TWIN CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

JENNIFER ALLEN, PRINCIPAL



DATE:

October 6, 2020

TO:

Stanwood-Camano School Board Members

FROM:

Jennifer Allen, Principal

RE:

iGrant 217 Highly Capable Program Plan

Attached for your review is iGrant 217 for the Highly Capable Program Plan for the 2020-21 school year.

Recommendation: That the Board approves iGrant 217 for the Highly Capable Program Plan for the 2020-21 school year.

217 Highly Capable Program Plan

Fiscal Year: 20-21

Milestone: Draft (Printed 9/17/2020)

District: Stanwood-Camano School District

Organization Code: 31401

ESD: Northwest Educational Service District 189

Page 1

Directions

All Local Education Agencies (LEAs) must complete this application for the 2020-21 school year.

- Page 1 must be updated annually: District indicates if accepting Highly Capable funds, signs and dates assurances to comply with Highly Capable Program requirements.
- Pages 2 through 7: LEA information entered on pages 2-6 of FP 217 will roll over from the LEA's last approved Highly Capable Comprehensive Plan. If changes were made on any Page (2-6) check the box on this page, then make changes on the appropriate page.

REMINDER: The Highly Capable funding formula is based on 5.0 percent of each LEA's population. This is a funding formula and does *not* mean a certain percentage of students must be identified.

Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. In iGrants form Package 217 (fiscal year 2020-21), click **Print All**, to the right of Save. (WAC 392.170.025)

Program Monitoring and Review

OSPI staff will review District Highly Capable plans during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process. Districts will be reviewed during Consolidated Program Review cycle, even if they are not accepting funds, as Highly Capable is part of the program of basic education under **RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)3)**.

Updated Pages

Updates have been made to the following pages:

Page 2

Page 3

☐ Page 4

Page 5
Page 6

Page 7

Assurances: Comply with State Law and Regulation

NOTE: As part of RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g), the instructional program of basic education provided by

each LEA shall include programs for Highly Capable students. Consistent with OSPI's approach to the Learning Assistance Program and the Transitional Bilingual Instructional Program, an LEA does not have to accept funds. It does need to ensure services are provided to students who qualify. Under the law, LEAs are to identify their most Highly Capable students and serve them. See RCW 28A.185.020 (1) and (2).

Please check only one box below:

LEA <u>accepts</u> Highly Capable allocation for 2020-21 school year. LEA agrees to the comply with:

a. RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)(3)

The instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include: (g) Programs for highly Capable students under RCW 28A.185.010 through 28A.185.030.

b. RCW 28A.185.020

Highly Capable program requirements provided in state law.

C. WAC 392-170-012

Highly Capable program requirements provided under OSPI rules. WAC 392-170

- d. Annually report the students served in the LEA's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- e. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- f. Annually complete the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250).
- g. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable students must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- h. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly Capable students Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

LEA <u>DOES NOT accept</u> Highly Capable allocation for the 2020-21 school year. LEA understands that under the Basic Education Act, it must offer a Highly Capable program that complies with <u>RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)</u>. This includes a responsibility to identify and serve their most Highly Capable students. LEA agrees that it will:

- a. Annually report the students served in the district's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- b. Annually complete applicable portions of the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250). This includes annually reviewing and validating CEDARS data.
- c. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- d. Follow **RCW 28A.185.020** District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable students must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- e. Follow **RCW 28A.300.770** Highly Capable students –Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

District officials have read, and the district complication above.	es with, the laws and regulations
Authorized Representative Name:	Dr. Lloy Schaaf
Authorized Representative Title:	Assistant Superintendent

Date: (MM/DD/YY)	08/05/2019

Highly Capable Program Coordinator								
Contact Name:	Jennifer Allen							
Organization:	Stanwood-Camano School District							
Email:	jallen@stanwood.wednet.edu							
Phone:	360-629-1270							
Contact Name:	Jennifer Allen							
Organization:	Stanwood-Camano School District							

Highly Capable Pro	gram Parent Organization
Is there a parent o	rganization in your area? 🗹 Yes 🗀 No
Contact Name:	Kim Hildenbrand
Organization:	Stanwood-Camano Highly Capable Families Facebook Group
Email:	kimwhildenbrand@gmail.com
Phone:	360-395-8665

Page 2

District's Highly Capable Student Definition and Learning Characteristics RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170 | 035 | 036

Instructions

- 1. Select one check box.
- 2. If you check the second box, provide the district's unique definition of a Highly Capable student and learning characteristics.
- District uses the state's definition for students who are Highly Capable, and to define the learning characteristics that could be evident in students identified as Highly Capable.
- District uses a unique definition that integrates elements of the state's definitions.

Highly Capable Student: Unique District Definition and Learning Characteristics.

Statement of Purpose (OPTIONAL)

District has a statement of purpose for the Highly Capable program.

Identification Process: Notification, Referral, Screening, Assessment, Selection, Appeal RCW 28A-185-030, WACs 392-170 | 042 | 045 | 047 | 055 | 060 | 070 | 075

Instructions

Identification procedures must occur at all grade levels in the district. The demographics that characterize your district's Highly Capable students should reflect the demographics of the district's population. Once a student is identified, the district provides services across all grade levels — for as long as he or she remains in the district.

Every Item is Mandatory

- 1. Mark each check box in sections A, B, C, D and E to affirm that these WAC requirements are in place.
- 2. Write your response where indicated.

A. Annual Notification WAC 392-170-042

Assurances

Public notification for parents and students before any major identification activity.

Public Notification

- Translated into languages spoken by the communities whose students attend the schools in your district, as necessary to reach the families whose children attend schools in your district.
- Published across multiple communication channels with circulation adequate to notify parents and students throughout the district.

B. Referral Process <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u>

Assurances

- District uses a specific process to refer students for the Highly Capable program
- Referral process permits referrals from teachers, other staff, parents, students and community members.

C. Parental/Legal Guardian Permission WAC 392-170-047

District must have on file **written or electronic signature permission to assess and start HCP services**. Every item listed below is required by WAC 392-170-047.

Assurances

- District gets permission to assess.
- District gets permission to start services.

Permission to Test Includes		Permission to Start HCP Services Includes	
Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P	Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P
Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P	Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P
Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	P	Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	P
Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P	Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P.

D. Screening Procedures OPTIONAL <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u> | <u>060</u> | <u>075</u>

Instructions

The referral process could include a method to screen out students who do not qualify for the HCP, based on clear current evidence.

The district uses a screening process. Yes **P** No **I**

If yes, click the **NEW** button and complete the tables to document the type of screener by grade level.

If no, continue to Part E.

Do Not Lose Your Data - Click Save!

Click **Save** at the top of the page after you complete each table.

Screening Procedures

Assurances

Mark both check boxes to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented screening process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the screening meet requirements of WAS 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of tests.

Instructions

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

Make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

[selection] "(3) Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most highly capable as defined under <u>wac 392-170-055</u>, and other data collected in the assessment process."

If you checked the **K12 box**, do NOT check the boxes below individual grades.

	Screener by Grade Level													V circular section con
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form	П	П	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	П	П	Г	П	Г
CogAt 7-Full Battery	П	П	Г	П	П	Г	П	П	Г	П	Г	П	П	П
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)	L			F	L		<u>L</u>	П	П			Г	Г	
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)	L i		Е	П			Г	П	Г	П			Г	
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)			Г		Г		П	Г	Г	Г	Г	L	Г	Г
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)		L	П	Б		Li		J.	П	Б				П
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)		П		П	П	П	Г	П	П	П	П		П	
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)			П					П	口	E	Г	Б	E	
Other: Name(s)		Г	П	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Б		Г		
	a		•											<u> </u>
Academic Achievement					Scre	ener	by	Grad	le Le	evel				
Academic Achievement	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P	Г			Г		Г	Г	П		Г	Г	—	
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)		P	P	P		Г	П	П	Г		П	Г		Г
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)	-			P	P	P	P	P	P	P		Г		
		L		1				I				hand.	•	
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)	П		П	Г		—	Г	Г		Б				G
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)														
Iowa Test of Educational														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series,														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV) Other: Name(s)														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV) Other: Name(s) AIMSWEB scores														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV) Other: Name(s)														
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV) Other: Name(s) AIMSWEB scores					□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Ener	Б	E Grac		C C				

Research-based Rating Scale				9	Scre	enei	· by	Grad	de Lo	evel				
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P	Г	П	Г	П	П	Г	П	П	П	Г	П	П	Г
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)		E		E				<u></u>					Γ	
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)		П		Г	П		Г	Г	П			П	F	
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		С												
Other: Name(s)		Г		П	Б	Г	Г			Б		Б		П
	1	<u> </u>	**********											
										<u>densimentario</u>				**********************
Informal Measures					Scre	ener	by	Grad	de Lo	evel				
Informal Measures	K12	К	1	2	Scre 3	ener 4	by 5	Grad	de Le	evel 8	9	10	11	12
Informal Measures Kingore Observation Inventory	K12	К	1	T	T	Т	-	r	r		9	10 —	11	12
				2	3	4	5	6	7	8	<u> </u>			
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally				2	3	4	5	6 [7	8		Г		Г
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally				2	3 C	4 []	5 []	6 F	7 C	8 [C]				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally developed	□ P			2 C	3 C		5 C	6	7 []	8 C C C				

REMEMBER: Allow **SAVE** to complete before hitting the **NEW** button again.

E. Assessment Process WAC 392-170-055 | 060

Assurances

Mark each check box to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented assessment process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the assessment meet requirements of WAC 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of Tests.

Instructions

Use **up-to-date assessment tools.** Contact individual publishing companies for more information on each assessment.

Other - Name the other data sources you use.

If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.

ALERT: Districts that screen must use different instruments in the assessment process.

Assessment Measures

Cognitive			As	sess	mer	it Me	easu	re B	y Gı	ade	Lev	el		
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAT 7-Screening Form	П	Г	Г	П	П	Г	П		П	Г				П
CogAT 8-Screening Form		Г	П	П	П	П		П	П	П	П	П	П	Г
CogAT 7-Full Battery	P	Г	Г		Г	П	Г	Г	Г	П	П	<u></u>	П	П
CogAT 8-Full Battery	Г	П	П	Г	Г	П	П	Г		П	П	П		П
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)	J	П			Г	П	Г	П	П		П			,
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)	<u>:</u>	Г	.	I.	П	П	П	П						
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)	L				П	П	П	Г	П	G	П		П	
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)	I	Π	П	Г	Π	П	Г	Г	П	П	Г		Г	П
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)		П		П	Г		Г			Г	П	П	П	П
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)	.	П	Г		П	Г	П	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	[]
Other: Name(s)	Г								П	П	П			

A cadowie A abierowa ut	Assessment Measure By Grade Level													
Academic Achievement	K12	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P		П	П	П	Г	Г	Г	П	Г	П	П	П	П
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)	П	P	P	P	П	П	Г	Г	П	П	П			Г
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)					Ø	P	E							
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)		Г		Г	П	П		П	П	Г		Г	П	Г
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)										Г		.	Г	Г
Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)		J.,).										Г		
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)										П				
Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (KTEA)	r-			Γ				Г			T.		Г	Г
Other: Name(s)	I	Г	Г	F		F	Г	Г	П	Г	T.	I	П	

Creativity	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Creativity	K12	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking		П				E			Г	П	Г			П
Other: Name(s) Renzuli	Z	E		П	П	Г	П	Г	Œ	Г		ß		Е

Research-Based Rating Scale		Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Gifted Rating Scales, 2003 (GRS)				Г			П	Г		Г	П				
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)	P	Г	П		Г	Г					office),				
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)		Г		D	П	П		<u>[</u>				П			
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		P													
Other: Name(s)		П			Г	С					Г	П			

Informal Measures	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Informal Measures	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory						Г	Г	П		Г				П
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed	P	Г	Г	П			Г	and the same of th	Г				П	F.
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed	P	Г		Б									Г	
Report Card			Г					П				П		
Portfolio-Work Samples	P													
Other: Name(s)		Г		Г			Г	Г				C.		

F. Selection <u>WAC 392-170-075</u>

Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) Considers Screening and Assessment DataIf you screen, make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect—through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most Highly

Capable as defined under <u>wac 392-170-055</u>, and other data collected in the assessment process."

Assurances

- District has documented procedure and board-approved policy that govern selection of the most Highly Capable students by the MSC. This policy and its procedures meet the requirements listed in WAC 392-170-075.
- Board Policy and Procedure Number 2190
- If not, 2190: Board Policy and Procedure Name or Number

G. Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) WAC 392-170- 070 | 075 | 038

Assurances

MSC members must meet the minimum professional requirements listed in WAC 392-170-070.

- The district's MSC composition and the role of the committee members comply with WAC 392-170-070 and 075.
- Each committee member commits to the strictest level of confidentiality related to the process, documentation, student information and selection.

HCP Services: Continuum and Variety RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170-030

A. Program Services Management WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Assurances

- District provides educational opportunities that take into account each student's needs and capabilities.
- District reviews services for each student periodically to ensure services meet each student's needs and capabilities.

B. Variety and Continuum of Program Services WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Instructions

CEDARS gifted values identifies four primary structures for HCP service delivery:

- General education classroom-based services and programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 32
- Unique HCP Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 33
- Acceleration Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 34
- Non-Traditional Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 35

For each service delivery option or options you provide identify the **instructional strategies and curricular modifications** teachers integrate to meet the needs of their Highly Capable students.

ALERT: Instructional programming and the delivery of HCP services must be **in place at every grade level** in your district. Highly Capable students remain in the program until their enrollment in your district ends.

Complete the Gifted Value Tables

For each Gifted Value identify:

- 1. Program options by grade level. If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.
- 2. Instructional strategies and curricular modifications.

CEDARS Gifted Value 32 General Education classroom-	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
based services and programs	P		П	П	Г	Г	П	Г	П		П	Г	Г	
Instructional Strategies and Curri	ion													
☑ Differentiation		Curriculum Compacting												
Flexible grouping		Ø	Enric	chme	nt									
☑ Independent study				P	Inde	penc	lent	proje	ects					

☐ Pacing															
Supplemental instruction in area of interest						☑ Supplemental materials in area of interest									
Cluster grouping					☐ Other Name(s)										
P															
CEDARS Gifted Value 33 Unique HCP services and programs	Unique HCP services and K12 K 1 programs						5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Self-Contained classroom	P	P	P	P	П	Г	П	П		П	П				
Supplemental pull-out program	П	П	П	П	П	П	П		Г	П	П				
Sp ecialty online course or courses	С	П	П		П		П	P	P	P	P	R	P	P	
Other Name(s)							Г	Г	П		П		Г		
Instructional Strategies and Curri	cula M	lodif	ficat	ion											
☑ Differentiation				P	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g					
Flexible grouping				P	Enric	chme	nt								
☑ Independent study						pend	lent	proje	ects						
☑ Pacing						Content acceleration									
Supplemental instruction in area o	fintere	est		P	Supp	olem	enta	l mat	eria	ls in	area	of ir	ntere	st	
Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	5)							
CEDARS Gifted Value 34 Acceleration services and programs	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Advance Placement (AP)	С			П		П	П	П	П	П	П	P	P	V	
Cambridge AICE	П	Г	П	П	Г	Е	Г	П	Г	П	П	Г	Г		
College in the High School	С	Г	П	П	П	П	П	П		П	П	P	P	P	
Concurrent or dual enrollment		П	Г	Г		Б	Г	П	Б	П	П	P	P	P	
Credit by examination		П	П	П	П		П	П	П	П	П				
Early entrance middle school, high school or college			Г	Г				Г	Г	Г		E	E	Ø	
Grade level advancement	P	Г	E	Г		П	П		Г	П	П		П		
Honors/Advanced		П	П			П	Г	П	П		P	P	P	P	
International Baccalaureate (IB)	Г		П			П	П	П	П	П	Г	П	П		
Online course(s) for subject acceleration							ß	P	P	P	P	P	ß	e	
Running Start										П			Ø	Z	
Subject-based acceleration											Œ	E			
Other Name(s)										П	Г				
Instructional Strategies and Curric	cula M	odif	icat	on											

P Differentiation					Curriculum Compacting									
Flexible grouping					☑ Enrichment									
				P	Inde	pend	lent	proje	ects					
Pacing				P	Cont	ent a	accel	erat	ion					
Supplemental instruction in area o	f intere	est		P	Supp	olem	enta	l ma	teria	ls in	area	of in	itere	st
Cluster grouping				Г	Othe	r Na	me(s	s) Fa	lse					
CEDARS Gifted Value 35 Non-traditional services and programs	K12	K		2	3	4	3	6	ang J	8	9	10	11	12
Mentorship	С	Б	П	Г	П	Г	П	П	П	П	Г	П	П	П
Collaborative partnership with industry		<u>E</u>	Г		П	L		Б	and the second		Г		П	
Cooperative arrangement with ESD		Г		Г	Г		П		Г					
Cooperative arrangement with other district(s)	.			F	П	Г	П		A second	П	L			
Supplemental academic competitions						Г	П	Ø	P	P	P	P	P	P
Supplemental summer enrichment or acceleration		П	Г	П		L	Г	Г	П		П	П	П	
Supplemental before or after school services and extra-curricular academic activities		П	Ē									Г		
Other Name(s)			Г	С		П	П	П	П	П	Г			П
Instructional Strategies and Curric	cula M	odif	icat	on							•			
☑ Differentiation				P	Curri	culu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping				P	Enric	hme	nt							
☑ Independent study				০	Inde	pend	ent	proje	ects					
☑ Pacing				P	Cont	ent a	accel	erati	on					
Supplemental instruction in area of	f intere	est		P	Supp	leme	ental	mat	erial	s in	area	of in	tere	st
Cluster grouping				ס	Otho	r Na	~~/~	- 1						

Page 5

Program Goals, Monitoring and Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

A. District Program Goals WAC 392-170-030

Assurance

District has defined goals for the Highly Capable program and works toward meeting those goals.

ALERT: Keep documentation related to HCP goals on file at the district. District staff make these records available to authorized personnel during the sate Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

B. Monitoring: District Records That Demonstrate Compliance WAC 392-170-095 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

Keep those records that evidence compliance with state law at the district. Here are some examples of the HCP documents districts must keep on file:

- · School board policy and district procedure that govern the district's Highly Capable program
- Assurances
- Annual public notification
- Parent/legal guardian permission notices and letters
- Description/documentation related to processes of identification, selection, appeal and program evaluation
- Description/documentation related to program exit
- Documentation related to program options and services
- Records related to individual educational programs for Highly Capable students

Assurance

District maintains records that evidence compliance with the laws and regulations related to the Highly Capable program. District staff makes these records available to authorized personnel during the Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

ALERT: Do not upload HCP documentation; keep on file at the district.

C1. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. The annual efficacy of the district's HCP administration and operations
- 2. Compliance with state laws and regulations related to the highly capable program

Select the **methods and activities you will use** to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the timeframes for review and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

	Timeframe by Month(s) example September, December, April				
Program Administration / Operations	Reviewed	Review and Analysis			
Program Administration/Operation		•			
District Policy	E	February 2020			
Program Expenditures	Ø	September 2020			
Compliance to WAC 392-170	Ø	February 2020			
District Procedures	Ø	September 2020			
Goals for District Program	P	September 2020			
Academic Goals for HCP Students	P	February 2020			
Communications	P	September 2020			
Variety of Services at Grade Levels	P	September 2020			
Continuum of Services	P	September 2020			
Other: Name(s)	.				

C2. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. How well you HCP met its program goals
- 2. Academic achievement of your Highly Capable students
- 3. How well your HCP addressed the needs and capabilities of Highly Capable students

Select the **methods and activities you will use** to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the grade level, and timeframes for collection/administration and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

		Timeframe by N example September, Decer	3
Evaluation Methods and Activities	Grades	Collect or Administer	Review
Grades and Tests			
AP Tests	E lementary		

		Secondary	Lloy Schaaf		May 2016
Cambridge AICE Tests		☐ Elementary			
		☐ Secondary			
Classroom-based		□ Elementary			
Assessments	☐ Secondary				
District Assessments		☐ Elementary	vananopoloosoo		
		☐ Secondary			
IB Tests		☐ Elementary			
		☐ Secondary			
Performance Assessment		☐ Elementary			
		☐ Secondary			
Progress Reports		☐ Elementary			
		☐ Secondary			
Report Cards	☐ Elementary				
	☐ Secondary				
State Assessments	☑ Elementary	Dan Johnsto	n	June 2019	
		Secondary	Dan Johnsto	n	June 2019
Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) co	omparing	Elementary Dan Johnsto		n	January 2019
academic peers		☐ Secondary			
Ohler va New ()		☐ Elementary			
Other: Name(s)		☐ Secondary			
Qualitative Data					
	☑ Elementary	Lynn Devora M Lindsey Jacoby		Enhrun	ry 2020
Staff Anecdotal Observation	TO ANTERIOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	Cherae Almanz			ry 2020 ry 2020
	☑ Secondary	Chelsea Hanson		rebiua	1 y 2020
Student Reflection	□ Elementary				A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
	□ Secondary				
Student Interviews	☐ Elementary				
	☐ Secondary				
Other: Name(s)	Elementary				
oc.or. rame(s)	☐ Secondary				
Surveys					

Administrator	☑ Elementary	Jennifer Allen	Septemb	per 2019					
Administrator	Secondary	Jennifer Allen	er 2019						
Parent	Septemb	per 2019							
Parent	oer 2019								
Chudont	per 2019								
Student	oer 2019								
Teacher	Septemb	per 2019							
reactier	ber 2019								
Othory Name(s)									
Other: Name(s) Secondary									
Other Data Sources									
Attendance				☐ Elementary					
Accertaince				☐ Secondary					
Competition Performance and				☐ Elementary					
Destination Imagination, Futu	re Problem Solv	ers, History Day, debate,	chess	☐ Secondary					
□ Elemer									
Program Participation Secondary									
Others Name(s)									
Other: Name(s) Secondary									

School Board Annual Approval of District Comprehensive Plan: iGrants 217 WAC 392-170-020 | 025 | 030

A. Estimate of Students Expected to Serve WAC 392-170-030

Instructions

Estimate the number of students your district expects to serve at each grade - across the district's total grade span.

К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
0	0	5	2	12	10	10	18	17	9	21	16	19	139

B. iGrants 217 Highly Capable Program Comprehensive Plan: School Board Annual Approval WAC 392-170-020 | 025

Instructions

This iGrants form package - 217 - is your district's Comprehensive plan.

- 1. Complete, print out all pages and take it to your school board for annual review and approval. Click **Print All**, to the right of Save.
- 2. Enter the date your school board approved this Comprehensive plan, iGrants 217. If it is not yet approved, please enter date when it will be on board agenda for approval.
- 3. Upload the board meeting minutes that document approval. Contact us to open this page when minutes are available.

Date of Annual Board Approval: 10/6/2020

Upload meeting minutes that show annual board approval of iGrants FP 217.

File names: do not use symbols or special characters.

Uploaded Files

Uploaded By

Uploaded At

Files have not been uploaded

Equitable Identification of Low-Income Students RCW 28A.185.020

Update as needed how you address equitable identification of low-income students as required by law RCW 28A.185.020.

Identify person(s) responsible for developing and implementing LEA equitable identification plan
to address low-income students.

Jennifer Allen will be in charge of developing the equitable identification plan.

2. LEAs may find systemic barriers to identifying low-income students, such as: limited communication about referral process, testing outside school day, reliance on standardized testing only, no routine review of existing data, communication in English only, "cut off" scores on standardized tests, lack of information by school front office staff, and others.

OSPI suggests the following possible actions to reduce barriers to identifying low-income students: screen all students at certain grade level(s) to "screen in" students who may be overlooked, look for above-grade-level WaKIDS indicators, assess rapid growth over time with WaKIDS or ELPA21, test during school day in home school, review IEPs for students with disabilities for indicators of giftedness (twice exceptional), inform staff of gifted indicators and solicit referrals by staff, use alternative assessments for English learners, routinely review all relevant data for any new student, include referral information in enrollment packets, reach out to families by native language speaker.

Please update actions your LEA takes to prioritize equitable identification of lowincome students, and the LEA's process to implement during the 2020-21 identification cycle.

Our district selection process will and has included screening of all students using SBA, ELPA21, MAP, and AIMSWeb data at various grade levels. We will continue to test students during the day so there are no barriers to participation in testing and will review with special ed. educators appropriate IEPs as we look for twice exceptional students. Our experience has been that staff and parents are the best source for our twice exceptional identification as we have had several students in past year in this category. We will continue to inform staff regarding identification equity and look fors during the identification process.

Criteria for Identification RCW 28A.300.770

Explain how you address criteria for identification as required by RCW 28A.300.770.

 Update actions your LEA takes to address these criteria in your identification policy and procedures, and the LEA's process to implement during 2020-21 identification cycle.

LEAs must have identification procedures for Highly Capable programs that are clearly stated and implemented using the following criteria:

- a. Districts must use multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most Highly Capable. Multiple pathways for qualifications must be available and no single criterion may disqualify a student from identification.
- Highly Capable selection decisions must be based on consideration of criteria benchmarked on local norms, but local norms may not be used as a more restrictive

criterion than national norms.

- c. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades may not be used to screen out a student from assessment. These data points may be used alongside other criteria during selection to support identification, but may not be used to disqualify a student from being identified.
- d. To the extent practicable, screening and assessments must be given in the native language of the student. If native language screening and assessments are not available, a nonverbal screening and assessment must be used.

We will review our policies and procedures to verify that we are using multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most highly capable. Highly capable decisions will be based on local norms as they have been in the past. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades will not in themselves be used to screen out students for identification. Finally, assessments will be given in the native language if possible otherwise the nonverbal portion of the Cogat will be administered.

4. Briefly outline actions that were conducted or planned in 2019-20 school year to identify students for Highly Capable services in light of school closures resulting from COVID-19. For example, district may have completed identification during school year following typical practice; district may complete identification in summer of 2020 using existing data; district may conduct assessments in summer 2020 and complete identification; district may conduct identification at start of 2020-21 school year to identify and serve as soon as possible in 2020-21 school year.

We have moved our timeline to conduct CoGAT assessments for identification for the program to Fall 2020. We are partnering with Riverside Insights to conduct the CoGAT remotely. Once assessments are complete our Highly Capable Selection committee will meet to review data and make final selections for program.