



Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Tamalpais Union High School District	Tara Taupier Tara Taupier, Ed.D.	ttaupier@tamdistrict.org 4159451021

Plan Summary [2024-25]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

THE TAMALPAIS UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT serves 4738 students across five campuses in a very active and supportive community. The District has three comprehensive high schools and two alternative programs. In addition, the Adult Education courses attract hundreds of community members each year. The Tam District is home to students from 19 different communities, including Bolinas-Stinson, Mill Valley, Sausalito-Marin City, Forrest Knolls, Lagunitas, Woodacre, San Anselmo, Fairfax, San Geronimo, Nicasio, Muir Beach, Belvedere, Tiburon, Ross, Larkspur, Corte Madera, Greenbrae, and Kentfield. Our students speak more than 30 languages and participate in more than 100 student run clubs and activities. The student body is 70% white, 2% African American, 14% Latina/o, 3.9% Asian, 9% two or more races, .5% not reported, 0.3% Pacific Islander, 0.3% Native American/Alaska Native. Of our student population, 1.9% are designated English language learners, 11% are deemed socio-economically disadvantaged and 15.85% have individual education plans via special education services.

The Tam District has a robust course catalog with nearly all classes meeting the CSU/UC a-g requirements. Students are offered a full range of elective courses in all disciplines, but most specifically in the fine and performing arts, applied technologies, world languages, as well as in the core content areas. The District also offers academic support classes, an English learner support program, after school tutoring, and a partnership with Bridge the Gap College Prep at Tamalpais High School. Students are also provided access to social emotional support services through our counseling and wellness programs.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

The Tam District has identified the goals of narrowing the opportunity and subsequent achievement gaps among the student groups, improving learning of all students and identifying and removing institutional barriers to equitable educational outcomes. To these ends, the Local Control Accountability Plan for Tamalpais Union High School District identified specific action steps to build the capacity of our staff to achieve the hope for outcomes. To improve student learning, we have remained committed to ensuring all students have access to a rigorous, relevant and inclusive curriculum as outline in our first goal. To support our teachers and administrators we have offered professional development in culturally and linguistically responsive instructional practices, inclusive classroom practices and engaging in necessary conversations about race.

In reflection on the previous school years, the following data demonstrate our areas of success and areas of growth. The categories discussed below are from the California State Dashboard system, which categorizes school performance by colors: red, orange, yellow, green and blue, in order from lowest performance (red) to highest performance (blue). For the data below, the District used the California School Dashboard, Data Quest and local data.

A significant area of growth across the district is progress of our English learners. Due to our English learners being in the red category for at least two metrics, the district was placed into differentiated assistance specific to our English learners.

The information listed below is from the California school dashboard's most recent year available, which was the 2022-23 school year.

Areas of success include:

- graduation rate for the District was 97%, which was a maintenance of previous years and earned the District a blue rating on the dashboard.
- as a District, we scored in the blue category for college and career ready with 62.9% of students classified as college and career ready, as compared to 43.9% of all students in the state

For the 2022-23 school year, the Tam District fall far short of the required 95% participation rate for the CAASPP assessments in English language art and mathematics. As a result of the gap in participation, we were penalized on the California Dashboard. The dashboard uses a formula to lower the ELA and mathematics scores not based on actual data but on the lack of data. Therefore, the dashboard does not accurately reflect the competency of our students in these content areas but rather it reflects our low participation on the assessments meant to measure competency. It should be noted that it is not considered sound educational practice to lower a score of competency based on an absence of data. Further, the California Department of Education allows families to opt out of participating in the CAASPP assessments while requiring 95% in order for a school or district to avoid penalties on the dashboard.

ELA: In aggregate, TUHSD students scored 32.5 points below standard, which was based on those who took the test and the penalty for not achieving 95% participation. In reality, 77% of students met or exceeded the standard on the ELA CAASSP assessment which was a slight increase over the previous year. On the mathematics CAASPP assessment, 58% of students scored at or above standard which was even with the previous year.

- Students with disabilities and Latino/a students were in the red category, which was a decline from the previous year for both students groups
- English language learning, White, socioeconomically disadvantaged and those who identify as two or more races were in the orange category. For socio-economically disadvantaged students, this was an improvement over the previous year. For the three other student groups, the orange category was a decline from the previous year.
- Asian students were in the yellow category on the dashboard which was a decline over the previous year
- No student groups scored in either the green or blue categories for ELA

Mathematics: In aggregate, TUHSD students scored points 110.5 point below standard, which was a 39.4 point decline over the previous year, even though the students actual scores on the mathematics portion of the CAASPP were even with the previous year. The decline is due on the dashboard is due to the low participation rate on the CAASPP mathematics assessment.

- No student groups were placed into the green or blue categories on the dashboard
- Latino/a, Asian, socioeconomically disadvantaged, two or more races, and all students with disabilities were placed in the red category which was a decline for each student group over the previous year
- White students were placed in the orange category which was a 36.3 point decline over the previous year
- Asian students were place in the yellow category which was deemed "maintained" the same level of performance from the previous year.

Redwood High:

Graduation Rates:

- Overall graduation rates maintained at 98.3% resulting in a blue category placement
- Socioeconomically disadvantage student graduation rates declined to 94.4% resulting in placement in the yellow category
- English language learners graduation rate declined by 6.3% over the previous year, however no color category was assigned due to the small number of students in this student group
- Latino/a student graduation rates maintained at 97.7% resulting in placement in the blue category
- White student graduation rates maintained at 98.4%, resulting in placement in the blue category
- Students with disabilities graduation rates maintained at 98.2%, resulting in placement in the blue category

Redwood High school was penalized on the dashboard for ELA and mathematics due to lower than 95% participation on the CAASPP assessments.

ELA CAASPP:

- All students groups maintained the level of achievement previous year on the English Language Arts portion of the Smarter Balanced Assessment
- White students scored 17.8 points above standard indicating an increase of 10.5 points over the previous year resulting in a green category placement
- Latino/a students scored 26.6 points below standard, however, that was an increase of 3.6 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a yellow category placement

- Socioeconomically disadvantaged students scored 41.3 points below standard, however, that was an increase of 41.2 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a yellow category placement
- Students with disability scores declined by 20.1 points resulting in a placement in the red category

Mathematics CAASPP:

- In aggregate, student performance on the mathematics portion of the CAASPP assessment declined by 21.9 points resulting in an overall placement in the orange category.
- White students scored 17.8 points above standard indicating an increase of 10.5 points over the previous year resulting in a green category placement
- Latino/a students scored 26.6 points below standard, however, that was an increase of 3.6 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a yellow category placement
- Socioeconomically disadvantaged students scored 41.3 points below standard, however, that was an increase of 41.2 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a yellow category placement
- Students with disability scores declined by 20.1 points resulting in a placement in the red category

College and Career Ready:

76.8% of students were deemed college and career ready, a slight decrease over the previously available data. This placed the school, overall, as very high on the college and career ready metric.

English Learner Progress:

- 37.1% of English learners at Redwood made progress towards English language proficiency on the ELPAC assessment, which was a decline of 20.5 points over the previous year.

Suspension rates:

- The suspension rate for all student groups in aggregate was .9%, putting Redwood in the green category for suspensions
- The Asian student group suspension rate was 2%, an increase of 1% over the previous year leading to an orange designation on the dashboard
- The socioeconomically disadvantaged student group suspension rate was 3.3%, which was an increase of .9% over the previous year leading an orange designation on the dashboard
- The suspension rate for students who identify as two or more races was .7%, which was an increase from 0 the pervious year, leading to a yellow designation on the dashboard
- The White student group suspension rate maintained at .6% leading to a green designation on the dashboard
- The Latino/a student group suspension rate declined by .7% to 1.9% leading to a green designation on the dashboard
- English language learners and students with disabilities had declining suspension rates leading to blue designation on the dashboard
- The Black student group had a 0% suspension rate, but there were too few students in number to be assigned a designation on the dashboard

Tamalpais High School

Graduation Rates:

- Overall graduation rates increased by maintained at 98.6% resulting in a blue category placement. There were no student groups below the blue designation

Tamalpais High school was penalized on the dashboard for ELA and mathematics due to lower than 95% participation on the CAASPP assessments.

ELA CAASPP:

- All students groups declined on the ELA portion of the CAASPP assessment resulting in a designation in the red category on the dashboard. All student groups were designated in the red category.

Mathematics CAASPP:

- In aggregate, student performance on the mathematics portion of the CAASPP assessment declined resulting in an overall placement in the red category. All student groups were designated in the red category.

College and Career Ready:

61.5% of students were deemed college and career ready, with a placement of high on the dashboard.

English Learner Progress:

- 31.8% of English learners at Redwood made progress towards English language proficiency on the ELPAC assessment, which was a decline of 31.8 points over the previous year.

Suspension rates:

- The suspension rate for all student groups in aggregate was 2%, putting Tam in the orange category for suspensions
- The Asian student group suspension rate was 0% leading to a blue designation on the dashboard
- The socioeconomically disadvantaged student group suspension rate was 5%, which was an increase of 4.5% over the previous year leading an orange designation on the dashboard
- The suspension rate for students with disabilities was 5.3%, which was an increase of 4.8% over the previous year leading an orange designation on the dashboard
- The Latino student group suspension rate was 2.6%, which was an increase of 2.1% leading to a orange designation on the dashboard
- The suspension rate for students who identify as two or more races was 1.4%, which was an increase from .7% the pervious year, leading to a yellow designation on the dashboard
- The White student group suspension rate was 1.5%, which was an increase of .9% over the previous year leading to a yellow designation on the dashboard
- The Black student group suspension rate was 13.6%, which was an increase of 13.6% over the previous year leading to a red designation on the dashboard

Archie Williams HS:

Graduation Rates:

- Overall graduation rates maintained at 96.8%, which was a decline of 1.2% over the previous year resulting in a blue category placement.

- -Socioeconomically disadvantage student graduation rates declined by 4.1% to 90.8% resulting in placement in the yellow category
- -Students with disabilities graduation rates declined by 2.1% to 92.3% resulting in placement in the yellow category
- -Latino student graduation rates declined by 5% to 88.4% resulting in placement in the orange category

Archie Williams High School was penalized on the dashboard for ELA and mathematics due to lower than 95% participation on the CAASPP assessments.

ELA CAASPP:

- All students in aggregate scored 12.9 points below standard on the ELA portion of the CAASPP assessment resulting in a designation in the yellow category on the dashboard.
- White students scored 3.9 points below standard indicating an increase of 18.5 points over the previous year resulting in yellow category placement
- Latino students scored 64.6 points below standard, which was a decline of 9.4 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a red category placement
- Socioeconomically disadvantaged students scored 112.3 points below standard, however, that was an increase of 26.1 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a orange category placement
- Students with disability scores declined by 5 points resulting in a placement in the red category

Mathematics CAASPP:

- In aggregate, student performance on the mathematics portion of the CAASPP assessment declined by 43.5 points resulting in an overall placement in the orange category.
- White students scored 89.7 points below standard, which was a decrease of 28.7 points resulting in an orange category placement
- Latino students scored 197.2 points below standard, which was a decline of 70.4 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a red category placement
- Socioeconomically disadvantaged students scored 260 points below standard, which was a decline of 79.5 points over the previous years' scores resulting in a red category placement
- Students with disability scored 245.8 points below standard, which was a declined by 8.4 points resulting in a placement in the red category

College and Career Ready:

57.1% of students were deemed college and career ready, with a placement of high on the dashboard.

English Learner Progress:

- 53.3% of English learners at Archie Williams HS made progress towards English language proficiency on the ELPAC assessment

Suspension rates:

- The suspension rate for all student groups in aggregate was 1.8%, which was an increase of .8% over the previous year putting Archie Williams in the orange category for suspensions
- The socioeconomically disadvantaged student group suspension rate was 1.1%, which was the same from the previous year leading an green designation on the dashboard

- The suspension rate for students with disabilities was 5%, which was an increase of 3.5% over the previous year leading an orange designation on the dashboard
- The Latino student group suspension rate was 3.8%, which was an increase 1.6% leading to a orange designation on the dashboard
- The suspension rate for students who identify as two or more races was 1.4%, which was an increase from .7% the pervious year, leading to a yellow designation on the dashboard
- The White student group suspension rate was 1.5%, which was an increase of .9% over the previous year leading to a yellow designation on the dashboard
- The English Language Learner student group suspension rate was 0%, leading to a blue designation on the dashboard
- The suspension rate for students who identify as two or more races was 1.2%, which maintained from pervious year, leading to a green designation on the dashboard

San Andreas High:

- The graduation rate for San Andreas students in the most recent year was 79%, which was a decline of 15.1%, however there were not enough students to have a dashboard designation
- Students scored 255.3 points below average on the CAASPP ELA assessment, which was a decline of 156 points over the previous year
- Students scored 275.3 points below average on the CAAPSS mathematics assessment, which was a decline of 122 points over the previous year
- College and Career readiness was 7.9 %, falling into the very low designation on the dashboard
- Student suspension rates decreased by .8% to 2.2%, resulting in a green category placement
- Socioeconomically disadvantaged student group suspension rate was 5%, representing a .5% increase from the previous year resulting in an orange category placement on the dashboard
- -Students with disabilities student group suspension rate was 2.6%, representing a .3% increase from the previous year resulting in an orange category placement on the dashboard

Tamiscal High:

- The graduation rate for students at Tamiscal was 98.7%, with a blue placement on the dashboard
- Students scored 70.7 point below standard on the ELA CAASPP assessment, but there were too few students to be given a color performance on the dashboard
- Students scored 133.6 points below standard on the mathematics CAASPP assessment, but there were too few students to be given a color performance on the dashboard
- 42.3% of students were deemed college and career ready, which was designated as medium on the dashboard
- There were no suspensions at Tamiscal, placing them in the blue performance category

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

N/A

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

N/A

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
<p>The District sent direct invitations to all staff members to participate in the LCAP/RJTF in August of 2023. Initially, the committee had about 35 participants, including members of the certificated and classified unions.</p>	<p>The District combined our Racial Justice Task Force and our LCAP committee into one this year to complete the revised LCAP. The committee is comprised of parents, certificated employees, board members, students, classified employees, and community members and is co-facilitated by Lisa Jimenez of OLAS and the superintendent. The committee met nine times between August 2023 and May of 2024, agendas for each meeting are posted on the District website. During those meetings, the committee reviewed student achievement data, attendance data, graduation data, advanced class participation and success data, college matriculation data, suspension and expulsion data as well as student survey data, all disaggregated by race, gender, socio-economic status and English language learner status. In addition to these meetings, the District held two feedback sessions during the spring of 2024 (April 3rd and May 16th) to garner broader community input and one input session on June 4, 2024 with our DELAC committee. The District provided Spanish translation for the community input sessions and the DELAC session. Each school site provided a draft LCAP to their site councils for feedback as well. Additionally, staff made a presentation of draft LCAP goals to the Board of Trustees at the regularly scheduled Board meeting on April 16, 2024.</p>

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	The draft LCAP was sent to all parents, students and staff with a google form for further input.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

The most direct impact stakeholder feedback had on the LCAP was the creation of a primary goal that is specific to improving racial equity in the District by building stronger relationships with our students and families of color. The LCAP also has four focus goals, each with subgoals, all of which are designed to provide progress towards the primary goal. The Board of Trustees passed an antiracist education resolution in August of 2020 that provided guidance to the District for actions to take to create more inclusive learning environments and curricula.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	<p>Primary Goal: Increase the learning outcomes and learning environment for all students while eliminating the predictability of current outcomes of our Black/African American, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language Learning, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students by removing the opportunity gaps in our system.</p> <p>All other LCAP goals will be subgoals of this primary goal</p> <p>Focus Goal 1: Guarantee all students have access to rigorous, relevant and engaging curriculum in all content areas in clean, well functioning facilities.</p> <p>1.1 All students will have access to course pathways in order to graduate UC/CSU eligible</p> <p>1.2 All students and caregivers/parents understand the district graduation requirements AND the UC/CSU eligibility requirements</p> <p>1.3 Ensure students have access to courses that they find engaging and relevant to their lives outside of school as measured by Youth Truth and CHKS data</p> <p>1.4 Ensure curriculum represents multiple perspectives, provides students with the opportunities to learn about people, histories and cultures that may be different from their own as measured by Youth Truth and CHKS data</p> <p>1.5 Teachers will have resources and professional development opportunities so that they can deliver culturally relevant curriculum in a welcoming and inclusive environment</p>	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The district has been working on improving the learning outcomes of our Black, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language learners and socioeconomically disadvantaged students and all students of color for the past several years. However, we have not seen the results that we need to realize for students. Over the years, we have refined our goals and actions to improve the learning conditions and learning outcomes specifically for our Black, Latino, Native American and other students of color. In 2017, the District drafted an equity vision statement that says: "Staff, students and families will report feeling a part of a trusting, inclusive community that values multiple perspectives. This learning community will eliminate the predictability of student access and outcomes based on race or socioeconomic status." In order to achieve this vision, we as a committee decided we needed more focused goals and actions steps.

As a District, we have historical and ongoing data that show our Black, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language learners and socioeconomically disadvantaged students have the highest rates of being assigned grades of D, F or Incomplete; the students in this student group also have the lowest rates of UC/CSU a-g completion rates and the lowest rates of enrollment in advanced classes. The District has used the data from CAASPP English Language Arts and mathematics assessments, our own student information system, the California Healthy Kids Survey and the Youth Truth survey to determine how students are being served or underserved in our district.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	UC/CSU a-g completion rate	All students: 79% White: 84% Black: 48% Latino: 59% Two or More: 83% Asian: 84%			By June of 2027, 90% of all graduating students will have met the UC/CSU a-g requirements	
1.2	Advanced Placement participation rate	Percentage of students from each student group enrolled in AP classes: Asian: 52% Black: 22% Latino: 31% Two or More: 37% White: 45% All students: 42%			The percentage of students from each student group taking an advanced class will be equivalent and at least 50% of all students will take at least one advanced placement class.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.3	College and Career Readiness as measure by the California Dashboard	62.9% of all graduating students in 2023 were deemed college ready on the dashboard Asian: Very high Two or More Races: Very high White: High Latino/a: Medium Socioeconomically disadvantaged: Low Students with disabilities: Low English Learners: Low			At least 80% of all graduating students will be deemed college and career ready. All student groups will be categorized in the high or very high range.	
1.4	Williams Act Compliance: Hire and retain highly qualified teachers who are appropriately assigned	95% of TUHSD teachers are assigned within their primary credential area			100% of teachers will hold appropriate credentials for their teaching assignment.	
1.5	All core content areas will have Board adopted courses of study that align to California state standards (common core state standards, next generation science standards, next generation history social science)	98% of courses are currently aligned			100% of courses will be aligned to California State adopted standards and frameworks	
1.6	Annually evaluate the condition of our facilities for both short and long term	The District has a current facilities master plan			Implement the necessary updates outlined in the	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	planning to ensure we are maintaining well functioning facilities.				facilities master plan.	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Review Course of Studies at least every five years	All course revisions or new course adoptions must employ the course and instructional materials screener tool in order to ensure we are creating engaging, culturally relevant courses of study.		No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.2	Employ high quality, highly effective certificated staff	Maintain teaching staff at contract ratios The District has: 249.74 FTE general education teachers	\$60,177,400.00	No
1.3	Instructional Coaching	Instructional Coaches lead professional development in effective Tier 1 instructional strategies for all staff, provide support to teachers who are new to the district, and support site administrators in identifying effective professional learning opportunities for staff. 1.2 FTE Instructional Coaches-The District employs 6 comprehensive site instructional coaches that have a release period (.2) and 2 alternate ed school coaches who have a stipend. All are master teachers in the district. 1.0 Lead Instructional Coach-The District also employs a 1.0 FTE instructional coach who is a teacher on special assignment supporting the IC program and supports the planning and implementation of professional development across the district.	\$434,276.00	No
1.4	Instructional Leadership Team	Maintain a highly qualified administration and instructional leadership team while striving to increase the diversity of the administration team. The District has: 13 FTE in site based administration (certificated) 1.0 FTE in Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services (certificated) 1.0 FTE in Administrative Assistant to Educational Services (classified)	\$3,960,787.00	
1.5	Special Education Programming	Provide high quality, accessible educational opportunities in the least restrictive environment for students with individual education plans. The District will maintain the state-identified ratios of 28:1 for resource	\$14,058,392.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>classrooms, 16:1 in counseling enriched classrooms and 20:1 in special day classes.</p> <p>The District will maintain state identified paraeducator staffing ratios for special education</p> <p>The District has: 30.4 FTE certificated teachers 1.0 FTE administrator (administrator) 9.4 FTE psychologist (administrator) 1.0 speech and language (certificated) 1.0 administrative assistant (classified)</p>		
1.6	Pathways Program	<p>Pathways is an optional 12th grade program that serves 48 students and provides an alternative experience to help them transition to college and prepare for life after high school, while also helping them finish their graduation requirements.</p> <p>Pathways students take 2-3 courses at College of Marin each semester and participate in an internship in a career area of interest.</p>	\$299,845.00	No
1.7	Edgenuity	<p>Edgenuity is an online learning platform that provides students with flexibility in making up missed content, accelerating or accessing courses that they may not have been able to otherwise. Edgenuity provides UC a-g, NCAA, California state standards aligned courses and content that is offered in 60 languages.</p>	\$127,644.00	No
1.8	Algebra Project	<p>Algebra teachers have a student to teacher ratio of 24:1, with peer tutors embedded in each class. The algebra teacher cohort meets once a month for ongoing professional development in mathematical pedagogy that increases critical thinking and student engagement.</p>	\$98,023.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.9	Maintain clean, well functioning facilities	The District has: 21.625 FTE custodial staff 10 FTE maintenance and grounds staff 1.0 FTE Director of Maintenance and Operations	\$6,330,941.00	No
1.10	Deferred Maintenance	Maintain a deferred maintenance fund and list of projects-present this list to the board every year in order to maintain the facilities properly	\$3,494,768.00	No
1.11	Maintain a balanced budget	The district maintains a balanced budget (Corbett-we need to fill this in so its' accurate) 1.0 FTE Assistant Superintendent of Business and Operations 1.0 FTE Director of Fiscal 1.0 FTE Accounts payable 1.0 FTE Accounts receivable 1.0 FTE payroll specialist	\$1,544,453.00	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	<p>Primary Goals: Increase the learning outcomes and learning environment for all students while eliminating the predictability of current outcomes of our Black/African American, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language Learning, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students by removing the opportunity gaps in our system.</p> <p>Focus Goal #2 Guarantee all students have the necessary academic resources to graduate having met the UC a-g requirements and are college and career ready for a Global society.</p> <p>SUBGOALS</p> <p>2.1 Increase learning outcomes of our English Learning students: as measured on the ELPAC, semester grades, UC/CSU a-g completion rates, CAASPP English Language Arts and mathematics assessment scores, graduation rates and college readiness metric on the California State dashboard</p> <p>2.2 Increase learning outcomes for all students, with specific focus on improving the outcomes for Black/African American, Latino/Hispanic, Indigenous, low socioeconomic status, and special students: as measured by semester grades, UC/CSU a-g completion rates, CAASPP English Language Arts and mathematics assessment scores, graduation rates and college readiness metric on the California State dashboard</p> <p>2.3 Incorporate student voice, specifically the voice of English Language Learning, Black/African American, Latino/Hispanic, Indigenous, low socioeconomic status, and special education students in the effort to continuously improve teaching and learning</p> <p>2.4 Maintain clean, safe and well functioning facilities.</p>	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	The percentage of students who graduate from the Tam District UC/USC eligible	All students: 79% White: 84% Black: 48% Latino/a: 59% Two or More: 83% Asian: 84%			By June of 2027, 90% of all graduating students will have met the UC/CSU a-g requirements	
2.2	Of the students who take an AP, the Percentage who pass at least one Advanced Placement examination	Of the students who took at least one AP test, the percentage who passed: Asian-72% Black or African-American-32% Latino/a-60% Two or More-78% White-69% Grand Total=68%			The percentage of students who take and pass at least one AP will be at least 70% for all student groups	
2.3	Percentage of students considered college ready as measured California Dashboard	Percentage of students who were deemed college and career ready: Asian-70.9%			The percentage of students who are deemed college ready on the California Dashboard will be	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Black or African-American-11.5% Latino/a-46.1% Two or More-74.1% White-67% Students with disability-20% Socioeconomically disadvantaged-30% English Learners-5.4%			at least 75% for all student groups.	
2.4	The percentage of students who score at or above standards on the English Language Arts and mathematics CAASPP assessments	English: Scored at or above standard All students 77.11% Math: Scored at or above standard All students: 58.19%			The percentage of White and Asian students who score at or above proficient on the Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics assessments will increase by at least 10 percentage points. The increase among our ELL, Black, Latino/a, special education and socioeconomically disadvantaged students will increase by at least 20 percentage points	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.5	English Language Learner progress on the ELPAC	39.2% of EL students made progress towards proficiency in English			At least 75% of English Language Learners advance at least 1 level on the ELPAC in each of the three years of this LCAP	
2.6	Percentage of students who receive a letter grade of D, F I at the semester grades	By race: Asian-9% Black or African-American-24% Latino/a-21% White-9% ELL-33% Special education-23%			The percentage of all students, but most specifically our Black, Latino/a, and ELL who receive at least one letter grade of D, F I will be less than 5%.	
2.7	Graduation rates	Graduate rates: Asian-100% Black or African-American-84.6% Latino/a-94.7% Two or More-98.8% White-97.4% Students with disability-89.6% Socioeconomically disadvantaged-90.2% English Learners-89.5% All students: 97%			Graduation rates for all student groups will be above 98%	
2.8	Percentage of students, and most specifically Black, Latino, Indigenous and students of color who report learning that what they learn helps them outside	By race: Asian-50% Black or African-American-32% Latino/a-40% Two or More-47% White-46%			70% of all students in all student groups will report feeling their learning is relevant to their lives outside of school.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	of school as measured on the Youth Truth Survey					
2.9	Percentage of all students, and most specifically Black, Latino, Indigenous and students of color, who report adults holding high expectations of them as measured on the California Healthy Kids Survey	By race 9th grade: Asian-73% Black or African-American-80% Latino/a-69% Two or More-71% White-76%			75% of all students in all student groups will report that adults on campus hold high expectations of them.	
2.10	Percentage of all students, and most specifically Black, Latino, Indigenous and students of color, who report engaging in meaningful participation at school CHKS	By race 9th grade: Asian-31% Black or African-American-27% Latino/a-28% Two or More-29% White-33%			50% of all students in all student groups will report meaningful participation at school.	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	English Language Learner Program	Maintain an English Language learning team at the district level that includes a ELL coordinator at each school site and appropriate level of English language development and support classes. .6 FTE ELL Coordinators 2.58 FTE in para-educators 2.6 FTE in Certificated Teachers	\$716,686.00	Yes
2.2	Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) Tam4WARD	Develop and implement a comprehensive plan of academic and social emotional support. Building off existing programs and structures, develop a systemized approach to ensuring all students have quality Tier 1 instruction, level 1 intervention in the classroom, level 2 intervention that may require more support outside of the classroom and resources for level 3, intensive support. Teacher Leaders will work to lead departments to implement Tier 1 instructional strategies for all students. 3.4 FTE Intervention coordinators 3.0 FTE Dean of Student Success 37 Teacher Leaders: Leadership Learning Team	\$2,095,245.00	No
2.3	Academic Workshop	Academic Workshop is a support class provided to students in need of academic and executive function support. There are 2.6 FTE in Academic workshop teachers and Academic workshop currently serves 150 students	\$507,731.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.4	Advancement Via Individual Determination	AVID is a four year academic elective course that serves 200-225 students per year in college readiness and success.	\$489,995.00	No
2.5	Professional Development	Provide ongoing professional development to staff in Specially Designed Academic Instruction in English strategies to improve access to curriculum for our ELL students.	\$10,000.00	No
2.6	Dean of Student Success at San Andreas High School	Chronic absenteeism is a major barrier for our low income and foster youth. The Dean at San Andreas High School is focused on improving the attendance of the students at that site.	\$180,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	<p>Primary Goal: Increase the learning outcomes and learning environment for all students while eliminating the predictability of current outcomes of our Black/African American, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language Learning, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students by removing the opportunity gaps in our system.</p> <p>Focus Goal 3: Community Engagement: Create a collaborative community among TUHSD and families to ensure student academic success and social emotional wellbeing.</p> <p>Subgoals</p> <p>3.1 Improve communication and engagement among the District and Black/African American, Latino/a, Indigenous and English Language Learner (ELL) families</p> <p>3.2 Improve engagement among our families who have a primary language other than English</p> <p>3.3 Develop caregiver/parent education programs that seeks input from caregivers/parents who are currently underrepresented in existing District affiliated /parent organizations</p> <p>3.4 Integrate DEI consciousness in school community events such as sports competitions, student performances, back to school night</p> <p>3.5 Partner with towns and communities of the District to collaborate on bringing diversity, equity and inclusion practices to existing community events</p> <p>3.6 Continue to build relationships with existing parent organizations so that the District’s mission and vision are represented by the parent organizations</p>	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.2	Parent/guardian engagement on the Youth Truth Survey prompt: the degree to which families are engaged in their school and empowered to influence decision making	73% percent positive response by parents/guardians who responded on the Youth Truth Survey that they feel engaged in their school and empowered to influence decision making			85% percent positive response by parents/guardians on the Youth Truth Survey that they feel engaged in their school and empowered to influence decision making	
3.3	Parent/Guardian response on the Youth Truth survey to the prompt: the degree to which families believe their school fosters shared goals, respect, fairness, and diversity	71% percent positive response by parents/guardian who responded, that their school fosters shared goals, respect, fairness, and diversity			85% percent positive response by parents/guardian, that their school fosters shared goals, respect, fairness, and diversity	
3.4	Parent/guardian responses on the Youth Truth survey to the prompt: My school respects people from different backgrounds (for example, people of different races, ethnicities, and genders	78% percent positive response by Parent/guardians who responded on the Youth Truth survey: My school respects people from different backgrounds (for example, people of different races, ethnicities, and genders			90% percent positive response by Parent/guardians on the Youth Truth survey: My school respects people from different backgrounds (for example, people of different races,	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
					ethnicities, and genders	
3.5	Parent/guardian response to the Youth Truth prompt: My school encourages families to speak out against racism	77% percent positive response by parents/guardian who responded to: My school encourages families to speak out against racism			90% percent positive response by parents/guardian: My school encourages families to speak out against racism	
3.6	Parents/guardians who speak a primary language other than English participation in school/district sponsored parent/guardian events	Participation is less than 30% for most parent engagement events			Participation will increase by at least 10%	
3.7	Participation rates of Black, Indigenous, Latino, and other student of color parents in school sponsored events for parent/guardians	Participation is less than 40% for most parent engagement events			Participation will increase by at least 10%	
3.8	Parent/guardian participation at parent education events	Participation is less than 40% for most parent engagement events			Participation will increase by at least 10%	
3.9	Parents of Black, Indigenous, Latino/a and other students of color responses regarding school/district communication and engagement	Many Black, Indigenous, Latino/a, and other parents of students of color shared that district/school communication was inadequate or not being received			Parents of Black, Indigenous, Latino and other student of color groups will report feeling that the communication they receive is appropriate and informative	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Web Coordinator	The District will maintain a Web Page Coordinator in order to ensure pertinent information is easily accessible via our websites. All websites can be translated into multiple languages.	\$17,000.00	No
3.2	Mass Communication Tool	The District utilizes a mass communication system, Parent Square, that can be translated into multiple languages for communicating with students and parents/guardians about relevant information.	\$21,145.00	No
3.3	Student Information System (SIS)	The District maintains a student information system and a single learning management system in order to streamline access to information for our students and families. Consolidating these platforms will also provide more	\$1,055,500.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>efficient workflow for teachers and staff. The District's data and SIS is maintained by our Data Specialists and Data Analyst.</p> <p>The District has: 1.0 FTE in Lead Data Specialist 3.0 FTE in Data Specialist 1.0 FTE in Data Analyst</p>		
3.4	Attendance Communication	<p>The District maintains attendance clerks with whom our parents/guardians communicate for student absences. The attendance clerks coordinate daily attendance and send communications to parents/guardians when students have an unexcused absence.</p> <p>The district has: 3.75 FTE in attendance clerks</p>	\$435,628.00	No
3.5	Board of Trustee Meeting Recordings	The District will continue to record all Board of Trustee meetings and make links to the recordings available via our website.	\$18,000.00	No
3.6	Parent/guardian Engagement Events (5)	The District will provide at least five (5) parent engagement events during the school year that align with the mission and vision of the District.		No
3.7	Regular meetings between PTSA leads and District staff and Foundation leads and District staff	The District staff will engage in monthly meetings of the existing parent organizations to maintain strong communication and collaboration and ensure the work of the parent organizations aligns with the racial equity work of the district.		
3.8	Racial Equity Coalition	The District will maintain a racial equity coalition consisting of district and site staff, community members and parents/guardians to address issues of racial climate and culture on our campuses and most specifically Tam	\$60,000.00	

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		High. The District will maintain a twice monthly meeting schedule. The meetings are facilitated by Tenisha Tate of Critical Friends.		

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	<p>Primary Goal:</p> <p>Increase the learning outcomes and learning environment for all students while eliminating the predictability of current outcomes of our Black/African American, Latino/a, Indigenous, English Language Learning, and socioeconomically disadvantaged students by removing the opportunity gaps in our system.</p> <p>Focus Goal 4 - Improve community, culture and environment in TUHSD: Build community and create environments where the student voice is centered to promote cultures of kindness and inclusion and where all members support and lift one another and each individual feels that they belong; are safe, valued, known, and heard.</p> <p>SUBGOALS</p> <p>4.1 Engage with all community members to transform existing systems and structures to serve students based on principles of equity and inclusion</p> <p>4.2 De-racialize student spaces and campus physical environments</p> <p>4.3 Create a safe and inclusive school culture via events, programs and programming that build relationships across social and racial groups, beyond the performative</p> <p>4.4 Refine and build multi-phased safeguards and support services for students who are victimized or struggling academically, socially or emotionally</p> <p>4.5 Capture student voice from all students while centering our BIPOC, English Language Learner and socio-economically disadvantaged student groups voices in order to adequately address the issues they seek to address</p> <p>4.6 Ensure students receive education and information about the effects of existing and emerging technologies on society, culture, and individuals. Encourage critical thinking about the impacts of technology use and it's appropriateness, misuses, benefits, and potential harms.</p>	Focus Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The District LCAP committee used student data from the Youth Truth and California Healthy Kids Surveys as well as recent incidents on our school campuses to establish a goal that address the whole student. The wellbeing of our students in impacted by racism and racist incidents, therefore, ensuring we are addressing and changing the climate and and culture in order to prevent those harms from occurring. Additionally, there is much research on the impact of racism on students. During our feedback cycle it was raised that we must also address the impact social media has on the mental health and wellbeing of our youth.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Suspension Rates	Student Suspension Rate: Asian-1% Black/African American-9.8% Latino/a-2.5% White-1.1% Multiracial-1% ELL students-1.8% Socioeconomically disadvantaged-3.2% Students with disabilities-3.2%			The suspension rate for all students will be at or below 1%	
4.2	Percentage of all students, and most specifically Black, Latino/a and Indigenous students, who report feeling safe at school.	Percent of students who report feeling safe at school. Asian-81% Black/African American-57% Latino/a-67% White-81%			The percentage of students who report feeling safe at school will be at least 85% for all student groups	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Multiracial-77%				
4.3	Percentage of students who report knowing at least one caring adult at school as measured by the Youth Truth survey	Percent who report knowing at least one caring adult on campus Asian-50% Black/African American-47% Latino/a-45% White-52% Multiracial-45%			The percentage of students who report knowing a caring adult at school will be at least 85% for all student groups	
4.4	Percentage of students who report that most students are friendly to them at school as measured on the Youth Truth Survey	Percent who agreed or strongly agreed that most students are friendly. Asian -81% Black/African American-61% Latino/a-63% White-76% Multiracial-72%			The percentage of students who report that most students are friendly to them will be at or above 85%.	
4.5	Percentage of students who report learning that is relevant to their lives outside of school as measured on the Youth Truth Survey	43% of all students surveyed agreed or strongly agreed that their learning was relevant to their lives outside of school.			The percentage of students who report the learning in which they engage is relevant to their lives will be at least 60%	
4.6	Percentage of students who report feeling welcome at their school and have collaborative relationships with their classmates as captured	60% of all students surveyed agreed or strongly agreed to feeling welcomed at their schools with			The percentage of students who report feeling welcome at their school and who have collaborative	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	on the Youth Truth survey: Belonging module	collaborative peer relationships			relationships with their peers will be at least 80%.	
4.7	Percentage of parents who report "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?, In publications?, In materials sent by the school?, During school events?)	50% of parents who selected "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?, In publications?, In materials sent by the school?, During school events?)			Percentage of parents who report "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?, In publications?, In materials sent by the school?, During school events?) will be at least 70%	
4.8	Percentage of students who report "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?, In publications?, In materials sent by the	60% of students who selected "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?, In publications?, In materials sent by the			The of students who selected "frequently" to this question on the Youth Truths Survey: Think about your school. How often do you see people of diverse backgrounds represented..." (In artwork, posters and/or pictures?,	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	school?, During school events?)	school?, During school events?)			In publications?, In materials sent by the school?, During school events?) will be at least 80%	
4.9	Percentage of students who report a sense of connectedness to their school as measured by the CHKS	Race/Ethnicity Asian -68% Black/African American-35% Latino/a-63% White-72% Multiracial-66% Something else-57%			Percentage of students who report a sense of connectedness to their school as measured by the CHKS will be at least 75% for all student groups	
4.10	Percentage of students who report current consumption of alcohol as measured on the CHKS	Current use of alcohol: Yes-2022 CHKS 9th: 23% 10th-32% 11th-44% 12th-58%			The percentage of students who report consuming alcohol will decrease by 10%	
4.11	Percentage of students who report current drug use as measured on the CHKS	Current use of drugs: Yes-2022 CHKS Cannabis Use: 9th-14% 10th-19% 11th-28% 12th-36%			The percentage of students who report current drug use will decrease by 10% in each grade level.	
4.12	Percentage of students who report feeling a sense of meaningful engagement at school and having a say in	Race/Ethnicity Asian-33% Black/African American-28% Latino/a-30% White-34%			Percentage of students who report feeling a sense of meaningful engagement at	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	decision making as measured on the CHKS	Multiracial-30% Something else-31%			school and having a say in decision making as measured on the CHKS will be at least 60% for all student groups	
4.13	Percentage of students who report feelings of chronic sadness as measured on the CHKS	Yes-2022 CHKS 9th-31% 10th-29% 11th-36% 12th-36%			The percentage of students who report feelings of chronic sadness as measured on the CHKS will be below 15%	
4.14	Percentage of students who reported being bullied or harassed by other students as reported on the Youth Truth Survey	Percent who said they had been bullied or harassed by other students: Asian-14% Black/African American-12% Latino/a-13% White-13% Multiracial-12%			Percentage of students who reported being bullied or harassed by other students as reported on the Youth Truth Survey will decrease by at least 8 percent for each student group	
4.15	Percentage of students who reported being bullied or harassed by other students who said it occurred electronically as reported on the Youth Truth Survey	Percent who said they a part of the school community: Asian -55% Black/ African American-36% Latino/a-47% White-58% Multiracial-49%			Percentage of students who reported being bullied or harassed by other students who said it occurred electronically as reported on the Youth Truth Survey will	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
					decrease by at least 10 percent.	
4.16	Percentage of students who report feeling a part of the school community as reported on the Youth Truth Survey	Percent who said they a part of the school community: Asian-55% Black/African American-36% Latino/a-47% White-58% Multiracial-49%			Percentage of students who report feeling a part of the school community as reported on the Youth Truth Survey will be at least 70% for all student groups	
4.17	Percentage of students who report that they learn how to make their school, community and/or the world a better place	Percent who said they they learn to make their school, community and the world a better place: Asian -66% Black/ African American-36% Latino/a-54% White-60% Multiracial-54%			Percentage of students who report learning how to make their school, community and world a better place reported on the Youth Truth Survey will be at least 75% for all student groups	
4.18	Percentage of students who report that it is important to work with people with backgrounds and experiences that are different from mine.	Percent who said they they learn to make their school, community and the world a better place: Asian -83% Black/African American-59% Latino/a-54% White-83% Multiracial-88%			Percentage of students who report that it is important to work with people with backgrounds and experiences that are different from mine will be 90% for all student groups	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Counseling and Wellness Program	<p>Provide wellness and counseling programs that coordinate services to students for social emotional wellbeing. The Wellness center will coordinate services to students for social emotional wellbeing.</p> <p>The school counselors are the main point of contact for students for academic guidance and social emotional wellbeing.</p> <p>The District has: 1.0 FTE Senior Director of Student Services 16.8 FTE Counselors 3.0 FTE Counseling Secretaries 1.0 FTE Registrars 1.0 FTE Director of Wellness 2.8 FTE Wellness coordinators 2.8 FTE Wellness outreach specialists</p>	\$4,963,355.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.2	Health Services	<p>The district provides a school health technician who distributes their time among the comprehensive sites. This position also maintains student health records and communicates with families when health concerns arise.</p> <p>The District has: .6 FTE Nurse 2.0 FTE Health Technicians</p>	\$317,813.00	No
4.3	Dynamic Solutions for Youth	<p>Dynamic Solutions for Youth (DSY-Keith Jackson) Mr. Jackson works across 4 school sites in the District with students, mostly students of color, to provide mentoring, self-advocacy, mediation and academic support.</p>	\$120,000.00	No
4.4	Therapeutic Counseling	<p>The District contracts with Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) for non-mandated therapeutic counseling services for students who are dealing with social emotional issues. The District has licensed, pre-licensed and intern level counselors.</p>	\$506,556.00	No
4.5	Social Emotional Learning Modules	<p>All students are required to receive mandated lessons each year. The lessons are developed by the ADL, Teaching for Justice and other educational organizations that create content for building awareness of bias and the impact of racism and antisemitism on our communities and country. The lessons include understanding bias and how it is formed and the impact it can have on decision making, recognizing and addressing racism and antisemitism, learning to be an up-stander and not a bystander.</p>	\$11,350.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		The goal of these lesson is to raise awareness of our students in order to be a more inclusive and welcoming learning community. Additionally, students receive lessons on digital citizenship and the ethical use of technology and various technology based platforms.		
4.6	Senior Director of Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging	This position will coordinate, facilitate and evaluate the ongoing antiracist work in the District This person will work in collaboration with the assistant superintendent of educational services	\$67,594.00	No
4.7	Student Voice	<p>Youth Advisory for Racial Justice: This group of students meets once a month with the Senior Director of DEIB to share their perspectives on campus racial climate and culture, discuss actions that can be taken to improve the climate and culture and to provide student voice and input on district initiatives such as stop and learns, professional development and hiring practices.</p> <p>Other groups from which the district will seek input: Black Student Union AAPI Club Peer Resource Leadership AVID Link Crew</p>	\$12,500.00	No
4.8	Racial Justice Task Force	This is a partnership among district, students, staff, families and communities members to improve our policies, practices and procedures. This committee meets once time a month. In current year, this committee developed the newly designed LCAP goals. This committee is open to all members of the TUHSD community.	\$80,000.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.9	Staff and Student of Color Retreats	The District will conduct at least one staff and one student of color retreat each school year in order to build community, celebrate student identities and provide a space to engage with students who share identities and experiences.	\$40,981.00	No
4.10	District Equity Team	This team plans professional development, reviews policies and procedures and makes recommendations to the superintendent for improving the racial climate and culture in the district.	\$5,661.00	No
4.11	Maintain a human resources department	The district maintains a human resources department to ensure that we have appropriate staff in positions and that we are supporting our employees. The District employs: 1.0 FTE Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources 1.0 FTE in Senior Director of Human Resources 1.0 FTE in Director of Human Resources 1.0 FTE Administrative Assistant for Human Resources	\$1,033,329.00	No
4.12	Community Building Events, including students, staff, and parents	Establish a Culture and Community Lead at all sites with focus on engaging as many diverse groups of students as possible through multiple pathways and opportunities to provide feedback Integrate existing student groups (ASB, Leadership, SLAM, SOAR, STARR, BSU, Peer Resource, etc.) into a student-led committee comprised of a diverse group of students that plan, coordinate, and allocates funding for community building events Start each school semester with a day one community building event		No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.13	Make School Events Affordable and Accessible to all students	Co-constructing with a diverse group of students, establish district-wide standards and protocols to ensure all school events, including social and academic enrichment opportunities are financially and physically accessible, welcoming, and inclusive to/of ALL students. Using these new district-wide standards and protocols, establish a baseline process for determining event location and design		No
4.14	Assess school environments using Physical Environment Equity Walk Through tool	Conduct site assessments that identify what is meant by “safe & welcoming”, that expressly gather input from Black, Indigenous, Latino and other student of color groups. Utilize the Physical Environment Portion of Equity Walkthrough Tool Use data/input from site assessments to improve school environments. Utilize strategies for creating social cohesion and restorative justice-based design elements into various spaces on every campus: Resource document: Creating Restorative Justice Spaces in Schools		No
4.15	Belonging & Affinity Spaces	Develop and expand on youth mentoring opportunities and affinity spaces. Examples include: Dynamic Solutions for Youth, Wise Mentoring for Girls, Young Scholars		No
4.16	Change cultural norms to appreciate differences and embrace inclusivity	The District will conduct at least four (4) specific learning events addressing race, racism and bias	\$114,000.00	No
4.17	Digital Citizenship and Ethical Use of Social Media	Develop and disseminate a District technology bill of rights for effective and contributive digital citizenship and social media use	\$60,000.00	No

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		<p>Establish clear guidelines for use of various technologies and applications and address the central issues of bullying, misinformation, and the impact on self-perception and self-esteem</p> <p>Teach and inform students of the nexus between online behavior (social media posts, web searches, AI use) in personal and school environments</p>		

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$1,307,171	\$0

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
2.337%	0.000%	\$0.00	2.337%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.2	<p>Action: Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) Tam4WARD</p> <p>Need:</p> <p>Scope:</p>		Chronic absenteeism rates

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.6	<p>Action: Dean of Student Success at San Andreas High School</p> <p>Need: Chronic absenteeism is a major barrier for our low income and foster youth. The Dean at San Andreas High School is focused on improving the attendance of the students at that site.</p> <p>Scope: Schoolwide</p>	Providing intervention for attendance on a daily basis	Chronic absenteeism rates

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.1	<p>Action: English Language Learner Program</p> <p>Need: English language learner students did not make adequate progress towards English proficiency.</p> <p>Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>	The actions focus resources at three school sites to address the English learning population through a coordinator with a dedicated .2FTE towards serving ELL students.	Progress towards English proficiency on the ELPAC

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
2.3	<p>Action: Academic Workshop</p> <p>Need: Our socioeconomically disadvantaged students have lower GPAs, lower graduation rates and lower achievement scores on the CAAPSS assessments.</p> <p>Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>	The dedicated support class has a lower student to teacher ratio and is dedicated to providing supports for students in order for them to successfully graduate.	Graduation rates, CAAPSS assessments scores, DFI data

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Not applicable

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	0	
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	0	

2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	\$55,927,128	1,307,171	2.337%	0.000%	2.337%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$83,710,044.00	\$1,788,109.00	\$17,868,445.00	\$100,000.00	\$103,466,598.00	\$98,203,816.00	\$5,262,782.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Review Course of Studies at least every five years	All	No												
1	1.2	Employ high quality, highly effective certificated staff	All	No					\$60,177,400.00	\$0.00	\$42,377,277.00		\$17,800,123.00		\$60,177,400.00	
1	1.3	Instructional Coaching	All	No					\$434,276.00	\$0.00		\$434,276.00			\$434,276.00	
1	1.4	Instructional Leadership Team							\$3,960,787.00	\$0.00	\$3,960,787.00				\$3,960,787.00	
1	1.5	Special Education Programming	All	No					\$14,058,392.00	\$0.00	\$14,058,392.00				\$14,058,392.00	0
1	1.6	Pathways Program	All	No					\$299,845.00	\$0.00	\$299,845.00				\$299,845.00	
1	1.7	Edgenuity	All	No					\$0.00	\$127,644.00	\$127,644.00				\$127,644.00	
1	1.8	Algebra Project	All	No					\$98,023.00	\$0.00		\$98,023.00			\$98,023.00	0
1	1.9	Maintain clean, well functioning facilities	All	No					\$6,330,941.00	\$0.00	\$6,330,941.00				\$6,330,941.00	
1	1.10	Deferred Maintenance	All	No					\$0.00	\$3,494,768.00	\$3,494,768.00				\$3,494,768.00	0
1	1.11	Maintain a balanced budget	All	No					\$1,544,453.00	\$0.00	\$1,544,453.00				\$1,544,453.00	
2	2.1	English Language Learner Program	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Archie Williams High School, Redwood		\$716,686.00	\$0.00	\$716,686.00				\$716,686.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
					s)		High School, Tamalpais High School									
2	2.2	Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) Tam4WARD	All	No					\$2,095,245.00	\$0.00	\$839,435.00	\$1,255,810.00			\$2,095,245.00	
2	2.3	Academic Workshop	Foster Low Youth Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools		\$507,731.00	\$0.00	\$507,731.00				\$507,731.00	
2	2.4	Advancement Via Individual Determination	All	No					\$489,995.00	\$0.00	\$489,995.00				\$489,995.00	0
2	2.5	Professional Development	All	No					\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00				\$10,000.00	
2	2.6	Dean of Student Success at San Andreas High School	Foster Low Youth Income	Yes	Schoolwide	Foster Youth Low Income			\$180,000.00	\$0.00	\$180,000.00				\$180,000.00	
3	3.1	Web Coordinator	All	No					\$0.00	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00				\$17,000.00	
3	3.2	Mass Communication Tool	All	No					\$0.00	\$21,145.00	\$21,145.00				\$21,145.00	
3	3.3	Student Information System (SIS)	All	No					\$927,951.00	\$127,549.00	\$1,055,500.00				\$1,055,500.00	0
3	3.4	Attendance Communication	All	No					\$0.00	\$435,628.00	\$435,628.00				\$435,628.00	
3	3.5	Board of Trustee Meeting Recordings	All	No					\$0.00	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00				\$18,000.00	
3	3.6	Parent/guardian Engagement Events (5)	All	No												
3	3.7	Regular meetings between PTSA leads and District staff and Foundation leads and District staff														
3	3.8	Racial Equity Coalition							\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00				\$60,000.00	
4	4.1	Counseling and Wellness Program	All	No					\$4,963,355.00	\$0.00	\$4,915,033.00		\$48,322.00		\$4,963,355.00	0

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
4	4.2	Health Services	All	No					\$317,813.00	\$0.00	\$317,813.00				\$317,813.00	
4	4.3	Dynamic Solutions for Youth	Students of color	No					\$0.00	\$120,000.00			\$20,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$120,000.00	0
4	4.4	Therapeutic Counseling	All	No					\$0.00	\$506,556.00	\$506,556.00				\$506,556.00	
4	4.5	Social Emotional Learning Modules	All	No					\$0.00	\$11,350.00	\$11,350.00				\$11,350.00	
4	4.6	Senior Director of Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging	All	No					\$67,594.00	\$0.00	\$67,594.00				\$67,594.00	
4	4.7	Student Voice	All	No					\$0.00	\$12,500.00	\$12,500.00				\$12,500.00	
4	4.8	Racial Justice Task Force	All	No					\$0.00	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00				\$80,000.00	
4	4.9	Staff and Student of Color Retreats	Students and staff of color	No					\$0.00	\$40,981.00	\$40,981.00				\$40,981.00	
4	4.10	District Equity Team	All	No					\$0.00	\$5,661.00	\$5,661.00				\$5,661.00	
4	4.11	Maintain a human resources department	All	No					\$1,033,329.00	\$0.00	\$1,033,329.00				\$1,033,329.00	
4	4.12	Community Building Events, including students, staff, and parents	All	No												
4	4.13	Make School Events Affordable and Accessible to all students	All	No												
4	4.14	Assess school environments using Physical Environment Equity Walk Through tool	All	No												
4	4.15	Belonging & Affinity Spaces	Students of color	No												

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
4	4.16	Change cultural norms to appreciate differences and embrace inclusivity	All	No					\$0.00	\$114,000.00	\$114,000.00				\$114,000.00	
4	4.17	Digital Citizenship and Ethical Use of Social Media	All	No					\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00				\$60,000.00	

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
\$55,927,128	1,307,171	2.337%	0.000%	2.337%	\$1,404,417.00	0.000%	2.511 %	Total:	\$1,404,417.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$0.00
								Limited Total:	\$1,224,417.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$180,000.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
2	2.1	English Language Learner Program	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	Specific Schools: Archie Williams High School, Redwood High School, Tamalpais High School	\$716,686.00	
2	2.3	Academic Workshop	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$507,731.00	
2	2.6	Dean of Student Success at San Andreas High School	Yes	Schoolwide	Foster Youth Low Income		\$180,000.00	

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$86,503,567.00	\$89,491,799.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	1.1 Broad Course of Study Offerings with Highly Qualified Educators	No	\$38,575,100.00	38785515
1	1.2	1.2 Diversification of Staff	No		
1	1.3	1.3 Instructional Materials	No	\$280,000.00	280000
1	1.4	1.4 Maintenance of Facilities	No	\$5,982,100.00	8974904
1	1.5	1.5 Information Technology	No	\$1,115,700.00	1197086
1	1.6	1.6 Career Technical Education Offering Expansion	No	\$0.00	0
2	2.1	2.1 Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS)	No	\$611,600.00	611600
2	2.2	2.2 Academic Workshop	Yes	\$600,200.00	531354
2	2.3	2.3 Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)	Yes	\$455,700.00	471830
2	2.4	2.4 Instructional Coaching	No	\$398,300.00	366324
2	2.5	2.5 Professional Learning	No	\$360,013.00	360013

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.6	2.6 English Language Learner Program-included in Goal 5	Yes		
2	2.7	2.7 Tam High Ascent Program	Yes	\$12,000.00	12000
2	2.8	2.8 COMPASS Program:	Yes		
2	2.9	2.9 Instructional Leadership Team	No	\$4,156,400.00	4455619
2	2.10	2.10 Special Education Programming	No	\$24,446,300.00	23981581
2	2.11	2.11 Pathways Program	No	\$214,256.00	214256
2	2.12	2.12 Edgenuity online learning platform	No	\$129,000.00	129000
2	2.13	2.13 Learning Leadership Team	No	\$713,818.00	713818
3	3.1	3.1 Community Liaison	No		
3	3.2	3.2 Web Coordinator	No	\$16,000.00	18000
3	3.3	3.3 Mass Communication Tool	No	\$24,520.00	24520
3	3.4	3.4 Local Control and Accountability Committee	No		
3	3.5	3.5 Student Information System (SIS)	No	\$875,800.00	875800

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.6	3.6 Attendance Communication & Support	No	\$1,023,000.00	1020775
3	3.7	3.7 Trustee Meeting Recordings	No	\$10,000.00	10000
4	4.1	4.1 Counseling and Wellness Program	No	\$4,918,400.00	4879067
4	4.2	4.2 Health Services	No	\$300,900.00	294277
4	4.3	4.3 Marin Recovery Solutions	No		
4	4.4	4.4 Dynamic Solutions for Youth	No	\$100,000.00	100000
4	4.5	4.5 Therapeutic Counseling	No	\$472,560.00	472560
4	4.6	4.6 Student Task Forces for the Prevention of Sexual Harassment and Assault	No		
5	5.1	5.1 Student Leadership for the Anti Racist Movements! (SLAM!)	No	\$14,000.00	14000
5	5.2	5.2 Student Success Network (SSN)	Yes	\$35,000.00	35000
5	5.3	5.3 English Language Learner Program	Yes	\$503,000.00	503000
5	5.4	5.4 Restorative Practices	No		
5	5.5	5.5 Racial Justice Task Force	No	\$80,000.00	80000
5	5.6	5.6 Mandated lessons for all students	No		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
5	5.7	5.7 Senior Director of Diversity, Equity, Inclusion and Belonging	No	\$64,900.00	64900
5	5.8	Board of Trustees DEI Workshops	No	\$15,000.00	15000

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
1309046	\$1,578,900.00	\$1,666,912.00	(\$88,012.00)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
2	2.2	2.2 Academic Workshop	Yes	\$600,200.00	531354	0	0
2	2.3	2.3 Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)	Yes	\$455,700.00	471830	0	0
2	2.6	2.6 English Language Learner Program-included in Goal 5	Yes			0	
2	2.7	2.7 Tam High Ascent Program	Yes	\$12,000.00	12000	0	0
2	2.8	2.8 COMPASS Program:	Yes			0	
5	5.2	5.2 Student Success Network (SSN)	Yes	\$8,000.00	81000	0	0
5	5.3	5.3 English Language Learner Program	Yes	\$503,000.00	570728	0	0

To Add a Row: Click "Add Row."

To Delete a Row: Remove all content from each cell, checkbox and dropdown of a row (including spaces), press "Save Data" and refresh the page.

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
54349619	1309046	0	2.409%	\$1,666,912.00	0.000%	3.067%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA’s community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA’s LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA’s annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);
 - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in EC sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of EC sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***
- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action’s number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering “All,” or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type “Yes” if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type “No” if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If “Yes” is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the “Other State Funds” category, not in the “LCFF Funds” category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA’s LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**

- This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**

- This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

- This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**

- This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
 - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**
 - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023