



# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 - 2023 School Report



**Walton County  
Walker Park Elementary School**

# 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

## 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member’s name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

### Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Principal	Alicia Burford
Team Member # 2	Assistant Principal	Ginger Antwine
Team Member # 3	Instructional Coach	Roxy Pearson
Team Member # 4	Media Specialist	Diana Jenkins
Team Member # 5	Teacher	Amanda Joyner
Team Member # 6	Counselor	Latonya Robertson
Team Member # 7		

### Additional Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1		
Team Member # 2		
Team Member # 3		
Team Member # 4		
Team Member # 5		
Team Member # 6		
Team Member # 7		
Team Member # 8		
Team Member # 9		
Team Member # 10		

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

	Position/Role	Name
Stakeholder # 1	PTO President/School Council	Patricia Nagy
Stakeholder # 2	School Council	Mike Peavy
Stakeholder # 3	School Council	Kathy Ivey
Stakeholder # 4	School Council	Mallory Thonhurst
Stakeholder # 5	School Council	Joseph Brown
Stakeholder # 6		
Stakeholder # 7		
Stakeholder # 8		

How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process?	Stakeholders will be sent a copy of the needs assessment and will have the opportunity to provide input to the Principal after they have reviewed the document. A draft will be posted on the school website for additional parental input as well.
--	---

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.  Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.  Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.  Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.  Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.  These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.  These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 1</b> -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

<b>Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).  Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.  Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	

<b>Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 7</b> -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.	

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>Instruction Standard 9</b> -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.	



Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Assessment Standard 1</b> -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	

<b>Assessment Standard 3</b> -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.  The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	

<b>Assessment Standard 4</b> -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Assessment Standard 5</b> -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	✓
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	

Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 3</b> -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p>	✓
<b>2. Operational</b>	The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	

<b>Leadership Standard 4</b> -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.	

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.</p>	

<b>Leadership Standard 7</b> -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 2</b> -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.</p>	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.</p>	

Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.  School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.	

Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.  These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.  In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.	



Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 6</b> -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p>	✓
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p>	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA’s data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Professional Capacity Data

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

<b>Professional Learning Standard 1</b> -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance		
1. Exemplary	Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes.	
2. Operational	Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).	✓
3. Emerging	Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	

Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning		
1. Exemplary	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses.	✓
2. Operational	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
3. Emerging	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
4. Not Evident	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).  Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.  Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.	

Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.  Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.	

Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.  Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

#### Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.</p>	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	

Family and Community Engagement Data

Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	

Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	



## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed.	✓
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>	

<b>School Culture Standard 3</b> -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.</p>	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 4</b> -Supports the personal growth and development of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.	

<b>School Culture Standard 5</b> -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.  The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.	

Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	✓
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.</p>	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA’s data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by “TFS” (too few students).

<p><b>What perception data did you use?</b> [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]</p>	<p>Various stakeholders reviewed multiple data sources to identify needs in the whole school program in May and June 2022. MAP data, PBIS SWIS data, Learning Forward SAI Survey Results, WCSD Comprehensive Needs Assessment for Teachers Classified Staff, and Parents, Attendance Data, Demographic Data, and 21-22 Georgia Milestones data was reviewed to determine possible areas in need of improvement. The data reviewed will included an analysis of strengths and weaknesses represented across available data sources.</p>
<p><b>What does the perception data tell you?</b> (perception data can describe people’s knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question “What do people think they know, believe, or can do?”)</p>	<p>Parents were surveyed at Walker Park Elementary on the WCSD Comprehensive Needs Assessment Survey. When asked about how they feel about Walker Park Elementary, parents report that they feel the school is family friendly (97%) and helps their students learn (100%), while 3% feel that teachers do not create a helpful learning environment.</p> <p>An opportunity for growth in our parental relationships was found in the parent feedback survey as well. Parents were asked what they would like to see improved at WPES. Parents reported that they would like to see more communication through gradebooks, conferences, and emails. In addition parents would like to see more face to face instruction and less online school work. WPES will continue to work to improve communication with parents and provide more face to face instruction in their student's education.</p> <p>According to the PBIS Survey, WPES teachers feel that students are recognized for making good choices and problem behaviors are systematically addressed. The survey did reveal concern with discipline consequences for student behaviors that are repetitive and pervasive throughout the school day.</p>
<p><b>What process data did you use?</b> (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)</p>	<p>The following process data includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● PBIS - SWIS</li> <li>● Professional Learning Opportunities</li> <li>● Communication</li> <li>● Professional Learning Communities</li> </ul>

<p><b>What does the process data tell you?</b> (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question “What did you do for whom?”)</p>	<p>Walker Park Elementary PLC's have been a focus and teacher survey data supports that we want to continue that deep level of professional development. Our professional learning opportunities have been customized to further the learning of all staff members. The Instructional Coach provides sight-based support following professional learning training .The following sources are used to extend communication with parents and stakeholders: Flyers, Electronic Newsletters, School Marquee, Social Media (Twitter, Facebook), Emailer (phone calls and text messages to parents). The SWIS data shows an increase in the total of state reportable ODRs from the 2020-21 school year to the 2021-22 school year. Most major and minor incidents occurred in the classroom and were coded as classroom disruptions. In addition the data showed an increase in the number of OSS days from the 2020-21 school year to the 2021-22 school year.</p>
--	--

<p><b>What achievement data did you use?</b></p>	<p>We analyze multiple growth and achievement data throughout the school year: MAP Assessment Data for K-5, GMAS scores in grades 3-5, F&amp;P Levels, and when available, student screening data.</p>
--	--

<p><b>What does your achievement data tell you?</b></p>	<p>MAP is given 3 times over the course of the school year. It was given as a baseline score in August, again in early January, and lastly in April. Our MAP benchmark data was very accurate at determining how our students would score on GMAS and proved to be a great predictor. MAP allows for ongoing adjustments - both for Tier 1 and Tier 2 classroom instruction. The Georgia Milestones Assessment (GMAS):          - - 3rd Grade Percentage Proficient: ELA 39%, Math 42%          - - 3rd Grade Lexile Distribution: Above the Stretch Band 19%, Within the Stretch Band 50%          - - 4th Grade Percentage Proficient: ELA 41%, Math 56%          - - 4th Grade Lexile Distribution: Above the Stretch Band 21%, Within the Stretch Band 41%          - - 5th Grade Percentage Proficient: ELA 41%, Math 41%          - - 5th Grade Lexile Distribution: Above the Stretch Band 36%, Within the Stretch Band 38%</p>
---	--

<p><b>What demographic data did you use?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Student Enrollment</li> <li>● Staff Enrollment</li> </ul>
--	--

<p><b>What does the demographic data tell you?</b></p>	<p>Demographic data from the 21-22 school year tells us the following: our black students are performing the lowest out of all demographic subgroups with the exception of our students with disabilities and our Students with disabilities. Over 70% of our black students are achieving at a level 1 or 2 in all content areas on GMAS. The data also suggest the Economically disadvantaged and Students with disabilities are also consistently struggling. The percentages for level 1 and level 2 performance for the students in those two subgroups was</p>
--	--

	consistently over 70%.
--	------------------------



### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p><b>Coherent Instructional:</b> Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - The implementation of a weekly collaborative planning model with fidelity assisted with ensuring teachers were implementing quality standards based instruction in their classroom daily.</li> <li>- - The implementation of an early literacy program in the early grades and promoting an incentive based reading program assisted with student literacy and we saw substantial literacy improvements as a result of our improved reading programs.</li> <li>- - Consistent grade level formative and summative assessments used to inform instruction.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Ensuring all activities have the appropriate amount of rigor needed to appropriately challenge students at all times in all content areas.</li> <li>- - Ensuring teachers are implementing quality small group instruction daily. That instruction cannot be done without having a great, in-depth understanding of the abilities/deficits of the students in the classroom and gaining that knowledge of students became a priority.</li> <li>- - Effective co-teaching is an area that many teachers and administrators indicate is a need for professional development.</li> <li>- - According to 2022 SAI results, there is a need to develop a process for soliciting input from the teachers and school leaders.</li> </ul>
--	---

<p><b>Effective Leadership:</b> Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - High expectations for teachers and continuous progress is monitored for accountability, effectiveness, and growth.</li> <li>- - Clear understanding of the overall school vision and an understanding of quality teaching and learning.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - One challenge we've had is ensuring daily lessons have an acceptable amount of rigor embedded to sincerely challenge students academically.</li> <li>- - Another expectation that has made a difference is to require teachers have small group instruction embedded in their plans on a daily basis.</li> </ul>
---	--

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<p><b>Professional Capacity:</b> Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Consistent implementation of meaningful collaborative planning as well professional development focusing on language within content standards in order to interpret and define lesson needs.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - 21-22 SAI Results indicate that teachers would like to be able to observe their peers.</li> <li>- - Teachers and leaders need support with identification of subgroup concerns and ensuring effective PL to assist with strategies.</li> <li>- - 21-22 SAI Results identified that teachers see the need to evaluate each professional learning experience to determine its value and impact on student learning.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Family and Community Engagement:</b> Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Data from the most recent surveys indicate that teachers, parents, and students feel safe at WPES.</li> <li>- - Development of family event nights such as curriculum night were successful. Over 300 parents/family members were present for a spaghetti supper and a literacy discussion. The staff would like to expand on this idea in future years.</li> <li>- - Ongoing parent communication was a major priority as this had been a complaint from the years prior.</li> <li>- - Building an active PTO and School Council has been a focus.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Parent participation continues to be a focus although, overall feedback on the additions has been very positive.</li> <li>- - Parent surveys indicate the need to improve school wide communication, specifically with consistency among grade levels, as well as timing and frequency of communication.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Supportive Learning Environment:</b> Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Walker Park is making strides towards being a school that effectively plans for instruction, collects data from formative and summative assessments, utilizes the data to inform instruction, and monitors students' progress towards mastery of content standards.</li> <li>- - Consistent procedures to identify and provide services for students in special education, gifted, 504, ESOL, and MTSS.</li> <li>- - PBIS is implemented with fidelity schoolwide.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - With the intensity that has been placed on literacy and math strategies, the need for strategies to support the social-emotional needs has become a concern.</li> <li>- - Additional intervention supports are needed for students who do not qualify for Tier IV.</li> <li>- - The 2021 SAI Results indicated that staff would like to be more responsible</li> </ul>

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

	for selecting professional learning options to improve student learning. Staff also indicated that having the option to visit other classrooms for their own professional growth is needed.
--	---

<b>Demographic and Financial:</b> Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Walker Park staff has properly identified the lower performing sub groups.</li> <li>- - Improvements were made in the area of i</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Addressing the academic needs of our lower performing sub groups, specifically special education (11%) and economically disadvantaged (49%) students.</li> </ul>
--	--

<b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	<p><b>Strengths:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - We have noticed an increase in all subject areas of content mastery, however, the school scores are still below the district and state averages in all tested subject areas.</li> <li>- - Our overall proficiency rate on Milestones in ELA and Math increased from 2021 to 2022.</li> <li>- - Our school conditional growth percentiles on the Math MAP in kindergarten, 1st, 4th and 5th were above the the 90th percentile.</li> <li>- - Our school conditional growth percentiles on the Reading MAP was higher than the 50% in all grade levels, except 2nd grade.</li> </ul> <p><b>Challenges:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Our students have shown growth in ELA and Math on the NWEA MAP Assessments; however, grades 3, 4, and 5 have a gap in the achievement percentiles.</li> <li>- - Literacy percentages have been lower than district and state expectations. In previous years, this challenge affected the student achievement outcomes in other content areas.</li> <li>- - Our school conditional growth percentiles on Math MAP in 2nd and 3rd grade are below the 50th percentile.</li> <li>- - Scores on the Reading MAP in 2nd grade are well below the expected levels of conditional growth.</li> </ul>
--	--

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<b>Strengths</b>	<p>At Walker Park, individual student needs are being identified and addressed for special education students, economically disadvantaged children, and English Learners.</p> <p><b>Special Education:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - SWD students showed exceptional growth in ELA on the MAP Assessments.</li> </ul> <p><b>Economically Disadvantaged:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - ED students met the 6% improvement target in the area of ELA (scored 58.2%, target 51.9%).</li> </ul>
------------------	---

<b>Challenges</b>	<p>At Walker Park, there must be a continuous awareness to the specific needs of EVERY student regardless of label. There is a focus on identified programs and subgroups, but there is a need to also focus on the students that are not in an identified group.</p> <p><b>Special Education:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - Academic Data: SWD students demonstrated growth on the NWEA MAP Assessment; however, the majority</li> </ul> <p><b>Economically Disadvantaged:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- - ED students met the 6% improvement target in the area of math (scored 62.32%, target 52.12%).</li> </ul>
-------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Overarching Need # 1

Overarching Need	An emphasis on the analysis of student assessment results and learning strategies is needed to impact student growth and achievement in the areas of literacy and writing.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	No Change
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

##### Overarching Need # 2

Overarching Need	Lack of social emotional skills and behavioral expectations
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	Better
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	2

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

##### Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need	An emphasis on student engagement and student attendance for students is needed to impact student growth and achievement.
How severe is the need?	High
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	No Change
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes
Priority Order	3

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

**Overarching Need - An emphasis on the analysis of student assessment results and learning strategies is needed to impact student growth and achievement in the areas of literacy and writing.**

**Root Cause # 1**

Root Causes to be Addressed	School staff need to be introduced to the science of reading.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Root Cause # 2**

Root Causes to be Addressed	Inconsistent with quality professional learning addressing analyzing, interpreting, and using data to adjust for different learners.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Root Cause # 2

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

Root Cause # 3

Root Causes to be Addressed	Grade level curriculum maps and frameworks have not been used consistently to align curriculum, skills, and standards.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - Lack of social emotional skills and behavioral expectations**

Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	No access to systematic supports in order to provide social-emotional competency learning opportunities for students.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--



## Root Cause # 2

Root Causes to be Addressed	Lack of knowledge and understanding of the importance of addressing social-emotional competencies and teaching practices to promote it.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	IDEA - Special Education School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need - An emphasis on student engagement and student attendance for students is needed to impact student growth and achievement.**

## Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Student and family needs within the community to understand the importance of school attendance and work habits.
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	Yes
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	School and District Effectiveness Title I - Part A - Improving Academic Achievement of Disadvantaged Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--



# School Improvement Plan 2022 - 2023



## Walton County Walker Park Elementary School

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

District	Walton County
School Name	Walker Park Elementary School
Team Lead	Dr. Alicia Burford- Principal
Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply)	Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately)

Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Free/Reduced meal application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (if selected, please describe below)

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

### 2.1 Overarching Need # 1

#### Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	An emphasis on the analysis of student assessment results and learning strategies is needed to impact student growth and achievement in the areas of literacy and writing.
Root Cause # 1	Grade level curriculum maps and frameworks have not been used consistently to align curriculum, skills, and standards.
Root Cause # 2	Inconsistent with quality professional learning addressing analyzing, interpreting, and using data to adjust for different learners.
Root Cause # 3	School staff need to be introduced to the science of reading.
Goal	Staff at Walker Park Elementary School will participate in highly effective Professional Learning Communities and Professional Development designed to address student growth and achievement in all areas of literacy.

#### Action Step # 1

Action Step	Administer the Fountas and Pinnell (F&P) reading Benchmark Assessment and the MAP Assessment to all students in grades kindergarten through fifth grade to determine their reading level.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Teacher Data Forms will be turned in to administration three times a year. The Administration and Instructional Coach will monitor systematic collaborative processes to analyze formative assessments and adjust instruction.
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Instructional Coach, Teachers
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

Action Step # 1

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	N/A
--	-----

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Teachers will increase the feedback given to students for writing across all areas.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Teacher Data Forms will be turned in to administration three times a year. The Administration and Instructional Coach will monitor systematic collaborative processes to analyze formative assessments and adjust instruction.
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Instructional Coach and Assistant Principal
Timeline for Implementation	Monthly

Action Step # 2

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 3

Action Step	Implement the Leveled Literacy Intervention system for students who are reading below grade level as identified on the F&P Benchmark assessment.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Running record data will be collected weekly. Any student who demonstrates mastery through three consistent running records will no longer need the intervention support and will move to a group that fits their needs.
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Administration and Instructional Coach
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

Action Step # 3

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 4

Action Step	Provide professional learning for staff on ways to engage students more effectively and at their own level of readiness, maximizing the potential of every student.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title III, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Sign in sheets from data meetings; lesson plans; walkthrough data
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Administration and Instructional Coach
Timeline for Implementation	Weekly

Action Step # 4

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

Action Step # 5

Action Step	Provide resources and support for parents about ways to engage their children more effectively at their own level of reading readiness.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title III, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Monthly Newsletters, Sign-in Sheets, Scanned Information
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of student growth
Position/Role Responsible	Instructional Coach, SSA Coordinator
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly



Action Step # 5

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	N/A
--	-----

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

### 2.2 Overarching Need # 2

#### Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	Lack of social emotional skills and behavioral expectations
Root Cause # 1	Lack of knowledge and understanding of the importance of addressing social-emotional competencies and teaching practices to promote it.
Root Cause # 2	No access to systematic supports in order to provide social-emotional competency learning opportunities for students.
Goal	Walker Park Elementary will better support the whole child by creating a safe and supportive learning environment where students are actively engaged in their learning.

#### Action Step # 1

Action Step	Provide professional development training and resources to teachers and staff for social-emotional learning and how it impacts academic achievement.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title IV, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Evidence of job embedded analysis of Morning Meetings, SWIS Data, Behavioral Data
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of Student Growth, Student Attendance Data
Position/Role Responsible	Counselor, Administration, Instructional Coach
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

Action Step # 1

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	N/A
--	-----

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Provide training and resources for families on social-emotional learning and how it impacts academic achievement.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title IV, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities N/A
Systems	Coherent Instruction Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	Sign In Sheets, Parent Nights, Newsletters
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	Evidence of Student Growth, Student Attendance Data
Position/Role Responsible	Counselor, Administration, Instructional Coach
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

Action Step # 2

<p>What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?</p>	
---	--

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.3 Overarching Need # 3

## Overarching Need

Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2	An emphasis on student engagement and student attendance for students is needed to impact student growth and achievement.
Root Cause # 1	Student and family needs within the community to understand the importance of school attendance and work habits.
Goal	Walker Park Elementary will implement an effective PBIS Schoolwide System and create an Attendance Reward System for students.

## Action Step # 1

Action Step	Train school staff on Tier II PBIS practices.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title IV, Part A IDEA
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Homeless English Learners Race / Ethnicity / Minority Student with Disabilities
Systems	Effective Leadership Professional Capacity Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	A decreased percentage of Students missing less than 10 days in from 21-22 to 22-23 school years
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	A decreased percentage of Students missing less than 10 days in from 21-22 to 22-23 school years
Position/Role Responsible	Administration, Counselor, SSA, Attendance Team
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

Action Step # 1

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	N/A
--	-----

Action Step # 2

Action Step	Work with SSA and the WPES attendance team to provide attendance incentives for students.
Funding Sources	Title I, Part A Title II, Part A Title IV, Part A
Subgroups	Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Student with Disabilities
Systems	Family and Community Engagement Supportive Learning Environment
Success Criteria for Implementation	A decreased percentage of Students missing less than 10 days in from 21-22 to 22-23 school years
Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement	A decreased percentage of Students missing less than 10 days in from 21-22 to 22-23 school years
Position/Role Responsible	Administration, Counselor, SSA, Attendance Team
Timeline for Implementation	Quarterly

What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)?	
--	--

### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

Required Questions

<p>1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.</p>	<p>NA</p>

### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

Required Questions

<p>5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.</p>	<p>NA</p>
---	-----------

<p>6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; andIncreased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.</p>	<p>NA</p>
--	-----------

<p>7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.</p>	<p>NA</p>
---	-----------

#### ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

<p>8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan.</p>	
--	--