

From: Smith, Stacie <Stacie.Smith@wylieisd.net>
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2023 11:29:34 AM
To: Jill Palmer [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: [EXTERNAL]A response to misinformation

Mrs. Palmer,

Your original email asked that board members not respond to your concerns but rather acknowledge receipt of the email; however, I felt it important to provide accurate information in regard to the 5 deceased individuals you mentioned.

Again, all loss of life is a tragedy. I am sorry if individuals have not felt the support of the district during devastating times. We always want to be there for our families. I do not believe the district is concerned with "negative attention to our district" from a tragic death. In the almost 15 years my own children have attended Wylie ISD, I have watched our district and our community come together for students, staff, and families, facing hardship, loss, and tragedy. I would encourage those that may have not felt support to please reach out.

I support the district in providing factual responses to public forum questions and comments.

The March public forum response mentioned you do not have children that attend secondary schools. If the district has shared personal information regarding your child(ren), please let me know, so that can be addressed.

Your concerns are all noted.

Enjoy your weekend,

Stacie Smith
President
Wylie ISD Board of Trustees

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jill Palmer [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2023 3:44 PM
To: Smith, Stacie <Stacie.Smith@wylieisd.net>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: [EXTERNAL]A response to misinformation

Thank you Mrs Smith for your response.

I am not sure what is going on because these were the names given to me by different parents in our district regarding their children attending funerals for their classmates. I would request for you to stop using the offensive language of spreading misinformation.

Either way, to answer your question regarding what does this have anything to do with our district. The sad passing of any death should be treated with empathy, when A concerned parent reaches out to the district, how things are communicated should execute with compassion. I am speaking for parents who did not receive it. That it was more important to "not bring negative attention to our district". This is the only information that I have spoken and shared but it seems the author of last forum missed that mark and decided to aggressively attack me instead.

THAT is the true nature of my speech last night and the second part to my email that you didn't address . How this district engages with parents is not consistent. Regardless if you agree with them or not, it is your job to still communicate with them in a servant manner.

Mr. Howard said that the board collectively reviews the forum note responses before posting.
Is this true?

If so, then you all collectively allowed the aggressive tone to be published.

THAT is the main issue I have right now involving the district. Communication with parents, who have the ONLY right on what happens with their child, should have the same platform and voice even when the leadership of this district does not agree.

Lastly, I encourage you to truly read and understand what CDF brought to the table last night. I think it's important to be fully informed on what his happening with these bills. I hope the district makes a commitment to protect our children from the manipulation of Title IX.

Thanks!

Jill Palmer

On Apr 18, 2023, at 1:09 PM, Smith, Stacie <Stacie.Smith@wylieisd.net> wrote:

Mrs. Palmer,

We are in receipt of your email and believe it is important to address your allegations about student suicides.

It is disappointing that you continue to share misinformation about Wylie ISD.

I will only take the time to address one part of your false accusation. You can choose to do the additional work to find out more information about these children and their families. Nowhere in my speech that I hint that the information of these suicides needed to be public knowledge. If you re-read the transcript, I clearly point out the concerns from parents that felt as though the district did not reach out nor brought empathy in awareness to the classmates to assist in their trauma of losing their friends. It was the comment from the administration, "***we do not want to bring negative attention to our destination district***" was the reason for my callout. That was a completely inappropriate response to parents regarding deaths.

As the author of the response from March 2023 seems to have aggression issues with the hostile tone expressing that I was spreading misinformation.

Here are the names of the tragic losses that I confirmed on my end:



In addition, as I state in my speech tonight. Due to the lack of the stability of the mental health of your supporters. My own counsel has advised that I make a formal request that my family let alone my children to not be included in your responses. Retaliation runs deep in this district based on the actions of this administration from what the community has proven to me in many instances. "That will not be tolerated."

The author's tone was volatile and unwarranted. Period.

I do not need a response. A confirmation that this email was received will suffice.

--

Thanks!!

Jill Palmer

Fellow concerned parent exercising her first amendment right