







ANNUAL FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY MANAGEMENT REPORT



FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

FORT WORTH INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT WWW.FWISD.ORG



2020

Annual Financial Accountability Management Report

(School FIRST)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

Public Hearing

Tuesday, November 10, 2020

2903 Shotts Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76107



Reporting Period Fiscal Year 2018-2019

2020 Annual Financial Accountability Management Report

This eighteenth School is the of year FIRST Integrity System (Financial Rating of Texas). financial accountability svstem for а districts developed by the Texas Texas school Education Agency in response to Senate Bill 875 Legislature of the 76th Texas in 1999. goal School FIRST achieve is to primary of quality performance in the management of school districts' financial resources to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

school district Annually, each must prepare Financial Accountability Management containing disclosures required by Title 19, Chapter 109, of the Texas Administrative Code, within two months of release of final FIRST rating. disclosures include a copy of the Superintendent's contract. compensation received other Superintendent from districts outside entities, a schedule of the reimbursements received by the Superintendent and each Board Member, as well reportable business transactions as gifts and received by the Superintendent, Board of Trustees and Executive Officers. The report must be presented at a Public Hearing. Final FIRST ratings were effective on September 7, 2020 and the School FIRST report will be presented at a public hearing on November 10, 2020, commencing at 5:30p.m.

In addition to an "A" Superior School FIRST rating, Fort Worth ISD has been awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officers Association, (GFOA) for 2018-2019 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). For more information, please contact the Fort Worth ISD Controller's Office at (817) 814-2141.

Contents

Introduction	1-2
Board of Trustees	3
District Goals	4
Rating 2018-2019	5-7
Two-Year Comparison	8-10
FIRST Disclosures	11-15



Overview

The School FIRST accountability rating system assigns one of four financial accountability ratings to Texas school districts, as follows:

A for Superior Achievement
B for Above Standard Achievement
C for Standard Achievement
F for Substandard Achievement

The First Ratings are based on 14 scored financial indicators, such as administrative cost expenditures, the accuracy of a district's financial information, and any financial vulnerabilities or material weaknesses in internal controls as determined by the external auditor.

The 2020 ratings are based on the annual financial reports and PEIMS data provided to TEA by FWISD for the 2018-2019 fiscal year. The financial accountability system requires TEA to review the audited financial reports from all districts and charters. FWISD has been awarded an "A" for Superior Achievement with a score of 96 for the year ending June 30, 2019.

This report briefly describes data used to calculate the rating indicators and includes the required disclosures.



Purpose of the Rating System

The Financial Accountability
Rating System ensures that
school districts will be:

- Held accountable for the quality of their financial management practices;
 and
- Achieve improved performance in the management of their financial resources.

It discloses the quality of local management and decision-making processes that impact the allocation of financial resources in Texas public schools.

This rating system was designed to encourage Texas public schools to manage their financial resources better in order to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

FWISD Board of Education as of June 30, 2019



Jacinto Ramos Jr.
President, District |



Tobi JacksonIst Vice President, District 2



Quinton 'Q' Phillips
Secretary, District 3



T.A. SimsTrustee, District 4
Retired July 2019



Carin 'CJ' Evans
Trustee, District 5



Anne DarrTrustee, District 6



Norman Robbins
Trustee, District 7



Anael Luebanos 2nd Vice President, District 8



Ashley PazTrustee, District 9



THE DISTRICT'S MISSION

"Preparing ALL students for success in college, career, and community leadership."

With over 84,000 students in 82 elementary schools, 24 middle schools, 21 high schools, and 16 other campuses, Fort Worth ISD enjoys a diverse student population and strong community partnerships. Under the leadership of the Superintendent and the Board of Education, the District is undergoing a series of initiatives that will redesign, transform, and revitalize Fort Worth ISD schools.

LONE STAR GOVERNANCE GOALS as of June 30, 2019:

Goal 1 Early Literacy:

Percent of students in Grade 3 reading on or above grade level, as measured by the STAAR on level standard for reading, will increase from 30% to 43% by 2019.

Goal 2 Middle School Math:

Percent of students who meet or exceed standard on STAAR Algebra I EOC exam by the end of grade 9 will increase from 77% to 87% by 2019.

Goal 3 College and Career Readiness:

Percent of graduates who have met the criteria for Post-Secondary Readiness, as measured by a college-ready qualifying score on AP, SAT, ACT, TSI or industry preparation, will increase from 53% to 66% by 2019.







Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2019-2020 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2018-2019 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: FORT WORTH ISD(220905)	Publication Level 1: 8/6/2020 9:26:37 AM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/6/2020 11:17:34 AM
Rating: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/6/2020 11:17:34 AM
District Score: 96	Passing Score: 60

Indicator Description Updated Score Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of 3/30/2020 Yes 1 the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of 2:48:11 June 30 or August 31, respectively? PM 2 Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B. 2.A Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The 3/30/2020 Yes American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The 2:48:11 external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.) PM 2.B Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material 3/30/2020 Yes weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal 2:48:11 funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.) PM 3 3/30/2020 Yes Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year 2:48:12 end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the PM payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.) 4 Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas 3/30/2020 Yes Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? 2:48:12 5 This indicator is not being scored. 1 Multiplier Sum Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the 3/30/2020 10 6

	school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	2:48:13 PM	
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/30/2020 2:48:13 PM	6
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? If the school district's increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator. See ranges below.	3/30/2020 2:48:14 PM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/30/2020 2:48:14 PM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	3/30/2020 2:48:16 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/30/2020 2:48:16 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	3/30/2020 2:48:17 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/30/2020 2:48:21 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	7/10/2020 4:23:09 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/30/2020 2:48:22 PM	10
			96 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			96 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	regardless of points earned.									
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)									
	A = Superior	90-100								
	B = Above Standard	80-89								
	C = Meets Standard	60-79								

	F = Substandard Achievement	<60	

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.9.1.0

2020 FIRST Rating

Critical Indicators:

1. Was the Annual Financial Report filed on time with TEA?	Yes
2A.Did the external auditors provide an unmodified audit opinion?	Yes
2B.Were there no material weaknesses in internal controls?	Yes
3. Were there no defaults on bonded indebtedness?	Yes
4. Were the payments to TRS, TWC & IRS made timely?	Yes
5. Was the unrestricted Net Position > \$0?	No*

Solvency Indicators:	Value	Points
6. Number of Days of Cash on Hand ➤ 10=>90, 8=<90>75, 6=<74>60, 4=<60>45, 2<45>30	132.1 Da	ays 10
7. Ratio of Current Assets to Current Liabilities > 10=3.00, 8=<3.00>2.50, 6=<2.50>2.00, 4=<2.00>1.50	2.40	6
8. Ratio of Long-Term Liabilities to Total Assets > 10=<0.60, 8=>0.60<0.70, 6=>0.70<0.80, 4=>0.80<0.90	.527	10
9. General Fund Revenues > General Fund Expenditures > 10=<0.00, 8=>0.00 <u><</u> 0.70, 0=>0.70 <u><</u> 0.00, 4=>0.00 <u><</u> 0.50 9. General Fund Expenditures > 10=>0%, 0=<0%	132.06	10
10. Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.37	10
➤ 10=>1.20, 8=<1.20≥1.15, 6=<1.15≥1.10, 4=<1.10≥1.05 11. Administrative Cost Ratio	.0629	10
 10=<0.085, 8=>0.085≤0.1105, 6=>0.1105≤0.1355 12. Ratio of Students to Staff Not Decline by more than 15% 10=Yes, 0=No 	0542	10
Financial Competence Indicators:		
13. Data Quality of PEIMS Submission Not Vary More than 3% ➤ 10=<3%, 0=>3%	.00	10
14. No Material Noncompliance Reported by Independent Auditor ➤ 10=Yes, 0=No	Yes	10
15. No Overpayment of State Funds due to Financial Hardship ➤ 10=Yes, 0=No	Yes	10

Total Points AwardedA = Superior 90-100, B = Above Standard 80-89, C = Meets Standard 60-79

96

^{*}In Fiscal Year 2018, Districts implemented GASB 75, recording the state liability for Other Post Employment Benefits on the District's Government-Wide Statements. The impact caused a substantial number of districts to reflect a negative net position. TEA did not score this indicator for the current year.

Fort Worth ISD Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas 2019 REPORT (TWO YEAR COMPARISON)

The District's financial management performance under each indicator for the current and previous years' financial accountability ratings is shown below:

Current Indicators	Indicator Description	2018 Result	2019 Result
1	Was the complete Annual Financial Report (AFR) and data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending upon the District's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?		Yes
2.A	Was There An Unmodified Opinion in Annual Financial Report as a whole?	Yes	Yes
2.B	Was the AFR was free of any instance (s) of material weakness in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?	Yes	Yes
3	Was the District in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?		Yes
4	Did District make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System, Texas Workforce Commission, Internal Revenue Service, and other government agencies?	Yes	Yes
5	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) in the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero?	No*	
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures?	8	10
Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio sufficient to cover short-term debt?		8	6

^{*}In Fiscal Year 2018, Districts implemented GASB 75, recording the state liability for Other Post Employment Benefits on the District's Government-Wide Statements. The impact caused a substantial number of districts to reflect a negative net position. TEA did not score this indicator for the current year.

Current Indicators	Indicator Description					
	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total					
8	assets sufficient to support long-term	10	10			
	solvency?					
0	Did the District's general fund revenues equal	10	10			
9	or exceed expenditures?	10	10			
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient	10	10			
10	to meet the required debt service?	10	10			
11	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than	10	10			
11	The Threshold Ratio?	10	10			
	Did the District not have a 15 percent decline					
12	in students to staff ratio over 3 years (total	10	10			
	enrollment to total staff)?					
	Did the comparisons of PEIMS data to like					
	information in Annual Financial Report result					
13	in an aggregate variance of less than 3	10	10			
	Percent of expenditures per fund type (Data					
	Quality Measure)?					
	Did the external independent auditor report					
	the Annual Financial Reports was free of any					
	material weakness in internal controls over	10	10			
14	financial reports and compliance for local,	10	10			
	state, or federal funds?					
	Did the District not receive an adjusted					
	repayment schedule for more than one fiscal					
15	year for an over allocation of Foundation	10	10			
	School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a					
	financial hardship?					

Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(i)

Copy of the Superintendent's Current Employment Contract and all Amendments For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

The Superintendent employment contracts, together with all amendments, may be viewed on the District's website.

Please visit

Dr. Kent Scribner's Contract:

http://www.fwisd.org/Page/4002



Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(ii)

Expenditures Paid On Behalf of Superintendent and Board Members For the Twelve-Month Period Year Ended June 30, 2019

The summary schedule reports all expenditures paid by the District, either reimbursed to or paid on behalf of the Superintendent and each board member. This includes transactions on the District's credit card(s), debit card(s), stored value card(s) and any other similar instrument to cover expenses incurred by the superintendent and each board member. The summary schedule must separately report reimbursements for meals, lodging, transportation, motor fuel and other items. The summary of total reimbursements should not include reimbursements for supplies and materials that were purchased for the operation of the District or allowances paid as part of the Superintendent's contract. In some instances, expenditures - such as registrations, hotel deposits or air fare - require prepayment and are paid and posted before the event occurs.

Superintendent and Board Members	Position	Meals	Lodging	Tra	nsportation	r	Notor Fuel	Other		Other Total	
Kent Scribner	Superintendent	\$ 2,021.30	\$ 3,709.55	\$	5,857.67	\$	-	\$	27,457.00	\$	39,045.52
Jacinto Ramos	Board Member 1	\$ 4,873.70	\$ 9,157.34	\$	6,660.39	\$	-	\$	3,707.38	\$	24,398.81
Tobi Jackson	Board Member 2	\$ 756.55	\$ 2,398.37	\$	6,766.87	\$	-	\$	3,770.00	\$	13,691.79
Christene C. Moss	Board Member 3	\$ 2,667.52	\$ 5,192.36	\$	7,638.19	\$	-	\$	2,099.00	\$	17,597.07
Quinton Phillips	Board Member 3	\$ 799.57	\$ 1,556.22	\$	837.14	\$	-	\$	395.00	\$	3,587.93
T.A. Sims	Board Member 4	\$ 102.00	\$ 448.02	\$	4,494.60	\$	-	\$	752.99	\$	5,797.61
Judy Needham	Board Member 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	165.00	\$	165.00
Carin J Evans	Board Member 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$	40.00	\$	-	\$	395.00	\$	435.00
Ann Sutherland	Board Member 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$	75.00	\$	-	\$	555.00	\$	630.00
Anne Darr	Board Member 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$	54.00	\$	-	\$	395.00	\$	449.00
Norman Robbins	Board Member 7	\$ 674.55	\$ 2,804.06	\$	2,422.07	\$	-	\$	3,080.77	\$	8,981.45
Anael Luebanos	Board Member 8	\$ 415.00	\$ 510.22	\$	1,890.90	\$	-	\$	1,235.00	\$	4,051.12
Ashley Paz	Board Member 9	\$ 675.95	\$ 2,549.90	\$	1,066.35	\$	-	\$	646.66	\$	4,938.86
Totals		\$ 12,986.14	\$ 28,326.04	\$	37,803.18	\$	-	\$	44,653.80	\$	123,769.16

NOTE: All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash and purchase orders are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meeting) Lodging – Hotel charges

Transportation – Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.

Motor Fuel - Gasoline

Other – Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine and all other reimbursements (or onbehalf of) to the Superintendent and board members not defined above.

Some board members receive reimbursements for travel related expenses from other entities. All reimbursements have been applied to applicable categories above and are not shown as a District expense.

Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(iii)

Reportable Superintendent's Compensation For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount of compensation and/or fees received by the Superintendent from another school district or any other outside entity in exchange for professional consulting and/or other personal services. The schedule shall separately report the amount received from each entity.

No Amounts Reported



Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B)(iv)

Reportable Gifts For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

A summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount of gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more received by the executive officers and board members. This reporting requirement applies only to gifts received by the school district's executive officers and board members (and their immediate family as described by Government Code, Chapter 573, Subchapter B, Relationships by Consanguinity or by Affinity from an outside entity that received payments from the school district in the prior fiscal year and gifts from competing vendors that were not awarded contracts in the prior fiscal year. This reporting requirement does not apply to reimbursement by an outside entity for travel-related expenses when the purpose of the travel is to investigate matters directly related to an executive officer's or board member's duties or to investigate matters related to attendance of education-related conferences and seminars with the primary purpose of providing continuing education (this exclusion does not apply to trips for entertainment purposes or pleasure trips. This reporting requirement excludes an individual gift or a series of gifts from a single outside entity that had a total economic value of less than \$250 per executive officer or board member.

No Amounts Reported

NOTE: An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the Board of Trustees or the District administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials



Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109.AA.1001(o) Disclosure (3)(B(v)

Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

A summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount received by board members for the total amount of business transactions with the school district. This reporting requirement is not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

No Amounts Reported







FORT WORTH INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

