Matt Washburn



**Folsom Cordova Unified School District** 

# 2024 School Facility Needs Analysis



Prepared by

Folsom Cordova Unified School District
Facilities and Planning Department

916.294.9010 • Fax 916.294.2471

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
I. Introduction	2
II. Background of Developer Fee Legislation	3
III. Level II Developer Fee Justification	5
Requirement I: School Facilities Program Application	5
Requirement II: Year Round Schools, General Obligation Bonds, Debt Incurred and	
Relocatable Classrooms	5
Requirement III: Needs Analysis	5
IV. Level II Developer Fee Calculation	7
Student Generation Rate Study	7
New Residential Units	8
Students Generated from Projected New Development	9
State School Facility Program Grant Calculations	9
Site Acreage Required	10
Site Acquisition Costs	11
Site Development Costs	11
Fee Calculations	13
V. Conclusion and Recommendation	14
Sources	15
Appendix A: Residential Five-Year Build-Out Projection	16
· ·	
Appendix B: SAB 50-02, Baseline Facility Capacity	20
Appendix D: Level II Fee Calculations	23 25
ADDERGIX D'ELEVELLI FEE CAICHIANONS	/ )

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- Education Code Section 17620 authorizes school districts to levy a fee, charge, dedication or
  other form of requirement against any development project for the construction or
  reconstruction of school facilities provided that the district can show justification for levying
  of fees.
- Government Code 65995 limits the fee to be collected to the statutory fee unless a school district conducts a School Facility Needs Analysis (Government Code 65995.6) and meets certain conditions.
- The adjusted baseline capacity in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District based on Education Code 17071, is 23,388 TK-12 students.
- Each new single-family residential unit to be constructed in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District will average 2,425 square feet and will generate an average of 0.67 TK-12 grade students to house. Each new single-family attached home will average 1,500 square feet and will generate 0.37 TK-12 grade students to house. Each new multi-family home will average 1,052 square feet and will generate 0.34 TK-12 grade students to house.
- Over the next five years, it is estimated that 3,739 single-family residential units; 804 single-family attached residential units; and 3,061 multi-family units will be constructed. This totals 7,604 new residential units.
- The proposed developer fee rates are calculated on a School Facilities Improvement District (SFID) basis. SFID 1 represents the City of Rancho Cordova, Mather, and the unincorporated area of Sacramento County south of Highway 50 and generally west of Prairie City Road. SFID 2 represents the City of Folsom and the area south of Highway 50 and generally east of Prairie City Road.
- The use of the two SFIDs for fee calculation allows for a more accurate representation of the unique issues associated with the geographic features (such as naturally occurring asbestos) and the realty markets within the district boundaries.
- Based on calculations outlined in Government Code 65995.5, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District is justified in collecting Level II developer fees in the amount of \$8.04 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1 and \$9.66 per square foot of new residential construction in the SFID 2.
- Based on calculations outlined in Government Code 65995.7, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District is justified in collecting Level III developer fees in the amount of \$16.08 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1 and \$19.32 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 2.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

California school districts have the ability to assess development impact fees on new residential and commercial/industrial development, as long as certain requirements are met. There are three levels of residential development impact fees and one level of commercial/industrial impact fees. The following is an overview of development impact fee levels:

- Level I fees are the current statutory fees allowed under Education Code 17620. This code section provides the basic authority for school districts to levy a fee against residential and commercial construction for the purpose of funding school construction or reconstruction of facilities. The Board of Education approved Level 1 fees for SFID 1 and SFID 2 at the April 28, 2022 Board of Education meeting. The Level I fee is adjusted every two years.
- Level II fees are outlined in Government Code section 65995.5. This code section allows a school district to impose a higher fee on residential construction if certain conditions are met. Level II fees were approved by the Board of Education at the May 18, 2023, Board of Education meeting, and must be justified on a yearly basis.
- Level III fees are outlined in Government Code section 65995.7. If State funding becomes unavailable, this code section authorizes a school district that has been approved to collect Level II fees to collect a higher fee equal to twice the amount of Level II fees. However, if a district eventually receives State funding, this excess fee may be reimbursed to the developers or subtracted from the amount of state funding.

This School Facility Needs Analysis (SFNA) updates enrollment and estimated build-out totals from last year's SFNA. The January 2024 State Allocation Board (SAB) construction grant increases were also used in the justification of the Level II fees. Each year the SAB adjusts the School Facility Program grants based on the statewide cost index for Class B construction using the RS Means index. The SAB construction cost index January 2024 decrease was 1.33%.

The SFNA will establish the continued need for new school facilities for unhoused students which are attributable to new residential development within the Folsom Cordova Unified School District. In doing so, the Study will show that the Level I statutory fees are inadequate to meet the needs of the District for school facilities; that the District is eligible to collect a fee in excess of the statutory fee; and that the District meets the requirements to collect Level II fees.

#### II. BACKGROUND OF DEVELOPER FEE LEGISLATION

SB 50 (Chapter 407/Statutes 1998) was an urgency measure and became effective on August 27, 1998. This bill instituted a new school facility program by which school districts can apply for state construction and modernization funds. It imposed limitations on the power of cities and counties to require mitigation of school facilities impacts as a condition of approving new development and provided the authority for school districts to levy fees at three different levels.

#### III. LEVEL II DEVELOPER FEE JUSTIFICATION

This section of the study will demonstrate that the Folsom Cordova Unified School District meets the necessary requirements outlined below and is eligible to collect development impact fees in excess of the statutory fee for the construction and reconstruction of school facilities.

### Requirement I: School Facilities Program Application

To be eligible to collect Level II developer fees the Folsom Cordova Unified School District must have made a "timely application to the State School Facilities Program for new construction funding for which it is eligible...." The Folsom Cordova Unified School District has filed applications for new construction and modernization funding with the Office of Public-School Construction. The District has over \$25 million in applications on file with the State pending a future state bond, until a bond is passed by the voters of California these projects will remain on the "Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List" awaiting application review and approval.

### Requirement II: Year Round Schools, General Obligation Bonds, Debt Incurred and Relocatable Classrooms

After January 1, 2023, a district must satisfy two of the four requirements listed below:

- Have a substantial percentage of students on multi-track year-round scheduling.
- Have assumed debt equal to 30% of the district's bonding capacity (percentage is based on revenue sources for repayment).
- Have at least 20% of the district's teaching stations housed in relocatable classrooms.
- Have placed a local bond on the ballot in the past four years which received at least 50% plus one of the votes cast.

At least 20% of classrooms in the District are relocatable; there are currently 840 classrooms in the District, of which 197 are relocatable classrooms, or 23%. The District has assumed debt greater than 30% of the district's bonding capacity. These items fulfill the requirements as stated by Government Code Section 65995.6(b).

### Requirement III: Needs Analysis

Government Code Section 65995.6 requires that before fees in excess of the statutory fee can be levied a district must conduct a facility needs analysis. This analysis must demonstrate that the need

for new school facilities for unhoused pupils is attributable to projected enrollment growth from the construction of new residential units over the next five years.

"The needs analysis shall project the number of unhoused elementary, middle, and high school pupils generated by new residential units, in each category of pupils enrolled in the district. The projection of unhoused pupils shall be based on the historical student generation rates of new residential units constructed during the previous five years that are of a similar type of units to those anticipated to be constructed...."

Clarifications of issues that factor into the calculation of the fee are listed below:

- Site acquisition costs are limited to 50% of the cost of the site.
- Local funds are all funds dedicated by the local Board of Education to provide facilities necessitated by new construction, including commercial/industrial fees. Currently the District has no actual "dedicated" local funds for new facilities. The developer fee revenue stream in the District is being used to provide gap funding for current projects. Commercial/industrial developer fees are earmarked for interim housing costs.
- Projected square footage is determined based upon information provided by city/county and developers where the residential units are expected to be constructed.
- The regular grant amount is a per unhoused pupil grant that excludes the cost of interim housing, central district administration, and other site-specific facilities. Therefore, state funding will be less than the amount required to provide adequate facilities. The term "50% fee" is a misnomer since the formula used to calculate the Level II fees does not accurately reflect actual facilities costs. The fee does not reflect interim housing costs, nor does it address the actual costs of construction during times when labor and material costs are driven higher.
- Level II fees may be used to fund facilities to accommodate students generated by new construction.

The Facility Needs Analysis also requires the District to evaluate the following:

• Identify and consider any surplus property owned by the District that can be used as a school site or that is available for sale to finance school facilities.

The District has no surplus property.

• Identify and consider the extent to which projected enrollment growth may be accommodated by the excess capacity in the existing facilities.

The SB 50 baseline capacity of the District was established and approved by the State Allocation Board in 1998. Adjustments have been made to the baseline capacity for the special day class regulation change and the addition of new classrooms built and funded with state school building funds since 1998. The District was allowed to discount relocatable/portable classrooms exceeding a set calculation in SB 50. The baseline capacity includes one closed site, Cordova Lane Elementary. The approved SAB 50-02 Capacity Analyses for both high school attendance areas are attached as Appendix B and summarized in the following table.

Table 1
Existing Capacity

Grade Level	1998 Baseline Capacity	Adjustment for SDC Regulation Change	Pupils Apportioned by SAB to Date*	Adjusted Capacity
SFID 1: Pupils appe	ortioned are for the follow	ving project: Navigator Eleme	entary School	
TK-5	4,575	(200)	600	4,975
6-8	2,214	(162)	0	2,052
9-12	2,862	(216)	0	2,646
SDC	0	286	13	299
RC Total	9,651	( 292)	613	9,972

SFID 2: Pupils apportioned are for the following projects: Empire Oaks Elementary School, Mangini Ranch Elementary School. Sandra Gallardo Elementary School, Folsom High School Phase 2, Folsom High School Building N, Folsom High School Theater, Russell Ranch Elementary School, Vista del Lago High School, Carl Sundahl relocatable replacements and Oak Chan Elementary School relocatable replacements, and Sutter Middle School relocatable replacements.

District Total	15,985	(353)	7,756	23,388
Folsom Total	6,334	(61)	7,143	13,416
SDC	0	91	188	279
9-12	1,998	27	2,914	4,939
6-8	1,161	(54)	405	1,512
TK-5	3,175	(125)	3,636	6,686
reioculable replacemen	из.			

<sup>\*</sup> State Classroom Loading Summary is based on SAB 50-02 Classroom Analysis.

Senate Bill 50 requires enrollment projections be calculated on the Enrollment Certification/Projection Form SAB 50-01. Projections shall be calculated pursuant to the cohort survival enrollment projection system described in Section 1859.40-1859.53 of Article 5 of the regulations. Based on the SAB 50-01 five-year enrollment projection, the enrollment of the Folsom Cordova Unified School District will reach 32,697 TK-12 students by the start of the 2028/29 school year. The enrollment projection, Form SAB 50-01, is included in Appendix C.

The current School Facility Program capacity is 23,388 TK-12 students and the SAB 50-01(attached) projects 32,697 students by the 2028/29 school year, leaving 9,309 pupils unhoused.

Cordova Lane Elementary School closed at the end of the 2009/10 school year and is being used by the District for district support services and preschool services. The District has considered the use of the Cordova Lane Center, but the site is unsuitable because it is not located in close proximity to any proposed residential development.

Identify and consider local sources other than fees, charges, dedications, or other
requirements imposed on residential construction available to finance the construction or
reconstruction of school facilities needed to accommodate any growth in enrollment
attributable to the construction of new residential units.

Measure G was passed by the voters of SFID 5 in November 2014 for \$195 million for repairs of existing school sites located in SFID 5 (City of Folsom north of Highway 50).

Measure N was passed by the voters of SFID 4 in November 2006 for \$125 million for existing Rancho Cordova school repairs. The District passed a \$68 million bond (Measure P) in November 2012 for SFID 4 to access funds that were not available under Measure N due to the plummet in assessed property values.

Voters in SFID 3 passed a \$750 million bond (Measure M) in March 2007 for future growth in the area south of Highway 50 and east of Sunrise Boulevard. Bonds from this Measure M will be sold as development occurs and the need arises.

The monies from these bonds are being used to provide an alternate source of funds to mitigate the late arrival of state funds, offset state funding shortfalls, and finance facilities needs that are not funded by state funds or developer fees, and to provide funding for modernization, additions, technology, and student support services. Therefore, local bond funds are not considered by the District to be available to supplement the developer fee.

#### IV. LEVEL II DEVELOPER FEE CALCULATION

Senate Bill 50 outlines the methodology for the calculation of Level II fees in Section 65995.5(c) as follows:

- The number of unhoused pupils identified in the school facilities needs analysis shall be multiplied by the appropriate grant amounts provided in subdivision (a) of Education Code Section 17072. This sum shall be added to the site acquisition and development cost determined pursuant to subdivision (h).
- The full amount of local funds the governing board has dedicated to facilities necessitated by new construction shall be subtracted from the amount determined pursuant to paragraph (1).
- The resulting amount "...shall be divided by the projected total square footage of assessable space of residential units anticipated to be constructed during the next five-year period in the school district..."
- "Site acquisition costs shall not exceed half the amount determined by multiplying the land acreage determined to be necessary under the guidelines of the State Department of Education..."

To determine the District's Level II fees staff prepared the following calculations. These calculations are outlined below and are attached as Appendix E.

#### Student Generation Rate Study

As part of the preparation for this SFNA, a student generation rate study was conducted. The student generation study included a survey of single-family, single-family attached and multi-family residential units built in the last five years. The generation rate calculations for residences built in the last five years are shown in Appendix D and summarized below:

Table 2
Student Yield Generation Rates

	Single-family	Single-family	Multi-family
Grade Level	(SF)	Attached (SFA)	$(\mathbf{MF})$
TK-5	0.35	0.23	0.18
6-8	0.14	0.05	0.07
9-12	0.16	0.07	0.07
SDC	0.02	0.02	0.02
Total	*0.67	0.37	0.34

<sup>\*</sup>The student yield increase is largely due to the creation of a new grade level, Transitional Kindergarten (TK).

#### New Residential Units

The County of Sacramento Community Development Department, City of Rancho Cordova Planning Department and City of Folsom Community Development Department were contacted to provide information regarding current and future development projects. Table 3 is a summary of the development projects listed in Appendix A.

Table 3
Projected Residential Development

Development Type	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	Total
Single-family	839	801	796	681	622	3,739
Single-family Attached	132	208	119	170	175	804
Multi-family	1,097	814	185	340	625	3,061
<b>Total Units</b>	2,068	1,823	1,100	1,191	1,422	7,604

### Students Generated from Projected New Development

The number of students generated from new development in the next five years is determined by multiplying the five-year projected number of residential units by the yield generation factor at the appropriate grade levels. The future unhoused pupil calculation is provided for each type of housing in Tables 4, 5 and 6. Table 7 lists the total number of proposed students generated from future residential development in the next five years.

Table 4
Future Unhoused Students from Single-family Units

	Yield Factor		Students
Grade Level	Single-family	<b>Proposed Units</b>	Generated
TK-5	0.35		1,309
6-8	0.14	2.720	523
9-12	0.16	3,739	598
SDC	0.02		75
Total	0.67		2,505

Table 5
Future Unhoused Students from Single-family Attached Units

	Yield Factor	-	Students
Grade Level	Single-family Attached	Proposed Units	Generated
TK-5	0.23		185
6-8	0.05	904	40
9-12	0.07	804	56
SDC	0.02		16
Total	0.37		297

Table 6
Future Unhoused Students from Multi-Family Units

	Yield Factor	•	Students
Grade Level	<b>Multi-family</b>	<b>Proposed Units</b>	Generated
TK-5	0.18		551
6-8	0.07	3,061	214
9-12	0.07	5,001	214
SDC	0.02		61
Total	0.34		1,040

Table 7
Total Number of Students Generated from New Development

104	Total Number of Students Generated from New Development				
	Students From	Students From	Students From	<b>Total Students</b>	
Grade Level	SF Units	SFA Units	MF Units	Generated	
TK-5	1,309	185	551	2,045	
6-8	523	40	214	777	
9-12	598	56	214	868	
SDC	75	16	61	152	
Total	2,505	297	1,040	3,842	

### State School Facility Program Grant Calculations

Under the State School Facilities Program, the state will provide "grants" to a school district for 50% of the cost to house a student who is unhoused due to enrollment increases. The grant amounts are the State's estimated 50% share of the cost of housing one student. The District is responsible for matching these state funds with district or local money. For example, a state K-6 grant of \$11,775 would pay for 50% of the cost to house one student; the district is required match the grant for a total of \$23,550. For the Level II fee calculations, the grant amounts are multiplied by the total number of pupils generated from new development over the next five years. Table 8 illustrates this calculation.

Table 8
State School Facility Program Grant Calculations

	State School Lacinty 110gram Grant Saleanations			
	State Per Pupil	Pupils Generated from SF,	Total	
Grade Level	<b>Grant Amount</b>	SFA & MF Units	State Grant	
TK-5	\$ 16,053	2,045	\$32,828,385	
6-8	17,019	777	13,223,763	
9-12	21,883	868	18,741,856	
SDC	37,740	152	5,736,480	
Total		3,842	\$70,530,484	

### Site Acreage Required

The next step in the fee calculation is to estimate the total number of acres required to house the projected pupils. The District has established guidelines for school facility sizes for the purposes of housing new students. The school master planned capacity differs at the middle and high school level in each SFID. These differences are based on the differing existing facilities and future needs in each SFID. New school facilities will be constructed to accommodate enrollments by grade level groups as follows:

Table 9
School Facility Sizes and CDE Recommended Site Sizes
SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

	<b>School Facility Master</b>	CDE Recommended
Grade Level	Planned Pupil Capacity	Site Size (acres)
TK-5+SDC	673	10.0
6-8	900	22.2
9-12	2,100	54.8

# School Facility Sizes and CDE Recommended Site Sizes SFID 2 (Folsom)

	School Facility Master	CDE Recommended
Grade Level	Planned Pupil Capacity	Site Size (acres)
TK-5	673	10.0
6-8	1,200	23.5
9-12	1,800	51.7

Estimated site acreage needed to house projected pupils is determined by dividing the number of unhoused pupils at each grade level group by the master planned capacity for each type of school to arrive at the number of schools needed per grade level configuration. The number of schools needed is then multiplied by the California Department of Education recommended acreage for the master planned school sizes to arrive at the number of acres needed to adequately house the projected pupils.

Table 10
Acreage Required to House Pupils – SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

Grade Unhoused Level Students				CDE Recommended Acreage	Acres Needed	
		÷	=	X	=	
TK5 + SDC	2,197	673	3.264	10.00	32.64	
6-8	777	900	.863	22.5	19.16	
9-12	868	2,100	.413	54.8	22.63	

Acreage Required to House Pupils – SFID 2 (Folsom)

Grade Level	Unhoused Students	Students Per School Site	Schools Based on Pupils	CDE Recommended Acreage	Acres Needed
		÷	=	X	=
TK5 + SDC	2,197	673	3.264	10.00	32.64
6-8	777	1,200	.648	23.50	15.23
9-12	868	1,800	.482	51.70	24.92

### Site Acquisition Costs

The next step in the fee calculation is to estimate the site acquisition cost. The separation of SFID 1 and SFID 2 data for the developer fee calculation allows for a more accurate representation of the unique issues associated with the realty markets within the district boundaries.

Total acreage needed is multiplied by the cost per acre at 50% as the State funds 50% of the cost of the site. The *total* acreage needed is used in each of the SFID calculations below to arrive at an overall cost for each SFID. In a later step, the grand total will be divided by the overall estimated new residential square footage to arrive at a fee per square foot rate for each SFID.

Table 11
Site Acquisition Costs - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

Grade Level	Acres Needed	50% Acreage Cost	Site Acquisition Cost
TK-5 + SDC	32.64	\$300,000	\$ 9,792,000
6-8	19.16	300,000	5,748,000
9-12	22.63	300,000	6,789,000
Total			\$ 22,329,000

Table 12 Site Acquisition Costs - SFID 2 (Folsom)

Grade Level	Acres Needed	50% Acreage Cost	Site Acquisition Cost
TK-5 + SDC	32.64	\$435,000	\$ 14,198,400
6-8	15.23	435,000	6,625,050
9-12	24.92	435,000	10,840,200
			\$ 31,663,650

### <u>Site Development Costs</u>

SB 50 allows the inclusion of site development costs in the fee calculation. Site development costs shall not exceed the estimated amount that would be funded by the State Allocation Board pursuant to its regulations governing grants for site development costs. These improvements can include applicable drainage, utility and road improvements.

Some areas within SFID 2 historically have had higher site development costs due to naturally occurring asbestos in the foothills area. At this point the existing Rancho Cordova residential areas have not experienced similar site development issues. The site development costs have been separated to represent the environmental and geological differences within the two SFID areas.

The *total* acreage needed is used in each of the SFID calculations below to arrive at an overall cost for each SFID. In a later step, the grand total will be divided by the overall estimated new residential square footage to arrive at a fee per square foot rate for each SFID. The costs for site development in the SFID 1 are shown in Table 13 and the costs for site development in the SFID 2 are shown in Table 14.

Table 13
Site Development Costs - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

		Site Development	Total Site Development
Grade Level	Acres Needed	Cost @ 50% per site	Costs @ 50%
TK-5 + SDC	32.64	\$ 200,000	\$ 6,528,000
6-8	19.16	200,000	3,832,000
9-12	22.63	200,000	4,526,000
Total			\$14,886,000

Table 14 Site Development Costs - SFID 2 (Folsom)

		Site Development	<b>Total Site Development</b>
Grade Level	Acres Needed	Cost @ 50% per site	Costs @ 50%
TK-5 + SDC	32.64	\$ 400,000	\$ 13,056,000
6-8	15.23	400,000	6,092,000
9-12	24.92	400,000	9,968,000
Total			\$ 29,116,000

### Fee Calculations

The square footage of residential units to be constructed and attributable to the unhoused students in Tables 4, 5 and 6 is estimated to be 13,399,772 square feet in Rancho Cordova and 13,586,722 in Folsom. The calculation is based on the average single-family home in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District being 2,425 square feet (2400 in Rancho Cordova and 2450 in Folsom), the average single-family attached home being 1,500 square feet and the average multi-family unit being 1,052 square feet. Table 15 illustrates the square footage calculation.

Table 15
Proposed Residential Square Footage in the Next Five Years- SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

	Number of	Average	Total
<b>Type of Construction</b>	<b>Proposed Units</b>	Square Feet	<b>Square Footage</b>
Single-family	3,739	2,400	8,973,600
Single-family Attached	804	1,500	1,206,000
Multi-family	3,061	1,052	3,220,172
Total	7,604		13,399,772

**Proposed Residential Square Footage in the Next Five Years- SFID 2 (Folsom)** 

Type of Construction	Number of Proposed Units	Average Square Feet	Total Square Footage
Single-family	3,739	2,450	9,160,550
Single-family Attached	804	1,500	1,206,000
Multi-family	3,061	1,052	3,220,172
Total	7,604		13,586,722

The total cost to house these students is the sum of the state grant amount, the site acquisition costs, and the site development costs less any local revenues available from the District. To arrive at a per square foot fee, the total cost is then divided by the total residential square footage to be constructed. This calculation is done for each SFID to arrive at a cost per square foot attributable to the corresponding SFIDs unique site acquisition and development costs. The developer fee calculations for SFID 1 are shown in Table 16 and for SFID 2 in Table 17.

Table 16 Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 1

		Estimated	
Type of Cost	Total Cost	Square Footage	Level II Fee
State Grant	70,530,484		
Site Acquisition	22,329,000		
Site Development	14,886,000		
Total	107,745,484	13,399,772	\$ 8.04

Education Code Section 65995.7 (currently suspended) will allow the District to charge the Level III rate of \$16.08 per square foot of new residential construction if the State Allocation Board ran out of new construction funds to apportion to school districts.

Table 17 Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 2

		Estimated	
Type of Cost	<b>Total Cost</b>	Square Footage	Level II Fee
State Grant	70,530,484		
Site Acquisition	31,663,650		
Site Development	29,116,000		
Total	131,310,134	13,586,722	\$9.66

Education Code Section 65995.7 (currently suspended) will allow the District to charge the Level III rate of \$19.32 per square foot of new residential construction if the State Allocation Board ran out of new construction funds to apportion to school districts.

### V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on this School Facility Needs Analysis, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District meets all applicable requirements to justify the adoption of the Level II developer fees in accordance with Government Code Section 65995.5.

Based on this School Facility Needs Analysis provided, it is recommended that the Folsom Cordova Unified School District, subject to meeting legal requirements:

- approve this School Facility Needs Analysis, and
- adopt the Level II fee of \$8.04 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1,
- adopt the Level II fee of \$9.66 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 2,
- adopt the Level III fee of \$16.08 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 1,
   and
- adopt the Level III fee of \$19.32 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 2.

#### **SOURCES**

California Basic Education Data System. California State Department of Education October Enrollments, 2006-2022.

California State Department of Education. California Public School Directory, 2020.

California State Department of Finance. Population Research Division.

City of Folsom. Community Development Department.

City of Rancho Cordova. Community Development Department.

County of Sacramento. Community Development Department.

Folsom Cordova Unified School District. School Facilities Needs Analysis, April 2023.

Folsom Cordova Unified School District. Facilities Master Plan, November 2013.

Grau, Jeff. Architect. HMC Architects.

Jamison, Teri. Jamison PMC.

Office of Public School Construction. Leroy Greene School Facilities Act, 1998.

Washburn, Matt. Chief Operations Officer. Folsom Cordova Unified School District.

Wickham, Geri. Director of Facilities Development. Folsom Cordova Unified School District.

### Appendix A

### **Residential Five-Year Build-Out Projection**

Subdivision	of	Units	Built	Units	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
American River Canyon 4A	SF	19	14	5	1	1	1	1	1
American River Canyon 6B	SF	103	96	7	1	1	1	1	1
American River Canyon 8A	SF	20	20	-		-	-	-	-
American River Canyon 8B	SF	25	21	4	1	1	1	1	
Bidwell Homes	SF	4	- 21	4	4	-	-	-	
Bidwell Homes	SF	1	-		1		4	_	
			76	- 1	- 1:	_		_	_
Bidwell Place Apartments (St. Anton)	MF	75	75		-				-
Broadstone 3 - Village 1	SF	123	109	14	4	4	2	2	2
Broadstone 3 - Village 2A	SF	38	34	4	2	2			
Broadstone 3 - Village 2B	SF	124	96	28	5	5	5	3	3
Broadstone 3 - Village 3B	SF	91	91	-					
Broadstone Villas	MF	257	91	166	166				
Easton Place	MF	1,644	- 19	1,644			100	100	200
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 32	SF	140	114	26	5	5	5	5	4
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 36A	SF	41	39	2	1	-1			
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 36B	SF	56	54	2	1	1			
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 40C	SF	22	22						
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 46	SF	7	5	2	1	1			
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 48	SF	72	62	10	2	2	2	2	1
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 49B	SF	40	40		_		-	-	
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 50	SF	49	49						
Folsom Corporate Center Apts. (Iron Point & Oak FCC)	MF	253	40	253	153	100			
Folsom Village	SF	4		4	100	4			
	MF			959		4		125	125
FPA - Bucket		959				-		_	_
FPA - Bucket	SF	2,115		2,115		75	75	100	100
FPABucket	SFA	1,219		1,219		75	75	125	125
FPA - Broadstone Estates	SF	81	*	81	21	20	20	20	
FPA - Carr Trust	SF	28	28		110000				
FPA - Creekside Apartments	ME	187		187	187				
FPA - Folsom Heights	SF	405		405	50	50	50	50	50
FPA - Folsom Heights	SF	125		125	50	50	25		
FPA - Mangini Ranch - Folsom Ranch Rental Community	MF	238		238	119	119			
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V1	SF	100	68	32	32		3		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V2	SF	41	38	3	3				
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V3	SF	35	35	- 3					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - Bungalows (Southpointe)	SF	160		160	40	40	40	20	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - Mangini Ranch Apts	MF	278	278	- 4 0					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1	MF	109	-	109	25	25	25	34	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 - 1C North V4	SF	115	8	107	38	38	31		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 - St Anton Apts.	MF	152	80	72	72	- 00	- 01	_	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V1 - Azure	SF	108	108	12	12			_	
	SF	71	71					-	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V10 - Creekstone	-	-							
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V2 - Dakota	SF	98	98						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V3 - Brookstone	SF	49	49	~					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V4 - Waterstone	SF	86	86	- 12					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V5 - Brookstone	SF	87	87						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V6 -	SF	116	116	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V7 -	SF	108	108						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V8 - Oakleaf	SF	78	78	7.5					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V9 - Copperwood	SF	103	103		1		-		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 - Alder Creek Apts	MF	238	-	238	100	138			
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V1	SF	88	66	22	22				
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V10 - Rockcress	SF	118	118	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V2	SF	74	64	10	10				
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V3	SF	53	24	29	20	9			
	SF	73	73	-	20				
			10						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V4 FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V5	SF	83		83	20	20	20	23	

FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V7	SF	68	68						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V8	SF	36	36						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V1	SF	102	35	67	25	27	15		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V2	SF	80	9	71	20	20	20	11	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V3	SF	36	31	5	5	8	1		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V4	SF	42	42						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V5	SF	111		111	20	20	20	20	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V6	SF	70	-	70	20	20	20	10	-
FPA - Mangini Ranch Phase 1 (Arcadian Heights)	SF	112		112	20	20	20	20	20
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V1 - Golden Hills	SF	52	52						
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V2 - Gold Hill	SF	25	25	-		. 3			
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V3 - Silver Crest	SF	26	26						
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V4 - Steel Canvon	SF	114	114	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V5 - Silver Crest	SF	41	41						
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V6 - Iron Ridge	SF	43	43						
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V7 - Silver Crest	SF	41	41	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V8 - Iron Ridge	SF	52	52	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V1	SF	33		33	23	10			
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V2	SF	79	- 69	1 2222	10	10			
				10					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V3 FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V4	SF ler I	63	20	43	43				
	SF	96	74	22					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V5	SF	118		118	50	50	18	_	
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph3A	SF	132	121	11	11				
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph3B	SF	110	88	22	22				
FPA - The Enclave	SF	111	111				- 7		
FPA - Toll Brothers	SF	79		79		79			
FPA - Toll Brothers (Preserve at FR Phase 3A)	SF	135	16	119	60	59			
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V1	SF	93	93			5)			
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V2	SF	29	29				14		
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V3	SF	52	52						
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V4	SF	50	50	-					
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V5	SF	21	21	-			1		
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V6	SF	24	24						
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V7	SF	40	40						
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V8	SF	42	31	11	11				
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V9	SF	44	44			1 /			
Glenborough at Easton	MF	1,266		1,266					200
Glenborough at Easton	SF	1,973	+5	1,973			100	100	200
Kassis Property - Trumark Homes RC/Folsom Blvd.	MF	252	- 2	252		252			
Kassis Property - Trumark Homes RC/Folsom Blvd.	SF	188	- 20	188	50	50	50	38	
Kilgore Apartments	MF	240	- 40	240	120	120			
Kilgore Townhomes	SFA	177		177	88	89			
La Collina dal Lago	SF	38	32	6	2	2	2		
North Douglas - Village 2	SF	80	79	1		-	1		
North Douglas - Village 7B	SF	66	65	1			1		
Parkway Apartments - Oak & Blue Ravine	MF	72	72	-			-		
Persifer St Habitat for Humanity	SF	10		10	10				
Rio Del Oro - Easton	MF	1,092		1,092	10				
	SF		*7				- 60	E0.	F0.
Rio Del Oro - Easton	-	5,912	-	5,912		1	50	50	50
Rio Del Oro - Easton	SFA	1,000		1,000					50
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	MF	1,456	*	1,456			70	75	100
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	SF	2,216	*	2,216			75	75	75
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	SFA						-		
Rio Del Oro - Elliott Parcels (67 & 68)	SF	253	91	162	50	50	62		
Rio Del Oro - Elliott White Rock (Parcel 66)	SF	132		132		44	44	44	
Sibley Street Rezone (1014)	SF	10	- 10	10	10	1	1		
Stone Creek - Veranda	SF	163	163						
Sunrise Crossing Affordable - St. Anton RC	MF	84	•	84	84				
Terra Loma Condos	MF	11		11	11	1			

Totals		38,485	4,945	33,540	2,068	1,823	1,100	1,191	1,422
Westborough at Easton	SF	4,086	*	4,086					0 =
Westborough at Easton	MF	3,044	-	3,044					
Vivi Living Rancho Cordova (Double Tree Hotel conversion	MF	129	129		g 11				
Trinity Woods Estates	MF	21		21				21	0
The Residences at Capital Center	SFA	177		177	44	44	44	45	
The Residences at Capital Center	MF	240	- 12	240	60	60	60	60	
The Preserve (north Douglas)	SF	439	9	439	j y	75	75	75	75

# Appendix B

# SAB 50-02, Baseline Facility Capacity

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA EXISTING BUILDING CAPACITY

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION
PAGE 1 OF 1

EXISTING BUILDING CAPACITY	PAGE 1 OF
SAB 50-02 (NEW 1220/08) SCHOOL DESTRUCT FOLSOM COrdova USD	FIVE DIGIT DISTRIGT-0500 NUMBER (see California Public School Directory)
1	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (FAMPRICADO) FOLSOM High
Sacramento	TOTOGE TENE

K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
			1
		1	
6		6	12
			-
			-
82	5	12	99
87	40	68	195
175	45	86	306
	87	87 40	82 5 12 87 40 68

PART II.- Available Classrooms

Option A.	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 4				
b.) Part 1, Line 5	Ž			
c. Part 1, Line 6	82	5	12	99
d. Part 1, Line 7	87	40	68	195
e. Total a b c & d	169	45	80	294

Option B.	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 8	175	45	86	306
b. Part 1 Lines 1, 2, 5 & 6 Total				111
c. 25% of Part 1, Line 7				49
d. Subtract c from b ' (enter 0 if negative)	48	2	12	62
e. total, a minus d	127	43	74	244

### PART III.- Determination of Existing School Building Capacity

	K-6 @ 25	7-8 @ 27	9-12 @ 27	
Line 1. Classroom Capacity	3175	1161	1998	
Line 2. SER adjustment	191			
Line 3. Operational Grants	101			
Line 4. Greater of line 2 or 3	191			Tota
Line 5. Total lines 1 & 4	3366	1161	1998	6525

CT REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFICATION

I certify that this form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC Form will prevail. It is understood that Government Code Section 12650 et seq. Provides for penalties including the imposition of trable damages, for making false claims against the State.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE

Wath Washbur

DATE

3/26/99

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
EXISTING SCHOOL	PIIII DING	CAPACITY
EXISTING SCHOOL	DOILDING	Ora rioi.
	. 4D/20/DD)	

SAB 50-02 (Rev. 8/99) Excel (Reschool DISTRICT FIVE DIGIT DISTRICT CODE NUMBER (see Celifornia Public School Directory) 67330 HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (If SODICEDIO) SOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED Cordova HSAA RAMENTO

PART I Classroom Inventory P. New 13 Amended		7.8	9-12	FOTAL
ine 1. Leased State Relocatable Classrooms	12			12
ine 2. Portable Classrooms Leased Less Than 5 Years ine 3. Interim Housing Portables Leased Less Than 5 Years				
ine 4. Interim Housing Portables Leased At Least 5 Years				
ine 5. Portable Classrooms Leased At Least 5 Years	22	1	18	41
ine 6. Portable Classrooms Owned By The District	161	81	88	330
ine 7. Permanent Classrooms  Line 8. Total	195	82	106	383

PART II Available	Classro K-6	oms //B	974	I TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 4				
b. Part 1, Line 5				
c. Part 1, Line 6	22	1	18	41
d. Part 1, Line 7	161	81	88	330
e. Total a b c & d	183	82	106	371

Outlon's	Ka,	7.9	1 1847	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 8	195	82	106	383
b. Part 1 Lines 1, 2, 5 & 6 (Total only)				53
c. 25% of Part 1, Line 7				83
d Subtract c from b (enter 0 if negative)				
e. Total, a minus d	195	82	106	383

### PART III.- Determination of Existing School Building Capacity

		W.P. 1748		
	4,575	2,214	2,862	
Line 1. Classroom capacity	275			
Line 2. SER adjustment				
Line 3. Operational Grants	275			
Line 4. Greater of line 2 or 3		2,214	2,862	
Line 5. Total, lines 1 & 4	4,850	2,214	2,002	

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information provided on this Form is true and correct and that: I am designated as anauthorized district representative by the governing board of the district. I certify that this form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC Form will prevail.

POPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPO	DATE	1	
ATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	01,	19/	00
1-18-00 Post Is brand fax transmittal memo 7671 # of pages > 3			

### **Appendix C**

# SAB 50-01, Enrollment Certification/Projection

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENDOLLMENT CERTIFICATION/PROJECTION

SAB 50-01 (Rev. 09/04) Excel (Rev. 2/27/2003)	Page 3 of 3
SCHOOL DISTRICT FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED	FIVE DIGIT DISTRICT CODE NUMBER (see California Public School Directory) 67330
COUNTY	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA (if applicable)
SACRAMENTO	21

Part A. Enrollment Data - (districts or county

	3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current
Grade	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24
K	1,381	1,403	1,374	1,608
1	1,411	1,409	1,427	1,314
2	1,388	1,468	1,461	1,474
3	1,386	1,442	1,494	1,502
4	1,554	1,439	1,460	1,496
5	1,474	1,559	1,501	1,490
6	154	1,455	1,521	1,483
7	1,404	1,401	1,503	1,569
8	1,478	1,418	1,408	1,534
9	1,696	1,648	1,671	1,662
10	1,544	1,691	1,656	1,688
11	1,618	1,514	1,659	1,599
12	1,530	1,603	1,487	1,606
TOTAL	18,018	19,450	19,622	20,025

Part B. Pupils Attending Schools Chartered By Another District

3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Curren

Part C. Continuation High School - (districts only)

L	Grade	3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current
- 8	9				
	10	16	12	17	5
	11	71	32	62	51
Г	12	119	80	105	115

Part D. Special Day Class Pupils - (districts or county

superintendent of schools)

Elementary	Non-Severe	Severe	Secondary	Non-Severe	Severe
MR	Debter and the expension of the per-		MR		100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
нн			НН		-01 0-00
DEAF			DEAF		
н			н	10	
SLI	50	279	SLI	116	255
VI			VI		
SED			SED		
OI			OI		
ОНІ			ОНІ		
SLD			SLD		
DB			DB		20000
мн			мн		
AUT			AUT		
ТВІ			TBI		
TOTAL	50	279	TOTAL	116	255

Part E. Special Day Class Enrollment - (county

3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current
		1700	

Part F. Number of New Dwelling Units	14943
Part G. Dietrict Student Viold Factor	0.700

Part H. Five Year Projected Enrollment - School Facility Program Projections - (except special day class pupils only)

K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
17,246	4,303	10,302	31,851

Projections - special day class pupils only

Elementary	Non-Severe	Severe	Secondary	Non-Severe	Severe
MR			MR		
нн			НН	( 201 ( 177 )	10.0
DEAF	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		DEAF		
н			н		
SLI	63	351	SLI	135	297
VI			VI		Alexander (
SED			SED	875765	
OI			01		
ОНІ			ОНІ		1.5-0-1
SLD			SLD		
DB			DB		
мн			мн		
AUT			AUT		
TBI			TBI	0.00	
TOTAL	63	351	TOTAL	135	297

Part I.

One Year Projected Enrollment - State Relocatable Program Projections - (except special day class pupils only)

K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
10,702	3,320	6,806	20,828

Projections - (special day class pupils only) (includes Severe & Non-Severe)

	Elementary	Secondary		Elementary	Secondary
MR			01		
нн		8 1	ОНІ		
DEAF			SLD		
н			DB		
SLI	340	383	МН		
VI			AUT		
SED			ТВІ		
			TOTAL	340	383

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district.

If the district is requesting an augmentation in the enrollment projection pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.42 (b), the local planning commission or approval authority has approved the tentative subdivision map used for augmentation of the enrollment and the district has identified dwelling units in that map to be contracted. All subdivision maps used for augmentation of enrollment are available at the district for review by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction.

In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevail.

In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will pro-	evail.	
SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE	

# **Appendix D**

### **Level II Fee Calculations**

### Folsom Cordova Unified School District Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova) 2024

### Student Generation, School and Site Needs

Student Generation Calculati	ion:								Student		
Type of Housing	Proposed Housing Units		Average Square Feet		Total Square Feet		Grade Level Grouping		Yield Per Household		Students Generated (Prop. Units x Yield)
Single Family	3,739	Х	2,400	=	8,973,600		TK-5	х	0.35	=	1309
							6-8	X	0.14	=	523
							9-12	×	0.16	=	598
							SDC	×	0.02 0.67	=	75
Single Familty Attached	804	x	1,500	=	1,206,000		TK-5	x	0.07	=	185
							6-8	×	0.05	=	40
							9-12	X	0.07	=	56
							SDC	×.	0.02	=	16
								Į	0.37	S	
Multi Family	3,061	X	1,052	=	3,220,172		TK-5	X	0.18	=	551
							6-8	X	0.07	=	214
							9-12	X	0.07	=	214
							SDC	×	0.02 0.34	=	61
Total Housing Units	7,604		Total So	uare Feet	13,399,772		Total S	Stu	dents General	ted	3,842
		46	1.7,750.7.7				0.00000	707576		(2)	-1
Schools and Acreage Requir	ed Calculation:		Master		Number of		CDE				
Students Generated	Students Generated		Planned Capacity		Schools Required		Recommende d Acreage				Number of Acres Required
K-5 + SDC	2197	÷	673	=	3.264	х	10.0	=			32.64
6-8	777	+	900	=	0.863	X	22.2	=		- 1	19.16
9-12	868	÷	2100	=	0.413	х	54.8	=			22.63

### State Funding and Level II Fee Calculation

State Base Grant Calculation:	Grade Level Grouping			Students Generated		State per Pupil Grant		Total State Base Grant	
	TK-5			2045	X	\$16,053	=	\$32,828,385	
	6-8			777	x	\$17,019	=	\$13,223,763	
	9-12			868	x	\$21,592	=	\$18,741,856	
	SDC			152	×	\$37,740	=	\$5,736,480	
			\$70,530,484						
		Site Acq.		Site Acq.					
	Grade Level	per Acre @		per Acre @		Acres		Total State - Funded	
State Site Acquisition Cost Calculation:	Grouping	100%		50%		Required		Site Acquisition	
	TK-5 + SDC	\$600,000	÷ 2	\$300,000	X	32.64	=	\$9,792,000	
	6-8	\$600,000	+2	\$300,000	×	19.16	=	\$5,748,000	
	9-12	\$600,000	÷ 2	\$300,000	×	22.63	=	\$6,789,000	
				Total Site	Acq	uisition Cost	ts	\$22,329,000	
State Site Development Costs	Grade Level	Site Dev. per		Site Dev. per		Acres		Total State-Funded	
(Service Site, General Site, Offisite, Utilities,	Grouping	Acre @ 100%		Acre @ 50%		Required		Site Development	
NOA):	TK-5 + SDC	\$400,000	÷ 2	\$200,000	x	32.64	=	\$6,528,000	
	6-8	\$400,000	+2	\$200,000	x	19.16	_	\$3,832,000	
	9-12	\$400,000	+ 2	\$200,000	×	22.63	=	\$4,526,000	
		*	N/Distriction		evel	opment Cost	ts	\$14,886,000	
		Total State Matching Fund Requirement \$107,745,4							
				osed Residenta				13,399,772	
		Level II Fee fo	1.2			200		\$8.04	

### Folsom Cordova Unified School District Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 2 (Folsom) 2024

### Student Generation, School and Site Needs

Type of Housing	Proposed Housing Units		Average Square Feet	-	Total Square Feet		Grade Level Grouping		Student Yield Per Household		Students Generated (Prop. Units x Yield)
Single Family	3,739	X	2,450	=	9,160,550		TK-5	Х	0.35	=	1309
							6-8	×	0.14	=	523
							9-12	x	0.16	=	598
							SDC	x	0.02	=	75
								7.10	0.67		
Single Familty Attached	804	X	1,500	=	1,206,000		TK-5	x	0.23	=	185
							6-8	×	0.05	=	40
							9-12	×	0.07	=	56
							SDC	×	0.02	=	16
Multi Family	3,061	X	1,052	=	3,220,172		TK-5	×	0.18	=	551
							6-8	x	0.07	=	214
							9-12	x	0.07	=	214
							SDC	×	0.02	=	61
									0.34		
Total Housing Units	7,604		Total S	quare Feet	13,586,722		Total	Stu	dents Genera	ted	3,842
Schools and Acreage Require	d Calculation:				N 0 0		22000				
			Master		Number of		CDE				
	Students		Planned		Schools		Recommended				Number of Acres
Students Generated	Generated		Capacity		Required		Acreage				Required
TK-5 + SDC	2197	+	673	=	3.264	X	10.0	=			32.64
6-8	777	+	1200	=	0.648	×	23.5	=		- 1	15.23
9-12	868	÷	1800	=	0.482	X	51.7	=			24.92

### State Funding and Level II Fee Calculation

State Base Grant Calculation:	Grade Level Grouping			Students Generated		State per Pupil Grant		Total State Base Grant
	TK-5			2045	х	\$16,053	=	\$32,828,385
	6-8			777	×	\$17,019	=	\$13,223,763
	9-12			868	x	\$21,592	=	\$18,741,856
	SDC			152	×	\$37,740	=	\$5,736,480
				Tota	l Sta	ite Base Gran	t	\$70,530,484
		Site Acq.						
	Grade Level	per Acre @		Site Acq.		Acres		Total State - Funded
State Site Acquisition Cost Calculation:	Grouping	100%		per Acre @ 50%	0	Required		Site Acquisition
	TK-5 + SDC	\$870,000	÷ 2	\$435,000	Х	32.64	=	\$14,198,400
	6-8	\$870,000	+2	\$435,000	×	15.23	=	\$6,625,050
	9-12	\$870,000	+2	\$435,000	X	24.92	=	\$10,840,200
				Total Site	Acq	uisition Cost	s	\$31,663,650
State Site Development Costs	Grade Level	Site Dev. per		Site Dev. per		Acres		Total State-Funded
(Service Site, General Site, Offisite, Utilities, NOA):	Grouping	Acre @ 100%		Acre @ 50%		Required		Site Development
nory.	TK-5 + SDC	\$800,000	÷ 2	\$400,000	Х	32.64	=	\$13,056,000
	6-8	\$800,000	÷ 2	\$400,000	x	15.23	=	\$6,092,000
	9-12	\$800,000	÷ 2	\$400,000	×	24.92	=	\$9,968,000
				Total Site D	evel	opment Cost	s	\$29,116,000
			Tota	I State Matching	Func	Requirement		\$131,310,134
		Divided	by P	roposed Resident	al So	quare Footage		13,586,722
		Le	vel	II Fee for SFI	ID 2	(Folsom)		\$9.66