

# FORT WORTH ISD ANNUAL FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY MANAGEMENT REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 FORT WORTH INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT FORT WORTH, TEXAS



# 2018 Annual Financial Accountability Management Report (School FIRST)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Public Hearing

Tuesday, December 11, 2018.

2903 Shotts Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76107



# 2018 Annual Financial Accountability Management Report

This is the sixteenth year of School FIRST (Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas), a financial accountability system for Texas school districts developed by the Texas Education Agency in response to Senate Bill 875 of the 76<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature in 1999. The primary goal of School FIRST is to achieve quality performance in the management of a school district's financial resources, a goal made more significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with Texas' school finance system.

Annually, each school district must prepare a Financial Accountability Management Report containing disclosures required by Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109 within two months of release of final FIRST rating. These disclosures include a copy of the Superintendent's current contract, compensation received by the Superintendent from other districts or outside entities, a schedule of the reimbursements received by the Superintendent and each Board Member, as well as reportable gifts and business transactions received by the Superintendent, Board of Trustees and Executive Officers. The report must be presented at a Public Hearing. Final FIRST ratings were issued on November 15, 2018, and the School FIRST report will be presented at a public hearing on December 11, 2018, commencing at 5:30 p.m.

In addition to a Superior School FIRST rating, Fort Worth ISD has been awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officers Association, (GFOA) and the Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting Award from the Association of School Business Officials International (ASBO) for the 2016-2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, (CAFR). For more information, please contact the Fort Worth ISD Comptroller's Office at (817) 814-2141.

#### Contents

Introduction	1-2
Board of Trustees	3
District Goals	4
Rating 2016-2017	5-7
Two-Year Comparison	9-10
FIRST Disclosures	11-16



#### <u>Overview</u>

Created by the 77<sup>th</sup> Legislature in 2001, the School Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST) is designed to encourage public schools to better manage their financial resources to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes. The state has adopted a superior through substandard rating for each district. The FIRST ratings are based on 15 financial indicators, such as administrative cost expenditures; the accuracy of a district's financial information; and any financial vulnerabilities or material weaknesses in internal controls as determined an external auditor.

The 2018 ratings are based on the annual financial reports provided to TEA by FWISD for the 2016-2017 fiscal year. The financial accountability system requires TEA to review the audited financial reports from all districts and charters. FWISD has been awarded a Superior rating with score of 98 for the year ending June 30, 2017 Districts that receive a substandard score rating under FIRST must file a corrective action plan with the Texas Education Agency.

This report briefly describes data used to calculate the rating indicators and includes the required disclosures.



#### Purpose of the Rating System

The Financial Accountability Rating System ensures that school districts will be:

- Held accountable for the quality of their financial management practices; and
- Achieve improved performance in the management of their financial resources.

It discloses the quality of local management and decision-making processes that impact the allocation of financial resources in Texas public schools.

This rating system was designed to encourage Texas public schools to manage their financial resources better in order to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

#### FORT WORTH ISD BOARD OF EDUCATION



Ann Sutherland First Vice President, District 6 May 2017 – May 2018 Second Vice President May 2018 – Present

Jacinto Ramos Jr. District 1 *Tobi Jackson* President, District 2 May 2017 - Present

Christene C. Moss Second Vice President, District 3 May 2017 – May 2018

*T.A. Sims* First Vice President District 4 May 2018 – Present

Judy Needham District 5

Dr. Kent P. Scribner Superintendent Anael Luebanos Board Secretary, District 8 May 2017 – Present

Norman Robbins District 7

> Ashley Paz District 9



## THE DISTRICT'S MISSION

#### "Preparing all students for success in college, career and community leadership"

• With over 84,000 students in 83 elementary schools, 29 middle schools and 6th grade centers, 18 high schools and 16 other campuses, Fort Worth ISD enjoys a diverse student population and strong community partnerships. Under the leadership of the superintendent and the Board of Education, the District is undergoing a series of initiatives that will redesign, transform, and revitalize Fort Worth ISD Schools.

## LONE STAR GOVERNANCE GOALS:

#### • Early Literacy:

• Goal – 100% of 3<sup>rd</sup> graders reading on or above grade level by 2025

#### Middle Years Math

 Goal – 82% of students will meet or exceed the standard on STAAR Algebra I EOC exam by the end of grade 9 by 2019

#### College and Career Readiness:

 Goal – 65.8% of graduates will meet the criteria for Post-Secondary Readiness as measured by a college-ready qualifying score on AP, SAT, ACT, TSI or industry preparation by 2019

Ŧ

Ŧ



#### **Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas**

#### 2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: FORT WORTH ISD(220905)		Publication Level 1: 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM			
Stat	us: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM			
Rati	ng: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM			
Dist	rict Score: 98				
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score		
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitte 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal respectively?	4/20/2018 9:37:42 AM	Yes		
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and mater must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school dist responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators				
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial state Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinio if there was an unmodified opinion.)	4/20/2018 9:37:42 AM	Yes		
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local material weakness.)	4/20/2018 9:37:42 AM	Yes		
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of a school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption a current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt a (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, where the second se	4/20/2018 9:37:42 AM	Yes		
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Reti Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other g	4/20/2018 9:37:42 AM	Yes		
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretic the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Positio	4/20/2018 9:37:43 AM	Yes		

	change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this		
	indicator.)		
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:37:43 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:37:43 AM	8
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:37:43 AM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	4/20/2018 9:37:44 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:37:44 AM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	4/20/2018 9:37:44 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/19/2018 11:28:48 AM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	4/20/2018 9:37:45 AM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	4/20/2018 9:37:46 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	4/20/2018 9:37:46 AM	10
			98 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			98 Score

#### DETERMINATION OF RATING

.

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard
	Achievement regardless of points earned.

Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)

В.

A = Superior	90-100
B = Above Standard	80-89
C = Meets Standard	60-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<60

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: <u>Financial Accountability</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov</u> THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734 FIRST 5.4.1.0

#### **2018 FIRST Rating**

#### **Critical Indicators:**

1. Was the Annual Financial Report filed on time with TEA?	Yes
2A. Did the external auditors provide an unmodified audit opinion?	Yes
2B. Were there no material weaknesses in internal controls?	Yes
3. Were there no default on bonded indebtedness?	Yes
4. Were the payments to TRS, TWC & IRS made timely?	Yes
5. Was the unrestricted Net Position $>$ \$0?	Yes

#### **Solvency Indicators:**

6. Number of Days of Cash on Hand 107.4 Days 10 ▶ 10=>90, 8=<90>75, 6=<74>60, 4=<60>45, 2<45>30 7. Ratio of Current Assets to Current Liabilities 8 2.67 ▶ 10=3.00, 8=<3.00>2.50, 6=<2.50>2.00, 4=<2.00>1.50 8. Ratio of Long-Term Liabilities to Total Assets .07 10 ▶ 10=<0.60, 8=>0.60<0.70, 6=>0.70<0.80, 4=>0.80<0.90 9. General Fund Revenues > General Fund Expenditures 10 60 ▶ 10=>0%, 0=<0% 10. Debt Service Coverage Ratio 1.61 10 ▶ 10=>1.20, 8=<1.20>1.15, 6=<1.15>1.10, 4=<1.10>1.05 11. Administrative Cost Ratio .06 10 ▶ 10=<0.085, 8=>0.085<0.1105, 6=>0.1105<0.1355 12. Ratio of Students to Staff Not Decline by more than 15% -.15 10 ➤ 10=Yes, 0=No

Value

**Points** 

#### **Financial Competence Indicators:**

13. Data Quality of PEIMS Submission Not Vary More than 3%	.00	10
▶ 10=<3%, 0=≥3%		
14. No Material Noncompliance Reported by Independent Auditor	Yes	10
$\succ$ 10=Yes, 0=No		
15. No Overpayment of State Funds due to Financial Hardship	Yes	10
$\succ$ 10=Yes, 0=No		

#### **Total Points Awarded** 98

Superior=90-100, Above Standard=80-89, Meets Standard=60-79

#### Fort Worth ISD Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas 2018 REPORT (TWO YEAR COMPARISON)

The district's financial management performance under each indicator for the

#### current and previous years' financial accountability ratings is shown below:

	Current Indicators	Indicator Description	2016 Result	2017 Result
1	1	Was the complete Annual Financial Report (AFR) and data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending upon the District's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	Yes	Yes
2.A	2.A	Was There An Unmodified Opinion in Annual Financial Report as a whole?	Yes	Yes
2.B	2.B	Was the AFR was free of any instance (s) of material weakness in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?	Yes	Yes
3	3	Was the District in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?	Yes	Yes
4	4	Did District make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System, Texas Workforce Commission, Internal Revenue Service, and other government agencies?	Yes	Yes
5	5	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) in the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero?	Yes	Yes
6	6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures?	8	10
7	7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio sufficient to cover short-term debt?	4	8

	Current Indicators	Indicator Description	2016 Result	2017 Result
8	8	Was the ration of long-term liabilities to total assets sufficient to support long-term solvency?	10	10
9	9	Did the District's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures?	10	10
10	10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	10	10
11	11	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	10	10
12	12	Did the District not have a 15 percent decline in students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)?	10	10
13	13	Did the comparisons of PEIMS data to like information in Annual Financial Report result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 Percent of expenditures per fund type (Data Quality Measure)?	10	10
14	14Did the external independent auditor report the Annual Financial Reports was free of any material weakness in internal controls over financial reports and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?		10	10
15	15	Did the District not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	10	10

#### Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(i)

#### Copy of the Superintendent's Current Employment Contract and all Amendments For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

The Superintendent employment contracts, together with all amendments, may be viewed on the District's website.

Please visit

Dr. Kent Scribner's Contract:

http://www.fwisd.org/Page/4002



#### Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(ii)

#### Expenditures Paid On Behalf of Superintendent and Board Members For the Twelve-Month Period Year Ended June 30, 2017

The summary schedule reports all expenditures paid by the District, either reimbursed to or paid on behalf of the Superintendent and each board member. This includes transactions on the District's credit card(s), debit card(s), stored value card(s) and any other similar instrument to cover expenses incurred by the superintendent and each board member. The summary schedule must separately report reimbursements for meals, lodging, transportation, motor fuel and other items. The summary of total reimbursements should not include reimbursements for supplies and materials that were purchased for the operation of the District or allowances paid as part of the Superintendent's contract. In some instances, expenditures - such as registrations, hotel deposits or air fare - require prepayment and are paid and posted before the event occurs.

Superintendent and Board Members	Position	Meals	Lodging	Transportation	Motor Fuel	Other	Total
Kent Scribner	Superintendent	\$ 1,817.95	\$ 2,383.47	\$ 1,686.73	\$ -	\$ 2,576.96	\$ 8,465.11
Jacinto Ramos	Board Member 1	\$ 3,002.05	\$ 7,097.97	\$ 3,353.60	\$-	\$ 5,801.36	\$ 19,254.98
Tobi Jackson	Board Member 2	\$ 1,811.25	\$ 1,820.86	\$ 1,423.62	\$-	\$ 6,163.77	\$ 11,219.50
Christene C. Moss	Board Member 3	\$ 1,774.10	\$ 5,189.59	\$ 2,567.84	\$-	\$ 8,493.22	\$ 18,024.75
T.A. Sims	Board Member 4	\$ 297.00	\$ 303.02	\$ 199.98	\$-	\$ 1,856.73	\$ 2,656.73
Judy Needham	Board Member 5	\$ 295.00	\$ 109.89	\$ 397.05	\$-	\$ 860.00	\$ 1,661.94
Ann Sutherland	Board Member 6	\$ 368.75	\$ 622.20	\$ 658.38	\$-	\$ 1,709.66	\$ 3,358.99
Norman Robbins	Board Member 7	\$ 295.00	\$ 331.08	\$ 199.98	\$-	\$ 1,911.37	\$ 2,737.43
Anael Luebanos	Board Member 8	\$ 537.60	\$ 1,139.35	\$ 322.11	\$-	\$ 697.19	\$ 2,696.25
Ashley Paz	Board Member 9	\$ 1,656.05	\$ 1,933.47	\$ 1,133.43	\$ -	\$ 2,958.41	\$ 7,681.36
Totals		\$ 11,854.75	\$20,930.90	\$ 11,942.72	\$-	\$ 33,028.67	\$ 77,757.04

**NOTE**: All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash and purchase orders are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meeting) Lodging – Hotel charges

Transportation – Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.

Motor Fuel – Gasoline

Other – Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine and all other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the Superintendent and board members not defined above.

Some board members receive reimbursements for travel related expenses from other entities. All reimbursements have been applied to applicable categories above and are not shown as a District expense.

#### Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(iii)

#### **Reportable Superintendent's Compensation** For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount of compensation and/or fees received by the superintendent from another school district or any other outside entity in exchange for professional consulting and/or other personal services. The schedule shall separately report the amount received from each entity.

**No Amounts Reported** 



Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(iv)

#### **Reportable Gifts** For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

A summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount of gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more received by the executive officers and board members. This reporting requirement applies only to gifts received by the school district's executive officers and board members (and their immediate family as described by Government Code, Chapter 573, Subchapter B, Relationships by Consanguinity or by Affinity) from an outside entity that received payments from the school district in the prior fiscal year and gifts from competing vendors that were not awarded contracts in the prior fiscal year. This reporting requirement does not apply to reimbursement by an outside entity for travel-related expenses when the purpose of the travel is to investigate matters related to attendance of education-related conferences and seminars with the primary purpose of providing continuing education (this exclusion does not apply to trips for entertainment purposes or pleasure trips). This reporting requirement excludes an individual gift or a series of gifts from a single outside entity that had a total economic value of less than \$250 per executive officer or board member.

#### **No Amounts Reported**

**NOTE:** An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the Board of Trustees or the District administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials



#### Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B(v)

#### Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

A summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount received by board members for the total amount of business transactions with the school district. This reporting requirement is not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

**No Amounts Reported** 







### FORT WORTH INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT



Follow us on Facebook and Twitter @FortWorthISD

FORT WORTH ISD MOBILE APP: FREE DOWNLOAD AVAILABLE AT THE APPLE APP STORE AND THE GOOGLE PLAY STORE.