Ector County Independent School District Barbara Jordan Elementary 2023-2024 Board Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



Table of Contents

Board Goals	3
Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state	3
assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.	
Board Goal 2: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase	se 11
from 35% to 45% by May 2024.	
Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military	17
Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.	

Board Goals

Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students in grade K-5 achieving or exceeding their MATH RIT goal will increase from 57% to 60%.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: BOY, MOY and EOY MAP Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2022 - 2023 EOY MAP scores to determine students gaps and create intervention plans in		Formative		Summative
order to close student learning gaps. Teachers will use individual student data trackers throughout the BOY, MOY, and OY MAP Math cycles to show student growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will close gaps and improve their math scores on MAP and STAAR.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will use MAP, SCA, and STAAR interims data for lesson planning, development of reteach models,	Formative			Summative
small group intervention, tutoring plans during PLC meetings with Instructional Coach and Campus Administration. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of quality Tier 1 instruction and intentional and data-focused reteaching and lesson delivery.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Lack of quality and rigorous Tier 1 instruction resulted in low student achievement in all subject areas grades K-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of lesson planning, lesson internalizing and incorporation of engaging and rigorous lessons and content knowledge to enhance student achievement.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 1: Lack of quality and rigorous Tier 1 instruction resulted in low student achievement in all subject areas grades K-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of lesson planning, lesson internalizing and incorporation of engaging and rigorous lessons and content knowledge to enhance student achievement.

Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 2: The percentage of students K-5 achieving or exceeding their READING RIT goal will increase from 47% to 60%

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: BOY, MOY and EOY MAP Data

Strategy 1 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 1: All students will be provided high yield one-on-one FEV daily tutoring to assist with comprehension, fluency		Formative		Summative
and closing individualized gaps. 45 minutes have been incorporated in the master schedule to ensure consistent implementation.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: High Yield One on One tutoring will show growth in Reading skills and comprehension.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach and Teachers				
Title I: 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Small group intervention groups (grouped by high, medium and low) will be pulled out three times a week by high quality tutors and aides to assist students with individual learning gaps, reading skills and fluency. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show an increase on SCAs and MAP and improve individual skills. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers, Tutors and Aides	y Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 3: By May of 2024, 50% of students will perform at the Meets level in 3rd-5th Math STAAR.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%, 3rd Grade Composite (reading and math) - % of 3rd grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in both reading and math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: 35%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR and MAP.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use MAP, SCA, and STAAR interims data for lesson planning, development of reteach models,		Formative		Summative
small group intervention, tutoring plans during PLC meetings with Instructional Coach and Campus Administration.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of quality Tier 1 instruction and intentional and data-focused reteaching and lesson delivery.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective				
Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Classroom objectives, rigor and questioning in the classroom will be monitored to ensure fidelity of lesson		Formative		Summative	
planning and the DDI process. Administrators will conduct 5 walkthroughs a week to document progress in Eduphoria, Whetstone and TTESS Evaluations. Face-to-face feedback will be provided to assist with coaching and improvement.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during Walk throughs and Observations					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: Jordan will hire certified tutors to work with grade levels 3-5 in reading and math, 3 times per week.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 50% of students will move from approaches to meets on STAAR.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, teachers and Instructional Coach	Oct	Jan	Iviai	Wiay	
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 3 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2					
Funding Sources: Two certified tutors - Title One Instructional Continuity - \$27,000					
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	<u> </u>		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Only 40% of students are at grade level in reading and math in grades 3-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality intervention to better close learning gaps in 3rd - 5th grades.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 2: Only 40% of students are at grade level in reading and math in grades 3-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality intervention to better close learning gaps in 3rd - 5th grades.

Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 4: By May of 2024, 50% of students will perform at the Meets level in 3rd-5th Reading STAAR.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%, 3rd Grade Composite (reading and math) - % of 3rd grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in both reading and math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: 35%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR and MAP.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use MAP, SCA, and STAAR interims data for lesson planning, development of reteach models,		Formative		Summative
small group intervention, tutoring plans during PLC meetings with Instructional Coach and Campus Administration.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of quality Tier 1 instruction and intentional and data-focused reteaching and lesson delivery.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments,				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	-1
Strategy 2: All students will be provided high yield one-on-one FEV daily tutoring to assist with comprehension, fluency	Formative			Summative
and closing individualized gaps. 45 minutes have been incorporated in the master schedule to ensure consistent implementation.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: High Yield One on One tutoring will show growth in Reading skills and comprehension.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach and Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Puild a foundation of reading and math				
Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: All classroom will have their technology updated, moving from Smartboards to Prometheans.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teacher/student engagement and improvement in Tier 1 instruction.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach and Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Technology 1				
Funding Sources: - Title One School- Improvement				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Jordan will hire certified tutors to work with grade levels 3-5 in reading and math, 3 times per week.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 50% of students will move from approaches to meets on STAAR.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, teachers and Instructional Coach	000	oun oun	17141	iving
Title I:				
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 3 - Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 2				
Funding Sources: Two certified tutors - Title One Instructional Continuity - \$27,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Only 40% of students are at grade level in reading and math in grades 3-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality intervention to better close learning gaps in 3rd - 5th grades.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 2: Only 40% of students are at grade level in reading and math in grades 3-5. **Root Cause**: Lack of quality intervention to better close learning gaps in 3rd - 5th grades.

Technology

Problem Statement 1: Lack of high quality technology in all classrooms. Root Cause: Due to class size and portable use, some classrooms are without high quality technology.

Performance Objective 1: 60% of 3rd grade students will show growth on their Reading MAP.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, SCA, Walkthrough Data, Intervention Groups

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Classroom objectives, rigor and questioning in the classroom will be monitored to ensure fidelity of lesson		Formative		Summative
planning and the DDI process. Administrators will conduct 5 walkthroughs a week to document progress in Eduphoria, Whetstone and TTESS Evaluations. Face-to-face feedback will be provided to assist with coaching and improvement.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during Walk throughs and Observations				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will use MAP, SCA, and STAAR interims data for lesson planning, development of reteach models,		Formative		Summative
small group intervention, tutoring plans during PLC meetings with Instructional Coach and Campus Administration. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of quality Tier 1 instruction and intentional and data-focused	Oct	Jan	Mar	May

reteaching and lesson delivery. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach			
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective			
Instruction			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discontinu	ıe	

Performance Objective 2: 55% of 3rd grade students will perform at the Meets level on their reading STAAR assessment.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%, 3rd Grade Composite (reading and math) - % of 3rd grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in both reading and math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: 35%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, Benchmark, SCA, MAP

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Classroom objectives, rigor and questioning in the classroom will be monitored to ensure fidelity of lesson		Formative		Summative
planning and the DDI process. Administrators will conduct 5 walkthroughs a week to document progress in Eduphoria, Whetstone and TTESS Evaluations. Face-to-face feedback will be provided to assist with coaching and improvement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality Tier 1 Instruction evident in classrooms during Walk throughs and Observations	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instructional Coach Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will use MAP, SCA, and STAAR interims data for lesson planning, development of reteach models,		Formative		Summative
small group intervention, tutoring plans during PLC meetings with Instructional Coach and Campus Administration. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement of quality Tier 1 instruction and intentional and data-focused	Oct	Jan	Mar	May

reteaching and lesson delivery. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration and Instru	uctional Coach			
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Mater Instruction	_	octive		
No Progress (100%) Accord	mplished — Continue/M	Modify X Discor	ntinue	

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of Kindergarten- 2nd grade students reading on grade level will increase from 55% to 60%.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Kindergarten Readiness - % of students meeting kindergarten readiness benchmark - 2024 Goal: 65%

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP Scores

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Small group intervention groups (grouped by high, medium and low) will be pulled out three times a week by	Formative			Summative
high quality tutors and flex aides to assist students with individual learning gaps, reading skills and fluency.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show an increase on SCAs and MAP and improve individual skills.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Students who are struggling will participate in intervention weekly via teachers, day tutors, and FEV Tutor in	Formative			Summative
order to reach grade level potential.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student academic readiness will improve, and students will enter first grade on grade level.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/ Admin				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Performance Objective 4: 55% of K-2 students will show growth on their READING MAP ASSESSMENT.

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP scores

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Small group intervention groups (grouped by high, medium and low) will be pulled out three times a week by high quality tutors and flex aides to assist students with individual learning gaps, reading skills and fluency. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show an increase on SCAs and MAP and improve individual skills.	Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administration, Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Build a foundation of reading and matir				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Students who are struggling will participate in intervention weekly via teachers, day tutors, and FEV Tutor in	Formative		Summative	
order to reach grade level potential.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student academic readiness will improve, and students will enter first grade on grade level.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers/ Admin				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		_ I

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 1: 100% of 3rd-5th grade students will utilize AVID planners to promote college readiness.

Indicators of Success:

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one accountability indicator by the fall of their senior year - 2024 Goal: 27%, Postsecondary enrollment - % of graduates who complete a technical, two-year, four-year certificate or degree program or four years of service in the military within six years of their high school graduation date - 65%, School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs by Admin and Leadership to verify use.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will receive training and expectations for AVID binder set up and organization.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walkthroughs will move to showing more students engaged and organized. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal and Teachers	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: The administration will conduct weekly walkthroughs to audit consistent binder usage.	Formative Sum			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will demonstrate mastery of AVID binder organizational skills and strategies.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal and Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 2: School connectedness Panorama data will increase from 64% to 75%.

Indicators of Success:

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one accountability indicator by the fall of their senior year - 2024 Goal: 27%, Postsecondary enrollment - % of graduates who complete a technical, two-year, four-year certificate or degree program or four years of service in the military within six years of their high school graduation date - 65%, School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama Fall and Spring Results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: School counselor and teachers will implement daily SEL lessons (7 Mindsets) every morning from 7:30-8:00 am to increase school connectedness, culture, attendance and overall student engagement.		Summative		
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in school connectiveness.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Assistant Principal				
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Jordan will conduct three content nights to include Science, Reading and Math.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase parent engagement with campus and close the engage gaps with parents and school.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, and Administration				
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 2				
Funding Sources: Supplies for content nights - Title One School-wide - \$2,000				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<u> </u>	

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 2: School connectiveness dropped from 74% to 64%. **Root Cause**: High rate of change over of principals over the last 3 years.

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 3: Student daily attendance will increase from 93% to 95%.

Indicators of Success:

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily Attendance: SchoolStatus Daily Reports, District Attendance Awards

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Truancy Meetings will be held every two weeks with parents/guardians of students with chronic truancies.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance and overall student growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Teachers	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.6, 4.1 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Attendance Awards and Celebrations will be done every nine weeks to promote and celebrate attendance.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance and overall student growth. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1