ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

AGENDA ITEM ABSTRACT

Meeting Date: December 9, 2013

		AGEND	A ITEM No.	<u> 13-12-13</u>
		AC	TION: (Y/N)	Y
SUBJECT:Scho	ool Improvement Plans Review and Appr	roval – Element	ary Schools	
INFO. CONTACT:	Dr. Gerri Martín, Superintendent	PHONE:	919-732-812	6
ATTACHMENTS:	 2013-2014 School Improvement Plan School Improvement Plan Team Signa Submission of School Improvement P School Improvement Plan Budgets Board Policy #3430 School Improvem 	atures lian and Staff Vote		

PURPOSE: To present to the Board of Education for their review and approval, the 2013-2014 Elementary School Improvement Plans.

BACKGROUND: North Carolina statutes require School Improvement Plans be developed on a two-year cycle with annual updates. The School Improvement Plan Team must include administrators as well as elected representatives. Teachers are elected by staff vote and parents by vote of a parent group. The School Improvement Plan must be approved by a majority of the school staff with final approval by the local Board of Education. A copy of Board of Education Policy #3430 School Improvement Plans is attached.

The comprehensive school improvement process incorporates regular monitoring of progress at the building level and district level. The plan is a result of careful examination of existing goals, data, strategies, programs, and resources with appropriate evaluations built into the cycle.

The attached Executive Summaries, budgets, team signatures and staff votes represent the second year in the two-year school improvement cycle. The entire school improvement plan for each school will be posted on the district's website under Curriculum and Instruction, School Improvement Links and Resources and will be available after Board approval.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Each School Improvement Plan team has developed a budget that details how the various sources of revenue that are appropriated to the school will be spent. School budgets are included with each School Improvement Plan. Total allocations for the elementary schools this year are \$1,009,297.90

RECOMMENDATION: The Superintendent recommends the Board of Education review and approve the 2013-2014 Elementary School Improvement Plans.



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

(Cameron Park Elementary)

Are inst	r School's Three Most Significant Needs :: (needs should be student tructional needs or needs evidenced by vey, as opposed to "wants")	Present status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	1	t promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than e strategies per need).
1.	Increase reading proficiency by developing the ability of students to show comprehension of text through verbal and written	2012-2013 reading proficiency: 60.6%	The target status for reading proficiency: 63%	a.	Teachers will utilize Common Core language for modeling, turn & talk, sorting concepts, classroom discussions, and exposure to different types of media in order to increase capacity of instruction K-S.
	response.			b.	Effectively use common assessments (MCLASS, Whole to Part Intervention, Teachers College, and Common Formative Assessments) to guide, inform, and refine reading instruction.
				C.	Train teachers on effective grammar instruction in the classroom through district Grammar Moodle.
2.	Increase math proficiency by developing the ability of students to explain their thinking through verbal and written response.	2012-2013 math proficiency: 68%	The target status for math proficiency: 70%	a.	Teachers will utilize Common Core language for modeling, turn & talk, sorting concepts, use of manipulatives, classroom discussions, and exposure to different methods to increase capacity of instruction K-S.
				b.	Effectively use common assessments (pre & post tests, Common Formative Assessments, AMC, IXL, Reflex) to guide, inform, differentiate, and refine math instruction.
				C.	Create a team of teachers to develop a list of common terms and math discussion sentence starters for math instruction.



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

Are inst			Most promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than three strategies per need).				
				d.	Teachers will utilize math notebooks and math journaling to increase math language, learn multiple ways to solve a problem, and implement strategies.		
3.	Increase growth scores for ELL, Hispanic, Black, Students with Disabilities, and Economically Disadvantaged subgroups.	2012-2013 Subgroup data: Reading – ELL: 5.9% Hispanic: 22.2% Black: 23.8 SID: 11.5% Economically Disadvantaged: 20.9% Math- ELL: 17.6% Hispanic: 44.4% Black: 28.6% SID: 17% Economically Disadvantaged: 34.2%	The target status for reading proficiency- ELL: 18.5% Hispanic: 35.9% Black 33% SID: 21.6% Economically Disadvantaged: 35.8% Math- ELL: 25.7% Hispanic: 46.4% Black: 30% SID: 21.2% Economically Disadvantaged: 34.9%	а. b.	 Explore data (EOG, MCLASS, TCRA, EVAAS, AMC, CFA, WTP, Powerschool) to determine: positive co-teaching partnerships, most effective programs & interventions, inclusion model, and best practices. Provide structured vocabulary instruction through different methods in a vocabulary-rich environment including kinesthetic, visual, auditory, and applied practice. Implement a systematic word study approach (Words Their Way or Phonics Lessons by Fountas and Pinnell) with fidelity using formal and informal progress monitoring tools to monitor growth. 		

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET 2013-2014

			PARK ELEMEN				an a
Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Local Technology	Total
Projected Allotment	\$29,615.69	\$20,610.30	\$4,655.00			\$9,870.00	\$64,750.99
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration							\$0.00
Stipends							\$0.00
Substitutes							\$0.00
Salaries Including Benefits							\$0.00
Non-capitalized equipment						\$9,870.00	\$9,870.00
Capitalized equipment							\$0.00
Materials and Supplies	\$915.69	\$19,410.30	\$4,655.00				\$24,980.99
Tutoring	\$25,000.00						\$25,000.00
Professional Development							\$0.00
Other: SCIENCE LAB CONSUMABLES		\$1,200.00					\$1,200.00
Other: Staff Development	\$700.00						\$700.00
Other: Technology	\$3,000.00		· · · ·				\$3,000.00
Totals	\$29,615.69	\$20,610.30	\$4,655.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,870.00	\$64,750.99

CAMERON PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

LEA Name/Number:	Orange County Schools/680	_School Name:	Cameron Par	k Elementary	
Plan Year(s):	2012 - 2014		Date Prepared:	October 14, 2013	

School Improvement Team Membership

From GS 115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school sholl constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot...Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

COMMITTEE POSITION	TYPE/PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE
Principal	Dr. Julie Vandiver	Dr. Julie Vauderen
Assistant Principal Representative	Fran Hall	Iran Hall
Teacher Representative - Kindergarten	Rachel Roberson	Raepul Roberson
Teacher Representative – 1 st grade	Sheri Calligan	Staligan)
Teacher Representative – 2 nd grade	Martha Brown	mour
Teacher Representative – 3 rd grade	Kelly Lazarus	Han Danam
Teacher Representative – 4 th grade	Carrie Feehan	Carlie E Fechan
Teacher Representative – 5 th grade	Celine Stinnett	Celine & Strangt
Inst. Support Representative – EC	Cheryl Mitchell	Som
Inst. Support Representative – School Counselor	Rebecca Atkins	ROUGNS
Inst. Support Representative – Literacy Coach	Sandy Lindley	Level Lindles
Inst. Support Representative – Art Teacher	Laura Casey	Jan De Caser
Teacher Assistant Representative	Erma Jones	Erma Jone
Parent Representative	Rob Cardone	England 7
Parent Representative	Lin Kerns	Sciterns
Parent Representative	Chiara Melloni	Chrico Mellon
Parent Representative	Karen Coulombe	Kau Comlanto

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

This school improvement plan has been developed in accordance with all statutory and local board requirements. This plan includes AdvancED School improvement Plan, Title I, Safe Schools and Title II. The school staff approved the plan by a vote of $\frac{260-1}{100}$.

	Principal Dr. Julie Voudever School Improvement Team Chair Fran Kall	Date	11 ZG 13 11 ZG 13
В.	Superintendent's Recommendation		
	The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval		
	The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons:		
	Superintendent's Signature	Date	12/4/13
с.	Board Action		
	The plan is accepted		
	The plan is accepted with the following modifications		

	The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Board Chair Signature	Date	



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2of 2

Central Elementary School

(ne nei	r School's Three Most Significant Needs Are: eds should be student instructional needs or eds evidenced by survey, as opposed to ants")	Present status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	S	t promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than e strategies per need).
1	Central Elementary School will increase student achievement in	38.2%	Target Goal: 49.5%		Maximize intervention programs (i.e WTP, Young Scholars and Junior Achievers).
1.	reading.	33.270			Utilize school-wide reading incentive programs.
					Administer and analyze benchmark assessments.
					Meet with UNC partners to analyze intervention data- WTP and Assessing Math Concepts (AMC).
2.	Central Elementary School will use data for instructional grouping and progress-monitoring.	Individual growth data all students	At least 1 year of growth over the course of the	b.	Utilize data from mCLASS, EVAAS, and other local and state databases.
an der her state and the second s			school year.		Common formative assessments (CFAs) and benchmark assessments.
	Central Elementary School will	Teacher Working		a.	Family nights and events focusing on academic rigor.
	improve the home-school	Conditions Survey	At loast 75%	b.	Build and nurture existing commuity partnerships.
, j.	connections to build true partnerships for student learning.	58.8% for parent support	At least 75%		4 Title 1 family events.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET 2013-2014

CENTRAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

		CENTRAL	ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL			
Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Local Technology	Total
Projected Allotment	\$32,653.20	\$10,711.60	\$2,030.00	\$220,890.27	\$85,931.44	\$5,190.00	\$357,406.51
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration	\$2,500.00						\$2,500.00
Stipends							\$0.00
Substitutes	\$2,680.00		·	\$6,000.00			\$8,680.00
Salaries Including Benefits				\$122,058.70	\$85,931.44		\$207,990.14
Non-capitalized equipment				\$6,000.00		\$5,190.00	\$11,190.00
Capitalized equipment							\$0.00
Materials and Supplies	\$4,000.00	\$9,511.60	\$2,030.00	\$73,187.67			\$88,729.27
Tutoring	\$14,309.90						\$14,309.90
Professional Development	\$8,163.30			\$2,300.00			\$10,463.30
Other: CONTRACTED SERVICES	\$1,000.00			\$4,843.90			\$5,843.90
Other: SCIENCE LAB CONSUMABLES		\$1,200.00					\$1,200.00
Other:				\$6,500.00		-	\$6,500.00
Totals	\$32,653.20	\$10,711.60	\$2,030.00	\$220,890.27	\$85,931.44	\$5,190.00	\$357,406.51

	Orange County Schools/ 2012-2014		Central Elementary School Pared: November 25, 2013
		School Improven	nent Team Membership
assistants assigned to the plan to improve student p	school building; and par erformance. Representa groups by secret ballot	ents of children enrolled in the tives of the assistant principal Parents serving on school im	stant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher e school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement ls, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel and teacher assistants shall be provement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students
COMMITTEE		TYPE/PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE
Principal		Myron Wilson	AL
Assistant Principal		ayme Bell-Williams	paynon D. Wilson
Literacy Coach		Amanda Boleratz	Amonda Palis to
STEM Coach		.iz Day	Company of the second s
Counselor		ill Lowe	Lagrost in all
Inst. Support Represent		iharon Whitmore	- SU a S. White
Teacher Assistant Repr	esentative	Robin Barnhill	Robert Barchard
Parent Representative		Phyllis Portie-Ascott	A and the and the
Parent Representative		ioney Jones	Aun Mun
Teacher Representative	1	eresa Milton	Juesa Multo
Teacher Representative	5	arah Roney	Narah Roney
Teacher Representative	5	carlett Chapman	Scaletchama
Teacher Representative	E	Brandi Burroughs	Andi Brugh
Teacher Representative	L I	ane McIver	Jone Mitchen
Teacher Representative	S	tephanie Richards	ANC
Teacher Representative	8	Aatthew Sullivan	Matthe M. Sull
Teacher Representative	1	erry Wickwire	12 March Wickwice)

A. School Appraval

	This school improvement plan has been developed in accordance with all statutory and local board r	equirem	ents. This plan includes AdvancED School	
	Improvement Plan, Title I, Safe Schools and Title II. The school staff approved the plan by a vote of _		<u>0 %</u> .	
	Principal Myron D. Wilson School Improvement Team Chair Stark MOTUR			
	School Improvement Team Chair _ Jawk Matur	_ Date	11/25/13	
8.	Superintendent's Recommendation			
	The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval			
	The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons:			
	Superintendent's Signature	_ Date	12/4/13	
С.	Board Action			
	The plan is accepted			
	The plan is accepted with the following modifications			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons			

	Board Chair Signature	_Date		<u>.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

Efland-Cheeks Elementary School

1	School's Three Most Significant Needs (needs should be student instructional	Present status (score, percentage or other	Target status (score, percentage or other	4	t promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than three strategies need).
1 1 1 1	ds or needs evidenced by survey, as osed to "wants")	measure of these needs)	measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)		
1.	Strengthen early literacy development to ensure students promoted to grade 2	On average, 30% of our students are	50% of students reading on grade level	а.	Ensure all students are provided with a predictable and reliable reading and writing workshop.
	are reading on grade level.	performing on grade level in the area of	as measured by the Mclass and End of	b.	Teams will review and select available and appropriate instructional tools to support early literacy needs as indicated by mCLASS screening data.
		reading.	Grade Tests	c.	Provide staff with ongoing high quality professional development to support an increased awareness of how to use available data to problem solve instructional concerns.
2.	increase mathematics early number sense and development.	K: 82% on grade level 1: 76% on grade level 2: 72% on grade level	K-2 goal is 90% for each grade level based on the	a.	Teachers will use instructional strategies that align with the Common Core practices of increasing depth of knowledge and understanding by using models, manipulatives, student-created strategies, math questions stems, and cognitively guided instruction.
		At the end of the 2013 school year	appropriate AMC assessment	b.	Teachers will collaborate within their professional learning communities to analyze student data and determine student needs throughout the grade level.
		based on appropriate AMC test data	and for Grade 2 TE 21 EOG Benchmark	с.	Provide staff with ongoing high quality professional development based on instructional needs and requests/surveys of certifed staff.
3.	Develop and strengthen student self- responsibility, resilience, and stamina.	46% of students are in tier one as	75% of students will be in tier 1 based on	а.	Implementation of the 7 Habits of Highly Effective People using 'The Leader in Me' model developed by Franklin Covey Education.
		assessed by the Student Risk	the Student Risk Screening Scale	ь.	Incorporate Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) as our school-wide model for supporting positive student engagement.
	Screening Scale		с.	Implement The Peaceful School Bus program in order to decrease bus discipline referrals by creating a sense of community within each bus route.	

School Improvement Plan

School Improvement Budget for 2013-2014

Year 2 of 2

EFLAND-CHEEKS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Local Technology	Total	
Projected Allotment	\$38,004.37	\$14,720.85	\$3,199.00	\$195,269.94	\$85,931.44	\$6,840.00	\$343,965.60	
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration	\$9,620.03			1			\$9,620.03	
Stipends	· ·						\$0.00	1.
Substitutes	\$1200	: *		\$3,200.00			\$4,400.00	
Salaries Including Benefits				\$164,351.20	\$85,931.44		\$250,282.64	
Non-capitalized equipment			- -		:	\$6,840.00	\$6,840.00	
Capitalized equipment		:.			· · · ·	:	\$0.00	
Materials and Supplies	\$6,001.60	\$13,520.85	\$3,199.00	\$27718.74			\$50,440.19	
Tutoring	\$7,393.40						\$7,393.40	
Professional Development							\$0.00	
Other: Bus Transportation							\$0.00	
Other: CONTRACTED	\$13,789.34	· · · · ·					\$13,789.34	
Other: SCIENCE LAB CONSUMABLES	· · ·	\$1,200.00					\$1,200.00	
Totals	\$38,004.37	\$14,720.85	\$3,199.00	\$195,269.94	\$85,931.44	\$6,840.00	\$343,965.60	

LEA Name/Number:	Orange County Schools/680	School Name:	Efland-Cheeks	Elementary		• • • •
Plan Year(s):	2012-2014	Date	Prepared:	12/2/13	· · ·	
				· .		
		School Impro	vement Team I	Aembership		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ossistonts assigned to plan to improve studer elected by their respec	the school building, and parents on the school building, and parents on the school building of the school build	of children enrolled i of the assistant prin nts serving on schoo	n the school shall c cipals, instructiona ol improvement tea	onstitute o school i I personnel, instruc	connel, instructional support personnel, and mprovement team to develop a school imp tional support personnel and teacher assis racial and socioeconomic composition of t	provement tants shall be
					na an ann an tha an tha ann an tha an tha an Mar an tha ann an tha an	
COMMI	TTEE POSITION	Ť	YPE/PRINT NAME	<u>te series sites de la 1975</u> A compositor	BIGNATURE)	
Principal		·····	Crystal Scillitani	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 Junia	
Assistant Principal Repr	esentative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Craig Paul	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hind	* · · · ·
Teacher Representative	3-5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kate Ellis		Kale ELA	
Inst. Support Represent	ative/Literacy Coach		Jennifer Litwa		On maternity leave	
Teacher Assistant Repre	esentative		Tracy Smith		Journ An Ar	
Parent Representative			Ron Sheehee		Por Sat	
AIG Teacher			Kristin Bedell		M2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Teacher Representative	к-2		lennifer Kennedy	······································	Ch Know	
Inst. Support Represent	ative/Math Lead Teacher		Kim McColman		K: Mill	· · · · ·
Inst. Support Represent	ative/Instructional Facilitator		Andrea Lorelli		a Procelei	
Media Specialist		St	ephanie McMuller	1	Ashano Anonul	lon
		· · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						····
	· ·					
		· · ·				
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

rincipal	<u> </u>	· .		<u></u>		_ Date	12/2/	/13		· ·
chool Improvement Team Chai	, <i>Or</i>	2			· ·	_ Date	12/2/	/13		
uperintendent's Recommendat	ion						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The plan meets all State n	onviroments and	ic recommend	ed for Board	aporoval	··· ·		· · · · · ·			
The plan as submitted is re			-		g reasons:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
n an										
uperintendent's Signature	Deut	Marte	w		· ·	_Date	10/4	1/13		:
oard Action			- 		. *				· · · ·	
The plan is accepted	: · · · . · · ·		· · ·	· · · · ·			۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰	• ••	· · · ·	•
The plan is accepted with	the following mo	odifications	1.1 - 1.1 A A					·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. :	
The plan is rejected based	upon the follow						·			
									· · ·	
		. *								
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						······				
oard Chair Signature						_ Date				
			- 1				· · · · ·			

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

This school improvement plan has been developed in accordance with all statutory and local board requirements. This plan includes AdvancED School Improvement Plan, Title I, Safe Schools and Title II. The school staff approved the plan by a vote of ______100%_____.

	Principal Jay Jones	Date 12-2-13
	School Improvement Team Chair ASNUL MMULTA	Date 12-2-13
в.	Superintendent's Recommendation	
	$\underline{}$ The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval	
	The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons:	
	Superintendent's Signature	Date 12/4/3
С.	Board Action	
	The plan is accepted	
	The plan is accepted with the following modifications	
	The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons	
	Board Chair Signature	_Date



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

HILLSBOROUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Our School's 3 Most Significant Needs (needs should be student instructional needs or needs evidenced by survey, as opposed to "wants")	Present Status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target Status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	Most Promising Strategy (ies) to Address the Needs (please indicate no more than three strategies per need).		
1. As measured by the 3rd-5th grade EOG results, Hillsborough Elementary exceeded the state goals in Reading (25.6% - Black and 56.6% for White) and also the state goals in Mathematics (22.2% - Black and 53.8% - White). Hillsborough Elementary School staff want to close the gap between Black and White students in both Reading and Math by at least 5%.	Reading EOG: Blacks 50% Whites 63.8% Math EOG: Blacks 41.7% Whites 75%	Reading EOG: Blacks 55% Whites 67.5% Math EOG: Blacks 47.6% Whites 78.0%	 1.a) Use Whole-to-Part to provide reading intervention for struggling readers to increase reading skills and proficiency on the End-of-Grade (EOG) Reading test. 1.b) Use state and local PR69 funds to provide tutoring to students during the school day. 1.c) Classroom teachers will collaborate with the district math coaches to identify instructional strategies (AMC Mathematics) which will support students with mathematics and proficiency on the End-of-Grade (EOG) test. 		
2. The Reading Proficiency Percentile for all students in grades 3-5 was 62.1%. Hillsborough Elementary wants to increase the Reading proficiency of all students to at least 65.8%	Reading EOG: All Students 62.1% Math EOG: All Students	Reading EOG: All Students 65.8% Math EOG: All Students	2.a) The Exceptional Children's (EC) staff will work with classroom teachers to identify instructional strategies that support exceptional children in understanding key mathematics skills and concepts.		
The Math Proficiency Percentile for all	70.4%	73.4. %	2.b) The school staff will analyze existing school gaps and		



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

	****	***************************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
students in grades 3-5 was 70.4%. Hillsborough Elementary would like to increase the Math Proficiency of all students to at least 73.4%.			 opportunities for improvement in reading and mathematics and will have vertical conversations across grade levels to identify academic support and instructional strategies for each student subgroup. 2.c) The staff will participate in training about the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) assessment items, aligned to the Common Core State Standards.
3. As measured by the Teacher Working Conditions Survey and the school survey, 95% or more staff members will respond favorably about use of time and daily schedule.	Teacher Working Conditions Survey (2012): Time Available to Collaborate 85.7% Agree	Teacher Working Conditions Survey (2014): Time Available to Collaborate will improve to 90% Agree	 3.a) Teachers will be provided with time to analyze data from the district common formative assessments and Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System to inform whole class, small group, and individualized reading instruction. 3.b) Teachers will develop a yearlong professional development plan around the Six Instructional Shifts outlined in the Common Core State Standards and appropriate time will be devoted to implementing the plan. 3.c) Teachers will be asked to play a larger role in developing schedules, offer recommendations on how to increase the amount of non-instructional time provided for teachers, and how to structure Professional Learning



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

	 Communities (PLCs) in order to impro learning.	ve teaching and

School Improvement Plan

School Improvement Budget for 2013-2014

Year 2 of 2

HILLSBOROUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Local Technology	Total
Projected Allotment	\$10,571.63	\$13,863.70	\$3,346.00			\$6,855.00	\$34,636.33
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration		44 4 4 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1					\$0.00
Stipends							\$0.00
Substitutes							\$0.00
Salaries Including Benefits		-					\$0.00
Non-capitalized equipment							\$0.00
Capitalized equipment						\$6,855.00	\$6,855.00
Materials and Supplies	\$941.19	\$12,663.70	\$3,346.00				\$16,950.89
Tutoring	\$7,928.72						\$7,928.72
Professional Development	\$1701.72						\$1,701.72
Other: Bus Transportation							\$0.00
Other:SCIENCE LAB CONSUMABLES		\$1,200.00					\$1,200.00
Other:							\$0.00
Totals	\$10,571.63	\$13,863.70	\$3,346.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,855.00	\$34,636.33

LEA Name/Number:	Orange County Schools/680	school Name: Hillsborough Elementary School	
Plan Year(s):	2013-2014	Date Prepared: November 5, 2013	

School Improvement Team Membership

From GS 115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enralled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principols, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot...Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

	THE CONTRACT	CIONATURE
COMMITTEE POSITION	TYPE/PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE
Principal	Steven Weber	15-Weber
Assistant Principal Representative	Ashley Humlet	aprilanelito
Teacher Representative	Jacquel vn Lockamy	Junilem Latony
Inst. Support Representative	CINDY SINICROPE	1 chtis Amil
Teacher Assistant Representative	Christine, Entr	Christen Crim
Parent Representative	Japet Bettger	Janut Belton
Teacher Representative	Erin Taylor	Etro Jayloy
Parent Representative	Bressie Mbadugha	JE- Merly-
Parent Representative	Many Calderon	Macy Com
Pavent Representative	Heren Cheek	Heter no
Teacher Representative Ichair	Justin Zatt	Luo Lito
Parent Representative	Debra F Harris	Ma F Hains
Teacher Representative	Jane M. Vacchiano	Janem Vacquant
Councebor	Melissa Snow	Whele Inon
Teacher Representative	Tracy Shopmyer	Gracy Shopmyn
Feacher Rep. (Reading)	Dena Stanley	Dena Stanley
Teacher Reip	Carrie Corpaning	Carrie Capening
reacher Pro.	Payline Gremanel	Reevel Con & 1

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

	This school improvement plan has been developed in accordance with all statutory and local board r	
	Improvement Plan, Title J, Safe Schopis and Title II. The school staff approved the plan by a vote of _	100%
	Principal	Date 12/2/13
	School Improvement Team Chair	_Date
8.	Superintendent's Recommendation	
	The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval	
	The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons:	
	Charles (Martin)	Date 12/4/13
	Superintendent's Signature <u>XN(/)[/X+)</u> [[() <u>M</u> /(VU)]	_Date
С.	Board Action	
	The plan is accepted	
	The plan is accepted with the following modifications	
	The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons	
	Board Chair Signature	_ Date



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-2014

Year 2 of 2

New Hope Elementary

Are	School's Three Most Significant Needs (needs should be student ructional needs or needs evidenced by vey, as opposed to "wants")	Present status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)		st promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than e strategies per need).
1.	Developing our Literacy program to meet the needs of our changing demographics.	All reading goals were met during the 2012- 2013 school year with confidence interval Our reading scores on the 2012-2013 End of Grade (EOG) testing were 43.3% with an	To have our 50% of our 3 rd grade students reading on grade level by the end of the 2013- 2014 school year according to MCLASS and EOG results.	а.	Creating a master schedule that allows for increased co-teaching opportunities with all support services (Exceptional Children {EC}, English Language Learners {ELL}, Academically and Intellectually Gifted {AIG}, Reading, and Literacy Coach) with students below and above grade level in an effort to increase differentiation and rigor.
		overall composite of 47.6%. According to our MCLASS data 78% of our students in third grade and 66% in second grade are significantly below grade level in reading comprehension	To increase the level of proficiency in reading for grades 4 and 5 in each of our subgroups by 6% according to EOG results, and 7% for those subgroups that met target stats through confidence interval.	b.	Increase in targeted support for our K-3 classrooms in an effort to meet Read to Achieve legislation by utilizing MCLASS data for developing specific targeted skills and overall reading comprehension during literacy block and through pullout support by hiring a K/1 Literacy Interventionist, Kindergarten reading tutor, an upper grade literacy interventionist, and increase volunteer efforts partnering with a local church for our 3 rd grade readers.



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-2014

Year 2 of 2

Are ins	r School's Three Most Significant Needs 2: (needs should be student tructional needs or needs evidenced by vey, as opposed to "wants")	Present status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	a procession	t promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than e strategies per need).
2.	Reaching Annual Measurable Outcome (AMO) goals for each subgroup present in our school.	New Hope met 100% of its targets for both state and federal subgroups for the 2012-2013 school year.	All AMO targets met for each subgroup during both years of the School Improvement Plan cycle.	a.	Utilize technology in the classroom with our 1 to 1 initiative beginning second semester to both increase student achievement and provide data for directing instruction through formative software (Study Island, Reading Eggs, Discovery Techbook, Tumble Books, Google Docs, Time for Kids, Reading A to Z, and ITouch applications.)
na an a		Targets in reading for Hispanic, Limited English Proficient and economically disadvantaged were met with confidence interval.		b.	To allow for more targeted interventions and support for students through % day PLC discussions and weekly targeted discussions on both formative and summative assessment data.
3.	Bridging Achievement Gap	Exceeded growth as a school in overall growth status according to EVAAS.	To show at least a year's worth of growth in all subgroups and meet AMO targets as well.	3.	Continue to employ a parent involvement specialist to provide support between the school and community (i.e., community awareness nights, parent support groups, translating conferences).
and and a second se		Ethnicity proficiency in Reading overall: 25%% Black		b.	Increase the amount of curriculuim nights to two a year per grade level, along with an arts evening, and science night and biweekly English classes for parents through the Orange Literacy Council.

Page 2 of 3



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-2014

Year 2 of 2

Our School's Three Most Significant N Are: (needs should be student instructional needs or needs evidenc survey, as opposed to "wants")	percentage or other	Target status (score, percentage or other measure of needs – target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	10/08/18/22	t promising strategy (ies) to address the needs (please indicate no more than e strategies per need).
	22.5%% Hispanic 67.6% White Ethnicity Proficiency in Math Overall Black 17 % Hispanic 39.4 White 71.1%		C.	Create tutoring opportunities on campus throughout the school year for at- risk students through the Young Scholars, Homework Haven, hiring 1 ½ time math interventionists, hiring a 3-5 th grade reading tutor, hiring a K/1 literacy interventionist, a Kindergarten tutor during the second semester, increasing volunteer support in reading for our 3 rd grade, and after school grade level tutoring opportunities throughout the year.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET 2013-2014

Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Local Technology	Total
Projected Allotment	\$45,898.37	\$19,504.30	\$4,256.00	\$271,931.48	\$23,954.13	\$9,735.00	\$375,279.28
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration							\$0.00
Stipends						*	\$0.00
Substitutes				\$12,000.00			\$12,000.00
Salaries Including Benefits				\$197,535.00	\$23,954.13		\$221,489.13
Non-capitalized equipment				· · · · ·			\$0.00
Capitalized equipment						\$9,735.00	\$9,735.00
Materials and Supplies	\$15,875.37	\$18,304.30	\$4,256.00	\$19,642.48			\$58,078.15
Tutoring	\$24,355.00			\$38,754.00			\$63,109.00
Professional Development	\$2,000.00						\$2,000.00
Other: SCIENCE LAB CONSUMABLES		\$1,200.00					\$1,200.00
Other: CONTRACTED SERVICES	\$3,668.00			\$4,000.00			\$7,668.00
Other:							\$0.00
Totals	\$45,898.37	\$19,504.30	\$4,256.00	\$271,931.48	\$23,954.13	\$19,470.00	\$375,279.28

NEW HOPE ELÉMENTARY SCHOOL

LEA Name/Number:	Orange County Schools/680	_School Name:	New Hope Elementary School	·
Plan Year(s):	2013-2014	Date Prepared: _	November 20, 2013	

School Improvement Team Membership

From GS 115C-105.27: "The principal of each schoal, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel ond teocher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot...Parents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the raciol and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."

Name	Title	Signature	Date
Laura Ballenger	SIT President	Laura Ballenger	11/20/13
Leslie Armistad	Assistant Principal	Able Armistad	11/20/13
Debbie Collins	5th Grade Teacher	Deblie Colleins	11/20/13
Ann Leck	4th Grade Teacher	ann moreck	11/20/13
Jeff Rachlin	Principal	C Gell Lahren	11/19/13
Tammy Brown	Parent	Enily Yalder	11/20/13
Cassandra Davis	2nd Grade Teacher	Cananh Davi	11/20/13
Kim Kelleher	Counselor	Kin Kelleber	11/20/13
Kristin Merrill	PTSA Parent	Vive nel-	11/26/13
Miguel Munoz	Parent	Aligne N. Mining	11/25/13
Sue Anne Schwinn	3rd Grade Teacher	Alle Unnight	11/25/13
Tori Williams Reid	Parent	La. Willing Rid	11/25/2013)
Martha Anne Wright	1st Grade Teacher	Martha anne Muight	11/20/13
MJ Rosensweet	Technology Facilitator	my dare m	11/20/13
Tonya Lamm	Classified Rep (TA)	Harryon Harryon	11/20/13
			v g

Angela McLeod	Kindergarten Teacher	Angela McLeod	11-20-13
Jeanette Sims	Parent	Chemette Sims	11-22-13
Anitra Grove	Parent	Antra Shore	11-20-13
Sarah Jones	Specialist	Sauh F. Jones	11-25-13
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>,</u>

Page 2 of 2

LEA Name/Number:	Orange County Schools/680	School Name:	New Hope Elementary School	
Plan Year(s):	2013-2014	Date Prepared:	November 20, 2013	

School Improvement Team Membership

From GS 115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistont principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher assistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the ossistant principols, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel and teocher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballot...Parents serving on school improvement teams sholl reflect the rociol and socioeconomic composition of the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level stoff."

	Title		Date
Laura Ballenger	SIT President	Laura Ballenger	11/20
Leslie Armistad	Assistant Principal	Lislie Armistad	1/20/
Debbie Collins	5th Grade Teacher	Deblie Colleius	11/20/1
Ann Leck	4th Grade Teacher	ann moreck	11/20/1
Jeff Rachlin	Principal	C Gell/sh-	11, 79/
Tammy Brown	Parent	Enny Paulon	11/20/1
Cassandra Davis	2nd Grade Teacher	Canandi Davis	[1/20]
Kim Kelleher	Counselor	Kin Kellelee	11/201
Kristin Merrill	PTSA Parent	Vive nell-	11/26
Miguel Munoz	Parent	Aligne Mining	11/25/
Sue Anne Schwinn	3rd Grade Teacher	Die Ungdich:	11/25
Tori Williams Reid	Parent	b. Wlleys Rid	11/25/201
Martha Anne Wright	1st Grade Teacher	Martha anne Mught	11/20/1
MJ Rosensweet	Technology Facilitator	my Carl m	11/20/13
Tonya Lamm	Classified Rep (TA)	Anna Kan	11/20/1
			1 lj

and the second

Angela McLeod	Kindergarten Teacher	A ngela McLeod	11-20-13
Jeanette Sims	Parent	Semnette Sims	11-22-13
Anitra Grove	Parent	Antra Move	11-20-13
Sarah Jones	Specialist	Sauh F. Jones	11-25-13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	······································		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
α			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1		
<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Page 2 of 2

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

This school improvement plan has been developed in accordance with all statutory and local board requirements. This plan includes AdvancED School Improvement Plan, Title I, Safe School and Title II. The school staff approved the plan by a vote of <u>100%</u>.

Principal Jell	L	Date	11/26/13
School Improvement Team Chair	va Ballenger	Date	11/26/13

B. Superintendent's Recommendation

____ The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval

_____The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons:

Date Superintendent's Signature

C. Board Action

_____ The plan is accepted

_____ The plan is accepted with the following modifications

_____ The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons

Board Chair Signature

Date _____



Orange County Schools

School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

Pathways Elementary School

Our School's 3 Most Significant Needs (needs should be student instructional needs or needs evidenced by survey, as opposed to "wants")	Present Status (score, percentage or other measure of these needs)	Target Status (score, percentage or other measure of needs target may be for the first year or for both years of the plan)	Most Promising Strategy (les) to Address the Needs (please indicate no more than three strategies per need).
Science: Pathways did not meet the target goals for 2012-2013 for 5th grade science.	Science Goal for 2012- 2013 = 52.2% *Science Percentage for 2012-2013 = 30.5% *Subcategories Goals for 2012-2013: -SWD = 20.6% -Black =32.6% -White =64.7% -EDS =37.6% -AIG =93.2% Subcategories Percentage for 2012- 2013 -SWD =13.3% -Black =14.7% -White =61.9% -EDS =16.0% -AIG =60.0%	Science Goal for 2013-2014 = 57.0% 	 Teachers in grades 4 and 5 will team teach together and create a master schedule that maximizes literacy instruction by integrating social studies and science into the literacy block. Action Steps: Create a master schedule that supports three blocks of instruction for 4th and 5th grade teachers (math, reading, and writing). Each block will be approximately 70-80 minutes long. One teacher will teach math, while the other two will collaborate to teach literacy. Social studies and science will be integrated into the literacy block. The literacy coach will support both 4th and 5th grade teachers in finding materials that support the integration of social studies and science into the literacy block (examples: leveled non-fiction readers, historical fiction, etc.) The literacy coach will co-teach with both 4th and 5th grade teachers in order to support the integration of social studies and science into the writing curriculum. The two literacy teachers will collaborate together to ensure the curriculum is delivered in the most effective manner. All 4th and 5th grade teachers will work in conjunction with the literacy coach to implement literacy strategies into science instruction daily. Action Steps: The literacy coach will co-teach with both 4th and 5th grade science teachers the first semester to help implement literacy strategies into the content area. The literacy coach will co-teach with both 4th and 5th grade science teachers the first semester to help implement literacy strategies into the content area. The literacy coach will co-teach with both 4th and 5th grade science teachers the first semester to help implement literacy strategies into the content area.



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

			 develop an effective plan to teach content vocabulary in science. 3. Teachers in grades 4 and 5 will conduct at least two common assessments during the year to monitor student achievement in science and construct intervention groups to address any weak areas. 4. Science teachers in grades 4 and 5 will make use of science EOG sample questions all throughout the year to familiarize students with format of science EOG.
Although Pathways made expected growth in reading, there were many areas that were met with confidence intervals. In addition, the number of students coming out of kindergarten reading on grade level as measured by the Teachers' College Assessment was lower than grades 1 and 2. All of this combined together, indicates that reading should continue to be a priority at Pathways.	Reading Goal for 2012- 2013 43.9% Reading Percentage for 2013-2014 45.8 (Met Growth) Reading Goals for Subcategories for 2012- 2014 -Black 25.6% -White 56.6% -EDS 28.7% -SWD 12.9% -AIG 90.8% Reading Percentages for Subcategories for 2012- 2013 -Black 18.8 (Met with Cl) -White 50.5 (Met with Cl) -White 50.5 (Met with Cl) -AIG 87.8% (Met with Cl)	Reading Goals for 2013- 2014 = 49.5% 	 Teachers in grades 4 and 5 will team teach together and create a master schedule that maximizes literacy instruction by integrating social studies and science into the literacy block. Action Steps: Create a master schedule that supports three blocks on instruction for 4th and 5th grade teachers (math, reading, and writing). Each block will be approximately 70-80 minutes long. One teacher will teach math, while the other two will collaborate to teach literacy. Social studies and science will be integrated into the literacy block. The literacy coach will support both 4th and 5th grade teachers in finding materials that support the integration of social studies and science into the literacy block (examples: leveled non-fiction readers, historical fiction, etc.) The literacy coach will collaborate together to ensure the curriculum. The two literacy teachers will collaborate together to ensure the 1:1 initiative has a significant impact on student achievement in grades 3-5. Action Steps: Staff will collaborate together to create a document outlining minimum expectations for teacher and student usage of laptops. Staff will create a list of electronic resources that can be used in instruction to



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

· ·			 support student learning. 3. All classroom teachers will create a Moodle or Ed Modo site for instructional usage as well as teach parents how to access the site to support students at home. 3. School Governance will utilize PRC 69 and 72 funding to develop an after school tutorial program targeting students in grades 2-3 that is modeled after the program utilized by the community learning centers. Action Steps: Members of the School Governance Team will visit the community learning centers at Central Elementary to learn more about their program and the opportunities it offers students. Members of the School Governance Team will develop a budget to possibly support this program in both literacy and math. Members of the School Governance Team will explore a substantial curriculum for this program.
3. Closing the Achievement Gap: Although Pathways met growth in all subcategories in reading and math, there is still an achievement gap between minority and non-minority students.	EOG Goəls for minority subgroups 2012-2013: -Math -Black 22.2% -Reading -Black 25.6% EOG Percentages for	EDG Goals for 2013-2014 for minority subgroups; -Math -Black 30.0% -Reading -Black 33.0% No subgroup for Hispanic	 All teachers in grades K-5 will disaggregate data during the course of the year (MClass, AMC, Common Assessments, District Benchmarks, Teachers College) by roce, gender, and exceptional children. Action Steps: Teachers will record data in a spreadsheet and sort by race, gender, and exceptional children.

Page 3 of 5



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

minority subg 2012-2013: -Math -Black 15.69	different subgroups. 3. Teachers will develop an intervention plan to address any area of weakness.
CI) -Reading -Black 18.83 CI)	2. Teachers in grades K-5 will conduct a minimum of two common 6 (Met with achievement and in return use the data to drive instruction.
Not enough st Hispanic subg provide reliab	roup to 1. Teachers in grades K-5 will use PLC meetings and ½ day plannings to define the
	3. School Governance will utilize PRC 69 and 72 funding to develop an after school tutorial program targeting students in grades 2-3 that is modeled after the program utilized by the community learning centers.
	 Action Steps: 1. Members of the School Governance Team will visit the community learning centers at Central Elementary to learn more about their program and the opportunities it offers students. 2. Members of the School Governance Team will develop a budget to possibly support this program for students. 3. Students in grades 2-3 will be targeted for early intervention for services through

Page 4 of 5



School Improvement Plan

Executive Summary for 2013-14

Year 2 of 2

	this program in both literacy and math. 4. Members of the School Governance Tearn will explore a substantial curriculum for this program. 5. Members of the School Governance Tearn will present this proposal to the entire staff for feedback and consensus. All of the strategies in the above two target areas are also strategies for the third area. See above.

n a na an an ann ann ann an a' an ann an	An a dia sera di sebuti din kana kanang kang di sebuti pada pada kanang an	Oran	ge County Sch	nools	den open er statska i HEARL hefte Forgere y over stel til at PA skonfilleren og en	an an shahan da gilan na gila na san san san san san san san san san	n ganan da ya gana, a ya yenda tin kana daya da ya ya ya kana da ka
ан на стави и на стави И по на стави и на стави		Schoo	l Improvement	t Plan	annan markan sa		feneral field and the first of the second
af fin a fui f 2014 ann an an an fail f an	ŝ	School Improve	ement Budget	for 2013-201	14		
a a sa na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an	an na haga tapa ang panang pang na kana na kana pang tang tang pang pang pang pang pang pang pang p	and a substant of the second secon	Year 2 of 2	-	neder i nya mana da katala taka sa taka ka da katala da serengan pana da taka kata materi dag	an an an a she and a she and a summary and an an an and a she	annen 11 fan de fijk fin de finder fan de fieren fereder fan de fieren fieren.
ւ ԱՆՆԵՆ է չուսես «Խոչհասարվան են ընդել ընդերը», չու չես հետ է են է ու դասաս հայտարվալ է ԱՆՆԵՆ ԱՆՍԻՆԻՆԵՆ։	n rapad an ada (Madadd a an a	Path	ways Element	lary	ւ հեռուցցուցում է քրնչ է էրչչին, քննչին, են հեռներին՝ մեցնել էն միջնել էջ թղորդ, պա	san, sa aris kakadapap ni manganakasa ata ata da	
Category	At Risk Student Funding	Instructional Supplies/ Materials	Media	Title I	Disadvantaged Student Supplemental Funding	Other	Total
Projected Allotment	\$19,710.60	\$13,133.75	\$3,227.00				\$36,071.35
Travel/ Subsistence/ Registration							\$0.00
Stipends							\$0.00
Substitutes							\$0.00
Salaries Including Benefits							\$0.00
Non-capitalized equipment						\$6255	\$6,255.00
Capitalized equipment							\$0.00
Materials and Supplies				-,			\$0.00
Tutoring							\$0.00
Professional Development							\$0.00
Other: Bus Transportation							\$0.00
Other: Local Funds	\$6570.2						\$6,570.20
Other:							\$0.00
Totals	\$26,280.80	\$13,133.75	\$3,227.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,255.00	\$48,896.55

.

LEA Name/Number: Orange County Schools/680) School Name: Pathways Elementary School	L					
Plan Year(s): 2013-2014 Date Prepared: <u>November 23, 2013</u>							
	School Improvement Team Membership						
From GS 115C-105.27: "The principal of each school, representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel, and teacher ossistants assigned to the school building, and parents of children enrolled in the school shall constitute a school improvement team to develop a school improvement plan to improve student performance. Representatives of the assistant principals, instructional personnel, instructional support personnel and teacher assistants shall be elected by their respective groups by secret ballotParents serving on school improvement teams shall reflect the racial and socioeconomic composition af the students enrolled in that school and shall not be members of the building-level staff."							
COMMITTEE POSITION	TYPE/PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE					
Principal	Connie Brimmer	Connie d' Bumme					
Assistant Principal	Lynn Brown	KIMN KOMM					
Elective Representative	Nan Lujan	Jan Husan					
Inst. Support Representative	Marcia LeBlanc	Mayoranco					
Teacher Assistant Representative	Wendy Michaelson	Wendy Michaelson					
Parent Representative	Mollie Bledsoe	Marin Blodger,					
Parent Representative	Robin Crawford	Kehe Bauloge					
Kindergarten Representative	Tamara Sharpe	Varage Strake					
1 st Grade Representative	Kristie Albert	RINTINGT					

Cynthia Thompson Robin Leissner David O'Neal

Sheila Murray

JoBeth O'Neal

Joann Quigley

Susie Appelquist

Im

nons

2nd Grade Representative

3rd Grade Representative

4th Grade Representative

5th Grade Representative

Exceptional Children Representative

Media and Technology Representative

Support Personnel Representative

*Add to list as needed. Each group may have more than one representative.

A. School Approval

Principal Conne & Primme _____ Date _____ Date _____ Date 12-1-13 School Improvement Team Chair ______ B. Superintendent's Recommendation The plan meets all State requirements and is recommended for Board approval The plan as submitted is recommended for Board rejection based upon the following reasons: Superintendent's Signature Date C. Board Action The plan is accepted ... The plan is accepted with the following modifications The plan is rejected based upon the following reasons Board Chair Signature Date