

Santa Rosa I.S.D. Dyslexia Plan




TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1: INFORMATION

Introduction to the SRISD Dyslexia ProgramProcedures for Identification Additional Information to Note for Santa Rosa ISD Critical Information about Accommodations for Students with DisabilitiesStudent Monitoring

PART 2: RESOURCES

Figure 3.8 Pathways for the Identification and Provision of Instruction for Students with Dyslexia37 Characteristics of Dyslexia

Suggested Modifications for the Student with Dyslexia

Suggested Modifications for the Classroom Teachers

Suggested Accommodations and Instruction for Students with Dyslexia

PART 3: DISTRICT DYSLEXIA FORMS (Located in SuccessEd unless noted otherwise)

Dyslexia Student Testing Checklist (District Form) Section 504 Referral Teacher Input for Section 504
Parent Input for Section 504
Notice and Consent for Initial Section 504 Evaluation
Notice of Parents Rights
Dyslexia Testing Student Profile (District Form)
Notice of Section 504 Meeting

Initial 504 Evaluation

504 IAP

Consent for 504 Services

Part 1: Information

I. INTRODUCTION TO THE SANTA ROSA ISD DYSLEXIA PROGRAM

Reading is the fundamental skill upon which all formal education depends.
Research now shows that a child who doesn't learn the reading basics early is unlikely to learn themat all.
Any child who doesn't learn to read early and well will not easily master other skills and knowledge and is unlikely to ever flourish in school or life.

(Moats, 1999)

This procedure guide sets forth the procedures regarding dyslexia and related disorders in **Santa Rosa Independent School District**. The guide provides general information about dyslexia, procedures to follow when school personnel suspect a student may have dyslexia or a related disorder, and a range of intervention options for students struggling to read, write, and/or spell. This guide also outlines allowable accommodations on state student assessments and gives specific information about student monitoring during participation in the Special Education or Section 504 (§504) Dyslexia Program.

These procedures correspond to state and federal guidelines, and were developed to provide an effective means for meeting the needs of students with dyslexia in **Santa Rosa ISD**. This District Plan follows the recommendations of the Texas Education Agency as stated in **"The Dyslexia Handbook: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders"** (TEA, Updated 2022). The **SRISD** District Plan is intended to clarify the district's implementation of the state procedures; any conflict between this document and "The Dyslexia Handbook" is unintentional and should be resolved in favor of the TEA document. References, research, and studies used or quoted are in the TEA Dyslexia Handbook.

A. Dyslexia and Related Disorders Administrative Procedures

Authority: Texas Education Code, Section §38.003 As stated in Texas Education Code §38.003 (a) and (b):

- (a) "Students enrolling in public schools in this state shall be tested for dyslexia and related disordersat appropriate times in accordance with a program approved by the State Board of Education." The appropriate time depends upon multiple factors including the student's reading performance, reading difficulties, poor response to additional reading instruction (if placed in additional reading instruction), teachers' input, and parents' input.
- (b) "In accordance with the program approved by the State Board of Education, the board of trustees of each school district shall provide for the treatment of any student determined to have dyslexia or arelated disorder."

1. District Committee

The District Dyslexia Committee will develop written guidelines for the implementation of state rules related to the evaluation and instruction of students with dyslexia and related disorders. The committee shall meet periodically to update the guidelines and the district's implementation plan and disseminate such revisions to all campuses. At SRISD, the dyslexia committee consists of the Curriculum and Instruction Director, Student Services Coordinator, Campus Principals, and Reading Interventionists.

2. Campus Committees

At each Santa Rosa Independent School District campus, the Students, Teachers, Administrators, Reaching Success Team, STARS Team, Dyslexia Committee, 504 committee, and/or ARD committee, along with the campus dyslexia specialist, will work in accordance with the Santa Rosa Independent School District procedures in this manual.

The committee members, other than those specified, will consist of different professional personnel depending upon the students referred, the type of concern, the teachers having direct contact with the referred student, and the teachers of the various programs into which students may be referred and placed for services.

B. Definitions and Characteristics of Dyslexia

The student who struggles with reading and spelling often puzzles teachers and parents. The student displays average ability to learn in the absence of print and receives the same classroom instruction that benefits most children; however, the student continues to struggle with some or all of the manyfacets of reading and spelling. This student may be a student with dyslexia.

The Texas Education Code (TEC) §38.003 defines dyslexia in the following way:

- (1) Dyslexia means a disorder of constitutional origin manifested by a difficulty in learning to read, write, or spell, despite conventional instruction, adequate intelligence, and sociocultural opportunity.
- (2) Related disorders includes disorders similar to or related to dyslexia such as developmental auditory imperception, dysphasia, specific developmental dyslexia, developmental dysgraphia, and developmental spelling disability. (http://www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/Docs/ED/htm/ED.38.htm#38.003)

The current definition from the International Dyslexia Association states the following:

Dyslexia is a specific learning disability that is neurological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities and the provision of effective classroom instruction. Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.(Adopted by the International Dyslexia Association Board of Directors, Nov. 12, 2002)

The primary difficulties of a student identified as having dyslexia occur in phonemic awareness and manipulation, single-word decoding, reading fluency, and spelling. Secondary consequences of dyslexia may include difficulties in reading comprehension and/or written expression. These difficulties are unexpected for the student's age, educational level, or cognitive abilities. Additionally, there is often a family history of similar difficulties.

The following are the primary reading/spelling characteristics of dyslexia:

· Difficulty reading words in isolation

- Difficulty accurately decoding unfamiliar words
- Difficulty with oral reading (slow, inaccurate, or labored)
- Difficulty spelling

It is important to note that individuals may demonstrate differences in degree of impairment. The reading/spelling characteristics are the result of difficulty with the following:

- Segmenting, blending, and manipulating sounds in words (phonemic awareness)
- Learning the names of letters and their associated sounds
- Holding information about sounds and words in memory (phonological memory)
- Rapidly recalling the names of familiar objects, colors, or letters of the alphabet (rapidnaming)

Consequences of dyslexia may include the following:

- Variable difficulty with aspects of reading comprehension
- Variable difficulty with aspects of written language
- Limited vocabulary growth due to reduced reading experiences

Common Risk Factors Associated with Dyslexia

If the following behaviors are unexpected for an individual's age, educational level, or other thinking abilities, they may be risk factors associated with dyslexia. A student with dyslexia usually exhibits several of these behaviors that persist over time and interfere with his/her learning. A family history of dyslexia may be present; in fact, recent studies reveal that the whole spectrum of reading disabilities is strongly determined by genetic predispositions (inherited aptitudes).

Preschool

- Delay in learning to talk
- Difficulty with rhyming
- Difficulty pronouncing words")
- Poor auditory memory for nursery rhymes and chants
- Difficulty in adding new vocabulary words
- Inability to recall the right word
- Trouble learning and naming letters and numbers and remembering the letters in his/her name
- Aversion to print

Kindergarten and First Grade

- Difficulty breaking words into smaller parts
- Difficulty identifying and manipulating sounds in syllables
- Difficulty remembering the names of letters and recalling their corresponding sounds
- Difficulty decoding single words
- Difficulty spelling words the way they sound
- Difficulty remembering letter sequences in very common words seen often in print

Second Grade and Third Grade

Many of the previously described behaviors remain problematic along with the following:

- Difficulty recognizing common sight words
- Difficulty decoding single words
- Difficulty recalling the correct sounds for letters and letter patterns in reading
- Difficulty connecting speech sounds with appropriate letter or letter combinations andomitting letters in words for spelling
- Difficulty reading fluently (e.g., slow, inaccurate, and/or without expression)
- Difficulty decoding unfamiliar words in sentences using knowledge of phonics
- Reliance on picture clues, story theme, or guessing at words
- Difficulty with written expression

Fourth Grade through Sixth Grade

Many of the previously described behaviors remain problematic along with the following:

- Difficulty reading aloud (e.g., fear of reading aloud in front of classmates)
- Avoidance of reading (e.g., particularly for pleasure)
- Acquisition of less vocabulary due to reduced independent reading
- Use of less complicated words in writing that are easier to spell
- Reliance on listening rather than reading for comprehension

Middle School and High School

Many of the previously described behaviors remain problematic along with the following:

- Difficulty with the volume of reading and written work
- Frustration with the amount of time required and energy expended for reading
- Difficulty with written assignments
- Tendency to avoid reading (particularly for pleasure)
- Difficulty learning a foreign language

Associated Academic Difficulties and Other Conditions

The behaviors in the previous sections represent common difficulties that students with dyslexia may exhibit. In addition, students with dyslexia can have problems in written expression, reading comprehension, and mathematics, as well as other complicating conditions and/or behaviors.

Besides academic struggles, students with dyslexia may exhibit other complex conditions and/or behaviors. The most common co-occurring disorders with dyslexia are attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and specific developmental language disorders. Some students with dyslexia may also experience symptoms such as anxiety, anger, depression, lack of motivation, or low self-esteem. Educators should appropriately screen, monitor, and provide needed instructional/referral services to address associated symptoms and/or academic impairments.

These additional conditions can have a significant impact on the effectiveness of instruction provided to students with dyslexia. Motivation, in particular, has been shown to be critical to the success or failure of

instructional practices. In regard to motivation, Torgesen states, "Even technically sound instructional techniques are unlikely to succeed unless we can ensure that, most of the time, students are engaged and motivated to understand what they read." Therefore, all the factors that may affect learning must be considered when identifying and providing instruction for students with dyslexia. ADHD or symptoms of anxiety, anger, depression, or low self-esteem may lower a student's motivation and engagement in learning. Educators are responsible for providing an environment of affirmation that motivates and engages the student with dyslexia and complicating conditions.

Sources for Common Evidence of Dyslexia:

Common Signs, (n.d.). Retrieved July 10, 2006, from The International Dyslexia AssociationWebsite. Shaywitz, S. (2003). *Overcoming dyslexia: A new and complete science-based program for reading problems at any level*. New York: Alfred A Knopf.

C. Procedures for Assessing Students for Dyslexia

Research shows that children who read well in the early grades are far more successful in later years; and those who fall behind often stay behind when it comes to academic achievement. (Snow, Burns, and Griffin, 1998)

NOTE: Procedures Required by State and Federal Law Prior to Formal Assessment

The Student Success Initiative (SSI) is a state-level effort to ensure that all Texas students receive the instruction and support needed to be academically successful in reading and mathematics. For students that demonstrate difficulties during early reading instruction (kindergarten, grades 1 and 2), the most common form of instructional help is available through the SSI as mandated by TEC §28.006. This education code requires districts and charter schools to administer early reading instruments to all students in kindergarten and grades 1 and 2 to assess their reading developmentand comprehension.

If at any time, on the basis of the reading instrument results, students are determined to be at risk for dyslexia or other reading difficulties, the district or charter school must notify the students' parents or guardians. According to TEC §28.006(g), the district or charter school must also implement an accelerated (intensive) reading program that appropriately addresses the students' reading difficulties and enables them to "catch up" with their typically performing peers.

Research continues to support the need for early identification and assessment. The rapid growth of the brain and its responsiveness to instruction in the primary years make the time from birth to age eight a critical period for literacy development. Characteristics associated with reading difficulties are connected to spoken language. Difficulties in young children can be assessed through screeningsof phonemic awareness and other phonological skills.

Keeping the above-referenced information in mind, it is important that the school district not delay identification and intervention processes until second or third grade for students suspected of having dyslexia. This identification process should be an individualized evaluation rather than a screening. Further, the evaluation should be conducted through §504 procedures or through the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004).

As evident through the components of SSI and other state-level initiatives that support reading, Texasis committed to data-driven instruction. Early reading assessments are required by state law and are evidence-proven to be best practice. With the use of early reading assessment instruments, teachers can quickly assess a child's reading abilities and provide prescriptive instruction by isolating the skills that need strengthening.

The International Dyslexia Association (IDA) indicates that the unexpected difficulties that students with dyslexia demonstrate in the area of reading are seen despite the provision of effective (scientifically based) classroom instruction. Therefore, the use of a Response to Intervention (RTI) or a tiered process is important in the identification of dyslexia. RTI or the use of a tiered processshould not delay the inclusion of a student in dyslexia intervention once dyslexia is identified.

D. Response to Intervention

The interventions provided to students who are reported to be at risk for dyslexia or other reading difficulties should align with the requirements of NCLB legislation that requires districts and charter schools implement reading programs using scientifically based reading research (SBRR). Additionally, the most recent reauthorization of the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004), passed in 2004, is consistent with NCLB in emphasizing the quality of instructionand documentation of student progress.

A process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention is one of the criteria included in IDEA 2004 to be used in determining whether a student has a specific learning disability, including dyslexia. Districts are strongly recommended to implement an RTI process forstudents who are at risk for dyslexia or other reading difficulties, as they would with any student exhibiting learning difficulties. Ongoing assessment and progress monitoring of reading achievement gains are required for students at risk for dyslexia or other reading difficulties. Additional information pertaining to initiatives that support the reading achievement of Texas students is available on TEA's website: www.tea.state.tx.us

Tier I: Student exhibits poor performance on early reading assessment or fails to respond to scientifically based reading instruction at any level. Classroom teacher intensifies instruction and provides accommodations. If a student does not make adequate reading progress....(move to Tier II)

Tier II: Teacher provides more intense intervention in addition to the core reading program and monitors progress, including intensive small group reading instruction, establishment of goals and progress monitoring. If a student does not make adequate reading progress AND exhibits characteristics of dyslexia....(move to Tier III)

Tier III: Student is recommended for a dyslexia evaluation. Before a teacher can refer a student for a dyslexia evaluation, the Response to Intervention (RTI) process must be completed. (See Figure 3.8: "Pathways for the Identification and Provision of Instruction for Students with Dyslexia").

NOTE: Progression through tiered intervention is not required in order to begin the identification of dyslexia. The use of a tiered intervention process should not delay or deny an evaluation for dyslexia, especially when parent or teacher observations reveal the common characteristics of dyslexia.

The needs of the students must be the foremost priority. Frequently, a child with dyslexia may be making what appears to be progress in the general education classroom based on report card grades or minor gains on progress measures. While various interventions may prove to be helpful in understanding curriculum, a child with dyslexia also requires a specialized type of intervention to address his/her specific reading disability. The use of a tiered process should not delay the inclusion of a student in dyslexia intervention once dyslexia is identified.

Parents/guardians always have the right to request a referral for a dyslexia assessment at any time. Once a parent request for dyslexia assessment has been made, the school district is obligated to review the student's data history (both formal and informal data) to determine whether there is reasonto believe the student has a disability. If a disability is suspected, the student needs to be evaluated following the guidelines outlined in this chapter. If the school does not suspect a disability and determines that evaluation would not be warranted, the parents/guardians must be notified of their due process rights.

When a referral for dyslexia assessment is made, SRISD will ensure that evaluation procedures are followed in a reasonable amount of time. SRISD will follow the timelines Texas has established for the completion of initial special education evaluations through TEC §29.004(a).

The RTI process with data gathering includes:

Information to be considered includes the results from some or all of the following:

- Vision screening
- Hearing screening
- Teacher reports of classroom concerns
- Basal reading series assessment
- Accommodations provided by classroom teachers
- Academic progress reports (report cards)
- Gifted/Talented assessments
- Samples of school work
- Parent conferences
- Testing for limited English proficiency (all years available)
- Speech and language screening through a referral process (English and native language, ifpossible)
- The K-2 reading instrument as required in TEC §28.006 (English and native language, ifpossible)
- Universal screening for all grade levels available (English and native language, if possible)
- State student assessment program as described in TEC §39.022

Students enrolling in public schools in Texas shall be assessed for dyslexia and related disorders at appropriate times (TEC §38.003(a)). The appropriate time depends upon multiple factors including the student's reading performance; reading difficulties; poor response to supplemental, scientifically based reading instruction; teachers' input; and input from the parents/guardians. For students demonstrating difficulties in reading (kindergarten; grades 1, 2, and 7), the SSI as mandated by TEC §28.006 provides guidance to ensure that all students receive the instruction and support needed to be academically successful in reading and mathematics. Additionally, the appropriate time for assessing early in a student's school career (19 TAC §74.28). While earlier is better, students should be recommended for assessment for dyslexia even if the reading difficulties appear later in a student's school career.

The Santa Rosa ISD recommends assessment for dyslexia if the student demonstrates the following:

- Poor performance in one or more areas of reading
- The related area of spelling that isunexpected for the student's age/grade
- Characteristics of dyslexia

The academic history of each student will provide the school with the cumulative data needed to ensure that underachievement in a student suspected of having dyslexia is not due to lack of appropriate instruction in reading. This information should include data that demonstrates the studentwas provided appropriate instruction and data-based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals (progress monitoring), reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction. This cumulative data also includes information from parents/guardians. Sources and examples of cumulative data are provided in Figure 2.2 of the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

State and Federal Law Regarding Early Identification and Intervention Prior to Formal Assessment

Both state and federal legislation emphasize early identification and intervention for students who may be at risk for reading disabilities. Those professionals responsible for working with students with reading difficulties should be familiar with the legislation listed in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

When the RTI process has been completed, a formal referral for a Dyslexia Evaluation may be completed following the Pathways to Identification flowchart (Figure 3.8). Description of the SRISD process:

- 1. Student Intervention Team Meeting to Begin Process: This can be initiated by a parent, teacher, Intervention Support Teacher, Administrator, or Dyslexia Specialist and can occur at any Student Intervention Team meeting. If the dyslexia teacher is not a regular member of the team, he/she shall attend all Student Intervention Team meetings in which information about the dyslexia process or students with dyslexic tendencies are reviewed.
- 2. Data Gathering Initiated by the Dyslexia Specialist: The 504 or ARD committee will give the grade level appropriate *Teacher Input* form to the teacher(s) along with a request for documentingco-existing complications or assets. The teacher input form should be filled out by each of the student's teachers since different behaviors may be noticed in different settings. The referral, parentinput and teacher input forms should all be submitted to the campus dyslexia specialist or diagnostician.

The following domains with be assessed:

- Reading real and nonsense words in isolation (decoding)
- Phonological awareness
- Letter knowledge (name and associated sound)
- Rapid naming
- Reading fluency (ratio and accuracy)
- Reading comprehension
- Written spelling

The student's difficulties in the area of reading must be unexpectedly low for the student's ageand educational level.

NOTE:

Regardless of the process in place, the parents or guardians always have the right to request a
referral for an evaluation at any time. If this is the case, a REED will be scheduled to begin the
process of following the pathways to identification.

- If the student is being assessed as part of a special education evaluation or is already served in special education and a dyslexia evaluation is being requested, IDEA 2004 due process procedures must be followed.
- The notices and consent must be provided in the native language of the parent or guardian or other mode of communication used by the parent or guardian, unless it is clearly not feasible todo so.
- $\bullet\,$ In compliance with §504 and IDEA, tests, assessments, and other evaluation materials must follow the state guidelines.
- If a student has previously met special education eligibility, the ARD committee should include goals that reflect the need for dyslexia instruction in the IEP and determine the least restrictive environment for delivering the student's dyslexia intervention.

E. Emergent Bilingual Students

This refers to students served in bilingual and ESL programs as well as students designated limited English proficient (LEP) whose parents have denied services.

Much diversity exists among Emergent Bilingual students (EBs). The identification and service delivery process for dyslexia must be in step with the student's linguistic environment and educational background. Involvement of the Language Proficiency Assessment Committee (LPAC) is recommended.

Additional Data Gathering (in addition to the information previously listed under "Data Gathering") Language Proficiency Assessment Committee (LPAC) documentation (TEC §§89.1220(g)(h)(i)) that includes the following:

- Home Language Survey
- Assessment related to identification for limited English proficiency
 - oral languageproficiency tests and norm-referenced tests
- STAAR Results, if available
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) information
- ReadingProficiency Test in English (LAS)
- Previous schooling in and outside of the United States

Additional Assessment (in addition to the information listed under "Domains to Assess")

- Comprehensive oral language proficiency testing should be completed in English and the student's native language whenever possible.
- If the student has received academic instruction in his/her native language, as well as English, then the "Domains to Assess" need to be completed in both languages to the appropriate extent.

Additionally, personnel involved in the evaluation process of EBs for dyslexia need to be trained in bilingual assessment and interpretation procedures as outlined in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2018. Areas for assessment are outlined in Figure 2.4 of the Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

Additional Considerations for Emergent Bilingual students

A professional involved in the assessment, interpretation of assessment results, and identification of EBs with dyslexia needs to have the following training/knowledge:

- Knowledge of first and second language acquisition theory
- Knowledge of the written system of the first language—transparent (Spanish, Italian, German), syllabic (Japanese-kana), semitic (Arabic, Hebrew), and morphosyllabic (Chinese-Kanji)
- Knowledge of student's literacy skills in native and second language
- Knowledge of how to interpret results from a cross-linguistic perspective
- Knowledge of how to interpret the TELPAS (Texas English Language ProficiencyAssessment System)
- Knowledge of how to interpret the results of the student's oral language proficiency in two ormore languages in relation to the results of the tests measuring academic achievement and cognitive processes as well as academic data gathered and economic and socio-economic factors

Interpretation

To appropriately understand test results, the examiner(s)/committee of knowledgeable persons must interpret test results in light of the student's language development (in both English and the student's native language), educational history, linguistic background, socio-economic issues, and any other pertinent factors that affect learning.

The committee (§504 or ARD) must first determine if a student's difficulties in the areas of reading and spelling reflect a pattern of evidence for the primary characteristics of dyslexia with unexpectedly low performance for the student's age and educational level in some or all of the following areas:

- Reading words in isolation
- Decoding unfamiliar words accurately and automatically
- Reading fluency for connected text (both rate and/or accuracy)
- Spelling (An isolated difficulty in spelling would not be sufficient to identify dyslexia.)

The evaluation data collected also may include information on reading comprehension, mathematics, and written expression. Dyslexia often coexists with learning difficulties in these related areas. Another factor to consider when interpreting test results is the student's linguistic background. Additional information for interpreting the results as well as RTI information are in the Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

Based on the above information and guidelines, should the committee (§504 or ARD) determine that the student exhibits weaknesses in reading and spelling, the committee will then examine the student's data to determine whether these difficulties are **unexpected** in relation to the student's other abilities, sociocultural factors, language difference, irregular attendance, or lack of appropriate and effective instruction. For example, the student may exhibit strengths in areas such as reading

comprehension, listening comprehension, math reasoning, or verbal ability yet still have difficulty with reading and spelling. Therefore, it is not one single indicator but a preponderance of data (both informal and formal) that provide the committee with evidence for whether these difficulties are unexpected. Questions to be considered are outlined in Figure 2.5 of the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

II. Procedures for Identification

- 1. The RTI and/or Dyslexia team will document a student has failed to make adequate progress or a parent requests that a student be assessed for dyslexia.
- 2. A committee meeting will be scheduled to determine the pathway for identification. (Resources: Figure 3.8)
- 3. Consent to evaluate and parent rights are given to the parent/guardian.

Additional Guidelines Specific to Santa Rosa ISD

III. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO NOTE FOR Santa Rosa ISD

A. Annual Review

The progress of students in the district dyslexia program will be reviewed annually by the campus 504 committee or the ARD committee as appropriate to the student's identification.

B. Assessment Procedures

A parent or guardian may request to have his/her child assessed for dyslexia or a related disorder by staff at the district or charter school. (If the school district has data to support refusal of the parent's or guardian's request, the procedural protections of §504 must be followed. The parents or guardians must be provided their notice of rights under §504. For a student who is special education-eligible, IDEA 2004 procedures must be followed. Best practices suggest appropriate timelines to mirror those of special education.) It is important to remember that once a parent request for dyslexia assessment has been made, the school district is obligated to review the student's data history (both formal and informal data) to determine whether there is reason to believe the student has a disability. If a disability is suspected, the student needs to be evaluated following the guidelines outlined in this chapter. If the school does not suspect a disability and determines that evaluation would not be warranted, the parents/guardians must be notified of their due process rights. Once the consent to evaluate has been signed by the parent or guardian, formal assessment must take place unless there is sufficient data to support a refusal.

A student is not required to fail a class or subject or fail the state required assessment to be considered for a dyslexia assessment. According to TEC §38.003, students should be assessed for dyslexia at appropriate times. Results from a state test, required by the statewide assessment

program, are only one source of data to be gathered and considered for possible recommendation for assessment. Other information must also be considered, such as teacher information, report card grades, parent information, history of reading difficulties, informal observations of the student's abilities, response to scientifically based reading instruction, etc. (Texas Dyslexia Guidelines, page 64)

C. Assessment of Students Identified Outside the District

Students identified as having dyslexia or related disorders from an outside source will be evaluated for eligibility in the district's program. Santa Rosa ISD may choose to accept the outside assessment, or may choose to re-assess the student. In either situation, the §504 or ARD committee will determine the identification status of a student enrolled in Santa Rosa ISD, and the placement of the student in the dyslexia program(s).

D. Re-Evaluation

For those students who will be taking a state assessment, the §504 or ARD Committee should meet to conduct an annual re-evaluation of students on Section 504 Services Plans as well as those students who are eligible under Section 504 but not in need of a Section 504 Services Plan at this time. Re-evaluation should also occur prior to any significant change of placement and whenever necessary to ensure the continued provision of FAPE. It is also the District's practice to conduct annual reviews when no periodic re-evaluation is required. Note: This re-evaluation is not a re-assessment. For those students who will not be taking a state assessment, this periodic re-evaluationshould occur at least every three years.

Prior to a re-evaluation, the district will provide the parents with notice of the time and place of the re-evaluation meeting, inviting the parent to attend. Written notice, while not required, is preferred, and can be accomplished utilizing the Notice of Section 504 Meeting form or ARD Notice. If the student remains eligible and in need of a services plan, the committee should focus on the student's changing needs due to the effects of different classroom subject matter, school demands and other factors. Should the committee determine that the student is no longer eligible, the committee should dismiss the student from 504 and services will be provided under IDEA. The parent shall be given notice of the results of the re-evaluation.

E. Timeline

The following timeline applies to students in regular education programs, students shall follow the timelines required by federal law, under IDEA 2004.

F. Instruction for Students with Dyslexia

TEC §38.003(b) states, "In accordance with the program approved by the State Board of Education, the board of trustees of each school district shall provide for the treatment of any student determined to have dyslexia or a related disorder."

Effective literacy instruction is essential for all students and is especially critical for students identified with dyslexia. High-quality core classroom reading instruction can give students identified with dyslexia a foundation upon which intervention instruction can have a more significant impact. Specialized instruction for students with dyslexia is discussed in Section G.

Each school **must** provide an identified student access at his/her campus to an instructional program that meets the requirements in 19 TAC §74.28(c) and to the services of a teacher trained in dyslexia and related disorders. While the components of instruction for students with dyslexia include good teaching principles for all teachers, the explicitness and intensity of the instruction, fidelity to program descriptors, grouping formats, and training and skill of the teachers are wholly different from core classroom instruction. Core instruction alone may not meet the instructional program requirements for students with dyslexia.

G. Specialized Dyslexia Intervention

For the student who has not benefited from the research-based core reading instruction, the components of instruction will include additional specialized instruction as appropriate for the reading needs of the student with dyslexia. It is important to remember that while intervention is most preventative when provided in kindergarten and first grade, older children with reading disabilities will also benefit from focused and intensive remedial instruction.

Instructional decisions for a student with dyslexia must be made by a committee (§504 or ARD) that is knowledgeable about the instructional components and approaches for students with dyslexia. In accordance with 19 TAC §74.28(c), SRISD purchased MTA, a reading program, for students with dyslexia and related disorders that incorporates **all** of the components of instruction and approaches in the following section:

H. Critical, Evidence-Based Components of Dyslexia Instruction

(Expanded definition of components and delivery of instruction is in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022)

- Phonological awareness
- Sound-symbol association
- Syllabication
- Orthography
- Morphology
- Syntax
- Reading comprehension
- Reading fluency

I. Enrollment in Gifted/Talented and Advanced Academic Programs

A student who has been identified with dyslexia can also be a gifted learner, or a twice-exceptional learner. A twice-exceptional learner is a child or youth who performs at or shows the potential for performing at a remarkably high level of accomplishment when compared to others of the same age, experience, or environment and who exhibits high-performance capability in an intellectual, creative, or artistic area; possesses an unusual capacity for leadership; or excels in a specific academic field (TEC §29.121) and who also gives evidence of one or more disabilities as defined by federal or state eligibility (IDEA 2004) (300.8) (§504) criteria such as learning disabilities, speech and language disorders, emotional/behavioral disorders, physical disabilities, sensory disabilities (hearing impaired, visually impaired, blind-deaf), traumatic brain injury, autism spectrum disorder, or other health impairments such as ADHD.

Assessment and identification of twice-exceptional learners can be challenging and requires those vested in the education of these learners to be knowledgeable of the unique characteristics and behaviors demonstrated by these learners. Often the disability masks the giftedness, which places emphasis on barriers to learning instead of the potential that the learner has as a result of the gifted attributes. Conversely, the giftedness may mask the disability, which may result in the learner's experiencing gaps in learning compounded by the disability, thus affecting how the learner perceives his or her abilities.

Twice-exceptional students must be provided access to all service and course options available to other students. The US Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights offers information for addressing students with disabilities seeking enrollment in advanced academic programs (e.g., Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate). For more information, see www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-20071226.pdf.

J. Professional Development Relative to Dyslexia for All Teachers

Research consistently confirms the impact that a knowledgeable teacher can have on the success or failure of even the best reading programs (Shaywitz, 2003). To ensure that general education teachers are knowledgeable about dyslexia, TEC §21.054(b) and TAC §232.11(e) require educators who teach students with dyslexia to be trained in new research and practices related to dyslexia as a part of their continuing professional education (CPE) hours.

For each campus, the dyslexia interventionists or Section 504 campus coordinator must be familiar with and prepared to use the techniques, tools, and strategies outlined in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022. They may also serve as trainers and consultants in the area of dyslexia and related disorders for regular, remedial, and special education teachers. In addition, in Texas K–12 education, dyslexia practitioner licensure is voluntary. K–12 educators can provide services to those with dyslexia without being licensed. However, K–12 educators must have training in the components and delivery of dyslexia instruction outlined in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022 if they are providing the dyslexia services.

K. Technology Integration for Students with Dyslexia (TEC §38.0031)

The research is definitive regarding technology and instruction for students with dyslexia. When students have access to effective technology, their overall educational performance improves. One of the best ways to use technology is in combination with instruction in reading strategies and processes. Technology is not intended to take the place of quality reading instruction. It should be used in combination with teacher-directed instruction and intervention. Technology should never be used as a substitute for quality instruction; it is intended to supplement, not supplant.

The *Technology Integration for Students with Dyslexia* online tool (TEC §38.0031) is a resource developed to support instructional decisions regarding technology that benefits students with dyslexia. To view this source, visit www.region10.org/dyslexia/techplan.

IV. CRITICAL INFORMATION ABOUT ACCOMMODATIONS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES TAKING STATE ASSESSMENTS

For students who receive special education or Section 504 services, the decision for a student to use accommodations during the statewide assessments is made by the ARD committee or Section 504 placement committee. In those rare instances where a student does not receive services but meets the eligibility criteria because of a disabling condition, the decision about using accommodations on the assessments is made by the appropriate team of people at the campus level, such as the Response to Intervention (RTI) team or STARS team. Additional guidelines and suggestions are listed in the State of Texas Dyslexia Handbook 2022.

A. Using Accommodations during Classroom Instruction and Testing

The use of accommodations primarily occurs in the classroom on a daily basis. Understanding the basic principles of accommodations helps ensure their appropriate use in all educational settings.

B. Accommodations

- are changes to instructional materials, procedures, or techniques that allow a student with a disability to participate meaningfully in grade-level or course instruction
- should be effective in allowing a student access to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills
- must be individualized for each student
- are intended to reduce the effect of a student's disability
- should be routinely used during classroom instruction and testing
- may be appropriate for classroom use but may not be appropriate or allowed for use on a statewide assessment
- should be documented in the appropriate student paperwork
- should be evaluated regularly to determine effectiveness and to help plan for accommodations the student will need each year

- are not necessary for every student
- are not changes to the performance criteria of an assignment or assessment
- are not changes to the content being assessed and should not replace the teaching of subjectspecific knowledge and skills as outlined in the TEKS
- should **not** be provided to an entire group of students, such as those in the same class or disability category, as a "one-size-fits-all" accommodation
- are not intended to provide a student with a disability an advantage (e.g., increase a passing score to a higher score)
- should not be provided to a student without evidence of effectiveness from year to year

Educators who make decisions about accommodations for a student should have knowledge of the TEKS and a clear understanding of the student's performance in relation to the TEKS. In addition, educators should continually collect and analyze data pertaining to the use and effectiveness of accommodations so that informed educational decisions can be made for each student. Such data could include observational reports or assignment/test scores with and without the use of the accommodation. Sometimes an accommodation becomes ineffective or inappropriate over time due to the student's age or changing needs. By analyzing data, an educator may be able to see that the student has gained skills, overcome weaknesses, or progressed in the curriculum and no longer needsthe accommodation. Or it may confirm for the educator that the student still struggles in certain areasand should continue to use the accommodation.

C. Using Accommodations on Statewide Assessments

Accommodations provided to students during classroom instruction and testing may differ from accommodations allowed for use on statewide assessments. This should not discourage the use of appropriate accommodations during instruction. Classroom instruction is intended to provide each student the opportunity to learn the state-mandated curriculum. To accomplish this, educators should use a variety of techniques to meet the needs of each student, thus allowing each student to maximizehis or her academic potential.

However, statewide assessments are intended to measure how well each student has mastered the state-mandated curriculum. In order to assure the reliability, validity, and security of all statewide assessments, only those accommodations that do not invalidate the content being measured or compromise the security and integrity of the assessments are allowed. Therefore, not all accommodations used routinely in the classroom are appropriate or allowed for use during the statewide assessments.

D. Testing Accommodations

After determining the instructional accommodation(s) that are effective for a student, the educator should investigate whether those accommodations are allowed on a statewide assessment. The Accommodation Document from TEA organizes accommodations for students with disabilities by type in accordance with the specificity of the eligibility criteria and the need for TEA approval. The

accommodation type is also recorded on the student's answer document. The complete Accommodation Document with links to each accommodation policy is available on the Accommodations for Students with Disabilities webpage.

V. STUDENT MONITORING

Monitoring may include, but is not limited to, the collection/evaluation of:

- Progress reports
- Report cards
- State assessment data
- Teacher reports/checklists
- Parent reports/checklists
- Counselor reports
- Other program reports
- Additional assessment data

Students qualifying for dyslexia services that are identified as §504 or special education will follow monitoring/re-evaluation requirements outlined in federal law.

No one factor is sufficient to warrant exiting a student from direct dyslexia services. Dismissal from the dyslexia reading program is determined by the §504 or ARD committee. The committee considers the following factors when recommending exiting or reduction of dyslexic services:

- Completion of the district dyslexia program
- The reevaluation and/or post-testing of student shows student growth to be closer to gradelevel proficiency standards
- The student demonstrates self-monitoring/self-correction behaviors as evidenced throughinformal observation by teacher and/or dyslexia teacher
- The student passed the reading portion of the state assessment
- Committee recommendation
- Parents request in writing that the student exit the program

If a student has shown substantial progress and the §504 committee or ARD committee determines the student is ready to be dismissed completely from the program, the committee may recommend monitoring services instead of direct services.

Part 2: Resources

A. Universal screening for kindergarten and first grade students In all grades, is the student suspected of having dystexia or any Does the screener show the student is at risk for reading difficulties? other specific learning disability? NO YES 8. Collect and review quantitative and qualitative data Continue grade level, evidence: based core reading instruction. Does the analysis show that the student exhibits characteristics of dyslexia (Tier 1) or other specific learning disabilities? NO YES Continue gcade level, evidence-based core C. Seek parental consent for a Full Individual teading instruction (Tier 1) and provide any and Initial Evaluation (FILE). other appropriate tiered interventions Does the parent give consent for an FIE? No YES D. Seek parental consent for a Section 504 evaluation. E. Conduct FIFE Does the parent give consent for a Section 504 evaluation? Does the student have an IDEA eligible condition such as dyslexia or a related disorder? NO E. Conduct an evaluation under Section 504 Continue grade level, G. The ARD committee evidence based core reading Does the student's dyslexia or related determines if a need for instruction (Fier 1) and provide disorder substantially limit one or more of special education services life's major activities such as learning. any other appropriate tiered CXLAS reading, writing, or spelling? interventions Does the student require special education because of YES No the identified IDEA eligible H. The student condition? Continue grade level. evidence-based core. is eligible for reading instruction Section 504, NO YES (Der 1) and provide any other appropriate L. The student is 1. The student is tiered interventions.

Figure 3.8 Pathways for the Identification and Provision of Instruction for Students with Dyslexia

eligible for

special education.

eligible for Section 504,

Pathway to the Identification and Provision of Instruction for Students with Dyslexia

- A. Universal Screening for reading and dyslexia is administered to all students in kindergarten and first grade as required by TEC §28.006 and §38.003(a).
- **B.** If a student is at risk for reading difficulties or the student is suspected of having dyslexia or any other specific learning disability, collect and review quantitative and qualitative data on the student. See Figures 2.3 and 2.4 in Dyslexia Handbook for more information.
- C. If the analysis shows that the student exhibits characteristics of dyslexia or other specific learning disabilities, seek parental consent for a Full Individual and Initial Evaluation (FIIE), while continuing to providegrade level, evidence-based core reading instruction (Tier 1) and providing appropriate tiered interventions.
- **D.** For students suspected of having dyslexia, if the parent does not give consent for an FIIE, seek parental consent for a Section 504 evaluation, while continuing to provide grade level, evidence-based core reading instruction (Tier 1) and providing appropriate tiered interventions.
- E. If the parent gives consent for an FIIE, conduct the FIIE within 45 school days (subject to limited exceptions) of the date of receipt of parent consent, while continuing to provide grade level, evidence-based core reading instruction (Tier 1) and providing appropriate tiered interventions. The ARD committee (including the parent) must meet to review the results of the FIIE.
- F. If the parent gives consent for a Section 504 evaluation, conduct an evaluation under Section 504 while continuing to provide grade level, evidence-based core reading instruction (Tier 1) and providing appropriate tiered interventions.
- G. If a student has an IDEA eligible condition such as dyslexia or a related disorder, the ARD committee determines if a need for special education services exists.
- H. If the student's dyslexia or related disorder substantially limits one or more of life's major activities such as learning, reading, writing, or spelling, the student is eligible for Section 504, the 504 committee (parent participation is recommended) develops a Section 504 plan for the student to provide services including standard protocol dyslexia instruction, accommodations, and/or related aids specific to the student's disability.
- I. If the student requires special education because of the identified IDEA eligible condition, the student is eligible forspecial education. The ARD committee develops the IEP for the student to receive specially designed instruction which can include any appropriate special education and related services, and general education programs and services, including standard protocol dyslexia instruction. While an IEP is individualized to the student, the IEP should address critical, evidence-based components of dyslexia instruction such as phonological awareness, sound-symbol association, syllabication, orthography, morphology, syntax, reading comprehension, and reading fluency. The determination of eligibility and the development of an IEP, if the student is eligible, must be done within 30 days (subject to limited exceptions) from the date that the written FIIE evaluation report is completed. Obtain parental consent for special education services.
- **J.** If the parent declines, the LEA must still provide all general education services including any protections available under Section 504.

37 Common Characteristics of Dyslexia Adapted from Ronald D. Davis, ©1992

Most people with dyslexia or ADHD will exhibit at least 10 of the following traits and/or behaviors.

| GENERAL CONTRACTOR CON | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Appears bright, highly intelligent, and articulate but unable to read, write, or spell at grade level. | | | | | |
| Labeled lazy, dumb, careless, immature, "not trying hard enough," or "behavior problem." | | | | | |
| ☐ Isn't "behind enough" or "bad enough" to be helped in the school setting. | | | | | |
| High IQ, yet may not test well academically; tests well orally, but not written. | | | | | |
| Feels stupid; has poor self-esteem; hides or covers up weaknesses with ingenious compensatory strategies; easily frustrated and emotional about school reading or testing. | | | | | |
| ☐ Talented in art, drama, music, sports, mechanics, story-telling, sales, business, designing, building, or engineering. | | | | | |
| ☐ Seems to "zone out" or daydream often; gets lost easily or loses track of time. Difficulty sustaining attention; seems "hyper" or "daydreamer." | | | | | |
| ☐ Learns best through hands-on experience, demonstrations, experimentation, observation, and visual aids. | | | | | |
| VISION, READING, AND SPELLING | | | | | |
| ☐ Complains of dizziness, headaches or stomach aches while reading. | | | | | |
| Confused by letters, numbers, words, sequences, or verbal explanations. | | | | | |
| Heading or writing shows repetitions, additions, transpositions, omissions, substitutions, and reversals | | | | | |
| in letters, numbers and/or words. | | | | | |
| Complains of feeling or seeing non-existent movement while reading, writing, or copying. | | | | | |
| Seems to have difficulty with vision, yet eye exams don't reveal a problem. | | | | | |
| Extremely keen sighted and observant, or lacks depth perception and peripheral vision. | | | | | |
| Heads and rereads with little comprehension. | | | | | |
| ☐ Spells phonetically and inconsistently. | | | | | |
| HEARING AND SPEECH | | | | | |
| Has extended hearing; hears things not said or apparent to others; easily distracted by sounds. | | | | | |
| Difficulty putting thoughts into words; speaks in halting phrases; leaves sentences incomplete; stutters | | | | | |
| under stress; mispronounces long words, or transposes phrases, words, and syllables when speaking. | | | | | |
| WRITING AND MOTOR SKILLS | | | | | |
| Trouble with writing or copying; pencil grip is unusual; handwriting varies or is illegible. | | | | | |
| Clumsy, uncoordinated, poor at ball or team sports; difficulties with fine and/or gross motor skills and | | | | | |
| tasks; prone to motion-sickness. | | | | | |
| ☐ Can be ambidextrous, and often confuses left/right, over/under. | | | | | |

| MATH AND TIME MANAGEMENT | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Has difficulty telling time, managing time, learning sequenced information or tasks, or being on time | _ | | | | |
| Computing math shows dependence on finger counting and other tricks; knows answers, but can't | ۸. مار | | | | |
| iton paper. | uU | | | | |
| ☐ Can count, but has difficulty counting objects and dealing with money. | | | | | |
| ☐ Can do arithmetic, but fails word problems; cannot grasp algebra or higher math. | | | | | |
| MEMORY AND COGNITION | | | | | |
| ☐ Excellent long-term memory for experiences, locations, and faces. | | | | | |
| Poor memory for sequences, facts and information that has not been experienced. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ☐ Thinks primarily with images and feeling, not sounds or words (little internal dialogue). | | | | | |
| BEHAVIOR, HEALTH, DEVELOPMENT AND PERSONALITY | | | | | |
| □ Extremely disorderly or compulsively orderly. | | | | | |
| ☐ Can be class clown, trouble-maker, or too quiet. | | | | | |
| Had unusually early or late developmental stages (talking, crawling, walking, tying shoes). | | | | | |
| Prone to ear infections; sensitive to foods, additives, and chemical products. | | | | | |
| Can be an extra deep or light sleeper; bedwetting beyond appropriate age. | | | | | |
| Unusually high or low tolerance for pain. | | | | | |
| ☐ Strong sense of justice; emotionally sensitive; strives for perfection. | | | | | |
| Mistakes and symptoms increase dramatically with confusion, time pressure, emotional stress, or | | | | | |
| poorhealth. | | | | | |

Santa Rosa ISD – Suggested Accommodations for the Studentwith Dyslexia

| Studer | nt name:Teacher: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Put a check mark by the modification recommended. | | | | | |
| | Allow the student to copy from paper rather than the chalkboard or overhead. | | | | |
| | Modification or assistance for copying/note taking | | | | |
| | Tape lectures. | | | | |
| | Avoid penalizing for handwriting errors. | | | | |
| | Give directions in small distinct steps. | | | | |
| | Have the student repeat the instructions orally. | | | | |
| | Demonstrate the procedures before beginning independent work. | | | | |
| | Read proper nouns. | | | | |
| | Read all questions and answers to a student. | | | | |
| | Peer/Buddy reading | | | | |
| | Use taped texts | | | | |
| | Avoid penalizing for spelling errors. | | | | |
| | Code unknown words in textbooks. | | | | |
| | Allow the student to subvocalize while reading "silently". | | | | |
| | Provide study aids/manipulatives. | | | | |
| | Change pace of instruction. | | | | |
| | Keep an assignment journal. | | | | |
| | Provide preferential seating. | | | | |
| | Allow frequent breaks. | | | | |
| | Reduce written tasks. | | | | |
| - | Adapt reports to projects. | | | | |
| _ | Allow use of a computer for word processing. | | | | |
| | Allow use of cursive in the classroom. | | | | |
| | Modify tests/oral administration/shortened test/change in format. Read all questions and answers tostudent for testing purposes. | | | | |
| . | Extended time for assignments or tests Other: | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Santa Rosa ISD – Suggested Accommodations For the Classroom Teachers

Intelligent students who have unusual difficulty with written language skills often perform very well in the areas of verbal or experiential learning. Whenever possible, the curriculum and school work requirements needto be adapted to their unique learning abilities. The following suggestions will help students who have less talent for written language skills learn more successfully.

| FIND A | WAY FOR STUDENTS TO USE THEIR SPECIAL TALENTS |
|----------------------|--|
| | Building three-dimensional models or projects |
| | Demonstrating and/or discussing hobbies |
| | Completing art or visual projects rather than written |
| STRESS | VERBAL PARTICIPATION |
| | Reduce reading requirements |
| | Provide tapes of content area textbooks |
| | Do not require student to read aloud |
| | Reduce written work assignments |
| | Substitute oral reports for written reports |
| | Accept work dictated by student and written by parent or tutor |
| MAKE D | IRECTIONS BRIEF AND SIMPLE |
| | Give only one step at a time |
| | Ask student to repeat; make sure he/she understands |
| | Give examples; allow student time to rehearse each step |
| | Encourage student to ask questions; treat each question patiently |
| TEACH S | STUDENT HOW TO ORGANIZE |
| | Break assignments into small steps |
| | Allow a "buddy" to write down assignments |
| | Help schedule long term assignments |
| | Allow student more time to think |
| PROVIDE | MEMORY AIDS |
| | Post visual reminders or examples |
| | Provide matrix charts |
| | Allow student to tape record lectures and test reviews |
| GRADE A | ABILITIES, NOT DISABILITIES |
| | Grade verbal performance more than written performance |
| | Give credit for effort and time spent |
| | Test student orally whenever possible |
| REQUEST | FPARENTS' COOPERATION AND HELP |
| | Encourage parents to read student's homework to him/her |
| | Make parents become aware of the need for structure in student's daily life |
| | Help parents to structure student's study time |
| | Encourage parents to designate a regularly scheduled time and place for homework |
| | Encourage parents to provide opportunities for student to discover and develop his/her unique |
| abilities | the state of state in the discover and develop his/ner unique |
| | Help parents to develop a positive attitude and understanding of their child's worth |
| Copyright 1984, Marg | aret T. Smith and Edith A. Hogan, All rights reserved, Schools may duplicate this list ofsuggestions for their teachers. All copies must contain the copyright information |

Santa Rosa ISD - SUGGESTED DYSLEXIA ACCOMMODATIONS AND INSTRUCTION FOR Textbooks and CurriculumBooks/Reading Provide audiotapes/CDs of textbooks and have student follow the text while listening Provide summaries of chapters Use marker or highlighting tape to highlight important textbook sections Assign peer reading buddies Use colored transparency or overlay Review vocabulary prior to reading Provide preview questions Use videos related to the readings Provide a one-page summary and/or a review of important facts Do not require student to read aloud Talk through the material one-to-one after reading assignments Curriculum Shorten assignments to focus on mastery of key concepts Shorten spelling tests to focus on mastering the most functional words Substitute alternatives for written assignments (posters, oral/taped or video presentations, projects, collages, etc.) **Classroom Environment** Provide a computer for written work Seat student close to teacher in order to monitor understanding Provide quiet during intense learning times Instruction and AssignmentsDirections Give directions in small steps and with as few words as possible Break complex direction into small steps—arrange in a vertical list format Read written directions to student, then model/demonstrate Accompany oral directions with visual clues Use both oral and written directions Ask student to repeat; check for understanding Writing Use worksheets that require minimal writing Provide a "designated note taker;" photocopy another student's or teacher's notes Provide a print outline with videotapes Allow student to use a keyboard when appropriate Allow student to respond orally Grade only for content not spelling or handwriting Have a student focus on a single aspect of a writing assignment (elaboration, voice, etc.)

Allow student to dictate answer to essay questions

Reduce copying tasks

Reduce written work

| watn | | | | |
|------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Allow student to use a calculator without penalty | | | |
| | Use visuals and concrete examples | | | |
| | Use grid paper to help correctly line up math problems | | | |
| | Present information in small increments and at a slower pace | | | |
| | Take time to reteach if student is struggling to understand | | | |
| | Read story problems aloud | | | |
| | Break problems into smaller steps | | | |
| Testing | | | | |
| | Go over directions orally | | | |
| | Permit as much time as needed to complete tests; avoid timed testing | | | |
| | Read test materials and allow oral responses | | | |
| | Separate content from mechanics/conventions grade | | | |
| | Provide typed test materials, not tests written in cursive | | | |
| | Allow student to respond on tape, with a typewriter, or by dictating answers to a tutor for | | | |
| assessment | | | | |
| | Allow tests to be taken in a room with few distractions | | | |

Components of Instruction, as appropriate for the reading needs of the student, include:

- 1. Phonemic awareness instruction that enables students to detect, segment, blend, and manipulate sounds in spoken language.
- 2. Graphophonemic knowledge instruction that takes advantage of the letter-sound plan in which words that carry meaning are made of sounds and sounds are written with letters in the right order
- 3. Language Structure Instruction that encompasses morphology, semantics, syntax, and pragmatics.
- 4. Linguistic instruction directed toward proficiency and fluency with the patterns of language so that words and sentences are the carriers of meaning.
- 5. Strategy-oriented instruction in the strategies students use for decoding, encoding, word recognition, fluency, and comprehension that students need to become independent readers.

Instructional Approaches as appropriate to meet the instructional needs of thestudent, include:

- 1. Explicit, direct instruction that is systematic, sequential, and cumulative.
- 2. Individual instruction that meets the specific learning needs of each individual student in a small group setting.
- 3. A reading curriculum that matches each student's individual ability level and contains all of the Components of Instruction managed in 19 TAC 74.28
- 4. Intensive, highly concentrated instruction that maximizes student engagement, uses specialized methods and materials, produces results, and contains all the Components of Instruction.

The Dyslexia Handbook, Updated 2022

Part 3:

District Dyslexia Forms

Santa Rosa ISD - DYSLEXIA STUDENT TESTING CHECKLIST

| Referral Date: | Student: | Grade: | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| School Year: | DOB: Ac | de: Campus | | | | |
| l eacher: | Parent: | | | | | |
| Phone: | | | | | | |
| Checklist of Forms - In the Suggested C Person(s) responsible to complete or distri SC: School Counselor; DT: Dyslexia Teach | bute form(s): | room Teacher | | | | |
| ☐ SPED or Section 504 Referral ~ SC, D | T. CT & Nurse | | | | | |
| ☐ Teacher Input & Parent Input | | | | | | |
| □ SPED or Section 504 Notice of Consent for Initial Evaluation ~ SC | | | | | | |
| □ SPED or Section 504 Notice of Parents' Rights ~ SC | | | | | | |
| ☐ Dyslexia Testing Student Profile | | | | | | |
| □ Notice of ARD or Section 504 Meeting ~ SC | | | | | | |
| ☐ ARD or 504 Committee Signature Page | and ARD Committee Ev | valuation Form or 504 Committee | | | | |
| ☐ ARD or 504 Committee Signature Page and ARD Committee Evaluation Form or 504 Committee Evaluation Form | | | | | | |
| ☐ IEP or Section 504 Student Services Plan ~ ARD Committee or 504 Committee | | | | | | |
| ☐ Parental Consent for Special Education Services or Section 504 Services | | | | | | |
| Other: RTI, benchmark and testing infor | mation, work samples, e | etc. | | | | |
| Screening Instruments (Bolded Instrume For use by the Dyslexia Teacher / Assessor recommended list. | ents are preferred): r, ~ This is not an all-incl | usive, approved or | | | | |
| □ MCLASS | | | | | | |
| MCLASS Spanish (IDEL) for EL | | | | | | |
| STAR Reading/STAR Early Literacy | | | | | | |
| ☐ Phonics Tool Kit | | | | | | |
| ☐ iStation | | | | | | |
| TELPAS | | | | | | |
| ☐ SUMMIT K-12 | | | | | | |
| FORMS in SuccessED or ESPED | | | | | | |

F

Notice and Consent for Initial Evaluation

Notice of Rights and Procedural Safeguards

Notice of Evaluation Results or IDEA Results

Notice of Section ARD Reading

Parent Consent for Section 504 Service or for Special Education services

Parent Input

504 or IDEA Referral InformationSection 504 or IDEA Evaluation

Section 504 Student Services Plan or IEP from ARDTeacher Input

Please contact Pam Dolezal at ESC Region 3, or call the State Dyslexia Helpline at 1-800-232-3030, if you have questions. DYSLEXIA PEIMS CODING (2020 - 2021) E1530 Dyslexia Indicator Code Fall PEIMS Submission Student identified as having dyslexia Student status on October 30. Due to TEA by December 3. or a related disorder YES NO is the student also eligible for Section 504 or Special Education? If yes, they should also be coded under their respective codes. E1603 Section 504 E0794 Special Ed Add Sub-Complex Type E1650 - C224 Dyslexia Services Code Summer PEIMS Submission June 17, 2021 02 00 01 Student receives 03 Student does Student receives instruction that On the basis of having dyslexia not receive services for meet applicable or a related disorder, the services for dyslexia or a dyslexia program student is permitted to use dyslexia or a related disorder criteria established modifications in the classroom related through IDEA or by SBOE and is or accommodations in the disorder. Section 504. provided by a administration of assessments person with specific under Section 39.023. training. E1644 Dyslexia Risk Code NOTE: Students **NOTE:** Students who are in Special Kindergarten and First Grade who are under **Education (IDEA)** Kindergarten (EOY) / 1^{rt} Grade by January 31 of each year Section 504 are are eligible to eligible only for receive 01 accommodations, 02 03 modifications, as not modifications. Screened and Screened and well as

accommodations.

Updated 10-05-2020

Not screened

for dyslexia or

related

disorders

determined to

dyslexia or

be not at risk for

related disorders

determined to

related disorders

be at risk for

dyslexia or