| 1 | Title 5. EDUCATION |
|----|--|
| 2 | Division 1. California Department of Education |
| 3 | Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula |
| 4 | Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for |
| 5 | Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability |
| 6 | Plan Template |
| 7 | Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for |
| 8 | Supplemental and Concentration Grants |
| 9 | |
| 10 | <u>§ 15494. Scope.</u> |
| 11 | (a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in |
| 12 | section 15495(d). |
| 13 | (b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local |
| 14 | control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils |
| 15 | pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03. |
| 16 | (c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services |
| 17 | are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and |
| 18 | improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas. |
| 19 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: |
| 20 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, |
| 21 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section |
| 22 | <u>6312.</u> |
| 23 | |
| 24 | <u>§ 15495. Definitions.</u> |
| 25 | In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and |
| 26 | 42238.02, the following definitions are provided: |
| 27 | (a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and |
| 28 | 47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other |
| 29 | numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of |
| 30 | the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil |
| 31 | advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups |
| 32 | representing pupils. |

| 1 | (b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections |
|----|---|
| 2 | 52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county |
| 3 | superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English |
| 4 | learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a |
| 5 | majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in |
| 6 | Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a |
| 7 | county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English |
| 8 | learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these |
| 9 | requirements. |
| 10 | (c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA |
| 11 | pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in |
| 12 | conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5. |
| 13 | (d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of |
| 14 | education, or charter school. |
| 15 | (e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other |
| 16 | persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to |
| 17 | Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or |
| 18 | 56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions. |
| 19 | (f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and |
| 20 | 52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of |
| 21 | pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education |
| 22 | Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county |
| 23 | superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory |
| 24 | committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including |
| 25 | any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind |
| 26 | Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of |
| 27 | Title I of that act. |
| 28 | (g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for |
| 29 | which an LCAP is approved. |
| 30 | (h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not |
| 31 | limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities, |
| 32 | pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to |

| 1 | operate and deliver educational instruction and related services. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections |
| 3 | 52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities |
| 4 | identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the |
| 5 | nature of the program operated by the charter school. |
| 6 | (j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuant |
| 7 | to Education Code section 52052. |
| 8 | (k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality. |
| 9 | (I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity. |
| 10 | (m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the |
| 11 | definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible |
| 12 | for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners. |
| 13 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: |
| 14 | <u>Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,</u> |
| 15 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section |
| 16 | <u>6312.</u> |
| 17 | |
| 18 | § 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services |
| 19 | for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for |
| 20 | Supplemental and Concentration Grants. |
| 21 | (a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding |
| 22 | apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils, |
| 23 | pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to |
| 24 | support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for |
| 25 | unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to |
| 26 | the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of |
| 27 | unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA |
| 28 | shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the |
| 29 | LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall |
| 30 | determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased |
| 31 | or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows: |
| 32 | (1) Estimate the amount of the LCFF target attributed to the supplemental and |

| 1 | concentration grants for the LEA calculated pursuant to Education Code sections | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. | |
| 3 | (2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for | |
| 4 | unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on | |
| 5 | services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14 | |
| 6 | shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in | |
| 7 | the 2012-13 fiscal year. | |
| 8 | (3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1). | |
| 9 | (4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage | |
| 10 | calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide | |
| 11 | funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in | |
| 12 | the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. | |
| 13 | (5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2). | |
| 14 | (6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant | |
| 15 | to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code | |
| 16 | sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted | |
| 17 | Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation | |
| 18 | program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. | |
| 19 | (7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6). | |
| 20 | (8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero | |
| 21 | or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its | |
| 22 | percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target | |
| 23 | attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant | |
| 24 | to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is | |
| 25 | adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the | |
| 26 | Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School | |
| 27 | Transportation program. | |
| 28 | (b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds | |
| 29 | apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for | |
| 30 | districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education | |
| 31 | Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved | |
| ~~ | | |

32 services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to

| 1 | upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | school, or a county office of education as follows: | | |
| 3 | (1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or | | |
| 4 | more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or | | |
| 5 | in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a | | |
| 6 | districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of | | |
| 7 | the following: | | |
| 8 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a | | |
| 9 | districtwide basis. | | |
| 10 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and | | |
| 11 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and | | |
| 12 | any local priority areas. | | |
| 13 | (2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55 | | |
| 14 | percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted | | |
| 15 | may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A | | |
| 16 | school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following: | | |
| 17 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a | | |
| 18 | districtwide basis. | | |
| 19 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and | | |
| 20 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and | | |
| 21 | any local priority areas. | | |
| 22 | (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the | | |
| 23 | district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The | | |
| 24 | description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to, | | |
| 25 | any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational | | |
| 26 | theory. | | |
| 27 | (3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is | | |
| 28 | 40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP | | |
| 29 | is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds | | |
| 30 | on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do | | |
| 31 | all of the following: | | |
| ~~ | | | |

32 (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a

| 1 | schoolwide basis. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and |
| 3 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and |
| 4 | any local priority areas. |
| 5 | (4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40 |
| 6 | percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is |
| 7 | adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide |
| 8 | basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the |
| 9 | following: |
| 10 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a |
| 11 | schoolwide basis. |
| 12 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and |
| 13 | are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and |
| 14 | any local priority areas. |
| 15 | (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the |
| 16 | district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The |
| 17 | description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to, |
| 18 | any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational |
| 19 | theory. |
| 20 | (5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant |
| 21 | funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and |
| 22 | concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following: |
| 23 | (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a |
| 24 | countywide or charterwide basis. |
| 25 | (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and |
| 26 | are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its |
| 27 | unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable. |
| 28 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: |
| 29 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, |
| 30 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section |
| 31 | <u>6312.</u> |
| | |

32

| 1 | § 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of |
|----|--|
| 2 | Proportionality. |
| 3 | In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3), |
| 4 | the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of |
| 5 | districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through |
| 6 | (b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will |
| 7 | increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a |
| 8 | county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district |
| 9 | has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated |
| 10 | pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school |
| 11 | district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071. |
| 12 | NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: |
| 13 | Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, |
| 14 | 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section |
| 15 | <u>6312.</u> |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | 8-22-14 [California Department of Education] |

§ 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

LEA: SAN RAFAEL CITY HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

LCAP Year: 2016-17

Contact: Mayra Perez, Ed.D., Deputy Superintendent of Instruction, Innovation, and Social Justice mperez@srcs.org 415-492-3222

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

| Involvement Process | Impact on LCAP |
|--|--|
| San Rafael City Schools (SRCS) sponsored an in-depth, targeted and multi-layered engagement process this year. This engagement process included multiple opportunities to review the LCAP and to provide feedback on the plans to support all students in SRCS to graduate ready for the 21 st Century. | Each stakeholder has a unique and relevant perspective on the needs of the students and the direction that SRCS is taking to address those needs. By providing ongoing and focused engagements, SRCS has been able to provide valuable information to its community on the district's vision, while at the same time, gathering valuable input into refining a targeted set of goals and outcomes for our Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). |
| The engagement opportunities took place in the form of: | A large majority of our engagement this year focused on implementation of the initiatives under our five goals. Sharing progress on the implementation of goals involved a thoughtful stakeholder engagement process which included the |
| Community/ Staff Engagements | community, students, parents, teachers, staff, site principals, and central office leadership. This process included the creation of an LCAP story board/ infographic, |
| Parent advisory meetings | Analytics Work Plan, LCAP/ Student Information System electronic dashboards, |
| Board presentations | and staff training specific to these targets for the five shared LCAP goals. These shared goals are also directly aligned to the school board's goals, and as such, |
| Advisory committee meetings | promote a common focus and commitment district- wide. This process |
| Please see below for more details on each of the areas. | culminated in the review of district-wide, budgeted "Top Ten Instructional Initiatives" by all stakeholder engagement committees. |
| | Overall, through our engagement/ implementation process, we received feedback on all areas of continued focus from the 2015-18 LCAP. Beyond the "Top Ten Instructional Initiatives" this review included correlated, targeted metrics from our LCAP Data Analytics Work Plan. When then systematically tracked progress in the following areas: student success and support systems; implementation of the state standards; attracting and retaining high quality staff; support for targeted subgroups of students; and promoting a 21 st learning culture. SRCS continues its commitment to strengthening community engagement and promoting a welcoming and supportive school climate. Within the plan for 2015-18 is a further refined, targeted focus on supporting students with the highest needs. This work is reflected by an investment in programs to ensure all students are reading on grade level by third grade using the Balanced Literacy Coaching program. Strengthening the coaching will ensure support for students at each of the transition points (i.e., K-1st, 5-6th grade, 8-9th grade, 12th to college, and centralized translation services for students and families. For example, ensuring literacy by 3 rd grade for all students. |

| | Page 12 |
|---|--|
| | Additionally, this past year required dedicated finance and resources towards SRCS's Capital Facilities Master Plan and details for its first few years of implementation. |
| | Lastly, SRCS will continue to provide implementation goals on the needs of students at their specific grade levels. This requires managing both districts through centralized services, academic support and leadership to ensure that the districts work together to support the needs of all of its students. This coordinated support is evident in the engagement/ implementation of the 2015-18 LCAP. |
| | Community/ Staff Engagements: |
| District Staff Engagements: | • Presentations and discussion with Collective Bargaining Units: New LCFF funding structure and the LCAP process was reviewed. Updates were provided on the implementation work incorporating "Top Ten" lists and correlated outcome metrics. This information was shared at committees, the community |
| Presentations to Collective Bargaining UnitsCSEA LCAP Overview | goal-setting meeting, and continual feedback was gathered that informed the implementation and revision of the goals. These discussions specifically lead to LCAP goals and actions related to: |
| March 29, 1016 | Restructuring Coaching Model for Direct Instruction |
| Various Discussions with Collective Bargaining Units | Specialized Professional Development |
| Labor/Management Meetings | |
| • September 10, 2015 | • Principals' Meetings: Through regular conversations with site administrators, |
| o October 22, 2015 | priorities emerged as needed elements of the LCAP. These included: |
| o November 24, 2015 | \circ How to align LCAP master district plan to be implemented by all |
| February 22, 2016 | schools and Site SPSA Plans will align with LCAP |
| SRTA/Management | Development of "Top Ten" Instructional Lists |
| December 15, 2015 | Development and Training for LCAP Analytics Work Plan |
| January 7, 2016 | Training to the LCAP Student Information Dashboards and Targets |

- o April 19, 2016
- Labor Meet/Confers
- o September 14, 2015
- October 12, 2015
- November 3, 2015
- November 16, 2015
- o December 7, 2015
- o January 11, 2016
- February 8, 2016
- o March 7, 2016
- April 4, 2016
- o April 21, 2016
- o April 25, 2016
- o May 9, 2016
- May 10, 2016
- Principals' Meetings: Regular bi-monthly meetings beginning in November in which LCAP was discussed consistently.
- Join the Conversations: Sessions with the Superintendent at school sites for teachers and staff
 - San Rafael HS 9/16/16
 - Bahia Vista 9/17/16
 - Sun Valley 9/22/16
 - Laurel Dell 9/29/16
 - o Coleman 9/30/16
 - Terra Linda HS 10/1/16

- Providing greater levels of support for sites in achieving LCAP site goals
- Continued need to provide comprehensive English Language Development plan and articulated programs/services
- Join the Conversations: At these sessions, the Superintendent and members of the administrative team received feedback on progress the District was making on its LCAP goals. Additionally, the team received information about priorities at the school site to learn more about specific priorities for targeted subgroups and the particular needs of a school site.

Furthermore, the team also visited classrooms to see teaching and learning in action and had conversations with site leaders. The information gathered at these extended sessions helped refine the District's LCAP with a better understanding of actions and services for targeted subgroups and District-wide trends.

 LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee: The SRCS Superintendent, Director of Strategic Initiatives, Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator, and/or Chief Business Official explained the LCFF and LCAP process and received initial feedback from staff, parents, and community members. These served as a way to inform and gather authentic input and feedback from stakeholders. Forums provided a pathway to understand the LCFF and LCAP process more clearly so participants could provide input via upcoming meetings and sessions.

The district has been particularly interested in engaging with parents from the PAC and the ELPAC as it develops its LCAP and implement the English Language Development Master Plan in order to ensure that these plans address the needs of English learner and low income students.

Specifically, the PAC/ELPAC had the following roles:

- Provide feedback on the District's process for engaging parents, teachers, staff and the community
- Provide feedback on the District's LCAP
- o Support prioritizing implementation strategies for the LCAP
- Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement: Served as a way to inform, educate, and gather input from English Language Learner representatives and members of the community who are socio-economically

| Madrone HS – 10/13/16 Short Elementary – 10/28/16 Glenwood Elementary – 10/29/16 San Pedro – 10/30/16 Davidson MS – 11/3/16 | disadvantaged. Served to inform the process. Examples would include engagement/ implementation workshops with DELAC and specific follow-up meetings with community partner groups such as Canal Alliance. Stakeholder Engagement meetings for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members: January 26, 2016 February 24, 2016 March 9, 2016 April 15, 2016 |
|--|---|
| Parent Advisory, Stakeholder Taskforce and Community Meetings: LCAP Stakeholder Taskforce January 26, 2016 February 24, 2016 March 9, 2016 April 15, 2016 | Approximately 50 Stakeholder Engagement participants comprised of parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members, came together through a series of meetings to discuss and prioritize Instructional Initiatives. Over the course of these several meetings throughout the year, this committee reviewed guidelines for LCFF/LCAP; reviewed SRCS' process for LCAP Development; and provided direct input towards LCAP goals for 2014-15. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' refined LCAP goals and prioritize actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' draft LCAP. |
| LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee December 9, 2015 June 6, 2016 | stakeholder engagement in the Annual Update and LCAP refinement process. The Board received an update on LCFF and LCAP development at each scheduled board meeting. The items were agenized at the beginning of the meeting so as to encourage public comment. Drafts were shared and the Board heard public comment on the DRAFT LCAP. The final LCAP and District budget presented to the Board for final adoption. |
| Parent Leaders Group October 28, 2015 April 4, 2016 May 25, 2016 | SRCS provided ongoing updates to its advisory committees both on the content of the LCAP and on the district's progress towards meetings its goals. It also used utilized the insight and expertise of various advisory committees throughout the year to evaluate progress and to recommend refinements to the LCAP. Each group |

| • Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

- DELAC Meetings 10/14/15; 11/3/15; 12/1/15; 1/5/16; 2/2/16; 3/1/16; 4/6/16; 6/7/16
- Student Voice Group
 - o May 19, 2016

San Rafael City Schools Board Meetings

- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
 - August 24 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - September 8 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - September 21 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - October 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - October 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - November 16– Regular Board Meeting Update
 - December 14 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - January 11 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - January 25 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - o February 8 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - February 22 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - March 7 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - March 21 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - April 18 Regular Board Meeting Update

maintained a specific focus and district leadership integrated feedback from the various advisory committees as it refined and updated the LCAP.

- Budget Advisory Committee: Served to update and engage the budget committee members in order to inform budget process for this year and the future. Specific updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals.
- Curriculum Advisory Committee: Provided LCAP overview and timeline as well as LCAP explanation, update and review of upcoming dates for input.
- Students from Madrone, San Rafael, and Terra Linda High Schools met separately with a facilitator to discuss their impressions and opinions of their individual schools. Particular attention of the discussion was directed toward climate and culture, which is represented in Goal 5 of the LCAP.

- May 10 Regular Board Meeting Update
- May 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
- June 13 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
- June 27 Regular Board Meeting Public Hearing
- June 29 Regular Board meeting Final Adoption

District Advisory Committee Meetings:

• Budget Advisory Committee

ESD:

- o October 22, 2015
- o November 19, 2015
- o December 12, 2015
- o January 21, 2016
- February 11, 2016
- o March 24, 2016
- o April 21, 2016
- o May 19, 2016

HSD:

- o October 21, 2015
- November 18, 2015
- December 16, 2015
- o January 20, 2016
- o February 10, 2016
- o March 16, 2016
- April 20, 2016

| | Page 1 |
|---|--|
| May 18, 2016 | |
| Curriculum Advisory Committee | |
| • September 24, 2015 | |
| October 29, 2015 | |
| November 19, 2015 | |
| January 28, 2016 | |
| February 25, 2016 | |
| March 24, 2016 | |
| April 28, 2016 | |
| May 26, 2016 | |
| | |
| Middle Grade Task Force | |
| • October 29, 2015 | |
| Annual Update | Impact on Annual Update |
| SRCS used the stakeholder engagements described above to provide regular updates on the LCAP progress. SRCS presented or discussed the Annual Update during these events: | Analysis of the 2015-16 LCAP during the Annual Update process revealed the need for even greater refinement and narrowing of goals. |
| LCAP STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT TASKFORCE | During the Annual Update process this year, SRCS not only provided an update on |
| January 26, 2016 | progress made to- date on the LCAP, but also gathered stakeholder feedback in |
| February 24, 2016 | order to develop refined goals with prioritized actions, services, and resources. |
| March 9, 2016 | |
| April 15, 2016 | This process began with the development of the budgeted "Top Ten" Instructional Initiatives. Next there was a "critical inquiry and review process" with multiple |
| LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee | stakeholder groups, and targeted data metrics were correlated to each LCAP Action and Service. |
| o December 9, 2015 | |
| o June 6, 2016 | Through this refinement process, students, parents, teachers, staff, site principals, |
| Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units | union representatives and central office leaders reviewed the stakeholder |
| | |

| | Page 18 of 12 |
|--|--|
| CSEA LCAP Overview | implementation and progress towards the 2015-16 goals. This process led to the |
| March 29, 1016 | development of actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP. |
| | |
| | This draft LCAP has been further reviewed and refined throughout the remainder of the stakeholder engagement process as described above. |
| Various Discussions with Collective Bargaining Units | |
| Labor/Management Meetings | |
| • September 10, 2015 | |
| October 22, 2015 | |
| o November 24, 2015 | |
| • February 22, 2016 | |
| | |
| SRTA/Management | |
| • December 15, 2015 | |
| January 7, 2016 | |
| April 19, 2016 | |
| | |
| Labor Meet/Confers | |
| • September 14, 2015 | |
| • October 12, 2015 | |
| • November 3, 2015 | |
| November 16, 2015 | |
| • December 7, 2015 | |
| January 11, 2016 | |
| • February 8, 2016 | |
| • March 7, 2016 | |
| April 4, 2016 | |
| April 21, 2016 | |
| | |

- o April 25, 2016
- o May 9, 2016
- o May 10, 2016
 - o June 6, 7, 2016
- Join the Conversations: Sessions with the Superintendent at school sites for teachers and staff
 - \circ San Rafael HS 9/16/16
 - Bahia Vista 9/17/16
 - Sun Valley 9/22/16
 - Laurel Dell 9/29/16
 - Coleman 9/30/16
 - Terra Linda HS 10/1/16
 - Madrone HS 10/13/16
 - Short Elementary 10/28/16
 - Glenwood Elementary 10/29/16
 - San Pedro 10/30/16
 - Davidson MS 11/3/16
- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
 - August 24 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - September 8 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - September 21 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - October 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - October 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
 - November 16– Regular Board Meeting Update
 - December 14 Regular Board Meeting Update

- January 11 Regular Board Meeting Update 0 January 25 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 February 8 - Regular Board Meeting - Update 0 February 22 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 March 7 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 March 21 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 April 18 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 May 10 – Regular Board Meeting – Update 0 May 23 – Regular Board Meeting – Update Ο June 13 – Regular Board Meeting – Draft Presented 0 June 27 – Regular Board Meeting – Public Hearing 0 June 29 – Regular Board meeting – Final Adoption 0 **Budget Advisory Committee** • ESD: October 22, 2015 0 November 19, 2015 0 December 12, 2015 0 January 21, 2016 0 February 11, 2016 0 March 24, 2016 0 April 21, 2016 0 May 19, 2016 0 HSD:
 - October 21, 2015
 - \circ November 18, 2015
 - o December 16, 2015

o January 20, 2016 February 10, 2016 0 March 16, 2016 Ο April 20, 2016 Ο May 18, 2016 0 **Curriculum Advisory Committee** September 24, 2015 0 o October 29, 2015 • November 19, 2015 January 28, 2016 0 • February 25, 2016 March 24, 2016 0 o April 28, 2016 May 26, 2016 0 Middle Grade Task Force o October 29, 2015

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code

Page 23 of 122

sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?

- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

| GOAL: | expecta and con | student receives rigorous instruction and support and is held to high tions so that they can foster critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, munication skills in order to master the Common Core State Standards | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_X 2_X 3_X 4_X 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10 | | |
|--|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | while co | ontinuing to be college, career and community ready. | Local: Specify | | |
| Identified Need: Identified Need: District systems and structures to support transition to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS), English Language Development (ELD) standards, with specific attention paid to students with specific learning needs. Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CCSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) Site staff will track matched cohort data for target students using the following: CAASP assessment results, A-G graduate rates, reclassification rate, Advanced Placement passing rates. 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-out rates, high school graduation rates. 8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes. | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | olies to: | Schools: All High Schools | | | |
| | F | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students | | | |
| | | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | | |
| | ed Annual surable | a) All students receive instruction aligned to the California Common Core Stable b) Students use CCSS-aligned resources and instructional materials. c) District-wide common assessments in place to improve monitoring of stude d) Content curriculum will be accessible to English Learner (EL) students with strategies supported by coaches. o Established baseline for ELs to ensure they are achieving at least of proficiency based on the new ELD assessment | dent progress and mastery of CCSS. th the implementation of effective one level growth per year on EL | | |
| | comes: | Student Success and Support Systems e) Each classroom has a highly qualified credentialed teacher. f) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathemate Increased student pass rate of Algebra 1 by 5% Decreased D/F list by 10% g) Increased number of students receiving credit recovery. h) Increased EL access to electives and enrichment activities for English lead students and foster youth. i) Parent/student portal usage of Student Information System (SIS) is increased | arners, reclassified students, at-risk | | |

| | | | | Page 26 of 122 |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Improved 9 th grade student Increased CELDT scores by | | ndance, and academic performance. | |
|) m) n) (o) (p) Col q) r) s) t) | Increased English learner a programs. Completed English Learner Completed plan for the New Increased the number reclas Ilege Going Culture Maintained counselor-stude o Increased number of with disabilities, and I Increased student participat Document progress on the o Documented research, mee | nd other targ Master Plan, comer Progr ssified (R-FE nt ratio college pland low-income y ion in Advand development tings, and ini | ied (R-FEP) students and improved academic perform eted subgroup participation in summer school and creat , including transition timeline for full program implement am, including transition timeline for full implementation P) students completing UC/CSU requirements. | dit recovery tation. bers, students |
| Actions | s/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| a) Continue to implement plan through ongoing teat and principals. (Extra-du revised in order to reflect implementation | am meetings with teachers ity hourly). Plan is to be | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1120 |
| on textbook/materials ad | CSS-aligned based on ok adoption cycle (based doption calendar). Each ook most compatible with | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u> _Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> | \$100,000 Prop 20 (Lottery) 0000-4100 \$200,000.00 (One time |

overall success of student outcomes. In addition,

instructional materials will be purchased for the

ELD program, including EL social science

_____Foster Youth ____Redesignated fluent English proficient _____Other Subgroups:(Specify)______

State Funds)

0000-4100

| textbook. One time funds—explore options to identify future funding for textbooks. | | | Page 27 of 122 \$20, 000 ELD (One- time Funds) 0000-4100 |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| c) Follow-up on the completed pilot of district-wide comprehensive assessment systems for English language arts and mathematics: continue to utilize the CAASPP Interim assessment system. Create benchmark assessment plan. Purchase iResult as a data management tool. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$6,900 (LCFF S/C) 0000-4307 |
| d) Continue CCSS/ELD support in content areas via train-the-trainer coaching embedded in the school day (Lit Leads 4-sections). This work will also be supported through teacher collaboration time (subject to negotiations) and coaching provided by site administrators using the Instructional Observation Protocol. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$110,000 (Educator Effect Grant) 6264-1910 |
| e) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$11.8 M (LCFF Base/EPA) 0000-1110 |
| f) Use the RTI Committee to continue developing and implementing district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—possibly expanding interventions and enrichment beyond the school day and focusing on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, and other at-risk youth. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$120,000 (Title I Sites) 3010-5849 3010-1120 |

| | | | Page 28 of 122 |
|--|------------------------|---|---|
| g) Continue to implement online credit recovery service (Apex Learning) and assess student | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$23,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| progress to determine effectiveness and consider piloting a new credit recovery program and develop recommendations for district-wide implementation in 2017-18. (software license) | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-4307 |
| h) Implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district- wide assessment system based on recommendations from site EL coordinators and the EL Taskforce. Continue to fund Las Links. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$15,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-4307 |
| i) Continue and possibly expand summer credit recovery program targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recover, including 10th graders. Pilot Algebra II readiness course for targeted students (Extra-duty hourly). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$10,000 (Title I) 3010-1120 |
| j) Finalize and implement the English Learner Master Plan. (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs), including the redesign of current course sequence for newcomers (Extra-duty hourly). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$94,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1317 \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1120 |
| k) Continue to provide additional sections (2.6 FTE) for Newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools. Add 1.0 ELD at SRHS. A plan was developed to phase in a redesigned Newcomer Program with support pathways for native language literacy to be fully implemented by 2018-2019 school year. An additional 2.0 FTE (1.0 FTE per site) for intervention support will be provided to each comprehensive high school. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$414,500 \$160,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1110 |

| | | | Page 29 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| Continue to maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$800,000 (Parcel Tax) 9040-1110 |
| m) Continue to expand AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High within existing teacher allocations, focusing on targeted student subgroups (5860)—Sites will focus more on schoolwide implementation of AVID strategies (license, registration, and travel). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$29,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-5809 |
| n) Continue to develop the plan to improve and increase College and Career Center services; maintain College & Career Advisor positions at 2.0 FTE (1.0FTE Bilingual CC Advisor) | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$120,500 (LCFF S/C) 0000-2220 |
| o) Develop a technology road map. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| p) Develop college readiness program with College of Marin, including satellite courses at all SRCS high schools and continuing the COMPASS program at TLHS and SRHS (may be subject to negotiations). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X Low Income pupils _X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| q) Develop and offer adult education classes starting fall 2016 through Adult Education Block Grant consortium. 1.0FTE AEBG Outreach and Services Coordinator. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Adult Education Block Grant |

Page 30 of 122

| administrators, created admini | nmunity partners and site ate a plan to monitor A-G r targeted students and al support plans for targeted in the second semester of 9 th | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) CAP Year 2: 2017-18 | \$0 |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Common Core a) All students receive instruction al b) Students use CCSS-aligned rescion c) District-wide common assessmend d) Content curriculum will be accession by coaches. ELs monitored to ensurbased on the new ELD Increased EL student N Student Success and Support System Increased student academic perficient of the student passe Decreased D/F list by fill Each classroom has a highly quated Increased number of students reached number of students reached number of curriculation in the students with disabilitient of the student participation in molecular student participation in molecular student participation in molecular student participation in the student participatic participation in the student participation in | igned to the CCSS. burces and instructional materials. Ints in place to improve monitoring of student progress and mass sible to EL students with the implementation of effective strategore they are achieving at least one level growth per year on EL assessment Math and ELA CAHSEE pass rate by 5% Stems ormance in language arts and mathematics. In rate of Algebra 1 by 5% 10% lified credentialed teacher. ceiving credit recovery. io ollege planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college as, and low-income youth eclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance in language arts and mathematics ance in language arts and mathematics ance in language arts and mathematics | gies supported proficiency |

| | | | Page 31 of 122 |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| a) Continue to implement CCSS Implementation plan through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals. (Extra-duty hourly). Plan is to be revised in order to reflect new 3-year cycle of implementation. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| b) Continue to purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned (based on textbook/materials adoption calendar). In addition, instructional materials will be purchased for the ELD program, including EL science textbook. Explore options to identify future funding for textbooks. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>_X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupils <u>_X_</u> English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$100,000 Prop 20 (Lottery) One time funds removed. |
| c) Utilize district-wide comprehensive assessment systems for English language arts and mathematics: continue to utilize the CAASPP Interim assessment system. Revise assessment plan to reflect the use of interim and create district assessments that will monitor student growth and readiness (teacher extended hours). Continue to fund iResult as a data management tool. | All schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$6,900 (LCFF S/C) |
| e) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms | SRHS & Madrone HS 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$11.8 M (LCFF Base/EPA) |
| f) Use the RTI Committee to continue developing and implementing district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—Review data in order to monitor emotional/socio impact on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with Special Needs</u> | \$120,000 (Title I Sites) |

| foster youth, homeless students, and other at-risk youth. | | | Page 32 of 122 |
|--|---|---|---|
| g) Continue to implement online credit recovery service (Apex Learning or other online service) and assess student progress. | San Rafael & Terra Linda | ALL OR: Low Income pupils _X_English Learners | \$23,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| h) Continue to implement an effective EL and R- FEP monitoring program using data from the | 9-12 All Schools | _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students ALL | \$15,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| district-wide assessment system based on recommendations from site EL coordinators and the EL Taskforce. Continue to fund Las Links. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| i) Continue and possibly expand summer credit recovery program targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery, including 10 th graders. Continue to offer summer Algebra II readiness course (Extra-duty hourly). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$10,000 (Title I) |
| j) Implement the English Learner Master Plan. (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs), including the redesign of current course sequence for newcomers (Extra-duty hourly). | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$94,000 (LCFF S/C) \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| k) Continue to provide additional sections (2.6 FTE) for newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools. Add 1.0 ELD at SRHS. Continue to phase in a redesigned Newcomer Program with second year of social science course and first year science course. An additional 2.0 FTE (1.0 FTE per site) will continue | All Schools 11-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$415,000 \$160,000 (LCFF S/C) |

| | | 1 | Page 33 of 122 |
|---|---|--|---------------------------|
| to be provided for intervention support at each comprehensive high school. | | | |
| I) Continue to maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE). | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$805,000 (Parcel Tax) |
| m) Continue to expand AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High with focus more on schoolwide implementation of AVID strategies. Encourage Madrone teachers to attend the AVID Summer Institute (license, registration, and travel). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$29,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| n) Enact the plan to improve and increase College and Career Center services; maintain College & Career Advisor positions at 2.0 FTE (including 1.0FTE Bilingual Advisor) | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$123,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| o) Implement technology road map | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| p) Continue college readiness program with College of Marin, including satellite courses at all SRCS high schools and continuing the COMPASS program at TLHS and SRHS (may be subject to negations) | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> <u>Low Income pupils English Learners</u> <u>Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | \$0 |

Page 34 of 122 q) Create and implement Adult Education program All Adult ALL Schools as a member of the AEBG consortium. 1.0FTE Education OR: AEBG Outreach and Services Coordinator. 9-12 Block Grant X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) r) Working with community partners and site \$0 administrators, monitor A-G completion rates for ALL all students and determine individual support OR: plans for targeted students beginning in the X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners second semester of 9th grade year and continuing X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient with the start of 10th grader year (i.e. 2016-2017 Other Subgroups: (Specify) 9th graders). LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 Common Core a) Students use CCSS-aligned resources and instructional materials. b) Content curriculum will be accessible to EL students with the implementation of effective strategies. a. ELs monitored to ensure they are achieving at least one level growth per year on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment b. Increased EL student Math and ELA CAHSEE pass rate by 5% Student Success and Support Systems c) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathematics. a. Increased student pass rate of Algebra 1 by 5% b. Decreased D/F list by 10% d) Each classroom has a highly qualified credentialed teacher. Expected Annual e) Maintained counselor-student ratio Measurable a. Increased number of college planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college goers, Outcomes: students with disabilities, and low-income youth f) Increased student participation in AVID. **Targeted Subgroups** g) Increase number of credits toward graduation (credit recovery courses) h) Increased monitoring of EL and reclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance. Improved English learner academic grades and graduation rate i) j) Increased EL academic performance in language arts and mathematics k) Maintained college and career services. Improved teacher efficacy in the integration of 21st Century skills in daily instruction I)

| m) Increased the number recla | ssified (R-FE | P) students completing UC/CSU requirements. | Page 35 of 122 |
|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| a) Continue to implement CCSS Implementation plan through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals. (Extra-duty hourly). Plan is to be revised in order to reflect new 3-year cycle of implementation. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| b) Continue to purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned (based on textbook/materials adoption calendar). In addition, instructional materials will be purchased for the ELD program, including EL math textbooks. Explore options to identify future funding for textbooks. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>_X_</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>_X_</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$100,000 Prop 20 (Lottery) |
| c) Utilize district-wide comprehensive assessment systems for English language arts and mathematics: continue to utilize the CAASPP Interim assessment system. Revise assessment to reflect the use of interim and district created assessments that monitor student growth and readiness. Determine overall accuracy of both assessments as based on SBAC student readiness (Extra-duty hours). Continue to fund iResult as a data management tool. | San Rafael & Madrone 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$6,900 (LCFF S/C) |
| e) Provide highly qualified credentialed teachers in all classrooms | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$11.8 M (LCFF Base/EPA) |

| | | | Page 36 of 122 |
|---|---|---|---|
| f) Use the RTI Committee to continue developing and implementing district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—Review data in order to monitor emotional/socio impact on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, and other at-risk youth. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ <u>Students with Special Needs</u> | \$120,000 (Title I Sites) |
| g) Continue to implement online credit recovery service (Apex Learning or other online service) and assess student progress. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$23,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| h) Continue to implement an effective EL and R- FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system based on recommendations from site EL coordinators and the EL Taskforce. Continue to fund Las Links. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupils _X_English Learners Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$15,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| i) Continue and possibly expand summer credit recovery program targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery, including 10 th graders. Continue to offer summer Algebra II readiness course (Extra-duty hourly) | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$10,000 (Title I) |
| j) Implement the English Learner Master Plan. (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs), including the redesign of current course sequence for newcomers (Extra-duty hourly) | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$94,000 (LCFF S/C) \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |

| k) Continue to provide additional sections (2.6 FTE) for newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools. Add 1.0 ELD at SRHS. Continue to phase in a redesigned Newcomer Program with second year of social science course and first year science course. An additional 2.0 FTE (1.0 FTE per site) will continue to be provided for intervention support at each comprehensive high school. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Page 37 of 122 \$420,000 \$160,000 (LCFF S/C) |
|---|---|---|--|
| I) Continue to maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE) | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$810,000 (Parcel Tax) |
| m) Continue to expand AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High with focus more on school-wide implementation of AVID strategies. Two Madrone teachers will participate in the AVID Summer Institute (license, registration, and travel). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>X_</u> English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$29,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| n) Enact the plan to improve and increase College and Career Center services; maintain College & Career Advisor positions at 2.0 FTE. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$135,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| o) Implement technology road map | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

Page 38 of 122 p) Continue college readiness program with All \$0 ALL College of Marin, including satellite courses at all Schools SRCS high schools and continuing the COMPASS OR: 9-12 X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners program at TLHS and SRHS (may be subject to X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient negations) Other Subgroups: (Specify) q) Implement Adult Education program as a All Adult ALL member of the AEBG consortium, 1.0 FTE AEBG Schools Education **Outreach and Services Coordinator** 9-12 OR: Block Grant X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) r) Continue working with community partners and \$0 site administrators, monitor A-G completion rates ALL for all students and determine individual support OR: plans for targeted students beginning in the _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners second semester of 9th grade year and continuing X Foster Youth X Redesignated fluent English proficient continue support plans for targeted 10th and 11th Other Subgroups:(Specify) grade students.

| GOAL: | collabor | le all staff with differentiated professional development with a focus on ation, alignment, and high quality staff retention and support, so as to e student learning and achievement. | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_2_X_34_5_678_ COE only: 910 Local: Specify | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Professional development to support the District's transition to the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and English Language Development (ELD) standards and to foster deeper understanding of the District's goals, initiatives and expectations. Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CCSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) Student performance on CAASP assessments, CAHSEE pass rates, A-G graduate rates, reclassification rate, Advanced Placement passing rates. 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-or rates, high school graduation rates. 8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes. | | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | plies to: | Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Students | | | | |
| | | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | | | |
| Meas | ed Annual surable comes: | Common Core a) Redefined role and protocols for Instructional Leadership Teams to an student growth, and refine instructional initiatives b) Increased number of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned units of instruct Student Success and Support Systems c) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data d) Improved teacher efficacy in the use of student information systems, is other electronic information tools e) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and student assessment— incle Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Targeted Subgroups f) Increased academic achievement of English learners across all core of g) Increased academic achievement of students in targeted subgroups, it High-Quality Staff h) Maintained staff retention rates i) Increased efficacy of new staff in the use of district-wide tools, protocore j) Refined strategies to support new and existing staff k) Increased teacher participation in professional development offerings, curricular school events. | earning management systems, and uding refined protocols for content areas including graduation rates. | | | |

| I) (HRIS) | | | Page 40 of 12 | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| a) Continue to develop and align the role of the Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) at all sites to | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 | |
| support implementation of district and site instructional goals and monitor student progress | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| b) Continue to implement CCSS-aligned units of instruction and assessments which will be | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$25,000 (One-time | |
| uploaded to an online repository for teachers to access district-wide. Consider purchasing LMS to support this action item. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Funds) | |
| c) Continue to provide professional development on implementation of CAASPP interim | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$5,000 (Title II) | |
| assessments and use of data to determine student college readiness | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| d) Continue existing PLC structure and implement HSD professional development plan (created in | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$15,000 (Educator | |
| 2015-16 for 2016-17) with a focus on teacher developed and driven professional development (release time; travel expenses). | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Effect Grant) 6264-5200 | |
| e) Provide professional development for all teacher leaders (Lit Leads and EL Coaches) on | All Schools <u>X</u> ALL | | \$54,000 (Educator | |
| new ELD standards and related instructional strategies using UCBHSSP in a train-the-trainers model. Create master calendar for aligned professional development across all sites. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Effect Grant) 6264-5840 | |

| | | | Page 41 of 122 |
|--|---|--|--|
| f) Continue to provide site administrators with professional development through the monthly ILLT meeting with a focus on use of assessments, RTI, EL Master Plan implementation, and classroom observation (conferences and travel) | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$12,000 (One-time Funds) 0000-5200 |
| g) Continue to implemented staff recruitment and selection processes and retain qualified teachers through competitive compensation (compensation packages subject to negotiations) | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| h) Continue on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| i) Implement process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support staff, and administrator recruitment and retention | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| j) Continue to participate in a county-wide collaborative task force to identify issues and possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| k) AP teachers will attend College Board trainings as needed in order to promote greater access to the AP courses and college readiness for targeted students. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth XRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$7,000 (Site Budgets) 0000-5200 |

| | | | Page 42 of 122 |
|---|---------|--|---------------------|
| I). Provide initial training for LMS and additional | All | _X_ALL | \$12,000 |
| training for Aeries through specific contracted | Schools | | (One-time |
| service providers. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners | Funds) 0000-5840 |
| | | Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient | 0000-3840 |
| | | Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |

| | LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Common Core a) Increased number of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned units of instruction across all core content areas Student Success and Support Systems b) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data c) Improved teacher efficacy in the use of student information systems, learning management systems, and other electronic information tools d) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and student assessment—including refined protocols for Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) | | | | | |
| Act | tions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| Instructional Leader support implementa | elop and align the role of the rship Team (ILT) at all sites to ation of district and site and monitor student progress | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 | | |
| instruction and asse uploaded to an onli | ement CCSS-aligned units of essments which will be ne repository for teachers to e. Provide LMS to support this | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$30,000 (LCFF S/C) | | |
| on implementation | ide professional development of CAASPP interim use of data to determine student | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (Title II) | | |

| | | | Page 44 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------|
| d) Continue to provide site administrators with professional development through the monthly ILLT meeting with a focus on use of assessments, | All Schools 9-12 | <u>_X_</u> ALL OR: | \$0 |
| RTI, EL Master Plan implementation, and classroom observation. | | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| e) Continue to implemented staff recruitment and selection processes and retain gualified teachers | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| through competitive compensation (compensation packages subject to negotiations) | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| f) Continue on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff. | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| h) Implement process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| staff, and administrator recruitment and retention | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| i) Continue to participate in a county-wide collaborative task force to identify issues and | All Schools | <u>X</u> ALL | \$0 |
| possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| j) AP teachers will attend College Board trainings as needed in order to promote greater access to | San Rafael & | ALL | \$7,000 (Site |
| the AP courses and college readiness for targeted students. | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth XRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Budgets) |

| | | LCAP Ye | ear 3: 2018-19 | 1 age +3 01 122 |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Student Success and Suppor b) Improved teacher efficate c) Refined tools for teacher Professional Learning Control Targeted Subgroups d) Increased academic ache e) Increased academic ache High-Quality Staff | rt Systems cy in the anal r collaboratio ommunities (nievement of nievement of | ed CCSS-aligned units of instruction across all core co ysis of student assessment data n and student assessment— including refined protoco (PLCs) English learners across all core content areas students in targeted subgroups ent, collaboration, and enrichment. | |
| Act | tions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| Instructional Leader support implementa | elop and align the role of the rship Team (ILT) at all sites to ation of district and site and monitor student progress | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| b) Continue to implement CCSS-aligned units of instruction and assessments which will be uploaded to an online repository for teachers to access district-wide. Continue to provide LMS to support this action item. | | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$30,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| on implementation | ide professional development of CAASPP interim se of data to determine student | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (Title II) |
| professional develo ILLT meeting with a | ide site administrators with pment through the monthly a focus on use of assessments, n implementation, and ion. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

| | | - | Page 46 of 12 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| e) Continue to implemented staff recruitment and selection processes and retain qualified teachers | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| through competitive compensation (compensation packages subject to negotiations) | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| f) Continue on-boarding procedures and ongoing | All Schools | <u>X</u> ALL | \$0 |
| professional development for all staff. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| n) Implement process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL | \$0 |
| staff, and administrator recruitment and retention | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| i) Continue to participate in a county-wide | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| collaborative task force to identify issues and possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| j) AP teachers will attend College Board trainings | San Defeel 8 | ALL | \$7,500 |
| as needed in order to promote greater access to the AP courses and college readiness for targeted students. | Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth XRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | (Site Budgets) |

| GOAL: | District | ge our resources responsibly, t goals and priorities so that the e needle for student success. | Related State and/or L 1_X_2345_ COE only: 9_ Local: Specify | _ 6 7 8 | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Identified Need:Fiscal transparency is achieved as resources are aligned and structured to support upgrading school facilities, growing student enrollment and the transitions to the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional materials; all school facilities are maintained in good repair. 7) A-G completion rates, analysis of student schedules to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas.Out to the transitSchools:All schoolsAll schools | | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | plies to: | Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All | Istudents | | | | |
| | : | | LCAP Ye | ear 1: 2016-17 | | | |
| Meas | a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources b) Maintained or improved student device ratios c) Increased number of staff assigned new computers and/or tablets d) Stakeholders informed about the implementation, accomplishments and expenditures of Measure B, and stakeholders engaged in the planning and design phases at sites. Baseline data for website visitors is set. e) Improved broadband and connectivity f) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews g) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act. h) Maintained services for students with disabilities. i) Increased student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. j) A plan to increase student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment via athletics. | | | | | | |
| | Ac | ctions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within io service | dentified scope of | Budgeted Expenditures | |
| and office at both c | e staff to s ompreher | nd 3 full time site administrators support instructional programs sive high schools and 1 full ator at Madrone with office staff. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learr Foster YouthRedesignated fluen Other Subgroups:(Specify) | ners nt English proficient | \$1.85M (LCFF Base) 0000-1300 0000-2400 | |

| a.2) Continue to fund custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Page 48 of 122 \$2.3M (LCFF Base) 0000-2000 0000-5500 |
|---|---|---|--|
| a.3) Continue to fund full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$235,000 (LCFF Base) 0000-2910 |
| b) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets all high schools to maintain or improve student device ratio. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$70,000 (Parcel Tax) 9040-4451 |
| c) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff as needed. Purchase LCD projectors (and additional replacement bulbs) for staff as needed. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$45,000 (LCFF Base) 0000-4451 \$85,000 (One-time Funds) 0000-4451 \$13,349 (One-time Funds) 0000-4451 |
| d.1) Continue an RFP process to select design teams and begin design process with school site facilities committees. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | FD 01 \$0 (Building Fund 21) |

| d.2) Implement strategic communications plan for the Capital Facilities Program to communicate with and engage the San Rafael community on the implementation of the funds from Measure B. Use a variety of communication vehicles, including print and electronic materials, with a focus on building and launching a comprehensive website. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Page 49 of 122 \$8,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-5849 |
|---|---|---|---|
| e.1) Continue to allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$22,000 (One-time Funds) 0000-4300 |
| e.2) Continue to identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 (RRM Funds) |
| f) Continue to contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality special education services for students with disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education. (IDEA) Act. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with Special Needs | \$3.9M (LCFF Base) Goal 5XXX- 8980 |
| g) Continue to support athletic programs through hiring of various classified and certificated athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$366,000 (LCFF Base) 0000-5840 \$34,000 (One-time Funds) 0000-5840 |

| | | | Page 50 of 122 |
|--|---|---|--|
| h) Present findings of the athletics needs assessment to the Board by Fall 2016 and review data to determine the appropriate level of district funding to the comprehensive high schools for athletics. As part of the process and to make a data-driven decision, the following will be analyzed: the support levels of athletics in districts across the county; the current needs of the schools; compliance with Title IX; and the effectiveness of athletics in increasing student achievement and engagement, particularly for targeted subgroups. (Current allocation to each site: \$45,000). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| i) Fund 1.5 full time administrators (1.0 FTE HSD Director and 50% of Deputy Superintendent) and 1.0 office staff (.5 FTE administrative assistant and .5 FTE Accountability Coordinator) to support Education Services Division. | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$372,000 (LCFF Base) 0000-1300 \$51,200 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1300 |
| j) Develop SRCS Environmental Sustainability Plan to include the following three pillars: 1) Reduced environmental impact and costs school and district-wide; 2) Improved health and wellness activities for students and staff; and 3) Effective environmental education as part of the district's strategic plan LCAP. Students will have multiple opportunities to engage in learning activities related to the environment through the 9-12 curriculum and extracurricular activities. Example activities include the following: Develop a student represented committee to complete an inventory/assessment of existing activities and identify new environmental suitability priorities; Develop DRAFT Board Policy; and develop the SRCS application for U.S. Department of Education Green Ribbon Schools. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

| promotional videos Emporium/Mission I). Provide mentorin | • | All Schools 9-12 All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) X_ALL | Page 51 of 122 \$12,000 (One-time Funds) 0000-5840 \$10,350 (One-time Funds) 0000-5849 |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| LCAP Year 2: 2017-18 a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources b) Maintained or improved student device ratios c) Increased number of staff assigned new computers and/or tablets d) Stakeholders informed about the implementation, accomplishments and expenditures of Measure B, and stakeholders engaged in the planning and design phases at sites. Website visitors data is increased by 5 e) Improved broadband and connectivity f) Individualized needs of targeted subgroups at school sites are supported g) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews h) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act. i) Maintained level of student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. k) Maintained level of student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. | | | | ure B, and eased by 5%. upplies as |
| Ac | tions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures |
| and office staff to su at both comprehens | nd 3 full time site administrators upport instructional programs sive high schools and 1 full tor at Madrone with office staff. | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$1.9M (LCFF Base) |

| a.2) Continue to fund custodial and operations | All | | Page 52 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|
| staff, utilities, and services to support high schools. | Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | (LCFF Base) |
| a.3) Continue to fund full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$240,000 (LCFF Base) |
| b) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets all high schools to maintain or improve student device ratio. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$70,000 (Parcel Tax) One-time funds removed |
| c) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff as needed. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$45,000 (LCFF Base) One-time funds removed |
| d.1) Continue an RFP process to select design teams and begin design process with school site facilities committees. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | FD 01 \$0 (Building Fund 21) |
| d.2) Analyze, refine and continue to implement strategic communications plan for the Capital Facilities Program to communicate with and engage the San Rafael community on the implementation of the funds from Measure B. Use a variety of communication vehicles, including print and electronic materials, with a focus on enhancing the comprehensive website. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$8,000 (LCFF S/C) |

Page 53 of 122 e.1) Continue to allocate resources for All \$0 X ALL Schools expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate 9-12 OR: Low Income pupils English Learners student enrollment growth. Foster Youth ____Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) e.2) Continue to identify, repurpose and/or add All X ALL \$0 (RRM additional classroom space to accommodate Schools OR. student enrollment growth. Funds) 9-12 Low Income pupils ____English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ X ALL f) Continue to contribute LCFF funds to provide All \$4.1M Schools high quality special education services for (LCFF Base) OR: students with disabilities to support student IEPs 9-12 Low Income pupils ____English Learners and in accordance with requirements outlined in Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education. Other Subgroups:(Specify) (IDEA) Act. g) Continue to support athletic programs through San \$366.000 X ALL hiring of various classified and certificated athletic Rafael & (LCFF Base) coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a Terra OR: One-time Low Income pupils ____English Learners section for an Athletic Director. The District funds Linda funds _Foster Youth ___Redesignated fluent English proficient 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS 9-12 removed Other Subgroups:(Specify) h) Allocate athletic program funding to each San X ALL \$0 Rafael & comprehensive high school as determined to be OR. appropriate based on analysis from previous year. Terra Low Income pupils English Learners Linda Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient 9-12 Other Subgroups:(Specify) i) Continue to fund 1.5 full time administrators (1.0 All X ALL \$375,000 FTE Director and 50% of Deputy Superintendent) Schools (LCFF Base) OR: and 1.0 office staff (.5 FTE administrative 9-12 \$53.000 Low Income pupils English Learners assistant and .5FTE Accountability Coordinator) to (LCFF S/C) Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient support Education Services Division. Other Subaroups: (Specify) i) Implement SRCS Environmental Sustainability All X ALL \$0 Schools Plan to include the following three pillars: 1) OR: Reduced environmental impact and costs school 9-12 Low Income pupils ____English Learners and district-wide; 2) Improved health and wellness Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient activities for students and staff; and 3) Effective Other Subgroups:(Specify)

Page 54 of 122

| | | 1 | | Page 54 of 122 |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|
| | cation as part of the district's | | | |
| | P. Students will have multiple | | | |
| | gage in learning activities | | | |
| | onment through the 9-12 | | | |
| | acurricular activities. Example | | | |
| | e following: Develop a student | | | |
| represented commi | • | | | |
| | ent of existing activities and | | | |
| 5 | nmental suitability priorities; | | | |
| | bard Policy; and develop the | | | |
| | or U.S. Department of | | | |
| Education Green R | IDDON SCHOOIS. | | | |
| | | | ear 3: 2018-19 | |
| | | | maintain high-quality learning conditions; support stud | ent learning |
| | and properly functioning sch | | | |
| | b) Maintained or improved stud | | | |
| | c) Increased number of staff as | | | |
| | , | | entation, accomplishments and expenditures of Measu | |
| Expected Annual | | | d design phases at sites. Website visitors data is incre | eased by 5%. |
| Measurable | e) Improved broadband and co | | | |
| Outcomes: | | | ps at school sites are supported | |
| | | | learning space, instructional materials, and learning s | upplies as |
| | | | ance on the annual Williams site reviews | |
| | h) Facilities are maintained in (| | | |
| | i) Maintained services for stud | | | |
| | j) Maintained of increased lev | | t engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. Pupils to be served within identified scope of | Budgeted |
| Ac | tions/Services | Scope of Service | service | • |
| a 1) Continue to fur | d 3 full time site administrators | All | | Expenditures \$1.93M |
| | | Schools | X_ALL | (LCFF Base) |
| | | 9-12 | OR: | |
| | tor at Madrone with office staff. | 512 | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners | |
| | tor at madrone with onice stan. | | Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient | |
| | | | Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |

| | - | | Page 55 of 122 |
|---|---|--|--|
| a.2) Continue to fund custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools. | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$2.3M (LCFF Base) |
| a.3) Continue to fund full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE). | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$245,000 (LCFF Base) |
| b) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets all high schools to maintain or improve student device ratio. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$70,000 (Parcel Tax) \$25,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| c) Continue to purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff as needed. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$45,000 (LCFF Base) |
| d.1) Continue an RFP process to select design teams and begin design process with school site facilities committees. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities | |
| d.2) Analyze, refine and continue to implement strategic communications plan for the Capital Facilities Program to communicate with and engage the San Rafael community on the implementation of the funds from Measure B. Use a variety of communication vehicles, including print and electronic materials, with a focus on enhancing the comprehensive website. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF Base) |

| e.1) Continue to allocate resources for | All | _X_ALL | Page 56 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|
| expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth. | Schools 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| e.2) Continue to identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth. | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 (RRM Funds) |
| f) Continue to contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality special education services for students with disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education. (IDEA) Act. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$4.3M (LCFF Base) |
| g) Continue to support athletic programs through hiring of various classified and certificated athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$400,000 (LCFF Base) |
| h) Allocate athletic program funding to each comprehensive high school as determined to be appropriate based on analysis from previous year. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| i) Continue to fund 1.5 full time administrators (1.0 FTE Director and 50% of Deputy Superintendent) and 1.0 office staff (.5 FTE administrative assistant and .5FTE Accountability Coordinator) to support Education Services Division. | All Schools 9-12 | X ALL OR: | ************************************** |
| j) Maintain SRCS Environmental Sustainability Plan to include the following three pillars: 1) Reduced environmental impact and costs school and district-wide; 2) Improved health and wellness activities for students and staff; and 3) Effective environmental education as part of the district's | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

 strategic plan LCAP. Students will have multiple
 Page 57 of 122

 opportunities to engage in learning activities
 related to the environment through the 9-12

 curriculum and extracurricular activities. Example
 activities include the following: Develop a student

 represented committee to complete an
 inventory/assessment of existing activities and

 identify new environmental suitability priorities;
 Develop DRAFT Board Policy; and develop the

 SRCS application for U.S. Department of
 Education Green Ribbon Schools.

| GOAL: | betweer | elop and implement highly effective two-way systems of communication on the District and its stakeholders to ensure increased awareness about, pation in, and support for decision-making about the District's goals. | elated State and/or Local Priorities: 23_X_45_X_678 COE only: 910 : Specify | | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Identified | Identified Need: Identified Need: Required metrics: 3) Agendas and minutes for required parent committees, parent sign-in sheets, documented parent input. 6) Student suspension rates, student expulsion rates, Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey. | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | Schools: All schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All students | | | | | |
| | | LCAP Year 1: 2016-17 | | | | |
| Meas | ed Annual surable comes: | a) Improved communication about and engagement in district programs and initial Identified parent engagement benchmark. Higher responsiveness from the district increased collaborative decision-making through authentic two-way dialogue. b) Improved, streamlined district and school communication to parents and familied district, school and community enrichment activities and events. Baseline reach c) All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered available to guide future development of initiatives in LCAP. d) Recommendations followed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilinguitranslation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, e) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiative | rict to stakeholders and s and boosted participation in and success established. from families. Data is ual community liaisons and and common expectations. | | | |

| g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. j) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities. | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Budgeted Expenditures | | |
| a.1) Refine and implement the Communications and Community Engagement Plan to use highly- effective, two-way communication to increase awareness, participation and support among the SRCS community for the District's goals and programs and initiatives, including: LCAP Capital Facilities Program Programmatic changes Assessments and results College readiness activities and other educational knowledge Use a variety of communication vehicles, including print and electronic materials as well as face-to- face communication. Analyze need for updated website. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>_X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$10,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-5800 | | |
| a.2) Increase communications support by providing a .5 FTE Communications Director to continue to refine and enhance communication and engagement efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups | All Schools 9-12 | <u>_X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$85,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1300 | | |

| | | | Page 59 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| b) Launch a new communications tool for school sites and the District to streamline home-to-school | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$300 (LCFF S/C) |
| communication and make it easier and more efficient to distribute informational materials directly to families. The tool is Peachjar, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-4307 [´] |
| c.) Evaluate and refine system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$200 (LCFF Base) |
| parents, guardians and caregivers. Analyze data from previous school years to inform LCAP development. The tool is Survey Monkey, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-4300 |
| d) Maintain the Bilingual Community Liaison committee to evaluate and refine the role of | All Schools | ALL | \$0 |
| bilingual community liaisons and the related services, including translation, as well as develop common expectations and appropriate staffing levels for each school. | 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| e) Continue to maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and | San Rafael & | ALL | \$90,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability. (1.625 FTE) | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-2401 |
| f) Maintain Community Liaison support for district- wide programs at the District Office through a .5 | San Rafael & | ALL | \$93,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process and a .5 FTE Community Liaison to support district departments | Terra | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students with Special Needs</u> | 0000-2401 |
| g) As part of the College & Career Development Plan, CCCA will work in collaboration with | All Schools | ALL | \$9,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| Community Liaisons to continue increase outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college goers, and low-income students. Continue to fund Naviance. | 9-12 | OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-4307 |

| b) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and | All | | Page 60 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| h) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (print, email, phone, social media, etc.) | Schools | ALL | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| communication tools and strategies and certified translation services. | 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | 0000-4300 |
| i.1) Reconvene the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) Fall 2016 and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; review and refine the LCAP Stakeholder Taskforce process; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$1,000 (LCFF Base) 0000-2430 |
| i.2) Continue to provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making. Also, restructure the ELAC and DELAC through Board policy to modernize objectives and align to LCFF and LCAP processes. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| j.1) Continue to partner with Marin Promise, College of Marin, and other community organizations in order to promote college readiness among our targeted student population. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| j.2) Continue development of Community Schools Initiative with the goal of aligning all San Rafael community organizations to better serve students and families | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| | LCAP Y | ear 2: 2017-18 | |
| | | gagement in district programs and initiatives across the | |
| Identified parent engageme | nt benchmai | rk. Higher responsiveness from the district to stakehold | ers and 9/8/2016 2:23 PM |

| Measurable Outcomes: increased collaborative decision-making through authentic two-way dialogue. Baseline set for new website reach. improved, streamlined district and school communication to parents and families and boosted participation in district, school and community enrichment activities and events. Reach increased by 5%. All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered from families. Data is available to guide future development of initiatives in LCAP. Recommendations followed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. c) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiatives for the target populations. g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. j) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities. | |
|--|---|
| district, school and community enrichment activities and events. Reach increased by 5%. c) All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered from families. Data is available to guide future development of initiatives in LCAP. d) Recommendations followed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. e) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiatives for the target populations. g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | |
| available to guide future development of initiatives in LCAP. d) Recommendations followed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. e) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiatives for the target populations. g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | district, school and community enrichment activities and events. Reach increased by 5%. |
| translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. e) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiatives for the target populations. g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | |
| f) Improved parent and family participation in the IEP process and district initiatives for the target populations. g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. |
| g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required coursework and complete the steps to attend college. h) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | |
| planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. i) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | g) Baseline is known and there is increased support for first-generation college students to take the required |
| support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. | planning. Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups. |
| j) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities. | support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups. Improved services at school sites for parents and students. Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making. |
| | j) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities. |

| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Actions/Services | Scope of Service |
|--|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| a.1) Refine and implement the Communications and Community Engagement Plan to use highly- effective, two-way communication to increase awareness, participation and support among the SRCS community for the District's goals and programs and initiatives, including: LCAP Capital Facilities Program Programmatic changes Assessments and results College readiness activities and other educational knowledge Use a variety of communication vehicles, including print and electronic materials as well as face-to- face communication. Analyze need for updated website. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$10,000 (LCFF S/C) |

| | | | Page 62 of 122 |
|--|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| a.2) Increase communications support by providing a .5 FTE Communications Director to | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$85,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| continue to refine and enhance communication and engagement efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| b) Launch a new communications tool for school sites and the District to streamline home-to-school | All Schools | _X_ALL | \$300 (LCFF S/C) |
| communication and make it easier and more efficient to distribute informational materials directly to families. The tool is Peachjar, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| c.) Evaluate and refine system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of | All Schools | <u> X </u> ALL | \$200 (LCFF Base) |
| parents, guardians and caregivers. Analyze data from previous school years to inform LCAP development. The tool is Survey Monkey, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| d) Maintain the Bilingual Community Liaison committee to evaluate and refine the role of | All Schools | ALL | \$0 |
| bilingual community liaisons and the related services, including translation, as well as develop common expectations and appropriate staffing levels for each school. | 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| e) Continue to maintain current levels of | San Rafael & | ALL | \$92,000 |
| Community Liaison support at all school sites and evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability. (1.625 FTE) | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | (LCFF S/C) |
| f) Maintain Community Liaison support for district- wide programs at the District Office through a .5 | San Rafael & | ALL | \$93,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process and a .5 FTE Community Liaison to support district departments | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |

| | | | Page 63 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| g) As part of the College & Career Development Plan, CCCA will work in collaboration with Community Liaisons to continue increase outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college goers, and low-income students. Continue to fund Naviance. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$9,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| h) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (print, email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies and certified translation services. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| i.1) Reconvene the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; review and refine the LCAP Stakeholder Taskforce process; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$1,000 (LCFF Base) |
| i.2) Continue to provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making. Also, restructure the ELAC and DELAC through Board policy to modernize objectives and align to LCFF and LCAP processes. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| j) Continue to partner with Marin Promise, College of Marin, and other community organizations in order to promote college readiness among our targeted student population. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

| | LCAP Year 3: 2018-19 | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | a) Improved communication about and engagement in district programs and initiatives across the district. Identified parent engagement benchmark. Higher responsiveness from the district to stakeholders and increased collaborative decision-making through authentic two-way dialogue. Website reach increased by 5%. b) Improved, streamlined district and school communication to parents and families and boosted participation in district, school and community enrichment activities and events. Reach increased by 5%. c) All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered from families. Data is available to guide future development of initiatives in LCAP. d) Recommendations followed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, and common expectations. e) Community liaison staffing maintained at all sites. f) Improved parent and family participation in the LFD present and district initiatives for the terget parent appropriate access and district initiatives for the terget parent appropriate access and district initiations. | | | | | |
| | | stronger fami | lies and healthier communities. Pupils to be served within identified scope of | Budgeted | | |
| Ac | tions/Services | Service | service | Expenditures | | |
| a.1) Refine and implement the Communications All \$10,000 | | | | \$10,000 (LCFF S/C) | | |

| | 1 | | Page 65 of 122 |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| face communication. Analyze need for updated website. | | | |
| a.2) Increase communications support by providing a .5 FTE Communications Director to | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$90,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| continue to refine and enhance communication and engagement efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| b) Launch a new communications tool for school sites and the District to streamline home-to-school | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$300 (LCFF Base) |
| communication and make it easier and more efficient to distribute informational materials directly to families. The tool is Peachjar, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| c.) Evaluate and refine system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of | All Schools | <u>_X_</u> ALL | \$200 (LCFF Base) |
| parents, guardians and caregivers. Analyze data from previous school years to inform LCAP development. The tool is Survey Monkey, a software system. | 9-12 | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| d) Maintain the Bilingual Community Liaison committee to evaluate and refine the role of | All Schools | ALL | \$0 |
| bilingual community liaisons and the related services, including translation, as well as develop common expectations and appropriate staffing levels for each school. | 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| e) Continue to maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and | San Rafael & | ALL | \$93,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability. (1.625 FTE) | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| f) Maintain Community Liaison support for district- wide programs at the District Office through a .5 | San Rafael & | ALL | \$95,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP | Terra Linda 9-12 | OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | (LUFF 5/U) |
| | | | 9/8/2016 2·23 PM |

| | | | Page 66 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|
| process and a .5 FTE Community Liaison to support district departments | | | |
| g) As part of the College & Career Development Plan, CCCA will work in collaboration with Community Liaisons to continue TO increase outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college goers, and low- income students. Continue to fund Naviance. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$10,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| h) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (print, email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies and certified translation services. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$5,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| i.1) Reconvene the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; review and refine the LCAP Stakeholder Taskforce process; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$1,000 (LCFF Base) |
| i.2) Continue to provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making. Also, restructure the ELAC and DELAC through Board policy to modernize objectives and align to LCFF and LCAP processes. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

Page 67 of 122

| j) Continue to partner with Marin Promise, College of Marin, and other community organizations in order to promote college readiness among our targeted student population. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 | |
|--|------------------------|---|-----|--|
|--|------------------------|---|-----|--|

| GOAL: 5. Establish effective systems and a welcoming environment that allow staff, students and families to feel safe and included so that they can participate fully in student learning and the school community. | | | | Related State and/or 1 2 3 4 5 COE only: 9_ Local: Specify | 6 <u>X</u> 7 <u>X</u> 8 <u>X</u> | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Identified | Identified Need: Systems and structures at schools to support students through positive behavioral practices and emotional support Required metrics: 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-out rates, high school graduation rates. 6) Student suspension rates, student expulsion rates, Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey. 7) A-G completion rates, analysis of student schedules to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas. 8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, student participation rates in College and Career Center. | | | | | |
| Goal Ap | plies to: | Schools: All schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al | Il students | | | |
| | | | | ear 1: 2016-17 | | |
| Meas | Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: a) Increased health and counseling services to students and improved student attendance and participation b) Improved College and Career Center services for all students c) Completed Career/Technical Education (CTE) expansion plan. d) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey e) Reduced suspension and expulsion rate by 2% f) Improved attendance for students with chronic absence by 5% g) At-risk students are identified and provided with appropriate services h) Increase the number of students completing A-G requirements (including targeted students) | | | | | |
| | A | ctions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within i service | dentified scope of | Budgeted Expenditures |
| high sch physical health se | ool district health an ervices for | aintain 1.0 FTE nurse for the to continue to support student d provide greater access to "At Risk" students (with an for Health Support Services). | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Lear Foster YouthRedesignated fluer Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | \$205,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-2302 |

| | | | Page 68 of 122 |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| a.2) Continue to review current levels of counseling staff caseloads and review and revise duties based on caseload research. This should be completed by January 2017 so to better inform budget process. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| b.1) Continue to develop plan in order to ensure and determine approach and best practices to increase College and Career Center services in conjunction with the College and Career Pathways Grant. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE) | All Schools 9-12 | | \$97,000 (Career Pathways Grant) 0000-5840 |
| b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at risk students</u> | \$37,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-5840 |
| b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$58,000 (LCFF S/C) 0000-1110 |
| c) With the new CTE Incentive grant, continue design of a Career Technical Education expansion plan that incorporates career/technical education pathways and integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan, including the expansion of computer science and engineering courses at each high school, including the TEALS grant. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS). This effort in intended to reduce suspensions and disciplinary actions of targeted student subgroups, thus increasing classroom participation and academic achievement. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$6,500 (LCFF S/C) 0000-5849 |

Page 68 of 122

| | | | Page 69 of 122 |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| d.2) As part of Community Schools initiative, continue to implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board goals, including strengthening student voice initiative, peer court, with alignment of restorative counseling with discipline matrix for each site. This should be a greater focus during teacher professional | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| development and faculty meetings at sites. e) Continue to ensure that cultural competency is embedded in staff professional development wherever possible. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | - \$0 |
| f.1) Continue to Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies). Use Gallop Poll for measurement. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 - |
| f.2) Identify and monitor students with chronic absenteeism and determine whether or not participation in electives and athletic activities promotes greater student engagement in school culture. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with chronic absenteeism. | - \$0 |
| g) Continue suicide prevention education program (continue Teen Screen while investigating other options). | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$24,000 - (LCFF S/C) 0000-5839 |

Page 70 of 122

| | | | | Page 70 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| school so that stude | oice" committees at each high ents can provide feedback on atives. Committees will meet a mes per year. | All Schools 9-12 | X ALL OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| | | LCAP Ye | ear 2: 2017-18 | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | b) Increased student access to c) Reduced suspension rate by d) Increased student and staff e) Improved attendance for student and staff | Career/Tec y 2% engagement idents with c | es to students and improved student attendance and p hnical Education (CTE) pathways t by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey hronic absence by 5% led with appropriate services | participation |
| Act | ions/Services | Scope of | Pupils to be served within identified scope of | Budgeted |
| high school district to physical health and health services for " | aintain 1.0 FTE nurse for the o continue to support student provide greater access to At Risk" students (with an or Health Support Services). | Service All Schools 9-12 | service _X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | Expenditures \$206,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| counseling staff cas duties based on cas | view current levels of eloads and review and revise seload research. This should nuary 2018 so to better inform | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| in conjunction with the Pathways Grant. Incompathways at each content of the pathways at each | ge and Career Center services he College and Career crease the number of CTE omprehensive site. Staff 2 mprehensive high school (.8 | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils XEnglish Learners Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation college goers,_students with disabilities_ | \$100,000 (LCFF S/C) |

| | | | Page 71 of 122 |
|--|---|--|------------------------|
| b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Redesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | \$38,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at all high schools (including Madrone) to provide services to targeted student subgroups | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$60,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| c) With the new CTE Incentive grant, each comprehensive high school will offer career/technical education pathways that integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan, including the expansion of computer science and engineering courses at each high school, including the TEALS program. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), including training more teachers in the use of PBIS strategies. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$7,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| d.2) As part of Community Schools initiative, continue to implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board goals, including strengthening student voice initiative, peer court, with alignment of restorative counseling with discipline matrix for each site. This should be a greater focus during teacher professional development and faculty meetings at sites. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

| | 1 | | Page 72 of 122 |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| e) Continue to ensure that cultural competency is embedded in staff professional development wherever possible. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient | \$0 - |
| f.1) Continue to Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies) | All Schools 9-12 | Other Subgroups:(Specify) _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners | \$0 - |
| Using Gallop Poll for measurement. | | Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| f.2) Continue to increase participation in electives and athletic activities. Review data each year with athletic directors to set growth targets. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL | \$0 |
| | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| g) Continue suicide prevention education program (continue Teen Screen while investigating other options). | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$24,000 - (LCFF S/C) |
| h) Continue "student voice" committees at each high school so that students can provide feedback on site and district initiatives. Committees will meet a minimum of three times per year. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> <u>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners</u> <u>Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | \$0 <u>-</u> |
| | LCAP Y | ear 3: 2018-19 | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: b) Maintained student access c) Reduced suspension rate b d) Increased student and staff e) Improved attendance for str | to Career/Te y 2% engagemen udents with o | ces to students and improved student attendance and echnical Education (CTE) pathways It by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey chronic absence by 5% ded with appropriate services | participation |

| Actions/Services | Scope of Service | Pupils to be served within identified scope of service | Page 73 of 122 Budgeted Expenditures |
|---|------------------------|--|--|
| a.1) Continue to maintain 1.0 FTE nurse for the high school district to continue to support student physical health and provide greater access to health services for "At Risk" students (with an additional contract for Health Support Services). | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$209,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| a.2) Continue to review current levels of counseling staff caseloads and review and revise duties based on caseload research. This should be completed by January 2018 so to better inform budget process. | All Schools 9-12 | X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| b.1) Increase College and Career Center services in conjunction with the College and Career Pathways Grant. Increase the number of CTE pathways at each comprehensive site. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE) | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils XEnglish Learners Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify)first generation college goers,_students with disabilities_ | \$105,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | \$40,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at all high schools (including Madrone) to provide services to targeted student subgroups | All Schools 9-12 | ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$62,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| c) With the new CTE Incentive grant, each comprehensive high school will offer career/technical education pathways that integrates with the CCSS Implementation plan, including the expansion of computer science and | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |

| | 1 | | Page 74 of 12 |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|
| engineering courses at each high school, including the TEALS program. | | | |
| d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS), including training more teachers in the use of PBIS strategies. | All Schools 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$7,000 (LCFF S/C) |
| d.2) As part of Community Schools initiative, continue to implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board goals, including strengthening student voice initiative, peer court, with alignment of restorative counseling with discipline matrix for each site. This should be a greater focus during teacher professional development and faculty meetings at sites. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| e) Continue to ensure that cultural competency is embedded in staff professional development wherever possible. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) | \$0 |
| f.1) Continue to Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies)Using Gallop Poll for measurement. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> <u>Low Income pupils English Learners</u> <u>Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | <u>-</u> \$0 |
| f.2) Continue to increase participation in electives and athletic activities. Review data each year with athletic directors to set growth targets. | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | <u>X ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | <u>-</u> \$0 |

| g) Continue suicide prevention education program (continue Teen Screen while investigating other options). | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> <u>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners</u> <u>Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | Page 75 of 122 \$24,000 (LCFF S/C) |
|---|------------------------|---|--|
| h) Continue "student voice" committees at each high school so that students can provide feedback on site and district initiatives. Committees will meet a minimum of three times per year. | All Schools 9-12 | <u>X_ALL</u> <u>OR:</u> <u>Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners</u> <u>Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> | \$0 |

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Page 77 of 122

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

| from prior vear | Each student receives rigorous instruction and s spectations so that they can foster critical thinking nd communication skills in order to master the Co hile continuing to be college, career and commun | g, collaboration | , creativity, | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_x_2_x 3_x 4_x 5_6_7_8_x COE only: 9_10_ Local : Specify |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Goal Applies to | Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, B | English Learners, Stud | dents with Disabilities | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | All students receive instruction aligned to the California Common Core State Standards (CCSS). b) Students use CCSS-aligned resources and instructional materials. c) District-wide common assessments in place to improve monitoring of student progress and mastery of CCSS. d) Content curriculum will be accessible to English Learner (EL) students with the implementation of effective strategies supported by coaches. o Established baseline for ELs to ensure they are achieving at least one level growth per year on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment o Increase EL student Math and English Language Arts (ELA) California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) pass rate by 5% Student Success and Support Systems e) Each classroom has a highly qualified credentialed teacher. f) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathematics. o Increased student pass rate of Algebra 1 by 5% | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | a) Instruction alig State Standards units of instruction b) Students are under and math. A full scheduled for 20 CCSS aligned under collaboration with c) A schoolwide and currently monitor CAASPP interimed grades starting in d) The current EL current ELA for g In addition: Two different during the to determed our stude chosen by The CAH requiremed e) Each classroot teacher. f) Both parts of the note that this out comprehensive he outlined in the act o There wat | gned to the California Common Core was provided through CCSS aligned in. Using CCSS aligned materials in ELA CCSS math textbook adoption is 16-2017. Students are exposed to hits of instruction that were design in n all content area teachers. assessment program is in place that is the progress of all 11 th graders. The assessments will be utilized in all n the 2016-2017 school year. - Standards have been aligned to the greater clarity for students and teachers. rent EL assessments were piloted e 2015-2016 school year. Our goal is ine which assessments will best suit nts' needs. An assessment with be y fall 2016. SEE was eliminated as a graduation ent as of January 1, 2016. m has a highly qualified credentialed his outcome were achieved. Please come only applies to the two high schools (SRHS and TLHS) as |

Page 78 of 122

| Decreased D/F list by 10% | 6 |
|---|---|
|---|---|

- g) Increased number of students receiving credit recovery.
- h) Increased EL access to electives and enrichment activities for English learners, reclassified students, at-risk students and foster youth.
- i) Parent/student portal usage of Student Information System (SIS) is increased by 10%.
- j) Improved 9th grade student morale, attendance, and academic performance.

Targeted Subgroups

- k) Increased monitoring of EL and reclassified (R-FEP) students and improved academic performance.
- Increased English learner and other targeted subgroup participation in summer school and credit recovery programs.
- m) Completed English Learner Master Plan, including transition timeline for full program implementation.
- n) Completed plan for the Newcomer Program, including transition timeline for full implementation
- o) Maintained CAHSEE pass rate.

College Going Culture

- p) Maintained counselor-student ratio
 - Increased number of college planning sessions targeting ELs, first generation college goers, students with disabilities, and low-income youth
- q) Increased student participation in Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).

students will successfully complete a year of algebra by the end of tenth grade, and as a result no eleventh graders will be enrolled in Algebra 1P in eleventh grade; that is, an *increase* in the algebra pass rate means a *decrease* in eleventh grade Algebra 1P enrollment. In the 15-16 school year, 14 eleventh graders (of a class of 562) were enrolled in Algebra 1P, compared to 28 eleventh graders (of a class of 551) in the 14-15 school year. This decrease is from 5.1% of the eleventh grade class enrolled in Algebra 1P from 2014-15 to 2.5% enrolled in 2015-16, and suggests a meaningful increase in the Algebra 1P pass rate.

- The bulk of this decrease was seen at TLHS, as SRHS enrolled few eleventh graders in Algebra 1P in both years. In 2014-15, 7 eleventh graders were enrolled in Algebra 1P at SRHS and 21 were enrolled at TLHS. In 2015-16, 5 eleventh graders were enrolled in this course at SRHS and 9 were enrolled at TLHS.
- Since spring semester 2016 grades were not available at the time of writing, the district analyzed final fall semester grades from 2014 and 2015. The number of students who received a D or an F in an English *or* a math class decreased by 16% from 2014-15 to 2015-16. This decrease was roughly equivalent across both comprehensive high schools.
 - In the fall semester of 2014, 693 students out of 2226 with final grades received a D or an F in an English or a math class, for a rate of 31%. Of these students, 386 (out of a class of 1160) attended SRHS, compared to 307 (out of 1066) at TLHS.
 - In the fall semester of 2015, 627 students out of 2369 received a D or an F in an English or a math class, for a rate of

| | Page 79 of 122 |
|---|--|
| r) Document progress on the development of the plan to increase/improve college and career services. s) Documented research, meetings, and initial planning on 21st Century Instructional Plan. | 26%. Of these students, 355 (out of a class of 1193) attended SRHS, compared to 272 (out of 1116) at TLHS. g) This outcome was achieved: there was a large increase in the number of students using APEX from fall semester 2015 to spring semester 2016. In fall semester of 2015, 89 students across the three high school sites participated in APEX. In the spring semester of 2016, 138 students participated in APEX. The bulk of this increase was seen at the two comprehensive high schools. h) This outcome was achieved. Each high school increased access to electives for all students (including those in the target populations) via adding an additional period at each high school. In addition, the College of Marin piloted the COMPASS program, which is meant to provide dual-enrollment enrichment classes to first-generation college students. i) As the district did not use the same Student Information System (SIS) from the 2014-15 to 2015-2016 school year, it is not possible to measure an <i>increase</i>. As of May 2016, the vast majority (93%) of students have SIS portal accounts, and nearly three-quarters (73%) of students have at least one family member with a portal account. j) Data on this outcome is not available, as it was too broad to measure. k) This outcome was partially achieved. As each of the three high school sites identified an EL Coordinator and an Assistant Principal to oversee the effectiveness of EL programs at their respective sites, the outcome of increased monitoring was achieved. However, due to the departure of the Director of English Learner Programs, the districtvide assessment program was not put into place, and data is not available regarding |
| | the departure of the Director of English Learner Programs, the districtwide assessment program was not |

| | | Page 80 of 122 | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | students, or 61%) of the group qualified for free or reduced-price lunch. m) This outcome was not achieved due to the departure of the Director of English Learner Programs. However, he completed several activities that will contribute to this plan (see write-up for activity for accomplishments). n) 3-year plan for Newcomer was completed in Spring 2016. New course sequence for Newcomer students will begin in the 2016-2017 school year with the introduction of a World Cultures social science course. o) The CAHSEE was eliminated as a graduation requirement as of January 1, 2016. p) Counselor staff maintained o All counselors meet with every student on their caseload at least once a year to discuss college preparedness. In addition, all students have access to and accounts for Naviance, which is a college/career preparedness software system. Access to college planning has increased from previous years. o SRHS added an additional section of 9th grade AVID for next year. In addition, both comprehensive high schools have progress in exposing more students to AVID strategies through schoolwide implementation. r) A timeline was developed for the creation of plan for our College and Career Centers. A vision process was completed, a new job description was created for our CCCA, and a redesign plan for our College and Career Centers is currently underway. The CCCA should be completed by and presented to the Board by June 2016. s) Due to the departure of the CTO, this plan is still under development. Ed Services and the CTO will meet to create a timeline for completion of the CTO will meet to create a timeline for completion of the CTO will meet to create a timeline for completion of the CTO will meet to create a timeline for completion of the CTO will meet to create a timeline for completion of the creation of the creation of plan. | | | |
| LCAP Year 0 : 2015 -16 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Planned Actions/Services | | Actual Actions/Services | | | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | | | |

| | | | | Page 81 of 122 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| a) Implement CCSS Implementation plan through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals. (Extra-duty hourly) | 1.a. Est. Cost: \$5,000 (GF One- time funds) | 1. a). This goal was accomplished. Selected teachers leaders met monthly to discuss and monitor the CCSS Implementation Plan. Work is now focused on revising new 3-year cycle. | | Cost: \$4,500 (GF One-time funds) |
| Scope of All Schools | | Scope of service: | All Schools | |
| _x_ALL |] | _X_ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | Foster YouthR | sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | |
| 1. b) Purchase/develop instructional materials that are CCSS-aligned (i.e. highly engaging non-fiction books with a variety of text complexity) and purchase materials that support English Language Arts, ELD and other textbook/materials replacement. | 1.b. Est. Cost: \$80,000 (Prop-20 Lottery) \$192,000 & \$20,000 ELD (GF One-time funds) | 1. b) Based on current textbook adoption calendar, ELA/EL books are not needed at this time. Funds are to be repurposed to purchase Spanish, SDAIE World Cultures textbooks. Additional textbook purchases for the next fiscal year should be for CCSS mathematics. | | \$116,300 (Prop 20 Lottery) \$88,620 (GF one-time funds) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | |
| _x_ALL | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | |
| 1. c) Pilot district-wide comprehensive assessment systems for English language arts and mathematics. In English language arts, teachers will work collaboratively to develop a CCSS and SBAC aligned assessment system. Implement the English language development assessments. Establish baseline student achievement data for all systems. (software licenses, extra-duty time) | 1.c. Est. Cost: \$59,000 (GF One-time funds) | 1. c) This was accomplished. Initially, funds were allocated to purchase Acuity Assessment System for Pilot. This product proved to be insufficient for HSD so it was discontinued. In addition, licenses for Las Links (EL assessment) were purchased, but once the software was loaded onto district computers, it was not possible to log in due to technical difficulties. All licenses are still valid and will be used in 2016-2017 school year beginning in the Fall. Teachers piloted CAASPP Interim Assessment System and SRCS chose to continue its use in the 2016-2017 school year. A plan for using the CAASPP Interim Assessments as an ongoing benchmark is | | Pilot \$4,500 Repurpose remaining funds. 9/8/2016 2:23 PM |

| | | | - | | Page 82 of 122 |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | remaining funds w | ss. In the 2015-16 school year, ere repurposed to provide travel for al development (AVID and AP). | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |
| <u>x</u> Foster Youth x | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_at-risk Students | | X_Foster Youth | upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English r Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at risk</u> | |
| coaching to teache embedded in the so will also be support (subject to negotiat | support in content areas via direct rs and train-the-trainer coaching chool day (5-sections). This work ed through collaboration time ions) and coaching provided by using the Instructional Observation | 1.d. Est. Cost: \$127,000 (GF One-time funds) | of ELD support at a Leads and EL coad model, a PD plan is school to expand to across the curricule AVID, CCSS, etc. training and planni | s accomplished. Increased the level all sites based on the work of Lit ches. Based on the success of this s being developed for each high eacher learning to include writing um and grade level, EL strategies, Leads who participated in on-going ng meetings with UCB HSSP staff folding for EL's and introduce | 1.d. Cost: \$105,265 (GF One-time funds) |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |
| <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_ Students with | | X Foster Youth | upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English r Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students</u> | |
| 1. e) Provide highly all classrooms. | qualified credentialed teachers in | 1.e. Est. Cost: \$10 Million General Fund \$850,000 (Parcel Tax) | | tem has been accomplished. There I teacher in every classroom in the | 1.e. Cost: \$10.2 Million LCFF \$953,000 (Parcel Tax) |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | | <u>X_</u> ALL | | |

| | | | | | Page 83 of 122 |
|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| Foster YouthRe | English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 1. f) Establish committee to develop and implement district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction—possibly expanding interventions and enrichment beyond the school day and focusing on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, and other at-risk youth. | | 1.f. Est. Cost: Title I (Sites) | 1. f) This goal was accomplished. A committee was established and met monthly beginning in December 2015. Future goals are to expand the role of the RTI Committee in order to monitor peer courts, greater student voice and involvement in school governance, and RTI implementation at each site. In addition, the committee will monitor attendance and suspension data for targeted youth. | | Cost: Title I (Sites) |
| Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |
| <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify <u>academically at-</u> th disabilities | | <u>X</u> Foster Youth proficient <u>X</u> Othe | upils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Redesignated fluent English r Subgroups:(Specify) <u>academically</u> rudents with Special Needs | |
| service (Apex Learn to determine effecti new credit recovery | plement online credit recovery ning) and assess student progress veness and consider piloting a program and develop or district-wide implementation in license) | 1.g. Est. Cost: \$23,000 (General fund) | 150 Apox Loorping anthware licenses were purchased | | Cost: \$22,400 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |

| | | | | Page 84 of 122 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ academically at- risk youth 1. h.) Implement district plan to increase access to electives and enrichment activities for targeted | 1.h. Est. Cost: | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) academically <u>at-risk youth</u> 1. h) This goal was accomplished. Each high school increased the access to electives for all students, | | |
| student subgroups, including making changes to the master schedule to increase student access and participation. (within site FTE allocation) | \$0 | including Newcomer and EL students through the addition of a 7 th period (8 th period available at SRHS) at each high school. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | |
| ALL | - | ALL | | |
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with Disabilities | | |
| 1. i) Increased communications and trainings will be provided for students and parents on the student information system. | 1.i. Est. Cost: \$0 | 1. i) This was accomplished. The District provided trainings on Aeries to parent and will continue to provide training ads needed. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | lsEnglish Learners Redesignated fluent English | |
| 1. j) Provide transition support services to incoming 9 th grade students. | 1.j. Est. Cost: Site allocations | provides transition s graders through 9th student lead tours, o | accomplished. Each high school support services to incoming 9 th grade student/parent orientations, opening of school activities, and neetings with assigned counselor. | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x</u> ALL |] | <u>X</u> ALL | | |

| | | | | | Page 85 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 1. k) Identify site EL coordinators within site allocation, including assistant principals, to design and implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system. | | 1.k. Est. Cost: \$0 | 1. k) This goal was partially accomplished. Each site identified an EL coordinator (and AP) to oversee the effectiveness of the EL program at each site; however, we are still working on the implementation of a districtwide assessment program. | | .\$0 |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |
| OR: _Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners _Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | OR: Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | |
| 1. I) Continue and expand summer credit recovery program targeting ELs and other students in need of credit recovery (software license - Apex) | | 1.I. Est Cost: \$15,000 Title I Site Carryover | 1.I) This goal was accomplished. Although we want students to be successful in their core classes, credit recovery opportunities are available to all students during summer school. | | Cost: \$0 Title I Site Carryover |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 11-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 11-12 | |
| ALL | · | | ALL | | |
| <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify)_at-risk Students | | x Foster Youth | pils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English r Subgroups:(Specify)_ at-risk | |

| | | | | | Page 86 of 122 |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| implementation of t | design of and initiate he English Learner Master Plan. f English Learner Programs) | 1.m. Est. Cost: \$89,000 General Fund | m) This was part departure of the Di During the first part completed the follo Completed 12 ELD stat revised rect Fountas & I conducted s newcomer in phased in s Ed. Service development protocol to and focusin and while the is unfilled, be instructionation classroom to EL's. | Cost: \$48,000 LCFF S/C | |
| Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | |
| ALL | |] | ALL | ALL | |
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners —Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with Disabilities | | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities | | |
| 1. n) Provide additional sections (2.6 FTE) for newcomer cohorts at both comprehensive high schools. Add 1.0 ELD at SRHS. Develop a plan to | | 1.n. Est. Cost: \$337,000 (newcomer) | 1. n) This goal was accomplished. Each comprehensive high school received an additional allocation (2.6 FTE total) for newcomer cohorts. | | Cost: \$337,000 (newcomer) |
| phase in a redesigned Newcomer Program with support pathways for native language literacy. | | \$109,000 (ELD) General Fund | In addition, the district conducted several planning meetings for a newcomer model for EL's in grades 6-12 to be phased in starting in 2016-2017. | | \$109,000 (ELD) General Fund |
| Scope of service: | SRHS & TLHS 9-12 | | Scope of service: | SRHS & TLHS 9-12 | |
| | | _ | | | |

| | 7 | | Page 87 of 122 |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | ALL OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| 1. o) Provide above ratio 0.2 FTE to both comprehensive high schools to provide supplemental instruction and preparation to targeted student subgroups to pass the CAHSEE. | 1.o. Est. Cost: \$54,800 General Fund | 1.o) The CAHSEE as a graduation require was eliminated for the 2015-2016 school year. Funds were repurposed for other student interventions. | Cost: \$50,000 LCFF |
| Scope of service: SRHS & TLHS 9-12 | | Scope of service: SRHS & TLHS 9-12 | |
| ALL | - | ALL OR: | |
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk Students | | OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ at-risk Students | |
| 1. p) Maintain counselor staffing at each high school (6.8 FTE). | 1.p. Est. Cost: \$880,000 Parcel Tax | 1.p) This goal was accomplished. Counselor staffing has been maintained. | Cost: \$861,000 Parcel Tax |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk Students | - | ALL OR: <u>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners</u> <u>_x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English</u> proficient <u>_x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk</u> Students | |
| 1. q) Expand AVID program at Terra Linda High and San Rafael High within existing teacher allocations, focusing on targeted student subgroups. (5860) | 1.q. Est. Cost: \$24,000 General Fund | 1.q) This goal was accomplished. At SRHS, an additional section of AVID has been added. Both high schools have made progress toward a schoolwide AVID program. | Cost: \$23,575 LCFF |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12ALL | - | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12ALL | |

| | | | | | | Page 88 of 122 |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities | | | |
| 1. r) Continue development of a plan to improve and increase College and Career Center services— specifically for ELs, first generation college goers, and low-income youth. Increase College & Career Advisor from 1.0 to 2.0 (1.0 FTE at each comprehensive HS) | | 1.r. Est. Cost: \$130,000 General Fund | 1.r) This goal was accomplished. We increased the number of CCCA from 1.0 FTE to 2.0FTE. In addition, a visioning process for the CCC took place, a new job description was created for the Advisor position, and a long range plan for the CCC is being developed. | | Cost:\$91,000 LCFF | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | | ALL OR: | | |
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with Disabilities | | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | |
| 1. s) Develop a 21st century/technology instructional plan. | | 1.s. Est. Cost: \$0 | 1.s) This goal was not met. Due to the departure of our CTO, the plan was never developed; however, Ed Services will work closely with the CTO to create a 21st century/technology instructional plan. | | .\$0 | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL | | | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ Students with Disabilities | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | |
| expenditures will be reviewing past | What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of changes to goals?The cost of the Lit Leads will be assumed under the Educator Effectiveness Grant with a new emphasis on utilizing these teacher coaches as a resource for creating teacher driven professional development. We will utilize a textbook adoption calendar in order to anticipate and manage future textbook costs. We will continue to work with HSD assessment team in order determine the correct CAASPP interim assessments to administer and to which grades. The HSD will also begin work on developing SRCS benchmark assessments that can be utilized across all sites and in conjunction with the CAASPP interim assessments. | | | | | will utilize a ontinue to work nister and to |

| | Beginning in the fall of 2016, we will introduce a new course sequence for our Newcomers that will offer our |
|--|---|
| | English Learners greater access to core classes. Lastly, we will continue to develop a vision and redesign of our |
| | College and Centers so that more students have access to this resource. |

| from prior year LCAP: | Provide all staff with differentiated professional of ollaboration, alignment, and high quality staff retenaximize student learning and achievement. Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 12_x345_6_x_78 COE only: 910 Local : Specify | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Goal Applies t | O: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, II | English Learners, Fos | ster Youth | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | Common Core a) Redefined role and protocols for Instructional Leadership Teams to analyze assessment data, monitor student growth, and refine instructional initiatives b) Increased number of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned units of instruction across all core content areas Student Success and Support Systems c) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data d) Improved teacher efficacy in the use of student information systems, learning management systems, and other electronic information tools e) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and student assessment— including refined protocols for Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Targeted Subgroups f) Increased academic achievement of English learners across all core content areas g) Increased academic achievement of students in targeted subgroups | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | bodies that focus instructional initiat department chairs better meet the ne comprised of spec an interest in curr to professional de b) This outcome h CCSS-aligned un having two compl place to determine aligned. c) Both comprehe hand scoring of th which gave teach individual role in p d) All teachers we small group of tea a LMS. The HSD presentations from made by fall 2016 e) PLCs are happ | h schools are functioning leadership on student outcomes and monitor tives. SRHS ILT is made up mostly of s, while TLHS has been redesigned to eeds of a changing school vision. It is cific teacher leaders who have shown icular development and a willingness evelopment. The been achieved and the number of tits have increased with every teacher leted unit of instruction. A process is in e whether or not units are CCSS- ensive high schools participated in the the CAASPP Interim Assessments, ters heightened understanding of their preparing students for SBAC. The trained in Aeries. Currently, a achers at each high school are piloting division would like to schedule LMS m vendors so that a decision can be 5 with full implementation by fall 2017. being through monthly department er, refined protocols are not widely |

| | High Quality Staff | | f) This outcome was ac | biovod Tooobo | Page 90 of 122 | |
|---|---|----------------|---|---|------------------|--|
| | High-Quality Staff h) Improved staff retention rate | | professional developme | | | |
| | i) Increased efficacy of new staff in the use of | | increasing academic achievement of English Learne | | | |
| | district-wide tools, protocols, | | across all core content areas. | | | |
| | | · • | g) This outcome was a | chieved. Profess | sional | |
| | | t new and | development practices | such as observa | ations of | |
| | existing staff | ion in | classrooms through the | | | |
| | k) Increased teacher participation | | academic achievement | of students in ta | argeted | |
| | professional development of committees, and extra-curric | • | subgroups. | _ | | |
| | events. | | h) While retention rates | | | |
| | events. | | the Human Resources | | | |
| | | | reasons for staff depart September 2015 and p | | | |
| | | | 2015. | | | |
| | | | i) This outcome was a | chieved. Certific: | ated and | |
| | | | classified staff participa | | | |
| | | | professional development | | | |
| | | | increased efficacy in th | | wide tools, | |
| | | | protocols, and systems. | | | |
| | | | j) This outcome was not achieved, as the Human | | | |
| | | | Resources Department chose prioritized other initiatives | | | |
| | | | in place of implementin | | | |
| | | | k) Teachers participate | | | |
| | | | development opportunities including AVID Summer | | | |
| | | | | Institute. In addition, teachers are a part of a number of district committees including, CCIT, SCAC, RTI | | |
| | | | | Taskforce, LCAP Engagement Taskforce, etc. | | |
| | | | Teachers also participa | | | |
| | | | Governance, Mock Tria | | ing, and other | |
| | | | after school opportuniti | es. | | |
| | | LCAP Year 0: 2 | 015 -16 UPDATE | | | |
| | Planned Actions/Services | | Actual Actions/ | Services | | |
| | | Budgeted | | | Estimated | |
| | | Expenditures | | | Actual Annual | |
| | | | 2 a) This goal was accomplished. U.T. | thath him | Expenditures | |
| 2. a) Align the re | ole of the Instructional Leadership | | 2. a) This goal was accomplished. ILT a | | | |
| Team (ILT) at a | Team (ILT) at all sites to support implementation of | | schools are functioning leadership bodie on student outcomes and monitor instru- | | 2.a. Cost: \$0 | |
| district and site instructional goals and monitor | | \$0 | initiatives. SRHS ILT is made up mostly | | 2.α. 003ι. φυ | |
| student progres | S. | | chairs, while TLHS has been redesigned | | | |
| I | | | | | 0/0/2016 2:22 DM | |

| | | | | | Page 91 of 122 |
|---|------------------|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | | meet the needs of a changing school vision. It is comprised of specific teacher leaders who have shown an interest in curricular development and a willingness to professional development. In addition, Madrone will need to develop an ILT beginning 2016-2017 school year. | | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | |
| 2.b) Create and implement CCSS-aligned units of instruction and assessments which will be uploaded to an online repository for teachers to access district- | | This goal was accomplished. The number of CCSS- aligned units have increased with every teacher having two completed units of instruction or have revised the first unit to better align with the SBAC. Units are vetted through the Lit Leads and/or Department chairs. | | \$9,400 Title II | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | | <u>X</u> ALL | 1 | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 2. c) Provide professional development based on new assessments and data to set student achievement targets and monitor student growth (training release time) | | 2.c. Est. Cost: \$5,000 Title II | This goal was accomplished. As an example, both comprehensive high schools participated in the hand scoring of the CAASPP Interim Assessments, which gave teachers a great understanding of their individual role in preparing students for SBAC. An assessment team will review data and make recommendations on how to incorporate interim/benchmark assessments in the future (i.e. continue with summative assessments or use block assessments, but cover more grades). | | \$5,000 Title II |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |

| | _ | | | Page 92 of 122 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|
| ALL OR: | | <u>X</u> ALL OR: | | _ |
| Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other | | | oilsEnglish Learners _Redesignated fluent English | |
| Subgroups:(Specify) | | Subgroups:(Specif | y) | |
| 2. d) Engage staff to identify key alignment processes, systems and trainings to effectively implement a student information system | 2.d. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal was accomplished. All HSD teachers were trained on Aeries. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | Foster Youth proficientOther Subgroups:(Specif | | |
| 2. e) Evaluate existing PLC structure and enhance with aligned tools to inform teacher collaboration and foster refinement of CCSS-aligned units, rubrics, and related tools to evaluate student performance. | 2.e. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal was accomplished. PLCs are happening through monthly department meetings; however, refined protocols are not widely utilized by all departments. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL |] | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | |
| 2. f) Provide professional development for all teachers on new ELD standards and related instructional strategies | 2.f. Est. Cost: \$15,000 Title II | This goal was partially accomplished. All EL teachers were provided professional development on new ELD standards and how the standards align with existing ELA content standards; however, professional development for all teachers needs to be completed. | | \$22,500 Title II |
| | | All ELD teachers of the new 6-12 E included on-going | | |

| | | | - | | Page 93 of 122 |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| | | | | bordinators provided support to | |
| | | | colleagues in the use of the ELD standards to inform instruction. Lit. Leads participated in on- | | |
| | | | | d planning with UCB HSSP to | |
| | | | increase familiari | ty and use of the ELD standards. | |
| | | | | ed on-going support to | |
| | | | | content teachers. Four TLH | |
| Coore of comissi | | | • • | ated in QTEL training. | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_X_</u> ALL | | - | ALL | | - |
| OR: | v English Learners | | OR: | oils <u>x</u> English Learners | |
| | <u>x</u> English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient | | | Redesignated fluent English | |
| Other | | | proficient _Other | | |
| Subgroups:(Specify) | | | Subgroups:(Specif | | |
| | | | | omplished. All site administration gh ILLT and with our consultant | |
| | sional development to site | 2.g. Est. Cost: \$0 | quarterly. | | |
| | sed on the use of assessments, Response to Intervention (RTI), | | | | \$0 |
| • | of the EL Master Plan. | | Site administrators | ΨŬ | |
| (Consultants) | | | professional develo assessments, instr | | |
| | | | - | ffective instruction, and RTI. | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | 1 | |
| OR: | | | _x_Low Income pu | pils <u>x</u> English Learners | |
| | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English | | | x_Redesignated fluent English | |
| proficient x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with | | | proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>Students</u> | | |
| disabilities | | | with disabilities | | |
| 2. h) Implement staff recruitment and selection | | | | , administrators, and board I ten recruitment fairs across the | |
| | | 2.h. Est. Cost: \$0 | state, resulting in b | \$0 | |
| competitive compensation (compensation packages \$0 subject to negotiations) | | φυ | school district earlier in the academic year and high | | |
| | , | | quality candidates | | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |

| | | | | | Page 94 of 122 |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| <u>x</u> ALL | | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | |
| 2. i) Establish on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff | | 2.i. Est. Cost: \$0 | The district provided on-boarding training to all new teachers prior to first day of instruction in August 2015. Education Services coordinated numerous skill-based trainings throughout the year to both classified and certificated staff members. | | \$) |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>x</u> ALL | |] | <u>X</u> ALL | |] |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | |
| strategies to gather | cess to use exit interview · data around teacher, support ator recruitment and retention | 2.j. Est. Cost: \$0 | This activity has not yet been implemented due to other district priorities. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | |] | <u>X</u> ALL | |] |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | |
| 2.k.1) Participate in a county-wide collaborative task force to identify issues and possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county. | | 2.k.1. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal was accomplished. The Chief Business Officer participated in this county-wide task force and the group agreed to adopt a countywide sub rate (see following item). | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u></u> ALL | |] | _ <u>X_</u> ALL | | |

| , | | | | | | Page 95 of 122 |
|--|------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: <u>at-risk students</u> | | | |
| 2. k.2) Consider an increase in the daily sub rate of pay from \$110 per day to \$120 per day, and the interim daily rate for days 11-20 in the same position from \$130 per day to \$140 per day | | 2.k.2. Est. Cost: \$20,000 General Fund | This goal was accomplished: the number of subs available was increased to this rate. | | \$30,000 (LCFF) | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL | | | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: <u>_at-risk students</u> | | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | | | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | | | ugh ongoing profess on how ALL content ducation Services wi new EL standards so | sional development, w t area teachers can be ill create more profess that teachers have a | n order to create a greater system of sha e will continue to create and review CCS cter support student success on the SBAC ional learning opportunities for all conte greater awareness of how to meet the ne r professional development that is aligne | S units with a C in ELA and Math. nt area teachers to eeds of our English |

Page 96 of 122

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:3. Manage our resources responsibly, transparently and in alignment with District goals and priorities so that the District is able to focus its efforts to move the needle for student success. | | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_x_2_3_4_5_6_x_7_8_ COE only: 9_10_ Local : Specify |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Goal Applies to: | Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone Applicable Pupil Subgroups: English Learners | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources b) Maintained or improved student device ratios c) Increased number of staff assigned new computers and/or tablets d) Bond measure passed in November 2015; completed timeline and budgets for implementation of Master Facilities Plan (MFP) e) Improved broadband and connectivity f) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space, instructional materials, and learning supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews g) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act. h) Maintained services for students with disabilities. i) Increased student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment. j) A plan to increase student engagement, collaboration, and enrichment via athletics. | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | filled. d) Measure B was 2015. A series of c winter and spring c plan for the bond f workshop and add surveys. The Distri- posted several soc summarize the wo h) Services were p i) Both comprehen- students to particip j) The collection of districts' funding be process of collating inform our work in and families in our | vas achieved, as all relevant positions were passed by San Rafael voters in November community workshops was held in the of 2016 to help shape the implementation unds. Over 200 people attended a litional 200 more people gave feedback via ict sent four District-wide e-communications cial media messages to preview and/or rkshops. provided in compliance with student IEPs. sives high schools actively encourage bate in the athletic program. data and information regarding other egan in spring of 2016. Currently, we are in g and analyzing the data, which will help creating a plan for engaging more students athletic programs. |
| | LCAP Year 0: 2 Planned Actions/Services | 015 -16 <mark>UPDAT</mark> | | ctions/Services |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures 9/8/2016 2:23 PM |

| | | | | Page 97 of 122 |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| 3. a.1) Provide 3 full time site administrators and office staff to support instructional programs at both comprehensive high schools and 1 full time site administrator at Madrone with office staff. | 3.a.1. Est. Cost: \$1.9 Million General Fund | full-time site admi comprehensive hi | been achieved. There are three nistrators and office staff at both igh schools, and one full-time at Madrone with office staff. | \$2.0 Million (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL |] | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify) | |
| 3. a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support high schools. | 3.a.2. Est. Cost: \$2.2 Million General Fund | | been achieved: custodial and ave been provided. | \$1.9 Million (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>_x_</u> ALL | | _X_ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify) | |
| 3. a.3) Provide full time Campus Security II and full time Campus Supervisors at both comprehensive high schools (Total of 4.0 FTE). | 3.a.3. Est. Cost: \$210,000 General Fund | This activity has been achieved: these positions have been filled. | | \$223,000 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>_x_</u> ALL |] | _X_ALL | | |
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | Foster YouthRo | | |
| 3. b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio. | 3.b. Est. Cost: \$80,000 Parcel Tax | | been achieved and is ongoing. ave received additional chrome | \$20,000 (Parcel Tax) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | - | <u>X</u> ALL | | _ |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | Foster YouthR | English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | |

| | | | Page 98 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|
| 3. c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff. | 3.c. Est. Cost: \$80,000 Parcel Tax | This activity has been achieved and is ongoing. Outdated computers and/or tablets have been replaced as needed. | \$39,000 (Parcel Tax) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | <u> </u> | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| 3. d.1) Complete an RFP process to select design teams and begin design process with school site facilities committees. | 3.d.1. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal has been accomplished. Each high school has a functional site facilities committee. | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL | | _ <u>X_</u> ALL |] |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students | |
| 3. d.2) Complete communications plan developed for Master Facilities Plan and funding options. | 3.d.2. Est. Cost: \$26,000 General Fund | The District implemented an informational plan to gather feedback from the community and share information on the District's facility needs. There were several points of engagement to inform the facilities plans. After Measure B was successful, the District implemented a communications and community engagement plan, with a focus on a series of community workshops, to develop the implementation plan for the bond fund. The workshops included opportunities for input on the District-wide priorities and the schedule before going to the Board for consideration. The Board approved the implementation plan. | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL | | _X_ALL | |

| | | | | Page 99 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------|
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglisi _Foster YouthRedesignate _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 3. e) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband throughput and connectivity through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure. | 3.e. Est. Cost: \$0 General Fund Bond Funds | This work will be complete Facilities Plan, which was Board on April 18 th , 2016. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All School | ols 9-12 | |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL |] | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglisi _Foster YouthRedesignate Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 3. f.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth. | 3.f.1. Est. Cost: \$50,000 General Funds | This goal has been achiev has received resources for equipment to accommoda enrollment. | \$66,000 LCFF | |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All School | ols 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL |] | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglisi Foster YouthRedesignate Other Subgroups:(Specify) | h Learners ed fluent English proficient | |
| 3. f.2) Identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth. | 3.f.2. Est. Cost: Capital Facility Fund 25 | This goal has been achieved and is ongoing. | | \$150,000 (Fd 25) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All School | ols 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 3. g) In accordance with the California Education Code, contribute 3% of the General Fund to support Routine Restricted Maintenance. | 3.g. Est. Cost: \$1 Million General Fund | This goal has been accom contributed over 3% of the support Routine Restricted | \$1,025,000 (LCFF) | |

Page 100 of 122 Scope of service: Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 All Schools 9-12 _x_ALL X ALL OR: OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth _____ Redesignated fluent English proficient Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subaroups: (Specify) Other Subgroups: (Specify) This goal has been accomplished. LCFF funds 3. h) Contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality were provided for high quality special education special education services for students with \$3.8 Million 3.h. Est. Cost: \$3.7 services in accordance with requirements outlined disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance Million LCFF (LCFF) with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities with Disabilities Education. (IDEA) Act. Education. (IDEA) Act. Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 ALL ALL OR: OR: __Low Income pupils __English Learners Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient X Other Subgroups: (Specify) students with disabilities X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)__students with disabilities 3. i) Continue to support athletic programs through This goal has been accomplished. SRCS and the HSD 3.i. Est. Cost: hiring of various classified and certificated athletic increased the number of classified and certificated \$190,000 \$244.000 coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also \$45.000 (LCFF) \$22.000 section for an Athletic Director. The District funds provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District General Fund funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS Scope of service: San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 Scope of service: San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 <u>x</u>ALL OR: _X_ALL OR · Low Income pupils __English Learners Low Income pupils ____English Learners Foster Youth ____Redesignated fluent English proficient Foster Youth ____Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) Other Subgroups: (Specify) 3. j) Conduct a needs assessment and review data to determine the appropriate level of district funding to This goal has been partially accomplished. Athletic the comprehensive high schools for athletics. As part directors at both comprehensive sites have requested of the process and to make a data-driven decision, 3.j. Est. Cost: information from other Districts within Marin and San the following will be analyzed: the support levels of \$90.000 General \$0 Francisco Counties. This data is currently being athletics in districts across the county; the current Fund organized and analyzed to better inform our decision needs of the schools; compliance with Title IX; and making process. the effectiveness of athletics in increasing student achievement and engagement, particularly for

| | | | | | Page 101 of 122 |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| targeted subgroups \$45,000) | s. (Current allocation to each site: | | | | |
| Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | Scope of service: | San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | <u>x_</u> ALL | | <u>X</u> ALL | | |
| | sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| What changes in a | ctions, services, and expenditures esult of reviewing past progress | A plan for our athletic programs is currently in development, which will include an overview of | | | |

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: | betw | evelop and implement highly effective two-way sy even the District and its stakeholders to ensure in cipation in, and support for decision-making abo | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 2 3_x_ 4 5 6_x_ 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify | | |
|---|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| Goal Applies | s to: | Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, Englis | sh Learners, Foster N | Youth, Students with Dis | abilities, Redesignated fluent English proficient |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: | b) c) d) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes: | sent to the gopen rate of media reach were distributed and staff with established. b) District resp thoughtful a c) Uniform survito families b Results are 2016. d) This outcom these recom | d number of communications was greater SRCS community. Baseline f e-communications and social n was established. Several surveys uted to the community and teachers th baseline completion rates onded to inquiries and feedback in nd timeline manner. veys were developed and launched oth electronically and on paper. expected to come in through June ne was partially achieved. While mendations were not formally all school sites have community |

| f) | Improved parent participation in the IEP | | liai |
|----|--|----|----------|
| | process for the target populations. | e) | Th |
| g) | Baseline is known and there is increased | | sit |
| | support for first-generation college students to | f) | Th |
| | take the required coursework and complete the | | fac |
| | steps to attend college. | 、 | ful |
| h) | Increased parent access to district initiatives | g) | Th |
| | and projects and improved participation in district events and planning. | | ge |
| i) | Increased parent voice and refined role in | | en Cc |
| '' | district decision-making. | | on |
| j) | Improved student learning, stronger families | | In |
| J/ | and healthier communities. | | Or |
| | | | EL |
| | | | со |
| | | | on |
| | | | su |
| | | h) | Ad |
| | | | op |
| | | i) | no Th |
| | | 1) | fac |
| | | | CO |
| | | j) | Th |
| | | 11 | Di |
| | | | со |

liaisons and offer translation services. This outcome has been achieved: all school

- sites have maintained community liaisons.
- f) This goal occurred: the IEP community liaison facilitated parents being able to participate more fully in the IEP process.
- g) This outcome has been reached. Firstgeneration college students are identified and encourage to participate in the AVID program. Counselors meet with every student as least once a year to discuss college readiness plans. In addition, SRCS collaborates with Community Organizations focusing on college readiness for EL, low socio-economic, and 1st generation college students. Counselors meet with CBOs once a month to align strategies for student success.
- Additional meetings were held; meetings were open and the public was welcome; meeting notices were posted
- The principals have more support to plan and facilitate these site-level groups and committees.
- This outcome has been reached. Currently, the Director of Teaching and Learning 9-12, both comprehensive principals, and selected counselors from each site, participate in two action teams designed to build community support for college readiness.

Page 103 of 122

| LCAP Year 0: 2015 -16 UPDATE | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | | | | | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures | | |
| 4. a.1) Implement the Community Engagement and Communications Plan, including messaging about the LCAP, facilities planning, programmatic changes, SBAC, college readiness activities and other educational knowledge to be distributed widely through website, social media and other strategies. As part of the plan, begin a Request for Proposal (RFP) process and identify and select a vendor and for a website redesign. | 4.a.1. Est. Cost: \$25,000 (GF One- time funds) | which was developed Communications Cool Initiatives, was imple communication for tai included a series of r development process Advisory Committee Stakeholder Taskford Additionally, the District- programs and initiative communication to tea members on District- programs and initiative component of the cool this year have center The District is conside explored potential ver build and launch a ner improvements, and ver option for a District-we Communication and electronic and face-ter included enhancing ver Constant Contact e-r | rict has increased and enhanced its achers, staff, parents and community wide initiatives, as well as school ves. In addition to the LCAP, a large mmunication and engagement efforts red on improving our school facilities. lering redesigning the website and has undors. The District selected a vendor to ew website for the Measure B facilities vill pilot the site to determine if it is an <i>v</i> ide redesign. engagement has been in print, o-face. Electronic communication has website content, social media and messages. District staff have attended ents and hosted District-wide workshops | \$0 LCFF | | |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | <u>_x_</u> ALL | | | | |

| | | | | Page 104 of 122 |
|---|--|--|------------------|--------------------|
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupils Foster YouthR Other Subgroups: | | |
| 4. a.2) Maintain .5 FTE Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine and enhance communication efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups | 4.a.2. Est. Cost: \$64,000 General Fund | The .5 FTE position of Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator was maintained. | | \$71,130 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _ <u>x_</u> ALL |] | <u>_x_</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupils Foster Youth R Other Subgroups: | | |
| 4. b) Increase customer service by offering higher- quality phone and online opportunities for stakeholders to provide input and feedback on District initiatives and key decisions | 4.b. Est. Cost: \$0 | With coordination b Office, the District phone and online of communication has content, social med messages. When r an opportunity for s and feedback. Mes Spanish. | \$0 | |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | _x_ALL OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | |
| 4. c.1) Design system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of parents, guardians and caregivers. | 4.c.1. Est. Cost: \$500 General Fund | To help standardize surveys to allow us to get more reliable results and improve outcomes, all schools were asked to include a set of questions on parent engagement and school climate. The sets of questions came from the Harvard Graduate School of Education's K-12 Parent Survey template. They are | | \$500 (LCFF) |

| | | | | | Page 105 of 122 |
|--|--|---------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| | | | Coordinated by the and Communicatio supported all 9-12 administering the s platform was made could also be creat both English and S administered in Ma | ools across the country. District's Community Engagement ns Coordinator, the District school sites in developing and surveys. The Survey Monkey online available for schools; paper copies ted from software. Surveys were in spanish. Surveys are being and June 2016, and results will halyzed over the summer. | |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| | English Learners edesignated fluent English proficient Specify) | - | <u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| to measure gaps in | to measure gaps in engagement and service for Fund | | The Gallup poll was not implemented in the 2015-2016 school year, but will be implemented in the 2016-2017 school year. | | \$0 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| x_Foster Youth x_ proficient x_Other S | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English ubgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk students</u> | | <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> <u>x</u> Other Subgroups | ls <u>x</u> English Learners _Redesignated fluent English proficient ::(Specify)at-risk students | |
| refine the role of co services, including | mmittee that will evaluate and mmunity liaisons and the related translation, as well as develop ons and on-going professional | 4.d. Est. Cost: \$0 | While a formal committee was not developed, community liaisons were staffed at each school site and translation services are offered to families. Community liaisons attended professional development opportunities throughout the year and began to discuss the development of common expectations as well as differences across school sites. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: | District-wide | | Scope of service: | District-wide | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |

| | | | | Page 106 of 122 |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------|
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupi <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Other Subgroups: | | |
| 4. e) Maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability. | 4.e. Est. Cost: \$120,000 General Fund | | een achieved: Staffing levels for the ns at all sites were maintained. | \$78,000 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | - | ALL | | |
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | x_Foster Youth x | ils <u>x</u> English Learners _Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | |
| 4. f) Add .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process. | 4.f. Est. Cost: \$20,000 General Fund | Added the .5 FTE Community Liaison support for Special Education and maintained the Community Liaison support for the District Office for a total of 1.0 FTE. | | \$21,000 LCFF |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) | | | ils <u>x</u> English Learners _Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | |
| 4. g) Establish a baseline of attendance and continue increased outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college goers, and low-income students. | 4.g. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal was accomplished. All college readiness events were placed on the sites master counseling calendar and sign sheets were used at each event. Parents were contacted about each event through various formats. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students with disabilities | | x_Foster Youth x | ils <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | |

| | | | | | Page 107 of 122 |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| | nprove and refine bilingual and ne, social media, etc.) Is and strategies. | 4.h. Est. Cost: \$0 | With coordination by staff in the Superintendent's Office, the District has improved and enhanced our bilingual and diverse communication tools and strategies. Messages are sent in both English and Spanish. Electronic communication has included enhancing website content, social media and Constant Contact e-messages. A bi-lingual community liaison position is allocated for the District Office. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: A | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | | ALL | | |
| | s <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient Specify) | | OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| and provide a caler purpose and role in the LCAP; post all a | PAC at the beginning of the year adar for meetings with a clear monitoring the implementation of agendas 72 hours in advance of cordance with the Brown Act. | 4.i.1. Est. Cost: \$0 | The PAC was established in 2014-15 as a two-year committee. The PAC continued its work this year, with its initial meeting in December 2015. PAC members were invited to attend and participate in the LCAP \$0 Stakeholder Taskforce sessions, which had a series of meetings from January through April 2016. The PAC will reconvene in June 2016 to review the draft LCAP and submit questions to the Superintendent. All agendas were posted 72 hours in advance. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: A | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL | | | <u>x</u> ALL | | |
| OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 4. i.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making. Also, restructure the ELAC and DELAC through Board policy to modernize objectives and align to LCFF and LCAP processes. | | 4.i.2. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal was accomplished and is ongoing. The Education Service Director of 9-12 and Director of English Learner Programs worked with principals to support greater recruitment of parents for all advisory committees. | | \$0 |

Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 ___ALL __ALL OR: OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners <u>x</u>Low Income pupils <u>x</u>English Learners __Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient ___Foster Youth __x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students_ _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students_ 4. j) Work with community agencies and groups to This goal was accomplished. Through our partnership develop and formalize partnerships to create a with a number of CBOs, the HSD has worked 4.j. Est. Cost: \$0 community schools environment. collaboratively in developing a plan for college \$0 readiness for traditionally under-served populations. Scope of service: Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 All Schools 9-12 _x_ALL _x_ALL OR: OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Low Income pupils English Learners __Foster Youth __Redesignated fluent English proficient Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) __Other Subgroups:(Specify)__ Teachers, staff, leadership, parents, students and community members continue to give valuable feedback on our communication and engagement efforts, particularly around the LCAP development and major District initiatives. This feedback is informing the refinement and enhancement of the communications plan for future years. The PAC What changes in actions, services, and and LCAP Stakeholder Taskforce will be refined given feedback from participants. As the District explores expenditures will be made as a result of redesigning the website, there will be significant attention paid to how we can improve feedback options from the reviewing past progress and/or changes to website. Results of the District-wide common surveys will inform the development of future LCAPs and we will doals? continue to ensure all sites are administering the survey in future years. The Survey Monkey tool will continue to be refined. The administering and timeline will also continue to be refined given input from the school community. We continue to expand course offerings within current site allocations.

| Original GOAL from prior year LCAP: | stud | 5. Establish effective systems and a welcoming environment that allow staff, students and families to feel safe and included so that they can participate fully in student learning and the school community. | | | Related State and/or Local Priorities: 12345_x_67_x_8_x COE only: 910 Local : Specify | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Goal Applie | Schools: Terra Linda HS, San Rafael HS, Madrone Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All, Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth | | | | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: |)) | | I health and counse and improved stude on | ing services to Actual Annual | | was achieved, as the nurse position | |

Page 108 of 122

| h) Improved College and Conserved | | | a) A timeline for the re-enviolation for | Page 109 of 122 |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| for all students c) Completed Career/Technical Edexpansion plan. d) Increased student and staff eng 5% as measured by Gallup eng survey e) Reduced suspension rate by 5% f) Improved attendance for student absence by 5% | c) Completed Career/Technical Education (CTE) expansion plan. d) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey e) Reduced suspension rate by 5% f) Improved attendance for students with chronic absence by 5% g) At-risk students are identified and provided | | b) A timeline for the re-envisioning for the CCC began in the fall 2015. This work included a draft revised job description. c) This goal was accomplished, as a plan is in place. d) Data on this outcome is not available, as the Gallup engagement poll was not conducted. e) The number suspensions declined from 170 in 2013-2014 to 128 in 2014-2015 (2015-2016 data is currently unavailable). The percentage for this matrix has been adjusted to 2% for each of the following three years. f) Although we saw an overall increase in the number of students participating in athletics and electives, there was a slight decrease in the overall attendance rate from 94.72% to 93.67% (2015-2016). HSD should continue to monitor students identified with chronic absenteeism closely and determine which programs best connect them with school culture (including athletics, electives, clubs, student governance, peer counseling, PBIS). The percentage for this matrix has been adjusted to reduce chronic absenteeism by 5% over each of the following three years, but we will reexamine the percentage of this matrix following 2016-2017 school year. g) This outcome has been achieved. Teen Screen worked with students at Terra Linda High School and San Rafael High School, and referred at-risk students to services. | |
| | | | | |
| | LCAP Year 0: 2 | 015 -16 UPDATE | | |
| Planned Actions/Services | Planned Actions/Services Actual Actions/Services | | - | |
| | Budgeted Expenditures | | | Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures |
| 5. a.1) Maintain 1.0 FTE nurse for the high school district to continue to support student physical health and provide greater access to health services for "At Risk" students. | | This goal was accomplished. A 1.0 nurse is provided to the HSD in order to support the overall health of at risk students.\$118,317 (LCFF) | | \$118,317 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |

| | | | Page 110 of 122 |
|--|--|---|---|
| <u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| 5. a.2) Review current levels of counseling staff caseloads and review and revise duties based on caseload research. | 5.a.2. Est. Cost: \$0 | Additional counselors were hired, which decreased counseling staff caseloads. In addition, administrators and counselors participated in efforts with Marin Promise Partnership, which enabled them to participate in county-wide initiatives, sharing best practices and pooling resources. | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ | | <u>X_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| 5. b.1) Review and determine approach and best practices to increase College and Career Center services in conjunction with the College and Career Pathways Grant. Staff 2 sections at each comprehensive high school (.8 FTE) | 5.b.1. Est. Cost: \$80,000 Career Pathways Grant | This goal was accomplished. A new bilingual CCCA was hired and is proving services in partnership with our other CCCA. A visioning process has begun as part as rethinking the service delivery model for our CCCs. | \$93,200 (Career Pathways Grant) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ first generation college goers, students with disabilities | | ALL OR: x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ first generation college goers, students with disabilities | |
| 5. b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | 5.b.2. Est. Cost: \$33,000 General Fund | This partnership continued. SRCS and the HSD division participates in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education (MOU) to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | \$34,361 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL |] | ALL | |

| | | | Page 111 of 122 |
|---|---|--|--------------------|
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) first generation college goers, students with disabilities | | OR: <u>x Low Income pupils x English Learners</u> <u>Foster Youth x Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>x Other Subgroups:(Specify)</u> first generation college goers, students with disabilities | |
| 5. b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | 5.b.3. Est. Cost: \$54,500 General Fund | This partnership continued. SRCS and the HSD continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups. | \$60,600 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | - | ALL | |
| OR: | | OR: | |
| <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>x</u> Redesignated fluent English | | <u>_x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners</u> _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient | |
| proficient <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) <u>at-risk</u> | | _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk | |
| students | | students | |
| 5. c) Continue design of a Career Technical 5.c. Est. C | | This goal was accomplished and ongoing. Both | |
| Education expansion plan that incorporates career/technical education pathways and integrates | | comprehensive high school plan to expand their offerings in computer science, engineering, and media | \$0 |
| with the CCSS Implementation plan. | | arts. | φΟ |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| <u>_x_</u> ALL | | <u>_X_</u> ALL | |
| OR: | | OR: | |
| Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient | | Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient | |
| Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | Other Subgroups:(Specify) | |
| 5. d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS). | 5.d.1. Est. Cost: \$18,000 General Fund | The implementation of PBIS continued. | \$5,835 (LCFF) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL | | ALL | |

| | | | | Page 112 of 122 |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u> <u>x</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | s_x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient s:(Specify) | |
| 5. d.2) Finalize plan and implement Restorative Practices in alignment with Board Goals. | 5.d.2. Est. Cost: Site allocation | This goal has been partially accomplished. Each site is currently developing a discipline matrix that will reflect a more restorative approach to student discipline. In addition, the RTI taskforce has been formed in order to monitor progress of RTI initiatives. | | \$0 (Site allocation) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | | sEnglish Learners edesignated fluent English proficient (Specify) | _ |
| 5. e) Develop a plan to establish professional development to systematize cultural competency. | 5.e. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal has been partially met. Although there are conversation around cultural competency, they are generally informal in nature. One goal for the 2016- 2016 school year is to infuse cultural competency training into ongoing PD, which will be reflected in the HSD master PD calendar. | | \$0 |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Redesignated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_significant ethnic groups | | <u>x</u> Foster Youth x | pils <u>x</u> English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient ::(Specify) <u>significant ethnic groups</u> | |
| 5. f.1) Implement staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, responsible social media assemblies)Using Gallop Poll for measurement. | 5.f.1. Est. Cost: Site budgets | This goal has been accomplished and is ongoing. | | \$0 (Site budgets) |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: | All Schools 9-12 | |
| _x_ALL | | <u>_X_</u> ALL | | |

| , | | | Page 113 of 122 | |
|---|--|---|--------------------|--|
| OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| 5. f.2) Develop the plan to increase participation in electives and athletic activities. | 5.f.2. Est. Cost: \$0 | This goal has been accomplished and is ongoing. Each comprehensive high school plans to expand course electives for the 2016-2017 school year. Courses include, media arts, engineering, computer science, as well as continue to support visual and performing arts at each site. | \$0 | |
| Scope of service: San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | Scope of service: San Rafael & Terra Linda 9-12 | | |
| _x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | _X_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | _ | |
| 5. g) Continue suicide prevention education program (continue Teen Screen while investigating other options). | 5.g. Est. Cost: \$9,000 General Fund | This has been accomplished. Teen Screen was implemented at SRHS and TLHS. | \$18,000 (LCFF) | |
| Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | Scope of service: All Schools 9-12 | | |
| _x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | <u>X</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify) | | |
| What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? | SRCS HSD will continue to have a strong focus on school culture and climate for the 2016-2017 school year. All high school sites have expanded elective offerings in order to better engage students in the learning process. At the conclusion of the 2015-2016 school year, we introduced "student voice" groups at each high school in order to gain a greater understanding of what students need and want from their schools and this program will continue into the 2016-2017 school year. Lastly, we will continue to develop and expand our CTE program so that students have access to real world learning opportunities through classroom instruction and outside internships. | | | |

Page 114 of 122

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$1,618,651

The district is increasing and/or improving services for our low income, English learners, and foster youth students by providing additional FTE above the contractual staffing ratio for newcomer, ELD, AVID, and ROP sections; which allows for reduced class size and increased support and differentiation from teachers. The district is also continuing to fund the district Director of EL Programs, district Communications and Engagement Coordinator, and bilingual community liaisons district-wide; these positions are focused on increasing parent involvement and decision-making, which in turn increases student achievement. The district will continue efforts to update the EL Master Plan and will fund new expenditures including new ELA/ELD standards-based instructional materials; comprehensive on-going assessment system (including ELA/ELD assessments); academic interventions; increased College and Career Center services; implementation of the Gallup Poll to measure engagement of low-income students and English learners and measure the perceived effectiveness of services; etc.

**These new expenditures and increased services will lead to improved instruction for targeted student subgroups, improved monitoring of academic achievement, improved and increased provision of academic and socio/emotional interventions, increased and improved provision of family-based services, increased access to college preparatory courses, increased and improved access to college and career opportunities. The total amount of services identified in section 3a is \$1,935,300 and exceeds the district total supplemental and concentration grant.

Targeted

1.b) Purchase ELD standards-based instructional materials (\$20,000—One-Time Funds). These materials will allow delivery of improved instruction based on the 2012 ELD Common Core Standards. Improved instruction and support will generate greater gains in English proficiency—this is a district-wide position that is targeted toward the EL pupils.

1.g) Continue to support on-line credit recovery program for targeted student subgroups with missing academic credits (\$23,000). These efforts will maintain high school graduation ratios above the state average for all targeted student subgroups.

Page 116 of 122

1.h) Implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system based on recommendations from site EL coordinators and the EL Taskforce. Continue to fund Las Links (\$15,000).

1.n) Provide above-ratio FTE to support additional content classes for newcomer students (\$414,500). Provide above-ratio FTE to support additional ELD courses. These courses provide additional academic and linguistic support to newcomer ELs to ensure the rapid acquisition of academic English and reducing the core content achievement gap. An additional 2.0 FTE (1.0 FTE per site) for intervention support will be provided to each comprehensive high school (\$160,000).

1.n) Increase staffing in the College and Career Center and develop a plan to further improve services focused on targeted subgroups. (\$120,500) These improvements will ensure more low-income students, English learners, and foster youth are served.

2.e) Provide professional development for all teacher leaders (Lit Leads and EL Coaches) on new ELA/ELD standards and related instructional strategies using UCBHSSP in a train-the-trainers model (\$54,000). Create master calendar for aligned professional development across all sites.

4.e) Maintain current staffing levels of Community Liaison staff to continue to provide supplemental services to targeted student subgroups (\$90,000). Community Liaisons provide assistance to low-income families and families who speak a language other than English and link them to community-based services (when applicable.) These services counteract the impacts of poverty and low parent education and lead to gains in student engagement and achievement.

District

1.a) Continue to implement CCSS Implementation plan through ongoing team meetings with teachers and principals (\$5000 Extra-duty hourly). Plan is to be revised in order to reflect new 3-year cycle of implementation. Our primary focus for the CCSS implementation plan will be to develop CCSS aligned units of instruction with embedded ELD standards.

1.c) Utilize District-wide data management system (\$6,900). These system will enable school staff to measure and monitor the academic achievement gap and progress of targeted student subgroups. It will also enable the establishment and delivery of targeted academic interventions, leading to closure of the achievement gap—this is a district-wide position that is targeted toward the EL pupils.

1.d) Continue CCSS/ELD support in content areas via train-the-trainer coaching embedded in the school day (Lit Leads 4-sections) (\$110,000). This work will also be supported through teacher collaboration time (subject to negotiations) and coaching provided by site administrators using the Instructional Observation Protocol. The primary focus of these trainings will to increase teacher awareness of instructional strategies for targeted student populations.

1.j) Continue supporting the position of Director of English Learner Programs so as to complete the design and initial implementation of the EL Master Plan. (\$94,000) Improved English learner programs will ensure greater academic gains, equitable access to college preparatory courses, and accelerated gains in English proficiency for English learners. Improvements to differentiation and attention to academic language will improve academic achievement of all targeted subgroups (\$5,000 extra duty hours) —this is a district-wide position that is targeted toward the EL pupils.

1.I) Maintain counselor staffing level at 2.8 FTE above historical allocation. (\$367,500) These staffing levels allow maintenance of quality and frequency of counseling services targeted to English learners, low-income students, foster youth, and academically at-risk students. These services facilitate the maintenance of the graduation rate above the state average and provide access to college preparatory courses.

1.m) Expand AVID courses at the comprehensive high schools, focusing on targeted student subgroups (\$29,000). AVID courses provide additional academic support to first-generation college goers, including English learners and low-income students.

2. c) Continue to provide professional development on implementation of CAASPP interim assessments and use of data to determine student college readiness (\$5,000 Extra-duty hourly).

2. d) Continue existing PLC structure and implement HSD professional development plan (created in 2015-16 for 2016-17) with a focus on teacher developed and driven professional development (\$15,000 release time; travel expenses).

2.f) Continue to provide site administrators with professional development through the monthly ILLT meeting with a focus on use of assessments, RTI, EL Master Plan implementation, and classroom observation (\$12,000). This professional development will focus solely on improving outcomes for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners.

3.g) Continue to support athletic programs through hiring of various classified and certificated athletic coaches. Comprehensive sites are also provided a section for an Athletic Director. The District funds 50% of an Athletic Trainer at SRHS (\$34,000).

3.i) In order to better monitor the progress of our targeted students, SRCS HSD will continue to provide .5 FTE Accountability Coordinator to support low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners (\$51,200). Other duties include, coordinating assessments for EL students and monitoring State and Federal compliance as it pertains to EL, low income, and foster/homeless students.

4.a.1) Implement improvements to the district communication plan, including a new website format, to increase participation of families of targeted student subgroups. (\$10,000) These actions will result in greater parent involvement and increased services to benefit low-income families and ELs— District funding to support greater communication with parents and community and is targeted toward the pupils that are generating the funding.

4.a.2) Increase communications support by providing a .5 FTE Communications Director to continue to refine and enhance communication and engagement efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a specific outreach to targeted subgroups (\$85,000). District funding to support greater communication with parents and community and is targeted toward the pupils that are generating the funding.

4.c.) Evaluate and refine system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of parents, guardians and caregivers. Analyze data from previous school years to inform LCAP development. The tool is Survey Monkey, a software system (\$200). District funding to support greater communication with parents and community and is targeted toward the pupils that are generating the funding.

4.f) Maintain Community Liaison support for district-wide programs at the District Office through a .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process and a .5 FTE Community Liaison to support district departments (\$93,000). These positions meet a critical

need we have in our community by providing direct support to low income families and families who speak a language than English and help link them to community-based services.

4.g) As part of the College & Career Development Plan, CCCA will work in collaboration with Community Liaisons to continue increase outreach to parents to participate in college readiness events targeting parents of English learners, first generation college students, and low-income students. Continue to fund Naviance (\$9,000)—this is targeted toward the pupils that are generating the funding.

4.h) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (print, email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies and certified translation services (\$5,000)— District funding to support greater communication with parents and community and is targeted toward the pupils that are generating the funding.

5.b.2) Continue to participate in the School to Career Partnership with Marin County Office of Education. (\$37,000) Services are focused on low-income youth, English learner, and reclassified students.

5.b.3) Continue to provide Regional Occupational Program (ROP) courses through a partnership with Marin County Office of Education. Classes are offered at the comprehensive high schools to provide services to targeted student subgroups (\$58,000)—although this is a districtwide service, special attention will be focused on the 4 targeted subgroups in order to increase their participation in ROP.

5.d.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS) (\$6,500.) Currently, we have a disproportionate number of targeted students suspended and expelled from school; through this effort, we intended to reduce suspensions and disciplinary actions towards targeted student subgroups, thus increasing classroom participation and academic achievement.

Page 119 of 122

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

8.15 %

According to the proportionality calculations included in the Local Control Funding Formula and prepared for the adopted budget, the percentage of increased or improved services is 8.15%. The total amount of services identified in section 3.a and described in the list of targeted expenditures for low income, English learners, and foster youth students exceeds the minimum proportionality.

- SRCS increased intervention support for low income, English learners, and foster youth students by adding 1.0FTE EL instructors at both of our comprehensives high schools (this is 20% increase over existing EL staffing). Part of the responsibility of these instructors (.2FTE of their time) will be to coach all content area teachers in best practices for EL students.
- Increased counseling support for targeted students, including adding a bilingual counselor (15% increase over existing counselor staffing). Counselors will focus on college readiness and how to offer more post-secondary opportunities to targeted students.
- Increase the number of College and Career Advisors from 1.0 to 2.0FTE, including a bilingual C&C Advisor (representing a 50% increase). The primary focus of these advisor is to provide support for first-time College going students.
- The Director of English Learner Programs develops programs to directly serve the needs of EL students and their families and provides professional development for staff. This position is a 50% increase in service to our EL students.
- In order to better monitor the progress of our targeted students, SRCS HSD will continue to provide .5 FTE Accountability Coordinator to support low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners (representing a 50% increase).
- SRCS will increase professional development for teachers in order to provide a greater focus on the specific needs low income, English learners, and foster youth students (25% increase).
- The presence of community liaisons as additional support staff at all sites improves relationships with our families whose primary language is not English and builds their capacity to be involved in their child's education.
- Over the course of coming school year, SRCS increased the number of ROP courses offered to include engineering, automotive, and computer science courses with an overall increase of 25% at each of comprehensive high schools. We are also extending the opportunity for students at our

continuation high school to enroll in these courses as well. Our goal is to increase the number of targeted students in these classes by 10%.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- 8-22-14 [California Department of Education]