<br>Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |   | Schools:       All K-8 Schools         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       English Learners   |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCAP Year 1: 2015-16 |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas                 | ed Annual<br>surable<br>comes:  | <ul> <li>Common Core</li> <li>a) Increased number of vetted and shared CCS-aligned units of instruction a</li> <li>b) Improved teacher efficacy in the development and delivery of CCS-aligned</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |

| c) Refined tools for teacher col<br>collaboration in Professional   |   | analysis of assessment data— including refined tools munities (PLCs) | s for teacher  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|--|
| Targeted Subgroups  | Targeted Subgroups  |  |                |  |  |
|   | the integration   | of ELD standards and differentiation of instruction fo               | or English     |  |  |
| e) Increased academic achieve   | ment of English   | h learners across all core content areas                             |                |  |  |
| Student Success and Suppor  | Student Success and Support Systems   |  |                |  |  |
| f) Improved teacher efficacy in   | <ul> <li>f) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data and delivery of intervention support for<br/>English language arts, mathematics, and ELD.</li> </ul> |  |                |  |  |
|   |   | n by teachers to support student learning and engage                 |                |  |  |
| <ul> <li>h) Students engaged in hands-<br/>world.</li> </ul>  | h) Students engaged in hands-on exploration of engineering concepts that foster questioning of the natural  |  |                |  |  |
| i) Students in grades 4-8 will u<br>the Internet, and the danger  |   | responsibilities of being a strong digital citizen, how to ind.      | o stay safe on |  |  |
|   |   | ult of highly qualified teachers hired and retained in th            | e district.    |  |  |
| ••  | k) As a result of on-boarding procedures and professional development, new staff will have a clear  |  |                |  |  |
| understanding of the District   | 's goals, instruc   | ctional initiatives, and expectations for implementing               | Common Core    |  |  |
|   | State Standards, utilization of effective strategies to serve English learners, and cultural competency.  |  |                |  |  |
| I) Professional development o   | •   |  |                |  |  |
|   | m) Increased teacher participation in professional development offerings and district committees due to increased availability of substitute teachers.                                |  |                |  |  |
|   | n) Assessment system, Response to Intervention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites.   |  |                |  |  |
| o) Increased teacher participation in professional development offerings and district committees due to a   |   |  |                |  |  |
|   | decrease in cancellations from substitute teachers.   |  |                |  |  |
| <ul> <li>p) Daily sub rate reviewed and considered for increase.</li> <li>c) Destructured Tage leaving (TIQ) restition and stinger d (subject to repeticitions) to support offective</li> </ul> |   |  |                |  |  |
| <ul> <li>q) Restructured Teacher-in-Charge (TIC) position and stipend (subject to negotiations) to support effective<br/>instruction and positive school climate.</li> </ul>                    |   |  |                |  |  |
|   |   | support provided to first and second year teachers thr               | ough BTSA      |  |  |
|   | Scope of  | Pupils to be served within identified scope of                       | Budgeted       |  |  |
| Actions/Services  | Service   | service  | Expenditures   |  |  |

| a) The Common Core Implementation Team will<br>collect and assess CCSS-aligned tools, lessons<br>and units in a centralized, easily accessed<br>repository. (Software Licensing)   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                      | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)      |
|--|--|---|------------------------|
| b) Continue classroom coaching to implement<br>high leverage strategies and support the<br>implementation and building of CCSS-aligned<br>units of instruction by employing teachers on<br>special assignment (TSA): math, EL, ELA,<br>technology and Intervention (5.0 FTE - TSA)           | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>OR:<br>x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$507,000<br>(LCFF)    |
| c) Enhance the teacher leader/trainer model in<br>ELA, math, and technology (Literacy, Math, &<br>Tech Leads) to increase support of district-wide<br>grade level and middle school department<br>collaboration and to refine tools and protocols for<br>PLCs. Teacher Stipends.             | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>_x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 | \$89,150<br>(LCFF)     |
| d) Continue professional development for all teachers on new ELD standards and related instructional strategies.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                     | \$20,000<br>(Title II) |
| e) Continue expansion of SEAL program at<br>Coleman, Sun Valley, and Venetia Valley (Cohort<br>1, Year 2). Implement SEAL program at Bahia<br>Vista, San Pedro, and Laurel Dell (Cohort 2, Year<br>1). (consultant, release time, instructional coaches<br>3.0 FTE and materials & supplies) | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>San Pedro,<br>Sun Valley,<br>Venetia<br>Valley<br>TK-3<br>(Cohort 1) &<br>TK-1<br>(Cohort 2) | ALL<br>OR:<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsX_English Learners<br>Foster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$704,381<br>(LCFF)    |

| f) Principal on Special Assignment – Early<br>Childhood Education focus with additional support<br>for non-SEAL schools regarding CCSS<br>implementation and effective strategies to address<br>the needs of all learners with a focus on EL/low<br>income populations. | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>San Pedro,<br>Sun Valley,<br>Venetia<br>Valley,<br>Glenwood,<br>Short | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth XRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$155,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time) |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| <ul> <li>g) Provide professional development focused on<br/>new assessments and data to set student<br/>achievement targets and monitor student growth.</li> <li>(2 professional development days included in<br/>contract days)</li> </ul>                             | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | <u>X</u> ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)               | \$0                              |
| h) Engage staff to align processes, systems and trainings so as to effectively implement a student information system.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>   | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)               |
| i) Continue implementation of iTeams/STEM<br>Professional Development and integrated<br>curriculum.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>   | CAMSP<br>Grant<br>(Res 4050)     |
| j) Research and implement programs designed to<br>educate students on appropriate and safe use of<br>technology.  | All<br>Schools<br>4-8   | X_ALL         OR:        Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)                |
| <ul> <li>k) Implement staff recruitment and selection<br/>processes and retain qualified teachers through<br/>competitive compensation for all SRCS staff<br/>(subject to negotiations).</li> </ul>   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                     | \$0                              |
| I) Establish on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                               | \$0                             |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| m) Implement menu of differentiated professional<br>development opportunities for classified staff.<br>Trainers, release-time, hourly pay, materials &<br>supplies.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                              | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)               |
| n) Provide professional development for site<br>administrators focused on the use of<br>assessments, implementation of the Response to<br>Intervention (RTI) model and implementation of<br>the EL Master Plan.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students_ | \$25,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time) |
| o) Participate in a county-wide collaborative task<br>force to identify issues and possible actions to<br>address the substitute shortage throughout the<br>county.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                               | \$0                             |
| p) Consider an increase in the daily sub rate of<br>pay from \$110 per day to \$120 per day, and the<br>interim daily rate for days 11-20 in the same<br>position from \$130 per day to \$140 per day             | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$36,000<br>(LCFF)              |
| <ul> <li>q) Restructure the Teacher-in-Charge (TIC) position and stipend to increase leadership opportunities and support effective instruction and positive school climate (subject to negotiations).</li> </ul> | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify)        | \$16,000<br>(LCFF)              |

| · · ·  | onal development, coaching,<br>and second year teachers   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | <u>x_ALL</u><br><u>OR:</u><br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$62,000<br>LCFF             |
|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|
|  |   | LCAP Ye  | ear 2: 2016-17  |                              |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | <ul> <li>b) Teachers will have greater</li> <li>c) Students will understand th<br/>and the dangers of cyber be</li> <li>d) Students engaged in hands<br/>world.</li> <li>e) Menu of professional develor</li> <li>f) Assessment system, Response</li> </ul> | access to tec<br>e responsibili<br>ullying.<br>s-on explorati<br>opment oppo<br>onse to Interv | ongoing professional development for all staff.<br>chnology tools that support student learning and engage<br>ities of being a strong digital citizen, how to stay safe of<br>on of engineering concepts that foster questioning of th<br>rtunities in place for classified staff.<br>rention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites.<br>d support provided to first and second year teachers th | n the internet,<br>e natural |
| Ac   | tions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service  | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures     |
| a) Implement new p<br>and new employee       | processes for on-boarding staff<br>orientation.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | <u>X</u> ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$0                          |
| implementation and<br>units of instruction I | oom coaching to support the<br>d building of CCSS-aligned<br>by employing teachers on<br>: math, EL, ELA, technology &<br>TE).  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$410,000<br>(LCFF)          |

| c) Technology Advisory Committee will establish<br>base level classroom technology, and pilot 21 <sup>st</sup><br>century classroom tools such as Teacher<br>Technology Toolkits. (Release time)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$5,000<br>- (Title II)        |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| d) Continue implementation of iTeams/STEM professional development and integrated curriculum.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | CAMSP<br>- Grant<br>(Res 4050) |
| e) Provide menu of differentiated professional<br>development opportunities for classified staff.<br>Trainers, release-time, hourly pay, materials and<br>supplies.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$5,000<br>- (LCFF)            |
| <ul> <li>f) Continue to provide professional<br/>development for site administrators focused<br/>on the use of assessments, implementation<br/>of the Response to Intervention (RTI)<br/>model and implementation of the EL Master<br/>Plan.</li> </ul> | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X</u> ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)              |
| <ul> <li>g) Provide professional development,<br/>coaching, and support to first and second<br/>year teachers through BTSA.</li> </ul>  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$82,000                       |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurablea)Menu of professional deve<br>b)Effective on-boarding proc  | lopment opp           | ear 3: 2017-18<br>ortunities for classified staff.<br>ace for new staff.   |                                |

|   |                        | vention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites.<br>tion of engineering concepts that foster questioning of                        | the natural              |
|---|------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Actions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service    | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
| <ul> <li>a) Adjust menu of professional development<br/>opportunities for classified staff to reflect district<br/>and employee needs.</li> </ul>   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$15,000<br>(LCFF)       |
| <ul> <li>b) Review on-boarding procedures implemented<br/>in 2016-17 and adjust to reflect district and new<br/>employee needs.</li> </ul>  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0                      |
| c) Continue to provide professional development<br>for site administrators focused on the use of<br>assessments, implementation of the Response to<br>Intervention (RTI) model and implementation of<br>the EL Master Plan. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000<br>(LCFF)        |
| <ul> <li>d) Provide professional development,<br/>coaching, and support to first and second<br/>year teachers through BTSA.</li> </ul>  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8. | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$82,000                 |

| GOAL:      | District  | ge our resources responsibly,<br>goals and priorities so that the<br>e needle for student success.  |  |  | Related State and/or I<br>1_X_2345_<br>COE only: 9_<br>Local: Specify                              | _ 6 7 <u>_X</u> 8                        |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Identified | Identified Need:<br>Fiscal transparency is achieved as resources are aligned and structured to support upgrading school facilities,<br>growing student enrollment and the transitions to the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and the Common<br>Core State Standards (CCSS).<br>Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional<br>materials; all school facilities are maintained in good repair. 7) Analysis of middle school student schedules to<br>ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas, K-5 posted instructional minutes. |   |  |  |  |  |
| Goal Ap    | al Applies to:<br>Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley K-8,<br>Davidson Middle<br>Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All   |   |  |  |  | ey K-8,<br>                              |
|            |   |   | LCAP Ye  | ear 1: 2015-16   |  |  |
| Meas       | ed Annual<br>surable<br>comes:  | <ul> <li>and properly functioning so</li> <li>b) Maintained or improved stu</li> <li>c) Staff utilize updated computed</li> <li>d) Bond measure passed in National Facilities Plan (MFP).</li> <li>e) Improved broadband and of</li> <li>f) Individualized needs of target</li> <li>g) Provided students with appratings of 100% compliance</li> <li>h) Maintained and/or expanded</li> <li>i) Facilities are maintained in</li> <li>j) Maintain compliance with f</li> </ul> | chools, and pro-<br>udent device r<br>uting tools.<br>lovember 201<br>connectivity.<br>geted subgrou<br>propriate work<br>e on the annu<br>ed home-to-so<br>compliance v<br>ederal regulat | atios.<br>5; Develop timeline and budgets<br>ps are supported at school sites.<br>/learning space and materials and<br>al Williams site reviews.<br>shool transportation program to in<br>with the Williams Act<br>ions under the Federal Individual<br>rogress toward their IEP goals | for implementation of<br>d supplies as demonst<br>crease attendance rat<br>s with Disabilities Edu | Master<br>trated by<br>es.<br>cation Act |
|            | A   | tions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service  | Pupils to be served within in service  | dentified scope of   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                 |

| a.1) Provide full time site administrator and office staff to support instructional programs.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8             | X_ALL         OR:        Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$2.7 million<br>(LCFF)                |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff,<br>utilities, and services to support schools.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8             | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify)                     | \$2.7 million<br>(LCFF)                |
| a.3) Provide campus supervision for all K-5 and<br>the K-8 school at a ratio of 45 minutes for each 80<br>students. Provide additional as necessary to<br>support at sites with larger breakfast programs. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8             | X_ALL         OR:        Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$230,000<br>(LCFF)                    |
| a.4) Increase Campus Security I for DMS – 7<br>hours per day (.875 FTE) for a total of 1.75 FTE  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8             | X_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$85,000<br>(LCFF)                     |
| b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8             | X_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$300,000<br>(LCFF One-<br>time funds) |
| c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.   | All K-5;<br>Venetia<br>Valley K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                        | \$91,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time)        |

| d.1) Develop an RFP process for selection of design teams to begin design process with school site facilities committees.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | Fed 21<br>Building<br>Fund<br>\$0 |
|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| d.2) Complete communications plan developed for<br>Master Facilities Plan and potential funding<br>options.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$46,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time)   |
| e) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve<br>broadband and connectively through the Master<br>Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$0                               |
| f) Establish and pilot a process to determine site<br>allocations and/or process for site level grant<br>proposals for complementary site-level services to<br>targeted sub-groups. Grant proposals must<br>address measureable student academic<br>performance outcomes for targeted students and<br>include research-based, best practices. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$200,000<br>(LCFF)               |
| g.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies,<br>furniture and equipment and other necessary<br>items) to accommodate student enrollment<br>growth.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$95,000<br>(LCFF)                |

|  | ose and/or add additional<br>accommodate student  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 (Routine<br>Restricted<br>Maintenance<br>Funds) |
|--|---|---|--|---|
|  | Transportation is maintained<br>s added as necessary for<br>y busses.)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$410,000<br>(LCFF)                                 |
| Ćode, contribute 3%  | n the California Education<br>of the General Fund to<br>stricted Maintenance.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$1.4 Million<br>(LCFF)                             |
| special education se<br>disabilities to suppo<br>accordance with rec | unds to provide high quality<br>ervices for students with<br>rt student IEPs and in<br>juirements outlined in the<br>with Disabilities Education Act                    | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$4.4 Million<br>(LCFF)                             |
|  |   | LCAP Ye   | ear 2: 2016-17   |   |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:                           | <ul><li>and properly functioning sch</li><li>b) Maintain or improve student</li><li>c) Staff utilize updated comput</li><li>d) Individualized needs of target</li></ul> | ools; and pro<br>device ratios<br>ing tools.<br>eted subgrou<br>ovember 201 |  |   |

| <ul> <li>ratings of 100% compliance</li> <li>h) Maintained and/or expande</li> <li>i) Facilities are maintained in</li> <li>j) Maintain compliance with feed</li> </ul>                                    | e on the annu<br>d home-to-so<br>compliance v<br>ederal regulat | chool transportation program to increase attendance ra   | tes.                     |
|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| Actions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   |  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
| a.1) Provide full time site administrator and office staff to support instructional programs.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$2.7 million<br>(LCFF)  |
| a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff utilities and services to support schools.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$2.7 million<br>(LCFF)  |
| a.3) Provide campus supervision for all K-5 and<br>the K-8 school at a ratio of 45 minutes for each 80<br>students. Provide additional as necessary to<br>support at sites with larger breakfast programs. | All K-5<br>Schools;<br>Venetia<br>Valley                        | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)      | \$235,000<br>(LCFF)      |
| b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$75,000<br>(LCFF)       |

| c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)                            |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| d) Evaluate, refine and implement the process to<br>determine site allocations and/or process for site<br>level grant proposals for complementary site-level<br>services to targeted sub-groups. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$200,000<br>(LCFF)                           |
| e) Complete process for selection of design teams<br>to begin design process with school site facilities<br>committees.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | Fed 21<br>Building<br>Fund<br>\$0             |
| f) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve<br>broadband and connectively through the Master<br>Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | \$0 (Other<br>Capital<br>Facilities<br>Funds) |
| g.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | \$50,000<br>(LCFF)                            |

|  | ose and/or add additional<br>accommodate student  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0                     |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
|  | Transportation is maintained<br>s added as necessary for<br>y busses.)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$500,000<br>(LCFF)     |
| Ćode, contribute 3%  | n the California Education<br>of the General Fund to<br>stricted Maintenance.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)        | \$1.4 Million<br>(LCFF) |
| special education se<br>disabilities to suppo<br>accordance with rec | unds to provide high quality<br>ervices for students with<br>rt student IEPs and in<br>juirements outlined in the<br>with Disabilities Education Act  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)        | \$4.4 Million<br>(LCFF) |
|  |   | LCAP Ye  | ear 3: 2017-18   |                         |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:                           | <ul> <li>and properly functioning sch</li> <li>b) Maintain or improve student</li> <li>c) Staff utilize updated comput</li> <li>d) Improved broadband and co</li> <li>e) Individualized needs of target</li> <li>f) Provided students with appr<br/>ratings of 100% compliance</li> </ul> | iools; and pro<br>device ratios<br>ing tools.<br>onnectivity.<br>eted subgrou<br>opriate work/<br>on the annu- | s.<br>Ips at school sites are supported.<br>/learning space and materials and supplies as demons   | strated by              |

| Actions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| a.1) Provide full time site administrator and office staff to support instructional programs.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X</u> ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$2.75 million<br>(LCFF) |
| a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff utilities and services to support schools.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$2.75 million<br>(LCFF) |
| a.3) Provide campus supervision for all K-5 and<br>the K-8 school at a ratio of 45 minutes for each 80<br>students. Provide additional as necessary to<br>support at sites with larger breakfast programs. | All<br>Schools<br>K-5 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$235,000<br>(LCFF)      |
| b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$75,000<br>(LCFF)       |
| c) Purchase computers, projectors, document cameras, and tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)       | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)       |

| d) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve<br>broadband and connectively through the Master<br>Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)      | \$0                 |
|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| <ul> <li>e) Implement process to determine site allocations<br/>and/or process for site level grant proposals for<br/>complementary site-level services to targeted sub-<br/>groups.</li> <li>See relevant outcomes and actions/services in<br/>student learning and culture and climate.</li> </ul> | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$200,000<br>(LCFF) |
| f.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies,<br>furniture and equipment and other necessary<br>items) to accommodate student enrollment<br>growth.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)      | \$50,000<br>(LCFF)  |
| f.2) Identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | \$0                 |
| f.3) Continue to implement master facilities plan as appropriate.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)            | Building<br>Fund 21 |

| g) Home to School Transportation is maintained<br>with additional buses added as necessary for<br>growth. (Ten full-day busses.)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$550,000<br>(LCFF)     |
|---|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| h) In accordance with the California Education<br>Code, contribute 3% of the General Fund to<br>support Routine Restricted Maintenance.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$1.4 Million<br>(LCFF) |
| i) Contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality<br>special education services for students with<br>disabilities to support student IEPs and in<br>accordance with requirements outlined in the<br>Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act<br>(IDEA). | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$4.4 Million<br>(LCFF) |

| GOAL:   | betweer   | op and implement highly effective two-way systems of communication<br>In the District and its stakeholders to ensure increased awareness about,<br>ation in, and support for decision-making about the District's goals. | Related State and/or Local Priorities:         1 2 3_X_ 4 5 6_X_ 7 8         COE only: 9 10         Local: Specify |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Identified  | Identified Need:<br>Identified Need:<br>Required metrics: 3) Agendas and minutes for required parent committees, parent input. 6) Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal Applies to:       Schools:       All         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       All |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | LCAP Year 1: 2015-16  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ed Annual<br>surable  | <ul> <li>a) Improved communication about district programs and initiatives across<br/>engagement benchmark.</li> </ul>   | s the district. Identified parent  |  |  |  |  |

| Outcomes:  | <ul> <li>b) Higher responsiveness from the district to stakeholders and increased collaborative decision-making through authentic two-way dialogue</li> <li>c) All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered from families.</li> <li>d) Recommendations developed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, common expectations and ongoing professional development.</li> <li>e) Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups</li> <li>f) Improved parent participation the IEP process for the target populations.</li> <li>g) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning.</li> <li>h) Increased parent voice and refined role in district decision-making.</li> <li>i) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities.</li> </ul> |                       |   |                                 |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Act  | tions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures        |  |
| Communications Pla<br>the LCAP, facilities<br>changes, SBAC, col<br>other educational kr<br>widely through webs<br>strategies. Establish<br>benchmark. As part | Community Engagement and<br>an, including messaging about<br>planning, programmatic<br>llege readiness activities and<br>nowledge to be distributed<br>site, social media and other<br>n a parent engagement<br>of the plan, begin a Request<br>process and identify and select<br>website redesign.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>xFoster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$20,000<br>(LCFF one-<br>time) |  |
| a.2) Maintain .5 FTE<br>Communications Co<br>and enhance comm  | E Community Engagement and<br>pordinator to continue to refine<br>nunication efforts and strategies<br>munity and a targeted focus on  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)           | \$68,500<br>(LCFF)              |  |

| b) Increase customer service by exploring options<br>for higher-quality phone and online opportunities<br>for stakeholders to provide input and feedback on<br>District initiatives and key decisions  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | \$0                 |
|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| c.1) Design system, procedures and tools for sites<br>to conduct uniform, valid surveys of parents,<br>guardians and caregivers.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)             | \$0                 |
| c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to in order to measure<br>gaps in engagement and service for targeted<br>student subgroups.   |                       | _ALL<br>OR:<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster Youthx_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)     | \$16,000<br>(LCFF)  |
| d) Establish the Bilingual Community Liaison<br>committee to evaluate and refine the role of<br>bilingual community liaisons and the related<br>services, including translation, as well as develop<br>common expectations and ongoing professional<br>development including appropriate staffing levels<br>for each school. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0                 |
| e.1) Maintain current levels of Community Liaison<br>support at all school sites and evaluate staffing<br>needs as appropriate based on objective data and<br>affordability.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$419,000<br>(LCFF) |

| e.2) Maintain Bilingual Secretaries in order to provide greater levels of support to families of targeted subgroups   | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Glenwood,<br>San Pedro,<br>Sun Valley<br>and Venetia<br>Valley K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                         | \$100,000<br>(LCFF) |
|---|--|---|---------------------|
| f) Add .5 FTE Community Liaison support for<br>special education to support family participation in<br>the IEP process.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                         | \$20,000<br>(LCFF)  |
| g) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and<br>diverse (email, phone, social media, etc.)<br>communication tools and strategies.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0                 |
| h.1) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                                    | \$0                 |
| h.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites<br>in the annual recruitment, establishment and<br>facilitation of parent committees such as School<br>Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee,<br>Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and<br>support parent involvement in decision-making. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities | \$0                 |

|  | inity agencies and groups to<br>ize partnerships to create a<br>environment.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify   | \$0                      |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------------|
|  | N  |   | ear 2: 2016-17  |                          |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | <ul><li>attendance in events and counderrepresented.</li><li>b) Further strengthen partners support outreach to underre</li><li>c) Improved services at school</li></ul>             | nferences by<br>hips and coll<br>presented st<br>l sites for par<br>mer service a | ents and students.<br>and communication with families of targeted subgroups   | hat are<br>ograms to     |
| Act  | tions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
| Engagement and C<br>action plan strategic<br>involvement of targe<br>proportional particip | analysis, adjust Community<br>ommunications Plan and<br>es to increase attendance and<br>et population to increase<br>pation and decision-making. As<br>nplete new website redesign. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with Disabilities | \$0                      |
| Communications Co<br>and enhance comm  | E Community Engagement and<br>bordinator to continue to refine<br>nunication efforts and strategies<br>munity and a targeted focus on  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$68,500<br>(LCFF)       |

| b.1) Implement recommendations of the Bilingual<br>Community Liaison committee including additional<br>staffing levels as appropriate and affordable.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                    | \$425,000<br>(LCFF) |
|---|--|---|---------------------|
| b.2) Maintain Bilingual Secretaries in order to<br>provide greater levels of support to families of<br>targeted subgroups   | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Glenwood<br>San Pedro<br>Sun Valley<br>and Venetia<br>Valley K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 | \$100,000<br>(LCFF) |
| c) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 | \$21,000<br>(LCFF)  |
| d) Include in LCAP survey questions to parents,<br>guardians and caregivers about the effectiveness<br>of community liaisons and engagement strategies.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0                 |
| e.1) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0                 |
| e.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites<br>in the annual recruitment, establishment and<br>facilitation of parent committees such as School<br>Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee,<br>Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and<br>support parent involvement in decision-making. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>Oken pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities | \$0                 |

| LCAP Year 3: 2017-18  |  |                       |  |                          |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| <ul> <li>a) Improved communication about district programs and initiatives across the district. Increase of parent attendance in events and conferences by 5% particularly with parents and stakeholder groups that are underrepresented.</li> <li>b) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups.</li> <li>c) Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups.</li> <li>d) Improved parent participation the IEP process for the target populations.</li> <li>e) Improved services at school sites for parents and students.</li> <li>f) Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making.</li> </ul> |  |                       |  |                          |
| Act   | tions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
| Engagement and C<br>action plan strategie<br>involvement of target  | analysis, adjust Community<br>ommunications Plan along with<br>es to increase attendance and<br>et population to increase<br>pation and decision-making. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                | \$0                      |
| Communications Co<br>and enhance comm   | E Community Engagement and<br>bordinator to continue to refine<br>nunication efforts and strategies<br>munity and a targeted focus on                    | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$68,500<br>(LCFF)       |
| Community Liaison   | nmendations of the Bilingual<br>committee, such as additional<br>propriate and affordable.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br><u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br>Foster Youth <u>X</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$430,000<br>(LCFF)      |

| c) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for<br>special education to support family participation in<br>the IEP process.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$22,000<br>(LCFF) |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| <ul> <li>d) Include in LCAP survey questions to parents,<br/>guardians and caregivers about the effectiveness<br/>of community liaisons and engagement strategies.</li> </ul>  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$0                |
| <ul> <li>e) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the<br/>year and provide a calendar for meetings with<br/>a clear purpose and role in monitoring the<br/>implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas<br/>72 hours in advance of the meetings in<br/>accordance with the Brown Act.</li> </ul>                   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$0                |
| f) Provide support and guidance to school sites in<br>the annual recruitment, establishment and<br>facilitation of parent committees such as<br>School Site Council, English Learner Advisory<br>Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to<br>strengthen and support parent involvement in<br>decision-making. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils <u>X</u> _English Learners<br>Foster Youth <u>X</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>students with disabilities</u> | \$0                |

| GOAL: | 5. Establish effective systems and a welcoming environment that allow staff,<br>students and families to feel safe and included so that they can participate fully<br>in student learning and the school community. | Related State and/or Local Priorities:         1 2 3 4 5_X 6_X 7_X 8_X         COE only: 9 10         Local: Specify |
|-------|---|--|
|-------|---|--|

| Identified Need:                           | Systems and structures to support students through positive behavioral practices and emotional support.<br>Required metrics: 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-out rates, high school graduation rates. 6) Student suspension rates, student expulsion rates, Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey. 7) Analysis of student schedules to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas.<br>8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal. |   |  |                          |  |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| Goal Applies to:                           | Schools: Bahia Vista, Colema<br>Middle School<br>Applicable Pupil Subgroups:  | n, Glenwood,  | Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short Sun Valley, Venetia Vall   | ey, Davidson             |  |
|  |   | LCAP Ye   | ear 1: 2015-16   |                          |  |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes: | <ul> <li>participation improves</li> <li>b) Maintain low suspension ra</li> <li>c) Determine baseline and re</li> <li>d) Increase student and staff</li> <li>e) Completed plan for elective</li> <li>f) Maintained services and tr</li> </ul>   | ate and curren<br>duce number<br>engagement b<br>es and enrichr<br>eatment for at<br>ractions betwe | een students on playgrounds reduced the number of co   | om 5%<br>cipation        |  |
| A  | ctions/Services   | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |  |
| ,  | TE nurse and .50 FTE Health health services and access.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$137,112<br>(LCFF)      |  |
| mental health serve evaluate and maxi      | TE counseling staff to improve<br>ices and develop a plan to<br>mize school counseling options,<br>ng, including identifying outside  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8   | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$243,000<br>(LCFF)      |  |

| a.3) Increase counseling services by adding 1.0<br>FTE therapeutic counselor to specifically address<br>the needs of the targeted populations at Davidson<br>Middle School.   | DMS 6-8               | ALL<br>OR:<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsx_English Learners<br>Foster YouthxRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                     | \$79,000                           |
|---|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| b.1) Continue implementation of Positive<br>Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) at<br>all school sites. Sites will continue to participate in<br>PBIS training in 2 cohorts. Cohort 1 will begin tier<br>3 training and cohort 2 will begin tier 2 training.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                      | \$10,700<br>- (LCFF)               |
| b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and develop a<br>plan to expand to other schools K-8, beginning<br>with Community Building Circles in grades 4-8.<br>(Teacher on Special Assignment .2 FTE)   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$20,000<br>- UWBA Grant<br>(9036) |
| c) Develop a plan for effective staff and student<br>engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer<br>counseling, social media assemblies, etc.).  | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$0                                |
| d.1) Implement the plan to increase participation in<br>electives and enrichment activities for special<br>education students. In the 2015-16 school year<br>students at Davidson Middle School and Venetia<br>Valley will be programed into one period of<br>reading instruction in order to take an elective. | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities | \$0                                |

| increase participation activities for EL stud   | aluate and develop the plan to<br>on in electives and enrichment<br>dents and Strategic Class<br>CELDT level students.   | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8  | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students_  | \$0                |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------|
| offering a 7-period of<br>in order to increase<br>students, explore op<br>ensure students cor | e to evaluate the feasibility of<br>day at the middle school level<br>access to electives for all<br>otion for a second elective, and<br>ntinue to be provided with<br>based academic instruction.<br>ions). | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8  | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u><br>Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$0                |
| Davidson Middle So<br>to expand services<br>Teen Screen and ot                                | prevention education at<br>shool and explore opportunities<br>to all K-8 schools (continue<br>her suicide prevention<br>on Middle School while<br>sources).  | DMS<br>6-8  | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$10,000<br>(LCFF) |
| g) Fund one of the F<br>appropriate up to \$1<br>and identify process                         | Playworks programs as<br>6,000 at the six target schools<br>for full implementation and<br>Inding at each campus based   | Bahia Vista,<br>Coleman,<br>Laurel Dell,<br>San Pedro,<br>Short,<br>Venetia<br>Valley | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students  | \$96,000           |
| LCAP Year 2: 2016-17  |  |   |  |                    |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:  | <ul><li>b) Maintained low suspension</li><li>c) Increased student and staff</li></ul>  | rate and mide<br>engagement<br>plemented a  | nts are improved so that student attendance and particip<br>dle school dropout rate, currently 0%.<br>by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey.<br>and data is gathered on student participation in electives<br>-risk students |                    |

| Actions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures         |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| a.1) Maintain 1.0 FTE nurse and .50 FTE Health Liaison to improve health services and access.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$140,000<br>(LCFF)              |
| a.2) Maintain 2.5 FTE counselors to continue to<br>support the social-emotional needs of students.<br>Adjust staffing levels as appropriate based on<br>2015-16 needs assessment and utilize outside<br>resources identified. | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$244,000<br>(LCFF)              |
| b.1) Continue to implement PBIS at all sites.<br>Cohort I will be fully implemented and Cohort 2 will<br>begin tier 3 training. (Release time, incentives and<br>training costs)  | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>_X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students                                      | \$15,000<br>(LCFF)               |
| b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and expand to<br>other schools K-8 (based on availability of funds),<br>beginning with Community Building Circles in<br>grades 4-8. (Teacher on Special Assignment .2<br>FTE)             | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br><u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners<br><u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br><u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$20,000<br>UWBA Grant<br>(9036) |
| c) Implement the plan to increase participation in<br>electives and enrichment activities for EL students<br>and Strategic Class enrollees or higher CELDT<br>level students.   | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$0                              |

| from the task force offering a 7-period       | d) Follow-up on findings and recommendations<br>from the task force regarding the feasibility of<br>offering a 7-period day at the middle school level<br>including a second elective.                                    |                       | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Intervention Tier 2 | \$0                      |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Davidson Middle So<br>Venetia Valley base     | prevention education at<br>chool and expand program to<br>ed on recommendations of the<br>and on availability of funds.   | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)       |
|   |   | LCAP Ye               | ear 3: 2017-18  |                          |
| Expected Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:    | <ul> <li>c) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey.</li> <li>d) Master scheduling plan is implemented and data is gathered on student participation in elective.</li> </ul> |                       |   | es and                   |
| Ac  | tions/Services  | Scope of<br>Service   | Pupils to be served within identified scope of<br>service   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |
|   | TE nurse and .50 FTE Health health services and access.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                            | \$145,000<br>(LCFF)      |
| support the social-e<br>Adjust staffing level | TE counselors to continue to<br>emotional needs of students.<br>Is as appropriate based on<br>essment and utilize outside<br>I.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | <u>X_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                      | \$245,000<br>(LCFF)      |

| b.1) Continue to implement PBIS at all sites.<br>Cohort I will be fully implemented and Cohort 2 will<br>begin tier 3 training.   | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br>_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students                     | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)               |
|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and expand to<br>other schools K-8 (based on availability of funds),<br>beginning with Community Building Circles in<br>grades 4-8. (Teacher on Special Assignment .2<br>FTE) | All<br>Schools<br>K-8 | ALL<br>OR:<br><u>X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners</u><br><u>X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students</u> | \$20,000<br>UWBA Grant<br>(9036) |
| c) Implement the plan to increase participation in<br>electives and enrichment activities for EL students<br>and Strategic Class enrollees or higher CELDT<br>level students.                                     | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | \$0                              |
| d) Continue to implement recommendations from<br>the task force regarding the feasibility of offering a<br>7-period day at the middle school level including a<br>second elective.                                | DMS &<br>VV<br>6-8    | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _X_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Intervention Tier 2                       | \$0                              |
| e) Continue suicide prevention education at Davidson Middle School and Venetia Valley.  | DMS<br>6-8            | _X_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  | \$10,000<br>(LCFF)               |

## **Annual Update**

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

## **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school-sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

| 1. Staff will skillfully implement the State Standards and use highly effective instructional strategies, common curriculum, and SBAC aligned assessments. All students will receive high quality instructional programs that provide appropriate rigor and challenge, and prepare them for college and careers.       Related State and/or Local Priorities:         1. Staff will skillfully implement the State Standards and use highly effective instructional programs that provide appropriate rigor and challenge, and prepare them for college and careers.       1_ 2_x 3_ 4_x 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_x         COE only: 9_ 10_       Local : Specify       Local : Specify         Goal Applies to:       Schools:       Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       All, Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth       Venetia Valley |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:  | <ul> <li>Students will receive instruction that is aligned<br/>Core.</li> <li>Establish a new district assessment system so<br/>valid data (including initial CAASPP data) to us<br/>system will include teacher/PLC developed SB<br/>summative assessments in math and ELA.</li> </ul> | as to identify reliable,<br>se as a baseline. This          | Actual<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:  | <ul> <li>place at each grad</li> <li>Components of th<br/>and will be implen</li> <li>186 ELs in grades</li> <li>Scholastic Readin</li> </ul> | de level, K-5, and<br>e district assess<br>nented 2015-16.<br>s 1-8 were reclas<br>ng Inventory (SR | d in every co<br>ment system<br>sified, 8.8%.<br>I) was admin | of instruction are in<br>re subject area, 6-8.<br>have been purchased<br>istered to all students<br>age lexile gains are as |
|  |   | LCAP Year 0: 2  | 014 -15 UPDAT  | Е   |   |   |   |
|  | Planned Actions/Services  |   |  | Actual Ac   | tions/Service   | es  |   |
|  |   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                                    |  |   |   |   | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures  |
| 1.a. Expand the role of the Instructional Leadership Teams<br>(ILT) at all sites to support implementation of district goals<br>and monitor student progress1.a Est. Cost: \$0   |   | of district goals.<br>evidence that the<br>students by supp | s have ILTs which su<br>The ILT agendas fror<br>ILT meetings addres<br>orting implementation<br>cific initiatives such a | n each site pr<br>ss the needs on<br>of the CCSS  | rovide<br>of<br>S and   | \$0   |   |

| Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  | and alignment of effective instructional strategies, the use of<br>graphic organizers, and common assessments. Student<br>work is collected and analyzed in order to monitor student<br>progress with a specific focus on English learners, low-<br>income students, and foster youth.Scope of service:District-wide_x_ALL   | -                                     |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupils<br>_Foster YouthRe<br>Other Subgroups:( |   |  | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                                       |
| 1.b. Expansion of Teacher<br>Math                                 | r Leader/Trainer of Trainers model in ELA and                               | 1.b Est. Cost:<br>\$76,000 (CCSS<br>funds)   | The number of teacher leaders for ELA ( <i>Literacy Leads</i> ) has<br>increased to 13 at the elementary level and 10 at the middle<br>school level. In the area of math, the decision was made to<br>delay expanding this model for 2014-15. Working with UC<br>Berkeley History/Social Science Project consultants, the<br>Literacy Lead teachers have led staff in developing oral<br>language and writing strategies with a focus on precise<br>language during three professional development days, with<br>follow up training on a monthly basis in districtwide grade<br>level meetings. During these follow up meetings, alignment<br>of implementation is addressed and student work is<br>examined as evidence of implementation and effectiveness<br>in accelerating student progress toward mastery. | \$35,700<br>(CCSS funds)              |
| Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  | Scope of service: District-wide  |                                       |
| ALL   |   | -  | ALL  | -                                     |
|   | s <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English                 |  | OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                                       |
|   | Core Coaches, Teacher on Special ons to support implementation and building | 1.c Est. Cost:<br>\$305,000 (CCSS<br>funds); | There are four .5 FTE positions and two .5 SEAL coach<br>positions to support implementation and building of CCSS<br>aligned materials. (See 2.e. for further information about<br>SEAL program.) In this capacity, the coaches work primarily<br>with grade level PLCs to develop CCSS aligned units of<br>instruction, formative assessments and performance-based   | 1.C Est. Cost:<br>\$272,000<br>(CCSS) |

|   |  | of standards. As a re<br>CCSS aligned instruc-<br>compared to last yea<br>goal includes review<br>developed and/or ref<br>analysis of student w<br>low-income students<br>The Common Core In<br>principals indicated th   | sure student progress toward mastery<br>esult, students are participating in<br>ction to a greater extent when<br>r. Evidence examined in relation to this<br>of PLC minutes, units/lessons<br>ined by PLC work with coaches, and<br>rork focusing on English learners and<br>mplementation Team and all school<br>hat coaches are needed next year in<br>ective support of Common Core   |                          |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| Scope of service: District-wide   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |                          |
| ALL<br>OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>_x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English<br>proficientOther<br>Subgroups:(Specify) |  |   | ls <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                          |
| 1.d. Continue and expand district wide grade level and middle school department collaboration schedule and structure.                               | 1.d Est. Cost:<br>\$50,000 (CCSS<br>funds) | monthly basis. These<br>opportunity for teach-<br>implementation of sp<br>examine student wor<br>developing a commo<br>As a result, alignmen<br>However, progress m<br>provide the collabora<br>required for the syste<br>At the middle school<br>collaboration has inc<br>development days th<br>degree of alignment<br>student engagement | de level meetings have continued on a<br>e meetings have provided an<br>ers to refine their practice in regard to<br>ecific instructional strategies and to<br>k with colleagues across the district in<br>on understanding of the levels of rigor.<br>In across schools has increased.<br>Thust continue on this goal in order to<br>tive time across the district which is<br>ern to become fully aligned with CCSS.<br>level, the opportunity for department<br>reased through the shared professional<br>is year. The result has been a greater<br>in terms of CCSS implementation and<br>strategies. | \$14,600<br>(CCSS funds) |

|  |   | goal includes DGLM agendas, materials, professional development day agendas, and staff meeting minutes.  |                    |
|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Scope of service: District-wide  |   | Scope of service:  |                    |
| <u>x_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)         | -   | _x_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                    |
| 1.e. Strengthen Grade level PLCs; they will develop common lessons, units and SBAC aligned assessment criteria                                       | 1.e Est. Cost: \$0                        | With the support of the common core coaches and with clear direction regarding district and site goals, the PLCs are stronger this year. The work of the PLCs across all sites consistently focused on CCSS implementation through the development of lessons, units, and assessments. However, the assessments created align with CCSS but do not align with SBAC in terms of formatting, and while there is evidence that CCSS aligned assessments are happening to some degree, use of these assessments is somewhat limited at this point in time.   | \$0                |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |   | Scope of service: District-wide  |                    |
| <u>_x</u> ALL  |   | <u>x</u> ALL   |                    |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify)                      |   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                    |
| 1.f. Professional Development to support implementation of State<br>Standards (Common Core, New ELD Standards, Next Generation<br>Science Standards) | 1.f Est. Cost<br>\$60,000 (CCSS<br>funds) | Professional development has been provided in several<br>venues and delivery formats which included but were not<br>limited to: Non-student PD days (CCSS, new ELD<br>standards); teacher release time (CCSS-GLAD training,<br>iTeams – NGSS, CCSS/ELD strategies – SEAL training,<br>CCSS – PESSA training, site leaders' professional<br>development, BridgeWorks Group); ILT meetings; staff<br>meetings; and PLCs. A significant portion of these various<br>professional development offerings focused on the needs of<br>English learners. As a result, all students, but especially<br>English learners, received more targeted instruction based<br>on identified student needs. Evidence examined to<br>determine progress toward this goal includes a baseline | \$98,346<br>(CCSS) |

|   | <ul> <li>needs assessment (teachers), teacher surveys, district professional development calendar, and agendas for trainings.</li> <li>94% of the participating teachers at Venetia Valley, Coleman, and Sun Valley reported that the professional development provided through the PESSA and SEAL initiatives has strongly supported their implementation of CCSS through the development of units and application of research-based strategies that target the needs of English learners.</li> <li>At the other elementary schools, K-5 teachers are working with the common core coaches, Literacy Lead teachers, and their PLCs to develop and implement CCSS-aligned units of instruction with the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for the past three years. Middle school teachers at both DMS and Venetia Valley received professional development in implementing the CCSS from the UC Berkeley History/Social Science Project. This professional development was provided through the district's partnership with UCBHSSP and supported implementation of CCSS by addressing topics such as close reading, academic language, structured academic conversations, and constructed response writing.</li> <li>The middle school teachers had time for professional development and collaboration on non-student days or during release time. This year the middle school teachers collaborated on all three of the non-student, professional development days focusing on close reading, academic discourse, and writing strategies. However, there is a need to facilitate more collaboration since VV and DMS do not have the same early release days each week and joint professional development and collaboration are not possible during those days.</li> </ul> |  |
|---|---|--|
| Scope of service:     District-wide      ALL    ALL |   |  |

| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u>                            |   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                        |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 1.g. Professional development focused on use of assessments and data<br>to set student achievement targets and monitor student growth. Each<br>PLC will set SMARTE goal for the follow school year | 1.g. Est. Cost:<br>\$25,000 (CCSS<br>funds) | Assessment data is extremely limited as we transition into<br>CCSS and CCSS aligned assessments. Data available is<br>primarily collected at the site level and is skill-based<br>(DIBELS, SRI, ESGI), and not reflective of student progress<br>toward mastery of CCSS. Therefore, professional<br>development on the use of assessment data has not<br>happened. However, each PLC will develop a SMART goal<br>in anticipation of the data that will be available once we<br>have a benchmark assessment system in place.<br>Professional development on the use of assessment data<br>will be provided for site administrators who will then train<br>teachers at each site.<br>All site administrators participated in professional<br>development with the BridgeWorks Group in monthly ILLT<br>meetings to develop a consistent structure for CCSS-<br>aligned units of instruction along with tools for supporting<br>teachers in development of these units. Additionally, site<br>administrators developed a shared understanding of rigor as<br>required by the CCSS. Tools for evaluating the quality of<br>performance-based assessments were shared with all site<br>administrators.<br>With the implementation of the Acuity Benchmark system to<br>measure mastery of Common Core State Standards,<br>teachers will be trained in August 2015 to use the<br>assessment results to set achievement targets and monitor<br>academic progress of target student groups including<br>English learners, low-income students, and at-risk youth.<br>Results from the on-demand performance-based<br>assessment (PBA) conducted in grades 1 – 5 Spring 2015<br>were analyzed for trends in student writing to determine next<br>steps with instruction. Analysis includes English proficiency<br>status, grade level, school, etc. | \$0<br>(CCSS<br>Funds) |

| Scope of service: District-wide   |   | Scope of service: District-wide  |                              |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| ALL   |   | ALL  |                              |
| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u><br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   | OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br><u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>students performing below</u><br>grade level  |                              |
| 1.h. Develop a plan to fully utilize instructional time   | 1.h Est. Cost: \$0  | Each site administrator monitors the use of instructional time. This is emphasized in the work of the district ILLT and then carried forward to the sites through the site ILT meetings and staff meetings.  | \$0                          |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |   | Scope of service: District-wide  |                              |
| ALL<br>OR:  | -   | <u>x</u> ALL<br>OR:  |                              |
| _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners         _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient        Other Subgroups:(Specify)                        |   | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners     Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient     Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                              |
| 1.i. Implementation of iTeams/STEM Professional Development and integrated curriculum   | 1.i Est. Cost:<br>\$350,000 (CA<br>Math and<br>Science<br>Partnership<br>Grant) | Fifty-eight teachers grades 3 through 8 (32 from San Rafael<br>City Schools, 14 from Dixie School District, 11 from Ross<br>Valley School District, and 1 from Marin Community School)<br>participated in the ongoing professional development for<br>iTEAMS. This is a 3-year CaMSP grant to provide<br>professional development through SF State and the<br>Exploratorium. Emphasis is on inquiry practices and STEM<br>teaching practices integrating CCSS and the NGSS. Eight<br>professional development days were provided each year<br>(five in summer and three during the school year). This<br>coaching model provided additional training for<br>approximately 6 hours a year, along with 12 hours of<br>collaboration and 12 hours of blended learning. As a result<br>of this extensive training, students experienced a hands-on,<br>collaborative approach to learning science and math with an<br>emphasis on engineering. | \$310,000 (CA<br>MASP Grant) |
| Scope of service: District-wide   | _   | Scope of service: District-wide  |                              |
| ALL   |   | <u>_x_</u> ALL   |                              |

| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | level, and 12 literacy lead teachers at the middle school<br>level.<br>Scope of service: District-wide   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1.k. Expansion of Teacher Leader/Trainer of Trainers model in English<br>Language Development.   | 1.k Est. Cost:<br>\$20,000 Funding<br>Source: CCSS funds | During the 2013-14 school year, ELD Teacher Leaders<br>worked with a consultant on several release days to build<br>capacity in integrated ELD; however, their scope of work<br>resulted in limited impact on effective instruction system-<br>wide. Although, the teacher leader/trainer of trainers model<br>for ELA expanded with 13 literacy lead teachers at the K-5  |  |
| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |  | OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>_x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>_x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students performing above<br>or below grade level expectations.  |  |
| Scope of service:     District-wide      ALL   | -  | Scope of service: District-wide  |  |
| process and product to meet the needs of all students, including high achieving and gifted students.   | Ψ.   | <ul> <li>differentiated instruction by modifying assignments and instruction to meet the needs of all students. Almost all K-5 teachers are GLAD-trained and regularly used GLAD differentiation strategies.</li> <li>Other examples of differentiated instruction included homogeneous reading groups which consist of leveled literacy activities and varied materials for high students as well as struggling readers, varied questioning strategies using the Depth of Knowledge model to adjust the cognitive demand for each student, and project-based learning which requires students to work collaboratively to address a real-world problem.</li> <li>A workshop on Differentiating the Common Core will be provided during the Summer Institutes in June.</li> </ul> |  |
| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u><br>1.j. Teachers in each school design programs that modify content, | \$0  | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)<br>As evidenced by reports from principals, all teachers  |  |
| ALL<br>OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>_x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent Eng<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)_           | glish proficient                   | ALL<br>OR:<br>X_Low Income pupilsX_English Learners<br>Foster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |              |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| What changes in actions, services,<br>and expenditures will be made as a<br>result of reviewing past progress<br>and/or changes to goals? | most K-5 schools will be participa | acy Lead Teacher/Common Core Coaches needs to be conside<br>ting in SEAL and will have a designated SEAL coach to support<br>s. Additionally, the Newcomer program needs to be assessed f | professional |

|  | GOAL<br>om prior       2. Develop a district-wide highly effective, comprehensive and integrated English Language       1 _ 2 _ x 3 _ 4 _ x 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _ COE only: 9 _ 10 |                        |                        |   |  |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Goal Applies to:   | Schools:         Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laure           Applicable         Pupil         Subgroups:         English Learners  | el Dell, San Pedro, Sh | nort, Sun Valley, Vene | tia Valley  |  |
| Goal Applies to:       Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       English Learners         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       English Learners         Increase in ELD support in content areas (integrated ELD).       Increase domination and services for English Learners and Reclassified Fluent English Proficient.       Most of the CCS-aligned units of instruction incluence of the evaluated by the end of school year to determine next steps.         5% increase in students making 1 year of progress on CELDT proficiency (currently 59.4%)       School site plans included a strong focus on English Language Proficiency and re-designation process to determine English Language Proficiency and re-designation process to Caligned interim summative assessments in math and ELA.       Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:         Outcomes:       New ELD assessments were purchased for implementation in 2015-16.         Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) was administ to all students gr. 2-8 in lieu of benchmark assessments. Average lexile gains are as follow 2 <sup>nd</sup> 229.2 3 <sup>rd</sup> 393.3 |  |                        |                        | t. Units will be evaluated by the end of the<br>ar to determine next steps.<br>e plans included a strong focus on English<br>hievement data and improvement plans.<br>I of Trustees adopted temporary<br>ation criteria for 2014-15. 186 ELs in<br>8 were reclassified, 8.8%.<br>assessments were purchased for<br>tation in 2015-16.<br>Reading Inventory (SRI) was administered<br>ents gr. 2-8 in lieu of benchmark<br>nts. Average lexile gains are as follows:<br>$2^{nd}$ 229.2<br>$3^{rd}$ 393.3 |  |

|   |  |  | 6 <sup>th</sup>   | 681.8  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  |  | 7 <sup>th</sup>   | 136.0  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | 8 <sup>th</sup>   | 394.9  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | Gr. 2-8   | 419.7  |  |  |  |  |
| LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Actions/Services  |  |  | Actual Actions/Service  | es   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                               |  |   | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.a. Develop and staff new position (0.5 FTE) - Director of English<br>Language Development   | 2.a. Est. Cost:<br>\$70,000<br>Funding Source:<br>LCFF | 2a. Director of EL F   | Programs hired 11-03-14.  | \$58,000<br>Funding<br>Source: LCFF  |  |  |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL   |  | ALL  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| OR:   |  | OR:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Income pupils _x_English Learners   |  | Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient   |  | Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   | proficient   |  |  |  |  |
| Other Subgroups:(Specify)<br>2.b. Assess current ELD systems and practices and develop a revised<br>English Learner Master Plan that outlines programs, services and<br>pathways for all ELs including newcomers and Long Term English<br>Learners. The plan will include revamped identification and<br>reclassification criteria and services and progress monitoring systems<br>and practices. It will also develop and support pathways for native<br>language literacy.<br>2.b. Est. Cost:<br>\$10,000 (LCFF)<br>\$10,000 (LCFF) |  | 2b. Director of EL F<br>timeline including r<br>criteria, ELD curricu<br>underway to recom-<br>program data and r<br>survey of principals<br>implementation dis<br>The EL Task Force<br>program design an<br>resolution of non-co<br>an Instruction Obse<br>of feedback to teac<br>strategies and rese | Programs submitted a work plan<br>evision of EL programs, reclassif<br>ulum and assessment, etc. Effort<br>vene the district EL Task Force to<br>nake recommendations. Conduct<br>to determine ELD program<br>trictwide.<br>met twice in late winter to discu-<br>d compliance issues. As part of to<br>ompliant findings, the EL Directo<br>ervation Protocol to enable the pro-<br>hers on the use of effective diffe-<br>arch-based instructional practice<br>d other students needing acade | fication<br>ts are<br>to review<br>cted a<br>ss EL<br>the<br>or drafted<br>rovision<br>erentiation<br>es for |  |  |  |  |

| Scope of service: District-wide   |                                    | An initial meeting with Davidson ELD teachers took place in<br>early Spring to discuss possible modifications to core<br>content courses to make them more accessible for ELs.<br>Scope of service: District-wide   |                 |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Foster Youth <u>x</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |                                    | ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                 |
| 2.c. Professional Development for teachers on new ELD standards and appropriate instructional strategies.   | 2.c Est. Cost:<br>\$84,000 (LCFF)  | <ul> <li>2c. Professional development was provided to all K-5 teachers on new CCS ELD standards on January 5, 2015.</li> <li>Summer professional development will include a seminar on the integration of ELD standards into core content instructional units. K-5 teachers participating in summer school will receive training in the implementation of WRITE Institute instructional practices. These include integration of ELD standards and differentiation of writing instruction across the curriculum. Certificated teachers will then provide training to K-8 teachers in the 2015-16 school year.</li> </ul> | \$0             |
| Scope of service: District-wide   | -                                  | Scope of service: District-wide   |                 |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)          |                                    | OR:<br>Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                 |
| 2.d. Expand sections for newcomers and intervention at middle schools   | 2.d. Est. Cost:<br>\$75,000 (LCFF) | 2.d. Three additional sections of ELD for EL1 and EL2 students were funded at Davidson Middle.  | \$51,350 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service:         Middle school programs- Davidson and Venetia Valley          ALL        ALL   | -                                  | Scope of service:         Middle school programs –<br>Davidson and Venetia Valley.          ALL   |                 |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)        |                                       | OR:<br>Low Income pupils<br>Foster YouthR<br>Other Subgroups:  |  |               |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------|
| 2.e. Literacy/ELD coaches focused on building strong and aligned<br>literacy and ELD services at our schools with large EL populations  | 2.e.<br>Est. Cost: \$95,000<br>(LCFF) | 2e. The Sobrato Early<br>expanded at Venetia<br>Valley and Coleman.<br>Venetia Valley, Cole<br>provided intensive la<br>students, pre-K to 3°<br>and complex oral lan<br>vocabulary. The stra<br>based and classroom<br>In addition to the SE<br>services were suppo<br>two days, 16 teacher<br>after-school coaching<br>In all K-8 schools, U0<br>training and guidance<br>literacy leads to addr<br>design of instructiona | \$92,500 LCFF<br>(Two .5 ELD<br>coaches at VV<br>and BV)<br>LCFF<br>\$70,000 LCFF<br>(other SEAL<br>costs) |               |
| Scope of service: Bahia Vista and Venetia Valley  | -                                     | Scope of service:  | Sun Valley, Venetia Valley,<br>Coleman   |               |
| ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |                                       | ALL<br>OR:<br>x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |  |               |
| 2.f. Maintain required of class size ratios at San Pedro School for final year of QEIA grant; TK- $3rd=20:1$ and $4rh-5rh=19:1$         | 2.f Est. Cost:<br>\$60,000 (LCFF)     | 2f. QEIA class-size reduction implemented at San Pedro.  |  | \$60,000 LCFF |
| Scope of service: San Pedro   |                                       | Scope of service:  | San Pedro  |               |
| ALL   |                                       | <u>X</u> ALL   |  |               |

| Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                 |                                  | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |         |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---------|
| What changes in actions, services,<br>and expenditures will be made as a<br>result of reviewing past progress<br>and/or changes to goals? | Venetia Valley, second and third | ded to Laurel Dell, San Pedro, and Bahia Vista. At Coleman, Su<br>grade will participate in SEAL. Furthermore, a plan will be develo<br>ed ELD in core content classes at the middle grades so as to free<br>ed for an elective. | oped to |

| Original<br>GOAL<br>from prior<br>year<br>LCAP:   | GOAL<br>om prior<br>year  |                          |   |   | Related State and/o<br>1 2 3 4_x 5<br>COE only: 9<br>Local : Specify | 5678<br>010     |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Goal Applie   | Schools:       Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley         Applicable       Pupil Subgroups:       All, Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth   |                          |   |   |  |                 |  |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes  | <ul> <li>Staff trained on new Student Information System (SIS).</li> <li>Establish baseline achievement data using CAASPP.</li> <li>Develop a district-wide intervention and extended learning plan,<br/>including master scheduling to ensure that all students have access<br/>to electives and enrichment activities.</li> </ul> |                          | Actual<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes: | Annual<br>easurable • Middle School Enrichment Task Force convened. Ideas developed<br>will be explored further for implementation. |  |                 |  |
|   |   |                          | LCAP Year 0: 2                              | 014 -15 UPDAT   | Έ  |                 |  |
|   |   | Planned Actions/Services |   | Actual Actions/Services   |  |                 |  |
|   |   |                          | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                    |   |  |                 | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |
| 3.a. Implement new SIS system and use train-the-trainer model (release time) with representatives from all sites       3.a. Est. Cost: \$6,700 (LCFF) |   |                          | take attendance a                           | s were also trained to  | Is. Additionally, at the   | \$2,340<br>LCFF |  |

| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| _x_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |  | <u>_x_</u> ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupils<br>Foster YouthR<br>Other Subgroups:  |  |  |  |
| 3.b. Implement a district-wide data tracking/analysis system including refining our early warning system   | 3.b. Est. Cost:<br>\$30,000 (LCFF)     | implemented due to   | 3b. iResults was purchased; however, it was not<br>implemented due to SIS issues. We anticipate that this tool<br>will be utilized 2015-16 as the SIS issues are resolved. |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |  |  |
| ALL  |  | _ALL   |  |  |  |
| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u>  |  | OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupi<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth<br>Other Subgroups:   |  |  |  |
| 3.c. Maintain and improve existing intervention services; determine high impact and evidence-based intervention practices; develop district RTI model ensuring that intervention is connected with the core content and instructional day. | 3.c.<br>Est. Cost: \$300,000<br>(LCFF) | <ul> <li>3c. Instructional assis<br/>(Bahia Vista 1.0, Col<br/>Glenwood .75, Laure<br/>.25, Short .25).</li> <li>Director of EL Progra<br/>for RTI implementation<br/>Services and Educat<br/>process of co-plannin<br/>principals.</li> <li>Intervention services<br/>implementation of For<br/>which was piloted thi<br/>This is a research-bas<br/>improved reading action</li> </ul> | LCFF<br>\$200,000<br>(IAs)   |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |  |  |
| ALL  | ]                                      | ALL  |  |  |  |

| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> |  | OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupi<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth I<br>Other Subgroups:  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 3.d. Restructure and expand position-Coordinator of Afterschool and Extended Learning   | 3.d. Est. Cost:<br>\$100,000 (ASES)  | 3.d. The role of the C<br>Learning was expand<br>experiences beyond<br>student mastery of C<br>for academic, social<br>programs, ensuring t<br>academic progress, i<br>planning, recruitment<br>transportation, staff t<br>observation of program<br>principals regarding t   | \$118,000<br>ASES   |   |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |   |
| ALL   | -  | ALL   |   |   |
| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> |  |   | ls <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>Specify) |   |
| 3.e. Summer school programs targeting English learners  | 3.e. Est. Cost:<br>\$205,000<br>(LCFF);<br>\$359,000<br>(BELL);<br>\$138,000<br>(ASES) | 3e. After observing classrooms, meeting with BELL<br>representatives, and evaluating the assessment data for the<br>elementary program run by the BELL program in summer<br>2014, we learned that the traditional BELL curriculum is not<br>designed for ELLs. This was reflected in the lack of growth<br>in literacy evidenced by the BELL pre and post<br>assessments. At the middle school level growth was evident<br>in literacy and math.<br>Attendance at both programs was over 90% and overall<br>survey data by students, faculty and families was positive. |   | \$201,314<br>LCFF<br>\$359,000<br>BELL<br>\$138,324<br>ASES |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |   |
| ALL   |  | ALL   |   |   |

| OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u><br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u>  |                                       | OR:<br><u>_x_</u> Low Income pupi<br><u>_x_</u> Foster Youth<br>Other Subgroups:  | ils <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify) |                  |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------|
| 3.f. Ensure resources to support Marin County School Volunteers and other organizations that provide tutoring services   | 3.f. Est. Cost:<br>\$3,000 (ASES)     |   | sed to fund MCSV through an MOU so<br>ers for tutoring in ASES programs at our        | \$3,000<br>ASES  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  | _                                     | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |                  |
| _x_ALL<br>OR:<br>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>_x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   | -                                     |   | ls <u>x</u> English Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient               | -                |
| 3.g. Begin implementation of AVID in the Middle School programs  | 3.g. Est. Cost:<br>\$18,000 (Title I) | AVID has been expanded through the use of AVID<br>strategies in all middle school classes at Venetia Valley. In<br>addition, AVID strategies and practices such as Cornell<br>Notes and Socratic Seminar have been demonstrated in<br>professional development and implemented in numerous<br>classrooms at DMS.<br>Teachers from both middle schools along with the K-8<br>Director will be attending professional development for AVID<br>in July. In developing the master schedule at Davidson, a<br>section for AVID as an elective is being considered. |   | \$23,297<br>LCFF |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |                                       | Scope of service:   | Disrtict-wide   |                  |
| ALL<br>OR:<br>x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners<br>x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)After reviewing achievement  |                                       | <u>x</u> Foster Youth<br>Other Subgroups:   |   | as made to use   |
| What changes in actions, services,<br>and expenditures will be made as a<br>result of reviewing past progress<br>and/or changes to goals?After reviewing achievement data for students who participated in summer school, the decision was made to use<br>new curriculum to better meet the needs of EL students. The K-5 students showed little or no growth last year.<br>This year Fountas & Pinnell Intervention system will be used along with the WRITE program. Middle School<br>Summer School will continue to use the BELL program as the 5-8 students did show growth. |                                       |   |   |                  |

| Original<br>GOAL<br>from prior<br>year<br>LCAP:       4. Provide all students with high quality Common Core and New ELD Standards aligned instructional<br>materials and assessments.       Related State and/or<br>1_x_2_x_3_4_5<br>COE only: 9_<br>Local : Specify         Goal Applies to:       Schools:       Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley |                            |                          |   |   | 5678<br>10   |  |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Expected <ul> <li>Bridging materials purchased and/or developed and in use.</li> <li>Establish new district assessment systems.</li> </ul> Measurable       Outcomes:  |                            |                          | <ul> <li>Actual<br/>Annual<br/>Measurable<br/>Outcomes:</li> <li>Common Core-aligned math materials purchased K-8.</li> <li>Components of the assessment system have been purchased for<br/>implementation in 2015-16.</li> <li>ELA and ELD materials will be vetted, piloted, and purchased in<br/>Spring 2016.</li> </ul> |   | e been purchased for   |  |
| LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE   |                            |                          |   |   |  |  |
|  | Planned Actions/Services   |                          |   | Actual Ac   | tions/Services   |  |
|  |                            | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |   |   |  | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |
|  |                            | 4.a. Est. Cost:<br>\$0   | December and met<br>representatives from<br>develop criteria for s  | through the end o<br>n all K-8 sites to re<br>selecting an interin<br>nterim assessment | ouncil was convened in<br>f May with teacher<br>evise K-5 report cards,<br>n assessment system,<br>plan. All K-8 schools | \$0  |
| Scope of service:  | District-wide              |                          | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  |  |
| Foster YouthR<br>Other Subgroups:(   |                            |                          | Other Subgroups   | Re-designated flue  | ent English proficient   |  |
| 4.b. Pilot appropriate CCS   | SS/ELD curriculum/programs | 4.b. Est. Cost: \$0      | piloted at Sun Valle<br>LCAP/Curriculum A<br>materials and recor  | y in grades K throu<br>dvisory Council re<br>nmended that the                           |  | \$0  |

|                                       |   |  | Core than the version  | n currently in place.  |                                      |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Scope of service:                     | District-wide   |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |                                      |
| <u>x</u> ALL                          | I   |  | <u>x</u> ALL   | I  |                                      |
| Foster YouthR                         | <ul> <li>English Learners</li> <li>e-designated fluent English proficient</li> <li>Specify)</li> </ul>  |  | Foster YouthF  | sEnglish Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                                      |
| standards aligno<br>2. Continued deve | lopment and/or purchase of appropriate<br>ed materials (CCSS/ELD)<br>lopment and/or purchase of appropriate ELD<br>ed instructional materials | 4.c1 Est. Cost:<br>\$100,000<br>(LCFF)<br>4.c2 Est. Cost:<br>\$50,000 (LCFF) | purchased.<br>The updated, CCSS  | aterials for Everyday Math were<br>-aligned version of Everyday Math has<br>implementation in 2015-16.   | \$84,800<br>LCFF<br>\$83,400<br>CCSS |
| Scope of service:                     | District-wide   |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |                                      |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL                        | •   |  | _x_ALL   | •  |                                      |
|                                       | <ul> <li>English Learners</li> <li>e-designated fluent English proficient</li> <li>Specify)</li> </ul>  |  |  | sEnglish Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                                      |
| includes systemic use of f            | le comprehensive assessment system that<br>formative and interim SBAC and ELD aligned<br>ting, and other components of the CAASPP as          | 4.d. Est. Cost:<br>\$181,000 (LCFF)  | as the provider of ou<br>measure student ma<br>our district-wide ass<br>(ELD), ESGI (K-1), I | AC identified the SBAC-aligned Acuity<br>in benchmark assessment system to<br>astery of CCSS. Other components of<br>essment system will include LAS Links<br>DIBELS Next (reading fluency K-5), and<br>eading intervention assessment). | \$110,900 (F & P)<br>LCFF            |
| Scope of service:                     | District-wide   |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide  |                                      |
| <u>x</u> ALL                          | ·   |  | <u>_x_</u> ALL   |  |                                      |
| Foster YouthR                         | English Learners<br>e-designated fluent English proficient<br>Specify)  |  | Foster YouthF  | sEnglish Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                                      |

|   |   | 4.e.<br>Est. Cost: \$10,000<br>(Tittle II) | 4.e Acuity was used for the state mandated second grade<br>testing in May 2015. A <i>trainer of trainers model</i> was used to<br>ensure that all second grade teachers were able to<br>administer the assessment with fidelity. To implement Acuity<br>in 2015-16, a <i>trainer of trainers model</i> will also be utilized.<br>Teachers from each site will be trained in mid-August; they<br>will train their colleagues in grade level groupings on August<br>19, 2015. Training for Las Links will be provided through an<br>online format. Training for ESGI will be provided by our in-<br>house experts in after school trainings. |                  | \$9,500 (2 <sup>nd</sup> )<br>\$67,500 (3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> )<br>LCFF |
|---|---|--|--|------------------|--|
| Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide    |  |
| <u>x</u> ALL  |   |  | <u>x</u> ALL   |                  |  |
| OR:   |   |  | OR:  | English Learners |  |
| Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   |  | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                  |  |
| and expenditures<br>result of reviewi   | <ul> <li>What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?</li> <li>The K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council will be expanded to include parents.</li> <li>Identifying and purchasing ELD materials will be a priority 2015-16.</li> </ul> |  |  |                  |  |

| Original<br>GOAL<br>from prior<br>year<br>LCAP: | 5. Att  | act, support and retain the most effective teachers, support s | Related State and/or Local Priorities:         1_x_2_x_34_56_78         COE only: 910         Local : Specify |  |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Goal Applies                                    | Goal Applies to:       Schools:       Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       All students  |  |   |  |   |  |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | <ul> <li>Maintain 100% of highly qualified teachers.</li> <li>Establish baseline for Teacher survey and PD evaluation metrics.</li> <li>Collect and assess PLC developed tools, lessons and units.</li> <li>Actual<br/>Annual<br/>Measurable</li> <li>100% of SRCS ESD teachers are highly qualifier are 0 miss-assignments.</li> <li>A minimum of two Common Core-aligned units instruction are in place at each grade level. K-5</li> </ul> |  |   |  | signments.<br>f two Common Core-aligned units of<br>e in place at each grade level, K-5, and in |  |

| LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE   |   |   |                              |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Planned Actions/Services   | Actual Actions/Services   |   |                              |  |  |  |
|  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures  |   |                              | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |  |  |
| 5.a. Update and revise staff recruitment and selection processes.  | 5.a.<br>Est. Cost: \$0  | 5.a. District recruitment plan developed and implemented,<br>resulting in increased participation in Educator Job Fairs,<br>selection and hiring of highly qualified teachers in<br>anticipation of vacancies and shortage areas. |                              | \$0  |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |   | Scope of service:   | District-wide                |  |  |  |
| <u>_x</u> ALL  |   | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |                              |  |  |  |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                              |  |  |  |
| 5.b. Develop effective processes for on-boarding staff and new employee orientation.   | 5.b. Est. Cost: \$0<br>5.b. Crientation for new employees in August 2014 was<br>redesigned to include all new certificated, classified and<br>administrative staff in order to provide information about the<br>District's goals, the LCAP, CCSS implementation and<br>necessary personnel information. |   | \$0                          |  |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |   | Scope of service:   | District-wide                |  |  |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   | _   | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |                              | -  |  |  |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                              |  |  |  |
| 5.c. Review and negotiate changes in compensation packages, as appropriate, to ensure they are competitive and increase the district's ability to retain and attract high quality staff. | o ensure they are competitive and increase the district's As per  |   | \$1.2 million<br>(LCFF-Base) |  |  |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |   | Scope of service:   | District-wide                |  |  |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   |   | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |                              |  |  |  |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)<br>5.d. On-site coaching, district wide PD, and grade level collaboration<br>meetings to support professional growth. | 5.d. Cost embedded<br>in actions 1.b., 1.c., | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)<br>5. d.Teachers at all K-8 schools benefitted from on-site<br>coaching to support Common Core implementation. In |   | See 1.b., 1.c.,<br>1.d., 1.f., and 1.h |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | 1.d., 1.f and 1.h.                           | supported profession   | addition, monthly grade level/department collaboration<br>supported professional growth in literacy strategies, CCSS<br>aligned unit development, and performance based |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |
| <u></u> ALL  | _  | _x_ALL   |   |  |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |  | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |  |
| 5.e. Develop a process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support staff and administrative recruitment and retention.   | 5.e. Est. Cost: \$0                          | 5.e. In process and to be completed in 2015-16, by the Chief Human Resources Officer and the Recruitment Task Force.   |   | \$0                                    |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL  | -  | <u>_x_</u> ALL   |   |  |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |  | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |  |
| 5.f. Develop a clearly articulated shared understanding of highly effective classroom practices, school environments, and professional learning communities for all staff that drives both district and site professional development plans.       | 5.f. Est. Cost: \$0                          | With the formation of the K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory<br>Council, a shared understanding of effective classroom<br>practices began to evolve. More work will continue on this<br>goal in 2015-16.   |   | \$0                                    |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL  |  | <u>x</u> ALL   |   |  |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                 |                                   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   |                  |  |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| 5.g. New teacher support including mentors (BTSA)   |                 | 5.g. Est. Cost<br>\$49,600 (LCFF) | 5.g. In process and to be completed in 2015-16, by the Chief Human Resources Officer and the Recruitment Task Force.         |   | \$48,000<br>LCFF |  |
| Scope of service: Dist  | trict-wide      |                                   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide    |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL  | _ <u>x_</u> ALL |                                   |  | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |                  |  |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                 |                                   |  | English Learners     e-designated fluent English proficient (Specify) |                  |  |
| What changes in actions, services,<br>and expenditures will be made as a<br>result of reviewing past progress<br>and/or changes to goals?A Recruitment Task Force was formed to identify new recruiting practices, which resulted in a new marketing<br>approach and increased participation in local and regional job fairs.<br>The committee will continue its work in 2015-16, focusing on on-boarding processes, exit interview procedures an<br>new teacher induction support. |                 |                                   |  |   | ũ                |  |

| Original<br>GOAL<br>from prior<br>year       | 6. Inte<br>readir  | grate technology and 21st century tools into all classrooms t ess. | COE only: 91                                | 6 7 8 |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|-------|---|--|
| LCAP:  |  |  |   |       | Local : Specify   |  |
| Goal Applie                                  | es to: Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All  |  |   |       |   |  |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes | <ul> <li>Revised Technology Master Plan;</li> <li>Improve student device ratio (currently 4:1)</li> <li>Adopt/develop technology and digital citizenship scope and sequence</li> <li>Implement new Student Information System (SIS)</li> </ul> |  | Actual<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes: | •     | Student device ration was improved (currently 3:1).<br>New SIS was implemented. |  |
|  |  | LCAP Year 0: 2   | 014 -15 UPDAT                               | Έ     |   |  |
| Planned Actions/Services                     |  |  | Actual Actions/Services                     |       |   |  |

|  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                     |  |   | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| 6.a. All staff support for new Student Information System<br>(SIS)   | 6.a. Est. Cost:<br>\$40,000 (LCFF)           | Training was provide<br>Campus to take atte<br>cards. Administrativ<br>trained on printing re<br>at each site to suppor<br>Campus. At the mic<br>were trained on post<br>with standards, using<br>parent communication<br>middle school teach<br>assignments. Ongoin<br>staff on particular IC<br>Desk position (Tech<br>implementation of In<br>System. | \$5,400<br>LCFF   |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |
| _x_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |  |  | sEnglish Learners<br>Re-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)   |  |
| 6.b. Purchase an additional 1-2 Chrome Books cart per school   | 6.b. Est. Cost:<br>\$150,000 (LCFF<br>Funds) | 6.b. The district purchased one additional Chrome Book cart<br>each for Laurel Dell and Short; two additional each for Bahia<br>Vista, Coleman, Glenwood, San Pedro and Sun Valley; and<br>three additional each for DMS and Venetia Valley. This<br>equipment provided students with greater access to conduct  |   | \$40,400<br>CCSS                           |
|  |  |  | research and complete assignments for submission<br>electronically. Additionally, students have the opportunity to<br>take assessments which are SBAC-aligned and to practice<br>using the tools they will need to use for successful<br>completion of the required, online, state assessment |  |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |  | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |  |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL  |  | ALL  |   |  |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |               |                                   |  | OR:<br><u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners<br><u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Re-designated fluent English proficient<br><u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> |  |                |
|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------|
| 6.c. Research, vet and adopt/develop technology and digital citizenship scope and sequence; train middle school teachers     |               | 6.c. Est. Cost:<br>\$5,000 (LCFF) | 6.c Representatives from the middle school provided<br>recommendations for two digital citizenship programs. The<br>K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council will review the<br>programs for implementation in September 2015. |   | \$0<br>LCFF  |                |
| Scope of service:  | District-wide |                                   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide  |                |
| <u>x</u> ALL   |               |                                   |  | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |  |                |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |               |                                   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |  |                |
| 6.d. Establish a baseline for parent/student portal  |               | 6.d. Est. Cost: \$0               | <ul><li>6.d. Given the difficulties with the student information system this year, no baseline of usage could be established.</li><li>A baseline will be established in 2015-16.</li></ul>                                 |   | \$0<br>LCFF  |                |
| Scope of service:  | District-wide |                                   |  | Scope of service:   | District-wide  |                |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   |               |                                   |  | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |  |                |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |               |                                   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |  |                |
| What changes in actions, services, To more fully infus   |               |                                   | •  | lents' learning expe  | eriences with technology, our teac<br>such as Google Classroom, etc. | hers need more |

| Original   | 7. Create school communities that are culturally responsive, safe, supportive, and highly engaging for all students. | Related State and/or Local Priorities: |
|------------|--|--|
| GŎAL       |  | 12_x_345_x_6_x_78                      |
| from prior |  | COE only: 9 10                         |
| year       |  | Local : Specify                        |
| LCAP:      |  |  |

| Goal Applies to:  | Goal Applies to: Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley  |   |   |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Goal Applies to.  | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Lo   | ow Income pupils, Eng   | lish Learners, Foster Y   | outh  |  |  |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | Students will receive instruction that is aligned with the Common<br>Core.<br>Establish a new district assessment system so as to identify reliable,<br>valid data (including initial CAASPP data) to use as a baseline. This<br>system will include teacher/PLC developed SBAC/CC aligned interim<br>summative assessments in math and ELA. |   | Actual<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | <ul> <li>With the focus on developing Common Core-aligned units of instruction, all students are receiving instruction aligned to Common Core.</li> <li>A minimum of two Common Core-aligned units of instruction are in place at each grade level, K-5, and in every core subject area, 6-8.</li> <li>Components of the district assessment system have been purchased and will be implemented in 2015-16.</li> <li>In 2014-15, attendance rate was 95.94%.</li> <li>Middle school drop-out rate for 2014-15 is not available until late Fall 2015.</li> <li>In 2014-15, the middle school suspension rate is 7.0%.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE  |  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Planned Actions/Services  |  | Actual Actions/Services   |   |   |  |  |
|   |  | Budgeted<br>Expenditures  |   |   | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |  |
| 7.a. Increase district nur  | se staffing by .5 FTE.   | 7.a. Est. Cost:<br>\$46,000 (LCFF)                              | Completed.  |   | \$ 47,000<br>LCFF                          |  |
| Scope of service:   | District-wide  |   | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL  |  |   | x_ALL   |   |  |  |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify) |  |   | OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>_Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   |  |  |
| \$80,000 (  |  | 7.b. Est. Cost:<br>\$80,000 (Parcel<br>Tax); \$80,000<br>(LCFF) | Completed.  |   | \$84,500<br>LCFF                           |  |
| Scope of service:   | District-wide  |   | Scope of service:   | District-wide   |  |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL  |  |   | <u>x</u> ALL  | · ·   |  |  |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)                  |   | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 7.c. Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS).  | 7.c. Est. Cost:<br>\$13,000 (LCFF)  | Ongoing.<br>SV, BV, CO, and GL moved from Tier 2 to Tier 3 in<br>implementing PBIS. Other schools reached Tier 2 by the<br>end of the year.  | \$3,000<br>LCFF                                    |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |   | Scope of service:  |  |
| <u>_x_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   | <u>_x_ALL</u><br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |  |
| 7.d. Develop a plan for all sites to participate in cultural competency professional development.   | d. Develop a plan for all sites to participate in cultural competency 7.d. Est. Cost: \$0 7.d.SRCS Equity Team facilitated by the Nationa |  | \$35,000<br>(San Francisco<br>Foundation<br>grant) |
| Scope of service: District-wide   |   | Scope of service: District-wide  |  |
| <u>x</u> _ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   | x_ALL<br>OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |  |
| 7.e. Fund 50% of implementation of PLAYWORKS at targeted schools with higher risk students.   | 7.e. Est. Cost:<br>\$90,000 (LCFF)  | PLAYWORKS was implemented at all K-5 targeted sites<br>with the exception of Coleman and Laurel Dell. The<br>Coleman School Site Council explored options for<br>implementing a program similar to PLAYWORKS given that<br>they had reached full capacity for providing PLAYWORKS<br>coaches to SRCS schools. With PLAYWORKS, students<br>received explicit, direct instruction in four core values: | \$60,000   |

|  |  |                                     | Principals reported the conflicts have been in   | on, Respect, and Healthy Community.<br>nat office referrals for playground<br>reduced by approximately 50% on<br>argeted sites that implemented |                   |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
| Scope of service:  | District-wide  |                                     | Scope of service:  | Bahia Vista, Venetia Valley, San<br>Pedro, Short  |                   |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL<br>OR:  |  |                                     | <u>_x_</u> ALL<br>OR:  |   |                   |
| Low Income pupils  | e-designated fluent English proficient                                 |                                     | Low Income pupils  | sEnglish Learners<br>e-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                   |
| 7.f. Provide home to school  | ol transportation to ensure high attendance.                           | 7.f. Est. Cost:<br>\$361,000 (LCFF) | students with nine so  | nsportation was provided for K-8<br>chool buses in the morning, ten school<br>on, and transportation for the After<br>five school sites.        | \$341,000<br>LCFF |
| Scope of service:  | District-wide  | _                                   | Scope of service:  | District-wide   | _                 |
| <u>x</u> ALL   |  | -                                   | <u>x</u> ALL   |   | -                 |
|  | English Learners<br>e-designated fluent English proficient<br>Specify) |                                     |  | sEnglish Learners<br>e-designated fluent English proficient<br>(Specify)  |                   |
| 7.g. Create a plan to ensure that more students have access to electives and enrichment activities.                          |  | 7.g. Est. Cost: \$0                 | 7.g.The Middle Grades Enrichment Task Force met several<br>times and agreed that creating a seven or eight period day<br>was unfeasible due to the demands of standards-based<br>instruction in the core subjects. The Task Force considered<br>ways to expand the opportunity for enrichment before and<br>after school as well as within strategic classes, and will<br>continue in 2015-16. |   | \$0               |
| Scope of service:  | District-wide  |                                     | Scope of service:  | District-wide   |                   |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   |  |                                     | <u>_x_</u> ALL   |   |                   |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify) |  |                                     | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |                   |

What changes in actions, services, Cultural competency and responsiveness was explored on by the Equity Team; however, a

and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

| Original<br>GOAL       8. Increase the involvement of parents, guardians and community members particularly under-<br>from prior<br>year       Related State and/or Local Priorities:         LCAP:       1       2       3 x       4       5       6       7       8 |   |                          |   |  | 5678<br>10  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Goal Applies to:  | Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davi<br>Applicable Pupil Subgroups: L  |                          |   | -  | tia Valley<br>tino, Students with Disat   | pility                                     |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | Establish a baseline for parent attendance in events and conferences.<br>Establish Parent Advisory Committee with representation from every site. |                          | Actual<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes:   | <ul> <li>Advisory Cor</li> <li>The Parent A recruiting the representation representation represented.</li> </ul> | ere set for parent attendance in the LCAP Parent<br>mmittee (PAC) and Parent Leader Group<br>Advisory Committee (PAC) was established. In<br>a committee, efforts were made to have<br>on from every site. However, not all sites are |  |
|   |   | LCAP Year 0: 2           | 014 -15 UPDATE  |  |   |  |
| Planned Actions/Services  |   |                          | Actual Actions/Services   |  |   |  |
|   |   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures |   |  |   | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |
| 8.a. Ensure that all sites are using uniform, valid and reliable survey tools to gather data from families.   |   | 8.a. Est. Cost: \$0      | At the January Principals' Meeting, principals and District<br>Office administrators met to revise and align the schools'<br>survey tools.<br>Surveys were aligned with a core set of questions common<br>to all K-8 schools pulled from the Harvard Caring Schools<br>Initiative survey, as well as site specific questions reflective<br>of each school's unique community. |  | \$O   |  |
| Scope of service:   | District-wide   |                          | Scope of service:   |  |   |  |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL  |   |                          | <u>_x_</u> ALL  |  |   |  |

| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)<br>8.b. Create a Community Engagement and Communication plan. | 8.b. Est. Cost: \$0                 | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |                   |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
|  | o.d. Est. Cost. \$0                 | The Community Engagement and Communication plan was<br>developed by the Communications Coordinator and the<br>Director for Strategic Initiatives. The plan includes a series<br>of meetings to inform the LCAP development process. One<br>component of the plan was the creation of the Parent<br>Advisory Committee. |   | \$0               |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |                                     | Scope of service:  | District-wide                                   |                   |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   |                                     | ALL  |   |                   |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                                     | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |                   |
| 8.c. Review and revise as appropriate the board policy around communication with families.   | 8.c. Est. Cost: \$0                 | Board policies regarding communication with families were reviewed at the January Principals' Meeting and no revisions were recommended.   |   | \$0               |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |                                     | Scope of service: District-wide  |   |                   |
| _x_ALL   | -                                   | <u>x</u> ALL   |   |                   |
| OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |                                     | OR:<br>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)   |   |                   |
| 8.d. All elementary sites will have sufficient, consistent, quality bilingual Community Liaisons and bilingual office to communicate effectively with families support.                    | 8.d. Est. Cost:<br>\$385,000 (LCFF) | All K-5 sites had a community liaison with the exception of<br>Short School, which had one at the beginning of the year<br>who resigned. We were unable to fill the position.  |   | \$290,000<br>LCFF |
| Scope of service: District-wide  |                                     | Scope of service:  | District-wide                                   |                   |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL   |                                     | <u>x</u> ALL   |   |                   |
| OR:<br>_Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners<br>_Foster YouthR-designated fluent English proficient<br>Other Subgroups:(Specify)  |                                     | OR:<br>_Low Income pupils<br>_Foster YouthRe<br>Other Subgroups:(\$  | e-designated fluent English proficient Specify) |                   |
|  | viding bilingual Com                |  | in the enhanced nursing staffing level. A       | dd an additional  |

| and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | counselor focused on the therapeutic needs of students in the targeted subgroups. Expand Playworks to include Coleman, Bahia Vista, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, and Venetia Valley. Recruit PAC members and other parent group committee members earlier and in close connection with the sites. |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Original<br>GOAL<br>from prior<br>year<br>LCAP:                    | AL 9. Provide all schools with adequate classroom spaces, technology infrastructure, common areas, lunch<br>and athletic facilities (playground) that support engaging 21st century learning experiences for all COE only: 9<br>students. |   |  |                           | 5678<br>10   |  |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Goal Applie  | Schools:       Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley         Applicable Pupil Subgroups:       All students   |   |  |                           |  |  |
| Expected<br>Annual<br>Measurable<br>Outcomes                       | <ul> <li>Master Facilities Plan developed with cost estimates.</li> <li>Improved broadband and connectivity.</li> </ul>   |   |  | the foundation resources. | s Plan in place with cost<br>for planning and identify<br>and facilities are in good | ing funding                                |
|  |   | LCAP Year 0: 2                            | 014 -15 UPDAT  | E                         |  |  |
|  | Planned Actions/Services  |   | Actual Actions/Services  |                           |  |  |
|  |   | Budgeted<br>Expenditures                  |  |                           |  | Estimated<br>Actual Annual<br>Expenditures |
| technology infrastructure needs assessment; develop a funding plan |   | 9.a. Est. Cost:<br>\$100,000<br>(Fund 25) | <ul> <li>9.a. The design team met throughout the year with various stakeholders and developed a master facilities plan (MFP) through a comprehensive input process with cost estimates and recommended timeline for scope and sequence.</li> <li>In addition, the District finance team has developed a plan for financing and phasing in the MFP and the district hired a consultant to develop targeted informational communications to the community stakeholders.</li> <li>The RPF process to identify A/E design teams will be completed in year 2 (year 1 - 2015-16).</li> </ul> |                           | \$29,000<br>LCFF   |  |
| Scope of ser   | vice: District-wide   |   | Scope of service   | e: District-wide          |  |  |

| x_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify) |   | 9.b. Est. Cost:<br>\$60,000 (E-Rate);<br>\$11,000 (LCFF) | _x_ALL         OR:         _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners         _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient         _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$159,000<br>LCFF |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------|
| What changes in actions, services, and<br>expenditures will be made as a result of<br>reviewing past progress and/or<br>changes to goals?                   | and Continue master facilities planning process including IT infrastructure and upgrades. Work toward |  |   |                   |

#### Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

 Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated:
 \$4,738,752

San Rafael Elementary School District's LCFF calculation reflects a total of \$4,738,752 in Supplemental LCFF funds for the 2015-16 school year. Actual proportionality expenditures are \$4,986,731. San Rafael Elementary School District's percent of unduplicated students is approximately 68%, and the funds are being used to support these students throughout the district in the following ways:

#### Quantitative

- 1.b) Assessments (\$155,00): The comprehensive assessment system is intended to identify gaps in student achievement so that appropriate interventions can be provided. The English language development assessment will specifically monitor the language acquisition of our English learners to ensure that expected progress outcomes are being met. Improved monitoring of student progress will enable the establishment and delivery of targeted academic interventions.
- 1.c) ELD/CCSS Aligned Instructional Materials (\$208,000): The purchase of CCSS aligned curriculum for math, language arts, and English language development addresses the needs of our targeted populations by providing materials that make the standards accessible and align with the ELD standards. Improved instruction and support will generate gains in English proficiency.
- 1.d) Response to Intervention (\$110,000): Designing the Response to Intervention model will identify high impact and evidence-based practices. Implementation of this model will serve the needs of our targeted populations by providing research-based interventions to accelerate learning and increase academic achievement. Improved outcomes for students as a result of Instructional Assistants (\$300,000) serving the targeted populations to specifically address identified learning needs.
- 1.q) CELDT (\$50,000): Annual administration of the CELDT is an important monitoring tool for our EL students and their families. This assessment

measures growth in language acquisition in the areas of reading, writing, listening, and speaking and results can be compared with EL students across the state.

- 3.b) Computers/Tablets students (\$300,000): Numerous low-income students, EL students, and foster youth do not have access to technology in their homes; increased availability of computers and tablets at each school will build targeted student populations' facility with and knowledge of the use of computer technology.
- 3.c) Computers/Tablets staff (\$90,000): In order to provide the instruction and services required for our low-income students, EL students, and foster youth, staff needs access to reliable, current technology. Monitoring student progress to effectively address identified student needs through the interim benchmark assessments requires technology. Continually refreshing and updating computer technology will ensure teachers have the tools needed to appropriately serve targeted populations.
- 3.f) Site Level Grants (\$200,000): Establish and pilot a process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups. Allowing sites to innovate with the goal of accelerating student mastery of grade level standards will result in improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data and delivery of intervention support as well as the development and delivery of CCS-aligned units of instruction. Further improved outcomes for targeted populations include increased numbers of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned meaningful units of instruction across all core content areas, improved teacher efficacy in the development and delivery of those units, refined tools for teacher collaboration and analysis of assessment data in Professional Learning Communities, and measureable student academic performance outcomes.
- 3.h) Transportation(\$410,000): Transportation services are prioritized in order to provide safe and efficient transportation to and from school, especially as necessary to ensure student access to the educational program, promote regular attendance, and reduce tardiness. Busses run throughout the district and serve all schools, with priority service to high need, unduplicated student populations who paid little or no fees. Maintaining home to school transportation in order to sustain high attendance rates is key to maximizing student learning.
- 4.c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to collect engagement data for targeted students in order to determine effectiveness of improvement efforts and continue refinement of services. (\$16,000)

### Qualitative

- 1.e) English learner Master Plan (\$90,000): The plan will increase support for EL students through research-based practices and differentiation in the content areas through peer coaching and use of the Observation Protocol. A focus on training content teachers in integrated ELD will result in improved instruction for English Learners in all content area classes.
- 1.g) Newcomer Program (\$131,000): A research-based program at the middle school level will positively impact the academic progress of our EL

students by providing instruction targeted to their specific needs. The provision of high interest courses that build academic vocabulary will result in accelerated acquisition of English for newcomers.

- 1.n) Summer School Program (\$200,000): Summer school will provide academic intervention focused on literacy and language development along with enrichment for EL students. Eradication of the customary summer loss of learning time along with a focus on English Language Arts (literacy) and mathematics will ensure that English Learner students return to school in August 2015 prepared to master the grade level standards.
- 1.0) ASES After School Education and Safety (\$107,000): This free, program serves a high percentage of our low-income students, English learners, and foster youth by providing academic support and enrichment activities aligned to the educational experiences of the school day.
- 2.b) Increased ELD support, research-based practices, and differentiation in content areas through peer coaching. (\$507,000) Peer coaching and use of the Instruction Observation Protocol will support practices for greater differentiation, attention to academic language production, and checking for understanding. These instructional improvements will lead to improved academic achievement for targeted student subgroups.
- 2.c) Lead Teachers in math, literacy, intervention, and technology will focus on integrating ELD standards into the core content, differentiating
  instruction for at-risk students, and guiding data analysis to improve instructional practices. Improved instruction will ensure greater academic
  gains, equitable access to college preparatory courses as students matriculate through middle and high school, and accelerated gains in English
  language proficiency. (\$89,150)
- 2.e) SEAL Program (\$704,381): In addition to the three schools participating in the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) program, three more schools will be implementing this model to support the academic growth of English Learners. SEAL is a powerful early literacy methodology designed to build the capacity of young English learner students to close the achievement gap by fourth grade.
- 2.f) Principal on Special Assignment (\$155,000): Support for meeting the needs of English learners and low-income students through effective teaching will be provided by this administrator for the non-SEAL schools and intermediate grade levels. Effective teaching correlates with increased academic achievement.
- 2.I) Professional Development for Classified staff (\$5,000): The focus of the professional development opportunities will be to provide classified staff with additional tools and resources to better support targeted students. Improved services targeted to English Learners, low income students, foster youth, and their families will result in increased student and parent engagement.
- 2.m) (\$25,000) Increase capacity of site administrators to effectively implement the Response to Intervention model and comprehensive assessment system to serve our targeted populations. Professional learning in vertically articulated teams of site leaders will build capacity of site leaders to ensure all courses and classrooms are aligned with the current state standards and at an appropriately elevated level of rigor.

- 4.a) Community Engagement (\$20,000): Increasing engagement and awareness of the LCAP process, facilities planning, programmatic changes, college readiness support programs, pre-school program, and extended learning opportunities (summer school and LEAP after school program) will support academic achievement among all students, but especially our targeted populations.
- 4.a.2) Continue to support 0.5 FTE of the Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine communication with and involvement of families of low-income students, foster youth, and English learners. (\$68,500)
- 4.e.1) Community Liaisons (\$419,000): ) Community Liaisons provide assistance to low-income families and families who speak a language other than English and link them to community-based services.
- 4.e.2) Maintain current staffing levels for bilingual secretaries to continue to support communication to students and families in the targeted subgroups. (\$100,000)
- 4.f) Provide 0.5 FTE Community Liaison support for students with disabilities. (\$20,000) This increased support will allow for greater parent participation in the IEP process.
- 5.a.1) Health Services (\$68,000): The availability of a nurse and health assistant is an important resource for our students and their families who are low-income, English learners, or foster youth as their situation may limit access to health services,
- 5.a.2-3) Counseling Services (\$243,000 & \$79,000): The availability of counselors to support students in the targeted subgroups is critical for supporting a focus on learning and academic achievement.
- 5b.1) Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support (PBIS) (\$10,700): This program explicitly addresses skills for making appropriate choices, interacting with others, communicating needs /feelings and resolving conflicts. These are important skills for all students, but especially our low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.
- 5f) Suicide Prevention (\$10,000): Many students in our targeted subgroups are at-risk due to trauma and instability. Resources for alternatives to suicide are critical.
- 5g) Playworks (\$96,000): This program is built on the core values of hard work, compassion, courage, respect, and responsibility. As a result of this program, principals report that there is less bullying, less exclusionary behavior, and fewer discipline issues. The research documents that in schools implementing Playworks, students participate more in academics and have an increased ability to focus on academics which are clearly benefits for our targeted subgroups.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

# 14.64 %

The San Rafael Elementary School District is increasing and/or improving services in many ways for our low-income students. English learners, and foster youth who comprise approximately 68% of enrollment (unduplicated.) By providing home to school transportation, attendance rates are positively impacted which correlates with academic achievement. To address the needs of our EL new comer students at the middle school level, we have added additional sections to the master schedule at Davidson and Venetia Valley. The district has invested in an assessment system to measure the progress of English learners at specific intervals throughout the year so that instruction can be adjusted as necessary to insure progress in language acquisition. Professional development provided by the common core coaches, consultants, and the BTSA program supported teachers with integration of the new ELD standards into their common core aligned units of instruction. The summer school program is exclusively for English learners and is designed to support growth in language acquisition, reading, and writing using research-based curriculum specifically designed for English learners. Programs such as Playworks and Positive Behavior Intervention Support, provide students in our targeted subgroups with life skills that foster appropriate decision making and build resiliency. Our bilingual Community Liaisons and Administrative Assistants along with nursing services and counselors are much needed resources for lowincome students, English learners, and foster youth who need support in not only navigating the educational system, but the system of agencies beyond the school walls as well. We continue to increase our student access to technology through the purchase of ChromeBooks which is essential for our targeted subgroups as many do not have access at home. According to the proportionality calculations included in the Local Control Funding Formula and prepared for the adopted budget, the percentage of increased or improved services is 14.64%. The total amount of services identified in section 3A and described in the list of targeted expenditures for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth (\$4,986,731) exceeds the minimum proportionality (\$4,738,752.)

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

# LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 300.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

(b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.

- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 – June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

## 2015-16 LCAP review technical questions

| Date:     | 8-26-15 (Revised 8-31-15)                  |
|-----------|--|
| District: | San Rafael City Elementary School District |
| Contact:  | Mike Watenpaugh, Ed.D., Superintendent     |

The Marin County Office of Education (MCOE) has reviewed the San Rafael City Elementary School District's Board-approved Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) for 2015-16 and appreciates the amount of energy and effort the district has invested in their plan.

The MCOE LCAP team are making a recommendation to approve the District's LCAP but request some additional technical information in order to complete the LCAP review process. Please review and submit your responses and any pertinent supporting documentation via email to Terena Mares at <u>tmares@marinschools.org</u> as soon as feasible. We would appreciate your response by September 4, 2015 in order for us to complete our review process in time to approve your budget by September 15th.

At the conclusion of the LCAP review and approval process we will be meeting with each district individually to provide feedback on the entire review, especially as it relates to future approvals. We will be reaching out over the coming days to coordinate and schedule your meeting.

If you have questions, please feel free to contact Terena Mares at <u>tmares@marinschools.org</u> or 415 499–5805.

# Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators: Please respond to the following question(s):

| 2.06 | Each goal should identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for<br>all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state<br>priorities.  |
|------|---|
|      | Although we noted the District's LCAP addressed all eight state priorities and used<br>all required state metrics, we found certain of the district's goals did not include<br>measurable outcomes using the required state metrics for the related state<br>priorities as indicated below: |
|      | <ul> <li>Goal 4 – Measurable outcomes do not include the metrics required to address state priority #6.</li> <li>Goal <del>2</del> 5 – Measurable outcomes do not include metrics required to address state priority #7.</li> </ul>   |
|      | Please provide additional information describing how the district will address these missing elements.  |

Response: