

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School

CDS Code: 34752830112425

School Year: 2023-24

LEA contact information:

Sharon Macinni

Executive Director


smaccini@natomasunified.org

9165675740

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

## Budget Overview for the 2023-24 School Year

### Projected Revenue by Fund Source




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School is \$8,430,645, of which \$7,883,188 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$497,457 is other state funds, \$50,000 is local funds, and \$ is federal funds. Of the \$7,883,188 in LCFF Funds, \$610,874 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School plans to spend for 2023-24. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.


The text description of the above chart is as follows: Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School plans to spend \$9,301,658 for the 2023-24 school year. Of that amount, \$7,254,678 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$2,046,980 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

## Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2023-24 School Year

In 2023-24, Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School is projecting it will receive \$610,874 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School plans to spend \$970,026 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

## Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2022-23



This chart compares what Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2022-23, Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School's LCAP budgeted \$878,213 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School actually spent \$978,132 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2022-23.

The difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures of \$99,919 had the following impact on Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School's ability to increase or improve services for high needs students:

The additional services have impacted students positively and produced improved outcomes

# Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Natomas Pacific Pathways Prep High School	Sharon Macinni Executive Director	smaccini@natomasunified.org 9165675740

## Plan Summary [2023-24]

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

The Natomas Pacific Pathways Preparatory High School (NP3 High) is a charter school authorized by the Natomas Unified School District. The School serves approximately 620 students. Seven of 10 NP3 High School students reside in the Natomas Unified School District’s boundaries, with the remaining coming from outside of the area. The School’s unduplicated pupils comprise 43% of the School’s student body population. NP3 High promotes students who are thoughtful, prepared, and engaged citizens. The School emphasizes conceptual understanding, and teaches the Common Core State Standards through year-long themes. The School focus on understanding, evaluating, and creating with the goal of providing our children the tools for citizenship and life. NP3 High was recently recognized as a Gold Ribbon School, and received the Civic Learning Award for Excellence.

### Reflections: Successes

A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

NP3 HS continues to provide a high-quality education to the diverse student population. A review of available data and reports shows that NP3 HS has performed well, for example, in 2022, NP3 High School received Very High ratings for ELA performance and Graduation rate on the CA School Dashboard. In Math, the school rated Medium as well as for English Learner Progress. The school also performed well with a Very Low suspension rate. There was no college and career indicator for 2022, but 149 of 154 graduates met the requirements for a-g, 41 passed two or more AP exams, 85 completed a semester of college, and 13 earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

When compared to 13 similar high schools across the state with enrollments within 200 students of our school and unduplicated rates within 20%, no school performed higher on ELA, Suspension Rate, or Graduation Rate; one of the 13 performed higher on math, and 3 of the 13

performed higher on the English Learner Progress Indicator. When looking at the overall average score, NP3 places in the top 7% among 1,279 high schools in California.

When looking at the performance of individual student groups, every NP3 group outperformed similar students in the County and State. 86% of the students met or exceeded state standards in ELA and 48% did so in math.

Our high school students scored very well on Spring 2022 Advanced Placement (AP) exams: Students took tests in 15 different subjects, 199 of 235 tests (85%) were passed, 4 of the 15 subjects had a 100% pass rate, 13 of the 15 subjects had at least a 70% pass rate, and 12 of the 15 had higher pass rates than California and the Global AP community.

## Reflections: Identified Need

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Not all NP3 student groups perform at the same level. Hispanic/Latinx students and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students performed lower than Asian students on the math test.

## LCAP Highlights

A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.

NP3 HS has seen great success at educating diverse students in Sacramento and plans to improve programs into the future.

NP3 launched four new school goals focused on continuously improving outcomes for students. 1) NP3 will provide an outstanding educational program that promotes a student-centered learning environment, student growth, and academic achievement. 2) NP3 will provide equitable access to a broad course of study that is rigorous, culturally responsive, and relevant to promoting globally minded and civically engaged students. 3) NP3 will provide all students with a rich variety of rigorous standards-aligned learning opportunities that promote college and career readiness and preparation for a productive future as citizens in a global society. 4) NP3 will provide a safe environment that cultivates emotional security, promotes the wellness of all students, and facilitates responsible decision-making where students feel connected and engaged in school.

# Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

## ***Schools Identified***

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

## ***Support for Identified Schools***

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

## ***Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness***

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

# Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.

NP3 conducted a survey of our families in the Spring of 2023 to gather feedback about school programs. We communicate regularly with staff and families through a variety of methods including weekly Captain's Log (email), website updates, and regular communications from teachers.

A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.

Based on the feedback from our educational partners a few things stand out. For example, our partners feel like our school does a lot of things well, including academics, school climate, student engagement, and student wellness. Parents clearly want to be involved as well. Teachers may not realize how much they're sharing and how much the administration is listening to their ideas. There is a need to do more family events and student activities. Finally, the next time, in student survey, we need to make the questions about "teachers" specifically, because "staff" is not a term that makes interprets that it includes teachers.

Through the annual LCAP survey, educational partners shared strong support for NP3, instructional programs and support for students. A separate survey was administered to students than parents/families and staff. The following results include the percent of parents/family and staff who agreed or strongly agreed with each statement, and the percent of high school students who said each statement was partially true, mostly true, or completely true

## Parents/Family

- 86% At our School, students are...Emotionally safe at school
- 94% At our School, students are...Physically safe at school
- 96% At our School, students are...Welcomed at school
- 82% My school...Encourages families and staff to work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities
- 86% My school...Engages in communication between families and educators
- 85% My school...Gathers feedback from families to make the school better
- 90% My school...Has a positive school climate
- 83% My school...Provides families opportunities to provide input on policies, programs, and strategies to support underrepresented groups
- 88% My school...Provides information and resources to support student learning at home
- 64% My school...Provides resources and supports for English Language Learners
- 61% My school...Provides resources and supports for Students with Disabilities
- 89% My school...Provides support to families to help students succeed
- 89% My school...Provides support to students' families to help their students succeed
- 86% My school...Supports families to understand and exercise their rights for their children
- 79% My school...Values and includes my ideas
- 88% NP3 staff...Build trusting and respectful relationships with families



- 93% NP3 staff... Care about students
- 86% NP3 staff...Learn about students and their family strengths, cultures, languages, and goals.
- 93% NP3 staff...Meet with students and families to discuss student progress and improve student outcomes at regularly scheduled student-led conferences
- 89% NP3 staff...Value diversity, equity, and inclusion and are culturally responsive to students
- 78% NP3 staff...Value my ideas and include them in school plans

#### Staff

- 93% At our School, students are...Emotionally safe at school
- 93% At our School, students are...Physically safe at school
- 93% At our School, students are...Welcomed at school
- 71% My school...Encourages families and staff to work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities
- 79% My school...Engages in communication between families and educators
- 64% My school...Gathers feedback from families to make the school better
- 86% My school...Has a positive school climate
- 57% My school...Provides families opportunities to provide input on policies, programs, and strategies to support underrepresented groups
- 79% My school...Provides information and resources to support student learning at home
- 57% My school...Provides resources and supports for English Language Learners
- 93% My school...Provides resources and supports for Students with Disabilities
- 86% My school...Provides support to families to help students succeed
- 86% My school...Provides support to students' families to help their students succeed
- 79% My school...Supports families to understand and exercise their rights for their children
- 79% My school...Values and includes my ideas
- 86% NP3 staff...Build trusting and respectful relationships with families
- 100% NP3 staff... Care about students
- 79% NP3 staff...Learn about students and their family strengths, cultures, languages, and goals.
- 86% NP3 staff...Meet with students and families to discuss student progress and improve student outcomes at regularly scheduled student-led conferences
- 86% NP3 staff...Value diversity, equity, and inclusion and are culturally responsive to students
- 71% NP3 staff...Value my ideas and include them in school plans

#### Students

- 99% I feel physically safe at school
- 93% I feel comfortable to be myself at school
- 97% I feel welcomed at school
- 82% I feel motivated to do my school work
- 94% I feel staff care about students

95% I feel staff values all students at school and their differences  
86% I feel staff value my ideas and include them in school plans  
89% I feel staff talk with me about my learning progress  
93% I feel staff work together with students  
92% I feel staff learn about students' strengths, cultures, languages, and goals  
92% I feel staff build trusting and respectful relationships with students  
98% My school...Has a positive school culture  
99% My school...Provides students support and helps them succeed  
95% My school...Communicates with and listens to students  
94% My school...Gives students resources to support learning at home  
96% My school...Includes students in Advisory discussions / circles / council  
95% My school...Provides students opportunities to share their thoughts on ideas, norms or expectations and action teams that support student learning  
89% My school...Values and includes my ideas

#### A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.

Educational partner input led to a number of changes and additions to the plan for reopening schools in 2021 including: purchase of technology devices and mifi internet devices for students; additional trainings provided to NP3 staff; and a focus on student engagement and support by teachers, counselors, and other staff; childcare assistance for staff. Moving forward, out of the Pandemic, the feedback from our educational partners also influenced school systems and processes and will be used to plan for changes in school structures using what we've learned through distance learning. Many of these same actions will continue into 2023-2024.

Using educational partner feedback and informed by student data, school leaders reflected on previous goals and established new schools to carry the school forward into the next few years. Along with maintaining goals focused on outstanding academic programs, preparing students for their future, and creating safe and engaging school environments, last year a new goal was developed, focused on civics education. This new goal reads: "NP3 will provide equitable access to a broad course of study that is rigorous, culturally responsive, and relevant to promoting globally minded and civically engaged students."

In 2023-2024 NP3 will increase the FTE for teaching and counseling at the school to reflect additional student needs and changes in the school leadership team. These changes will increase classroom learning opportunities for students and support outside the classroom.

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
1	NP3 will provide an outstanding educational program that promotes a student-centered learning environment, student growth, and academic achievement.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

NP3 Middle School has performed well as measured by the California School Dashboard and other measures of student performance but our ultimate focus is creating a student-centered learning environment where students can thrive. Higher levels of performance in high school means more students will be prepared for college and career.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Percent of Students meeting ELA Standards	82% in 2019	86%	86% in 2022		87%
Percent of Students meeting Math Standards	52% in 2019	58%	48% in 2022		57%
CA School Dashboard ELA Indicator	Green - 70 Above Standard	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2021	5 purple bars = Very High		Green or Blue
CA School Dashboard Math Indicator	Green - 7.9 Above Standard	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2021	3 purple bars = Medium		Green or Blue
Appropriately Assigned Staff	100%	100%	100%		100%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Competitive Employee Salary	100%	100%	100%		100%

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Certificated Teacher Salaries	General Education Instructional Salaries, Benefits, Supplies, Services & Special Education	\$4,400,127.00	No
1.3	Supplies		\$265,030.00	No
1.4	Math Coach	Although NP3 had a GREEN indicator overall for math achievement performing 7.9 points above standard, low income students performed lower at 16.5 points below standard and a YELLOW indicator, indicating a need for more support in mathematics for unduplicated students.	\$44,812.00	Yes
1.5	Intervention Teacher	Part-time English intervention teacher to support students. Although NP3 had a GREEN indicator overall for ELA achievement performing 70 points above standard, low income students performed lower at 47.5 points above standard and a GREEN indicator, indicating a need for more support in English Language Arts for unduplicated students.	\$62,310.00	Yes
1.6	Additional Staffing Support	Instructional Stipends, Extra Assignments, Substitutes	\$103,887.00	No
1.8	Conferences		\$9,750.00	No

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There are no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

In the 2023 annual update the estimated actual expenses were higher than the budgeted expenses for the following actions because the estimated actuals now include benefits and additional supports which were not included in 2022:

1.4 Math Coach

1.6 Additional Staffing Support

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

These actions were effective in making progress toward reaching the goal as evidenced by the metrics and outcomes above.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes for the goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
2	NP3 will provide equitable access to a broad course of study that is rigorous, culturally responsive, and relevant to promoting globally minded and civically engaged students.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

From elementary school, through middle school and high school, NP3 schools are committed to our vision to support a diverse, collaborative learning community where all students gain knowledge and skills necessary to thrive as global citizens. NP3’s law-themed classes, college preparatory curriculum, and focus on civic education work together to develop engaged citizens. Students at NP3 think critically about the world around them and actively participate in their communities. NP3’s curriculum is unique in that all students are required to take four years of law. The creation of a law-themed, college-preparatory high school developed out of the need to improve literacy and critical thinking skills among high school students, as well as foster civic education.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Access to broad course of study	100% of students had access to broad course of study in 2020-2021	100% of students had access to broad course of study in 2020-2021	100% of students had access to broad course of study in 2020-2021		100%
Access to standards aligned curriculum	100% of students had access to standards aligned curriculum in 2020-2021	100% of students had access to standards aligned curriculum in 2020-2021	100% of students had access to standards aligned curriculum in 2020-2021		100%
Graduates completing State Seal of Biliteracy	23 graduates in 2020	26 graduates in 2021	13 graduates in 2022		20 or more graduates per year
State Seal of Civic Engagement	Establish Baseline in 2022	39 graduates in 2022	93 graduates in 2023		30 or more graduates per year

# Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Textbooks	Ensure students have access to standards aligned curricular materials	\$48,218.00	No
2.2	Professional Consulting	All staff will receive PD in Culturally Responsive Curriculum and Pedagogy	\$82,613.00	Yes

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There are no substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

No material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

These actions were effective in making progress toward reaching the goal as evidenced by the metrics and outcomes above.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes for the goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
3	NP3 will provide all students with a rich variety of rigorous standards-aligned learning opportunities that promote college and career readiness and preparation for a productive future as citizens in a global society.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Preparing students for their future is a core component of the NP3 K-12 continuum. NP3 graduation requirements are closely aligned to the UC “a-g” requirements which enables nearly all of our students to graduate prepared for college. The focus on civics and law coupled with a rigorous curriculum set NP3 apart from traditional high schools. In creating a law-themed curriculum and college preparatory charter, the administration and core teaching staff researched the skills necessary to achieve success in a college environment. After meeting with university professors and deans, it became evident that students must engage in critical thinking, write frequently, and build literacy skills.

The field of law lends itself well to these stated objectives. In addition, the staff used data and research that demonstrates the decaying civic values among youth. In an article entitled, “The Civic Achievement Gap,” Meira Levinson discusses a gap in civic achievement between students of different races and socio-economic classes. She indicates that poor, non-white students demonstrate lower levels of political knowledge and civic participation than white students. With this information, it became clear that our community could benefit from law-related educational programs that stress literacy and civic education. Our student body is highly diverse and nearly half of our population receives free or reduced lunch. Our student body represents the groups that are traditionally not involved in civic activities.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
English Learner Progress Indicator on CA School Dashboard	Very High on 2019 Dashboard with 85.4% making progress	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2021	51% = Medium		Maintain Very High
Percent of English Learners Reclassified as Fluent English Proficient	2.4% of English Learners were Reclassified in 2020	35%	26% in 2021		10%



Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
SAT participation rate	33% in 2022	33% of seniors took the SAT	24 students = 16%		35%
Percent of students with access to Chromebooks	100% of students have access to Chromebooks	100% of students have access to Chromebooks	100% of students have access to Chromebooks		100%
Percent of 10th and 11th graders taking PSAT	97% in 2022	97%	294 of 302 students = 97%		100%
A-G Completion Rate	95% of graduates completed A-G requirements	99%	149 of 154 in 2022 = 97%		Maintain 95% or above
Percent of 10th, 11th, and 12th graders who took AP exam	39% of students in grades 10, 11, or 12 took an AP exam in 2020	38% in 2021	29% in 2023 17 students in 10th grade 75 students in 11th grade 42 students in 12th grade		40%
Percent of AP tests passed	68% of tests were passed in 2020	59% in 2021	85% in 2022		70%
College going rate	85% of HS Completers enrolled in college in 2018	85% of HS Completers enrolled in college in 2021	82% in 2022		90%
College/Career Indicator on Ca School Dashboard	Blue with 82.1% Prepared	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2021	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2022		Green or Blue
Graduation Rate on CDE Dataquest	99% graduated in 2020	99%	100%		100%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Grad Rate Indicator on CA School Dashboard	98.1% graduated in 2019	No data available, CDE did not publish CA School Dashboard in 2021	100%		Green or Blue
College and Career Fairs	100% participation	100% participation	100% participation		100%

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	College Course Supports	Although NP3 had a BLUE indicator overall for the College and Career Indicator with 82.1% of students prepared, low income students performed lower at 78% prepared and a BLUE indicator, indicating a need to improve college and career readiness programs for unduplicated students with programs such as: Apple Ruth summer program College and Career summer workshop Books for ARC Dual Enrollment classes Sac State ACEs	\$78,265.00	Yes
3.2	Technology for Students	Purchase additional Chromebooks & other equipment, as well as, maintain existing equipment for a 1:1 student ratio. Unduplicated students are less likely to have personal resources at home for technology access. By providing 1:1 technology at NP3 a barrier is reduced, enabling unduplicated students to focus on learning in school.	\$150,000.00	Yes
3.3	College and Career Supports	Although NP3 had a BLUE indicator overall for the College and Career Indicator with 82.1% of students prepared, low income students performed lower at 78% prepared and a BLUE indicator, indicating a	\$78,265.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		need to improve college and career readiness programs for unduplicated students with programs such as: Provide PSAT test for all 10th-11th graders SAT Class and SAT during the school day in Fall and Spring		
3.4	College Field Trips	Although NP3 had a BLUE indicator overall for the College and Career Indicator with 82.1% of students prepared, low income students performed lower at 78% prepared and a BLUE indicator, indicating a need to improve college and career readiness programs for unduplicated students who are less likely to have families who attended college and less likely to have been on a college campus.	\$15,364.00	Yes

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

NP3 is increasing college and career supports, college course supports, and technology for 2023-2024

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

No material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

These actions were effective in making progress toward reaching the goal as evidenced by the metrics and outcomes above.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes for the goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
4	NP3 will provide a safe environment that cultivates emotional security, promotes the wellness of all students, and facilitates responsible decision-making where students feel connected and engaged in school.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

NP3 staff are committed to ensuring the success of every student, which begins by developing a positive climate and culture for each student to thrive. When students social and emotional needs are taken care of greater academic achievement is a natural outcome. NP3 partners with our students' families to create engaging school environments. The goals adopted in our LCAP address increasing student achievement, preparing students for college and careers, engaging with our parents and families to support student success, and creating a safe and welcoming environment. Our model practice addresses all of these goals.

The culture of the school has been shaped by our commitment to service learning and our belief that college is attainable for all students. Students are explicitly taught how to engage in civil discourse and are given opportunities to debate and discuss controversial issues. Through the study of the law, and the implementation of Peer Court, students take ownership of their actions and treat one another with tremendous respect. The diversity of our student body is celebrated and students feel safe on our campus. This is a direct result of the law curriculum and advisory program. When students social and emotional needs are taken care of greater academic achievement is a natural outcome. The goals adopted in our LCAP address increasing student achievement, preparing students for college and careers, engaging with our parents and families to support student success, and creating a safe and welcoming environment. Our model practice addresses all of these goals.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Student Attendance Rate	To be determined in 2021-2022	97%	97% in 2023		95% or higher
Chronic Absentee Rate	2.3%	7.7%	3.5% in 2021-2022		2% or lower
Suspension Rate on CA School Dashboard	Green in 2019 at 0.5%	No data available, CDE did not publish	0.3% in 2022, 5 purple bars = Very Low		Green or Blue and below 1%

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		CA School Dashboard in 2021			
Percent of Students who feel Safe at School	87% (Completely True or Mostly True)	87%	87% in 2023		100%
Percent of Students who feel Welcome at School	78% (Completely True or Mostly True)	74%	74% in 2023		100%
Percent of Parents that complete the Annual Parent Survey	189 of 500 = 38%	31 = 6%	74 = 15%		50%
Percent of Parents who feel welcome at school	94%	97%	96%		100%
Percent of Parents who feel their ideas are valued and included in school plans	84%	81%	78%		100%

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Parent Board Participation	Parent representation on NP3 Board		No
4.2	Parent Engagement	Parent Engagement to Improve Student Attendance Parent Engagement to Increase College Going Parent workshop on college attending requirements (UC/CSU A-G requirements) Parent notification on student absences through phone and email messaging		No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		Intervention conferences with students who are not achieving expected attendance & achievement levels Written notification to parents for students with excessive absences Wellness workshop for parents to address social emotional and health needs of teenagers		
<b>4.3</b>				
<b>4.4</b>	School Counseling	Although NP3 had a GREEN indicator overall for Suspensions with a 0.5% suspension rate, low income students had lower performance with a YELLOW indicator and a 0.7% suspension rate, indicating a need for more support to improve student behaviors with programs and supports such as: Social Emotional Staffing and Supports Maintain Psychologists & Behavior Support Specialists Rapid response program to support students in crisis Response to Intervention actions for social emotional supports Interventions to support students prior to suspension Maintain Safe and Civil Schools program at NP3 T-Dap vaccinations	\$460,952.00	Yes
<b>4.5</b>	District Office Support	Human Resources, Attendance Software, Maintenance and Operations, Finance, Technology, Property and Liability Insurance	\$738,411.00	No
<b>4.6</b>	Custodial, Maintenance,		\$480,049.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
	Grounds Staff and Services			
4.7	Implement Restorative Practices	Restorative Practices is a proven tool for repairing relationships after harmful behaviors. Although NP3 had a GREEN indicator overall for Suspensions with a 0.5% suspension rate, low-income students had lower performance with a YELLOW indicator and a 0.7% suspension rate, indicating a need for more support to improve student behaviors and reduce suspensions for unduplicated students.	\$72,496.00	Yes
4.8	Transportation Services	NP3 provides transportation services for our students to reduce barriers to attend school for students who do not live within walking distance. This is a particularly important support for low income and foster students whose families may not have the time or resources to bring their children to school each day.	\$164,129.00	Yes

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

NP3 is increasing the amount of money spent on restorative practices to continue to improve school climate.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The 2023-2024 LCAP includes additional expenses in district office supports, custodial services, and maintenance that were not previous included in the 2022-2023 LCAP.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

These actions were effective in making progress toward reaching the goal as evidenced by the metrics and outcomes above.



A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No planned changes for the goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
5	

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing

## Goal Analysis [2022-23]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2023-24]

Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)
610,874	8.40%

## Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
9.03%	0.00%	\$0.00	9.03%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

## Required Descriptions

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

All actions and expenditures of funds marked as contributing to increased or improved services were developed focusing on the needs, conditions, or circumstances of our unduplicated population with further consideration of the actions design, content, method and/or location that best meets the identified need. All actions were developed using a careful analysis of data and input from our stakeholders. These contributing actions are principally directed toward our unduplicated student population to help NP3 be effective in meeting the goals and the identified needs of the unduplicated student groups.

In the goals section of this plan, each action marked “yes” for contributing contains a detailed explanation of how that action is principally directed toward the unduplicated student population and effective in helping close equity and performance gaps and meet the goals of our LEA. We incorporated the language required by 5 CCR Section 15496 into the description of each specific action’s language because each response is unique and specific to each contributing action in this plan. Our intention in doing this is to increase transparency for stakeholders when reading this plan so they can better understand the rationale behind each unique district-wide action. Many of these actions and services are being performed on a schoolwide basis in order to increase their overall efficiency and effectiveness.

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we learned that their academic achievement was lower than the academic achievement for all students. To address this condition of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we will take actions to improve instruction and support for learning through a math coach and an intervention teacher to address some of the major causes of lower academic achievement, including lack of prior educational achievement, fewer family resources, and language acquisition challenges.

Goal 1, Actions 4 and 5 provide needed additional supports to help students struggling to learn core curriculum. These actions are being provided on a school-wide basis with the expectation that it will also benefit all students to improve their academic achievement. However, because of the lower academic achievement for these English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of prior educational achievement, fewer family resources, and language acquisition challenges we expect that the academic achievement for our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students will increase more than the average academic achievement of all other students.

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we learned that their chronic absentee rate was higher than the chronic absentee rate for all students. To address this condition of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we will take actions to increase the cultural awareness and responsiveness of our staff to address some of the major causes of higher chronic absentee rate, including lack of family challenges, financial issues, feelings of connectedness to school.

Goal 2, Actions 2 create more engagement and improved connections with their peers, staff and school. These actions are being provided on a school-wide basis with the expectation that it will also benefit all students to improve their chronic absentee rate. However, because of the higher chronic absentee rate for these English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of family challenges, financial issues, feelings of connectedness to school we expect that the chronic absentee rate for our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students will increase more than the average chronic absentee rate of all other students.

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we learned that their college and career readiness was higher than the college and career readiness for all students. To address this condition of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we will take actions to provide technology resources and

opportunities for students to explore college options to address some of the major causes of higher college and career readiness, including lack of family challenges, financial issues, being the first in the family to attend college, .

Goal 3, Actions 1,2,3, and 4 provide students opportunities to learn about and visit colleges as well as having a Chromebook that can be used to access educational resources, conduct research, collaborate with others, write papers, and create works that demonstrate their learning. These actions are being provided on a school-wide basis with the expectation that it will also benefit all students to improve their college and career readiness. However, because of the higher college and career readiness for these English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of family challenges, financial issues, being the first in the family to attend college, we expect that the college and career readiness for our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students will increase more than the average college and career readiness of all other students.

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we learned that their suspension rate was higher than the suspension rate for all students. To address this condition of our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students, we will provide counseling supports, a school-wide focus on restorative practices, and transportation services to address some of the major causes of higher suspension rate, including lack of social emotional issues, connections with other students, and transportation to school.

Goal 4, Actions 4, 7, and 8 create better relationships and supports for our students and remove barriers to learning. These actions are being provided on a school-wide basis with the expectation that it will also benefit all students to improve their suspension rate. However, because of the higher suspension rate for these English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of social emotional issues, connections with other students, and transportation to school we expect that the suspension rate for our English Learners, Low Income Students, and Foster Youth students will increase more than the average suspension rate of all other students.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

Using the calculation tool provided by the state, our LEA has calculated that it will receive \$610,874 in Supplemental and/or Concentration funding under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF). The proportionality percentage to increase or improve services has been calculated at 14%. Our LEA has demonstrated that it has met the 9% proportionality percentage by planning to expend more than the minimum required supplemental and/or concentration funds on actions or services that are principally directed towards the unduplicated student population as summarized in the prompt above and as explained in detail in each contributing action description within this plan.

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

<b>Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students</b>	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	1:207	
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	1:18	

## 2023-24 Total Expenditures Table

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$6,867,560.00	\$387,118.00			\$7,254,678.00	\$5,608,786.00	\$1,645,892.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
1	1.1	Certificated Teacher Salaries	All	\$4,400,127.00				\$4,400,127.00
1	1.3	Supplies	All	\$158,914.00	\$106,116.00			\$265,030.00
1	1.4	Math Coach	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$44,812.00				\$44,812.00
1	1.5	Intervention Teacher	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$62,310.00				\$62,310.00
1	1.6	Additional Staffing Support	All	\$103,887.00				\$103,887.00
1	1.8	Conferences	All	\$9,750.00				\$9,750.00
2	2.1	Textbooks	All	\$6,396.00	\$41,822.00			\$48,218.00
2	2.2	Professional Consulting	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$82,613.00				\$82,613.00
3	3.1	College Course Supports	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$78,265.00			\$78,265.00
3	3.2	Technology for Students	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$67,350.00	\$82,650.00			\$150,000.00
3	3.3	College and Career Supports	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$78,265.00			\$78,265.00
3	3.4	College Field Trips	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$15,364.00				\$15,364.00



Goal	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
4	4.1	Parent Board Participation	All					
4	4.2	Parent Engagement	All					
4	4.4	School Counseling	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$460,952.00				\$460,952.00
4	4.5	District Office Support	All	\$738,411.00				\$738,411.00
4	4.6	Custodial, Maintenance, Grounds Staff and Services	All	\$480,049.00				\$480,049.00
4	4.7	Implement Restorative Practices	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	\$72,496.00				\$72,496.00
4	4.8	Transportation Services	Foster Youth Low Income	\$164,129.00				\$164,129.00

## 2023-24 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
\$6,764,235	610,874	9.03%	0.00%	9.03%	\$970,026.00	0.00%	14.34 %	<b>Total:</b>	\$970,026.00
								<b>LEA-wide Total:</b>	\$0.00
								<b>Limited Total:</b>	\$0.00
								<b>Schoolwide Total:</b>	\$970,026.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Certificated Teacher Salaries				Specific Schools: NP3	\$4,400,127.00	
1	1.4	Math Coach	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$44,812.00	
1	1.5	Intervention Teacher	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$62,310.00	
2	2.2	Professional Consulting	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$82,613.00	
3	3.1	College Course Supports	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3		
3	3.2	Technology for Students	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$67,350.00	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
3	3.3	College and Career Supports	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3		
3	3.4	College Field Trips	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$15,364.00	
4	4.2	Parent Engagement				Specific Schools: NP3		
4	4.4	School Counseling	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$460,952.00	
4	4.7	Implement Restorative Practices	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$72,496.00	
4	4.8	Transportation Services	Yes	Schoolwide	Foster Youth Low Income	Specific Schools: NP3	\$164,129.00	

## 2022-23 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
<b>Totals</b>	\$4,472,818.00	\$6,215,221.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Certificated Teacher Salaries	No	\$2,881,646.00	\$3,760,969.00
1	1.3	Supplies	No	\$196,571.00	\$200,914.00
1	1.4	Math Coach	Yes	\$60,296.00	\$60,000.00
1	1.5	Intervention Teacher	Yes	\$67,980.00	\$60,066.00
1	1.6	Additional Staffing Support	No	\$44,805.00	\$76,190.00
1	1.8	Conferences	No	\$13,000.00	\$15,311.00
2	2.1	Textbooks	No	\$80,022.00	\$36,348.00
2	2.2	Professional Consulting	Yes	\$58,000.00	\$58,000.00
3	3.1	College Course Supports	Yes	\$46,000.00	\$16,410.00
3	3.2	Technology for Students	Yes	\$90,000.00	\$83,596.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.3	College and Career Supports	Yes	\$46,000.00	\$16,410.00
3	3.4	College Field Trips	Yes	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00
4	4.1	Parent Board Participation	No	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	4.2	Parent Engagement	No	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	4.4	School Counseling	Yes	\$324,518.00	\$436,017.00
4	4.5	District Office Support	No	\$252,561.00	\$735,114.00
4	4.6	Custodial, Maintenance, Grounds Staff and Services	No	\$126,000.00	\$412,243.00
4	4.7	Implement Restorative Practices	Yes	\$15,000.00	\$75,955.00
4	4.8	Transportation Services	Yes	\$165,919.00	\$167,178.00

## 2022-23 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
\$610,874	\$878,213.00	\$978,132.00	(\$99,919.00)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.4	Math Coach	Yes	\$60,296.00	\$60,000.00		
1	1.5	Intervention Teacher	Yes	\$67,980.00	\$60,066.00		
2	2.2	Professional Consulting	Yes	\$58,000.00	\$58,000.00		
3	3.1	College Course Supports	Yes	\$46,000.00	\$16,410.00		
3	3.2	Technology for Students	Yes	\$90,000.00	\$83,596.00		
3	3.3	College and Career Supports	Yes	\$46,000.00	\$16,410.00		
3	3.4	College Field Trips	Yes	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00		
4	4.4	School Counseling	Yes	\$324,518.00	\$436,017.00		
4	4.7	Implement Restorative Practices	Yes	\$15,000.00	\$75,955.00		
4	4.8	Transportation Services	Yes	\$165,919.00	\$167,178.00		

**2022-23 LCFF Carryover Table**

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
\$6,556,623	\$610,874	0%	9.32%	\$978,132.00	0.00%	14.92%	\$0.00	0.00%

# Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

*For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [lcff@cde.ca.gov](mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov).*

## Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
  - Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).



The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for educational partners and the public.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from educational partners, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the purpose that each section serves.

## **Plan Summary**

### **Purpose**

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.

## Requirements and Instructions

**General Information** – Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA's LCAP.

**Reflections: Successes** – Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, input from educational partners, and any other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these students.

**Reflections: Identified Need** – Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? An LEA that is required to include a goal to address one or more consistently low-performing student groups or low-performing schools must identify that it is required to include this goal and must also identify the applicable student group(s) and/or school(s). Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.

**LCAP Highlights** – Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year's LCAP.

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement** – An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

- **Schools Identified:** Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.
- **Support for Identified Schools:** Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.
- **Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness:** Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

## Engaging Educational Partners

## Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC Section 52064[e][1]*). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Statute and regulations specify the educational partners that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Statute requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals and actions.

Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE's website: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/>.

## Requirements and Instructions

Below is an excerpt from the 2018–19 *Guide for Annual Audits of K–12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, which is provided to highlight the legal requirements for engagement of educational partners in the LCAP development process:

### **Local Control and Accountability Plan:**

For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA:

- a) Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate.
- b) If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate.

- c) Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3), as appropriate.
- d) Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate.
- e) Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate.

**Prompt 1:** “A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.”

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve educational partners in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners as applicable to the type of LEA. A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

**Prompt 2:** “A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.”

Describe and summarize the feedback provided by specific educational partners. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from educational partners.

**Prompt 3:** “A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.”

A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by educational partner input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions

- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## Goals and Actions

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

### Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics.

## **Focus Goal(s)**

**Goal Description:** The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

## **Broad Goal**

**Goal Description:** Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## **Maintenance of Progress Goal**

**Goal Description:** Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## **Required Goals**

In general, LEAs have flexibility in determining what goals to include in the LCAP and what those goals will address; however, beginning with the development of the 2022–23 LCAP, LEAs that meet certain criteria are required to include a specific goal in their LCAP.

**Consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria:** An LEA is eligible for Differentiated Assistance for three or more consecutive years based on the performance of the same student group or groups in the Dashboard. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on student group performance, and the student group(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- **Consistently low-performing student group(s) goal requirement:** An LEA meeting the consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focused on improving the performance of the student group or groups that led to the LEA’s eligibility for Differentiated

Assistance. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, this student group or groups. An LEA required to address multiple student groups is not required to have a goal to address each student group; however, each student group must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this required goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description:** Describe the outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the needs of, and improve outcomes for, the student group or groups that led to the LEA's eligibility for Differentiated Assistance.
- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the student group(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal, how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the student group(s), and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes identified in the goal description.

**Low-performing school(s) criteria:** The following criteria only applies to a school district or COE with two or more schools; it does not apply to a single-school district. A school district or COE has one or more schools that, for two consecutive years, received the two lowest performance levels on all but one of the state indicators for which the school(s) receive performance levels in the Dashboard and the performance of the "All Students" student group for the LEA is at least one performance level higher in all of those indicators. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on school performance, and the school(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE's Local Control Funding Formula web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.

- **Low-performing school(s) goal requirement:** A school district or COE meeting the low-performing school(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focusing on addressing the disparities in performance between the school(s) and the LEA as a whole. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, the students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools. An LEA required to address multiple schools is not required to have a goal to address each school; however, each school must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this goal with another goal.
- **Goal Description:** Describe what outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the disparities in performance between the students enrolled at the low-performing school(s) and the students enrolled at the LEA as a whole.
- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the schools(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal; how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the school(s); and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes for students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools identified in the goal description.

### **Measuring and Reporting Results:**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing performance gaps.

Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available (e.g., high school graduation rate).

Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–21 outcomes on some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021–24 LCAP is adopted (e.g., graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes.

The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Metric:** Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric.
- **Baseline:** Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 1 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 2 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 3 Outcome:** When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year.
- **Desired Outcome for 2023–24:** When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the 2023–24 LCAP year.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.



Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> .	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2022–23</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2023–24</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2021–22</b> or when adding a new metric.

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.

**Actions:** Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No. (**Note:** for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Summary Section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).

**Actions for English Learners:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.

**Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.
- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

## Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

### Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

### Requirements and Instructions

***Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:*** Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students.

**Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent):** Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

**Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

**LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

**LCFF Carryover — Dollar:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEAs percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

#### **Required Descriptions:**

**For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or COE, an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.**

For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.

**Principally Directed and Effective:** An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA’s goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:

- It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;
- The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and
- The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.

As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.

Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low-income students is 7 percent lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])

In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action[s])

These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100 percent attendance rate will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students. (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In])

**COEs and Charter Schools:** Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

## **For School Districts Only:**

### **Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis:**

***Unduplicated Percentage > 55 percent:*** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55 percent or more, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above.

***Unduplicated Percentage < 55 percent:*** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the actions **are the most effective use of the funds** to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

### **Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis:**

School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis.

**For schools with 40 percent or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.

**For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities.

**A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.**

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis or provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students. A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students. This description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year.

For any action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. See the instructions for determining the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for information on calculating the Percentage of Improved Services.

**A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.**

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## Action Tables

Complete the Data Entry Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. With the exception of the Data Entry Table, the word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2022–23 LCAP, 2022–23 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2021–22 will be the current LCAP Year.

## Data Entry Table

The Data Entry Table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be included. In the Data Entry Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF apportionment calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —

Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
  - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.



- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Service for the action.

## Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

## Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column
- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)
  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

- 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4)
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column
- 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8)

**LCFF Carryover Table**

- 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education  
January 2022