Marseilles ESD 150

District Superintendent

Mrs. Brenda Donahue bdonahue@mes150.org Address

201 Chicago St Marseilles IL 61341 (815)554-4338

http://mes150.org

District Provided Statement

Not available.

About the Report Card

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires that states annually assign schools a summative designation that meaningfully differentiates school performance based on multiple performance measures. All states were offered a waiver of this requirement for school year 2020-2021 due to the impact of COVID-19. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the Public Business Rules for 2024 Report Card Metrics and the 2024 Glossary of Terms.

District Snapshot

Percent of Adequacy : 84.7% Chronic Absenteeism : 23.8% Principal Turnover : 3 Schools in District : 1 Senate District : 53 House District : 106

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 02 | Academic Progress
- 70 | District Environment
- 78 | Students
- 111 | Accountability
- 120 | Teachers
- 130 | Administrators & Support Personnel
- 135 | Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)
- 137 | NAEP


THE ORT CAR

2023 - 2024

Academic Progress

About the data

Academic progress data includes information regarding assessments, such as student participation rates, proficiency rates, and mean student growth percentile. Other information regarding academics may include certain touchstones, such as eighth-graders passing Algebra 1, graduation rate, and various advanced coursework information. Academic progress often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



IAR

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). Each Performance Level is a broad, categorical level defined by a student's overall scale score and used to report overall student performance by describing how well students met the expectations for their grade level/course. Each Performance Level is defined by a range of overall scale scores for the assessment. There are five Performance Levels for IAR assessments:

- Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations
- Level 2: Partially met expectations
- Level 3: Approached expectations
- Level 4: Met expectations
- Level 5: Exceeded expectations

Students performing at levels 4 and 5 met or exceeded expectations, have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and, ultimately, are on track for college and careers. Performance Level Descriptors describe the knowledge, skills, and practices that students should know and be able to demonstrate at each Performance Level in each content area (English language arts [ELA] and mathematics) and at each grade level/course.

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathemati	CS			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	60.8%	21.6%	7.8%	9.8%	0.0%	13.7%	43.1%	27.5%	11.8%	3.9%
State	29.1 %	19.0%	21.2%	28.1%	2.5%	18.3%	20.9%	27.0%	27.1%	6.7 %
Female										
District	65.0%	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	0.0%	15.0%	40.0%	30.0%	15.0%	0.0%
State	26.7%	18.2%	21.2%	30.7%	3.3%	18.6%	22.6%	27.5%	25.7%	5.5%
Male					I		II			
District	58.1%	22.6%	6.5%	12.9%	0.0%	12.9%	45.2%	25.8%	9.7%	6.5%
State	31.4%	19.8%	21.2%	25.7%	1.8%	17.9%	19.3%	26.6%	28.4%	7.8%
Non Binar	у						I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	53.3%	6.7%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	43.8%	12.5%
American	Indian						I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	29.2%	24.8%	23.0%	20.6%	2.4%	20.9%	22.4%	26.5%	25.1%	5.0%
Asian							I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	12.8%	12.2%	20.2%	46.3%	8.4%	5.6%	10.5%	21.2%	41.8%	20.8%
Black							I			
District	ŧ	ŧ	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	46.6%	21.4%	17.1%	14.2%	0.7%	36.0%	27.3%	23.3%	12.1%	1.3%
Hispanic										
District	ŧ	‡	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	41.2%	21.2%	18.7%	17.9%	1.0%	25.6%	27.1%	27.2%	17.8%	2.4%

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematic	S			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	28.6%	3.6%	21.4%	35.7%	10.7%	10.7%	25.0%	14.3%	42.9%	7.1%
Native Ha	waiian/ Paci	fic Islander					"			-
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.0%	22.9%	25.7%	29.5%	1.9%	9.5%	24.8%	26.7%	30.5%	8.6%
Two or Mo	ore Races									
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	26.2%	17.8%	21.4%	30.9%	3.6%	17.2%	20.9%	25.5%	28.4%	8.1 %
White										
District	59.5%	23.8%	7.1%	9.5%	0.0%	11.9%	42.9%	26.2%	14.3%	4.8%
State	17.8%	17.8%	24.3%	36.8%	3.3%	9.2%	16.2%	29.2%	36.2%	9.3%
Students	with Disabili	ties					-			
District	72.2%	16.7%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	22.2%	55.6%	0.0%	16.7 %	5.6%
State	50.7%	20.5%	15.2%	12.8%	0.8%	36.0%	24.3%	21.5%	15.0%	3.2%
English Le	earners									
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	‡	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	48.0%	21.5%	17.1%	13.0%	0.4%	28.1%	27.7%	26.7%	15.9%	1.5%
Homeless	;									
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ	+	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	61.9%	16.8%	12.5%	8.5%	0.4%	45.9%	25.2%	18.5%	9.7%	0.7%
Students	with IEPs			1						
District	80.0%	13.3%	0.0%	6.7 %	0.0%	26.7%	66.7 %	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
State	56.6%	20.4%	13.1%	9.4%	0.5%	40.9%	25.0%	19.8%	12.0%	2.3%

Grade 3										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	e									
District	68.0%	28.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.0%	44.0%	24.0%	8.0%	0.0%
State	41.4%	22.0%	18.8%	16.9%	0.9%	27.7%	26.7%	26.6%	16.8%	2.2%
Migrant		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	64.3%	25.0%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	21.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Military	1					I	1	I	1	1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	22.3%	21.5%	24.0%	30.4%	1.8 %	13.1%	20.4%	28.9%	31.5%	6.2%
Non-Englis	h Learners					I	1	I	1	1
District	60.0%	22.0%	8.0%	10.0%	0.0%	14.0%	44.0%	26.0%	12.0%	4.0%
State	24.1%	18.4%	22.3%	32.1%	3.1%	15.7%	19.1%	27.1%	30.0%	8.0%
Non-IEP	1					I	1	I	1	1
District	52.8%	25.0%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	8.3%	33.3%	38.9%	13.9%	5.6%
State	24.0%	18.8%	22.7%	31.6%	2.9%	14.1%	20.2%	28.4%	29.9%	7.5%
Non Low Ir	icome					L	1	L	1	1
District	53.8%	15.4%	11.5%	19.2%	0.0%	3.8%	42.3%	30.8%	15.4%	7.7%
State	15.4%	15.7%	23.9%	40.6%	4.4%	7.7%	14.4%	27.5%	38.6%	11.7%
Youth In Ca	are	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	48.7%	21.8%	15.6%	13.3%	0.5%	35.6%	28.0%	23.3%	12.0%	1.1%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathemati	cs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	27.6%	29.3%	24.1%	19.0%	0.0%	20.7%	32.8%	27.6%	19.0%	0.0%
State	20.6%	17.7 %	23.9%	31.3%	6.5%	16.5 %	27.3%	27.8%	25.4%	3.0%
Female										
District	25.0%	28.1 %	18.8%	28.1%	0.0%	15.6%	37.5%	28.1%	18.8%	0.0%
State	18.1 %	17.0 %	24.1%	32.9%	7.9%	16.9%	29.3%	28.6%	22.9%	2.4%
Male										
District	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	0.0%	26.9 %	26.9%	26.9%	19.2 %	0.0%
State	23.0%	18.4 %	23.7%	29.8%	5.1%	16.2 %	25.3%	27.0%	27.8 %	3.7%
Non Binar	у									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	60.0%	5.0%	15.0%	25.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%
American	Indian									
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	32.5 %	20.7%	24.5%	19.8%	2.5%	21.1%	33.9%	24.8%	18.3%	1.9%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9.0%	9.3%	19.1%	45.7 %	16.9 %	4.6%	12.9%	24.8%	45.6%	12.1 %
Black										
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	35.4%	23.8%	22.8%	16.4 %	1.6%	33.5%	37 .1%	20.6%	8.3%	0.5%
Hispanic										
District	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	27.3%	27.3%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%
State	29.9%	22.0%	23.7%	21.6%	2.8%	23.8%	35.1%	26.3%	13.8%	0.9%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	17.6%	23.5%	29.4%	23.5%	5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%
Native Haw	vaiian/ Pacif	ic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	20.2%	15.4%	26.0%	29.8%	8.7%	17.3%	26.9%	26.0%	26.9%	2.9%
Two or Mo	re Races	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ	‡	‡	+	+	+
State	18.6%	16.7%	22.5%	33.7%	8.4%	14.7%	26.3%	27.0%	28.2%	3.9%
White	1	1	1	1	I	I	I	1		1
District	27.3%	29.5%	22.7%	20.5%	0.0%	20.5%	31.8%	25.0%	22.7%	0.0%
State	11.4%	14.2%	25.1%	40.5%	8.9%	7.8%	21.0%	31.7%	35.6%	4.0%
Students v	vith Disabilit	ies	1	1		1			1	
District	72.2%	22.2%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	55.6%	38.9%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
State	42.6%	22.2%	17.8%	15.1%	2.3%	34.5%	31.9%	19.5%	12.8%	1.3%
English Le	arners	1	1	1		1			1	
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ	‡	ŧ	+	+	+
State	37.6%	24.2%	22.6%	14.8%	0.8%	27.4%	36.6%	25.2%	10.5%	0.3%
Homeless	1	1	1	1	I	I	I	1		1
District	‡	+	+	+	ŧ	‡	ŧ	+	ŧ	‡
State	52.3%	20.5%	16.1%	10.1%	1.0%	43.3%	34.2%	15.9%	6.3%	0.2%
Students v	vith IEPs									
District	81.3%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	50.1%	23.2%	15.4%	10.2%	1.2%	40.5%	33.2%	16.6%	8.9%	0.7%

Grade 4										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	e									
District	31.4%	31.4%	22.9%	14.3%	0.0%	28.6%	34.3%	25.7%	11.4%	0.0%
State	30.7%	22.4%	24.2%	20.5%	2.2%	25.4%	35.3%	25.6%	13.0%	0.7%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.1%	15.6%	21.9%	9.4%	0.0%	25.0%	37.5%	28.1%	9.4%	0.0%
Military										1
District	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	15.5%	14.7%	24.9%	37.1%	7.8 %	11.5%	25.1%	31.3%	29.6%	2.5%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
District	25.9 %	27.8%	25.9%	20.4%	0.0%	18.5%	33.3%	27.8 %	20.4%	0.0%
State	16.6%	16.2%	24.2%	35.2%	7.8%	14.0%	25.1%	28.4%	28.9%	3.7%
Non-IEP										
District	7.1%	33.3%	33.3%	26.2%	0.0%	4.8%	31.0%	38.1%	26.2%	0.0%
State	15.1%	16.7%	25.5%	35.3%	7.4%	12.0%	26.2%	29.9%	28.5%	3.5%
Non Low In	come									
District	21.7 %	26.1 %	26.1 %	26.1 %	0.0%	8.7 %	30.4%	30.4%	30.4%	0.0%
State	9.6%	12.6%	23.5%	43.2%	11.2%	6.8%	18.5%	30.2%	38.9%	5.6%
Youth In Ca	are									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.7%	26.4%	19.7%	11.6%	0.6%	36.4%	38.8%	17.3%	7.4%	0.1%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathemati	CS			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	32.6%	34.8%	28.3%	4.3%	0.0%	23.9%	47.8%	19.6%	8.7%	0.0%
State	16.6%	19.9%	24.3%	35.5%	3.6%	16.0%	31.4%	25.7%	22.0%	4.8%
Female										
District	31.6%	21.1%	42.1%	5.3%	0.0%	15.8%	52.6%	26.3%	5.3%	0.0%
State	14.2%	18.2 %	24.1%	38.7%	4.9%	14.8%	33.2%	27.3%	21.0%	3.7%
Male										
District	33.3%	44.4%	18.5%	3.7%	0.0%	29.6%	44.4%	14.8%	11.1%	0.0%
State	19.0%	21.6%	24.6%	32.5%	2.4%	17.1%	29.8%	24.3%	23.0%	5.9%
Non Binar	у									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	•
State	14.3%	7.1%	21.4%	46.4%	10.7%	7.4%	29.6%	33.3%	22.2%	7.4%
American	Indian									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	•
State	18.4%	22.3%	25.2%	31.1%	3.0%	19.0 %	35.3%	19.9%	19.6%	6.2%
Asian										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.0%	8.7%	17.2%	55.6%	11.5%	4.4%	13.9%	21.1%	40.4%	20.1%
Black										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	28.7%	28.8%	23.5%	18.2%	0.8%	31.5%	42.1%	18.7%	7.0%	0.7%
Hispanic										
District	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%
State	24.8%	24.5%	24.9%	24.4%	1.4%	21.9%	39.7 %	24.5%	12.5%	1.4%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15.4%	30.8%	23.1%	30.8%	0.0%	15.4%	23.1%	30.8%	23.1%	7.7%
Native Hav	waiian/ Paci	fic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	14.2%	12.3%	18.9%	50.9%	3.8%	12.3%	28.3%	23.6%	27.4%	8.5%
Two or Mo	re Races		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	14.9%	19.3%	23.0%	38.1%	4.7%	15.6%	29.4%	25.2%	23.6%	6.2%
White			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	33.3%	36.4%	27.3%	3.0%	0.0%	21.2%	48.5%	21.2%	9.1%	0.0%
State	8.8%	15.5%	25.3%	45.5%	4.9%	8.4%	25.1%	29.7%	30.5%	6.3%
Students	with Disabilit	ties	1				1		1	1
District	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	38.4%	26.6%	18.1%	15.6%	1.2%	32.6%	37.0 %	17.8%	10.6%	2.0%
English Le	arners								1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	38.8%	30.4%	21.4%	9.3%	0.1%	29.8%	45.6%	19.3%	5.2%	0.2%
Homeless			1	1			1	1	1	1
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	45.2%	23.9%	18.8%	11.6%	0.4%	41.7%	38.0%	15.0%	5.0%	0.3%
Students	with IEPs									
District	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	47.1%	28.4%	14.9%	9.1%	0.5%	39.0%	38.8%	14.8%	6.4%	1.0%

Grade 5										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ie									
District	40.6%	34.4%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.4%	43.8%	15.6%	6.3%	0.0%
State	25.1%	25.9%	24.9%	22.9%	1.1%	24.3%	40.0%	23.0%	11.5%	1.2%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	8.0%	28.0%	4.0%	0.0%	26.9%	53.8 %	15.4%	3.8 %	0.0%
Military		I		I		I	1	I	I	
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	‡
State	12.1%	18.5%	25.5%	39.7%	4.2%	12.0%	29.9%	28.0%	25.1%	5.1%
Non-Englis	h Learners									1
District	31.0%	38.1%	26.2%	4.8%	0.0%	21.4%	52.4 %	21.4%	4.8%	0.0%
State	12.4%	17.9%	24.9%	40.5%	4.3%	13.4%	28.8%	27.0%	25.2%	5.7%
Non-IEP		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>						1
District	11.8%	44.1%	38.2 %	5.9%	0.0%	14.7%	47.1%	26.5%	11.8%	0.0%
State	11.1%	18.3%	26.1 %	40.3%	4.2%	11.8%	30.1%	27.7%	24.8%	5.5%
Non Low Ir	icome					I	1	I	I	
District	14.3%	35.7%	35.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1 %	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%
State	7.4%	13.3%	23.7%	49.3%	6.4%	6.9%	22.1%	28.8%	33.4%	8.8%
Youth In Ca	are	1		1		1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	34.1%	26.5%	21.5%	17.4%	0.4%	35.8%	42.7%	14.6%	6.9%	0.0%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathemati	cs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	19.1%	29.8%	38.3%	12.8%	0.0%	21.3%	42.6 %	27.7%	6.4%	2.1%
State	12.1%	16.2%	25.0%	40.0%	6.7%	17.4%	29.4%	28.4%	21.4%	3.4%
Female										
District	17.4%	26.1%	39.1%	17.4%	0.0%	8.7 %	52.2%	26.1%	8.7%	4.3%
State	9.7%	14.3%	23.9%	43.8%	8.3%	16.2 %	31.2%	29.7%	20.0%	2.9%
Male						L				
District	20.8%	33.3%	37.5%	8.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	29.2%	4.2%	0.0%
State	14.5%	18.1 %	26.0%	36.3%	5.1%	18.5%	27.6%	27.2%	22.8%	3.9%
Non Bina	ry					U	I		I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	21.9%	15.6%	46.9%	15.6%	9.4%	31.3%	21.9%	37.5%	0.0%
American	Indian						I		I	
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	13.2%	20.1%	26.4%	36.1%	4.2%	20.1%	37.2%	24.3%	15.3%	3.1%
Asian							I		I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	4.4%	6.5%	15.3%	54.8%	19.0%	4.7 %	13.1%	23.7%	41.3%	17.1%
Black							I		I	
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	22.1%	24.9%	27.2%	24.0%	1.8%	34.2%	39.0%	19.9%	6.4%	0.4%
Hispanic										
District	‡	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	18.0%	21.0%	27.5%	30.6%	2.9%	24.1%	37.2%	26.1%	11.7%	0.9%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Native Hav	vaiian/ Paci	fic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.2%	10.8%	23.7%	51.1%	7.2%	11.5%	20.9%	33.8%	28.8%	5.0%
Two or Mo	re Races			1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	10.6%	15.8%	24.3%	40.6%	8.6%	17.4%	28.9%	26.5%	23.0%	4.2%
White				1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	17.9%	33.3%	35.9%	12.8%	0.0%	20.5%	41.0%	30.8%	5.1%	2.6%
State	6.1%	11.5%	24.0%	49.5%	8.9%	9.0%	23.3%	33.6%	29.9%	4.2%
Students	with Disabilit	ties		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	27.8%	33.3%	27.8%	11.1%	0.0%	38.9%	50.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
State	29.8%	25.7%	22.5%	19.7%	2.3%	38.4%	32.7%	17.6%	10.1%	1.2%
English Le	arners		1	1	l	1	1	1	1	1
District	+	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	32.2%	30.0%	26.3%	11.3%	0.2%	37.4%	43.3%	16.3%	2.9%	0.1%
Homeless		1								
District	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
State	36.9%	24.6%	21.4%	15.9%	1.3%	44.0%	35.1%	16.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Students	with IEPs									
District	26.7%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7 %	0.0%	40.0%	53.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
State	37.9%	29.4%	20.6%	11.2%	0.8%	47.5%	34.2%	12.7%	5.2%	0.5%

Grade 6										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	ie									
District	17.1%	37. 1%	34.3%	11.4%	0.0%	25.7%	40.0%	28.6%	2.9%	2.9%
State	18.8%	22.3%	27.9%	28.6%	2.4%	26.6%	37.5%	24.8%	10.2%	0.8%
Migrant		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>				1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.4%	23.8%	9.5%	9.5%	4.8%	52.4%	33.3%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%
Military	1					L	1	1	1	1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	9.3%	13.9%	25.5%	44.4%	6.8%	12.9%	28.8%	30.1%	24.8%	3.3%
Non-Englis	h Learners	I	l	I		I		1	1	1
District	15.9%	31.8%	38.6%	13.6%	0.0%	20.5%	40.9%	29.5%	6.8%	2.3%
State	8.8%	13.9%	24.8%	44.8%	7.7%	14.1%	27.1%	30.4%	24.5%	4.0%
Non-IEP										
District	15.6%	28.1%	40.6%	15.6%	0.0%	12.5%	37.5%	40.6%	6.3%	3.1%
State	7.7%	14.0%	25.7%	45.0%	7.7%	12.2%	28.5%	31.1%	24.2%	3.9%
Non Low In	icome					L	1	1	1	1
District	25.0%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	25.0%	16.7 %	0.0%
State	5.0%	9.7%	21.8%	52.2%	11.2%	7.5%	20.6%	32.2%	33.4%	6.2%
Youth In Ca	are							I	I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	24.9%	28.8%	23.7%	21.3%	1.3%	37.9%	36.3%	20.3%	5.5%	0.0%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathemati	ics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	17.8%	33.3%	26.7%	22.2%	0.0%	13.3%	35.6%	31.1%	20.0%	0.0%
State	10.4%	21.4%	25.7%	31.4%	11.1%	8.7%	29.7%	33.0%	24.0%	4.7%
Female										
District	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	33.3%	33.3%	19.0%	0.0%
State	8.0%	18.7%	24.6%	34.4%	14.3%	8.1%	30.1%	33.8 %	23.7%	4.2%
Male		I								I
District	20.8%	37.5%	25.0%	16.7 %	0.0%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%	20.8%	0.0%
State	12.6%	24.0%	26.8%	28.5%	8.1%	9.3%	29.2%	32.2%	24.2%	5.1%
Non Bina	ry				I					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.8%	11.8%	32.4%	38.2 %	8.8%	8.8%	32.4%	26.5%	29.4%	2.9%
American	Indian	I								I
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	19.4%	25.4%	25.1%	20.8%	9.3%	10.8%	35.4%	31.0%	18.8%	4.0%
Asian					I					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	3.9%	7.9%	14.7%	40.9%	32.6%	2.5%	9.7%	23.1%	42.8%	22.0%
Black		I								
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	16.9%	31.8%	28.0%	19.6%	3.7%	17.7%	46.7%	27.2%	8.0%	0.5%
Hispanic		1		1						
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	15.7%	27.4%	26.8%	24.6%	5.5%	11.7%	38.4%	33.9%	14.8%	1.3%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.2%	16.7%	27.8%	33.3%	0.0%	11.1%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	5.6%
Native Hav	waiian/ Pacit	fic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	10.9%	15.5%	23.3%	38.0%	12.4%	5.4%	32.3%	30.8%	25.4%	6.2%
Two or Mo	re Races						1			1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	8.8%	21.6%	25.4%	31.2%	13.0%	8.6%	29.0%	31.9%	24.6%	5.9%
White				1	1		1	1		1
District	20.6%	35.3%	20.6%	23.5%	0.0%	11.8%	38.2%	26.5%	23.5%	0.0%
State	5.6%	15.7%	25.7%	38.6%	14.4%	4.5%	20.8%	35.8%	32.9%	6.0%
Students	with Disabilit	ties					1			1
District	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	25.8%	33.7%	21.5%	15.4%	3.7%	23.1%	42.5%	21.9%	10.7%	1.8%
English Le	arners						1			1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	27.1%	37.7%	24.0%	10.6%	0.7%	18.7 %	49.5%	26.8%	4.9%	0.2%
Homeless				1	1		1	1		1
District	+	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	31.4%	31.5%	22.4%	12.6%	2.1%	23.1%	47.2%	23.7%	5.7%	0.2%
Students	with IEPs									
District	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	33.2%	38.7%	18.5%	8.3%	1.3%	30.0%	48.1%	16.3%	4.8%	0.7%

Grade 7										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incom	e									
District	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	18.5%	37.0%	33.3%	11.1%	0.0%
State	15.9%	29.1%	27.6%	22.7%	4.7 %	13.4%	40.6%	31.9%	12.9%	1.2%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	16.1 %	38.7 %	25.8%	16.1%	3.2%	12.9%	45.2%	25.8%	16.1 %	0.0%
Military	1					I	I	I	I	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	7.5%	20.2%	25.9%	36 .1%	10.4%	7.4%	25.3%	35.8%	28.7%	2.8%
Non-Englis	h Learners									
District	16.7 %	33.3%	26.2%	23.8%	0.0%	11.9%	35.7%	31.0%	21.4%	0.0%
State	7.4%	18.6%	26.0%	35.0%	13.0%	7.0%	26.2%	34.1%	27.3%	5.5%
Non-IEP										
District	5.7%	31.4%	34.3%	28.6%	0.0%	5.7%	28.6%	40.0%	25.7%	0.0%
State	6.6%	18.6%	26.9%	35.2%	12.7%	5.2%	26.6%	35.7%	27.1%	5.3%
Non Low In	icome	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>						1
District	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	5.6%	33.3%	27.8%	33.3%	0.0%
State	4.6 %	13.4%	23.8%	40.4%	17.8 %	3.8%	18.2%	34.1%	35.6%	8.3%
Youth In Ca	are									·
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	22.6%	37.1%	25.6%	13.5%	1.3%	23.7%	48.1%	22.0%	6.2%	0.0%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathemati	cs			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
All										
District	19.0%	28.6%	31.0%	21.4%	0.0%	48.8%	32.6%	7.0%	11.6%	0.0%
State	14.4%	13.3%	22.5%	40.9%	9.0%	29.3%	24.3%	18.3%	23.1%	5.0%
Female										
District	20.0%	30.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	42.9%	38.1%	4.8%	14.3%	0.0%
State	11.0%	11.5%	20.9%	44.1%	12.5%	28.4%	25.1%	19.0%	22.9%	4.6%
Male			L		I		I			
District	18.2 %	27.3%	36.4 %	18.2%	0.0%	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%
State	17.6%	14.9%	24.0%	37.9 %	5.7%	30.2%	23.6%	17.6%	23.3%	5.3%
Non Binar	у						I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	12.2%	14.3%	57.1%	16.3%	10.2%	18.4%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%
American	Indian		I				I			
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	24.6 %	13.8%	19.6%	33.7%	8.3%	38.0%	25.2%	16.1%	16.8%	4.0%
Asian							I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	5.1%	5.3%	12.5%	53.6%	23.6%	8.7 %	12.2%	15.3%	40.6%	23.2%
Black							I			
District	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ
State	22.8%	20.6%	27.1%	27.1%	2.5%	50.4%	27.6%	12.5%	8.7%	0.7%
Hispanic										
District	ŧ	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	21.1%	16.3%	24.7%	33.5%	4.4%	39.2%	28.1%	16.5%	14.5%	1.7%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	0.0%	16.7 %	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%
Native Hav	waiian/ Pacif	fic Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	18.3%	9.6%	17.3%	40.4%	14.4%	19.2 %	23.1%	17.3%	29.8%	10.6%
Two or Mo	re Races								1	1
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+
State	13.1%	13.7%	22.9%	40.5%	9.8%	29.2%	23.8%	17.5%	23.3%	6.2%
White			1	1	1		1	1	1	1
District	17.6%	32.4%	32.4%	17.6%	0.0%	44.1%	41.2%	5.9%	8.8%	0.0%
State	8.3%	9.7%	20.6%	49.1%	12.3%	18.0%	22.3%	22.0%	31.5%	6.2%
Students	with Disabilit	ties							1	1
District	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7 %	25.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	35.0%	20.9%	20.7%	20.6%	2.7%	55.5%	21.9%	10.5%	10.2%	1.8%
English Le	arners								1	1
District	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	ŧ	+	+
State	37.1%	22.2%	25.1%	15.2%	0.4%	56.6%	28.3%	10.3%	4.6%	0.2%
Homeless			1	l	l	1	1	1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	41.3%	18.3%	20.3%	18.9%	1.4%	59.5%	24.5%	9.7%	5.8%	0.5%
Students	with IEPs		I	I	I	I	I	I		
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	45.5%	24.2%	18.8%	10.8%	0.6%	69.0%	19.6%	6.9%	4.0%	0.5%

Grade 8										
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Low Incon	ne									
District	28.0%	28.0%	36.0 %	8.0%	0.0%	61.5%	26.9%	7.7%	3.8 %	0.0%
State	21.7%	18.1%	25.8%	30.6%	3.7%	42.4%	28.0%	15.4%	12.7%	1.5%
Migrant										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.8%	26.9%	11.5%	7.7%	0.0%	69.2 %	26.9%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Military	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	12.9%	12.2%	23.6%	43.2%	8.0%	25.5%	25.1%	19.8%	25.1%	4.6%
Non-Engli	sh Learners		1	1	1			1		
District	17.5%	30.0%	30.0%	22.5%	0.0%	46.3%	34.1%	7.3%	12.2%	0.0%
State	10.6%	11.8%	22.0%	45.2%	10.4%	24.7%	23.7%	19.6%	26.2%	5.8%
Non-IEP										
District	11.8%	23.5%	38.2%	26.5%	0.0%	38.2%	38.2%	8.8%	14.7%	0.0%
State	9.4%	11.5%	23.0%	45.7%	10.3%	22.9%	25.1%	20.1%	26.1 %	5.7%
Non Low I	ncome	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
District	5.9%	29.4%	23.5%	41.2%	0.0%	29.4%	41.2%	5.9%	23.5%	0.0%
State	6.8%	8.2%	19.0%	51.6%	14.5%	15.7%	20.5%	21.3%	33.9%	8.6%
Youth In C	are		1	1	1			1		1
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	‡
State	31.5%	20.9%	24.7%	21.6%	1.3%	58.0%	26.2%	9.0%	6.5%	0.3%

DLM

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the performance levels for the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternative Assessment (DLM-AA) for students with cognitive disabilities. DLM-AA results are not based on raw or scale scores; all data is based on diagnostic classification modeling. Standard setting allows us to look at patterns of number of linkage levels mastered across the tested Essential Elements, to which we can apply cut points to define categories of student performance. This performance are reported using the four Performance Levels approved by the consortium:

- Level 1 Entry: The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
- Level 2 Foundational: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target.
- Level 3 Satisfactory: The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target.
- Level 4 Mastery: The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.9%	14.6%	11.8%	0.7%	73. 1%	11.2%	12.0%	3.7%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.0%	17.5%	12.3%	0.2%	74.8%	12.8%	10.4%	2.0%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.0%	13.4%	11.6%	0.9%	72.4%	10.6%	12.6%	4.4%
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Inc	lian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.7%	12.3%	11.4%	2.6%	69.0%	13.3%	12.4%	5.3%
Black								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.6%	15.1%	12.1%	1.3%	73.4%	9.1%	13.5%	4.1%
Hispanic			·	·	·	·	·	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.9%	14.8%	9.1%	0.2%	74.9%	10.3%	10.9%	3.9%

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacific Is	slander	I	I	I	I	i	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or Mor	e Races		I		I	I	i	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	64.9%	18.9%	16.2%	0.0%	69.9%	11.0%	15.1%	4.1%
White	I		I		I	I	i	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.3%	13.9%	13.3%	0.5%	72.6%	13.0%	11.4%	3.0%
Students w	ith Disabilities		I		I	I	i	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.9%	14.6%	11.8%	0.7%	73.1%	11.2%	12.0%	3.7%
English Lea	rners		I		I	I	i	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	14.4%	9.6%	0.6%	73.7%	11.1%	10.9%	4.2%
Homeless							I	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	76.9%	17.9%	5.1%	0.0%	74.4%	7.7%	15.4%	2.6%
Students w	ith IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.9%	14.6%	11.8%	0.7%	73.1%	11.2%	12.0%	3.7%

Grade 3								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.1%	15.1%	11.3%	0.5%	72.7%	10.8%	13.1%	3.4%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Military			1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	64.3%	21.4%	7.1%	7.1%
Non-English	Learners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	71.9%	14.6%	12.7%	0.8%	72.8%	11.2%	12.4%	3.5%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non Low Inco	ome							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	72.5%	13.5%	12.9%	1.1%	73.9%	12.0%	9.8%	4.3%
Youth In Care	•	·	·	·	·	·	·	·
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	61.5%	19.2%	19.2%	0.0%	69.2%	0.0%	26.9%	3.8%

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.5%	17.2%	7.7%	0.6%	67.7%	9.2%	16.7%	6.3%
Female								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	17.6%	6.6%	0.4%	69.5%	9.5%	17.0%	4.1%
Male							1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.0%	17.0%	8.3%	0.7%	66.9%	9.1%	16.6%	7.4%
Non Binary							1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Ir	ndian						1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Asian							1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	82.3%	11.5%	6.3%	0.0%	71.6%	10.5%	9.5%	8.4%
Black							1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	21.4%	8.1%	1.3%	64.2%	11.1%	18.0%	6.6%
Hispanic	1		1	1		1		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.1%	17.1%	7.6%	0.2%	69.4%	6.8%	17.0%	6.8%

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA								
District	•	*	•	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacific Is	slander						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or Mor	e Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	82.9%	8.5%	7.3%	1.2%	71.6%	12.3%	8.6%	7.4%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.8 %	16.8%	8.0%	0.5%	67.3%	9.4%	17.9%	5.4%
Students w	ith Disabilities							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.4%	17.2%	7.7%	0.6%	67.7%	9.2%	16.7%	6.4%
English Lea	arners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	77.3%	16.1%	6.6%	0.0%	69.9%	7.9%	16.2%	6.1%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	81.6%	14.3%	4.1%	0.0%	75.5%	4.1%	16.3%	4.1%
Students w	ith IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	74.4%	17.2%	7.7%	0.6%	67.7%	9.2%	16.7%	6.4%

Grade 4								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.9%	17.3%	7.9%	0.9%	66.2%	10.2%	17.1%	6.4%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Non-English l	_earners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	73.2%	17.7%	8.2%	0.9%	66.8%	9.8%	17.0%	6.5%
Non-IEP	1	l	L	I	I	l	I	I
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Non Low Inco	me		I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	75.4%	17.1%	7.4%	0.2%	70.3%	7.4%	16.0%	6.2%
Youth In Care				1	1		1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	69.2%	15.4%	11.5%	3.8%	53.8%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%

Grade 5												
	ELA				Mathematics							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
All												
District	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+				
State	65.5%	16.5%	14.9%	3.1%	58.5%	22.7%	9.0%	9.7%				
Female												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	62.7 %	19.3%	14.5%	3.5%	59.3%	24.3%	8.8%	7.6%				
Male												
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ				
State	66.8%	15.2%	15.1%	3.0%	58.2%	22.0%	9.1%	10.7%				
Non Binary												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
American In	dian											
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Asian												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	80.6%	15.3%	4.1%	0.0%	67.7%	22.2%	6.1%	4.0%				
Black				1	1	1	1	I				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	57.9%	21.3%	16.2%	4.6%	55.6%	24.3%	8.8%	11.4%				
Hispanic												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	69.4%	13.0%	15.1%	2.5%	61.1%	20.7%	8.3%	9.9%				

Grade 5											
	ELA				Mathematics						
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
MENA											
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander											
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Two or More	Races				1	1	1	1			
District	*	*	•	•	*	*	*	•			
State	64.3%	21.4%	12.5%	1.8%	49.1%	32.7%	9.1%	9.1%			
White					1	1	1	1			
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ			
State	65.1%	15.4%	16.1%	3.3%	57.8%	22.2%	10.5%	9.5%			
Students wi	th Disabilities										
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ			
State	65.4%	16.5%	14.9%	3.2%	58.5%	22.8%	9.1%	9.7%			
English Lear	ners							1			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	71.5%	15.6%	10.7%	2.2%	62.9%	19.5%	8.5%	9.2%			
Homeless								1			
District	*	*	*	*	•	*	•	•			
State	66.7%	12.8%	17.9%	2.6%	64.1%	20.5%	7.7%	7.7%			
Students wi	th IEPs										
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+			
State	65.4%	16.5%	14.9%	3.2%	58.5%	22.8%	9.1%	9.7%			

Grade 5												
	ELA				Mathematics							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Low Income												
District	+	ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	ŧ				
State	63.6%	16.9%	16.1%	3.5%	57.0%	22.4%	9.3%	11.3%				
Migrant												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
Military												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	83.3%	11.1%	5.6%	0.0%	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%				
Non-English L	.earners											
District	+	ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	ŧ				
State	63.0%	16.8%	16.7%	3.5%	56.7 %	24.1%	9.3%	9.9%				
Non-IEP												
District	•	•	•	*	*	*	*	*				
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Non Low Inco	me											
District	‡	ŧ	‡	+	+	+	+	+				
State	69.2%	15.7%	12.6%	2.5%	61.4%	23.3%	8.6%	6.7%				
Youth In Care												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				

Grade 6												
	ELA				Mathematics							
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
All												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	62.6%	20.4%	13.8%	3.2%	75.0%	15.6%	5.1%	4.3%				
Female												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	60.0%	21.0%	15.2%	3.8%	74.4%	16.9%	5.0%	3.7%				
Male					1	1						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	63.9%	20.1%	13.1%	2.9%	75.2%	15.0%	5.1%	4.6%				
Non Binary					1	1						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
American Ind	lian	1	1	1			1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Asian		1	1	1			1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	66.7%	19.5%	12.6%	1.1%	76.1%	13.6%	9.1%	1.1%				
Black		1	1	1			1	1				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	60.2%	20.2%	16.3%	3.3%	71.0%	18.5%	4.4%	6.1%				
Hispanic												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	64.7%	19.2%	12.6%	3.5%	74.9%	14.4%	6.4%	4.3%				

Grade 6										
	ELA	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacific I	slander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or Mor	e Races				i					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	62.3%	23.2%	13.0%	1.4%	79.7%	13.0%	4.3%	2.9%		
White										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	61.6%	21.2%	13.6%	3.6%	76.4%	15.6%	4.1%	3.9%		
Students w	ith Disabilities	, ,	i			i	I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	62.6%	20.4%	13.8%	3.2%	75.0%	15.6%	5.1%	4.3%		
English Lea	mers		i			i	I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	67.6%	17.5%	12.8%	2.1%	77.4%	13.3%	6.0%	3.3%		
Homeless		I	I		I	I				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	•		
State	70.0%	13.3%	16.7%	0.0%	80.0%	10.0%	6.7%	3.3%		
Students w	ith IEPs	1		1	1		1			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	62.6%	20.4%	13.8%	3.2%	75.0%	15.6%	5.1%	4.3%		

Grade 6													
	ELA				Mathematics								
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4					
Low Income	Low Income												
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	62.3%	20.3%	14.1%	3.3%	73.6%	16.4%	5.8%	4.2%					
Migrant													
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Military	1	I	L	I	L	L	I	I					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	64.7%	5.9%	29.4%	0.0%	70.6%	17.6%	5.9%	5.9%					
Non-English I	_earners	1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	60.7%	21.4%	14.2%	3.7%	74.1%	16.5%	4.8%	4.7%					
Non-IEP		<u>.</u>											
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Non Low Inco	ome			1			1	1					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	63.1%	20.4%	13.4%	3.2%	77.5%	14.3%	3.7%	4.5%					
Youth In Care	•			1	1	1	1	1					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
State	66.7%	12.5%	20.8%	0.0%	70.8%	20.8%	4.2%	4.2%					

Grade 7									
	ELA				Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
All									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	52.9%	28.2%	16.0%	2.9%	72.8%	17.2%	7.1%	2.9%	
Female									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	52.4%	29.8%	15.7%	2.0%	77.0%	14.7%	5.1%	3.3%	
Male									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	53.1%	27.3%	16.2%	3.4%	70.8%	18.4%	8.1%	2.8%	
Non Binary			1	1	1	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
American Inc	lian	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	
Asian		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	60.3%	30.8%	7.7%	1.3%	85.7%	3.9%	10.4%	0.0%	
Black		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	47.4%	32.0%	18.0%	2.6%	68.2%	20.3%	5.7%	5.7%	
Hispanic	1		1	1	1	I	I	<u> </u>	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
State	54.8%	27.8%	14.4%	3.1%	69.9%	19.5%	8.4%	2.2%	

Grade 7										
	ELA				Mathematics	Mathematics				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Native Haw	aiian/ Pacific I	slander					·			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	0.0%	66.7 %	33.3%	0.0%	66.7 %	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More	e Races		I			I	I	I		
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	44.3%	36.1%	16.4%	3.3%	75.4%	19.7%	3.3%	1.6%		
White		K				I	I			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	55.0%	24.6%	17.2%	3.2%	76.0%	14.8%	6.8%	2.3%		
Students w	ith Disabilities	i								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	52.9%	28.2%	16.0%	2.9%	72.8%	17.2%	7.1%	2.9%		
English Lea	rners	L								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	56.5%	27.4%	14.0%	2.1%	72.6%	16.5%	9.3%	1.6%		
Homeless										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	44.1%	38.2%	17.6%	0.0%	70.6%	23.5%	2.9%	2.9%		
Students w	ith IEPs			<u> </u>	I	I				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
State	52.9%	28.2%	16.0%	2.9%	72.8%	17.2%	7.1%	2.9%		

Grade 7								
Grade /								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	*	*	•	•	*	*	*	*
State	52.0%	27.9%	16.6%	3.5%	69.6%	19.6%	7.2%	3.6%
Migrant								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Military								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	0.0%	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Non-English	Learners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.6%	28.4%	16.7%	3.2%	72.9%	17.4%	6.3%	3.4%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Non Low Inc	ome							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	54.6%	28.8%	14.8%	1.8%	79.1%	12.4%	6.8%	1.6%
Youth In Car	9							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	40.0%	26.7%	30.0%	3.3%	70.0%	26.7%	3.3%	0.0%
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	29.5%	16.8%	0.6%	65.3%	28.5%	5.7%	0.6%
Female								
District	•	*	*	*	•	*	*	*
State	54.2%	28.3%	17.3%	0.2%	68.5%	27.5%	3.6%	0.4%
Male								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.4%	30.2%	16.5%	0.9%	63.5%	29.0%	6.8%	0.7%
Non Binary								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
American Ir	ndian							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	68.1 %	20.3%	11.6%	0.0%	72.5%	20.3%	7.2%	0.0%
Black		1						
District	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	45.5%	36.1%	17.9%	0.6%	62.5%	29.4%	8.1%	0.0%
Hispanic						1	1	1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	57.3%	27.5%	14.2%	1.0%	65.6%	28.0%	5.6%	0.8%

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Two or Mor	e Races							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	60.4%	20.8%	18.9%	0.0%	67.9%	24.5%	5.7%	1.9%
White								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.0%	29.2%	18.2%	0.5%	65.6%	29.5%	4.2%	0.7%
Students w	ith Disabilities		I			1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	29.6%	16.8%	0.6%	65.2%	28.5%	5.7%	0.6%
English Lea	irners							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.1%	28.7%	15.2%	1.0%	63.7%	29.4%	6.1%	0.7%
Homeless								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	47.6%	26.2%	26.2%	0.0%	67.4%	18.6%	14.0%	0.0%
Students w	ith IEPs							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	53.0%	29.6%	16.8%	0.6%	65.2%	28.5%	5.7%	0.6%

Grade 8								
	ELA				Mathematics			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income								
District	•	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	51.2%	29.9%	18.2%	0.8%	63.2%	29.5%	6.6%	0.8%
Migrant	1						1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Military	1	1	1	1		1		1
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Non-English I	Learners				1		1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.2%	29.9%	17.4%	0.5%	65.9%	28.1%	5.5%	0.5%
Non-IEP								
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Non Low Inco	ome						1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	56.4%	28.9%	14.3%	0.4%	69.1%	26.7%	4.0%	0.2%
Youth In Care)							
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	35.3%	41.2%	23.5%	0.0%	58.8%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%
								1

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.0%	17.1%	11.2%	0.7%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	69.7%	20.3%	9.2%	0.9%
Male				
District	‡	+	+	+
State	71.7%	15.6%	12.1%	0.6%
Non Binary				
District	•	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	86.8%	7.7%	5.5%	0.0%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	68.6%	19.0%	12.1%	0.3%
Hispanic				
District	*	*	*	*
State	74.5%	16.1%	8.9%	0.5%

Grade 5				
	Science			
		Laural 2	Lavel 7	Level /
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races				
District	*	*	*	*
State	74.1%	13.0%	11.1%	1.9%
White				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	66.9%	18.7%	13.3%	1.2%
Students with Disabilities				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	+
State	71.0%	17.1%	11.2%	0.7%
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	77.3%	13.8%	8.4%	0.5%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	63.2%	18.4%	18.4%	0.0%
Students with IEPs	·	·	·	·
District	‡	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	71.0%	17.1%	11.2%	0.7%

Grade 5					
	Science				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
Low Income					
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	‡	
State	70.2%	16.9%	12.0%	0.9%	
Migrant					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	*	*	*	*	
Military					
District	•	•	*	*	
State	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	
Non-English Learners					
District	‡	‡	+	‡	
State	68.4%	18.5%	12.3%	0.8%	
Non-IEP					
District	*	*	*	*	
State	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Non Low Income					
Non Low Income					
Non Low Income District	+	‡	ŧ	‡	
	‡ 72.7%	‡ 17.5%	‡ 9.6%	‡ 0.2%	
District					
District State					

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.8%	19.3%	12.6%	3.3%
Female				
District	*	*	*	*
State	67.9%	15.8%	14.3%	1.9%
Male				
District	*	*	*	*
State	63.0%	21.2%	11.7%	4.0%
Non Binary				
District	•	*	•	*
State	*	*	*	*
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Asian				
District	•	*	•	*
State	78.8%	12.1%	4.5%	4.5%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	61.1%	21.6%	13.5%	3.8%
Hispanic	·		·	·
District	•	*	•	*
State	67.7%	17.7%	11.0%	3.5%

	Science			
			Lovel 7	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/	Pacific Islander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Two or More Rac	es			
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.7%	19.6%	13.7%	2.0%
White				
District	*	*	*	*
State	63.2%	20.0%	14.0%	2.8%
Students with Di	sabilities			
District	*	*	*	•
State	64.8%	19.3%	12.6%	3.3%
English Learners				
District	*	*	*	*
State	66.1%	19.5%	10.3%	4.1%
Homeless				
District	*	*	*	*
State	57.5%	15.0%	22.5%	5.0%
Students with IE	Ps			
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.8%	19.3%	12.6%	3.3%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	•	*	*	•
State	62.8%	19.8%	13.4%	4.0%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Military				
District	•	•	*	•
State	66.7%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%
Non-English Learners		-	-	
District	*	*	*	*
State	64.2%	19.2%	13.6%	2.9%
Non-IEP				
District	*	*	*	*
State	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State Non Low Income	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	•	100.0%	•	•
Non Low Income				
Non Low Income District	•	*	•	•
Non Low Income District State	•	*	•	•

ISA

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students scoring at each of the Performance Levels for the Illinois Science Assessment (ISA). The ISA performance levels indicate students' understanding of the Illinois Learning Standards in science for their grade level. There are four Performance Levels for the ISA:

- Level 1 Emerging: Work at this level shows a partial application of knowledge and skills. It is superficial (lacks depth), fragmented, or incomplete and needs considerable development. Work contains errors or omissions.
- Level 2 Developing: Work at this level does not meet the standard. It shows basic, but inconsistent, application of knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions detract from the overall quality. Work needs further development.
- Level 3 Proficient: Work at this level meets the standard. It is acceptable work that demonstrates application of essential knowledge and skills. Minor errors or omissions do not detract from the overall quality.
- Level 4 Exemplary: Work at this level is of exceptional quality. It is both thorough and accurate. It exceeds the standard. It shows a sophisticated application of knowledge and skills.

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	20.5%	45.5%	27.3%	6.8%
State	16.7%	30.7%	34.6%	18.0%
Female				
District	22.2%	55.6%	11.1%	11.1%
State	15.1%	32.5%	35.4%	17.1%
Male				
District	19.2%	38.5%	38.5%	3.8%
State	18.3%	28.9%	34.0%	18.8%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	3.7%	22.2%	33.3%	40.7%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	21.0%	30.8%	32.5%	15.7%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	6.3%	16.0%	37.5%	40.2%
Black				
District	*	*	*	*
State	32.5%	41.5%	21.5%	4.4%
Hispanic				
District	ŧ	+	+	ŧ
State	23.4%	37.8%	30.2%	8.5%

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	*	•
State	15.4%	38.5%	30.8%	15.4%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	13.2%	23.6%	39.6%	23.6%
Two or More Races				
District	+	+	+	ŧ
State	15.0%	29.1%	34.5%	21.4%
White				
District	18.8%	43.8%	31.3%	6.3%
State	8.6%	24.6%	41.6%	25.3%
Students with Disabilities				
District	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%
State	36.5%	33.6%	21.2%	8.7%
English Learners				
District	ŧ	‡	ŧ	ŧ
State	35.7%	44.3%	18.5%	1.4%
Homeless				
District	+	+	+	‡
State	41.8%	36.1%	18.7%	3.4%
Students with IEPs				
District	41.7 %	58.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	44.3%	34.9%	15.9%	4.8%

Grade 5				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	23.3%	53.3%	20.0%	3.3%
State	25.4%	38.3%	28.5%	7.8%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	38.5%	42.3%	19.2%	0.0%
Military				
District	+	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	12.1%	28.9%	36.4%	22.6%
Non-English Learners				
District	19.5%	48.8%	24.4%	7.3%
State	13.1%	28.1%	37.7%	21.1%
Non-IEP				
Non-IEP District	12.5%	40.6%	37.5%	9.4%
	12.5% 11.7%	40.6% 29.9%	37.5% 38.0%	9.4% 20.4%
District				
District State				
District State Non Low Income	11.7%	29.9%	38.0%	20.4%
District State Non Low Income District	11.7%	29.9% 28.6%	38.0% 42.9%	20.4%
District State Non Low Income District State	11.7%	29.9% 28.6%	38.0% 42.9%	20.4%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
All				
District	17.5%	27.5%	42.5%	12.5%
State	16.5%	29.0%	34.5%	20.0%
Female				
District	21.1%	26.3%	47.4%	5.3%
State	15.1%	31.7%	36.0%	17.3%
Male				
District	14.3%	28.6%	38.1%	19.0%
State	17.8%	26.6%	33.2%	22.5%
Non Binary				
District	*	*	*	*
State	4.0%	12.0%	34.0%	50.0%
American Indian				
District	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	18.4%	34.3%	31.4%	15.9%
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
State	5.0%	14.1%	36.0%	44.9%
Black				
District	‡	ŧ	‡	‡
State	31.7%	40.2%	23.5%	4.7%
Hispanic	·		·	·
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	22.1%	36.9%	30.8%	10.2%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
MENA				
District	*	*	•	*
State	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander			
District	*	*	*	*
State	15.2%	20.0%	34.3%	30.5%
Two or More Races				
District	ŧ	ŧ	+	ŧ
State	15.2%	26.8%	35.1%	22.9%
White				
District	12.5%	31.3%	43.8%	12.5%
State	9.0%	22.2%	40.6%	28.1%
Students with Disabilities				
District	9.1%	63.6%	27.3%	0.0%
State	35.2%	33.6%	21.3%	9.9%
English Learners				
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ
State	34.6%	45.7%	18.3%	1.4%
Homeless				
District	*	•	*	*
State	38.0%	38.7%	19.2%	4.2%
Students with IEPs				
District	‡	‡	ŧ	‡
State	45.0%	36.3%	14.8%	3.9%

Grade 8				
	Science			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Low Income				
District	26.1%	34.8%	34.8%	4.3%
State	24.8%	37.1%	29.1%	9.0%
Migrant				
District	*	*	*	*
State	34.6%	53.8%	11.5%	0.0%
Military				
District	‡	‡	ŧ	‡
State	14.3%	27.5%	34.6%	23.6%
Non-English Learners				
District	15.8%	26.3%	44.7%	13.2%
State	13.4%	26.3%	37.2%	23.0%
Non-IEP				
District	18.8%	15.6%	50.0%	15.6%
State	11.9%	27.9%	37.7%	22.5%
Non Low Income				
District	5.9%	17.6%	52.9%	23.5%
State	7.8%	20.8%	40.1%	31.3%
Youth In Care	·	·	·	
District	ŧ	‡	+	ŧ
State	35.7%	39.1%	19.6%	5.6%

Proficiency

What is it?

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for ELA, math, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students and an alternate assessment for the 1 percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The All Test Proficiency measure is the proficiency rate for students combining all tests. A rate is calculated for ELA, math, and science.

ELA - All T	ests												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	14.8%	19.3%	10.9%	*	+	*	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	+	14.5%	+
State	39.4%	43.6%	35.3%	63.3%	31.0%	66.9%	20.3%	26.7%	39.4%	46.1%	42.5%	50.2%	18.7%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	ŧ	9.4%	6 ‡		*	ŧ	+					
State	11.8%	10.6%	24.6	5% 13	3.0%	7.9%	42.7 %	16.1 %					

Mathematics - All Tests

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	14.0%	14.7%	13.5%	*	ŧ	*	ŧ	‡	*	*	ŧ	15.4%	+
State	27.9 %	26.2 %	29.6%	40.6%	23.3%	61.3%	8.9%	15.3%	33.3%	34.7%	30.6%	38.0 %	13.7%
	English Learners		Students Low with IEPs Income Homeless				Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	ŧ	7.7%	ŧ		*	ŧ	ŧ					
State	8.0%	8.0%	13.7 %	6.4	•%	6.3%	30.1%	7.6%					

Proficiency (cont)

Science -	All Tests												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	43.0%	37.0%	46.0%	*	+	*	+	\$	*	*	+	45.0%	+
State	52.0%	52.0%	52.0 %	81.0%	47.0%	77.0%	26.0%	39.0%	54.0%	60.0%	56.0%	66.0%	30.0%
	English Learners	-				Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	\$	29.0	% ‡		*	ŧ	ŧ					
State	18.0%	18.0%	36.0	% 22	2.0%	17.0 %	57.0%	24.0%					

Growth Percentile – IAR

What is it?

This shows the growth of students relative to other students in the state with a similar scale score in the preceding school year(s). If the data is available, the Student Growth Percentile (SGP) takes up to two prior scores. The school, district, and state measure is the sum of the students' SGP divided by the number of students with an SGP. Illinois uses SGPs to calculate growth. Proficiency shows whether or not students have mastered a common, high standard; whereas, growth recognizes progress toward and beyond the standard, no matter where each student started. Data show that students of all races, income levels, languages, and disability statutes demonstrate growth across the full range. Our rigorous assessments ensure there is more than enough room to measure the growth of even Illinois' highest achievers.

Cohort Grov	wth Percent	ile - Overall								
	ELA					Mathematics				
	Did Not Meet	Partially Met	Approached	Met	Exceeded	Did Not Meet	Partially Met	Approached	Met	Exceeded
District	23.5	41.2	50.1	65.5	*	20.3	47.6	64.3	63.7	87
State	22	41.4	47.8	59.8	79.8	24.8	45.5	55.3	62.2	76.8

Cohort Growth Percentile ELA - By Demographics
--

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	43.5	46	41	*	+	*	\$	45	*	*	+	42	37.9
State	50	51.6	48.3	51.9	48.1	56.2	46.9	48.8	48.3	50	49.1	51	44.7
	English Learners	Studen with IE			omeless N	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	32	36.9	41.	7 ‡	*		ŧ	\$					
State	47.3	43	47.	9 4	5.5 4	4.8	50.2	45.5					

Growth Percentile - IAR (cont)

Cohort Growth Percentile Math - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	47.1	49.4	44.8	*	+	*	ŧ	46.1	*	*	ŧ	47.6	41.6
State	50	50.5	49.5	51.2	49.5	57	47.2	49.2	56.3	50.2	49.6	50.6	45.3
	English Learners	Studer with IE			lomeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	41.4	38.4	43.	1 ‡	:	*	ŧ	+					
State	47.9	43.5	48.	2 4	6.1	50.1	50.3	44					

Baseline Growth Percentile - Overall

	ELA					Mathematics					
	Did Not Meet	Partially Met	Approached	Met	Exceeded	Did Not Meet	Partially Met	Approached	Met	Exceeded	
District	31	55.1	65.4	76.2	*	25.2	54.1	70.3	65.8	93	
State	29.6	55.1	62.3	71	82.7	29.1	51.9	59.9	65.7	80.8	

Baseline Growth Percentile ELA - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	55.8	59	52.7	*	+	*	+	56.2	*	*	+	54.6	50.1
State	61.2	62.6	60	61.6	59.3	65.5	58.8	60.6	60.4	60.9	60.2	62.1	56

Growth Percentile - IAR (cont)

Baseline G	rowth Perc	entile ELA	- By Demo	graphics			
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care
District	40.5	48.7	54.6	+	*	ŧ	+
State	58.7	54.1	59.6	56.8	54	61.2	56.5

Baseline Growth Percentile Math - By Demographics

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	52.5	55.1	49.9	*	+	*	ŧ	50.7	*	*	ŧ	53	47.1
State	54.7	55.4	54.1	56.1	54.6	61.2	52.4	54.4	61.8	55.2	54.2	55	50.3
	English Learners	Studen with IE			Homeless	Migrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	47.1	43.6	48	.6 :	ŧ	•	+	ŧ					
State	53.2	48.6	53	.3 !	51	54.7	54.9	49					

Participation Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage and number of students participating in standardized testing, by subject and applicable test. Some students may take the test for one subject but not another. According to Illinois School Code, all students enrolled in public schools are required to participate in the state assessment or an alternate form of the assessment. Students who participate but for various reasons do not complete the testing process (e.g., illness) are still counted as having participated.

ELA - All T	ELA - All Tests - Participation														
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities		
District	99.3%	99.3%	99.4 %	*	ŧ	*	ŧ	97.6%	*	*	100.0%	99.6%	97.9%		
State	98.6%	98.7 %	98.5%	95.9%	98.2%	99.2 %	97.7%	98.4%	97.3%	98.4%	98.4%	98.9%	97.4%		
	English Learners														
District	100.0%	97.5%	98.9	9%											
State	98.4%	97.1%	98.2	2%											

Mathematics - All Tests - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.7 %	100.0%	99.4%	*	ŧ	*	+	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	99.6%	98.9%
State	98.5%	98.6%	98.4%	95.9%	98.0%	99.1%	97.5 %	98.3%	97.3%	98.3%	98.2 %	98.9 %	97.2%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	100.0%	98.8%	99.5%
State	98.2 %	96.9%	98.1%

Participation Rate (cont)

Science - All Tests - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.5%	92.5%	96.1%	*	ŧ	*	ŧ	86.7 %	*	*	+	95.7%	96.3%
State	96.0%	96.1%	95.8%	95.1%	95.1%	97.2%	93.0%	95.4%	83.9%	94.6%	95.5%	97.3%	93.6%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI											
District	ŧ	95.7%	91.5%	%									
State	94.9%	93.0%	94.7	%									

Participation Rate (cont)

Overall IAR ELA - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.3%	99.3 %	99.4%	*	+	*	+	97.6%	*	*	100.0%	99.6%	97.8%
State	99.0%	99.1%	99.0%	95.7%	99.2%	99.4%	98.7 %	99.1%	97.2%	99.1%	98.7 %	99.1%	98.1%
	English Learners	Students Low with IEPs Income								-			
District	100.0%	97.4%	98.9)%									
State	98.9%	97.9%	98.9	1%									

Overall IAR Mathematics - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Student with Disabilit
District	99.7%	100.0%	99.4%	*	ŧ	*	ŧ	100.0%	*	*	100.0%	99.6%	98.9%
State	98.9%	99.0%	98.9%	95.7%	99.0%	99.3%	98.5 %	99.0%	97.2 %	99.0%	98.6%	99.0 %	97.8 %
	English Learners	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income					1	1		1	1		
District	100.0%	98.7%	99.4	•%									
State	98.8%	97.6%	98.8	8%									

Participation Rate (cont)

Overall DLM ELA - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	+	*	+	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	\$	+
State	97.6%	97.7%	97.6 %	*	96.0%	98.0%	97.3%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%	97.7%	97.9 %	97.6%
	English Learners	Studer with IE											
District	*	ŧ	ŧ										
State	97.7%	97.6%	97.5	5%									

Overall DLM Mathematics - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	*	ŧ	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
State	97.6 %	97.6%	97.6%	*	96.0%	98.1%	97.3%	97.3%	100.0%	100.0%	97.7%	97.8 %	97.6%
	English Learners	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income											
District	*	ŧ	ŧ										
State	97.5%	97.6 %	97.5	5%									

Participation Rate (cont)

Overall DLM Science - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	*	‡	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ŧ	+
State	96.4%	96.6%	96.3%	*	100.0%	97.0%	96.9%	95.2%	0.0%	100.0%	95.9%	96.9%	96.4%
	English Learners	Studen with IEI											
District	*	+	+										
State	96.2%	96.4%	96.2	%									

Overall - Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	94.4%	92.5%	95.9%	*	+	*	+	86.7%	*	*	+	95.5%	96.0%
State	96.0%	96.1 %	95.8%	95.1%	95.1%	97.2%	92.9%	95.4%	86.7 %	94.6%	95.5%	97.3 %	93.5%
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income												
District	ŧ	95.2%	91.4	%									
State	94.8%	92.8%	94.7	%									

Participation Rate (cont)

ELA - All Tests - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	*	+	*	ŧ	2.4%	*	*	0.0%	0.4%	2.1%
State	1.5%	1.3%	1.6%	4.1%	1.8%	0.8%	2.4%	1.6%	2.7 %	1.6%	1.7%	1.1%	2.7%
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income												

Mathematics - All Tests - Non Participation

1.7%

State

3.0%

1.8%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	*	+	*	+	0.0%	*	*	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%
State	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	4.1%	2.0%	0.9%	2.6%	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%	1.8 %	1.2%	2.9%

State	1.8%	3.2%	2.0%
District	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%
	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income

Participation Rate (cont)

Science - All Tests - Non Participation	Science -	All Tests - Non	Participation
---	-----------	-----------------	---------------

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	5.5%	7.5%	3.9 %	*	‡	*	+	13.3%	*	*	+	4.3%	3.7%
State	4.1 %	4.0%	4.3%	4.9 %	4.9 %	2.8%	7.2%	4.7%	16 .1%	5.4%	4.6%	2.8%	6.5%
	English Learners	Studer with IE		v ome									
District	+	4.3%	8.5%	%									
State	5.3%	7.1%	5.4	%									

Participation Rate (cont)

Overall IAR ELA - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	*	+	*	+	2.4%	*	*	0.0%	0.4%	2.2%
State	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	4.3%	0.8%	0.7%	1.4%	1.0%	2.8%	0.9%	1.3%	0.9%	2.0%
	English Learner	Studer s with IE											
District	0.0%	2.6%	1.1%	,									

Overall IAR Mathematics - Non Participation

1.2%

State

2.2%

1.2%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	*	ŧ	*	ŧ	0.0%	*	*	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%
State	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	4.3%	1.0%	0.8%	1.6%	1.1%	2.8%	1.0%	1.5%	1.0%	2.2%

	English Learners	students with IEPs	Low Income
District	0.0%	1.3%	0.6%
State	1.3%	2.4%	1.3%

Participation Rate (cont)

Overall DLM ELA - Non Participation

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	*	ŧ	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	ŧ	ŧ
State	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	*	4.0%	2.0%	2.7 %	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.1%	2.4%
	English Learners	Studer with IE		v ome									
District	*	ŧ	ŧ										
State	2.3%	2.4%	2.5	%									

Overall DLM Mathematics - Non Participation

ŧ

2.4%

2.5%

District

State

2.5%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	ŧ	*	+	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+	ŧ
State	2.4%	2.4%	2.5%	*	4.0%	1.9%	2.8%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.2%	2.4%
	English Learners	Studer s with IE								-			

Participation Rate (cont)

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	+	*	ŧ	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	+	+
State	3.6%	3.4%	3.8%	*	0.0%	3.0%	3.2%	4.8 %	100.0%	0.0%	4.1%	3.1%	3.6%
	English Learner			w ome									
District	*	+	ŧ										
State	3.8%	3.6%	3.8	%									

Overall ISA - Non Participation

District

State

ŧ

5.3%

4.8%

7.4%

8.6%

5.4%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	5.6%	7.5%	4.1%	*	+	*	+	13.3%	*	*	ŧ	4.5%	4.0%
State	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%	4.9%	4.9%	2.8%	7.3%	4.7%	13.3%	5.4%	4.6 %	2.8%	6.6%
	English Learners	Studen s with IE											

* indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are	e counts out of groups 10 or greater.
---	---------------------------------------

Eighth Graders Passing Algebra I

What is it?


This shows the percentage of eighth-graders passing Algebra I. They are defined as eighth-grade students who have successfully completed Algebra I (or its equivalent) by the end of Grade 8. Successful completion is measured by earning a D or above and receiving at least 1.0 credit hour.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	27.9 %	ŧ	+	*	+	*	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	+	+	ŧ
State	29.1%	28.7 %	29.6 %	26.7 %	24.3%	62.5%	13.7%	22.4%	23.5%	40.4%	31.6%	34.7 %	14.7%
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income Homeless Migrant						Military	Youth In Care					
District	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ		*	*	*					
State	12.8%	7.2%	18.3%	5 11.	3%	0.0%	*	*					

District Environment

About the data

District environment data includes information regarding finances as well as information regarding conditions in the classroom, such as average class size and total school days.



Early Learning

What is it?

This shows the percentage of entering kindergartners rated on their readiness. The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) was implemented statewide in Illinois in the 2017-18 school year. KIDS is an observational assessment tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families, and policymakers better understand the development of children in kindergarten. ISBE requires kindergarten teachers to collect observations beginning on the first day of in-person instruction on a minimum of 14 required measures, which are from three key developmental areas. This subset of 14 measures provides a developmental indicator for a group of students (class, school, district, state) in kindergarten.

Kindergarte	Kindergarten Individual Developmental Survey (KIDS) Results - Overall												
	% of Entering Kindergartners	% of Entering Kinc	lergartners Demons	trating Readiness by	y Developmental Are								
	Rated on Required 14 Measures	ln 0 Developmental Areas	ln 1 Developmental Area	ln 2 Developmental Areas	ln All 3 Developmnetal Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math					
District	93.2%	40.0%	25.5%	ŧ	21.8%	58.2%	34.5%	23.6%					
State	90.9%	35.3%	16.3%	16.8%	31.6%	58.4%	49.2%	37.1%					

% Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas - KIDS Results

	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	*	+	*	+	*	*	+	23.3%	ŧ	ŧ	+	+
State	25.4%	36.7%	25.8%	20.3%	*	32.9%	33.3%	39.3%	16.3%	14.6%	16.3%	22.7 %

	Homeless
District	*
State	18.1 %

School Level Finances

What is it?

Average spending per student at this school, as collected through the unaudited ESSA Site-Based Expenditure Report. Districts report the actual dollars spent in the previous school year, including site-level costs (like school staff) and districtwide centralized costs allocated to each individual school (like transportation and central office staff), divided by the school's enrollment. Districts also report whether federal or state/local sources funded the spending.

		Site level	Per Pupil Expe	nditures		District Centralized Per Pupil Expenditures			Total Per Pupil Expenditures			
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
District	489	\$3,914	\$14,222	\$18,136	\$0	\$634	\$634	\$3,914	\$14,856	\$18,770	\$270,967	\$9,449,448

School Level Finances (cont)

		Site level Pe	r Pupil Expendi	itures	District Cent Expenditure	ralized Per Pup s	il	Total Per Pupil Expenditures			
	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Total	
Marseilles Elementary School	489	\$3,914	\$14,222	\$18,136	\$0	\$634	\$634	\$3,914	\$14,856	\$18,770	

District Finances

What is it?

The Annual Financial Report (AFR) for a district is the final financial statement for the fiscal year of a Local Education Agency (LEA) after it has been audited by a qualified auditor. Each district is required to have an annual audit completed by a qualified and licensed auditing firm. The AFR is completed by the auditor and is submitted to Illinois State Board of Education. This displays the Revenue by Source, Expenditure by Function, Expenditure by Fund, and other financial indicators.

Revenue By Sourc	e					
	Local Property Taxes	Other Local Funding	Evidence-Based Funding	Other State Funding	Federal Funding	Total Revenue
District	41.5% \$3,846,609	3.3% \$303,550	32.7% \$3,025,198	5.4% \$502,839	17.1% \$1,581,796	\$9,259,992
State	58.1%	5.9%	19.7%	4.2%	12.2%	*

Expenditure By Function

	Instruction	General Administration	Supporting Services	Other Expenditures
District	46.0%	4.7%	37.8%	11.4%
State	46.1%	2.3%	30.8%	20.9%

Expenditure By Fund

	Education	Operations & Maintenance	Transportation	Debt Service	Tort	Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	Fire Prevention & Safety	Capital Projects	Total Expenditure
District	77.4% \$5,885,017	6.8% \$515,688	5.3% \$402,962	3.6% \$272,643	2.6% \$198,280	2.4% \$183,682	1.9% \$142,271	0.0% \$0	\$7,600,543
State	70.4%	7.7%	3.9%	7.0%	1.2%	1.7%	0.3%	7.7%	*

District Finances (cont)

Other Financial Indicators

	2021 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2021 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2022-23 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2022-23 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$145,985	4.5	\$7,839	\$14,183
State	*	*	\$11,078	\$18,905

Average Class Size

What is it?

This shows the average number of students in each class at this school. Class size refers to the number of students in a classroom, specifically either the number of students being taught by individual teachers in a classroom or the average number of students being taught by teachers in a school, district, or education system

	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Overall
District	21	27	18	18	27	22	17	17	14	20
State	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21

Total School Days

What is it?

This shows the total number of days in which the school provided at least five hours of instruction during the school year. The minimum length for an Illinois public school's year is 176 days. The number of actual calendar days varies from district to district.

	Total School Days
District	177
State	176

Health and Wellness

What is it?

Days PE per week: This shows the average number of days of physical education per week per student.

Truant Minor Count: The table below reports the count of the number of truant minors in the district in the previous school year.

Truant Minors are defined as chronic truants to whom supportive services, including prevention, diagnostic, intervention and remedial services, alternative programs and other school and community.

Days PE per week

	Days PE per week
District	5
State	4

Health and Wellness (cont)


Truant Minor Count

District	0
State	167,463



About the data

Student data includes information regarding the student population, including enrollment and attendance data, as well as information regarding specific student groups, such as gifted students and English Learner students. Student data often is disaggregated further into demographic student groups.



Student Enrollment

303,166

295,285

922,067

152,571

47,220

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of students enrolled in this school as of October 1 of the current school year. District-level enrollment includes students attending a school in the district and students placed in private schools by the district and funded by the district. The student enrollment excludes the following:

- Students given vouchers to attend private schools
- Students placed by their parents in private schools whose tuition is paid for by their parents, but who receive special education services from their home district

By Subgro	oups												
	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	100.0% 508	48.8% 248	51.2% 260	0.0% *	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	2.0% 10	16.7% 85	0.0% *	0.0% *	4.9% 25	74.6% 379	28.5% 145
State	100.0% 1,851,290	48.6% 899,170	51.4% 951,463	0.0% 657	0.2% 4,580	5.6% 103,838	16.5% 305,129	28.1% 519,576	0.0% 7	0.1% 1,693	4.2% 78,523	45.3% 837,944	20.3% 376,166
	English Students Low Former Learners with IEPs Income EL Home						Migrant	Military	Never E	Youth I L Care	n		
District	7.9% 40	24.8% 126	61.8% 314	+ +	4		0.0% *	‡ ‡	90.9% 462	‡ ‡			
State	16.4 %	16.0%	49.8 %	6 8.2	2% 2	2.6%	0.0%	0.8%	75.4%	0.7%			

By Grades										
	РК	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	60	58	54	53	53	51	44	47	44	44
State	85,740	120,746	126,015	132,006	130,416	130,416	129,900	132,010	135,732	137,388

441

14,692

1,395,553

13,111

Advanced Academic Programs

What is it?

Advanced Academic Programs are courses of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated: The percentage of students who are Identified as Accelerated. Assessing a student for placement in an Advanced Academic Program may include assessment of a student as accelerated, either whole grade or in a combination of one or more subjects.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated by Demographics: The percentage of students who Are Identified as Accelerated, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Advanced Placement (AP) Testing (College Board) Exams: This shows the cumulative total number of AP exams taken and the cumulative total number of AP exams receiving a score of 3.0 or more. Upon completion of an AP course, students may choose to take the corresponding AP Exam. Illinois state law PA 99-0358 provides that a student who takes a College Board Advanced Placement examination and receives a score of 3 or higher on the examination is entitled to receive postsecondary level course credit at an Illinois public institution of higher education.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework: Advanced Academic Coursework includes courses in a variety of categories, all of which may or may not be offered within an individual school or district: Advanced Placement Coursework, IB Coursework, Enriched of Honors Coursework, and Dual-Credit Coursework.

Students Enrolled in Advanced Academic Coursework – Grade and Demographics: The percentage of students who are enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework, allowing the totals to be broken down by type of coursework, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Assessed for Giftedness Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been assessed for giftedness and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject, grade level, and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted by Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students Identified as Gifted Taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher – Grade and Demographics: The number and percentage of students at this location who have been identified as gifted in accordance with the district's gifted assessment and academic policy and were taught by a Gifted Endorsed Teacher, allowing the totals to be broken down by subject and/or other demographic and programmatic factors.

Students who are Identified as Accelerated

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	9.7% 186,357	10.0% 93,530	9.3% 92,604	12.9% 223	8.5% 395	24.8% 26,830	2.9% 9,360	7.4% 40,620	24.0% 609	9.9% 167	9.7% 8,363	11.7% 100,013	4.8% 18,478
	English Learners	Studer with IE				Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡									
State	3.2% 10,687	2.1% 6,270	4.6 % 44,3			I.2% I68							

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - ELA

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.9% 17,567	1.2% 11,004	0.7% 6,536	1.6% 27	0.8% 37	0.8% 855	0.4% 1,211	0.7% 3,856	0.6% 16	0.6% 10	0.9% 773	1.3% 10,809	0.5% 1,966

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%
	792	828	5,592	264	53

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Math

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.8% 34,975	1.5% 13,797	2.1% 21,127	3.0% 51	1.3% 61	5.7% 6,130	0.3% 904	0.9% 4,790	1.1% 29	1.8% 30	2.1% 1,773	2.5% 21,258	0.9% 3,279
	English Learners	Student with IEF				Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		‡ ‡							
State	0.5% 1,609	0.4% 1,230	0.6% 6,02			0.1% 18							

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Single Subject Other than ELA, Math or Science

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.3% 24,656	1.3% 12,012	1.3% 12,629	0.9% 15	2.2% 100	1.4% 1,500	0.5% 1,585	1.7% 9,615	4.9% 124	0.9% 16	1.0% 869	1.3% 10,847	1.0% 3,759

	English Learners	Homeless	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Military	Youth In Care
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡
State	1.3% 4,443	0.7% 474	0.6% 1,927	0.9% 8,937	*	0.2% 30

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	5.4% 104,119	5.8% 54,024	5.0% 49,971	7.2% 124	4.2% 193	16.5% 17,848	1.6% 5,055	3.8% 20,776	17.2% 437	6.0% 102	5.5% 4,750	6.4% 54,958	2.4% 9,012
	English Learners	Studen with IEF				Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		‡ ‡							
State	1.0% 3,336	0.7% 2,112	2.3 % 22,4			0.4% 54							

Students who are Identified as Accelerated - Whole Grade Acceleration

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.1% 2,145	0.1% 1,207	0.1% 932	0.3% 6	0.0% 2	0.3% 273	0.1% 417	0.2% 833	0.0% 0	0.2% 4	0.1% 99	0.1% 517	0.0% 96

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
	241	51	422	24	8

Students Enrolled in Advanced Placement Coursework

Native Hawaiian/ Students Twoor Pacific Non American More with Disabilities All Female Male Binary Indian Asian Black Hispanic MENA Islander Races White * * * * * * * * * * District * * * * * * * * * * * * 24.2% 27.5% 21.0% 29.3% 20.2% **54.9**% 14.1% 22.5% 28.3% 32.4% 25.2% 25.0% 11.7% State 146,564 81,086 65,343 135 307 18,113 13,985 40,125 278 198 5,892 67,666 14,446 English Students Youth In Low Learners with IEPs Income Homeless Care * * * * * **District** * * * * * 3.4% 16.4% 8.0% 9.7% 3.2% State 2,984 47,264 1,619 104 7,479

Students Enrolled in any dual-credit course where college credit was earned

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	16.4% 99,797	16.9% 49,774	16.0% 49,965	12.6% 58	11.5% 175	19.3% 6,358	10.8% 10,646	13.1% 23,343	21.3% 209	15.5% 95	15.3% 3,587	20.5% 55,384	10.9% 13,542

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	8.1% 6,220	8.1% 7,028	11.9% 34,431	8.4% 1,693	5.1% 165

Students Enrolled in any course designated as Enriched or Honors

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	52.3% 400,741	57.1% 208,165	47.9% 192,119	58.8% 457	49.1% 892	78.8% 38,146	41.2% 47,154	51.7% 104,589	50.7% 710	60.4% 453	52.8% 16,902	53.6% 191,895	32.3% 47,950
	English Learners	Student with IEF		me l	Homeless	Youth In Care							
District	*	*	*	a a		*							
State	34.8% 30,687	19.4% 20,597	42.4 142,4		28.3% 6,483	16.8% 675							

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	1.2% 7,572	1.5% 4,466	1.0% 3,104	0.4% 2	1.4% 21	1.4% 447	1.8% 1,806	2.0% 3,583	0.1% 1	2.6% 16	0.8% 194	0.6% 1,504	0.8% 1,030

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	*	*	*	*	*
State	0.9% 697	0.4% 323	1.7% 4,843	1.0% 206	0.5% 16

Students Assessed For Giftedness

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	87.5% 467	85.6% 220	89.2% 247	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	100.0% 13	85.9% 73	*	*	83.3% 20	87.8% 354	86.5% 134
State	10.9% 210,029	10.9% 102,148	10.8% 107,618	15.2% 263	13.1% 609	25.5% 27,603	6.7% 21,672	8.9% 49,106	9.1% 231	14.6% 247	12.6% 10,835	11.7% 99,726	9.6% 36,640
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ne	Homeless	Youth In Care							
District	86.0% 37	84.4% 114	89.49 295		81.3% 13	‡ ‡							
State	8.1% 27,120	8.1% 24,250	7.9% 75,98		5.0% 3,368	5.7% 830							

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	1.3% 24,286	1.2% 11,650	1.3% 12,539	5.6% 97	1.7% 77	4.2% 4,503	0.6% 2,057	0.8% 4,442	0.7% 19	1.7% 29	1.5% 1,258	1.4% 11,901	0.8% 3,235

Student Assessed For Giftedness Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income	Homeless	Youth In Care
District	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
State	*	0.6%	*	*	*
	1,641	1,669	5,810	176	31

Students Identified As Gifted

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	4.3% 82,264	4.3% 39,778	4.3% 42,329	9.1% 157	4.4% 204	16.8% 18,205	1.9% 6,169	2.7% 14,678	3.2% 82	8.0% 135	5.2% 4,475	4.5% 38,316	2.4% 9,053
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ne H	omeless	Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		‡ ‡							
State	0.9% 2,868	0.9% 2,723	2.1% 20,21		. 7% 50	0.4% 60							

Students Identified As Gifted Taught By Gifted-Endorsed Teachers

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	America Indian	n Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	0.8% 14,561	0.7% 6,794	0.8% 7,679	5.1% 88	1.0% 45	3.3% 3,571	0.3% 1,112	0.4% 2,057	0.2% 6	1.2% 20	1.0% 834	0.8% 6,916	0.4% 1,436
	English Learners	Studen with IEF			lomeless	Youth In Care							
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡		‡ ‡							
State	0.1% 356	0.2% 467	0.3 % 2,73		0. 1% 0	0.0% 7							

Students With IEPs

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who receive special education and related services in accordance with their Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). Each student who is found eligible for special education and related services receives an IEP that specifies supplemental services, accommodations, modifications, and supports to enable the student to be involved in, and make progress in, the general education curriculum. The state collects demographic information on all students, including racial and ethnic diversity. There are 14 disability areas, ranging from autism to visual impairment. Schools follow a process mandated by law in identifying students' disabilities and then developing IEPs.

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity

		American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White
District	All Students	*	*	0.8%	4.3%	*	*	1.0%	21.3%
	Students with IEPs	*	*	2.9%	15.8%	*	*	3.6%	77.7%
All Peer	All Students	*	*	41.8%	35.7%	*	*	46.6%	33.8%
Districts	Students with IEPs	*	*	15.3%	29.2%	*	*	4.8%	46.0%
State	All Students	*	*	3.2%	4.7%	*	*	0.7%	7.1%
	Students with IEPs	*	*	19.6%	28.8%	*	*	4.5%	43.8%

By Disability Category

		Autism	Deafness	Deaf- Blindness	Developmental Delay	Emotional Disability	Hearing Impairment	Intellectual Disability
District	All Students	1.6%	0.2%	*	8.9%	1.4%	*	0.8%
	Students with IEPs	5.8%	0.7%	*	32.4%	5.0%	*	2.9%
All Peer	All Students	4.4%	0.1%	*	7.7%	1.3%	*	0.8%
Districts	Students with IEPs	12.5%	0.2%	*	21.9%	3.7%	*	2.2%
State	All Students	2.0%	0.0%	*	2.3%	0.8%	*	0.7%
	Students with IEPs	12.2%	0.2%	*	14.4%	5.1%	*	4.4%



Students With IEPs (cont)

By Disability Category

		Multiple Disabilities	Orthopedic Impairment	Other Health Impairment	Specific Learning Disability	Speech or Language Impairment	Traumatic Brain Injury	Visual Impairment
District	All Students	0.4%	*	4.5%	4.3%	5.1 %	*	0.2%
	Students with IEPs	1.4%	*	16.5%	15.8%	18.7%	*	0.7%
All Peer	All Students	0.3%	*	4.1%	8.2%	7.8%	*	0.1%
Districts	Students with IEPs	0.8%	*	11.7%	23.5%	22.2%	*	0.3%
State	All Students	0.2%	*	2.2%	5.1%	2.7%	*	0.0%
	Students with IEPs	1.0%	*	13.7%	31.4%	16.3%	*	0.3%

Students

Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6-21)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. The percentage of students with IEPs whose educational environment was classified into one of four settings for students age 6 to 21 is as follows:

- 80 percent or more of time in a general classroom with their non-disabled peers
- Less than 40 percent of time in a general classroom
- 40-79 percent of time in a general classroom
- In a separate facility

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity				
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility
All				
District	47.5%	32.2%	12.7%	7.6%
All Peer Districts	60.8%	18.6%	14.1%	6.5%
State	54.5%	25.6%	13.3%	6.7%
American Indian				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*
Asian				
District	*	*	*	*
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*
State	*	*	*	*



Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6-21) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity										
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility						
Black										
District	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts	7.7%	3.4%	2.9%	1.9%						
State	9.0%	6.0%	3.4%	1.7%						
Hispanic										
District 7.6% 5.9% 0.8% 0.8%										
All Peer Districts	17.7%	5.2%	4.7%	1.5%						
State	15.7%	7.5%	4.0%	1.5%						
MENA										
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific I	slander									
District	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*						
Two or More Races										
District	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	0.8%						
All Peer Districts	2.9%	0.9%	0.6%	0.4%						
State	2.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.3%						
White										
District	35.6%	26.3%	10.2%	5.9%						
All Peer Districts	30.1%	8.5%	4.9%	2.5%						
State	25.6%	10.4%	4.7%	2.9%						



Educational Environments for Students with IEPs (ages 6–21) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities

For Selected Disabilities	J Selected Disabilities											
	Inside>=80	Inside 40-79	Inside < 40	Separate Facility								
Autism												
District	0.0%	1.7%	2.5%	1.7%								
All Peer Districts	3.8%	2.2%	4.6%	2.1%								
State	3.4%	2.6%	4.1%	2.0%								
Emotional Disability												
District 1.7% 1.7% 0.0% 2.5%												
All Peer Districts	1.8%	0.5%	0.5%	1.5%								
State	2.0%	1.1%	0.7%	1.7%								
Intellectual Disability												
District	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%								
All Peer Districts	0.1%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%								
State	0.2%	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%								
Other Health Impairment												
District	10.2%	5.9%	1.7%	1.7%								
All Peer Districts	8.4%	2.8%	1.3%	0.8%								
State	8.6%	4.1%	1.4%	0.8%								
Specific Learning Disabili	ity											
District	7.6%	10.2%	0.0%	0.8%								
All Peer Districts	16.3%	8.7%	2.0%	0.3%								
State	19.3%	13.1%	1.8%	0.5%								
Speech or Language Impa	airment											
District	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%								
All Peer Districts	20.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%								
State	14.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%								

Students

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students with IEPs and the classification of their educational environment. Special education law requires that students with IEPs be placed to the maximum extent appropriate with children who are not disabled. This means including students with IEPs in general classrooms, the least restrictive environment, as much as possible. The IEP team, which includes parents, bases placement decisions on the best ways to meet the identified needs of a student. The percentage of time spent in general classrooms will vary according to the needs of individual students, who may require supplemental services to achieve their learning goals. For preschool students age 3-5 with IEPs, educational environments are classified in five ways:

- Receives the majority of special education services inside an early childhood program
- Enrolled in a regular early childhood program but the majority of special education services outside the early childhood program
- Enrolled in special education class or facility
- At home
- In the care of a service provider

Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District.

Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools.

By Race/ Ethnicity

,,											
	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider						
All											
District	90.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%						
All Peer Districts	51.3%	14.2%	26.4%	0.1%	7.9%						
State	53.8%	15.4%	25.3%	0.1%	5.4%						
American Indian											
District	*	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*	*						
Asian											
District	*	*	*	*	*						
All Peer Districts	*	*	*	*	*						
State	*	*	*	*	*						



Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity Regular Early Childhood Program Majority of Services Majority of Services Inside EC Program Outside EC Program Separate Class/ Facility Home Service Provider Black 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **District** 0.3% 5.3% 2.0% 3.9% 0.0% All Peer Districts 7.3% 2.2% 4.9% 0.0% 0.3% State Hispanic 19.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% **District** 0.0% 9.0% 1.6% All Peer Districts 17.4% 2.5% 17.8% 2.5% 8.2% 0.0% 1.0% State **MENA** * * * * * District * * * * * All Peer Districts * * * * * State Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander * * * **District** * * * * * All Peer Districts * * * * * State Two or More Races 4.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% District 2.7% 0.8% 1.2% 0.0% 0.3% All Peer Districts 2.6% 0.9% 1.2% 0.0% 0.2% State



Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5) (cont)

By Race/ Ethnicity

State	23.0%	9.3%	9.4%	0.1%	3.6%							
All Peer Districts	21.8%	8.4%	10.3%	0.0%	5.2%							
District	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%							
White												
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program			Home	Service Provider							
	Regular Early Childhood P	Regular Early Childhood Program										

For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood	Program										
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider							
Autism												
District	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts	3.7%	1.1%	6.1%	0.0%	0.2%							
State	4.5%	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%	0.1%							
Developmental Delay												
District	47.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts	27.5%	5.6%	17.8%	0.0%	1.1%							
State	28.5%	4.5%	15.8%	0.0%	0.5%							
Emotional Disability	1											
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
Intellectual Disabili	ty											
District	District 0.0% 0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
All Peer Districts	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							



Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3–5) (cont)

For Selected Disabilities

	Regular Early Childhood P	rogram									
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Separate Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider						
Other Health Impairm	ent										
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts	1.0%	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%						
State	1.1%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
Specific Learning Disability											
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
All Peer Districts	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
State	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
Speech or Language	Impairment										
District	38.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%						
All Peer Districts	18.2%	7.0%	0.7%	0.0%	6.6%						
State	18.9%	9.3%	0.7%	0.0%	4.8%						

State Performance Plan Indicators For Students With IEPs

What is it?

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the state will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to Part B of the Illinois State Performance Plan can be found at https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2023-24 District Data	2023-24 State Target	District Met Target
1	Graduation Percent for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)	*	82.6	N/A
4b	Did the district have a significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy? (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 (and 5-year-old kindergartners) inside the general classroom > 80% of the time	47.5	53.3	No
6a	Children ages 3–5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	90.5	47.5	Yes
9	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
10	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification?	No	No	Yes
11	Children who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 school days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation	100.00	100	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100.00	100	Yes
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals	*	100	N/A

English Learners

What is it?

This shows students whose primary language is not English. These students have been identified through a screening process as eligible for bilingual education and/or English as a second language services, and who have not yet reached English language proficiency as measured by ACCESS for ELLs 2.0.

EL on ACCESS

	ACCESS Enrollment	ACCESS Participation	EL Exit Student Rate	More than 7 years as an EL
District	*	100.0% 33	‡ ‡	* 2
State	*	100.0% 268,275	6.2% 16,723	* 62,087



Student Attendance

What is it?

This shows the average daily attendance at this school. This is a weighted measure of the number of days present relative to the total number of potential attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	91.9%	92.4%	91.5%	*	96.0%	92.4 %	93.6%	91.5%	*	*	91.2%	92.0 %	89.0 %
State	91.6%	91.6%	91.7%	92.2%	90.3%	94.0%	88.6%	90.1%	92.8%	91.3%	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
	English	Studer	nts Low	/	Ý	outhIn	1	1	1	1	1	1	

	Learners	with IEPs	Income	Military	Care
District	90.6%	88.8%	90.7%	96.0%	95.6%
State	90.4%	89.8%	89.6%	92.8%	89.1%

Student Mobility Rate

13.6%

State

7.4%

10.9%

38.2%

38.4%

What is it?

A school's student mobility rate is the percentage of students who experienced at least one transfer in or out of the school between the first school day of October and the last school day of the year. Graduates are not included.

Student M	obility												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	9.3%	8.4%	10.1%	*	ŧ	+	ŧ	ŧ	*	*	ŧ	10.6%	14.1%
State	7.9 %	7.6%	8.2 %	5.0%	11.7%	7.6 %	13.2%	9.9%	7.5%	8.6%	8.3%	4.6%	6.7 %
	English Learners	Studer with IE			Homeless I	1igrant	Military	Youth In Care					
District	\$	13.2%	11.3	%	ŧ ·	:	‡	ŧ					

9.3%

27.9%

Chronic Absenteeism Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss 10 percent or more of school days per year either with or without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "chronic absentee" as a student who misses 10 percent of school days within an academic year with or without a valid excuse. That's 18 days of an average 180-day school year. Excused absences include illness, suspension, need to care for a family member, etc. Students need daily instruction in order to succeed. Chronic absentees are at risk of academic and social problems.

By Subgro	ups												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	Americar Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	23.8%	22.7%	24.7%	*	+	ŧ	ŧ	25.3%	*	*	+	23.6%	36.2 %
State	26.3%	26.6%	26.0%	23.6%	32.8%	16.6%	40.4%	32.9%	22.9%	28.0%	26.6%	18.1 %	32.7%
	English Learners	Homel			Low Income	Military	Youth In Care						
District	32.4%	ŧ	36.4	1%	29.0%	ŧ	+						
State	32.1 %	54.6%	33.6	8%	36.3%	20.3%	38.0 %						

By Grades

	К	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8
District	32.3%	17.2%	+	20.0%	22.0%	26.5%	30.8%	23.4%	28.3%
State	29.1%	23.7%	21.8%	19.8%	19.1%	18.9%	20.6%	23.1%	25.3%

Chronic Truancy Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who are considered chronic truants as defined in Section 26-2a of the School Code. Chronic truants include students subject to compulsory attendance who have been absent without valid cause from such attendance for 5 percent or more of the previous 180 regular attendance days.

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	11.2%	11.6%	11.0%	*	+	+	+	+	*	*	+	11.4%	19.0%
State	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	8.6%	26.3%	8.1%	44.4%	28.5%	7.8%	20.7%	17.2%	7.4%	22.6%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	ŧ	20.4%	14.1%
State	28.3%	24.3%	31.7%

Truancy Rate

What is it?

This shows the percentage of students who miss more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent school days per year without a valid excuse. Illinois law defines "truant" as a student who is subject to compulsory school attendance and is absent without a valid excuse for more than 1 percent but less than 5 percent of the past 180 school days.

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	152 36.4%	67 33.7%	85 38.8%	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	23 35.9%	*	*	‡ ‡	114 36.1%	45 37.2%
State	431,594 25.7%	210,741 25.8%	220,534 25.6%	319 19.0%	1,195 29.9%	19,524 20.6%	86,768 31.5%	157,444 32.8%	626 27.7%	389 25.9%	19,102 26.1%	146,546 19.5%	89,136 26.7%

	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	15	40	104
	45.5%	38.8%	39.5%
State	94,123	69,217	255,729
	33.5%	27.2%	30.7%

Student Discipline

What is it?

The tables below provide a summary of discipline incidents occurring over the previous school year. In the following tables incidents are broken down by column (actions taken). The tables represent breakdowns by race, gender, grade level, whether a student is an English learner, incident type, and duration of the action taken.

Total Student Co	unt					
	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	20	*	*	16	4	*
State	111,577	455	103	71,095	63,510	1,670

Total Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
District	27	*	*	22	5	*
State	253,314	459	103	148,096	102,584	2,072



Student Discipline (cont)

By Race - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
American Indian						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	693	*	*	422	266	5
Asian	•					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,469	1	1	1,576	874	17
Black		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	
District	1	*	*	1	*	*
State	89,929	188	36	45,037	43,342	1,326
Hispanic	1			1	1	
District	3	*	*	3	*	*
State	66,026	90	32	43,498	22,178	228
Native Hawaiian/	Pacific Islander			1	1	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	183	*	*	112	71	*
Two or More Race	25			1	1	
District	1	*	*	1	*	*
State	17,008	44	9	9,837	6,966	152
White	·	·	·	·	·	
District	22	*	*	17	5	*
State	76,667	136	25	47,398	28,764	344



Student Discipline (cont)

By Grade Band - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
K-8						
District	27	*	*	22	5	*
State	124,379	139	31	65,831	57,183	1,195
9-12						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	128,935	320	72	82,265	45,401	877

By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Tobacco						
District	1	*	*	1	*	*
State	7,455	5	1	4,257	3,164	28
Alcohol						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	3,198	2	*	1,295	1,878	23
Drug Offences						
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	10,678	88	16	3,149	7,214	211
Violence with Phy	/sical Injury					
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	15,625	103	14	3,518	11,871	119



Student Discipline (cont)

By Incident Type - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings			
Violence without Physical Injury									
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	50,695	106	25	19,517	30,324	723			
Dangerous Weap	on: Firearm	<u>.</u>			<u>.</u>				
District	*	*	*	*	*	*			
State	499	8	6	86	388	11			
Dangerous Weap	on: Other	<u>.</u>			<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>			
District	1	*	*	1	*	*			
State	2,171	51	12	547	1,472	89			
Other Reason									
District	25	*	*	20	5	*			
State	162,993	96	29	115,727	46,273	868			

By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services	Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
English Learners						
District	1	*	*	1	*	*
State	37,976	39	19	25,420	12,372	126
Students with IEF	PS .	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
District	9	*	*	7	2	*
State	66,546	75	4	36,569	29,362	536



Student Discipline (cont)

By Program - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Low Income						
District	20	*	*	16	4	*
State	187,999	372	82	107,558	78,336	1,651

By Duration - Incident Count

	All Discipline Expulsion - Received Actions Educational Services		Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Less than 1 day						
District	7	*	*	6	1	*
State	32,224 1		1	28,032	4,171	19
1-2 days						
District	12 *		*	10	2	*
State	139,069 77		4 100,589		37,898	501
2-3 days	1			1	1	1
District	6	*	*	5	1	*
State	38,526	3	1	13,746	24,575	201
3-4 days	1			1	1	1
District	1	*	*	1	*	*
State	25,631	*	*	4,402	21,069	160
4-10 days		1		1	I	1
District	1 *		• •		1	*
State	12,050 6		*	1,123	10,680	241



Student Discipline (cont)

By Duration - Incident Count

	All Discipline Actions	Expulsion - Received Educational Services		In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings				
Greater than 10 days										
District	*	*	*	*	*	*				
State	5,814	372	97	204	4,191	950				

By Gender - Incident Count


	All Discipline Expulsion - Received Actions Educational Services		Expulsion - Did Not Receive Educational Services	In-School Suspension	Out-of-School Suspension	Removals to alternative settings
Female						
District	6 *		*	6	*	*
State	80,404	155	31	46,399	33,111	708
Male						
District	21	*	*	16	5	*
State	172,782	302	72	101,617	69,428	1,363
Non Binary		·	·			
District	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	128	2	*	80	45	1

Accountability

About the data

Summative designations are ratings that help families and communities understand how well schools are serving all students. Illinois has five summative designations: Exemplary School, Commendable School, Targeted School, Comprehensive School, and Intensive School. Targeted Schools and Comprehensive Schools receive additional funding and supports to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and growth determine a school's annual* summative designation.

* Federal waivers issued in 2020 and 2021 impacted accountability. For more information, see www.isbe.net/summative.



School Improvement Funds

What is it?

Funds authorized under Title I, Part A, Section 1003 School Improvement of ESSA are allocated on a formula basis to LEAs serving the state's lowest-performing schools that are implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or the state's underperforming schools that are implementing targeted support and improvement activities. School Improvement funds are disbursed by the Illinois State Board of Education to eligible LEAs via the IL-EMPOWER Grant to assist schools in improvement status develop, implement, and monitor effective School Improvement Plans. The purpose of the funding is to build the capacity of school leaders to implement effective school improvement practices, and the goal is to enable schools in improvement status to improve student achievement and performance outcomes and to exit status. LEAs use school improvement funding for the following types of planning and implementation activities:

- Contracting for professional services from state-approved learning partners.
- Conducting school-level needs assessments.
- Analyzing data.
- Identifying resource inequities.
- Researching and implementing evidence-based interventions.
- Purchasing standards-aligned curriculum and materials.
- Purchasing and administering local assessments for progress monitoring.
- Paying school personnel to collaborate and to develop, implement, and monitor School Improvement Plans.

Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

	Cohort Year	School Improvement Status	Term within School Improvement Status Cycle	Current School Improvement Grant Term Allocation	Reason for Improvement Status & Grant Allocation
Marseilles Elementary School	2019	Targeted	Implementation Year 3	\$125,000	CWD

Accountability

Summative Designation Meta Indicator Components

What is it?

Summative Designation Meta Indicators: The accountability system has meta-indicators that are currently being validated for use as indicators within the summative designation calculations in future years. The Illinois Report Card is not reporting an overall calculation, but rather the sub-elements of the indicator calculations.

Percentage of Students with 95% Attendance in Junior/Senior year: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who graduated with an average attendance rate of 95% or better over their junior and senior years.

Percentage of students who fall into each GPA category: The tables indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state whose GPA fell into one of four categorical ranges (as noted in the table).

Percentage of students who meet the IL SAT/ ACT Composite Minimum requirement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who met the minimum composite score requirements on the SAT or ACT.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic ELA Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic ELA indicator.

Percentage of students who have at least 1 Academic Math Indicator: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have currently met the requirements for at least 1 academic MATH indicator.

Percentage of students who have identified a Career Area of Interest by Sophomore Year: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have identified a career area of interest by their sophomore year.

Percentage of students who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career ready indicators: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned 1, 2, or 3+ career-ready indicators.

Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who have earned a college and career pathway endorsement.

Student Participation in Fine Arts: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in this district and the state who were enrolled in one or more fine arts courses in the previous year.

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications: The tables below indicate the percentage of students in the district and the state enrolled in fine arts courses taught by teachers licensed to teach fine arts courses.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/Chronic Absenteeism: The percentage of students in grades K, 1, and 2 who are chronically absent.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/Dual Language Programs: The percentage of students designated as "Currently EL" or "Currently EL Transition Incomplete" students from K, 1st, and 2nd grade who are enrolled in a dual language program.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/P2 Meta Indicators/3rd Grade Literacy: The percentage of 3rd grade students who have received an A, B, or C (or commensurate standards) in English Language Arts (ELA).

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/5th Grade Math: The percentage of 5th grade students who have received an A, B, or C (or commensurate standards) in math.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/Academic Success: The percentage of 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students who have received at least one A or B (or commensurate standards) and no grade of D and F (or commensurate standards) in core content courses in the current academic year.

Summative Designation Meta Indicators/Elementary/Middle School Meta Indicators/Student Discipline: The percentage of 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students who have received a suspension or expulsion in the current academic year.

^{*} indicates non-reported data. ‡ indicates suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

Percentage of students who have earned a College and Career Pathway Endorsement

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,218 1.7%	1,426 2.1%	792 1.2%	0 0.0%	3 1.1%	252 3.3%	130 0.6%	527 1.4%	*	3 2.6%	79 1.6%	1,224 1.9%	291 1.2%
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income												

	Learners	withIEPs	Income
District	*	*	*
	*	*	*
State	108	93	677
	0.9%	0.6%	1.1%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	77.9%	82.4%	73.9%	*	ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	81.3%	*	*	65.0%	77.5%	70.8%
State	71.5%	74.5%	68.8%	60.4%	72.3%	77.2%	70.8%	73.3%	*	72.7%	73.6%	69.8 %	69.6%
	English Students Low Learners with IEPs Income												
District	81.1%	68.4 %	77.5	i%									
State	77.8%	69.0%	71.6	%									

Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Numberator Count

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	367	183	184	*	‡	+	+	61	*	*	13	276	97
State	1,298,358	657,160	640,170	1,028	3,116	78,743	211,608	378,996	*	1,162	58,897	565,836	245,787

Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Numberator Count

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	30	80	231
State	240,272	187,927	645,976

Fine Arts: Student Participation in Fine Arts Denominator Count

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	471	222	249	*	+	‡	ŧ	75	*	*	20	356	137
State	1,815,128	882,738	930,687	1,703	4,311	102,024	298,968	517,265	*	1,599	80,004	810,957	353,228
	English Learners												
District	37	117	298										
State	308,769	272,49	7 902,0	344									

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	99.5%	98.9%	100.0%	*	+	+	+	100.0%	*	*	ŧ	99.6%	99.0%
State	95.8%	95.8%	96.0%	97.9%	96.0%	98.3%	90.8%	96.0%	*	96.5%	96.9%	97.2%	94.8%

	English Learners	Students with IEPs	Low Income
District	100.0%	100.0%	99.1%
State	96.7 %	94.4%	94.4%

Fine Arts:	ine Arts: Teacher Qualifications Numerator Count												
	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	365	181	184	*	\$	+	\$	61	*	*	\$	275	96
State	1,244,490	629,246	614,238	1,006	2,991	77,424	192,141	363,717	*	1,121	57,047	550,049	233,123
	English Learners	Students with IEPs		е									
District	30	80	229										
State	232,248	177,452	609,84	46									

Fine Arts: Teacher Qualifications Denominator Count

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	367	183	184	*	+	+	ŧ	61	*	*	ŧ	276	97
State	1,298,358	657,160	640,170	1,028	3,116	78,743	211,608	378,996	*	1,162	58,897	565,836	245,787
	English Learners	Student with IEF		ie	-								
District	30	80	231										
State	240,272	187,927	645,9	76									

P-2: Chronic Absenteeism

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	35 20.5%	16 19.3%	19 21.6%	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	9 27.3%	*	*	‡ ‡	22 18.2%	12 26.7%
State	95,065 24.3%	45,285 23.8%	49,775 24.8%	5 14.3%	285 32.1%	4,987 22.3%	25,248 38.5%	34,414 31.8%	*	69 25.5%	4,903 24.4%	25,159 14.5%	19,079 29.0%
	English Learners	Studer with IE											<u> </u>

	Learners	WILLIEPS	Income
District	‡	10	26
	‡	24.4%	23.4%
State	25,089	17,006	68,507
	30.4%	29.4%	33.8%

P2: Dual Language Programs

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	‡ ‡
State	20,878 25.2%	10,225 26.7%	10,653 24.0%	0 0.0%	93 26.1%	125 1.2%	98 4.3%	20,007 34.8%	*	2 3.9%	86 6.6%	467 4.2%	2,683 19.1%

	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	‡	‡	‡
	‡	‡	‡
State	20,878	2,485	14,613
	25.2%	19.1%	25.3%

96.3%

59,017

92.9%

P2: 3rd Grade Literacy

ŧ

State

26,925

92.2%

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	51 98.1%	20 100.0%	31 96.9%	*	*	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	42 97.7%	‡ ‡
State	116,928 94.6%	57,426 95.0%	59,487 94.3%	15 100.0%	298 93.4%	7,099 96.5%	18,050 90.7%	33,032 92.8%	*	95 92.2%	5,497 94.0%	52,857 97.0%	22,031 93.1%
	English	Studer											
	Learners	s with IE	Ps Inco	me									

ŧ

18,287

92.7%

	AII	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	42 87.5%	‡ ‡	26 86.7%	*	*	*	*	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	30 85.7%	‡ ‡
State	113,055 91.5%	55,494 92.0%	57,531 91.0%	30 90.9%	244 83.8%	6,904 93.6%	16,645 85.8%	31,255 88.9%	* *	91 94.8%	5,155 92.0%	52,761 94.8%	22,436 90.3%

	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	‡	‡	33
	‡	‡	91.7%
State	18,781	17,418	55,496
	85.9%	90.3%	88.4%

Elementary/Middle School: Academic Success

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	87 63.0%	48 71.6%	39 54.9%	*	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	68 61.8%	20 47.6%
State	315,373 79.3%	159,005 82.3%	155,485 76.5%	883 79.1%	653 75.8%	21,353 93.5%	40,923 64.7%	85,594 75.3%	*	305 82.2%	12,889 77.1%	153,656 85.5%	58,528 74.1%
	English Learners	Studer with IE		ne									

	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	‡	15	51
	‡	46.9%	58.6%
State	44,566	42,337	135,787
	70.8%	72.4%	70.1%

Elementary/Middle School: Student Discipline

	All	Female	Male	Non Binary	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Students with Disabilities
District	4 2.8%	1 1.5%	3 4.0%	*	‡ ‡	*	‡ ‡	‡ ‡	*	*	‡ ‡	4 3.6%	4 9.3%
State	20,803 5.1%	7,533 3.8%	13,247 6.3%	23 2.0%	56 6.2%	225 1.0%	8,486 12.8%	4,683 4.0%	*	18 4.7%	1,363 7.8%	5,972 3.2%	6,113 7.5%

	English	Students	Low
	Learners	with IEPs	Income
District	‡	2	3
	‡	6.1%	3.4%
State	2,726	5,071	15,688
	4.2%	8.3%	7.8%



About the data

Teacher data includes information regarding teachers, including salary, retention, and education, as well as information comparing the ratio of teachers to students.



Teacher Information

What is it?

This shows information pertaining to teachers, including experience, education, attendance, and evaluation.

	All Schools	Attendance Rate	Evaluation Rate
District	n	67.2%	100.0%
State	14	66.0%	97.2%

Full-Time Equivalents

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of teacher Full-Time Equivalents. The number of teachers at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district. This displays the race and gender distribution by FTE for teachers in (this school/this district/the state). The table shows distribution by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE). Information is gathered about the race/ethnicity of school and district teachers. The percentage of male and female teachers is also compiled.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 41.7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0% 41.7	*
	Female	83.7% 34.9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	83.7% 34.9	*
	Male	16.3% 6.8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16.3% 6.8	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 137212.1	0.2% 274.8	2.0% 2750.6	6.4% 8800	8.9% 12198.5	*	0.1% 105.4	0.8% 1110.4	79.6% 109172.2	2.0% 2778
	Female	76.5% 104942.3	77.1% 212	77.0% 2118.9	78.4% 6903.5	77.7% 9474	*	70.0% 73.8	75.3% 836.1	76.2% 83191.1	76.0% 2110.7
	Male	23.5% 32266.1	22.9% 62.8	23.0% 631.7	21.6% 1896.5	22.3% 2724.5	*	30.0% 31.6	24.7% 274.3	23.8% 25977.3	24.0% 667.3
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Teacher Head Count

What is it?

Not Available.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	100.0%	*
		45	45	45	45	45	*	45	45	45	45
	Female	83.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	83.7%	*
		45	45	45	45	45	*	45	45	45	45
	Male	16.3%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16.3%	*
		45	45	45	45	45	*	45	45	45	45
	Non	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0%	0.2%	2.0%	6.4%	8.9%	*	0.1%	0.8%	79.6%	2.0%
otato		140477	140477	140477	140477	140477	*	140477	140477	140477	140477
	Female	76.5%	77.1%	77.0%	78.4%	77.7%	*	70.0%	75.3%	76.2%	76.0%
		140477	140477	140477	140477	140477	*	140477	140477	140477	140477
	Male	23.5%	22.9%	23.0%	21.6%	22.3%	*	30.0%	24.7%	23.8%	24.0%
		140477	140477	140477	140477	140477	*	140477	140477	140477	140477
	Non	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



Teachers Education

What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers with bachelor's and master's degrees across the state and highlight the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

Teachers Education - Bachelor's

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	61.7%	*	*
State	42.0%	42.2%	36.2%

Teachers Education - Master's

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	38.3%	*	*
State	57.0%	55.8%	63.4%

Student-To-Teacher Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per teacher. Teachers classified as special education teachers are not included. Research indicates that children in lower grades show the potential for higher achievement scores when they are in smaller classes. Many factors contribute to student achievement, and class size is only one part of this bigger picture. Special education classes are not included in this calculation.

	Student-Teacher Ratio - Elementary	Student-Teacher Ratio - Secondary
District	16	*
State	17	17



Novice Teachers

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	0 1.9%	•	•
State	9,160 6.7%	2,711 9.0%	1,949 4.0%

Teacher Out of Field

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of teachers defined as "out-of-field" – a teacher teaching in a grade or content area for which he or she does not hold the appropriate state-issued license, endorsement, approvals, or previous qualifications. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	3 8.3%	:	:
State	4,726 4.1%	805 3.0%	1,205 3.0%



Teachers with Short Term or Provisional Licenses

What is it?

The tables below represent the percentage of teachers teaching with short-term or provisional licenses. The display summarizes the rate across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools.

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	1 2.4%	:	1
State	4,307 3.1%	1,409 4.0%	694 1.0%



Retention Rate

What is it?

This shows the three-year average percentage of full-time teachers returning to the same school year to year.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	88.2% 105	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	88.9% 104	50.0% 1
	Female	88.5% 85	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	89.4% 84	50.0% 1
	Male	87.0% 20	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	87.0% 20	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	AII	89.6% 318,798	89.0% 566	88.5% 5,292	84.6% 14,534	89.2% 22,226	*	89.9% 195	86.7% 2,310	89.9% 268,681	88.7% 4,952
	Female	89.2% 242,531	88.4% 426	88.1% 4,042	84.7% 11,348	89.2% 17,160	*	90.3% 139	86.6% 1,732	89.5% 203,914	88.1% 3,728
	Male	90.8% 76,267	90.9% 140	90.1% 1,250	84.2% 3,186	89.4% 5,066	*	88.9% 56	87.2% 578	91.3% 64,767	90.7% 1,224
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	* *	* *	*	*	*	*



Average Teacher Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for teachers. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Teacher Salary
District	\$55,085
State	\$75,978

Teacher Evaluation

What is it?

Not Available.

	All Schools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	29	0	0
	100.0%	*	*
State	66,326	14,938	20,636
	97.2%	94.0%	98.6%



Teachers with Gifted Endorsement

What is it?

This shows the number of teachers who have taken the necessary steps to obtain an endorsement for their Professional Educator License indicating that they have the skills to respond to the needs of gifted students in their classroom.

	Teachers with Gifted Endorsement
District	*
State	1,145

National Board Certified Teachers

What is it?

This shows the numbers of teachers who have achieved recognition as National Board Certified Teachers, both as a whole and broken down by certain demographic indicators.

	All	Female	Male	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	2,199	1,763	436	6	63	134	188	*	1	24	1,757	*



Average Teaching Experience

What is it?

The table below represents the average teaching experience of teachers across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	n	*	•
State	14	*	*

Administrators & Support Personnel

About the data

Administrator data includes information regarding administration, including salary and turnover, as well as information comparing ratios of administration to both students and staff.



Student-To-Staff Ratios

What is it?

This shows the average number of students per certified staff member and the average number of students per administrator.

	Student-Certified Staff Ratio	Student-Administrator Ratio
District	7	127
State	9	136

Demographics

What is it?

This shows the total percentage and number of administrator Full-Time Equivalents. The number of administrators at each school varies greatly depending on the student population, schools' grade configuration, programs offered, and the financial resources of the district.

		All	American Indian	Asian	Black	Hispanic	MENA	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	White	Unknown
District	All	100.0% 4	*	*	*	•	*	*	•	100.0% 4	*
	Female	50.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50.0% 2	*
	Male	50.0% 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50.0% 2	*
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	All	100.0% 13641.6	0.2% 24.8	1.1% 150	14.8% 2017.8	7.0% 955.8	*	0.1% 7	0.7% 102	74.3% 10136.3	1.8% 245.9
	Female	60.2% 8217.7	64.8% 16	59.8% 89.8	72.2% 1457.3	65.6% 626.8	*	57.1% 4	69.1% 70.4	57.1% 5784	68.5% 168.3
	Male	39.8% 5423.9	35.2% 8.7	40.2% 60.3	27.8% 560.5	34.4% 329	*	42.9% 3	30.9% 31.6	42.9% 4352.2	31.5% 77.6
	Non Binary	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Principal Turnover

What is it?

Principal Turnover for the school is the number of different principals at the same school in the last six years. At the district and state level, it is the average number of principals at the same school in the past six years. If the number is zero, no current data was provided.

	Principal(s) over the past 6 years
District	3
State	2

Average Administrator Salary

What is it?

This shows the average salary for administrators. This information is reported at the district level.

	Average Administrator Salary
District	\$108,460
State	\$119,384

Novice Administrator

What is it?

The table below represents the percentage of administrators defined as "novice" - having less than 2 full-time equivalent years of combined public school teaching experience. The display summarizes the rate within this district and across the state and highlights the rates across all high-poverty and low-poverty schools in the district (as applicable).

	AllSchools	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
District	•	•	•
State	1,455 10.7%	294 12.2%	228 8.5%

Support Personnel FTE

What is it?

This displays the total support personnel (Counselors, School Nurses, School Psychologists, and School Social Workers) by FTE, of *full-time equivalent*. FTE counts take into consideration employees who are employed less than full time, adding together the representative percentages of their level of employment (so 2 half time/.5 employees = 1FTE).

Total Support Personnel FTE								
	Total School Counselor FTE	Total School Nurse FTE	Total School Psychologist FTE	Total School Social Worker FTE				
District	*	0.9	1	3				
State	3747.4	1209.5	2091.7	4282.6				

Pupil Support Personnel Ratio

What is it?

These tables display the average number of students per counselor, the average number of students per nurse, the average number of students per social worker, and the average number of students per psychologist in this entity (whether it be school, district, or the state.) The ratio is calculated by using the fall enrollment total, divided by the number of FTE psychologists. When examining school or district ratios keep in mind that the number of psychologists can vary greatly between schools and districts, depending on their size, student population, programs, and financial resources.

District State	* 494	558 1,531	508 885	432				
	Student/School Counselor Ratio	Student/School Nurse Ratio	Student/School Psychologist Ratio	Student/School Social Worker Ratio				
Student/Support Personnel Ratio								

Civil Rights Data Collection (2017-18)

About the data

The Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) is a biennial (i.e., every other school year) survey of public schools that has been required by the U.S. Department of Education Office for Civil Rights since 1968. The CRDC collects data on leading civil rights indicators related to access and barriers to educational opportunity from early childhood through Grade 12. The CRDC collects data from public Local Educational Agencies and schools, including juvenile facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving only students with disabilities.

Student Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on www.isbe.net. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

	In School Suspensions	Out Of School Suspensions	Expulsions	School Related Arrests	Referral to Law Enforcement	Chronic Absenteeism
District	4.3%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%
State	5.1%	3.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	16.3%

Student Environment (cont)

	Incidents of Harassment or		th Incidents of Violence	
	Bullying	Incidents of Violence	Firearm	Homicide
District	*	0.0%	0	0
State	*	2.2%	153	5

Academic Environment

What is it?

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from ED, which collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two- to three-year delay. ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

			Advanced Placement Course Work			
	Enrolled in PreSchool	Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work	International Baccalaureate(IB) Course Work	Dual Credit Course Work		
District	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
	65	0	0	0		
State	3.9%	7.2%	0.3%	3.3%		
	78,272	143,753	5,004	65,736		



About the data

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students (e.g., fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g., female students, Hispanic students). NAEP results are based on a <u>sample of student</u> populations of interest. National NAEP reports information for the nation and specific geographic regions of the country. It includes students drawn from both public and nonpublic schools and reports results for student achievement at Grades 4, 8, and 12.

Inclusion Rate and Standard Error in NAEP

What is it?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Reading									
	Grade 4				Grade8				
	Identified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		ldentified as students with disabilities		English Language Learners		
Jurisdiction	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	
Illinois	95	1.2	98	0.7	93	1.7	96	1.3	

Percentage of students identified With Disabilities and English Learners - Mathematics


Jurisdiction Illinois	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate 94	Standard Error	Inclusion Rate	Standard Error
	ldentified as students with disabilities		English Language	Identified as students with uage Learners disabilities English L		English Language		
	Grade 4			Grade 8				



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



60

40

80

100

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

Reading - Grade 8 Level Demographics Grade Year % of Students Achieving Performance Level No data available Illinois English Language Grade 8 2022 Learners No data available Identified as students Grade 8 2022 with disabilities -100 20 -80 -60 -40 -20 0

Below NAEP Basic NAEP Basic NAEP Proficient NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.

Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2022 - Reading - Grade 4							
			Percentage at or above NAEP				
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
American Indian	#	ŧ	ŧ	+	+		
Asian	5.0%	249	90.0%	69.0%	28.0%		
Black	12.0%	194	38.0%	13.0%	2.0%		
Hispanic	29.0%	205	49.0%	21.0%	4.0%		
MENA	+	+	ŧ	+	+		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	3.0%	223	67.0%	40.0%	12.0%		
White	49.0%	228	73.0%	41.0%	13.0%		
Gender							
Female	50.0%	219	63.0%	33.0%	10.0%		
Male	50.0%	217	62.0%	33.0%	9.0%		
Non Binary	+	+	ŧ	+	+		
National School Lunc	h Program						
Eligible NSLP	+	‡	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	+	ŧ	ŧ	+	+		

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2022 - Reading - Grade 8

			Percentage at or above NAEP		Percentage at NAEP		
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+		
Asian	7.0%	288	89.0%	63.0%	15.0%		
Black	16.0%	241	49.0%	15.0%	#		
Hispanic	28.0%	254	65.0%	23.0%	2.0%		
MENA	+	+	+	+	+		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	4.0%	274	79.0%	43.0%	12.0%		
White	45.0%	270	80.0%	39.0%	5.0%		
Gender							
Female	50.0%	266	76.0%	36.0%	5.0%		
Male	50.0%	257	66.0%	29.0%	3.0%		
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+		
National School Lunch	National School Lunch Program						
Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.


NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.



* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.


NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.




■ Below NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Basic ■ NAEP Proficient ■ NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.




NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)

* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in {year}. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.



NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results (cont)



📕 Below NAEP Basic 🛛 NAEP Basic 🖉 NAEP Proficient 🖉 NAEP Advanced

IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabiliation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), {year} Mathematics Assessment.

Results for Student Groups

What is it?

NAEP is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that has been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. For more information, please see The Nation's Report Card.

Results for Student Groups in 2022 - Math - Grade 4								
			Percentage at or above NAEP					
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced			
Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+			
Asian	6.0%	265	94.0%	70.0%	28.0%			
Black	12.0%	214	51.0%	12.0%	1.0%			
Hispanic	29.0%	222	61.0%	19.0%	2.0%			
MENA	+	+	+	+	+			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+			
Two or More Races	3.0%	250	86.0%	53.0%	15.0%			
White	49.0%	248	88.0%	50.0%	12.0%			
Gender								
Female	49.0%	234	74.0%	33.0%	6.0%			
Male	51.0%	240	77.0%	42.0%	12.0%			
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+			
National School Lunch Program								
Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+			
Not Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+			

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

Results for Student Groups (cont)

Results for Student Groups in 2022 - Math - Grade 8

			Percentage at or above NAEP		Percentage at NAEP		
Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Average Score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		
Race/Ethnicity							
American Indian	#	+	+	+	+		
Asian	7.0%	308	88.0%	62.0%	31.0%		
Black	16.0%	254	38.0%	8.0%	1.0%		
Hispanic	28.0%	262	49.0%	14.0%	2.0%		
MENA	+	+	+	+	+		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	#	+	+	+	+		
Two or More Races	4.0%	276	64.0%	27.0%	3.0%		
White	45.0%	286	74.0%	36.0%	10.0%		
Gender							
Female	50.0%	273	59.0%	24.0%	6.0%		
Male	50.0%	278	64.0%	29.0%	9.0%		
Non Binary	+	+	+	+	+		
National School Lunch	National School Lunch Program						
Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		
Not Eligible NSLP	+	+	+	+	+		

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.